

Finite Automata Encoding Functions: A Representation Using B-splines

Dmitry Berdinsky and Prohrak Kruengthomya

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University, Bangkok, 10400,
Thailand

berdinsky@gmail.com, prohrakju@gmail.com

Abstract. Finite automata are used to encode geometric figures, functions and can be used for image compression and processing. The original approach is to represent each point of a figure (a graph of a function) in \mathbb{R}^n as a convolution of its n coordinates written in some base. Then a figure is said to be encoded as a finite automaton if the set of convolutions corresponding to points in this figure is accepted by a finite automaton. Jürgensen, Staiger and Yamasaki [14] showed that the only continuously differentiable functions which can be encoded as a finite automaton in this way are linear. In this paper we propose a representation which enables to encode piecewise polynomial functions with arbitrary degrees of smoothness that substantially extends a family of functions which can be encoded as finite automata. This representation naturally comes from the framework of hierarchical tensor product B-splines utilized in numerical computational geometry. We show that finite automata provide a simple tool suitable for solving computational problem arising in this framework including the case when the support of a function is unbounded.

Keywords: finite automata, encoding functions, hierarchical meshes, B-splines.

1 Introduction

The idea of expressing subsets in \mathbb{R}^n as finite automata is not new. It was originally introduced by Büchi in the 1960s as a tool to establish decidability results in arithmetic [6]. This idea later emerged in the 1990s–2000s, but as a tool for handling linear arithmetic over integers and reals [4,5] and for image compression and processing [8,17]. The original approach due to Boigelot, Bronne and Rassart [5] consists of representing a subset in \mathbb{R}^n as a finite automaton accepting encodings of points in this subset as strings of symbols over some alphabet. A point $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is represented as a convolution of infinite strings written in a base $b \geq 2$. For example, a point $(4\pi, \frac{\pi}{6}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ can be represented by the convolution of two infinite strings $12.5663706144\dots$ and $0.5235987756\dots$ representing 4π and $\frac{\pi}{6}$, respectively, in the decimal representation ($b = 10$):

$$\begin{array}{l} 12.5663706144\dots \\ 00.5235987756\dots \end{array}$$

A figure $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by its set of points $\bar{x} \in U$ which defines an ω -language (a set of infinite strings) L of all possible convolutions representing $\bar{x} \in U$ in some base $b \geq 2$. The figure U is said to be encoded as a finite automaton¹ if L is recognized by a finite automaton $\mathcal{A} = (\Sigma, S, s_0, \delta)$ with an input alphabet Σ , a set of states S , the initial state s_0 and the transition function $\delta : S \times \Sigma \rightarrow S \cup \{\perp\}$. If $\delta(s, \sigma) = \perp$, it means that $\delta(s, \sigma)$ is undefined. An automaton \mathcal{A} accepts an infinite string w if $\delta(s_0, u) \neq \perp$ for all prefixes u of w , where $\delta(s_0, u)$ is defined in a usual way; in other words the automaton does not get stuck while reading the string w . An ω -language is said to be recognized by \mathcal{A} if it consists of all infinite strings accepted by \mathcal{A} . Note that \mathcal{A} is equivalent to a deterministic Büchi automaton. A continuously differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be encoded as a finite automaton if the graph $\Gamma(f) = \{(\bar{v}, f(\bar{v})) \mid \bar{v} \in \mathbb{R}^k\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ is encoded as a finite automaton. Jürgensen, Staiger and Yamasaki showed that a continuously differentiable function of one variable with non-constant derivative is not encodable as a finite automaton [14]. Therefore, if f is encoded as a finite automaton, it can only be linear.

The aim of this paper is twofold. The first goal to show that one can substantially extend a class of functions that can be encoded as finite automata by using an alternative representation. Our idea is inspired by the approach for encoding black–white images as finite automata proposed by Culik and Valenta [8]. In their approach each finite string accepted by a finite automaton corresponds to a certain black square. A black–white image is composed of the black squares on the white background each of which corresponds to a string accepted by a finite automaton. Informally speaking, a building block of a figure consisting of black points is not a point like in the original approach, but a square.

What sort of building blocks could we use for composing a function? An answer comes from numerical computational geometry when a function $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is written as a linear combination $f = \sum_{\xi \in \Xi} \lambda_\xi B_\xi$, where B_ξ are tensor product B-splines, $\lambda_\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and Ξ is a countable set. A tensor product B-spline is a product of univariate B-splines $N[x_0, \dots, x_{m+1}](x)$ which are concrete piecewise polynomials of degree m having support in the interval $[x_0, x_{m+1}]$, see Subsection 2.1. It is then natural to consider pairs (λ_ξ, B_ξ) as building blocks of a function f . So instead of representing every single point of $\Gamma(f)$ as a convolution of strings, we can represent each pair (λ_ξ, B_ξ) as a convolution of strings presenting λ_ξ and the knots defining B_ξ . If the collection of all such convolutions is accepted by a finite automaton we say that f is encoded as a finite automaton.

This enables to encode not only linear continuously differentiable functions as finite automata but a rich family of smooth functions (for any finite degree of smoothness) which can arbitrarily close approximate any continuous function

¹ In their original paper Jürgensen, Staiger and Yamasaki [14] define figures encoded as a finite automaton only for subsets of the unit cube $[0, 1]^n$. However their definition can be straightforwardly applied to subsets in \mathbb{R}^n . Also, in their definition they use a more general notion – regular ω -languages which extend ω -languages recognized by finite automata by applying operations of union, intersection, set-theoretical difference, projections and their inverse mappings.

on a compact domain. To achieve such approximation numerical computational geometry suggests a well-established framework of hierarchical tensor product B-splines originally developed by Forsey and Bartels in the 1980s [10] and Kraft in the 1990s [16]. In this framework knots defining tensor product B-splines are selected in a systematic way on a dyadic mesh generated by a finite sequence of nested domains, see Subsection 2.1.

The second goal of this paper is to show that the proposed encoding of functions as finite automata fits well the framework of hierarchical tensor product B-splines. We will describe in terms of finite automata the solutions to basic computational problems which appear in this framework. Note that if a collection of tensor product B-splines Ξ defining a function f is a finite, then f can be always encoded as a finite automaton; this is because a finite language is regular. The proposed encoding enables to handle the case when a set Ξ is infinite using a finite amount of memory. The set Ξ is always infinite if the support of f is unbounded. In light of the classical theorem shown by Rabin and Scott that a language accepted by a read-only Turing machine is regular [21], we suggest that the proposed encoding is a natural way to handle functions with unbounded support using only a finite amount of memory.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we provide the necessary background needed to explain our construction for encoding of functions as finite automata, which we then introduce in Sections 3 and 4.

2 Preliminaries

This section recalls necessary definitions, notations and facts from three different areas: spline theory, automata theory and the field of finite automata presentable structures which we cover in Subsections 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, respectively.

2.1 Splines over Hierarchical Meshes

In this subsection we will recall the notion of a tensor product B-spline, a hierarchical mesh and a spline space over a hierarchical mesh. All key facts from the framework of hierarchical tensor product B-splines that we need in this paper are also covered in this subsection.

Let ℓ be a nonnegative integer. We denote by T^ℓ a bi-infinite knot vector: $T^\ell = (\dots, t_{i-1}^\ell, t_i^\ell, t_{i+1}^\ell, \dots)$, where $t_i^\ell = \frac{i}{2^\ell}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. This bi-infinite knot vector T^ℓ is uniform with the distances between consecutive knots equal to $\frac{1}{2^\ell}$. Let d be a positive integer. We denote by \mathcal{G}_d^ℓ a d -dimensional grid consisting of the hyperplanes $H_{j,i}^\ell = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \mid x_j = t_i^\ell\}$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a given integer $m \geq 0$, a grid \mathcal{G}_d^ℓ defines the set of tensor product B-splines $B_{d,m}^\ell$ each of which is the product:

$$P_{\vec{i},m}^\ell(\vec{x}) = N_{i_1,m}^\ell(x_1) \dots N_{i_d,m}^\ell(x_d), \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $N_{i,m}^\ell(t)$ is the i th B-spline basis function of degree m associated to the knot vector T^ℓ which is recursively

defined by Cox-de Boor's formula for $j = 0, \dots, m$:

$$N_{i,0}^\ell(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t_i^\ell \leq t < t_{i+1}^\ell, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}, \quad (2)$$

$$N_{i,j}^\ell(t) = \frac{t - t_i^\ell}{t_{i+j}^\ell - t_i^\ell} N_{i,j-1}^\ell(t) + \frac{t_{i+j+1}^\ell - t}{t_{i+j+1}^\ell - t_{i+1}^\ell} N_{i+1,j-1}^\ell(t). \quad (3)$$

Each tensor product B-spline $P_{i,m}^\ell$ has local support:

$$\text{supp } P_{i,m}^\ell = \{\bar{x} \mid P_{i,m}^\ell(\bar{x}) \neq 0\} = (t_{i_1}^\ell, t_{i_1+m+1}^\ell) \times \dots \times (t_{i_d}^\ell, t_{i_d+m+1}^\ell)$$

on which it takes only positive values. Tensor product B-splines from $B_{d,m}^\ell$ are locally linear independent: for every open bounded set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ the tensor product B-splines from $B_{d,m}^\ell$ having nonempty intersections of its support with U are linearly independent on U . For more detailed introduction to tensor product B-splines the reader is referred to [20].

We denote by \mathcal{C}_d^ℓ the collection of all closed d -dimensional cubes $\prod_{j=1}^d [t_{i_j}^\ell, t_{i_j+1}^\ell]$.

Following [18] we call each of the cubes from \mathcal{C}_d^ℓ a cell of the grid \mathcal{G}_d^ℓ (or, simply, a cell). Let us consider a nested sequence of domains $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^d \supseteq \Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1} \supseteq \Omega^N = \emptyset$, where $\Omega^{N-1} \neq \emptyset$.

Assumption A. We assume that each Ω^ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, N-1$ is composed of cells from $\mathcal{C}_d^{\ell-1}$. That is, for each $\ell = 1, \dots, N-1$ there is a subset $M \subseteq \mathcal{C}_d^{\ell-1}$ for which $\Omega^\ell = \bigcup_{c \in M} c$.

