Interacting systems equivalent to non-interacting systems with quenched disorder
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Describing collective behaviour of a large number of interacting particles is one of the biggest challenges in physics and is key to understanding phase transitions and transport and thermodynamic properties in systems with strong particle correlations. We investigate a class of interacting systems that allow for a mapping to disordered non-interacting systems. As we show, such a mapping is possible for interacting systems with a suppressed density of states at the chemical potential, leading to suppressed screening. The mapping is also possible for observables and systems in which the screening of interactions does not have qualitative effects, e.g., does not change the universality classes of phase transitions. The established duality suggests a new approach to analytical and numerical studies of many-body phenomena in a class of interacting disorder-free systems by reducing them to single-particle problems. It allows one to predict, describe and classify many-body phenomena by mapping them to the effects known for disordered non-interacting systems. Using the established duality, we predict new non-Anderson disorder-driven transitions dual to previously known interaction-driven transitions in bosonic and fermionic gases with attractive interactions. The established principle may also be used to classify and describe phase transitions in dissipative systems described by non-Hermitian Hamiltonians.

Describing many-body interacting systems is one of the greatest challenges in physics. Often, existing analytical approaches are insufficient to accurately describe many-body effects, such as high-temperature superconductivity, interaction-driven metal-insulator transition and magnetic instabilities.

Simulating such systems numerically also is a formidable task, especially in the case of fermionic (quasi-)particles, which display the notorious sign problem leading to a rapid growth of the computation time with the number of particles. By contrast, single-particle problems, even in the presence of quenched disorder, may be comparatively easily simulated, e.g., by diagonalising the Hamiltonian of the system.

Disorder-averaged observables in single-particle models, however, are described by interacting field theories, in the supersymmetric Keldysh or replica representations. Such theories have the same form as the field theories of interacting disorder-free systems, but have additional structures in, respectively, boson-fermion, Keldysh or replica subspaces. It is natural to expect, therefore, that a certain class of many-body systems may be mapped to single-particle disordered models.

Such a mapping would allow one to easily simulate numerically many-body phenomena in these systems, by mapping them to models with quenched disorder and without interactions. Furthermore, it would allow to predict new many-body (disorder-driven) phenomena, e.g. phase transitions, dual to previously known disorder-driven (many-body) effects. The purpose of this paper is to investigate such a duality between interacting and disordered non-interacting systems and explore classes of systems that allow for this duality.

We demonstrate that such a mapping is in general possible for interacting systems with a suppressed density of states at the chemical potential, characteristic of nodal semimetals (e.g. Weyl/Dirac, semi-Dirac and nodal-line semimetals) and dilute gases, which leads to suppressed effects of the screening of interactions. Observables in such $d$-dimensional interacting systems can be mapped to disorder-averaged observables in $d + 1$-dimensional disordered non-interacting systems with an additional (pseudospin) degree of freedom equivalent to a spin-1/2. The mapping is also possible for observables and interacting systems with large Fermi surfaces if the effects of screening have no qualitative consequences, e.g., do not change the universality class of a phase transition.

In nodal semimetals and dilute gases with the dispersion $\varepsilon_k \propto k^n$ near a node or a band edge, the single-particle density of states (DoS) vanishes $\propto E^{2-d}$ in dimensions $d > n$. Although the interactions are, in general, screened even for zero DoS at the chemical potential, such a screening is weak and can often be neglected (as in the case of, for example, transport coefficients in intrinsic graphene and Weyl semimetal). Similarly, the screening of effective interactions is absent in the deterministic field theories of disordered systems, which hints at the existence of a mapping to interacting systems at all orders of the perturbation theory.

The existence of this mapping in nodal semimetals is consistent with the well-known absence of the numerical sign problem in systems with particle-hole symmetry. Indeed, nodal semimetals with symmetric valence and conduction bands and chemical potentials close to the band-touching point (see Fig. 1) have (approximate)
particle-hole symmetry.

This mapping suggests, in particular, that interacting electronic systems with a vanishing DoS at the Fermi level exhibit interaction-driven phase transitions in the universality classes of the non-Anderson disorder-driven phase transitions\(^\text{[12]–[13]}\) that have been established, at the perturbative level, to take place in non-interacting semimetals in high spatial dimensions, exemplified by 3D Weyl semimetals. Using the duality demonstrated in this paper, we find a new non-Anderson disorder-driven phase transition dual to the previously known “vacuum-BEC” transition\(^\text{[16]–[21]}\). Furthermore, such a duality allows one to predict new disorder-driven transitions dual to the interaction-driven transitions unaffected in a qualitative way by the screening in systems with large densities of states, e.g., BCS-type instabilities. The duality can be further extended to the case of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians and phase transitions.

**Summary of the mapping.** We consider \(d\)-dimensional interacting systems described by Hamiltonians of the form

\[
\hat{H} = \int \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(r) \xi_p \hat{\Psi}(r) d^d r - \frac{1}{2} \int \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(r) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(r') U(r-r') \hat{\Psi}(r') \hat{\Psi}(r) d^d r d^d r',
\]

where \(\hat{\Psi}^{(1)}\) are the particle annihilation (creation) operators; \(\xi_p\) is the dispersion of the particles; \(\hat{p} = -i \hbar \partial_p\) is the momentum operator (hereinafter \(\hbar = 1\)) and the potential \(U(r-r')\) describes the interactions in the system. The particles may be bosonic or fermionic. While we consider, for simplicity, spinless particles, the mapping described in this paper may be generalised to account for an arbitrary spin structure of the dispersion and the interaction.

Key to the existence of the duality between interacting and disordered systems is a strong suppression of the effects of the screening of the interaction \(U(r-r')\). We assume that the screening is either suppressed or has no qualitative effects on the observables and phenomena of interest, i.e. does not change the universality class of a phase transition of interest.

Screening is significantly suppressed in systems with the power-law dispersion \(\xi_p \propto p^\alpha\) (possibly with an additional structure in the spin/valley space) for \(\alpha < d\) near a band edge or a node (band touching point) due to a strong suppression of the density of states \(\rho(\varepsilon) \propto |\varepsilon|^{\frac{\alpha}{d}-1}\). \(\alpha = 1\) corresponds to graphene in the dimension \(d = 2\) and to 3D Weyl/Dirac semimetals in the dimension \(d = 3\). The case \(\alpha = 2\) describes semiconductors and parabolic semimetals. Dispersions with a continuously tunable parameter \(\alpha\) may be realised in systems of trapped ultracold particle\(^\text{[22]–[23]}\) and superconductive films\(^\text{[20]}\). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated\(^\text{[22]}\) that \(d\)-dimensional systems with long-range hopping \(\propto 1/d^{d+\alpha}\), also realised with trapped ultracold particles, are dual to systems with the power-law dispersion \(\xi_p \propto p^\alpha\).

