

INITIAL DEGENERATIONS OF SPINOR VARIETIES

DANIEL COREY

ABSTRACT. We construct closed immersions from initial degenerations of the spinor variety S_n to inverse limits of strata associated to even Δ -matroids. As an application, we prove that these initial degenerations are smooth and irreducible for $n \leq 5$ and identify the log canonical model of the Chow quotient of S_5 by the action of the diagonal torus of $GL(5)$.

Keywords: Spinor varieties, initial degenerations, Delta-matroids, Chow quotient.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 14T05 (primary), 15A66, 52B40 (secondary).

1. INTRODUCTION

The pure spinor variety, denoted S_n^\pm , parameterizes totally isotropic subspaces of a $2n$ -dimensional vector space V equipped with a symmetric, nondegenerate quadratic form of Witt index n . From the representation-theoretic viewpoint, S_n^\pm is the Lie-type D analog of the Grassmannian. The Wick embedding, similar to the Plücker embedding, realizes S_n^\pm as a closed subvariety of $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^* E)$, where E is some fixed totally isotropic subspace of V . The exterior algebra of E splits as a direct sum of its even and odd parts, i.e., $\wedge^* E = \wedge^{\text{ev}} E \oplus \wedge^{\text{odd}} E$, and S_n^\pm has two (projectively equivalent) irreducible components S_n and S_n^- , one contained in $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^{\text{ev}} E)$ and the other in $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^{\text{odd}} E)$. Our convention is that $S_n \subset \mathbb{P}(\wedge^{\epsilon(n)} E)$ where $\epsilon(n)$ is the parity of n .

We investigate the initial degenerations of S_n° —the open dense cell of S_n given by the nonvanishing of all Wick coordinates with $\epsilon(n)$ parity—via their relation to isotropical linear spaces in the sense of Rincón [23]. This work is an extension to the Lie-type D setting of [7] where the author investigates the initial degenerations of the Grassmannian via their relation to tropical linear spaces. Specifically, a vector in the tropical Grassmannian records an initial degeneration and a tropical linear space, and the latter records a diagram of matroid strata parameterized by a regular matroidal subdivision of the hypersimplex. The main result in *loc. cit.* produces a closed immersion from the initial degeneration into the inverse limit of this diagram. This result has a number of applications to $\text{Gr}_0(d, n)$ —the open dense cell of $\text{Gr}(d, n)$ given by the nonvanishing of all Plücker coordinates—especially $\text{Gr}_0(3, 7)$. Specifically, the initial degenerations of $\text{Gr}_0(3, 7)$ are smooth and irreducible, the tropicalization of $\text{Gr}_0(3, 7)$ with respect to the Plücker embedding is faithful, and the Chow quotient of $\text{Gr}(3, 7)$ by the diagonal torus of $\text{PGL}(7)$ is the log canonical compactification of the moduli space of 7 lines in \mathbb{P}^2 in linear general position, partially resolving a conjecture of Hacking, Keel, and Tevelev [17, Conjecture 1.6]. As Grassmannians and matroids are Lie-type A partial flag varieties and Coxeter matroids, respectively, we expect that many of the results in [7] extend to a broader class of partial flag varieties. In this paper, we confirm this expectation in the Lie-type D setting.

There are numerous formulations of Lie-type D Coxeter matroids [3, 4, 5]; we use the language of Δ -matroids. Given w in $\text{TS}_n^\circ := \text{Trop}(\mathbb{S}_n^\circ)$, we may form an initial degeneration $\text{in}_w \mathbb{S}_n^\circ$ and an isotropical linear space; the latter encodes a regular subdivision Δ_w of the Δ -hypersimplex $\Delta(n)$ into Δ -matroid polytopes [23]. The collection of totally isotropic subspaces realizing a Δ -matroid M forms a locally-closed subscheme $\mathbb{S}_M \subset \mathbb{S}_n^\pm$. If Q is a Δ -matroid polytope and Q' is a face, then Q' is also a Δ -matroid polytope (by the Gelfand-Serganova theorem [3, Theorem 6.3.1]), and there is a morphism $\mathbb{S}_{M_Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{M_{Q'}}$, where, e.g., M_Q denotes the Δ -matroid of Q . These morphisms determine a diagram of type Δ_w , hence we may form the inverse limit $\varprojlim_{Q \in \Delta_w} \mathbb{S}_{M_Q}$.

Theorem 1.1. *There is a closed immersion $\text{in}_w \mathbb{S}_n^\circ \hookrightarrow \varprojlim_{Q \in \Delta_w} \mathbb{S}_{M_Q}$.*

We give a geometric interpretation of this closed immersion in §5; here is a summary. To define a morphism $\text{in}_w \mathbb{S}_n^\circ \rightarrow \varprojlim_{Q \in \Delta_w} \mathbb{S}_{M_Q}$, we must define a morphism $\text{in}_w \mathbb{S}_n^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{M_Q}$ for each $Q \in \Delta_w$ (and these morphisms must be compatible with the face morphisms). Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbf{k}((t^\mathbb{R}))$, equip it with its usual t -adic valuation, and let x be a \mathbf{k} -point of $\text{in}_w \mathbb{S}_n^\circ$. There is a \mathbb{K} -point q of \mathbb{S}_n° whose exploded tropicalization is x [22]. Let $F_q \subset \mathbb{K}^{2n}$ be the totally isotropic subspace with Wick vector q , and $F_q^\circ = F_q \cap (\mathbb{K}^*)^{2n}$. If v is in the cell of $\text{Trop}(F_q^\circ)$ dual to $Q \in \Delta_w$, then $\overline{\text{in}_v F_q^\circ}$ is a totally isotropic subspace of \mathbf{k}^n that realizes M_Q . The map $\text{in}_w \mathbb{S}_n^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{M_Q}$ takes x to (the Wick vector of) $\overline{\text{in}_v F_q^\circ}$.

Working with the full poset Δ_w is impractical due to its size. Nevertheless, the inverse limit $\varprojlim_{Q \in \Delta_w} \mathbb{S}_{M_Q}$ depends only on the adjacency graph Γ_w of Δ_w . The vertices of Γ_w correspond to the codimension 0 cells, and two vertices are connected by an edge whenever their corresponding cells intersect along a facet. In §6, we show how this encodes a diagram of Δ -matroid strata, form the inverse limit $\varprojlim_{\Gamma_w} \mathbb{S}_{M_Q}$, and deduce

$$\varprojlim_{Q \in \Delta_w} \mathbb{S}_{M_Q} \cong \varprojlim_{\Gamma_w} \mathbb{S}_{M_Q}.$$

The analogous statement in the Grassmannian setting is due to Cueto [7, Appendix C]. We develop various techniques for evaluating these inverse limits, which we use, together with Theorem 1.1, to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *The initial degenerations of \mathbb{S}_n° are smooth and irreducible for $n \leq 5$.*

We conclude by studying the birational geometry of $\mathbb{S}_n // H$, the Chow quotient of \mathbb{S}_n by the maximal torus H of the orthogonal group, for $n \leq 5$. There is an ordered basis of V so that the matrix of the quadratic form is

$$(1.1) \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The *orthogonal group* $O(2n)$ (with respect to the symmetric form Q) and its maximal torus H are

$$O(2n) = \{X \in \text{GL}(2n) : X^T Q X = Q\} \quad \text{and} \quad H = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} h^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{bmatrix} : h \in \text{GL}(n) \text{ is diagonal} \right\}.$$

Any totally isotropic subspace is the row-span of a full-rank $n \times 2n$ matrix of the form $[A|B]$ where AB^T is skew-symmetric [3, Lemma 3.4.1]. The action $H \curvearrowright \mathbb{S}_n$ is given by

right multiplication:

$$(1.2) \quad [A|B] \begin{bmatrix} h^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{bmatrix} = [Ah^{-1}|Bh].$$

Let us describe how to realize $S_n//H$ as a compactification of S_n°/H inside of a toric variety. We may realize H as a subtorus of the dense torus T of $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^{\epsilon(n)} E)$, and the scaling action of T on $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^{\epsilon(n)} E)$ restricts to the action of H on S_n from above. The Wick embedding induces an embedding of Chow quotients $S_n//H \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\wedge^{\epsilon(n)} E)//H$. By [15], $\mathbb{P}(\wedge^{\epsilon(n)} E)//H$ is the toric variety of the secondary fan of $\Delta(n)/L_{\mathbb{R}}$, where L is the cocharacter lattice of H and $L_{\mathbb{R}} = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. The locus of matroidal subdivisions is the Δ -Dressian $\text{Dr}(n)$, and we denote by Σ_n the secondary fan of $\text{Dr}(n)$. The Chow quotient $S_n//H$ is the closure of S_n°/H in the toric variety $X(\Sigma_n/L_{\mathbb{R}})$.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.2, for $n \leq 5$, S_n°/H is schön in the sense of Tevelev [25], and $S_n//H$ is a schön compactification of S_n°/H . By general results of Hacking, Keel, and Tevelev in [11], determining the log canonical model of $S_n//H$ amounts to finding a suitable fan structure of $\text{Trop}(S_n^\circ)$ (which equals $\text{Dr}(n)$ by [23, Theorem 4.5]). We show that $S_n//H$ is log canonical for $n = 4$, see Proposition 7.6. For $n = 5$, there is a coarser fan Σ'_5 supported on $\text{Dr}(5)$; denote by Y the closure of S_5°/H in $X(\Sigma'_5/L_{\mathbb{R}})$.

Theorem 1.3. *The Chow quotient $S_5//H$ is smooth and has a simple normal crossings boundary. The log canonical model of $S_5//H$ is Y and the refinement $\Sigma_5 \rightarrow \Sigma'_5$ induces a log crepant resolution $S_5//H \rightarrow Y$.*

Computations and data availability. The software package gfan [14] was used to compute the tropicalization of S_5° in §7, and the Δ -matroid subdivisions in Appendix A were computed using polymake [9]. Also, sage is used to verify Lemma 7.10. No computation takes more than a few seconds on a standard desktop computer. The code may be found at the following website.

<https://github.com/dcorey2814/tropicalSpinorVarieties>

Conventions. Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Let $[n] = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, $[n]^* = \{0^*, 1^*, \dots, (n-1)^*\}$, and $J = [n] \sqcup [n]^*$. Given a set X , let $\binom{X}{d}$ the set of all d -element subsets of X . We use juxtaposition of elements to denote small subsets of J , e.g., $ij = \{i, j\}$, $ijk = \{i, j, k\}$, etc. So, given $\lambda \subset [n]$, we write $\lambda \cup i = \lambda \cup \{i\}$, $\lambda \setminus i = \lambda \setminus \{i\}$, and $\lambda \Delta i = \lambda \Delta \{i\}$, etc.