A hierarchy of domains $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^d \supseteq \Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1} \supseteq \Omega^N = \emptyset$ satisfying Assumption A creates a subdivision of \mathbb{R}^d into the collection of cells $R^\ell \subseteq \mathcal{C}_d^\ell$ such that $\Omega^\ell \setminus \mathring{\Omega}^{\ell+1} = \bigcup_{c \in R^\ell} c$ for $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$, where $\mathring{\Omega}^{\ell+1}$ is the interior of

$\Omega^{\ell+1}$. We denote the subdivision of \mathbb{R}^d into the cells from R^ℓ , $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$ by \mathcal{T} . If $d = 2$, then \mathcal{T} is called a T-mesh. We will simply call \mathcal{T} a hierarchical mesh. See Fig. 1 for an example of a 2-dimensional hierarchical mesh generated by a nested sequence of domains $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^2 \supseteq \Omega_1 \supseteq \Omega_2 \supseteq \Omega_3 = \emptyset$.

Let us be given a hierarchical mesh \mathcal{T} . We denote by \mathcal{T}_d the collection of all d -dimensional cells of \mathcal{T} : $\mathcal{T}_d = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{N-1} R^\ell$.

Definition 1. We denote by $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ the space of functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the class C^{m-1} which are polynomials of multi-degree (m, \dots, m) in every cell from \mathcal{T}_d . That is, for every $c \in \mathcal{T}_d$, $f|_c = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_d=0}^m a_{i_1 \dots i_d} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_d^{i_d}$. A function from $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ is called a spline over a hierarchical mesh \mathcal{T} .

For a given $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$ let $\mathcal{M}^\ell = \Omega^0 \setminus \mathring{\Omega}^{\ell+1} = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathring{\Omega}^{\ell+1}$. Then we have a nested sequence of closed domains:

$$\emptyset = \mathcal{M}^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^0 \subseteq \mathcal{M}^1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{M}^{N-2} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^{N-1} = \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (4)$$




Fig. 1. The figure on the left shows a portion of infinite domains Ω_1 (bounded by blue line segments) and Ω_2 (bounded by red line segments) satisfying Assumption A. The grid lines of \mathcal{G}_2^0 , \mathcal{G}_2^1 and \mathcal{G}_2^2 are depicted as solid, dashed and dotted lines, respectively. The figure on the right shows the corresponding portion of a hierarchical mesh generated by a nested sequence of domains $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^2 \supseteq \Omega_1 \supseteq \Omega_2 \supseteq \Omega_3 = \emptyset$.

By Assumption A, each domain \mathcal{M}_ℓ is composed of the cells from \mathcal{C}_d^ℓ for $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$.

Now we are ready to formulate Theorem 2 shown by Mokriš, Jüttler and Giannelli [18] which states that if the domains (4) satisfy the following Assumption B, then each spline $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ can be uniquely written as the sum $f = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda_\delta \delta$, where \mathcal{K} given by the formula (5) is the collection of hierarchical tensor product B-splines obtained by Kraft's selection mechanism. See Remark 5 for an informal description of Kraft's selection mechanism.

Assumption B. For a nested sequence of domains: $\emptyset = \mathcal{M}^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^0 \subseteq \mathcal{M}^1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{M}^{N-2} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^{N-1} = \mathbb{R}^d$ we assume that for each $\ell = 0, \dots, N-2$ the domain \mathcal{M}^ℓ satisfies the condition: for each $\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell$, $\ell = 0, \dots, N-2$, for which $\text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell \neq \emptyset$, the intersection $\overline{\text{supp } \beta} \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell$ is connected, where $\overline{\text{supp } \beta}$ is the closure of $\text{supp } \beta$.

We say that a hierarchical mesh \mathcal{T} satisfies Assumption B if it is generated by a nested sequence of domains $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^d \supseteq \Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1} \supseteq \Omega^N = \emptyset$ for which the domains $\mathcal{M}^\ell = \Omega^0 \setminus \dot{\Omega}^{\ell+1}$, $\ell = 0, \dots, N-2$ satisfy Assumption B. For $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$, let:

$$\mathcal{K}^\ell = \{\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell \mid \text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^{\ell-1} = \emptyset \wedge \text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell \neq \emptyset\} \text{ and } \mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{K}^\ell. \quad (5)$$

Each formal sum $\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda_\delta \delta$ defines a function from $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$. Moreover, each spline $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ can be uniquely represented as a formal sum $\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda_\delta \delta$ as stated below.

Theorem 2 ([18]). Assume that a hierarchical mesh \mathcal{T} satisfies Assumption B. Then for every $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$, $f = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda_\delta \delta$ for some uniquely defined coefficients λ_δ .

Remark 3. In Definition 1 one can use a weaker assumption for a function f – the derivatives $\frac{\partial^{m-1} f}{\partial x_i^{m-1}}$ exist and continuous everywhere in \mathbb{R}^d for $i = 1, \dots, d$. It

can be shown that for this weaker assumption the space of functions $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ is the same. Furthermore, for a stronger assumption – all derivatives $\frac{\partial^{k_1+\dots+k_d}}{\partial x_1^{k_1}\dots\partial x_d^{k_d}}f$ exist and continuous everywhere in \mathbb{R}^d for $k_i = 0, \dots, m-1$ and $i = 1, \dots, d$, the space $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ is the same. The reader can find a proof of this fact in the earlier version of this manuscript (see Proposition 1 in [arXiv:2104.02876v1](#)). We skip this proof here for brevity.

Remark 4. In [18] it is assumed that all domains $\Omega^0, \dots, \Omega^{N-1}$ are bounded which, in particular, means that $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ is a finite dimensional vector space. It can be verified that the proof of Theorem 2 remains valid without assumption that $\Omega^0, \dots, \Omega^{N-1}$ are bounded. The reader can find this verification in the earlier version of this manuscript (see Section 2 in [arXiv:2104.02876v1](#)). We skip this verification here for brevity.

Remark 5. The equation (5) defines a procedure usually known as Kraft’s selection mechanism for generating basis functions. Informally, it can be described as follows. At the first iteration this mechanism takes all tensor product B-splines from $B_{d,m}^0$ (they are all tensor product B-splines with respect to the grid \mathcal{G}_d^0 with the support overlapping with the domain $\Omega_0 = \mathbb{R}^d$). At the second iteration it removes all tensor product B-splines with the support in the domain Ω_1 obtained at the previous iteration and add tensor product B-splines from $B_{d,m}^1$ with the support in the domain Ω_1 . At the third iteration it removes all tensor product B-splines with the support in the domain Ω_2 obtained at the previous iteration and add tensor product B-splines from $B_{d,m}^2$ with the support in the domain Ω_2 and etc. The process stops after the N th iteration.

2.2 Multitape Synchronous Finite Automata

In this subsection we will recall the notion of a finite automaton, a regular language, a multitape synchronous finite automaton and a FA-recognizable² relation. For an introduction to automata theory and formal languages we refer the reader to [13].

Let Σ be a finite alphabet. We say that w is a string over the alphabet Σ if w is a finite sequence of symbols $\sigma_1\sigma_2\dots\sigma_n$, where $\sigma_i \in \Sigma$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and n is a nonnegative integer. We denote by $|w|$ the length of the string w : $|w| = n$. If $n = 0$, w is the empty string which we denote by ε . A collection of all strings over the alphabet Σ is denoted by Σ^* .

A (nondeterministic) finite automaton \mathcal{M} over the alphabet Σ consists of a finite set of states S , a set of initial states $I \subseteq S$, a transition functions $T : S \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ and a set of accepting states $F \subseteq S$. The automaton \mathcal{M} accepts a string $w = \sigma_1\dots\sigma_n$ if there exists a sequence of states $s_1, \dots, s_{n+1} \in S$ for which $s_1 \in I$, $s_{i+1} \in T(s_i, \sigma_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $s_{n+1} \in F$. We say that a language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is recognized by \mathcal{M} if L consists of all strings accepted by \mathcal{M} . A language recognized by a finite automaton is called regular. The automaton \mathcal{M}

² FA is a short for finite automaton.

is called a deterministic finite automaton if I has exactly one element and for each state $s \in S$ and a symbol $\sigma \in \Sigma$ the set $T(s, \sigma)$ has exactly one element. Both deterministic and nondeterministic finite automata have the same computational power – they recognize the class of regular languages.

We denote by $\Sigma_\diamond = \Sigma \cup \{\diamond\}$ the alphabet $\Sigma_\diamond = \Sigma \cup \{\diamond\}$; it is assumed that the padding symbol \diamond is not in the alphabet Σ . We denote by Σ_\diamond^k the Cartesian product of k copies of Σ_\diamond . Let $w_1, \dots, w_k \in \Sigma^*$ be some strings over the alphabet Σ . The convolution $w = w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_k$ of the strings w_1, \dots, w_k is the string w over the alphabet $\Sigma_\diamond^k \setminus \{(\diamond, \dots, \diamond)\}$ such that for the i th symbol $(\sigma_i^1, \dots, \sigma_i^k)$ of w the symbol σ_i^j is the i th symbol of w_j if $i \leq |w_j|$ and \diamond , otherwise, for $i = 1, \dots, |w|$ and $j = 1, \dots, k$, where $|w| = \max\{|w_j| \mid j = 1, \dots, k\}$.

For example, the convolution of three strings $w_1 = 0001101$, $w_2 = 10100101110$ and $w_3 = 100101$ is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \diamond & \diamond & \diamond & \\ w_1 \otimes w_2 \otimes w_3 = & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0. \\ & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \diamond & \diamond & \diamond & \diamond & \end{array}$$

For a given relation $R \subseteq \Sigma^{*k}$, we denote by $\otimes R$ the relation:

$$\otimes R = \{w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_k \mid (w_1, \dots, w_k) \in R\} \subseteq (\Sigma_\diamond^k \setminus \{(\diamond, \dots, \diamond)\})^*.$$

We say that a relation R is FA-recognizable if $\otimes R$ is a regular language over the alphabet $\Sigma_\diamond^k \setminus \{(\diamond, \dots, \diamond)\}$. One can think of a finite automaton recognizing $\otimes R$ as a read-only k -tape Turing machine with the input w_i written on the i th tape for each $i = 1, \dots, k$ and k heads moving synchronously from the left to the right until the whole input is read. After that the input is either accepted or rejected. Such an automaton is called a k -tape synchronous finite automaton.