For some phenomena, screening is not important even in the presence of a large Fermi surface. This is the case, for example, for superconductive instabilities or leading-order correlators of electron densities, as we detail below. For the case of attractive interactions, on which we focus in most of this paper, the behaviour of observables in an interacting disorder-free \(d\)-dimensional system described by the Hamiltonian \(\hat{H}\) can be mapped to the behaviour of disorder-averaged observables in a \(d+1\)-dimensional non-interacting semimetal with the Hamiltonian

\[
\hat{h} = \hat{\sigma}_x \xi_p + \hat{\sigma}_y p_{d+1} + \hat{\sigma}_z u(\rho),
\]

where \(\hat{\sigma}_x, \hat{\sigma}_y\) and \(\hat{\sigma}_z\) are the Pauli matrices corresponding to a degree of freedom equivalent to a spin-1/2, hereinafter referred to as pseudospin; \(\rho\) is the momentum of the particle along the first \(d\) dimensions; \(p_{d+1}\) is the component of momentum along the \(d+1\)-st dimension; \(u(\rho)\) is a random potential in the \(d+1\)-dimensional space whose correlator \(-\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{r}'; \tau')\) is given by the propagator of the interactions in Eq. \(\text{(1)}\). In the case of short-ranged interactions, the correlations of both the interactions and the random potential can be described by one coupling constant

\[
g = \int U(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') d^d r' = \int \langle u(\rho) u(\rho') \rangle_{\text{dis}} d^{d+1} \rho'.
\]

Along the \(d+1\)-st dimension, the size of the system described by the Hamiltonian \(\hat{H}\) is given by \(\ell_{d+1} = 1/T\), where \(T\) is the temperature of the interacting system with the Hamiltonian \(\hat{H}\), and (anti-)periodic conditions are imposed for (fermionic) bosonic particles.

The mapping can be similarly carried out for repulsive interactions, in which case the Hamiltonian \(\hat{H}\) has to be replaced by

\[
\hat{h}_{\text{repulsive}} = \hat{\sigma}_x \xi_p + \hat{\sigma}_y p_{d+1} + \hat{\sigma}_z u(\rho).
\]

Each observable in the interacting model \(\hat{H}\) corresponds to a disorder-averaged quantity in the disordered non-interacting model \(\hat{h}\). For example, the average density \(\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) = \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r})\) of particles in the interacting system matches, as a function of the disorder/interaction

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Interacting model} & \text{Disordered model} \\
\equiv \xi_p & = \frac{1}{i \omega - \xi_p} & = \frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{\sigma}_0(\mathbf{k}, \rho) + \hat{\sigma}_0(\mathbf{k}, \rho) \right) \\
\equiv \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}) & = 1 & = \hat{\sigma}_z \\
\equiv \mathcal{D}(\omega, \mathbf{p}) & = \mathcal{D}(\omega, \mathbf{p}) & = -\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{k}, \rho) \\
\equiv \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}') & = \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}') & = \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}')
\end{array}
\]

FIG. 2. Elements of the diagrammatic technique for the interacting disorder-free (left) and non-interacting disordered (right) models in momentum space that illustrate perturbative equivalence between the two classes of systems.
strength (e.g., coupling $g$), the disorder-averaged observable

$$\rho_s(\rho) = \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{\sigma}_z \hat{G}^R(\rho, \rho, 0) + \hat{\sigma}_z \hat{G}^A(\rho, \rho, 0) \right]$$

(5)

in the dual disordered non-interacting system, where $\text{Tr}$ is taken over the pseudospin degree of freedom and $\hat{G}^R(\rho, \rho, E)$ and $\hat{G}^A(\rho, \rho, E)$ are the matrices of the retarded and advanced Green’s functions of the particles in the pseudospin space. Similar correspondence can be established for other observables in the interacting systems, such as currents and spin densities.

Interpretation. The duality summarised above can be verified in all orders of the perturbation theory, neglecting the diagrams with loops (which describe screening and Hartree contributions as detailed below), with the corresponding elements of the diagrammatic technique shown in Fig. 2 (see Supplemental Information for the details of the order-by-order comparison). It also allows for an intuitive interpretation that we provide in what immediately follows.

The partition function of a $d$-dimensional system described by the Hamiltonian $H$ with the attractive-interaction term decoupled by the Hubbard-Stratonovich field $\phi(r, \tau)$

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\psi\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}\mathcal{D}\phi\exp \left[ -\int \bar{\psi}(\partial_\tau + \xi_p + \phi) \psi d^d r d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \int \phi(\tau, r) U^{-1}(r, r') \phi(\tau, r') d\tau d^d r d\tau d^d r' \right]$$

(6)

resembles the partition function of a disordered $d + 1$-dimensional system, where the Matsubara time $\tau$ is considered as an extra coordinate, with a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $\hat{h} = \partial_\tau + \xi_p + \phi$ and $\phi$ playing the role of the disorder potential.

To make the field theory closer to that of a disordered system, it is possible to apply the procedure of “Hermitisation” and associate the non-Hermitian operator $\hat{h} = \partial_\tau + \xi_p + \phi$ with its “Hermitised” version $\hat{h}_{\text{herm}} = \hat{\sigma}_z p_\tau + \hat{\sigma}_y \hat{h}$ in the doubled Hilbert space, where $p_\tau = -i\partial_\tau$. The “Hermitised” Hamiltonian matches up to a rotation of the pseudospin basis, the Hamiltonian $H$. The properties of this Hamiltonian are similar to those of $\hat{h}$ and allow for obtaining related observables.

Another distinction of the action from that of a disordered system is that it uses Grassman (real) fields $\bar{\psi}$ and $\psi$ for fermionic (bosonic) particles not including the fermion-boson, replica or Keldysh subspaces inherently present in field theories of disordered systems. As a result, it allows, in general, for “loop” contributions to observables that account for, e.g., the screening of the interactions and that are absent in disordered field theories. Under the assumptions we make in this paper, however, such contributions are negligible due to the suppression of the quasiparticle density of states at the chemical potential or have no qualitative effect on the observables of interest.