2. THE PURE SPINOR VARIETY

In this section, we recall the construction of the spinor variety following the conventions in [21, 23]. Let $V = \mathbf{k}^{2n}$ and Q the quadratic form from (1.1). An n -dimensional vector subspace $F \subset V$ is *totally isotropic* if $x^T Q y = 0$ for all $x, y \in F$. The *pure spinor variety* is

$$S_n^\pm = \{F \subset V : F \text{ is an } n \text{ dimensional totally isotropic subspace of } V\}.$$

This space has two irreducible components S_n and S_n^- , which may be distinguished in the following way. Given $\mu \subset [n]$, set

$$\bar{\mu} = \mu \cup \{i^* \in [n]^* : i \notin \mu\}.$$

Note that $|\bar{\mu}| = n$ for any μ . Given any d -dimensional subspace F of a m -dimensional vector space and $\lambda \in \binom{[m]}{d}$, let $p_\lambda(F)$ be the λ -th Plücker coordinate of F . The varieties S_n and S_n^- are

$$S_n = \{F \in S_n^\pm : p_{\bar{\mu}} = 0 \text{ if } n - |\mu| \text{ is odd}\}, \quad S_n^- = \{F \in S_n^\pm : p_{\bar{\mu}} = 0 \text{ if } n - |\mu| \text{ is even}\}.$$

Moreover, this characterization describes S_n as a closed subvariety of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(n, 2n) \subset \mathbb{P}(\wedge^d V)$. Nonetheless, S_n embeds into a smaller projective space, as we now describe.

Denote by $E(n)$ the set of subsets λ of $[n]$ such that $n - |\lambda|$ is even. The *Wick embedding* realizes S_n as a closed subvariety of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{k}^{E(n)})$; we recall the definition of this map on the open dense affine chart

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{U} = \{F \in S_n : p_{[n]}(F) \neq 0\}.$$

the Wick embedding looks similar on other affine charts obtained by replacing $[n]$ by $\bar{\mu}$ for any $\mu \subset [n]$ (such a subset is called a *transversal*, see §5).

In terms of matrices, if $F \in \mathcal{U}$, then F is the row span of the $n \times 2n$ matrix $[I_n | X]$ where X is skew-symmetric. Given $\lambda \subset [n]$, let $X[\lambda]$ denote the skew-symmetric matrix obtained from the rows and columns of X indexed by λ . Let $q_\lambda(F) = \text{Pf}(X[[n] \setminus \lambda])$ where Pf denotes the Pfaffian of a skew-symmetric matrix. Note that $q_\lambda(F) = 0$ if $n - |\lambda|$ is odd. The Wick embedding restricted to \mathcal{U} is

$$\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{k}^{E(n)}) \quad F \mapsto [q_\lambda(F) \mid \lambda \in E(n)].$$

Thus, S_n is the closure of \mathcal{U} in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{k}^{E(n)})$.

Denote by $\mathbf{k}[q_\lambda]$ the polynomial ring $\mathbf{k}[q_\lambda \mid \lambda \in E(n)]$. The homogeneous ideal $I_n \subset \mathbf{k}[q_\lambda]$ of S_n is generated by the quadrics

$$(2.2) \quad P(\mu, \nu) = \sum_{i \in \nu \setminus \mu} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(i; \mu, \nu)} q_{\mu \cup i} q_{\nu \setminus i} + \sum_{j \in \mu \setminus \nu} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(j; \nu, \mu)} q_{\mu \setminus j} q_{\nu \cup j}.$$

where $n - |\mu|$ and $n - |\nu|$ are odd and $|\mu \Delta \nu| \geq 4$; otherwise $P(\mu, \nu) = 0$. In particular, a monomial $q_\eta q_\lambda$ cannot appear in both sums in the above expression (this is important in the proofs of Propositions 3.1 and 4.2). Here, $\text{sgn}(i; \mu, \nu)$ equals $(-1)^\ell$ where ℓ is the number of elements $j \in \nu$ with $i < j$ plus the number of elements $j' \in \mu$ such that $i > j'$.

We end this section by considering the first few examples. When $n = 1, 2$, or 3 , $S_n = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{k}^{E(n)})$. The first interesting case is $n = 4$, where

$$I_4 = \langle q_\emptyset q_{0123} - q_{01} q_{23} + q_{02} q_{13} - q_{03} q_{12} \rangle \subset \mathbf{k}[q_\emptyset, q_{01}, q_{02}, q_{12}, q_{03}, q_{13}, q_{23}, q_{0123}].$$

When $n = 5$, I_5 is the ideal of

$$\mathbf{k}[q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_{012}, q_{013}, q_{023}, q_{123}, q_{014}, q_{024}, q_{124}, q_{034}, q_{134}, q_{234}, q_{01234}]$$

generated by the quadrics

$$\begin{array}{ll} q_0 q_{123} - q_1 q_{023} + q_2 q_{013} - q_3 q_{012}, & q_0 q_{124} - q_1 q_{024} + q_2 q_{014} - q_3 q_{012}, \\ q_0 q_{134} - q_1 q_{034} + q_2 q_{014} - q_3 q_{013}, & q_0 q_{234} - q_1 q_{034} + q_2 q_{024} - q_3 q_{023}, \\ q_1 q_{234} - q_2 q_{134} + q_3 q_{124} - q_4 q_{123}, & q_0 q_{01234} - q_{012} q_{034} + q_{013} q_{024} - q_{023} q_{014}, \\ q_1 q_{01234} - q_{012} q_{134} + q_{013} q_{124} - q_{014} q_{123}, & q_2 q_{01234} - q_{012} q_{234} + q_{023} q_{124} - q_{024} q_{123}, \\ q_3 q_{01234} - q_{013} q_{234} + q_{023} q_{134} - q_{034} q_{123}, & q_4 q_{01234} - q_{014} q_{234} + q_{024} q_{134} - q_{034} q_{124}. \end{array}$$

3. POLYTOPES AND STRATA OF Δ -MATROIDS

A Δ -matroid on $[n]$ is characterized by a nonempty collection $\mathcal{B}(M)$ of subsets of $[n]$ satisfying the *symmetric exchange axiom*, i.e., for all $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ and $i \in \mu \Delta \nu$ there is a $j \in \mu \Delta \nu$, such that $\mu \Delta ij \in \mathcal{B}(M)$. Elements of $\mathcal{B}(M)$ are called *bases* of M . A Δ -matroid is *even* if $|\mu \Delta \nu|$ is even for all $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{B}(M)$.

3.1. Δ -matroid polytopes. Let e_1, \dots, e_n be the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n , and given $\lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$, let $e_\lambda = e_{\lambda_1} + \dots + e_{\lambda_k}$. Write $\langle u, v \rangle$ for the standard inner product of the vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The polytope of a Δ -matroid M is

$$Q_M = \text{conv} \{e_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathcal{B}(M)\}.$$

An (even) Δ -matroid polytope is any polytope of the form Q_M for some (even) Δ -matroid M .

The faces of a polytope $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are all of the form

$$\text{face}_u Q = \{x \in Q : \langle u, x \rangle \leq \langle u, y \rangle \text{ for all } y \in Q\} \quad \text{where } u \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Because the status of being an even Δ -matroid polytope is determined by its edges (a consequence of the Gelfand-Serganova theorem, see [23, Theorem 3.5] for a version adapted to Δ -matroids), a face of an even Δ -matroid polytope is an even Δ -matroid polytope. Denote by M_u the even Δ -matroid such that $Q_{M_u} = \text{face}_u Q_M$; this is the analog of the initial matroid defined in [1]. The bases of M_u are

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{B}(M_u) = \{\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(M) : \langle u, e_\lambda \rangle \leq \langle u, e_{\lambda'} \rangle \text{ for all } \lambda' \in \mathcal{B}(M)\}.$$

3.2. Δ -matroid strata. Given a totally isotropic subspace F of V , its Δ -matroid, denoted by $M(F)$, is defined by

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{B}(M(F)) = \{\lambda \subset [n] : p_{\overline{\lambda}}(F) \neq 0\}.$$

This Δ -matroid is necessarily even. A Δ -matroid is *realizable* if it is the Δ -matroid of a totally isotropic subspace of some V . Let $\mathcal{S}_M \subset \mathcal{S}_n^\pm$ be the locus of isotropic subspaces that realize M . We describe \mathcal{S}_M as a scheme in the following way. Define

- $B_M = \mathbf{k}[q_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathcal{B}(M)]$;
- $I_M = (\langle q_\lambda : \lambda \in E(n) \setminus \mathcal{B}(M) \rangle + I_n) \cap B_M$;
- \mathcal{S}_M the multiplicative semigroup generated by q_λ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(M)$.

Then $\mathcal{S}_M = T_M \cap \text{Proj}(B_M/I_M)$ where T_M is the dense torus of $\text{Proj}(B_M)$. Frequently it is easier to work with $\text{Spec}(R_M) \cong \mathcal{S}_M \times \mathbb{G}_m$, where $R_M = \mathcal{S}_M^{-1} B_M / I_M$. The ideal I_M is generated by the quadrics

$$(3.3) \quad P_M(\mu, \nu) = \sum_{i \in \nu \setminus \mu} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(i; \mu, \nu)} q_{\mu \cup i} q_{\nu \setminus i} + \sum_{j \in \mu \setminus \nu} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(j; \nu, \mu)} q_{\mu \setminus j} q_{\nu \cup j}$$

such that $\mu \cup i, \mu \setminus j, \nu \setminus i, \nu \cup j$ are all bases of M ; compare this with Equation (2.2).

Similar to the Grassmannian case, the face inclusion $Q_{M_u} \subset Q_M$ induces a morphism of strata $\mathcal{S}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{M_u}$, as we see in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose M is a \mathbf{k} -realizable even Δ -matroid and $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the inclusion $B_{M_u} \subset B_M$ induces a morphism of strata $\varphi_{M, M_u} : \mathcal{S}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{M_u}$. These morphisms satisfy $\varphi_{M, M} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{S}_M}$ and $\varphi_{M, (M_u)_v} = \varphi_{M_u, (M_u)_v} \circ \varphi_{M, M_u}$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the extension of $I_{M_u} \subset B_{M_u}$ to B_M is contained in I_M . Using the quadric generators from (3.3), we must show that $P_{M_u}(\mu, \nu) = 0$ or $P_{M_u}(\mu, \nu) = P_M(\mu, \nu)$. Suppose $P_{M_u}(\mu, \nu) \neq 0$. Then there is an $i_0 \in \nu \setminus \mu$ such that $\mu \cup i_0$ and $\nu \setminus i_0$ are bases of M_u , or there is a $j_0 \in \mu \setminus \nu$ such that $\mu \setminus j_0$ and $\nu \cup j_0$ are bases of M_u . The two situations are symmetric, so we only consider the first one. By (3.1), we have $\langle u, e_{\mu \cup i_0} \rangle = \langle u, e_{\nu \setminus i_0} \rangle \leq \langle u, e_\lambda \rangle$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(M)$. We must show that, for all $i \in \nu \setminus \mu$, (resp. $j \in \mu \setminus \nu$), $\mu \cup i$ and $\nu \setminus i$ are bases of M if and only if they are bases of M_u (resp. $\mu \setminus j$ and $\nu \cup j$ are bases of M if and only if they are bases of M_u). Because $\mathcal{B}(M_u) \subset \mathcal{B}(M)$, we need only show the “only if” directions. Suppose $\mu \cup i$ and $\nu \setminus i$ are bases of M . Then

$$\langle u, e_\mu \rangle + \langle u, e_{i_0} \rangle \leq \langle u, e_\mu \rangle + \langle u, e_i \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle u, e_\nu \rangle - \langle u, e_{i_0} \rangle \leq \langle u, e_\nu \rangle - \langle u, e_i \rangle,$$

so $\langle u, e_i \rangle = \langle u, e_{i_0} \rangle$. Therefore $\langle u, e_{\mu \cup i_0} \rangle = \langle u, e_{\mu \cup i} \rangle$ and $\langle u, e_{\nu \setminus i_0} \rangle = \langle u, e_{\nu \setminus i} \rangle$, that is, $\mu \cup i$ and $\nu \setminus i$ are bases of M_u . Now suppose $\mu \setminus j$ and $\nu \cup j$ are bases of M . Then

$$\langle u, e_\mu \rangle + \langle u, e_{i_0} \rangle \leq \langle u, e_\mu \rangle - \langle u, e_j \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle u, e_\nu \rangle - \langle u, e_{i_0} \rangle \leq \langle u, e_\nu \rangle + \langle u, e_j \rangle,$$

so $\langle u, e_j \rangle = -\langle u, e_{i_0} \rangle$. Therefore $\langle u, e_{\mu \cup i_0} \rangle = \langle u, e_{\mu \setminus j} \rangle$ and $\langle u, e_{\nu \setminus i_0} \rangle = \langle u, e_{\nu \cup j} \rangle$, that is, $\mu \setminus j$ and $\nu \cup j$ are bases of M_u , as required. That these morphisms satisfy the requisite functorial properties is clear. \square