Let $f : D \rightarrow \Sigma^{*m}$ be a function from $D \subseteq \Sigma^{*n}$ to Σ^{*m} for any integers $n, m \geq 1$. We denote by $\text{Graph}(f)$ the graph of the function f : $\text{Graph}(f) = \{(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in \Sigma^{*n} \times \Sigma^{*m} \mid f(\bar{u}) = \bar{v}\} \subseteq \Sigma^{*(n+m)}$. We say that f is FA-recognizable, if $\text{Graph}(f)$ is FA-recognizable. Clearly, if f is FA-recognizable, $D \subseteq \Sigma^{*n}$ must be FA-recognizable. Assuming that $n = m = 1$, for a FA-recognizable function $f : D \rightarrow \Sigma^*$, where $D \subseteq \Sigma^*$, there is a linear-time algorithm which for a given input \bar{u} returns the output $\bar{v} = f(\bar{u})$, see the proof of [9, Theorem 2.3.10]. Moreover, there is a characterization of FA-recognizable functions $f : D \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ as functions computed by a deterministic position-faithful one-tape Turing machine in linear time, see below.

A position-faithful one-tape Turing machine is a Turing machine which uses a semi-infinite tape with the left-most position containing the special symbol \boxplus which only occurs at this position and cannot be modified. The initial configuration of the tape is $\boxplus u \boxminus^\infty$, where \boxminus is a special blank symbol, and $u \in \Sigma^*$ for some alphabet Σ with $\Sigma \cap \{\boxplus, \boxminus\} = \emptyset$. During the computation the Turing machine operates as usual, reading and writing cells to the right of the \boxplus symbol.

A function $f : D \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ from a regular domain $D \subseteq \Sigma^*$ to Σ^* is said to be computed by a position-faithful one-tape Turing machine, if when started

with tape content being $\boxplus u \boxminus^\infty$, where $u \in D$, the head initially being at \boxplus , the Turing machine eventually reaches an accepting state (and halts), with the tape content starting with $\boxplus f(u) \boxminus$. There is no restriction on the output beyond the first appearance of \boxminus .

Case, Jain, Seah and Stephan showed that a function $f : D \rightarrow \Sigma^*$, $D \subseteq \Sigma^*$, is FA-recognizable if and only if it is computed by a deterministic position-faithful one-tape Turing machine in linear time [7]. This characterization of FA-recognizable functions $f : D \rightarrow \Sigma^*$, $D \subseteq \Sigma^*$, clearly, holds valid for FA-recognizable functions $f : D \rightarrow \Sigma^{*m}$, $D \subseteq \Sigma^{*n}$. Namely, a function $f : D \rightarrow \Sigma^{*m}$, for $D \subseteq \Sigma^{*n}$, is FA-recognizable if and only if it is computed by a deterministic position-faithful one-tape Turing machine in linear time, where the input is a string from $\boxtimes D$ and the output is a string from $\boxtimes \Sigma^{*m}$. Furthermore, their result clearly holds valid for multivalued FA-recognizable functions f if it is assumed that the number of values that f can take for each argument in D is bounded from above by some fixed constant. That is, a multivalued function $f : D \rightarrow \Sigma^{*m}$, for $D \subseteq \Sigma^{*n}$, satisfying this assumption, is FA-recognizable if and only if for a given input $u \in \boxtimes D$ all values $f(u)$ are computed by a deterministic position-faithful one-tape Turing machine in linear time.

2.3 FA-Presented Structures

In this subsection we introduce the notion of a FA-presented structure and recall Theorem 6 from the field of FA-presentable structures. This theorem is an important ingredient to be used in the subsequent sections 3 and 4. The pioneering work linking decidability of the first order theory and finite automata is due to Hodgson [12]. But the systematic study of FA-presentable structures was initiated independently by Khoussainov and Nerode [15] and Blumensath and Grädel [2].

We first recall the notion of a FA-presented structure as it is defined in [15]. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A; R_1^{m_1}, \dots, R_s^{m_s}, f_1^{n_1}, \dots, f_r^{n_r}, c_1, \dots, c_t)$ be a structure, where $A \subseteq \Sigma^*$ for some alphabet Σ^* , $R_i^{m_i} \subseteq A^{m_i}$ are m_i -ary relations for $i = 1, \dots, s$, $f_j^{n_j} : A^{n_j} \rightarrow A$ are n_j -ary functions for $j = 1, \dots, r$, and c_k are constants for $k = 1, \dots, t$. The structure \mathcal{A} is said to be FA-presented if A is regular, the relations $R_i^{m_i}$ and the functions $f_j^{n_j}$ are FA-recognizable for all $i = 1, \dots, s$ and $j = 1, \dots, r$. A structure is said to be FA-presentable if it is isomorphic to a FA-presented structure.

FA-presented structures enjoy the following fundamental properties. There exists an effective procedure that for a given first order definition of a relation R of a FA-presented structure \mathcal{A} yields an algorithm deciding R . The first order theory of a FA-presented structure \mathcal{A} is decidable. The proof of these two properties follows from the standard facts in automata theory which can be summarized as follows.

Theorem 6. (see [15, Theorem 4.4]) (1) Let R_1, R_2 and R be FA-recognizable relations. Then the relations corresponding to the expressions $(R_1 \vee R_2)$, $(R_1 \wedge R_2)$, $(R_1 \rightarrow R_2)$, $(\neg R_1)$, $\exists v R$ and $\forall v R$ are also FA-recognizable, where for a k -ary

relation $R(v_1, \dots, v_k)$, for $k > 1$, and a variable v_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$:

$$\exists v_i R = \{(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_k) \mid (v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_k) \in R\},$$

$$\forall v_i R = \{(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_k) \mid \forall v_i \in A ((v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_k) \in R)\}.$$

(2) *The emptiness problem for finite automaton is decidable. That is, for a unary FA-recognizable relation $R(v)$ there is an algorithm which for a given deterministic finite automaton accepting R decides whether $\exists v R$ is true or false. Similarly, there is an algorithm deciding whether $\forall v R$ is true or false.*

(3) *There exists a procedure which for deterministic multi-tape synchronous finite automata recognizing R_1, R_2 and a k -ary relation $R(v_1, \dots, v_k)$, for $k > 1$, constructs deterministic multi-tape synchronous finite automata for recognizing the relations corresponding to the expressions $(R_1 \vee R_2)$, $(R_1 \wedge R_2)$, $(R_1 \rightarrow R_2)$, $(\neg R_1)$, $\exists v_i R$ and $\forall v_i R$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.*

A brief sketch of the proof of Theorem 6 is as follows. Part (1) follows from part (3). Part (2) for $\exists v R$ is the standard fact from automata theory [13, Theorem 3.7]. For $\forall v R$ it follows from the equivalency of \forall and the composition $\neg \circ \exists \circ \neg$ (see the same argument used in the proof of [9, Theorem 1.4.6]). As for part (3), it is enough to show it only for the expressions $(R_1 \wedge R_2)$, $(\neg R_1)$ and $\exists v R$. For the expression $(R_1 \wedge R_2)$ it follows from the product construction for a deterministic finite automaton accepting the intersection of two regular languages. For $(\neg R_1)$ it follows from a construction of a deterministic finite automaton accepting the complement of a given regular language by swapping accepting and non-accepting states. For $\exists v_i R$ it follows from the standard Rabin–Scott powerset construction for converting a nondeterministic finite automaton into deterministic finite automaton [13, Theorem 2.1].

3 Regular Hierarchical Meshes

In this section first we introduce the encoding of hierarchical meshes as finite automata. This is an integral part of our construction for the encoding of splines over hierarchical meshes as finite automata to be introduced in Section 4. Then we describe procedures for verification of nestedness for the domains generating a hierarchical mesh, for verification of geometric constraints given by Assumption B and for selecting tensor product B-splines according to Kraft’s selection mechanism, in Subsections 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, respectively.

Let b be a positive integer divisible by 2. We denote by $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ the abelian group of all rational numbers of the form $\frac{s}{b^\ell}$ for $s, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\ell \geq 0$. Each positive $z \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ can be uniquely represented as the sum of its integral and fractional parts:

$$z = [z]_i + [z]_f = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i b^{i-1} + \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i b^{-i}, \quad (6)$$

where $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$ for which either $\alpha_k \neq 0$ or $\beta_k \neq 0$.

Let Σ_b be the alphabet consisting of the symbols $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1, \dots, b-1\}$. Now, for a given positive $z \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ we represent it as a string:

$$\begin{array}{c} 0 \ \alpha_1 \ \alpha_2 \ \dots \ \alpha_k \\ 0 \ \beta_1 \ \beta_2 \ \dots \ \beta_k \end{array} \quad (7)$$

over the alphabet Σ_b . The first symbol $\frac{0}{0}$ indicates that z is positive. Let $z \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ be negative and $-z = \sum_{i=1}^{k'} \alpha'_i b^{i-1} + \sum_i \beta'_i b^i$ be the decomposition of the form (6) for $-z > 0$. We represent z as a string:

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ \alpha'_1 \ \alpha'_2 \ \dots \ \alpha'_{k'} \\ 1 \ \beta'_1 \ \beta'_2 \ \dots \ \beta'_{k'} \end{array} \quad (8)$$

over the alphabet Σ_b . The first symbol $\frac{1}{1}$ indicates that z is negative.

For $z \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ we denote by $(z)_b \in \Sigma_b^*$ the string (7) if $z > 0$, the string (8) if $z < 0$ and the string $\frac{0}{0}$, if $z = 0$. For example, if $z = -\frac{27}{8}$, then $(z)_2 = \frac{1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0}{1 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1}$.