Duality for the density of particles. To illustrate the discussed disorder-interactions duality, we consider perturbative contributions to the average density of particles $\bar{n}$ in the interacting system described by Hamiltonian $H$ in Figs. 2a-c. The diagrams in Fig. 2a include, apart from the interaction propagators (wiggly lines), only one loop of particle propagators (solid lines).

Under the made approximations, contributions with additional loops of propagators, exemplified by diagrams 2b-c, can be neglected. Some of those contributions (Fig. 2b) describe the screening of the interactions. The others contain a loop connected to the rest of the diagram by a single interaction propagator (Hartree-type contributions, shown in Fig. 3c) which may be absorbed in the definition of the chemical potential and has not qualitative effects.

Novel disorder-drive phase transitions via duality. The established duality between classes of disordered and interacting systems allows us to predict novel phenomena, such as phase transitions, in disordered and interacting systems using already known in the dual systems. To illustrate this, we predict novel disorder-driven phase transitions in nodal semimetals and demonstrate also that duality extends to the correlations of electron densities in metals.

The described mapping suggests that interacting $d$-dimensional semimetals with the dispersion $\xi_p \propto p^\alpha$ near the node, where $\alpha < d$, are dual to disordered non-interacting $d + 1$-dimensional semimetals with the dispersion $\xi_p \hat{\sigma}_z + p_{d+1} \hat{\sigma}_y$. Nodal semimetals may display a variety of instabilities and associated phase transitions at low temperatures (see, for example, Refs. [32, 41]), such as superconductive magnetic and charge-density-wave phase transitions. By contrast, non-interacting disordered systems are commonly believed to exhibit only one phase
transition: the Anderson localisation-delocalisation transition. However, it has been demonstrated, at the perturbative level, that semimetals and semiconductors with the power-law dispersion $\propto p^d$ in high dimensions $d > 2\delta$ exhibit additional disorder-driven transitions (see Ref. 14 for a review) in non-Anderson universality classes. These non-Anderson disorder-driven transitions may have diverse properties depending on the symmetries of disorder and of the band structure, and, under some approximations, display a critical behaviour of the density of states, in contrast with the Anderson transitions.

Using the described mapping, we predict a new non-Anderson disorder-driven transition in semimetals with the Hamiltonian (2) in which the dispersion $\xi_{\mathbf{p}}$ vanishes at small momenta. These transitions are dual to the so-called vacuum-BEC transition in systems of interacting bosons with attractive interactions and are distinct from the previously studied non-Anderson disorder-driven transitions. For $\xi_{\mathbf{p}} \propto p^\gamma$ and short-range interactions (disorder), the critical behaviour near the phase transition for both the interacting Hamiltonians (1) and the dual random non-interacting Hamiltonian (2) can be understood from the renormalisation-group (RG) analysis of the dimensionless coupling constant

$$\gamma = \frac{\zeta_{Sd}}{2(2\pi)^d} gK^{d-\alpha},$$

where $S_d$ is the volume of a unit $d$-dimensional sphere; $K$ is the ultraviolet momentum cutoff, e.g. the characteristic size of the band in momentum space; $\zeta$ is a factor of order unity that depends on the spin and valley structure of the dispersion near the node or the band edge ($\zeta = 1$ for $\xi_{\mathbf{q}} = k^\alpha$). Upon integrating out the highest momentum modes of the particles in both systems, the RG flow of the dimensionless coupling constant for the remaining lower-momentum modes is given by the (exact) equation

$$\partial_t \gamma = (\alpha - d)\gamma + \gamma^2,$$

which signals a phase transition (in systems with attractive interactions, $g > 0$) in high dimensions $d > \alpha$ at the critical coupling $\gamma_c = d - \alpha$.

For interacting bosons, the corresponding transition occurs between a phase with effectively non-interacting particles (“vacuum”) and a phase of strongly coupled bosons that form Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) in dimension $d$. The dual $d + 1$-dimensional disorder-driven phase transition, which we predict here, occurs, respectively, between a phase with effectively vanishing disorder and a strongly disordered phase. This disorder-driven transition manifests itself in the critical behaviour of observables such as the density of states and transport coefficients in the system.

The non-Anderson disorder-driven transition predicted here is distinct from the previously studied non-Anderson disorder-driven transitions and belongs to a different universality class. We note that, because the RG

Eq. (8) is exact, it allows, in contrast with the other non-Anderson disorder-driven transition, for an exact determination of the correlation-length critical exponent $\nu = 1/(d - \alpha)$.

The duality mapping developed in this paper can be applied not only in the case of a vanishing density of states, which is typical for nodal semimetals, but also in systems with large Fermi surfaces if the screening of the interactions has no qualitative effects, e.g. does not change the universality class of a phase transition, such as at the superconductive (BCS-type) instability with weak attractive interactions. Such an instability in a 2D metal with $\xi_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{\gamma^2}{\pi^2} - \xi_F$ is dual to a disorder-driven transition in a 3D nodal-line semimetal described by the appropriate Hamiltonian (2), i.e. to a semimetal in which the conduction and valence bands touch along a line in momentum space. A microscopic investigation of such a transition in nodal semimetals will be reported elsewhere.

Correlations of densities of electrons: a quantum dot and a 1D disordered wire. Another example of a quantity which is not affected, to the leading order in the coupling, by the screening and the Hartree contributions and to which the described duality can be applied, are correlators of the density of electrons. To illustrate this, we consider a one-site Hubbard model (quantum dot) described by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_{\text{dot}} = \xi\hat{n}_\uparrow + \xi\hat{n}_\downarrow - g\hat{n}_\uparrow\hat{n}_\downarrow,$$

where $\xi$ is a constant and $n_\uparrow$ and $n_\downarrow$ are the numbers of the electrons in the “spin up” and “spin down” states. With regard to the mapping derived in this paper, this quantum dot is dual to a system of 1D particles in a random potential $u(x)$, with the Hamiltonian given by

$$\hat{h}_{\text{wire}} = \sum_{i=\uparrow,\downarrow} \hat{\Psi}_i(x)[\xi\hat{\sigma}_z - i\hat{\sigma}_y\partial_x + u(x)\hat{\sigma}_x] \hat{\Psi}_i(x).$$