3.3. Symmetries of Δ -matroids. Given a set X , denote by \mathfrak{S}_X the symmetric group on X ; if $X = [n]$, we write $\mathfrak{S}_n := \mathfrak{S}_{[n]}$. For $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, let $s_\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{E(n)}$ be the permutation

$$s_\tau : E(n) \rightarrow E(n) \quad \lambda \mapsto \{\tau(i) : i \in \lambda\}.$$

If $\mu \subset [n]$ has an even number of elements, we have a permutation of $E(n)$ given by

$$t_\mu : E(n) \rightarrow E(n) \quad \lambda \mapsto \mu \Delta \lambda$$

Let $G_n = \{t_\mu : \mu \subset [n], |\mu| \text{ even}\} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{E(n)}$; this is a group isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{S}_2)^{n-1}$. Following [6, VI.4.8], the type- D_n Weyl group, denoted by $W(D_n)$, is

$$W(D_n) = \langle s_\tau, t_\mu : \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n, \mu \subset [n], |\mu| \text{ even} \rangle \leq \mathfrak{S}_{E(n)}.$$

The subgroup G_n of $W(D_n)$ is normal since

$$s_\tau t_\mu s_\tau^{-1} = t_{s_\tau(\mu)}.$$

From this, we see that $W(D_n) \cong \mathfrak{S}_n \ltimes (\mathfrak{S}_2)^{n-1}$ and $|W(D_n)| = n!2^{n-1}$.

The action of $W(D_n)$ on $E(n)$ induces an action on the set of subsets of $E(n)$. Thus $W(D_n)$ acts on the set of even Δ -matroids via its action on the bases sets. For example, given an even Δ -matroid M and an even-sized subset $\lambda \subset [n]$, the *twist* of M by λ is the even Δ -matroid with bases $\mathcal{B}(M\Delta\lambda) = \{\mu\Delta\lambda : \mu \in \mathcal{B}(M)\}$; this is just the action of $t_\lambda \in W(D_n)$ on $\mathcal{B}(M) \in \mathcal{P}(E(n))$.

4. LIMITS OF SPINOR STRATA

4.1. The tropical spinor variety. We recall tropicalization of embedded varieties from the initial degenerations viewpoint; for details see [20, §§2.4-5]. Let \mathbb{K} be a field with valuation val , uniformizing parameter t , and residue field \mathbf{k} (e.g., $\mathbb{K} = \mathbf{k}((t^{\mathbb{R}}))$). The valuation plays a significant role in §5; the reader interested only in \mathcal{S}_n may assume that the valuation is trivial. Let $\mathbb{P}^a = \text{Proj}(\mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_a])$, $T \subset \mathbb{P}^a$ the dense torus, and N the cocharacter lattice of T . Via the coordinates on \mathbb{P}^a , we identify N with $\mathbb{Z}^{a+1}/\mathbb{Z} \cdot (1, \dots, 1)$. Let

$X \subset \mathbb{P}^a$ be a closed irreducible subvariety not contained in any coordinate hyperplane, $I \subset \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_a]$ its homogeneous ideal, and $X^\circ = X \cap T$. If $z = (z_0, \dots, z_a) \in \mathbb{Z}^{a+1}$, we write $x^z = x^{z_0} \cdots x^{z_a}$. Given $w \in N_{\mathbb{R}} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, the w -initial form of $f \in \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_a]$ is

$$\text{in}_w f = \sum_{\langle w, u \rangle + \text{val}(c_u) = W} \overline{t^{-\text{val}(c_u)} c_u} x^u \in \mathbf{k}[x_0, \dots, x_a]$$

where $f = \sum c_u x^u$ and $W = \min\{\langle w, u \rangle + \text{val}(c_u) : c_u \neq 0\}$. The *initial ideal* of I is the homogeneous ideal

$$\text{in}_w I = \{\text{in}_w f : f \in I\} \subset \mathbf{k}[x_0, \dots, x_a].$$

The *tropicalization* of X° is

$$\text{Trop } X^\circ = \{w \in N_{\mathbb{R}} : \text{in}_w I_0 \neq \langle 1 \rangle\}.$$

This set is the support of a rational polyhedral complex Σ_{Gr} , called the *Gröbner complex*, where w, w' belong to the same relatively open cell of Σ_{Gr} if and only if $\text{in}_w I = \text{in}_{w'} I$. If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbf{k}$, then Σ_{Gr} is a polyhedral fan. The *initial degeneration* of X° (with respect to $w \in \text{Trop } X^\circ$) is the scheme

$$\text{in}_w X^\circ = T \cap \text{Proj}(\mathbf{k}[x_0, \dots, x_a] / \text{in}_w I).$$

Frequently, it is easier to work with

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[x_0^\pm, \dots, x_a^\pm] / \text{in}_w I \cdot \mathbf{k}[x_0^\pm, \dots, x_a^\pm]).$$

which is isomorphic to $\text{in}_w X^\circ \times \mathbb{G}_m$. We remark that $\text{in}_w X^\circ$ depends on the cone of Σ_{Gr} that contains w in its relative interior, but there may exist w, w' belonging to different locally closed cones such that $\text{in}_w X^\circ = \text{in}_{w'} X^\circ$. When this happens, $\text{in}_w I$ and $\text{in}_{w'} I$ differ by primary components contained in $\langle x_0, \dots, x_a \rangle$.

We now specialize to the spinor variety. Let $n \geq 3$ and S_n° denote the intersection of S_n with the dense torus in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{k}^{E(n)})$. Note that $S_n^\circ = S_M$ where M is the uniform even Δ -matroid, i.e., $\mathcal{B}(M) = E(n)$. Viewing S_M as a closed subvariety of the algebraic torus $\mathbb{G}_m^{B(M)} / \mathbb{G}_m$, we may form

$$\text{TS}_M := \text{Trop}(S_M), \quad \text{and} \quad \text{TS}_n^\circ := \text{Trop}(S_n^\circ)$$

Label the coordinates of $N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^{E(n)} / \mathbb{R} \cdot (1, \dots, 1)$ by f_λ for $\lambda \in E(n)$. The tropicalization TS_n° is the support of a $\binom{n}{2}$ -dimensional polyhedral fan.

Consider the $L \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ -grading $\deg_L(q_\lambda) = \sum_{i \in \lambda} e_i$. The following proposition is clear from the quadric generators of I_n (2.2).

Proposition 4.1. *The ideal I_n is homogeneous with respect to the L -grading.*

Therefore, TS_n° has a n -dimensional lineality space $L_{\mathbb{R}} \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ where

$$(4.1) \quad L = \left\langle \sum_{\lambda \ni i} f_\lambda, \sum_{\lambda \not\ni i} f_\lambda : 0 \leq i \leq n-1 \right\rangle \subset N.$$

Note that L is a *saturated* subgroup of N , so N/L is torsion-free. Let us describe TS_n° for small values of n . To simplify our description of TS_n° , we list the $W(D_n)$ -orbits of the cones, where $W(D_n)$ acts on TS_n° by

$$s_\tau \cdot f_\lambda = f_{s_\tau(\lambda)}, \quad t_\mu \cdot f_\lambda = f_{t_\mu(\lambda)}.$$

When $n = 3$, $\text{TS}_3^\circ = L_{\mathbb{R}} = N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Next, consider the case $n = 4$. The saturated subgroup $L \subset N$ is spanned by

$$\begin{aligned} &f_{01} + f_{02} + f_{03} + f_{0123}, \quad f_{01} + f_{12} + f_{13} + f_{0123}, \quad f_{02} + f_{12} + f_{23} + f_{0123}, \quad f_{03} + f_{13} + f_{23} + f_{0123}, \\ &f_\emptyset + f_{01} + f_{02} + f_{12}, \quad f_\emptyset + f_{01} + f_{03} + f_{13}, \quad f_\emptyset + f_{02} + f_{03} + f_{23}, \quad f_\emptyset + f_{12} + f_{13} + f_{23}. \end{aligned}$$

There are 4 rays, which have primitive vectors (modulo $L_{\mathbb{R}}$)

$$(4.2) \quad r_0 = f_\emptyset + f_{0123}, \quad r_1 = f_{01} + f_{23}, \quad r_2 = f_{02} + f_{13}, \quad r_3 = f_{03} + f_{12},$$

and 6 maximal cones, one corresponding to each pair of rays. Up to $W(D_4)$ -symmetry, there is only one ray and one maximal cone. The space TS_5° is described in §7. In summary, it has a 5 dimensional lineality space, and f -vector (resp. $W(D_5)$ -symmetric f -vector):

$$f(\text{TS}_5^\circ) = (1, 36, 280, 960, 1540, 912), \quad \text{resp.} \quad f(\text{TS}_5^\circ \bmod W(D_5)) = (1, 2, 3, 5, 5, 4).$$

4.2. Subdivisions of Δ -matroid polytopes. Given a polytope $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with vertices v_0, \dots, v_k and $w \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$, the *lifted polytope* is

$$Q^w = \text{conv} \{ (v_i, w_i) : 0 \leq i \leq k \} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Any lower face of Q^w is of the form $\text{face}_{\mathbf{u}} Q^w$ where $\mathbf{u} = (u, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. The lower faces of Q^w project onto Q , forming a polyhedral complex whose support is Q . This is called the *regular subdivision* of Q induced by w . The *adjacency graph* of this subdivision is the graph with vertex v_{Q_i} for each maximal cell Q_i and an edge between v_{Q_i} and v_{Q_j} whenever Q_i and Q_j share a common facet. The *secondary fan* $\Sigma_S(Q)$ of Q is the complete fan in \mathbb{R}^{k+1} where w and w' belong to the relative interior of the same cone if and only if they induce the same regular subdivision on Q [10, §7.C].

Given an even Δ -matroid M and $w \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{B}(M)}$, we write $\Delta_{M,w}$ for the regular subdivision of Q_M induced by w . This subdivision is *matroidal*, or $\Delta_{M,w}$ is a *matroid subdivision*, if each $Q \in \Delta_{M,w}$ is an even Δ -matroid polytope. The Δ -Dressian of M is the subfan of $\Sigma_S(Q_M)$ defined by

$$\text{Dr}_M = \left\{ w \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{B}(M)} : \Delta_{M,w} \text{ is matroidal} \right\}.$$

When $\mathcal{B}(M) = E(n)$, we write $Q_M = \Delta(n)$, $\text{Dr}_M = \text{Dr}(n)$, and $\Sigma_S(Q_M) = \Sigma_n$.