The language $\mathcal{L}_b = \{(z)_b \mid z \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]\}$ is regular. We denote by $\psi_b : \mathcal{L}_b \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ the bijection which maps a string $(z)_b \in \mathcal{L}_b$ to $z \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$. For both cases, \mathcal{L}_b and ψ_b , the subscript indicates the base b . For $b = 2$, the representation ψ_2 , up to minor modification, coincides with the representation of $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ described in [19, § 2]. Let us denote by Add the graph of the addition operation in $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ with respect to ψ_b . That is, $Add = \{(u, v, w) \in \mathcal{L}_b \times \mathcal{L}_b \times \mathcal{L}_b \mid \psi_b(u) + \psi_b(v) = \psi_b(w)\}$. The relation Add is FA-recognizable [19, § 2]. We denote by add the addition operation in \mathcal{L}_b . That is, $add : \mathcal{L}_b \times \mathcal{L}_b \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_b$ is a two-place function for which $add(u, v) = w$ if $\psi_b(u) + \psi_b(v) = \psi_b(w)$.

For a given d -tuple $\bar{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$ let us denote by $(\bar{z})_b$ the convolution $(z_1)_b \otimes \dots \otimes (z_d)_b$ of strings $(z_1)_b, \dots, (z_d)_b \in \mathcal{L}_b$. Clearly, the language $\mathcal{L}_b^d = \{w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_d \mid w_i \in \mathcal{L}_b, i = 1, \dots, d\}$ is regular. We denote by $\psi_b^d : \mathcal{L}_b^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$ the bijection which maps a string $(\bar{z})_b \in \mathcal{L}_b^d$ to $\bar{z} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$. For both cases, \mathcal{L}_b^d and ψ_b^d , the superscript indicates the dimension d .

Let us denote by Add_d the graph of the addition operation in $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$ with respect to ψ_b^d . Namely, $Add_d = \{(u, v, w) \in \mathcal{L}_b^d \times \mathcal{L}_b^d \times \mathcal{L}_b^d \mid \psi_b^d(u) + \psi_b^d(v) = \psi_b^d(w)\}$. The relation Add_d is FA-recognizable. We denote by add_d the addition operation in \mathcal{L}_b^d . That is, $add_d : \mathcal{L}_b^d \times \mathcal{L}_b^d \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_b^d$ is a two-place function for which $add_d(u, v) = w$ if $\psi_b^d(u) + \psi_b^d(v) = \psi_b^d(w)$. Clearly, if $d = 1$, then $\mathcal{L}_b^1 = \mathcal{L}_b$, $\psi_b^1 = \psi_b$, $Add_1 = Add$ and $add_1 = add$.

Let \mathcal{T} be a d -dimensional hierarchical mesh defined by a nested sequence of domains: $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^d \supseteq \Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1} \supseteq \Omega^N = \emptyset$, where $\Omega^{N-1} \neq \emptyset$ and each $\Omega^\ell, \ell = 1, \dots, N-1$ is composed of cells from $\mathcal{C}_d^{\ell-1}$. For each d -dimensional cube $c = \prod_{j=1}^d [t_{i_j}^\ell, t_{i_j+1}^\ell]$ we associate it with its barycentre $\bar{z}_c = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$, where $z_j = \frac{1}{2}(t_{i_j}^\ell + t_{i_j+1}^\ell)$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$ (see Fig. 2).




Fig. 2. The figure shows a 2-dimensional cell and its barycentre (a black dot in the centre of the cell).

For each $\ell = 1, \dots, N - 1$ we denote by $L_\ell \subset \mathcal{L}_b^d$ the language:

$$L_\ell = \{(\bar{z}_c)_b \mid c \in \mathcal{C}_d^{\ell-1} \wedge c \subseteq \Omega^\ell\}.$$

Definition 7. We say that a hierarchical mesh \mathcal{T} is regular if the language L_ℓ is regular for each $\ell = 1, \dots, N - 1$.

The languages L_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, N - 1$ are pairwise disjoint: $L_i \cap L_j = \emptyset$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, N - 1$ and $i \neq j$. Let $L = L_1 \cup \dots \cup L_{N-1}$. The following proposition shows that the hierarchical mesh \mathcal{T} is regular if and only if the language L is regular.

Proposition 8. The language L is regular if and only if each language L_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, N - 1$ is regular.

Proof. If each language L_ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, N - 1$ is regular, then L is regular as the union of regular languages. Now assume that L is regular. For the sake of simplicity, let us assume that $b = 2$. If $b > 2$, the proof is analogous up to minor modification. For a given $\ell = 1, \dots, N - 1$, a string w is in the language L_ℓ if and only if $w \in L$ and w is the convolution of d strings of the form $u_i \otimes v_i \in \Sigma$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, for which v_i is the concatenation of three strings $v_i = r_i 1 s_i$: a string $r_i \in \{0, 1\}^*$ consisting of $\ell - 1$ symbols, a string consisting of a single symbol 1 and a string $s_i \in \{0\}^*$. The latter condition can be easily verified by a finite automaton for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. Therefore, L_ℓ is regular.

We note that if Ω_1 (the first domain defining \mathcal{T}) is bounded, then \mathcal{T} is regular. If Ω_1 is not bounded, then, informally speaking, \mathcal{T} is regular if, it looks like a regular pattern, probably outside of some bounded region. See Figure 3 for illustration of regular hierarchical meshes when Ω_1 is not bounded.

Remark 9. Note that for encoding of meshes one can use alternative representations of elements from $(\mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d; +)$ as finite strings for which the addition is FA-recognizable, see [1, 19]. Furthermore, one can use other countable additive subgroups of $(\mathbb{R}^d; +)$ that admit representations of its elements as finite strings for which the addition is FA-recognizable, see [11].




Fig. 3. The figures show portions of regular 3-level hierarchical meshes.

3.1 Verification of Nestedness

In this subsection we describe a procedure for verification of nestedness for the domains $\Omega^1, \dots, \Omega^{N-1}$ generating a hierarchical mesh. Recall that each domain Ω^i , $i = 1, \dots, N-1$ is composed of the cells from \mathcal{C}_d^{i-1} and defined by a regular languages L_i . The input is given as deterministic finite automata M_1, \dots, M_{N-1} recognizing the languages L_1, \dots, L_{N-1} , respectively. The procedure decides whether or not $\Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1}$. Note that Assumption A is satisfied by construction, so its verification is not required.

In order to check nestedness one has to verify that for each $\ell = 2, \dots, N-1$: $\Omega^\ell \subseteq \Omega^{\ell-1}$. Let $c \in \mathcal{C}_d^{\ell-1}$ be a cell for which $c \subseteq \Omega^\ell$ for some ℓ , $2 \leq \ell \leq N-1$. Then $c \subseteq \Omega^{\ell-1}$ if and only if there exists a cell $c' \in \mathcal{C}_d^{\ell-2}$ for which $c \subseteq c'$ and $c' \subseteq \Omega^{\ell-1}$. The inclusion $c \subseteq c'$ holds if and only if there is a vector $\bar{s} = (\pm \frac{1}{2^\ell}, \dots, \pm \frac{1}{2^\ell}) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$ for which $\bar{z}_c + \bar{s} = \bar{z}_{c'}$, that is, $((\bar{z}_c)_b, (\bar{s})_b, (\bar{z}_{c'})_b) \in \text{Add}_d$. There are exactly 2^d vectors of the form $(\pm \frac{1}{2^\ell}, \dots, \pm \frac{1}{2^\ell})$. We denote these vectors by $\bar{s}_1^\ell, \dots, \bar{s}_k^\ell$, where $k = 2^d$. Let $s_i^\ell = (\bar{s}_i^\ell)_b \in \mathcal{L}_b^d$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

We have that $c \subseteq c'$ if and only if for a first order formula:

$$\Phi_\ell = (\text{add}_d(u, s_1^\ell) \in L_{\ell-1}) \vee \dots \vee (\text{add}_d(u, s_k^\ell) \in L_{\ell-1}),$$

the evaluation of Φ_ℓ is true for $u = (\bar{z}_c)_b$ and the constants $s_1^\ell, \dots, s_k^\ell$. Therefore, $\Omega^\ell \subseteq \Omega^{\ell-1}$ if and only if the following first order sentence:

$$\Upsilon_\ell = \forall u ((u \in L_\ell) \rightarrow \Phi_\ell)$$

is true for the structure $(\mathcal{L}_b^d; \text{add}_d, L_\ell, L_{\ell-1}, s_1^\ell, \dots, s_k^\ell)$. Thus, we proved the following theorem.

Theorem 10. *The sequence of domains $\Omega^1, \dots, \Omega^{N-1}$ is nested if and only if the first order sentence $\Upsilon_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \Upsilon_{N-1}$ is true for the structure:*

$$(\mathcal{L}_b^d; \text{add}_d, L_1, \dots, L_{N-1}, s_1^2, \dots, s_k^{N-1}).$$

Now, using Theorem 10 and Theorem 6, one can describe a procedure for verification of nestedness and its complexity in terms of the input given as deterministic finite automata M_1, \dots, M_{N-1} . Let m_1, \dots, m_{N-1} be the number of states of the automata M_1, \dots, M_{N-1} , respectively. For given $1 \leq \ell \leq N-1$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$, using carry which is part of the standard addition algorithm in $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]$, from the automaton $M_{\ell-1}$ one can construct a deterministic finite automaton $M_{\ell-1,i}$ recognizing the unary relation $\text{add}_d(u, s_i^\ell) \in L_{\ell-1}$. It can be seen that the number of states of $M_{\ell-1,i}$ is $O(m_{\ell-1})$. Therefore, using the product construction, one can construct a deterministic finite automaton recognizing the unary relation Φ_ℓ for which the number of states is $O(m_{\ell-1}^k)$. Therefore, one can construct a deterministic finite automaton recognizing the unary relation $(u \in L_\ell) \rightarrow \Phi_\ell$ for which the number of states is $O(m_\ell \cdot m_{\ell-1}^k)$. Since $\forall = \neg \circ \exists \circ \neg$ and the emptiness problem for a deterministic finite automaton with n states can be solved in $O(n^2)$ time, there exists an algorithm deciding whether or not \mathcal{R}_ℓ is true in $O(m_\ell^2 \cdot m_{\ell-1}^{2k})$ time. Thus, there is a polynomial-time algorithm that for given deterministic finite automata M_1, \dots, M_{N-1} decides whether or not $\Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1}$.