In general, perturbative contributions with loops are not negligible for electrons in a quantum dot described by the Hamiltonian (9). For example, the Hartree contribution to the number of electrons $n_\sigma$ with spin $\sigma$ in Fig. 4 matches the value of diagram [4]. These contributions, however, do not affect, to the first order in the coupling $g$, the correlator

$$\mathcal{K} = \langle \hat{n}_\uparrow\hat{n}_\downarrow \rangle - \langle \hat{n}_\uparrow \rangle \langle \hat{n}_\downarrow \rangle = \frac{g}{T} \left[ 1 + \frac{\xi/T}{(1 + e\xi/T)^2} \right] \mathcal{O}(g^2)$$

of the number $n_\uparrow$ and $n_\downarrow$ of fermions with different spins computed in Supplemental Material [28]. The value of the correlator (11) matches, to the leading order in $g$, the correlator

$$\mathcal{K}_{\text{dis}} = \langle \rho_{\uparrow\uparrow}\rho_{\downarrow\downarrow} \rangle_{\text{dis}} - \langle \rho_{\uparrow\uparrow} \rangle_{\text{dis}} \langle \rho_{\downarrow\downarrow} \rangle_{\text{dis}}$$

of the operators $\rho_{\sigma\sigma}$ given by Eq. (5). The value of the correlator (12) is computed independently for a disordered wire in Supplemental Material [28]. The matching
modifications to the number $n$ model and a disordered wire. Diagrams (a) and (b) describe

FIG. 4. Diagrams for correlations in the one-site Hubbard
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9 While calculations neglecting the screening give the correct result for the temperature dependence of the conductivity $\sigma \sim \frac{e^2}{\hbar v_F T}$ of a 3D Weyl semimetal, where $\alpha = \frac{2}{\pi e^2}$ is the “fine-structure constant”, as follows from dimensional considerations, it still remains to be established, to our knowledge, if such an approximation gives the correct numerical coefficient.
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42 Exponentially rare non-perturbative effects (rare-region effects) may convert such transitions to sharp crossovers, as discussed recently in the context of 3D Weyl semimetals. However, dual interacting transitions are true phase transitions as the described interactions-disorder duality applies only at the perturbative level and does not extend to rare-region effects.
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I. DETAILS OF THE MAPPING IN EACH ORDER OF THE PERTURBATION THEORY

In this section, we provide the details of the duality between disordered non-interacting and interacting disorder-free systems. Dual quantities are summarised in Table I. As an example of an observable quantity in the interacting systems we use the density of particles, dual to the quantity \( \rho \) in the disordered system. The density of particles and the quantity \( \rho \) are represented by sets diagrams in Figs. 3a and 3d. Basic elements of the diagrammatic technique are shown in Fig. 2. We describe first a generic diagram for an interacting system and demonstrate its equivalence to the corresponding diagram for the non-interacting disordered system. Then we provide explicit expressions for several lowest-order diagrams in both systems.

The value of each diagram with \( N \) interaction propagators contributing to the density of particles in the interacting system is given by

\[
(-1)^{N+F} \frac{T^{N+1}}{V^{N+1}} \sum_{\omega,p} \frac{1}{(\bar{\omega}_0 - \xi_{p_0})^2} \frac{1}{\bar{\omega}_1 - \xi_{p_1}} \cdots \frac{1}{\bar{\omega}_{2N-1} - \xi_{p_{2N-1}}} D(\Omega_1, P_1) \cdots D(\Omega_N, P_N),
\]

where \( F = 1 \) for fermionic particles and \( F = 0 \) for bosonic particles; \( D(\Omega_i, P_i) \) is the interaction propagators which depends on the bosonic (fermionic) Matsubara frequency \( \Omega_i = 2\pi T n_i [\Omega_i = \pi T (2 n_i + 1)] \) and momentum \( P_i \) and is the Fourier-transform of the interaction propagator

\[
D(r, \tau; r', \tau') = - \left< T_\tau \hat{\phi}(r, \tau) \hat{\phi}(r', \tau') \right>
\]

in the coordinate and Matsubara-time representation, where \( \hat{\phi} \) are the bosonic fields corresponding to the interaction between the particles. The summation \( \sum_{\omega,p} \cdots \) in Eq. (13) may be carried out over any \( N+1 \) independent frequencies and momenta, with the other frequency and momenta of the particle and interaction propagators determined from the energy and momentum conservation laws in the diagram. We assume the convergence of the sum for each diagram.

In the case of short-ranged unscreened interactions, each interaction propagator is frequency- and momentum-independent and may be replaced by a constant, \( D(\Omega_i, P_i) \rightarrow -g \), given by Eq. (13). In this section, we do not assume any specific form of the interaction propagator \( D(\Omega, P) \).

Because the bosonic propagator \( D(\Omega_i, P_i) \) is an even function of the Matsubara frequency \( \Omega_i \), each summation with respect to Matsubara frequencies \( \omega \) in Eq. (13) can be replaced with two summations with respect to \( \omega \) and \( -\omega \), \( \sum_{\omega} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\omega} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{-\omega} \), which gives

\[
(-1)^{N+F} \frac{T^{N+1}}{2V^{N+1}} \sum_{l=0,1} \sum_{\omega,p} \frac{1}{(\bar{\omega}_0 - \xi_{p_0})^2} \frac{1}{\bar{\omega}_1 - \xi_{p_1}} \cdots \frac{1}{\bar{\omega}_{2N-1} - \xi_{p_{2N-1}}} D(\Omega_1, P_1) \cdots D(\Omega_N, P_N).
\]

Below, we compare the expression (15) for the \( N \)-th-order diagram for an interacting disorder-free system to the value of a similar diagram in the equivalent disordered non-interacting system.