Suppose $\Delta_{M,w}$ is matroidal. Given $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let M_u^w be the matroid of the polytope in $\Delta_{M,w}$ determined by $\text{face}_{\mathbf{u}}(Q_M^w)$. The bases of M_u^w are

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{B}(M_u^w) = \{ \lambda \in \mathcal{B}(M) : w_\lambda + \langle u, e_\lambda \rangle \leq w_{\lambda'} + \langle u, e_{\lambda'} \rangle \text{ for all } \lambda' \in \mathcal{B}(M) \}.$$

If $w \in \text{TS}_M$, then $\Delta_{M,w}$ is matroidal [23, Theorem 5.4]. In fact, for $n \leq 5$, there is an equality of fans $\text{TS}_n^\circ = \text{Dr}(n)$, and for $n \geq 7$, $\text{Dr}(n)$ is strictly larger than TS_n° by [loc. cit. Theorem 4.5].

4.3. Finite limits of strata. We consider the following partial order of Δ -matroids: $M' \leq M$ means that $Q_{M'}$ is a face of Q_M , and $M' \triangleleft M$ means that $Q_{M'}$ is a facet of Q_M . We may view, for $w \in \text{Dr}_M$, the polyhedral complex $\Delta_{M,w}$ as a finite poset, and hence we may form the inverse limit

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbb{S}_{M,w} := \varprojlim_{Q \in \Delta_{M,w}} \mathbb{S}_{M_Q}.$$

If $\mathcal{B}(M) = E(n)$, then we write S_w for this limit. Finite inverse limits exist in the category of affine \mathbf{k} -schemes because this category has a terminal object and pullbacks [2, Proposition 5.21]. In fact, since Spec is left-adjoint to the global sections functor, it takes direct limits to inverse limits, so the affine coordinate ring of $S_{M,w}$ is the direct limit of the affine coordinate rings of the S_M 's.

Proposition 4.2. *For any $w \in \text{TS}_M$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the inclusion $B_{M_u^w} \subset B_M$ induces a morphism $\psi_{M,M_u^w} : \text{in}_w S_M \rightarrow S_{M_u^w}$.*

Proof. We must show that the extension of $I_{M_u^w}$ to B_M is contained in $\text{in}_w I_M$. We use the quadric generators listed in Equation (3.3). We must show that $P_{M_u^w}(\mu, \nu) = 0$ or $P_{M_u^w}(\mu, \nu) = \text{in}_w P_M(\mu, \nu)$.

Suppose $P_{M_u^w}(\mu, \nu) \neq 0$. Then either there is an $i_0 \in \nu \setminus \mu$ such that $\mu \cup i_0$ and $\nu \setminus i_0$ are bases of M_u^w , or there is a $j_0 \in \mu \setminus \nu$ such that $\mu \setminus j_0$ and $\nu \cup j_0$ are bases of M_u^w . The two situations are symmetric, so it suffices to consider the first one. We must show that $q_{\mu \cup i} q_{\nu \setminus i}$ is a monomial of $\text{in}_w P_M(\mu, \nu)$ if and only if $\mu \cup i$ and $\nu \setminus i$ are bases of M_u^w (resp. $q_{\mu \setminus j} q_{\nu \cup j}$ is a monomial of $\text{in}_w P_M(\mu, \nu)$ if and only if $\mu \setminus j$ and $\nu \cup j$ are bases of M_u^w).

Let $v_\lambda = w_\lambda + \langle u, e_\lambda \rangle$. Observe that, for any $i, j \in \nu \setminus \mu$,

$$(4.5) \quad v_{\mu \cup j} + v_{\nu \setminus j} - v_{\mu \cup i} - v_{\nu \setminus i} = w_{\mu \cup j} + w_{\nu \setminus j} - w_{\mu \cup i} - w_{\nu \setminus i}.$$

Recall from the discussion after Equation (2.2) that, since $|\mu \Delta \nu| \geq 4$, a monomial $q_\eta q_\lambda$ cannot appear in both sums in Equation (3.3), i.e., we need not be concerned with cancellations between the two sums. Let $q_{\mu \cup i} q_{\nu \setminus i}$ be a monomial in $P_M(\mu, \nu)$. The term $q_{\mu \cup i} q_{\nu \setminus i}$ is a monomial of $\text{in}_w P_M(\mu, \nu)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} w_{\mu \cup i} + w_{\nu \setminus i} &\leq w_{\mu \cup i'} + w_{\nu \setminus i'} \quad \text{and} \\ w_{\mu \cup i} + w_{\nu \setminus i} &\leq w_{\mu \setminus j'} + w_{\nu \cup j'} \end{aligned}$$

for all i', j' , if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\mu \cup i} + v_{\nu \setminus i} &\leq v_{\mu \cup i'} + v_{\nu \setminus i'} \quad \text{and} \\ v_{\mu \cup i} + v_{\nu \setminus i} &\leq v_{\mu \setminus j'} + v_{\nu \cup j'} \end{aligned}$$

for all i', j' , if and only if $v_{\mu \cup i} + v_{\nu \setminus i} = v_{\mu \cup i_0} + v_{\nu \setminus i_0}$, if and only if $\mu \cup i$ and $\nu \setminus i$ are bases of M_u^w . A similar argument shows that, if $q_{\mu \setminus j} q_{\nu \cup j}$ is a monomial of $P_M(\mu, \nu)$, then $q_{\mu \setminus j} q_{\nu \cup j}$ is a monomial of $\text{in}_w P_M(\mu, \nu)$ if and only if $\mu \setminus j$ and $\nu \cup j$ are bases of M_u^w . \square

Theorem 4.3. *For any $w \in \text{TS}_M$ the morphisms ψ_{M,M_u^w} induce a closed immersion*

$$\psi_{M,w} : \text{in}_w S_M \hookrightarrow S_{M,w}.$$

Proof. Clearly $\varphi_{M_u^w, (M_u^w)_v} \circ \psi_{M,M_u^w} = \psi_{M, (M_u^w)_v}$, so $\psi_{M,w}$ is defined by the universal property of inverse limits. For each q_λ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(M)$, there is a $Q \in \Delta_{M,w}$ such that $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(M_Q)$, in which case

$$\psi_{M,w}^\#(q_\lambda) = \psi_{M,M_Q}^\#(q_\lambda) = q_\lambda.$$

So the morphism $\psi_{M,w}^\# : \varinjlim_{Q \in \Delta_{M,w}} R_{M_Q} \rightarrow S_M^{-1} B_M / \text{in}_w I_M$ is surjective, and therefore $\psi_{M,w}$ is a closed immersion. \square

5. SYMMETRIC MATROIDS AND INITIAL DEGENERATIONS OF TOTALLY ISOTROPIC SUBSPACES

In this section, we provide the geometric description of Theorem 4.3 as described in the introduction. This requires a notion of circuits for Δ -matroids, which are better understood in the language of symmetric matroids. The reader interested in the applications of Theorem 4.3 may skip to the next section.

Let $J = [n] \sqcup [n]^*$. Define an involution $J \rightarrow J$ by $i \mapsto i^*$ and $(i^*)^* = i$ for $i \in [n]$. Given $\lambda \subset J$, let $\lambda^* = \{i^* : i \in \lambda\}$. The subset λ is *admissible* if $\lambda \cap \lambda^* = \emptyset$, and is a *transversal* if, additionally, $|\lambda| = n$. A *symmetric matroid* M is determined by a nonempty set of transversals $\mathcal{B}(M)$ satisfying the *symmetric exchange axiom*: for every $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ and $i \in \mu \Delta \nu$, there is a $j \in \mu \Delta \nu$ such that $\mu \Delta i i^* j j^* \in \mathcal{B}(M)$ (compare this to the symmetric exchange axiom used to define Δ -matroids). An element of $\mathcal{B}(M)$ is called a *basis* of M .

Symmetric matroids go by many different names in the literature, see [3, §4] and the references therein. The data of a symmetric matroid on J is also equivalent to the data of a Δ -matroid on $[n]$. Given a Δ -matroid M , its associated symmetric matroid \overline{M} is defined by

$$\mathcal{B}(\overline{M}) = \{\overline{\mu} : \mu \in \mathcal{B}(M)\}.$$

Conversely, given a symmetric matroid M , its associated Δ -matroid \underline{M} is defined by

$$\mathcal{B}(\underline{M}) = \{\lambda \cap [n] : \lambda \in \mathcal{B}(M)\}.$$

Let M be a symmetric matroid on J . A subset of J is *independent* if it is contained in a basis, and *dependent* otherwise. A *circuit* is an admissible minimal dependent subset, and the set of all circuits of M is denoted by $\mathcal{C}(M)$. We use a similar notation for ordinary matroids, i.e., if M is an ordinary matroid, then we denote by $\mathcal{B}(M)$ the bases of M and the circuits of M by $\mathcal{C}(M)$.

Let F be a totally isotropic subspace of V . The symmetric matroid of F is $\overline{M(F)}$ where $M(F)$ is the Δ -matroid of F defined in (3.2). Explicitly,

$$\mathcal{B}(\overline{M(F)}) = \{\lambda \subset J : \lambda \text{ is a transversal and } p_\lambda(F) \neq 0\}.$$

The (ordinary) matroid of F , denoted by $M_A(F)$, is given by

$$\mathcal{B}(M_A(F)) = \{\lambda \subset J : p_\lambda(F) \neq 0\}.$$

That is, the bases of $\overline{M(F)}$ are just the bases of $M_A(F)$ that are admissible.

Given an $m \times n$ matrix X and subsets $\mu \subset [m]$, $\nu \subset [n]$, denote by $X[\mu, \nu]$ the submatrix of X whose rows are indexed by μ and columns are indexed by ν . We abbreviate $X[\mu, \mu]$ by $X[\mu]$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let X be a skew-symmetric $n \times n$ matrix and let λ be a subset of $[n]$ with k elements. Then, for distinct $i, j \in [n]$, we have*

$$\det(X[\lambda \setminus i, \lambda \setminus j]) = \begin{cases} \pm \operatorname{Pf}(X[\lambda \setminus i]) \operatorname{Pf}(X[\lambda \setminus j]) & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ \pm \operatorname{Pf}(X[\lambda]) \operatorname{Pf}(X[\lambda \setminus ij]) & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Additionally, $\det(X[\nu, \mu]) = \pm \det(X[\mu, \nu])$.