3.2 Verification of Assumption B

Recall that Assumption B ensures that for every $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$, $f = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda_\delta \delta$ for some uniquely defined coefficients λ_δ , where \mathcal{K} is the collection of tensor product B-splines generated by Kraft's selection mechanism (5). In this subsection we construct a first order sentence which is true for a certain FA-presented structure if and only if Assumption B holds. Similarly to Subsection 3.1, a concrete procedure for verification of Assumption B in terms of deterministic finite automata M_1, \dots, M_{N-1} given as an input can be directly obtained from this first order sentence and Theorem 6.

In order to verify Assumption B one has to verify that for each $\ell = 0, \dots, N-2$ the domain $\mathcal{M}^\ell = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \dot{\Omega}^{\ell+1}$ satisfies the following: for each $\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell$, for which $\text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell \neq \emptyset$, the intersection $\overline{\text{supp } \beta} \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell$ is connected.

Each $\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell$ we associate with one of the $(m+1)^d$ cells from \mathcal{C}_d^ℓ composing $\overline{\text{supp } \beta}$, depending on the parity of $m+1$: if $m+1$ is odd then we associate β with the central cell of $\overline{\text{supp } \beta}$, if $m+1$ is even then we associate β with the cell which has the central vertex of $\overline{\text{supp } \beta}$ as its lower left corner³; for explanation see Fig. 4. For a given $\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell$ we denote by $c_\beta \in \mathcal{C}_d^\ell$ the associated cell.

For a given $\vec{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and an integer $\ell \geq 0$ let \vec{t}_i^ℓ be the vector $\vec{t}_i^\ell = (\frac{i_1}{2^\ell}, \dots, \frac{i_d}{2^\ell}) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$. Let $t_i^\ell = (t_i^\ell)_b \in \mathcal{L}_b^d$. For a given $m \geq 0$ we denote by I_m the set $I_m = \{(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid -\frac{m}{2} \leq i_k \leq \frac{m}{2}, k = 1, \dots, d\}$ if $m+1$ is odd and $I_m = \{(i_1, \dots, i_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid -\frac{m+1}{2} \leq i_k \leq \frac{m-1}{2}, k = 1, \dots, d\}$ if $m+1$ is even.

³ We use the term lower left corner in the context of the case $d = 2$. If $d \neq 2$, we use the term lower left corner of a d -dimensional cell $[0, 1]^d$ for the vertex $(0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$.




Fig. 4. The figure on the left shows the support of $\beta \in B_{2,4}^\ell$ with the associated cell c_β shaded in gray. The figure on the right shows the support of $\beta \in B_{2,3}^\ell$ with the associated cell c_β shaded in gray; this cell has the central vertex of $\text{supp } \beta$ (shown as a black dot) as its lower left corner.

We denote by $\Phi_{\ell,m,\bar{i}}$ the following first order formula:

$$\Phi_{\ell,m,\bar{i}} = (\text{add}_d(u, t_i^\ell) \in L_{\ell+1}).$$

The condition that for a given $\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell$ the intersection $\text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell \neq \emptyset$ holds if and only if the evaluation of the following formula:

$$\Psi_\ell = \bigvee_{\bar{i} \in I_m} \neg \Phi_{\ell,m,\bar{i}} \quad (9)$$

is true for $u = (\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b$ and the constants t_i^ℓ . Moreover, the condition that the intersection $\text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell$ is connected can be encoded by a first order formula as follows. Every possible nonempty intersection $\text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell$ corresponds to a nonempty subset $J \subseteq I_m$ (see Fig. 5 for illustration) for which the evaluation of the following first order formula:

$$\Psi_{\ell,J} = \left(\bigwedge_{\bar{j} \in J} \neg \Phi_{\ell,m,\bar{j}} \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{\bar{j} \in I_m \setminus J} \Phi_{\ell,m,\bar{j}} \right)$$

is true for $u = (\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b$, the constants t_i^ℓ and the domain \mathcal{L}_b^d .

We denote by \mathcal{J}_m the collection of all nonempty subsets $J \subseteq I_m$ that correspond to connected intersections. For example, in Fig. 5 the intersection on the left corresponding to the set $J = \{(-2, -1), (-1, -1), (0, -1), (1, -1), (2, -1), (-1, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)\}$ is connected, so $J \in \mathcal{J}_4$; the intersection on the right corresponding to the set $J' = \{(-2, -1), (-1, -1), (0, -1), (1, -1), (2, -1), (-1, 0), (0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (0, -2), (2, 2)\}$ is not connected, so $J' \notin \mathcal{J}_4$.

For given $d > 0$ and $\ell \geq 0$, we denote by $\tilde{L}_\ell^d \subset \mathcal{L}_b^d$ the language $\tilde{L}_\ell^d = \{(\bar{z}_c)_b \mid c \in \mathcal{C}_d^\ell\}$. For example, if $b = 2$, the language \tilde{L}_ℓ^d consists of all convolutions of d strings of the form $u_i \otimes v_i \in \mathcal{L}_2$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ for which $v_i = r_i 1 s_i$, where $r_i \in \{0, 1\}^*$, $|r_i| = \ell$ and $s_i \in \{0\}^*$. A language $\tilde{L}_\ell^d \subset \mathcal{L}_b^d$ is regular, see also Proposition




Fig. 5. The figure on the left shows the support of some tensor product B-spline from $B_{2,4}^\ell$ and its intersection with \mathcal{M}^ℓ shaded in gray which is connected. The figure on the right shows the support of some tensor product B-spline from $B_{2,4}^\ell$ and its intersection with \mathcal{M}^ℓ shaded in gray which is not connected.

8. Finally, the condition that for every $\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell$ such that $\text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell \neq \emptyset$ the intersection $\overline{\text{supp } \beta} \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell$ is connected holds if and only if the following first order formula:

$$\mathcal{X}_\ell = \forall u \left(\left((u \in \tilde{L}_\ell^d) \wedge \Psi_\ell \right) \rightarrow \bigvee_{J \in \mathcal{J}_m} \Psi_{\ell,J} \right)$$

is true for the structure $(\mathcal{L}_b^d; \text{add}_d, \tilde{L}_\ell^d, L_{\ell+1}, \{t_i^\ell \mid \bar{i} \in I_m\})$. Thus, we proved the following theorem.

Theorem 11. *Assumption B holds for the domains $\mathcal{M}^0, \dots, \mathcal{M}^{N-2}$ if and only if the first order sentence $\mathcal{X}_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{X}_{N-2}$ is true for the structure:*

$$(\mathcal{L}_b^d; \text{add}_d, \tilde{L}_0^d, \dots, \tilde{L}_{N-2}^d, L_1, \dots, L_{N-1}, \{t_i^\ell \mid \bar{i} \in I_m, \ell = 0, \dots, N-2\}).$$

Similarly to Subsection 3.1, from the first order sentence $\mathcal{X}_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{X}_{N-2}$ one can obtain a polynomial-time algorithm that for given deterministic finite automata M_1, \dots, M_{N-1} decides whether or not $\mathcal{X}_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{X}_{N-2}$ is true.

3.3 Regularity for \mathcal{K}

Recall that at each level $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$ the collection of tensor product B-splines \mathcal{K}^ℓ is generated by Kraft's selection mechanism (5). We associate each tensor product B-spline $\beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$ with the cell $c_\beta \in \mathcal{C}_d^\ell$ according to the rule described in Subsection 3.2, see Fig. 4. This gives a collection of cells $\mathcal{K}_c^\ell = \{c_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_d^\ell$ for every $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$. We denote by \hat{L}_ℓ the language $\hat{L}_\ell = \{(\bar{z}_c)_b \mid c \in \mathcal{K}_c^\ell\} \subseteq \tilde{L}_\ell^d$ corresponding to \mathcal{K}_c^ℓ . In this subsection we construct the first order formulae defining the languages $\hat{L}_0, \dots, \hat{L}_{N-1}$. Similarly to Subsection 3.1, a concrete procedure for constructing deterministic finite automata accepting the languages $\hat{L}_0, \dots, \hat{L}_{N-1}$ from the deterministic finite automata M_1, \dots, M_{N-1} given as an input can be directly obtained from these first order formulae and Theorem 6.

First we note that the language \widehat{L}_0 is defined by the formula:

$$\Theta_0 = \left(u \in \widetilde{L}_0^d \right) \wedge \Psi_0,$$

where Ψ_0 is given by (9). That is, \widehat{L}_0 is the language of strings u from \mathcal{L}_b^d for which the evaluation of the formula Θ_0 is true. Indeed, the formula Ψ_0 verifies whether or not the intersection of $\text{supp } \beta$ for $\beta \in B_{d,m}^0$ with \mathcal{M}^0 is nonempty. If it is nonempty, then $\beta \in \mathcal{K}^0$.

For given $\ell > 0$, $\vec{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_d) \in I_m$ and $j = 1, \dots, k$, where $k = 2^d$, we denote by \vec{r}_{ij}^ℓ the constant vectors $\vec{r}_{ij}^\ell = \vec{t}_i^\ell + \vec{s}_j^{\ell+1}$. Let $r_{ij}^\ell = (\vec{r}_{ij}^\ell)_b \in \mathcal{L}_b^d$. For a given $\ell > 0$, let:

$$\Theta_\ell = \left(u \in \widetilde{L}_\ell^d \right) \wedge \Psi_\ell \wedge \bigwedge_{\vec{i} \in I_m} \bigvee_{j=1}^k \left(\text{add}_d(u, r_{ij}^\ell) \in L_\ell \right).$$

The formula Θ_ℓ defines the language \widehat{L}_ℓ for $\ell = 1, \dots, N-2$. Indeed, for a given $\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell$ the formula Ψ_ℓ verifies whether or not the intersection of $\text{supp } \beta$ with \mathcal{M}^ℓ is nonempty. The formula $\bigwedge_{\vec{i} \in I_m} \bigvee_{j=1}^k \left(\text{add}_d(u, r_{ij}^\ell) \in L_\ell \right)$ verifies whether or not $\text{supp } \beta \subseteq \Omega^\ell$. If for $\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell$, $\text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^\ell \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{supp } \beta \subseteq \Omega^\ell$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$.