In what immediately follows, we assume that the equivalent disordered system described by the Hamiltonian \( H^{d+1} \) has the volume \( V^{d+1} \) in the dimension \( d+1 \), where \( \ell_d + 1 \) is its length along one dimension and \( V \) is the cross section in the remaining \( d \) dimensions. The topologically equivalent \( N \)-th order diagram in the remaining \( d \) dimensions is given by

\[
(-1)^{N+F} \frac{V^{N+1}}{2^{d+1} \ell_d^{N+1}} \sum_{p,k} \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{\sigma}_z \frac{1}{-k_0 \hat{\sigma}_y - \xi_{p_0} \hat{\sigma}_z} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hat{\sigma}_z}{-k_0 \hat{\sigma}_y - \xi_{p_0} \hat{\sigma}_z} \cdots \frac{1}{-k_{2N-1} \hat{\sigma}_y - \xi_{p_{2N-1}} \hat{\sigma}_z} \right] \tilde{D}(K_1, P_1) \cdots \tilde{D}(K_N, P_N),
\]

where \( (p_i, k_i) \) is a \( d+1 \)-dimensional momentum; \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, 2N - 1 \); \( \text{Tr} \cdots \) is taken with respect to the pseudospin degrees of freedom; (anti-)periodic boundary conditions have to be chosen along the \( d+1 \)-st dimension for (fermionic) bosonic particles in the interacting system; \( -\tilde{D}(K_i, P_i) \) is the “impurity line” in the Fourier-transform of the correlator

\[
-\tilde{D}(\rho - \rho') = \langle u(\rho) u(\rho') \rangle_{\text{dis}}.
\]
of the random potential \( u(\rho) \). Here, in accordance with the common convention, the impurity line (cf. Fig. 2) is defined to be positive for a real random potential. Similarly to the case of the diagram for the interacting system, the summation in Eq. (16) may be carried out over any \( N + 1 \) independent momenta in the dimension \( d + 1 \), while the other momenta of the particle and disorder propagators are determined from the law of momentum conservation. In Eq. (16), we took into account that the the quantity \( \rho_s \), to which the respective diagram contributes, corresponds to the \( \hat{\sigma}_z/2 \) vertex and to the particle propagator

\[
(-k_i \hat{\sigma}_y - \xi_{p_i} \hat{\sigma}_z)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ G^A(k_i, p_i, E = 0) + G^R(k_i, p_i, E = 0) \right],
\]

where \( G^A \) and \( G^R \) are the advanced and retarded Green’s functions of a free particle.

Equation (16) gives

\[
\frac{(-1)^{N+F}}{2V^{N+1} \ell_{d+1}^{N+1}} \sum_{p,k} \text{Tr} \left[ \frac{1}{ik_0 \hat{\sigma}_x - \xi_{p_0} 1_{2 \times 2}} \frac{1}{ik_0 \hat{\sigma}_x - \xi_{p_0} 1_{2 \times 2}} \ldots \frac{1}{ik_{2N-1} \hat{\sigma}_x - \xi_{p_{2N-1}} 1_{2 \times 2}} \right] \tilde{D}(K_1, P_1) \ldots \tilde{D}(K_N, P_N),
\]

where \( 1_{2 \times 2} \) is the identity matrix in the pseudospin space. Because the eigenvalues of the operator \( \hat{\sigma}_x \) are given by \((-1)^I \) with \( I = 0, 1 \), Eq. (19) can be rewritten as

\[
\frac{(-1)^{N+F}}{2V^{N+1} \ell_{d+1}^{N+1}} \sum_{I=0,1} \sum_{p,k} \left[ \frac{1}{i(-1)^I k_0 - \xi_{p_0}} \frac{1}{i(-1)^I k_0 - \xi_{p_0}} \ldots \frac{1}{i(-1)^I k_{2N-1} - \xi_{p_{2N-1}}} \right] \tilde{D}(K_1, P_1) \ldots \tilde{D}(K_N, P_N).
\]

Equations (15) and (20) for the diagrams, respectively, the interacting disorder-free and non-interacting disordered systems are identical to each other so long as \( \ell_{d+1} = 1/T \) and the Matsubara frequencies \( \omega_i \) in Eq. (15) match the values of the momenta \( k_i \) in Eq. (20). The latter condition, with \( k_i = 2\pi T n_i \) (\( n_i = 2\pi T n_i + \pi T \) and integer \( n_i \), is satisfied if (anti-)periodic boundary conditions are imposed on the disordered system in the case of a (fermionic) bosonic interacting system.

Summary. In summary, we have established the correspondence, to all order of the perturbation theory, between observables in a \( d \)-dimensional bosonic (fermionic) interacting disorder-free system at temperature \( T \) and a dual \( d+1 \)-dimensional non-interacting disordered system of length \( \ell_{d+1} = 1/T \) with (anti-)periodic boundary conditions along the \( d + 1 \)-st dimension. We focussed on the observable quantities

\[
\langle \hat{n}(r) \rangle = \langle \hat{\rho}_s(\rho) \rangle_{\text{dis}},
\]

where \( \hat{n} \) is the density of particles in the interacting system and the operator \( \rho_s \) in the disordered system is defined by Eq. (19). The established equivalence applies, however, to other observables, such as currents and spin/valley degrees of freedom and their correlators. To further illustrate the discussed duality, we consider below the zeroth and first order diagrams contributing to \( \langle \hat{n}(r) \rangle \) and \( \langle \hat{\rho}_s(\rho) \rangle_{\text{dis}} \) explicitly.

A. Zeroth order

The concentration of particles at the zeroth order is given by

\[
\langle \hat{n}^{(0)}(r) \rangle = \frac{T}{V} \sum_{\omega_p} \frac{(-1)^F}{i\omega - \xi_p} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{p} \frac{1}{\exp(\xi_p/T) + 1},
\]

where \( \sum' \) is our convention for the regularised sum over Matsubara frequencies (which amounts to, e.g., infinitesimal phase corrections to the frequencies \( i\omega \rightarrow i\omega e^{-i\omega\delta} \)), ensuring that the sum of a Matsubara Green’s function over frequencies gives the Bose (Fermi) distribution function for bosonic (fermionic) frequencies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>Interacting model</th>
<th>Disordered model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( (\tau, r) )</td>
<td>( (\tilde{r}_{d+1}, r) )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature/size</td>
<td>( T )</td>
<td>( 1/\ell_{d+1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupling to interactions/disorder</td>
<td>( \psi^\dagger \psi )</td>
<td>( \psi^\dagger \sigma_z \psi_u )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observables</td>
<td>( \hat{n} ) (density)</td>
<td>( \rho_s ), Eq. (19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Correspondence between quantities in the interacting disorder-free and non-interacting disordered systems.
For the disordered system, the zeroth-order contribution to the dual observable is given by
\[
\langle \rho^{(0)} (\rho) \rangle_{\text{dis}} = \frac{(-1)^F}{V \ell_{d+1}} \sum_{p,k} \Tr \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2 - k \sigma_y - \xi_p \sigma_z} \right] = \frac{(-1)^F}{V \ell_{d+1}} \sum_{p,k} \frac{1}{k^2 + \xi_p^2} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_p \frac{1}{\exp (\xi_p \ell_{d+1}) \pm 1},
\]  
(23)
where “+” and “−” correspond, respectively, to periodic and antiperiodic boundary conditions along the \(d + 1\)-st dimension, resulting in the quantised values \(k = 2\pi \ell_{d+1} n\) and \(k = \pi \ell_{d+1} (2n + 1)\) of the momentum \(k\). Equations (22) and (23) are precisely equivalent for \(\ell_{d+1} = 1/T\), in accordance with the duality transformation derived in this paper.