Proof. This was originally proved by Cayley, see [18, p. 11] and the references therein. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let X be a skew-symmetric $n \times n$ matrix and let F be the totally isotropic subspace given by the row span of $W := [I|X]$. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{M(F)})$ such that $|[n] \setminus \tau|$ is even. Then, for $i \in \tau$ and $j \notin \tau$, we have*

$$\det(W[[n], \tau \Delta ij]) = \pm \text{Pf}(X[[n] \setminus \tau]) \text{Pf}(X[[n] \setminus (\tau \Delta ii^* jj^*)]).$$

Remark 5.3. In terms of Plücker and Wick coordinates, this proposition says that

$$p_{\tau \Delta ij}(F) = \pm q_{\tau \cap [n]}(F) q_{(\tau \Delta ii^* jj^*) \cap [n]}(F).$$

Proof. First, observe that if $i = j^*$, then $\det(W[[n], \tau \Delta ij])$ and $\text{Pf}(X[[n] \setminus (\tau \Delta ii^* jj^*)])$ equal 0. Therefore, we assume that $i \neq j^*$. For brevity, let $\nu = [n] \setminus \tau$. Before considering the four cases, depending on whether i or j lie in $[n]$ or $[n]^*$, we record some useful formulas:

- (1) $\det(W[[n], \sigma]) = \pm \det(X[[n] \setminus \sigma, [n] \cap \sigma^*])$ for any $\sigma \subset J$ of size n .
- (2) $[n] \setminus (\tau \Delta ij) = \nu \cup ([n] \cap ii^*) \setminus jj^*$
- (3) $[n] \cap (\tau \Delta ij)^* = \nu \cup ([n] \cap jj^*) \setminus ii^*$

If $i, j \in [n]$, then $i \notin \nu, j \in \nu$. The above formulas and Lemma 5.1 applied to $\lambda = \nu \cup i$ yield

$$\det(W[[n], \tau \Delta ij]) = \pm \det(X[\nu \Delta ij, \nu]) = \pm \text{Pf}(X[\nu]) \text{Pf}(X[\nu \Delta ij]).$$

If $i, j \in [n]^*$, then $i^* \in \nu, j^* \notin \nu$ and we have

$$\det(W[[n], \tau \Delta ij]) = \pm \det(X[\nu, \nu \Delta i^* j^*]) = \pm \text{Pf}(X[\nu]) \text{Pf}(X[\nu \Delta i^* j^*]).$$

If $i \in [n]$ and $j \in [n]^*$, then $i \notin \nu, j^* \notin \nu$ and we have

$$\det(W[[n], \tau \Delta ij]) = \pm \det(X[\nu \cup i, \nu \cup j^*]) = \pm \text{Pf}(X[\nu]) \text{Pf}(X[\nu \cup ij^*])$$

If $i \in [n]^*$ and $j \in [n]$, then $i^* \in \nu, j \in \nu$ and we have

$$\det(W[[n], \tau \Delta ij]) = \pm \det(X[\nu \setminus j, \nu \setminus i^*]) = \pm \text{Pf}(X[\nu]) \text{Pf}(X[\nu \setminus i^* j])$$

In each of these cases, we get the desired result. \square

If $\tau \in \mathcal{B}(M_A(F))$ and $j \in J \setminus \tau$, then $\tau \cup j$ contains a unique circuit $\gamma(\tau, j)$ of $M_A(F)$ given by

$$(5.1) \quad \gamma(\tau, j) = \{i \in \tau : \tau \Delta ij \in \mathcal{B}(M_A(F))\} \cup \{j\}$$

Similarly, if $\tau \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{M(F)})$ and $j \in J \setminus \tau$, then $\tau \cup j$ contains a unique circuit $\overline{\gamma}(\tau, j)$ of $\overline{M(F)}$ given by

$$(5.2) \quad \overline{\gamma}(\tau, j) = \{i \in \tau : \tau \Delta ii^* jj^* \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{M(F)})\} \cup \{j\}.$$

Every circuit of $M_A(F)$, resp. $\overline{M(F)}$, is of the form $\gamma(\tau, j)$, resp. $\overline{\gamma}(\tau, j)$.

Lemma 5.4. *If $\tau \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{M(F)})$ and $j \in J \setminus \tau$, then*

$$\gamma(\tau, j) = \overline{\gamma}(\tau, j)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $[n] \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{M(F)})$. Note that $n - |\tau \cap [n]|$ is even and $q_{\tau \cap [n]}(F) \neq 0$. By Lemma 5.2, we have that $i \in \gamma(\tau, j) \setminus j$ if and only if $p_{\tau \Delta ij}(F) \neq 0$, if and only if $q_{(\tau \Delta ii^* jj^*) \cap [n]}(F) \neq 0$, if and only if $\tau \Delta ii^* jj^* \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{M(F)})$, if and only if $i \in \overline{\gamma}(\tau, j) \setminus j$. \square

The set $\gamma(\tau, j) = \overline{\gamma}(\tau, j)$, is called the *fundamental circuit* of the pair (τ, j) .

Fix a Plücker vector $p(F)$ and a Wick vector $q(F)$ for F ; assume that these are compatible in the sense that $p_\lambda(F) = q_{\lambda \cap [n]}(F) = 1$ for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{M(F)})$. View F as a subscheme of $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[y_i, y_{i^*}])$ where $\mathbf{k}[y_i, y_{i^*}] = \mathbf{k}[y_i, y_{i^*} : i = 1, \dots, n]$, and let I_F be the ideal of F . For $\tau \in \mathcal{B}(M_A(F))$ and $j \in J \setminus \tau$, there is, up to scaling, a unique linear form in I_F with support $\gamma = \gamma(\tau, j)$. It is given by

$$\ell_\gamma(F) = (-1)^{\text{sg}(j, j; \tau)} p_\tau(F) y_j + \sum_{i \in \tau} (-1)^{\text{sg}(i, j; \tau)} p_{\tau \Delta ij}(F) \cdot y_i.$$

where sg is some sign function that is not important for us. Now suppose $\tau \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{M(F)})$ and $j \in J \setminus \tau$. Then $\ell_\gamma(F)$ is a scalar multiple of the form

$$m_\gamma(F) = (-1)^{\text{sg}'(j, j; \tau)} q_{\tau \cap [n]}(F) y_j + \sum_{i \in \tau} (-1)^{\text{sg}'(i, j; \tau)} q_{(\tau \Delta ii^* jj^*) \cap [n]}(F) \cdot y_i.$$

where sg' is some other sign function that is not important for us. Indeed, $\ell_\gamma = q_{\tau \cap [n]} m_\gamma$ by Lemma 5.2. Also note that $\text{supp}(\ell_\gamma(F)) = \text{supp}(m_\gamma(F)) = \gamma$ by (5.1), (5.2) and Lemma 5.4, where supp denotes the support of a linear form.

Proposition 5.5. *The ideal of F is generated by these linear forms, i.e.,*

$$I_F = \langle \ell_\gamma(F) : \gamma \in \mathcal{C}(M_A(F)) \rangle = \langle m_\gamma(F) : \gamma \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{M(F)}) \rangle.$$

Moreover, $\{\ell_\gamma(F) : \gamma \in \mathcal{C}(M_A(F))\}$ is a universal Gröbner basis for I_F .

Proof. The first equality and the last statement follows from [20, Lemma 4.1.4]. Now consider the last equality. Each $m_\gamma(F)$ lies in I_F because $m_\gamma(F)$ is a multiple of $\ell_\gamma(F)$. Fix $\tau \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{M(F)})$. The set $\{m_{\gamma(\tau, j)}(F) : j \notin \tau\}$ is a basis for the null space of F , and hence we get the second equality. \square

We end this section by making precise the geometric characterization of Theorem 4.3 presented in the introduction. Let M be an even Δ -matroid, x be a \mathbf{k} -point of $\text{in}_w \mathcal{S}_M$, and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbf{k}((t^{\mathbb{R}}))$. By surjectivity of exploded tropicalization [22], there is a \mathbb{K} -point q of \mathcal{S}_M such that $\mathfrak{Trop}(q) = x$. Let $F_q \subset \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[y_i, y_{i^*}])$ be the totally isotropic subspace with Wick vector q , and F_q° the intersection of F_q with the dense torus $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[y_i^\pm, y_{i^*}^\pm])$. By Proposition 5.6 below, if $(-u, u) \in \text{Trop}(F_q^\circ)$ then $\overline{\text{in}_{(-u, u)} F_q^\circ}$ is totally isotropic, and a realization of M_u^w . Therefore, the map $\text{in}_w \mathcal{S}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{M_u^w}$ takes x to (the Wick vector of) $\overline{\text{in}_{(-u, u)} F_q^\circ}$.

Proposition 5.6. *Suppose $(-u, u) \in \text{Trop}(F_q^\circ)$. The closure of $\text{in}_{(-u, u)} F_q^\circ$ in \mathbf{k}^{2n} is totally isotropic, and realizes the Δ -matroid M_u^w .*

Proof. By Proposition 4.2, the vector $\bar{x} = (x_\mu : \mu \in \mathcal{B}(M_u^w)) \times 0 \in \mathbf{k}^{\mathcal{B}(M_u^w)} \times \mathbf{k}^{E(n) \setminus \mathcal{B}(M_u^w)}$ lies in $\mathcal{S}_{M_u^w}$. That is, \bar{x} is the Wick vector of a totally isotropic subspace $F_{\bar{x}}$ realizing $\mathcal{S}_{M_u^w}$. We claim that $\overline{\text{in}_{(-u, u)} F_q^\circ} = F_{\bar{x}}$. Let I_{F_q} be the ideal of F_q in $\mathbb{K}[y_i, y_{i^*}]$ and $I_{F_{\bar{x}}}$ the ideal of $F_{\bar{x}}$ in $\mathbf{k}[y_i, y_{i^*}]$. The linear forms $\ell_\gamma(F_q)$ form a universal Gröbner basis for I_{F_q} , so

$$\text{in}_{(-u, u)} I_{F_q} = \langle \text{in}_{(-u, u)} \ell_\gamma(F_q) : \gamma \in \mathcal{C}(M_A(F_q)) \rangle \subset \mathbf{k}[y_i, y_{i^*}].$$

Because $I_{F_{\bar{x}}}$ and $\text{in}_{(-u,u)} I_{F_q}$ define n -dimensional linear subschemes of $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{k}[y_i, y_{i^*}])$, it suffices to show $I_{F_{\bar{x}}} \subset \text{in}_{(-u,u)} I_{F_q}$. Given $\tau \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{M}_u^w)$ and $j \in J \setminus \tau$, let γ be the fundamental circuit of (τ, j) in \overline{M} , and γ' the fundamental circuit of (τ, j) in \overline{M}_u^w . That is,

$$\gamma = \{i \in \tau : \tau \Delta i i^* j j^* \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{M})\} \cup \{j\},$$

$$\gamma' = \left\{ i \in \tau : \tau \Delta i i^* j j^* \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{M}) \text{ and } \langle u, e_{(\tau \Delta i i^* j j^*) \cap [n]} \rangle + w_{(\tau \Delta i i^* j j^*) \cap [n]} \text{ is minimal} \right\} \cup \{j\}.$$

We have

$$\text{in}_{(-u,u)} m_\gamma(F_q) = (-1)^{\text{sg}'(j,j;\tau)} x_{\tau \cap [n]} y_j(F_q) + \sum_{i \in \gamma''} (-1)^{\text{sg}'(i,j;\tau)} x_{(\tau \Delta i i^* j j^*) \cap [n]}(F_q) \cdot y_i,$$

where

$$\gamma'' = \left\{ i \in \tau \setminus j : \tau \Delta i i^* j j^* \in \mathcal{B}(\overline{M}) \text{ and } \langle (-u, u), e_i \rangle + w_{(\tau \Delta i i^* j j^*) \cap [n]} \text{ is minimal} \right\}.$$

By the equality

$$\langle u, e_{(\tau \Delta i i^* j j^*) \cap [n]} \rangle - \langle (-u, u), e_i \rangle = \langle u, e_{(\tau \Delta j j^*) \cap [n]} \rangle$$

we see that $\text{supp}(\text{in}_{(-u,u)} m_\gamma(F_q)) = \gamma'' \cup j = \gamma'$, and therefore $\text{in}_{(-u,u)} m_\gamma(F_q) = m_{\gamma'}(F_{\bar{x}})$. By Proposition 5.5 and because every circuit γ' of \overline{M}_u^w is a fundamental circuit of some pair (τ, j) , we see that $I_{F_{\bar{x}}} \subset \text{in}_{(-u,u)} I_{F_q}$, as required. \square