For a given $\ell > 0$, let:

$$\Gamma_\ell = \left(u \in \widetilde{L}_\ell^d \right) \wedge \bigwedge_{\vec{i} \in I_m} \bigvee_{j=1}^k \left(\text{add}_d(u, r_{ij}^\ell) \in L_\ell \right). \quad (10)$$

The formula Γ_{N-1} defines the language \widehat{L}_{N-1} . Indeed, for $\beta \in B_{d,m}^{N-1}$ the formula $\bigwedge_{\vec{i} \in I_m} \bigvee_{j=1}^k \left(\text{add}_d(u, r_{ij}^{N-1}) \in L_{N-1} \right)$ verifies whether or not $\text{supp } \beta \subseteq \Omega^{N-1}$. For $\beta \in B_{d,m}^{N-1}$ if $\text{supp } \beta \subseteq \Omega^{N-1}$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{K}^{N-1}$. Since the languages $\widehat{L}_0, \dots, \widehat{L}_{N-1}$ are defined by the first order formulae they must be regular for regular hierarchical meshes. Thus we proved the following theorem.

Theorem 12. *The languages $\widehat{L}_0, \dots, \widehat{L}_{N-1}$ corresponding to the collection of tensor product B-splines generated by Kraft's selection mechanism are defined by the first order formulae $\Theta_0, \Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_{N-2}, \Gamma_{N-1}$, respectively, and they must be regular for a regular hierarchical mesh.*

Similarly to the argument in Subsection 3.1, for given deterministic finite automata M_1, \dots, M_{N-1} one can construct deterministic finite automata $\widehat{M}_0, \dots, \widehat{M}_{N-1}$ recognizing the languages $\widehat{L}_0, \dots, \widehat{L}_{N-1}$, respectively. Furthermore, these automata $\widehat{M}_0, \dots, \widehat{M}_{N-1}$ can be constructed from the automata M_1, \dots, M_{N-1} in polynomial time.

4 Regular Splines

In this section first we introduce the encoding of splines over hierarchical meshes as finite automata. Then in Subsection 4.1 we show examples of splines which admit such encoding. In Subsection 4.2 we describe an algorithm for computing the value of a spline function at a given point. In Subsection 4.3 we describe a procedure for refining regular splines.

Let \mathcal{T} be a regular hierarchical d -dimensional mesh defined by a nested sequence of domains $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^d \supseteq \Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1} \supseteq \Omega^N = \emptyset$, where $\Omega^{N-1} \neq \emptyset$. Let $f = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda_\beta \beta$ be a spline in $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ defined by some coefficients λ_β , $\beta \in \mathcal{K}$,

where $\mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{K}^\ell$ is obtained by Kraft's selection mechanism, see the equation (5). Each $\beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$ is associated with the cell $c_\beta \in \mathcal{K}_c^\ell \subseteq \mathcal{C}_d^\ell$ which is then associated with the string $(\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b \in \widehat{L}_\ell$, see Subsection 3.3.

Definition 13. *We say that a spline $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ is regular if the coefficients $\lambda_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ for all $\beta \in \mathcal{K}$ and the relation $S_f = \{((\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\lambda_\beta)_b) \mid \beta \in \mathcal{K}\} \subset \mathcal{L}_b^d \times \mathcal{L}_b$ is FA-recognizable.*

For a given $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$, we denote by S_f^ℓ the relation:

$$S_f^\ell = \{((\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\lambda_\beta)_b) \mid \beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell\}. \quad (11)$$

Similarly to Proposition 8, a spline $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ is regular if and only if each of the relation S_f^ℓ is FA-recognizable for $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$.

Since the relation Add_d is FA-recognizable, for given regular splines $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ the sum $(f_1 + f_2) \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ is a regular spline. Moreover, for any constant $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ the relation:

$$R_\mu = \{((\lambda)_b, (\mu\lambda)_b) \in \mathcal{L}_b \times \mathcal{L}_b \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]\} \quad (12)$$

is FA-recognizable. Therefore, for a regular spline $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$, the spline $\mu f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ is regular. Thus, the set of all regular splines in $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ forms a module over the ring $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]$.

4.1 Examples

In this subsection we give examples of regular splines. In particular, we will show that all linear functions with coefficients from $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ are regular splines. Note that a spline $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ with bounded support $\text{supp } f$ is always regular. The latter implies that every continuous function on a compact domain can be approximated arbitrarily close by regular splines.

Let \mathcal{T}_d^0 be a mesh defined by the grid \mathcal{G}_d^0 ; recall that \mathcal{G}_d^0 is a d -dimensional integer grid, see Subsection 2.1. A constant function over \mathcal{T}_d^0 which takes the value $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ for every point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a regular spline in $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T}_d^0)$ for $m \geq 0$.

This follows from the partition of unity property for B-splines: $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} N_{i,m}^0 = 1$ for $m \geq 0$. Moreover, a linear function $\sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i x_i$, for $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ is a regular spline in $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ for $m \geq 1$. Since the collection of regular splines is closed under taking the sum and multiplication by a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$, it is enough to prove it for the functions $f_{i,d}(\bar{x}) = x_i$ for $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $i = 1, \dots, d$. In order to prove the latter, it is enough only to show that the linear function $f(t) = t$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a regular spline in $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T}_1^0)$. This follows from the identity $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{i,m} N_{i,m}^0(t) = t$ for $m \geq 1$, where $c_{i,m} = i + \frac{m+1}{2}$. This identity is proved by induction. For $m = 1$, we recall that, see (14):

$$N_{i,1}^0(t) = \begin{cases} t - i, & i \leq t < i + 1, \\ i + 2 - t, & i + 1 \leq t < i + 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, for $t \in [i, i + 1]$, we have: $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{i,1} N_{i,1}^0(t) = (i + 1)N_{i,1}^0(t) + ((i - 1) + 1)N_{i-1,1}^0(t) = (i + 1)(t - i) + i(i + 1 - t) = t$. The inductive step follows from the Cox-de Boor's formula (3) as follows. Assume that $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{i,m} N_{i,m}^0(t) = t$ holds for some $m \geq 1$. By (3) we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{i,m+1} N_{i,m+1}^0(t) &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} c_{i,m+1} \left(\frac{t-i}{m+1} N_{i,m}^0(t) + \frac{i+m+2-t}{m+1} N_{i+1,m}^0(t) \right) = \\ &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(c_{i,m+1} \frac{t-i}{m+1} + c_{i-1,m+1} \frac{i+m+1-t}{m+1} \right) N_{i,m}^0(t) \\ &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{t}{m+1} + \frac{m}{m+1} c_{i,m} \right) N_{i,m}^0(t) = t. \end{aligned}$$

From the formula $c_{i,m} = i + \frac{m+1}{2}$ it is clear that $f(t) = t$ is a regular spline in $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T}_1^0)$. Thus we have the following theorem.

Theorem 14. *Linear functions $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ are regular splines in $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T}_1^0)$.*

The set of regular splines with unbounded support is much wider than the set of linear functions with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]$. Below we give two simple examples.

Let $g(t)$ be a spline $g(t) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} c_j N_{4j,3}^0(t)$, where $c_j = 1$ if j is even and $c_j = -1$ if j is odd, see Fig.6 (left). It can be seen that $g(t)$ is a regular spline in $\mathcal{S}_3(\mathcal{T}_1^0)$. Now let \mathcal{T} be a one-dimensional hierarchical mesh generated by the domains $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} ([2i, 2i+1] \cup [-2i-1, -2i])$ and $h(t) \in \mathcal{S}_3(\mathcal{T})$ be a




Fig. 6. The left figure shows the spline $g(t)$. The right figure shows the spline $h(t)$.

spline function $h(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c'_j N_{8j,3}^2(t) + \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} c'_j N_{8j+4,3}^2(t)$, where $c'_j = j + 1$ for $j \geq 0$ and $c'_j = -j$ for $j \leq -1$, see Fig. 6 (right). It can be seen that $h(t)$ is a regular spline in $\mathcal{S}_3(\mathcal{T})$.

4.2 Computing Values of a Regular Spline

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ be a regular spline given by a FA-recognizable relation S_f . We assume that for each $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$ we have a deterministic finite automaton M_ℓ recognizing the relation S_f^ℓ (11). In this subsection we discuss the problem of computing the value $f(\bar{x})$ of the function f at a point $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$ given as an input.

Let $R_f^\ell \subseteq \mathcal{L}_b^d \times \mathcal{L}_b^d \times \mathcal{L}_b$ be the relation that contains all triples $((\bar{x})_b, (\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\lambda_\beta)_b)$ of strings $(\bar{x})_b \in \mathcal{L}_b^d$, $(\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b \in \mathcal{L}_b^d$ and $(\lambda_\beta)_b \in \mathcal{L}_b$ for $\beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$ such that $\bar{x} \in \text{supp } \beta$:

$$R_f^\ell = \{((\bar{x})_b, (\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\lambda_\beta)_b) \mid \bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d, \beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell \wedge \bar{x} \in \text{supp } \beta\}.$$

Let $\bar{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_d) = \bar{z}_{c_\beta}$. The condition $\bar{x} \in \text{supp } \beta$ for $\beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$ is true if and only if the inequalities:

$$-\frac{m+2}{2^{\ell+1}} < x_i - y_i < \frac{m}{2^{\ell+1}}, \quad -\frac{m+1}{2^{\ell+1}} < x_i - y_i < \frac{m+1}{2^{\ell+1}} \quad (13)$$

hold for all $i = 1, \dots, d$, if m is odd and even, respectively, see Fig. 7.