B. First order

Fig. 5a shows the first-order correction to \(\langle \tilde{n} \rangle\). This diagram contributes
\[
(-1)^{F+1} \frac{T^2}{2 V^2} \sum_{\omega_0, \omega_1, p_0, p_1} \frac{1}{(i \omega_0 - \xi_{p_0})^2} \frac{1}{i \omega_1 - \xi_{p_1}} D(\omega_0 - \omega_1, p_0 - p_1).
\]  
(24)
Again, because the bosonic propagator is even under the inversion of Matsubara frequency, \(D(\omega_0 - \omega_1, p_0 - p_1) = D(-\omega_0 + \omega_1, p_0 - p_1)\), the sum with respect to the frequencies in Eq. (24) is equivalent to two sums with respect to \(\omega_0, \omega_1\) and \(-\omega_0, -\omega_1\), \(\sum_{\omega_0, \omega_1} \cdots = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\omega_0, \omega_1} \cdots + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{-\omega_0, -\omega_1} \cdots\). Therefore, Eq. (24) becomes
\[
(-1)^{F+1} \frac{T^2}{2 V^2} \sum_{\omega_0, \omega_1, p_0, p_1} \left[ \frac{1}{(i \omega_0 - \xi_{p_0})^2} \frac{1}{i \omega_1 - \xi_{p_1}} + \frac{1}{(-i \omega_0 - \xi_{p_0})^2} \frac{1}{i \omega_1 - \xi_{p_1}} \right] D(\omega_0 - \omega_1, p_0 - p_1).
\]  
(25)
The corresponding diagram for the non-interacting disordered system is shown in Fig. 5b and is given by
\[
\frac{(-1)^F}{2 V^2 \ell_{d+1}^2} \sum_{p_0, p_1, k_0, k_1} \Tr \left[ \frac{1}{-k_0 \sigma_y - \xi_{p_0} \sigma_z} \frac{1}{2 - k_0 \sigma_y - \xi_{p_0} \sigma_z} \frac{1}{-k_1 \sigma_y - \xi_{p_1} \sigma_z} \frac{1}{-k_1 \sigma_y - \xi_{p_1} \sigma_z} \right] \left[ -\tilde{D}(k_0 - k_1, p_0 - p_1) \right]
\]  
\[+ \frac{(-1)^{F+1}}{2 V^2 \ell_{d+1}^2} \sum_{p_0, p_1, k_0, k_1} \Tr \left[ \frac{1}{i k_0 \sigma_x - \xi_{p_0} \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2}} \frac{1}{i k_0 \sigma_x - \xi_{p_0} \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2}} \frac{1}{i k_1 \sigma_x - \xi_{p_0} \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2}} \frac{1}{i k_1 \sigma_x - \xi_{p_0} \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2}} \right] \tilde{D}(k_0 - k_1, p_0 - p_1).
\]  
(26)
Taking the trace with respect to the eigenvalues of \(\hat{\sigma}_x\) gives
\[
\frac{(-1)^{F+1}}{2 V^2 \ell_{d+1}^2} \sum_{p_0, p_1, k_0, k_1} \left( \frac{1}{i k_0 - \xi_{p_0}} + \frac{1}{i k_0 - \xi_{p_0}} + \frac{1}{i - k_0 - \xi_{p_0}} + \frac{1}{-i k_0 - \xi_{p_0}} + \frac{1}{-i k_0 - \xi_{p_0}} + \frac{1}{-i k_0 - \xi_{p_0}} \right) \tilde{D}(k_0 - k_1, p_0 - p_1).
\]  
(27)
Since the values of \(\omega_i\) and \(k_i\) match, due to the choice of the boundary conditions, and \(\ell_{d+1} = 1/T\), Eqs. (25) and (27) are identical.

II. RENORMALISATION-GROUP APPROACH TO INTERACTING GASES AND HIGH-DIMENSIONAL SEMIMETALS

In this section, we describe the renormalisation of interactions in gases of particles with the power-law dispersion \(\xi_k \propto k^\alpha\) and the renormalisation of disorder in the dual class of systems, i.e. semimetals with the dispersion \(\xi_k \sigma_z + k_{d+1} \sigma_y\). We demonstrate that these renormalisations are described by the same RG flow equation [9], which illustrates that these systems exhibit interaction-driven (disorder-driven) phase transitions in the same universality class.

The RG procedure for the interacting system involves repeatedly integrating out shells of largest momenta and frequencies,
\[
K e^{-\xi} \ll |k| \ll K,
\]  
(28a)
\[
|\xi_{K e^{-\xi}}| \ll \omega \ll |\xi_K|,
\]  
(28b)
and renormalising the properties of the systems perturbatively in the coupling constant \(g\). The details of the cutoff procedure are not important in the one-loop approximation for the dimension \(d\) near the critical dimension \(d_c = \alpha\). The diagrams for the one-loop renormalisation of the interaction propagator are shown in Fig. 6a-e. When evaluating
FIG. 5. First-order diagrams for the density $\hat{n}$ in interacting disorder-free (a) and the operator $\rho_s$ in non-interacting disordered (b) systems.

FIG. 6. Diagrams for the renormalisation of the coupling constants in an interacting disorder-free (a−e) and non-interacting disordered (a′−d′) systems.

them, it is sufficient to set all external incoming and outgoing frequencies and momenta to zero and sum/integrate only with respect to intermediate frequencies and momenta. The main contribution comes from diagram (6c):

$$[6c] = g^2 T \sum_{i\omega} \int \frac{1}{i\omega - \xi_k} \otimes \frac{1}{-i\omega - \xi_{-k}},$$

where the frequency summation and integration with respect to the momentum $k$ are carried out over the intervals $2\pi a \ldots (2\pi b)$; $\int \ldots \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \ldots$; the dispersion $\xi_k \propto k^\alpha$ has the power dependence on the momentum $k$, but may also have additional structure in the valley or spin space; $\otimes$ is the product of the spin/valley subspaces corresponding to the top and bottom propagators in Fig. (6c).