6. AFFINE COORDINATES FOR STRATA

6.1. Affine coordinates. Throughout this subsection, we fix an even Δ -matroid M on $[n]$ that has $[n]$ as a basis. This means that \mathcal{S}_M is contained in open cell \mathcal{U} from (2.1), so every $F \in \mathcal{S}_M(\mathbf{k})$ is the row span of a \mathbf{k} -valued $n \times 2n$ matrix of the form $[I_n | X_F]$ where X_F is skew-symmetric. Let X be the skew-symmetric matrix of variables

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x_{01} & x_{02} & \cdots & x_{0,n-1} \\ -x_{01} & 0 & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1,n-1} \\ -x_{02} & -x_{12} & 0 & \cdots & x_{2,n-1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ -x_{0,n-1} & -x_{1,n-1} & -x_{2,n-1} & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

As before, let $X[\lambda]$ denote the submatrix of X whose rows and columns are indexed by λ , and $\text{Pf}(X[\lambda])$ the Pfaffian of $X[\lambda]$. Define

- $B_M^x = \mathbf{k}[x_{ij} : [n] \setminus ij \in \mathcal{B}(M)]$;
- $I_M^x = \langle \text{Pf}(X[[n] \setminus \lambda]) : \lambda \in E(n) \setminus \mathcal{B}(M) \rangle \cap B_M^x$;
- S_M^x the multiplicative semigroup generated by $\{\pi(\text{Pf}(X[[n] \setminus \lambda])) : \lambda \in \mathcal{B}(M)\}$ where $\pi : \mathbf{k}[x_{ij}] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[x_{ij}] / \langle x_{ij} : [n] \setminus \{ij\} \in E(n) \setminus \mathcal{B}(M) \rangle \cong B_M^x$ is the quotient map.

The coordinate ring of \mathcal{S}_M is isomorphic to

$$R_M^x = (S_M^x)^{-1} B_M^x / I_M^x.$$

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose $[n]$ is a basis of both M and M_u . The induced morphism $\varphi_{M, M_u}^\# : R_{M_u}^x \rightarrow R_M^x$ is given by $\varphi_{M, M_u}^\#(x_{ij}) = x_{ij}$.*

Proof. Set

$$\tilde{R}_M = S_M^{-1} \mathbf{k}[q_\lambda / q_{[n]} \mid \lambda \in \mathcal{B}(M)] / I_M$$

The map $q_\lambda / q_{[n]} \mapsto \text{Pf}_\lambda(X)$ determines an isomorphism $\theta_M : \tilde{R}_M \rightarrow R_M^x$. By Proposition 3.1, the morphism $\varphi_{M, M_u} : S_M \rightarrow S_{M_u}$ induces the ring homomorphism $\psi : \tilde{R}_{M_u} \rightarrow \tilde{R}_M$ given by $\psi(q_\lambda / q_{[n]}) = q_\lambda / q_{[n]}$. The induced map $\varphi_{M, M_u}^\#$ is equal to $\theta_{M_u} \circ \psi \circ \theta_M^{-1}$, which takes x_{ij} to x_{ij} , as required. \square

We illustrate the affine coordinates construction with the following example, which we use in the proof of Theorem 6.12.

Example 6.2. Suppose K is the even Δ -matroid on $[5]$ with

$$\mathcal{B}(K) = \{0, 1, 012, 013, 014, 034, 134, 01234\}$$

Then

$$R_K^x = \mathbf{k}[x_{02}, x_{12}, x_{23}, x_{24}, x_{34}], \quad I_K^x = \langle 0 \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad S_K^x = \langle x_{02}, x_{12}, x_{23}, x_{24}, x_{34} \rangle_{\text{semigr}}.$$

and hence $R_K^x = \mathbf{k}[x_{02}^\pm, x_{12}^\pm, x_{23}^\pm, x_{24}^\pm, x_{34}^\pm]$. This shows that $S_K \cong \mathbb{G}_m^5$. The polytope Q_K has two facets not contained in $\partial\Delta(5)$ which are defined by the vectors $u = (1, 1, -1, 1, -1)$ and $v = (1, 1, -1, -1, 1)$. The initial Δ -matroids K_u and K_v have bases

$$\mathcal{B}(K_u) = \{0, 1, 012, 014, 034, 134, 01234\} \quad \mathcal{B}(K_v) = \{0, 1, 012, 013, 034, 134, 01234\}.$$

The coordinate rings of S_{K_u} and S_{K_v} are

$$R_{K_u}^x = \mathbf{k}[x_{02}^\pm, x_{12}^\pm, x_{23}^\pm, x_{34}^\pm], \quad R_{K_v}^x = \mathbf{k}[x_{02}^\pm, x_{12}^\pm, x_{24}^\pm, x_{34}^\pm].$$

Therefore, S_{K_u} and S_{K_v} are isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_m^4 . The morphisms

$$\varphi_{K, K_u} : S_K \rightarrow S_{K_u} \quad \varphi_{K, K_v} : S_K \rightarrow S_{K_v}$$

may be identified with coordinate projections of tori; in particular, they are smooth and surjective with connected fibers.

Lemma 6.3. *The morphism $(\varphi_{K, K_u}, \varphi_{K, K_v}) : S_K \rightarrow S_{K_u} \times S_{K_v}$ is a closed immersion.*

Proof. The induced map on coordinate rings is

$$\varphi_{K, K_u}^\# \otimes \varphi_{K, K_v}^\# : R_{K_u}^x \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R_{K_v}^x \rightarrow R_K^x$$

which is surjective; see the explicit description of these maps above. \square

6.2. Inverse limits over a graph. In this subsection we show that, to compute the inverse limit $S_{M, w}$ in (4.4), we do not need the full poset $\Delta_{M, w}$, just those cells of codimension 0 and 1. In other words, we show that $S_{M, w}$ may be computed as a limit over a diagram recorded by the adjacency graph $\Gamma_{M, w}$. We begin by recalling this general construction, see [7, Appendix A] for details.

Let \mathcal{C} be a category that has finite limits; by [2, Proposition 5.21], it is necessary and sufficient that \mathcal{C} has fiber products and a terminal object. Let G be a connected graph, possibly with loops or multiple edges. We view each edge as a pair of half-edges. Define a quiver $Q(G)$ in the following way. The set of vertices of $Q(G)$ is $V(G) \cup E(G)$; write q_v (resp. q_e) for the vertex of $Q(V)$ corresponding to the vertex v (resp. edge e). For each half-edge $h \in e$ adjacent to v , there is an arrow $q_v \rightarrow q_e$. Viewing $Q(G)$ as a category in the usual way, a *diagram* of type $Q(G)$ in \mathcal{C} is a functor $X : Q(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

Let $\Gamma_{M,w}$ be the adjacency graph of a matroid subdivision $\Delta_{M,w}$. Let M_v , resp. M_e , denote the Δ -matroid corresponding to the vertex v , resp. edge e , of $\Gamma_{M,w}$, and $\varphi_{M_v, M_e} : \mathcal{S}_{M_v} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{M_e}$ whenever e is incident to v . The data of $\mathcal{S}_{M_v}, \mathcal{S}_{M_e}$, and φ_{M_v, M_e} defines a diagram of type $Q(\Gamma_{M,w})$ in $\mathbf{k}\text{-sch}$.

Let $(\Delta_{M,w})^{\text{top}}$ be the set of top-dimensional cells of $\Delta_{M,w}$, and A be a nonempty subset of $(\Delta_{M,w})^{\text{top}}$. We isolate some properties of A that allows for different ways to study limits of spinor strata over full subgraphs $\Gamma_{M,w}[A] := \Gamma_{M,w}[\{v_Q : Q \in A\}]$ of the adjacency graph $\Gamma_{M,w}$ and their relation to initial degenerations of spinor varieties.

- The subset A is *basis-covering* if

$$\bigcup_{Q \in A} \mathcal{B}(M_Q) = \mathcal{B}(M).$$

- The subset A is *basis-intersecting*

$$\bigcap_{Q \in A} \mathcal{B}(M_Q) \neq \emptyset.$$

- The subset A is *basis-connecting* if, for each $\beta \in \bigcup_{Q \in A} \mathcal{B}(M_Q)$, the induced subgraph

$$\Gamma_{M,w}[\{v_Q : Q \in A \text{ and } \beta \in \mathcal{B}(M_Q)\}]$$

is connected.

Proposition 6.4. *For any even Δ -matroid M and $w \in \text{Dr}_M$, the set $(\Delta_{M,w})^{\text{top}}$ is basis-covering and basis-connecting. Moreover,*

$$\mathcal{S}_{M,w} \cong \varprojlim_{\Gamma_{M,w}} \mathcal{S}_{M_Q}.$$

Proof. The analog of this proposition for limits of thin Schubert cells in the Grassmannian follows from [7, Propositions C.11-12]. The proof in the spinor strata case is analogous. \square

Next, we show how to compute the coordinate ring of inverse limits over the graphs $\Gamma_{M,w}$. First, in Wick coordinates, define

- $B = \mathbf{k}[q_\lambda : \lambda \in \bigcup_{Q \in A} \mathcal{B}(M_Q)]$;
- $I = \sum_{Q \in A} I_{M_Q} \cdot B$;
- S is the multiplicative semigroup generated by $\{q_\lambda : Q \in A \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathcal{B}(M_Q)\}$.

Set $R(A) = S^{-1}B/I$.

For affine coordinates, we must assume A is basis-intersecting, say λ lies in the intersection of all bases sets. After twisting each M_Q by $[n] \setminus \lambda$, we may assume that $\lambda = [n]$. Define

- $B^x = \mathbf{k}[x_{ij} : [n] \setminus ij \in \bigcup_{Q \in A} \mathcal{B}(M_Q)]$;
- $I^x = \sum_{Q \in A} I_{M_Q}^x \cdot B^x$;
- S^x is the multiplicative semigroup generated by

$$\{\pi_Q(\text{Pf}(X[\lambda])) : Q \in A \text{ and } [n] \setminus \lambda \in \mathcal{B}(M_Q)\}$$

where π_Q is the composition

$$\mathbf{k}[x_{ij}] \rightarrow \mathbf{k}[x_{ij}] / \langle x_{ij} : [n] \setminus ij \notin \mathcal{B}(M_Q) \rangle \cong B_{M_Q}^x \subset B^x.$$

Set $R^x(A) = (S^x)^{-1}B^x/I^x$.

Proposition 6.5. *If A is basis-connecting, then*

$$\varinjlim_{\Gamma_{M,w}[A]} R_{M_Q} \cong R(A).$$

If, in addition, $[n] \in \mathcal{B}(M_Q)$ for all $Q \in A$ (so A is basis-intersecting), then

$$\varinjlim_{\Gamma_{M,w}[A]} R_{M_Q}^x \cong R^x(A).$$

Proof. We prove the second statement, the first one is similar, see also [7, Proposition 3.7]. Let \hat{A} denote the set of all $Q \in \Delta_{M,w}$ such that either $v_Q \in V(\Gamma_{M,w}[A])$ or $e_Q \in E(\Gamma_{M,w}[A])$. For each $Q \in \hat{A}$, we have a ring homomorphism $R_{M_Q}^x \rightarrow R^x(A)$ defined by $x_{ij} \mapsto x_{ij}$. These piece together to give a ring homomorphism $\Psi : \varinjlim_{\Gamma_{M,w}[A]} R_{M_Q}^x \rightarrow R^x(A)$. We define a ring homomorphism Θ that is an inverse to Ψ .