For $\bar{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_d) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$ and $\bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_d) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$ we say that $\bar{r} < \bar{s}$ if $r_i < s_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. Let $R_{<}^d$ be the relation $R_{<}^d = \{((\bar{r})_b, (\bar{s})_b) \mid \bar{r}, \bar{s} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d, \bar{r} < \bar{s}\}$. The relation $R_{<}^d$ is FA-recognizable. Since Add_d and $R_{<}^d$ are FA-recognizable, the relation given by the inequalities (13) is FA-recognizable. Therefore, since S_f^ℓ is FA-recognizable, R_f^ℓ is FA-recognizable.

We denote by \bar{q}_β the lower left corner $\bar{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_d)$ of $\overline{\text{supp } \beta}$, see Fig. 7. We have that $y_i - q_i = \frac{m+2}{2^{\ell+1}}$ and $y_i - q_i = \frac{m+1}{2^{\ell+1}}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$, if m is odd and even, respectively. Since Add_d is FA-recognizable, the relation $Q_d^\ell = \{(\bar{z}_{c_\beta}, \bar{q}_\beta) \mid \beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell\}$ is FA-recognizable.




Fig. 7. The figure on the left shows the support of a spline $\beta \in B_{2,3}^\ell$, the points $\bar{x} \in \text{supp } \beta$, $\bar{y} = \bar{z}_{c_\beta}$ and the lower left corner of $\overline{\text{supp } \beta}$ – the point \bar{q} . The figure on the right shows the support of a spline $\beta \in B_{2,4}^\ell$, the points $\bar{x} \in \text{supp } \beta$, $\bar{y} = \bar{z}_{c_\beta}$ and the lower left corner \bar{q} .

Now let $\tilde{R}_f^\ell \subset \mathcal{L}_b^d \times \mathcal{L}_b^d \times \mathcal{L}_b \times \mathcal{L}_b^d$ be the following relation:

$$\tilde{R}_f^\ell = \{((\bar{x})_b, (\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\lambda_\beta)_b, (\bar{x} - \bar{q}_\beta)_b) \mid \bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d, \beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell \wedge \bar{x} \in \text{supp } \beta\}.$$

Since the relations R_f^ℓ , Q_d^ℓ and Add_d are FA-recognizable, the relation \tilde{R}_f^ℓ is FA-recognizable. From automata recognizing the relations $R_{<}^\ell$, Q_d^ℓ , Add_d and the automaton M_ℓ one can construct a deterministic finite automaton recognizing the relation \tilde{R}_f^ℓ for which the number of states is $O(m_\ell)$, where m_ℓ is the number of states of M_ℓ .

Note that for a given $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$ there exist at most $(m+1)^d$ tensor product B-splines $\beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell$ for which $\bar{x} \in \text{supp } \beta$. So \tilde{R}_f^ℓ can be seen as a multivalued function that for a given input \bar{x} returns at most $(m+1)^d$ pairs $((\lambda_\beta)_b, (\bar{x} - \bar{q}_\beta)_b)$ as an output. Since \tilde{R}_f^ℓ is FA-recognizable, this multivalued function is computed in linear time by a deterministic one-tape Turing machine, see Section 2.2.

We denote by \mathcal{K}_x^ℓ the set $\mathcal{K}_x^\ell = \{\beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell \mid \bar{x} \in \text{supp } \beta\}$ and by $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{x}}$ the set $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{x}} = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{K}_x^\ell$. After all pairs $((\lambda_\beta)_b, (\bar{x} - \bar{q}_\beta)_b)$ for which $\bar{x} \in \text{supp } \beta$, where $\beta \in \mathcal{K}$, are computed, the value $f(\bar{x}) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{K}_{\bar{x}}} \lambda_\beta \beta(\bar{x})$ of the spline f at the point \bar{x} is

obtained from the formulae for $N_{0,m}^\ell(t)$ by applying multiplication and addition operations. Note that $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{x}}$ is a finite set containing at most $N(m+1)^d$ elements, so there are at most $N(m+1)^d$ terms in the sum $\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{K}_{\bar{x}}} \lambda_\beta \beta(\bar{x})$. Therefore, if

one applies the standard long multiplication algorithm for the operation of multiplication, the time complexity for evaluating $f(\bar{x})$ will be at most quadratic. Thus we have the following theorem.

Theorem 15. *There exists a linear time algorithm which for a given string $(\bar{x})_b$ computes the pairs $((\lambda_\beta)_b, (\bar{x} - \bar{q}_\beta)_b)$ for all tensor product B-splines β from a finite set $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{x}}$ containing at most $N(m+1)^d$ elements. There exists a quadratic time algorithm which for a given string $(\bar{x})_b$ computes $f(\bar{x})$.*

Remark 16. In order to guarantee that $f(\bar{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ for every $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$, one has to choose the base b properly depending on the degree m . For an illustration let us recall the formulae for $N_{0,m}^0(t)$ for $m = 1, 2, 3$. Note that if $\ell > 0$, $N_{0,m}^\ell(t) = N_{0,m}^0(2^\ell t)$ for $t \in (0, \frac{m+1}{2^\ell})$. By (2) and (3) one can obtain that (see [22]):

$$N_{0,1}^0(t) = \begin{cases} t, & 0 < t < 1, \\ 2 - t, & 1 \leq t < 2, \\ 0, & t \notin (0, 2), \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

$$N_{0,2}^0(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}t^2, & 0 < t < 1, \\ -(t-1)^2 + (t-1) + \frac{1}{2}, & 1 \leq t < 2, \\ \frac{1}{2}(3-t)^2, & 2 \leq t < 3, \\ 0, & t \notin (0, 3), \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

$$N_{0,3}^0(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}t^3, & 0 < t < 1, \\ \frac{1}{6}(-3(t-1)^3 + 3(t-1)^2 + 3(t-1) + 1), & 1 \leq t < 2, \\ \frac{1}{6}(3(t-2)^3 - 6(t-2)^2 + 4), & 2 \leq t < 3, \\ \frac{1}{6}(-(t-3)^2 + 3(t-3)^2 - 3(t-3) + 1), & 3 \leq t < 4, \\ 0, & t \notin (0, 4). \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

It follows from the formulae (14) and (15) that for $m = 1, 2$ and b divisible by 2, if $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$, then $f(\bar{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$. However, in order to guarantee the same for $m = 3$, one should require that b is divisible by 6, see (16).

4.3 Refining Regular Splines

In this subsection we discuss a procedure for refining regular splines. Let \mathcal{T} be a regular hierarchical mesh formed by domains $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^d \supseteq \Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1} \neq \emptyset$. Let \mathcal{T}' be a refinement of \mathcal{T} defined by a nested sequence of domains $\Omega^0 = \mathbb{R}^d \supseteq \Omega^1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq \Omega^{N-1} \supseteq \Omega^N \neq \emptyset$, where Ω^N is composed of cells from \mathcal{C}_d^{N-1} . We assume that the language L_N corresponding to the domain Ω^N is regular, so \mathcal{T}' is a regular hierarchical mesh. We will show that if f is a regular spline in $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$, then it is a regular spline in $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T}')$.

Let $\mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{K}^\ell$ and $\mathcal{K}' = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^N \mathcal{K}'^\ell$ be the collections of tensor product B-splines generated by Kraft's selection mechanism for the hierarchical meshes \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}' , respectively. We denote by $\widehat{L}_0, \dots, \widehat{L}_{N-1}, \widehat{L}'_0, \dots, \widehat{L}'_N$ the languages corresponding to the collections $\mathcal{K}^0, \dots, \mathcal{K}^{N-1}, \mathcal{K}'^0, \dots, \mathcal{K}'^N$, respectively. Since $\mathcal{K}^0 = \mathcal{K}'^0, \dots, \mathcal{K}^{N-2} = \mathcal{K}'^{N-2}$, we have that $\widehat{L}'_0 = \widehat{L}_0, \dots, \widehat{L}'_{N-2} = \widehat{L}_{N-2}$. A tensor product B-spline $\beta \in \mathcal{K}'^{N-1}$ if and only if $\beta \in \mathcal{K}^{N-1}$ and $\text{supp } \beta \cap \mathcal{M}^{N-1} \neq \emptyset$, where $\mathcal{M}^{N-1} = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega^N$. Therefore, the language \widehat{L}'_{N-1} is defined by the formula $(u \in \widehat{L}_{N-1}) \wedge \Psi_{N-1}$, where Ψ_{N-1} is given by (9). Similarly, the language \widehat{L}'_N is defined by the formula Γ_N (10). Therefore, all languages $\widehat{L}'_0, \dots, \widehat{L}'_N$ are regular.

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ be a regular spline and S_f be a corresponding FA-recognizable relation, see Definition 13. We have that:

$$f = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell} \lambda_\beta \beta = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-2} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{K}^\ell} \lambda_\beta \beta + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{K}'^{N-1}} \lambda_\beta \beta + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{K}'^N} \lambda_\beta \beta.$$

Therefore, $S_f^0 = S_f'^0, \dots, S_f^{N-2} = S_f'^{N-2}$ and $S_f^{N-1} = \{(\bar{u}, v) \in S_f'^{N-1} \mid \bar{u} \in \widehat{L}'_{N-1}\}$. Clearly, $S_f'^0, \dots, S_f'^{N-1}$ are FA-recognizable. Below we will show that the coefficients $\lambda_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ for all $\beta \in \mathcal{K}'^N$ and the relation $S_f'^N = \{((\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\lambda_\beta)_b) \mid \beta \in \mathcal{K}'^N\}$ is FA-recognizable.