We consider the case of large ultraviolet momentum cutoffs $K$ and $K e^{-l}$, corresponding to the kinetic energies significantly exceeding the temperature $T$. This allows us to replace the summation with respect to frequencies in Eq. (29) by integration, $\sum_{i\omega} \ldots \rightarrow \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \ldots$. For a scalar dispersion

$$\xi_k = |k|^\alpha,$$

which has no valley and spin structure, the renormalised interaction propagator also has a trivial structure (\(\propto I \otimes I\)) in the spin/valley space, and the value of diagram (6c) is given by

$$[6c] = g^2 S_d K^{d-\alpha} \frac{1 - e^{-(d-\alpha)l}}{2(2\pi)^d}.$$

All the other contributions shown in Fig. 6 may be estimated as

$$[6a] \sim [6b] \sim [6d] \sim [6e] \sim \frac{g^2 S_d K^{d-\alpha}}{2(2\pi)^d}.$$
and are suppressed for the dimensions $d$ close to the critical dimension $d_c = \alpha$. This leads to the RG flow equation for the coupling $g$ given by
\begin{equation}
\partial_t g = \frac{S_d K^{d-\alpha}}{2 (2\pi)^d} g^2. \tag{33}
\end{equation}

Introducing the dimensionless coupling constant
\begin{equation}
\gamma = \frac{S_d}{2 (2\pi)^d} g K^{d-\alpha} \tag{34}
\end{equation}
gives the one-loop RG flow equation
\begin{equation}
\partial_t \gamma = (\alpha - d) \gamma + \gamma^2. \tag{35}
\end{equation}

It is possible to show that the RG flow equation (35) is exact, i.e. applies beyond the one-loop approximation. It has been noticed in Ref. 19 that the renormalised contact interaction between quadratically dispersive bosonic particles is given by the ladder diagrams shown in Fig. 9 and is, therefore, corresponding to the solution of the RG equation (35). This result can be straightforwardly generalised to the case of the power-law dispersion $\xi_k = k^\alpha$ considered here. The RG flow is terminated at the value of the ultraviolet cutoff $K$ equal to the inverse size $L^{-1}$ or a characteristic momentum scale corresponding to the renormalised kinetic energy on the order of the temperature $T$ or the chemical potential $\mu$.

The diagrams for the renormalisation in the dual disordered non-interacting system, described by the Hamiltonian $H_2$, are shown in Figure 6. They are topologically equivalent to diagrams $6 - d$ and do not include a diagram with a closed loop of particle propagators. In the diagrammatic technique for the disordered systems, contributions with loops are absent by construction. Although such loops are present for the interacting systems we consider, their contribution is suppressed due to the suppressed density of states at the chemical potential assumed in this paper.

The main contribution to the renormalisation of the coupling $g$ in the disordered system comes from diagram $6 + d$. While the other contributions to the renormalisation are suppressed, it is convenient to evaluate together diagrams $6 + d$ and $6' - d'$:
\begin{align}
6' + 6 &= g^2 \int p dp d\sigma \left( \frac{1}{\xi p \sigma_z + p_{d+1} \sigma y} + \frac{1}{\xi p \sigma_z - p_{d+1} \sigma y} \right) \sigma_z \otimes \sigma z \frac{1}{\xi p \sigma_z + p_{d+1} \sigma y} \sigma_z \\
&= g^2 \int p dp d\sigma \frac{2 \xi p \sigma_z}{\xi^2 p + p_{d+1}^2} \otimes \sigma z \left( \xi p \sigma_z + p_{d+1} \sigma y \right) \sigma_z \\
&= g^2 \int p dp d\sigma \frac{2 \xi^2 p}{\xi^2 p + p_{d+1}^2} \sigma_z \otimes \sigma z \\
&\approx \frac{g^2 S_d K^{d-\alpha}}{2 (2\pi)^d} \frac{1 - e^{-(d-\alpha) \delta}}{d - \alpha}. \tag{36}
\end{align}

Similarly to the case of interacting systems, it is possible to demonstrate that the contributions of the other diagrams to the renormalisation of the coupling $g$ are suppressed, and the flow is of the coupling is again described by Eq. (35). Identical RG flows for the coupling in the cases of interacting disorder-free and non-interacting disordered systems illustrate the equivalence between the two classes of systems discussed in this paper.

Both of these classes of systems display transitions at the critical value of the dimensionless coupling $\gamma_c = (\alpha - d)^{-1}$ between the phases with irrelevant interactions (disorder), for subcritical coupling, and relevant interactions (disorder), for supercritical interactions (disorder). We emphasise that the phenomenology of such transitions is similar to the phenomenology of the non-Anderson disorder-driven transitions studied previously for systems with isotropic dispersions $\xi_k \propto k^\alpha$ in dimensions $d > 2\delta$: renormalised disorder in such systems vanishes for subcritical values of the disorder strength and is finite otherwise. The RG equations for the flow of the dimensionless disorder strength for such systems are given by
\begin{equation}
\partial_t \gamma = (2 \delta - \delta) \gamma + \gamma^2 + O(\gamma^3) \tag{37}
\end{equation}
and in one loop are also given by the diagrams shown in Fig. 6. We emphasise that for generic symmetries of quenched disorder all of these four diagrams may give contributions of the same order of magnitude to the renormalisation and the higher-loop contributions and in general are non-negligible (see, e.g., Ref. 49).