If $[n] \setminus ij \in \mathcal{B}(M_Q)$ for some $Q \in A$ then set $\Theta(x_{ij}) = \varphi_{M_Q}^\#(x_{ij})$. Suppose $Q' \in A$ is another cell such that $[n] \setminus ij \in \mathcal{B}(M_{Q'})$. If $Q'' := Q \cap Q'$ lies in \hat{A} , then $\varphi_{M_Q}^\#(x_{ij}) = \varphi_{M_{Q''}}^\#(x_{ij}) = \varphi_{M_{Q'}}^\#(x_{ij})$. Because A is basis-connecting, there is a sequence $Q = Q_0, Q_1, \dots, Q_k = Q'$ such that, for each ℓ , we have $[n] \setminus ij \in \mathcal{B}(M_{Q_\ell})$ and $Q_\ell \cap Q_{\ell+1}$ is a facet of Q_ℓ and $Q_{\ell+1}$. Then

$$\varphi_{M_Q}^\#(x_{ij}) = \varphi_{M_{Q_0 \cap Q_1}}^\#(x_{ij}) = \varphi_{M_{Q_1}}^\#(x_{ij}) = \dots = \varphi_{M_{Q'}}^\#(x_{ij})$$

so $\Theta : B^x \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\Gamma_{M,w}[A]} R_{M_Q}^x$ is well defined. Also, $\Theta(z)$ is invertible for any $z \in S^x$. Finally, we need to show that $I^x \subset \ker(\Theta)$. It suffices to show that $\Theta(zf) = 0$ for $z \in (S^x)^{-1}B^x$ and $f \in I_{M_Q}^x$ for some $Q \in A$, which follows from the fact that $\Theta(af) = \Theta(a)\varphi_{M_Q}^\#(f) = 0$. Therefore, Θ induces a ring homomorphism $\Theta : R^x(A) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\Gamma_{M,w}[A]} R_{M_Q}^x$, which is clearly an inverse to Ψ . \square

Being basis-covering implies that the morphism $\text{in}_w \mathcal{S}_M \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\Gamma_{M,w}[A]} \mathcal{S}_{M_Q}$ is a closed immersion.

Proposition 6.6. *If $A \subset (\Delta_{M,w})^{\text{top}}$ is basis-covering, then the morphisms $\text{in}_w \mathcal{S}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{M_Q}$ induce a closed immersion $\text{in}_w \mathcal{S}_M \hookrightarrow \varprojlim_{\Gamma_{M,w}[A]} \mathcal{S}_{M_Q}$.*

Proof. The morphism $\text{in}_w \mathcal{S}_M \hookrightarrow \varprojlim_{\Gamma_{M,w}[A]} \mathcal{S}_{M_Q}$ is defined by the universal property of inverse limits, and the induced morphism on coordinate rings is surjective because every basis of M is a basis of some $\mathcal{B}(M_Q)$ for $Q \in A$. \square

Proposition 6.7. *If $A \subset (\Delta_w)^{\text{top}}$ is basis-covering, basis-intersecting, and basis-connecting, then $\varprojlim_{\Gamma_w[A]} \mathcal{S}_{M_Q}$ is isomorphic to an open dense subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^{\binom{n}{2}}$. In particular, $\text{in}_w \mathcal{S}_n^\circ \cong \varprojlim_{\Gamma_w[A]} \mathcal{S}_{M_Q}$ and these are smooth and irreducible of dimension $\binom{n}{2}$.*

Proof. Being basis-intersecting and basis-connecting implies that $\varprojlim_{\Gamma_w[A]} \mathcal{S}_{M_Q}$ is isomorphic to a locally-closed subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^{\binom{n}{2}}$ by Proposition 6.5; in particular, the dimension of $\varprojlim_{\Gamma_w[A]} \mathcal{S}_{M_Q}$ is at most $\binom{n}{2}$. Because A is basis-covering, there is a closed immersion

$\text{in}_w \mathbb{S}_n^\circ \hookrightarrow \varprojlim_{\Gamma_w[A]} \mathbb{S}_{M_Q}$ by Proposition 6.6, and the dimension of $\text{in}_w \mathbb{S}_n^\circ$ is $\binom{n}{2}$ since this is a flat degeneration of \mathbb{S}_n° . Therefore, the dimension of $\varprojlim_{\Gamma_w[A]} \mathbb{S}_{M_Q}$ is exactly $\binom{n}{2}$, so $\varprojlim_{\Gamma_w[A]} \mathbb{S}_{M_Q}$ is an open subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^{\binom{n}{2}}$. The last statement follows from the fact that a closed immersion between integral affine schemes of the same dimension is an isomorphism, see [7, Proposition A.8]. \square

Corollary 6.8. *If $(\Delta_w)^{\text{top}}$ is basis-intersecting, then $\text{in}_w \mathbb{S}_n^\circ \cong \mathbb{S}_w$. Furthermore, they are smooth and irreducible varieties of dimension $\binom{n}{2}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.4, $(\Delta_w)^{\text{top}}$ is already basis-covering and basis-connecting. The corollary now follows from Proposition 6.7. \square

Example 6.9. Up to $W(D_4)$ -symmetry, there are only 3 matroid subdivisions of $\Delta(4)$. One is the trivial subdivision, and the adjacency graphs for the other two are recorded in Table 6.1. From this data, and Corollary 6.8, we conclude that $\text{in}_w \mathbb{S}_4^\circ$ is smooth and irreducible for all $w \in \text{TS}_4^\circ$.



w	Adjacency graph	Matroids
r_3		$M_0 : \{\emptyset, 01, 02, 03, 13, 23, 0123\},$ $M_1 : \{\emptyset, 01, 02, 12, 13, 23, 0123\}$
$r_2 + r_3$		$M_0 : \{\emptyset, 01, 12, 13, 23, 0123\},$ $M_1 : \{\emptyset, 01, 02, 03, 23, 0123\},$ $M_2 : \{\emptyset, 01, 02, 12, 23, 0123\},$ $M_3 : \{\emptyset, 01, 03, 13, 23, 0123\}$

TABLE 6.1. Matroidal subdivisions of $\Delta(4)$; the rays r_i are listed in (4.2)

Proposition 6.10. *Suppose that there is a $Q \in (\Delta_w)^{\text{top}}$ such that v_Q is adjacent to exactly 2 vertices v_{Q_1}, v_{Q_2} which are themselves adjacent, and $A = (\Delta_w)^{\text{top}} \setminus \{Q\}$ is basis-covering. Then A is basis-connecting. If A is also basis-intersecting, then $\text{in}_w \mathbb{S}_n^\circ$ is smooth and irreducible.*

Proof. First, we show that A is basis-connecting. Let $\beta \in E(n)$ and

$$H_\beta = \Gamma_w[\{v_{Q'} : Q' \in (\Delta_w)^{\text{top}} \text{ and } \beta \in \mathcal{B}(M_{Q'})\}],$$

$$H'_\beta = \Gamma_w[\{v_{Q'} : Q' \in A \text{ and } \beta \in \mathcal{B}(M_{Q'})\}].$$

By Proposition 6.4, H_β is connected. If $\beta \notin \mathcal{B}(M_Q)$, then $H'_\beta = H_\beta$ and hence connected. Suppose $\beta \in \mathcal{B}(M_Q)$. By hypothesis, there is an edge between v_{Q_1} and v_{Q_2} . If $v_{Q_1}, v_{Q_2} \in V(H'_\beta)$, then there is still an edge of H'_β between v_{Q_1}, v_{Q_2} , and hence H'_β is connected. Otherwise, either v_{Q_1} or v_{Q_2} is in $V(H'_\beta)$ since H_β is connected. This means that v_Q is a leaf-vertex of H_β , so H'_β is connected. Therefore, A is basis-connected. The last statement now follows from Proposition 6.7. \square

Lemma 6.11. *Suppose we have a pullback diagram of finite-dimensional affine schemes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W \times_Z X & \longrightarrow & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ W & \longrightarrow & Z \end{array}$$

where $f : X \rightarrow Z$ is a closed immersion, W is irreducible, and $W \times_Z X$ has the same dimension as W . Then $W \times_Z X \cong W$.

Proof. The morphism $f' : W \times_Z X \rightarrow W$ is a closed immersion because closed immersions are preserved by arbitrary base change [12, Exercise II.3.11(a)], so f' is an isomorphism by [7, Proposition A.8]. \square

Theorem 6.12. *For $n \leq 5$, the initial degenerations of S_n° are smooth and irreducible.*

Proof. For $n = 1, 2, 3$, $S_n = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{k}^{E(n)})$, so the initial degenerations of S_n° are clearly smooth and irreducible. The $n = 4$ case is handled in Example 6.9, but we can also see this directly because I_4 is a principal ideal. Up to $W(D_4)$ -symmetry, there are only 3 cones of TS_4° . Representative weight vectors are

$$w_0 = 0, \quad w_1 = f_{03} + f_{12}, \quad w_2 = f_{02} + f_{12} + f_{03} + f_{13}.$$

The initial ideals are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{in}_{w_0} I_4 &= \langle q_{07}q_{0123} - q_{01}q_{23} + q_{02}q_{13} - q_{03}q_{12} \rangle, \\ \text{in}_{w_1} I_4 &= \langle q_{07}q_{0123} - q_{01}q_{23} + q_{02}q_{13} \rangle, \\ \text{in}_{w_2} I_4 &= \langle q_{07}q_{0123} - q_{01}q_{23} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

These define smooth and irreducible varieties in the dense torus of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{k}^{E(4)}) \cong \mathbb{P}^7$.

Now consider $n = 5$. The subdivisions are listed in Appendix A. If Δ_w is the subdivision 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 11, 13, or 16, then $\text{in}_w S_5^\circ$ is smooth and irreducible by Proposition 6.4. Next, suppose Δ_w is one of the subdivisions 0, 4, 6, 7, 9, 12, 14, 17, or 18. Observe that, for each of these subdivisions, $\{0\}$ is a basis of M_Q for all but one $Q \in (\Delta_w)^{\text{top}}$; the matroids missing $\{0\}$ are $M_0, M_4, M_5, M_4, M_3, M_9, M_4, M_{11}, M_4$, respectively. For each of these subdivisions, $v_{Q_{M_i}}$ has exactly two adjacent vertices, which are themselves adjacent. So $\text{in}_w S_5^\circ$ is smooth and irreducible by Proposition 6.10. The only subdivisions left are 10 and 15.

Consider subdivision 10 in Table A.4. Denote the i -th vertex by v_i , its polytope by Q_i , and its Δ -matroid by M_i . For the edge between v_i and v_j , denote by Q_{ij} the corresponding polytope, and M_{ij} its Δ -matroid. The set $A = \{Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4\}$ is basis-connecting. Also, we see that $I_{M_i}^x = \langle 0 \rangle$ for $i = 1, 3, 4$ and $I_{M_i}^x = \langle x_{02}x_{13} - x_{03}x_{12} \rangle$ for $i = 0, 2$. Therefore, the coordinate ring of $\varprojlim_H S_M$, where $H = \Gamma_w[v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4]$, is isomorphic to

$$R^x(A) = (S^x)^{-1} \mathbf{k}[x_{ij}^\pm \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq 4] / (x_{02}x_{13} - x_{03}x_{12})$$

where S^x is some finitely-generated multiplicative semigroup. Because we can solve for, e.g., x_{02} , we see that $\varprojlim_H S_M$ is isomorphic to an open subvariety of \mathbb{G}_m^9 . Therefore, $\varprojlim_H S_M$ is smooth and irreducible of dimension 9. Next, consider the pair (Q_5, Q_{25}) .