For any given $\delta \in B_{d,m}^{\ell-1}$ each $\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell$, for which $\text{supp } \beta \subseteq \text{supp } \delta$, corresponds to a multi-index $\bar{j}_{\delta,\beta} = (j_1, \dots, j_d)$, where $0 \leq j_k \leq m+1$ for all $k = 1, \dots, d$, that determines the position of $\text{supp } \beta$ inside $\text{supp } \delta$. See Fig. 8 illustrating supports of 2-dimensional tensor product B-splines $\beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \delta_1, \delta_2$ with multi-indices $\bar{j}_{\delta_1, \beta_1} = (0, 1)$, $\bar{j}_{\delta_1, \gamma_1} = (4, 3)$, $\bar{j}_{\delta_2, \beta_2} = (0, 1)$ and $\bar{j}_{\delta_2, \gamma_2} = (4, 3)$. For given $\delta \in B_{d,m}^{\ell-1}$ and a multi-index $\bar{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_d)$ we denote by $\beta_{\delta, \bar{j}}$ the tensor product B-spline $\beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell$ for which $\bar{j}_{\delta, \beta} = \bar{j}$. Note that for the barycentres \bar{z}_{c_δ} and \bar{z}_{c_β} the following holds:

$$\bar{z}_{c_\delta} - \bar{z}_{c_\beta} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{m+1}{2^{\ell+1}} - \frac{j_1}{2^\ell}, \dots, \frac{m+1}{2^{\ell+1}} - \frac{j_d}{2^\ell} \right), & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \left(\frac{m+2}{2^{\ell+1}} - \frac{j_1}{2^\ell}, \dots, \frac{m+2}{2^{\ell+1}} - \frac{j_d}{2^\ell} \right), & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (17)$$




Fig. 8. The left figure shows the support of a spline $\delta_1 \in B_{2,4}^{\ell-1}$, the supports of $\beta_1, \gamma_1 \in B_{2,4}^\ell$ (two hatched rectangles) and the points $\bar{p}_1 = \bar{z}_{c_{\beta_1}}$, $\bar{r}_1 = \bar{z}_{c_{\gamma_1}}$ and $\bar{q}_1 = \bar{z}_{c_{\delta_1}}$. The right figure shows the support of a spline $\delta_2 \in B_{2,3}^{\ell-1}$, the supports of $\beta_2, \gamma_2 \in B_{2,3}^\ell$ (two hatched rectangles) and the points $\bar{p}_2 = \bar{z}_{c_{\beta_2}}$, $\bar{r}_2 = \bar{z}_{c_{\gamma_2}}$ and $\bar{q}_2 = \bar{z}_{c_{\delta_2}}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{I}_{d,m}$ the set of multi-indices $\mathcal{I}_{d,m} = \{(j_1, \dots, j_d) \mid 0 \leq j_k \leq m+1, k = 1, \dots, d\}$. For each $\delta \in B_{d,m}^{\ell-1}$, we have that $\delta = \sum_{\bar{j} \in \mathcal{I}_{d,m}} \lambda_{\bar{j}} \beta_{\delta, \bar{j}}$. It can be verified directly from Boehm's knot insertion formula for B-splines [3] that

for $d = 1$: $\lambda_j = \frac{1}{2^m} \binom{j}{m+1}$, $j = 0, \dots, m+1$, where $\binom{j}{m+1} = \frac{(m+1)!}{j!(m+1-j)!}$ are the binomial coefficients. Therefore, all coefficients λ_j , $j = 0, \dots, m+1$ belong to $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ for any even b . By the definition of multivariate tensor product B-splines (1) we immediately obtain that $\lambda_{\bar{j}} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ for all $\bar{j} \in \mathcal{I}_{d,m}$ as well.

Let $\bar{\mathcal{K}}^{N-1} = \mathcal{K}^{N-1} \setminus \mathcal{K}'^{N-1}$. We have that $\sum_{\delta \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}^{N-1}} \lambda_\delta \delta = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{K}'^N} \lambda_\beta \beta$. For a given $\beta \in \mathcal{K}'^N$, let $\Delta_\beta = \{(\delta, \bar{j}) \mid \delta \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}^{N-1}, \bar{j} \in \mathcal{I}_{d,m}, \text{supp } \beta \subset \text{supp } \delta \wedge \beta = \beta_{\delta, \bar{j}}\}$. Then, for any $\beta \in \mathcal{K}'^N$, $\lambda_\beta = \sum_{(\delta, \bar{j}) \in \Delta_\beta} \lambda_\delta \lambda_{\bar{j}}$. Since $\lambda_{\bar{j}} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ for all $\bar{j} \in \mathcal{I}_{d,m}$ and $\lambda_\delta \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ for all $\delta \in \mathcal{K}$, then $\lambda_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ for all $\beta \in \mathcal{K}'^N$.

For a given $\bar{j} \in \mathcal{I}_{d,m}$, let $q_{\bar{j}} \in \mathbb{Z}[1/b]^d$ be a constant vector given by the right-hand side of the equation (17). For a given $\ell \geq 1$ and $\bar{j} \in \mathcal{I}_{d,m}$, let:

$$R_{\ell, \bar{j}} = \{((\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\bar{z}_{c_\delta})_b) \mid \beta \in B_{d,m}^\ell, \delta \in B_{d,m}^{\ell-1} \wedge \bar{z}_{c_\delta} - \bar{z}_{c_\beta} = q_{\bar{j}}\}.$$

Since Add_d is FA-recognizable, the relation $R_{\ell, \bar{j}}$ is FA-recognizable for each $\ell \geq 1$ and $\bar{j} \in \mathcal{I}_{d,m}$. Therefore, since the language $L_{N-1} \setminus L'_{N-1}$ is regular and S_f^{N-1} is FA-recognizable, the relation:

$$Q_{f, \bar{j}} = \{((\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\lambda_\delta)_b) \mid \beta \in \mathcal{K}'^N, \delta \in \bar{\mathcal{K}}^{N-1}, ((\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\bar{z}_{c_\delta})_b) \in R_{N, \bar{j}}, ((\bar{z}_{c_\delta})_b, (\lambda_\delta)_b) \in S_f^{N-1}\}$$

is FA-recognizable. Since the multiplication by a constant in $\mathbb{Z}[1/b]$ is FA-recognizable (12), we finally obtain that:

$$S_f'^N = \{((\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\lambda_\beta)_b) \mid ((\bar{z}_{c_\beta})_b, (\lambda_\delta)_b) \in Q_{f, \bar{j}}, \bar{j} \in \mathcal{I}_{d,m} \wedge \lambda_\beta = \sum_{(\delta, \bar{j}) \in \Delta_\beta} \lambda_\delta \lambda_{\bar{j}}\}$$

is FA-recognizable. Finally we have the following theorem.

Theorem 17. *Let \mathcal{T}' be a refinement of a regular hierarchical mesh \mathcal{T} . If f is a regular spline in $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T})$ and \mathcal{T}' is a regular hierarchical mesh, then f is a regular spline in $\mathcal{S}_m(\mathcal{T}')$.*

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Cesare Bracco and Andre Nies for useful comments.

References

1. Akiyama, S., Frougny, C., Sakarovitch, J.: Powers of rationals modulo 1 and rational base number systems. *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 168(53), 53–91 (2008)
2. Blumensath, A., Grädel, E.: Finite presentations of infinite structures: automata and interpretations. *Theory of Computing Systems* 37, 641–674 (2004)

3. Boehm, W.: Inserting new knots into B-spline curves. *Computer-Aided Design* 12:4, 199–201 (1980)
4. Boigelot, B., Jodogne, S., Wolper, P.: An Effective Decision Procedure for Linear Arithmetic over the Integers and Reals. *ACM Transactions on Computational Logic* 6(3), 614–633 (2005)
5. Boigelot, B., Bronne, L., Rassart, S.: An improved reachability analysis method for strongly linear hybrid systems (extended abstract). In: Grumberg, O. (ed.) *Computer Aided Verification. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 1254, pp. 167–178 (1997)
6. Büchi, J.R.: On a decision method in restricted second order arithmetic. In: *Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science. Proceedings of the 1960 International Congress* (1962)
7. Case, J., Jain, S., Seah, S., Stephan, F.: Automatic functions, linear time and learning. *Logical Methods in Computer Science* 9(3:19) (2013)
8. Culik, K., Valenta, V.: Finite automata based compression of bi-level and simple color images. *Comput. & Graphics* 21(1), 61–68 (1997)
9. Epstein, D., Cannon, J., Holt, D., Levy, S., Paterson, M.S., Thurston, W.P.: *Word Processing in Groups*. Jones and Barlett Publishers. Boston, MA (1992)
10. Forsey, D.R., Bartels, R.H.: Hierarchical B-spline refinement. *Computer Graphics* 22(4), 205–212 (1988)
11. Gao, Z., Jain, S., Qi, J., Schlicht, P., Stephan, F., Tarr, J.: Ordered semiautomatic rings with applications to geometry. In: *Language and Automata Theory and Applications. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 12038, pp. 141–153. Springer (2020)
12. Hodgson, B.R.: Décidabilité par automate fini. *Annales des sciences mathématiques du Québec* 7(1), 39–57 (1983)
13. Hopcroft, J., Motwani, R., Ullman, J.D.: *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation*. Addison-Wesley (2001)
14. Jürgensen, H., Staiger, L., Yamasaki, H.: Finite automata encoding geometric figures. *Theoretical Computer Science* 381, 33–43 (2007)
15. Khoussainov, B., Nerode, A.: Automatic presentations of structures. In: Leivant, D. (ed.) *Logic and Computational Complexity, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 960, pp. 367–392. Springer Berlin Heidelberg (1995)
16. Kraft, R.: Adaptive and linearly independent multilevel B-splines. In: Méhauté, A.L., Rabut, C., Schumaker, L.L. (eds.) *Surface Fitting and Multiresolution Methods*, pp. 209–218. Vanderbilt University Press, Nashville (1997)
17. Lin, Y.K., Yen, H.C.: An ω -automata approach to the compression of bi-level images. *Electron. Notes Theor. Comput. Sci.* 31(15) (2000)
18. Mokriš, D., Jüttler, B., Giannelli, C.: On the completeness of hierarchical tensor-product B-splines. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 271, 53–70 (2014)
19. Nies, A., Semukhin, P.: Finite automata presentable abelian groups. *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 161(3), 458–467 (2009)
20. Prautzsch, H., Boehm, W., Paluszny, M.: *Bézier and B-Spline Techniques*. Springer (2002)
21. Rabin, M., Scott, D.: Finite automata and their decision problems. *IBM Journal of Research and Development* 3(2), 114–125 (1959)
22. Shirley, P., Ashikhmin, M., Gleicher, M., Marschner, S., Reinhard, E., Sung, K., Thompson, W., Willemsen, P.: *Fundamentals of computer graphics*. AK Peters (2005)