The disorder-driven transitions considered in this paper, equivalent to the interaction-driven transitions in interacting systems, are an extension of the previously studied non-Anderson disorder-driven transitions to the case of systems with an anisotropic dispersion $\propto \sigma_z \xi_p + \sigma y p_{d+1}$, which is linear along one direction and has a power-law form $\xi_p \propto p^\alpha$ along the other $d$ dimensions. The lower-critical dimension for the non-Anderson disorder-driven transitions in such systems is given by $d \equiv d + 1 = \alpha + 1$. The vanishing of the high-order contributions in the RG flow (35) is a consequence of the disorder symmetry $(\propto u \sigma_z)$ in such systems.
III. DETAILS OF THE DUALITY BETWEEN QUANTUM DOT AND 1D WIRES

In this section, we provide the details of the duality mapping between the one-sight Hubbard model (quantum dot) described by the Hamiltonian (9) and a disordered 1D wire described by the Hamiltonian (10). The Hamiltonian of the quantum dot can be rewritten in the equivalent form

$$\hat{H}_{\text{dot}} = \xi \hat{n}_\uparrow + \xi \hat{n}_\downarrow - g \hat{n}_\uparrow \hat{n}_\downarrow = \left( \xi + \frac{g}{2} \right) \hat{n}_\uparrow + \left( \xi + \frac{g}{2} \right) \hat{n}_\downarrow - \frac{g}{2} (\hat{n}_\uparrow + \hat{n}_\downarrow)^2,$$

(38)

where we have used that $\hat{n}_\uparrow^2 + \hat{n}_\downarrow^2 = \hat{n}_\uparrow + \hat{n}_\downarrow$. Observables in a system described by the Hamiltonian (38) can be represented in the form of a path integral over Grassmann variables

$$\langle \ldots \rangle = \int D\bar{\Psi}D\Psi \ldots \exp \left\{ - \int_0^\beta \sum_{i=\uparrow,\downarrow} \bar{\Psi}_i(\tau) \left[ i \partial_\tau + \xi + \frac{g}{2} \right] \Psi_i(\tau) d\tau - \frac{1}{2g} \int_0^\beta \left[ \sum_{i=\uparrow,\downarrow} \bar{\Psi}_i(\tau) \Psi_i(\tau) \right]^2 d\tau \right\},$$

(39)

where the preexponential $\ldots$ corresponds to the operator of the observable expressed in terms of the Grassmann fields $\bar{\Psi}$ and $\Psi$. Decoupling the quartic term by a bosonic field $\phi$ gives

$$\langle \ldots \rangle = \int D\bar{\Psi}D\Psi \ldots \exp \left\{ - \int_0^\beta \sum_{i=\uparrow,\downarrow} \bar{\Psi}_i(\tau) \left[ i \partial_\tau + \xi + \frac{g}{2} \right] \Psi_i(\tau) d\tau - \frac{1}{2g} \int_0^\beta \phi^2(\tau) d\tau \right\}.$$

(40)

The action describing the observable in Eq. (40) corresponds to spin-1/2 fermions interacting with bosons whose propagator is given by $\langle \phi(\tau) \phi(\tau') \rangle = g \delta(\tau - \tau')$.

Applying the duality transformation developed in this paper, this model may be mapped to a 1D model with quenched disorder, with the Matsubara time $\tau$ mapped to the coordinate $x$ of the 1D model and with the bosonic field $\phi(\tau)$ mapped to a random potential $u(x)$. The Hamiltonian of this 1D model is given by

$$\hat{H}_{\text{wire}} = \sum_{i=\uparrow,\downarrow} \bar{\Psi}_i(x) \left[ \xi \hat{\sigma}_z - i \hat{\sigma}_y \partial_x + u(x) \hat{\sigma}_z \right] \Psi_i(x).$$

(41)

We emphasise that, strictly speaking, the quantum dot described by the Hamiltonian (38) does not satisfy the assumptions about the negligibility of screening and Hartree-type contributions, which correspond to diagrams with additional fermionic loops and are neglected in this paper when deriving the equivalence between interacting disorder-free and non-interacting disordered systems. For example, diagram (a) in Fig. 8 describes the Hartree contribution to the average occupation number $\langle \hat{n}_\sigma \rangle$ for the electron state with spin $\sigma$ and is equal to diagram (b), which we take into account when demonstrating the equivalence, and is, therefore, non-negligible.

However, observables in the quantum dot may still be mapped to observables in the disordered wire so long as they are unaffected by the screening and Hartree contributions. To illustrate this, we consider the leading contribution to the correlator

$$K = \langle \hat{n}_\uparrow \hat{n}_\downarrow \rangle - \langle \hat{n}_\uparrow \rangle \langle \hat{n}_\downarrow \rangle$$

(42)

of the occupation numbers with different spins in the quantum dot. In the equilibrium state at temperature $T$, the correlator is given by

$$K = \frac{\sum_{n_{\uparrow}, n_{\downarrow} = 0,1} n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow} e^{-\xi_n + \xi_{-n} - \gamma n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow}} \left( \sum_{n'_{\uparrow}, n'_{\downarrow} = 0,1} e^{-\xi_{n'} + \xi_{-n'} - \gamma n'_{\uparrow} n'_{\downarrow}} \right)^2}{\sum_{n_{\uparrow}, n_{\downarrow} = 0,1} e^{-\xi_n + \xi_{-n} - \gamma n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow}}} = \frac{g}{T} \left( \frac{e^{\xi/T}}{(1 + e^{\xi/T})^2} \right)^2,$$

(43)

(44)
FIG. 8. Diagrams that contribute to the correlators $K$ and $K_{\text{dis}}$ in the one-site Hubbard model and a disordered wire described by the Hamiltonians (38) and (41).

where we kept only the leading in $g$ contribution. The correlator (42) can also be found diagrammatically, as shown in Fig. 8. The leading in the coupling $g$ contribution is given by diagram (c):

$$K \approx gT^3 \sum_{\omega_1, \omega_2} \frac{1}{(i\omega_1 - \xi)^2} \frac{1}{(i\omega_2 - \xi)^2} = gT \left[ \frac{e^{\xi/T}}{(1 + e^{\xi/T})^2} \right]^2. \quad (45)$$

Because this contribution does not contain fermionic loops mimicking the screening of the interactions or Hartree contributions, it allows for a mapping to a similar correlator

$$K_{\text{dis}} = \langle \rho_{s\uparrow} \rho_{s\downarrow} \rangle_{\text{dis}} - \langle \rho_{s\uparrow} \rangle_{\text{dis}} \langle \rho_{s\downarrow} \rangle_{\text{dis}}. \quad (46)$$

in a disordered wire described by the Hamiltonian (41). The diagrams for the correlator in the disordered system are shown in Fig. 8 where the leading-order contribution is given by diagram (d):

$$K_{\text{dis}} \approx \ldots$$

Because the quantum dot described by the Hamiltonian (38) is fermionic, the dual disordered wire described by the Hamiltonian (41) has antiperiodic boundary conditions. At $\ell_{d+1} = 1/T$, Eqs. (45) and (47) for observables in, respectively, the quantum dot and the disordered wire are equivalent, which illustrates again the interactions-disorder duality shown in this paper.