Twist M_5 and M_{25} by 1234 to get the Δ -matroids N and N' with bases

$$\mathcal{B}(N) = \{1, 2, 3, 012, 013, 123, 124, 134, 234, 01234\},$$

$$\mathcal{B}(N') = \{1, 2, 3, 012, 013, 124, 134, 234, 01234\}.$$

The rings of R_N^x and $R_{N'}^x$ are

$$R_N^x = (S_N^x)^{-1} \mathbf{k}[x_{01}^\pm, x_{02}^\pm, x_{03}^\pm, x_{04}^\pm, x_{24}^\pm, x_{34}^\pm], \quad R_{N'}^x = (S_{N'}^x)^{-1} \mathbf{k}[x_{01}^\pm, x_{02}^\pm, x_{03}^\pm, x_{24}^\pm, x_{34}^\pm]$$

and the map $\varphi_{N,N'}^\# : R_{N'}^x \rightarrow R_N^x$ is given by $x_{ij} \mapsto x_{ij}$, so $\varphi_{N,N'} : S_N \rightarrow S_{N'}$ is smooth and dominant with connected fibers. Set $H' = \Gamma_w[v_0, \dots, v_5]$. By [7, Proposition A.5], we have an isomorphism

$$\varprojlim_{H'} S_M \cong \varprojlim_H S_M \times_{S_{N'}} S_N$$

which is smooth and irreducible [7, Proposition A.2.3] of dimension 10 [12, Proposition III.9.5]. Next, observe that M_6 is equivalent to the Δ -matroid K from Example 6.2. Thus $\varprojlim_{\Gamma_w} S_M$ fits into the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varprojlim_{\Gamma_w} S_M & \longrightarrow & S_{M_6} \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow (\varphi_{M_6, M_{06}}, \varphi_{M_6, M_{56}}) \\ \varprojlim_{H'} S_M & \longrightarrow & S_{M_{06}} \times S_{M_{56}} \end{array}$$

The dimension of $\varprojlim_{\Gamma_w} S_M$ is at least 10 by Theorem 4.3 and the fact that $\text{in}_w S_5^\circ$ a flat degeneration of S_5° , which is 10-dimensional. We conclude that $\varprojlim_{\Gamma_w} S_M$ is smooth and irreducible of dimension 10 by Lemma 6.11, and therefore so is $\text{in}_w S_5^\circ$.

Finally, we consider subdivision 15. We retain the notation convention for the vertices, polytopes, and Δ -matroids. The set $A = \{Q_0, \dots, Q_8\}$ is basis-connected, so we may compute its coordinate ring using Proposition 6.5. We see that $I_{M_i}^x = \langle 0 \rangle$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8$ and $I_{M_i}^x = \langle x_{02}x_{13} - x_{03}x_{12} \rangle$ for $i = 4, 6$. By Proposition 6.5, the coordinate ring of $\varprojlim_H S_{M_i}$, where $H = \Gamma_w[v_0, \dots, v_8]$, is

$$R^x(A) \cong S^{-1} \mathbf{k}[x_{ij}^\pm : 0 \leq i < j \leq 4] / (x_{02}x_{13} - x_{03}x_{12})$$

where S is some finitely-generated multiplicative semigroup. As in the previous case, we deduce that $\varprojlim_H S_{M_i}$ is isomorphic to an open subvariety of \mathbb{G}_m^9 , and therefore smooth and irreducible of dimension 9.

Next, consider the pair (Q_9, Q_{69}) . Twist M_9 and M_{69} by 1234 to get the Δ -matroids N and N' with bases

$$\mathcal{B}(N) = \{1, 2, 3, 012, 013, 123, 134, 234, 01234\},$$

$$\mathcal{B}(N') = \{1, 2, 3, 012, 013, 134, 234, 01234\}.$$

The rings of R_N^x and $R_{N'}^x$ are

$$R_N^x = \mathbf{k}[x_{01}^\pm, x_{02}^\pm, x_{04}^\pm, x_{24}^\pm, x_{34}^\pm], \quad R_{N'}^x = \mathbf{k}[x_{01}^\pm, x_{02}^\pm, x_{24}^\pm, x_{34}^\pm]$$

and the map $\varphi_{N,N'}^\# : R_{N'}^x \rightarrow R_N^x$ is given by $x_{ij} \mapsto x_{ij}$, so $\varphi_{N,N'} : S_N \rightarrow S_{N'}$ is smooth and dominant with connected fibers. Set $H' = \Gamma_w[v_0, \dots, v_9]$. By [7, Proposition A.5], we have

an isomorphism

$$\varprojlim_{H'} \mathbb{S}_M \cong \varprojlim_H \mathbb{S}_M \times_{\mathbb{S}_{N'}} \mathbb{S}_N$$

which is smooth and irreducible of dimension 10 (similar to the previous case). Finally, Δ -matroids M_{10} and M_{11} are equivalent to the matroid K from Example 6.2, and by an argument similar to the previous case, we conclude that $\text{in}_w \mathbb{S}_5^\circ$ is smooth and irreducible. \square

7. LOG CANONICAL MODEL OF $\mathbb{S}_5 // H$

7.1. Schön compactifications. Throughout this section, we use the following notation for fans and toric varieties that is consistent with [8]. Given a finite rank lattice N , let T_N be its torus, and given a torus T let N_T be its cocharacter lattice. If τ is a rational polyhedral cone, let N_τ be the saturated sublattice of N generated by $\tau \cap N$, let $N(\tau) = N/N_\tau$, and let $\text{Star}(\tau)$ be the star of τ , viewed as a fan in $N(\tau)_\mathbb{R}$. If Σ is a rational polyhedral fan in $N_\mathbb{R}$, denote by $|\Sigma|$ its support. If Σ is also pointed, denote by $X(\Sigma)$ its toric variety.

Suppose Y° is a closed subvariety of an algebraic torus T . The closure Y of Y° in a T -toric variety $X(\Sigma)$ is a *tropical*, resp. *schön*, *compactification* if the multiplication map $Y \times T \rightarrow X(\Sigma)$ is flat, resp. smooth, and surjective; in either case $|\Sigma| = \text{Trop}(Y^\circ)$ [25]. The variety Y° is *schön* if it admits a schön compactification, equivalently, if $\text{in}_w Y^\circ$ is smooth for each $w \in \text{Trop}(Y^\circ)$ [13, Proposition 3.9]. If Y° is schön, then the closure of Y° inside any toric variety $X(\Sigma)$ with $|\Sigma| = \text{Trop}(Y^\circ)$ is a schön compactification [19, Theorem 1.5].

A rational pointed polyhedral fan Σ in $N_\mathbb{R}$ is *strictly simplicial* if, for each cone τ of Σ , its rays can be extended to an integral basis of Σ . The following proposition is well known to the experts, but for convenience we sketch a proof here.

Proposition 7.1. *Suppose Y° is schön and Σ is a strictly simplicial fan with support $\text{Trop}(Y^\circ)$. Then the closure Y of Y° in $X(\Sigma)$ is smooth and its boundary $B = Y \setminus Y^\circ$ is a simple normal crossings divisor.*

Proof. As stated earlier, Y is a schön compactification of Y° , so the multiplication map $Y \times T \rightarrow X(\Sigma)$ is smooth and surjective. Because Σ is strictly simplicial, the toric variety $X(\Sigma)$ is smooth and its toric boundary is a simple normal crossings divisor. So $Y \times T$ is smooth, and therefore so is Y . Moreover, since the toric boundary of $X(\Sigma)$ pulls back to $B \times T$ under the smooth and surjective multiplication map, we have that $B \times T$, and therefore B , is a simple normal crossings divisor by [24, Lemma 0CBQ]. \square

Let Y be a schön compactification of Y° with ambient toric variety $X(\Sigma)$, and set $B = Y \setminus Y^\circ$. Then B is divisorial and Y has toroidal singularities [25, Theorem 1.4]. Given a cone τ of Σ , denote by Y_τ the intersection of Y with the stratum of $X(\Sigma)$ corresponding to τ . The following proposition gives a sufficient condition for $K_Y + B$ to be ample, compare to [19, Theorem 4.9] and [7, Theorem 1.3].

Proposition 7.2. *If $\text{in}_w Y^\circ$ is smooth and irreducible for all $w \in \text{Trop}(Y^\circ)$, and $|\text{Star}(\tau)|$ is not preserved by a rational subspace of $N(\tau)_\mathbb{R}$ for all $\tau \in \Sigma$, then $K_Y + B$ is ample (where $B = Y \setminus Y^\circ$).*

Proof. Because Y has toroidal singularities, the divisor $K_Y + B$ is ample if and only if each irreducible stratum is log minimal [11, Theorem 9.1]. The stratum Y_τ is irreducible because the initial degenerations of Y° are irreducible, and $\text{in}_w Y^\circ \cong Y_\tau \times T_{N_\tau}$ for any w in the relative interior of τ [13, Lemma 3.6]. Therefore, the irreducible strata of Y are exactly Y_τ for $\tau \in \Sigma$.

Given $\tau \in \Sigma$, the stratum Y_τ is schön because Y is a schön compactification. Therefore, Y_τ is log minimal if and only if it is not preserved by a nontrivial subtorus $T_{N(\tau)}$ [11, Theorem 3.1], which occurs if and only if $\text{Trop}(Y_\tau)$ is not invariant under translation by a rational subspace of $N(\tau)_\mathbb{R}$ [16, Lemma 5.2]. The proposition now follows from the fact that $\text{Trop}(Y_\tau) = |\text{Star}(\tau)|$ [20, Lemma 3.3.6]. \square

We use the following lemma, which is essentially [7, Lemma 7.2], to determine whether $|\text{Star}(\tau)|$ is preserved under translation by a subspace.

Lemma 7.3. *Suppose Σ is a fan with lineality space $L_\mathbb{R}$, and let $\tau \neq L_\mathbb{R}$ be a nonmaximal cone of Σ . If there is a collection A_τ of maximal cones such that*

$$(7.1) \quad \bigcap_{\sigma \in A_\tau} (N_\sigma)_\mathbb{R} = (N_\tau)_\mathbb{R}$$

then $|\text{Star}(\tau)|$ is not preserved under translation by any subspace of $N(\tau)_\mathbb{R}$.

7.2. The Chow quotient of S_n . As before, let $N = \mathbb{Z}^{E(n)} / \mathbb{Z} \cdot (1, \dots, 1)$ be the cocharacter lattice of the dense torus T_N of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{k}^{E(n)})$, and let $L \leq N$ be the saturated subgroup from Equation (4.1). This is the cocharacter lattice of an n -dimensional subtorus $T_L \cong H$ of T_N , and the scaling action of $T_N \curvearrowright \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{k}^{E(n)})$ restricted to S_n is the action $H \curvearrowright S_n$ from Equation (1.2). Therefore, there is an embedding of Chow quotients $S_n // H \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{k}^{E(n)}) // H$. By [15], $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{k}^{E(n)}) // H$ is the toric variety of the secondary fan of $\Delta(n)$. Denote by Σ_n restriction of this fan to $\text{Dr}(n)$, which has $L_\mathbb{R}$ in its lineality space. Thus $\text{Dr}(n)/L_\mathbb{R}$ is the underlying set of the fan $\Sigma_n/L_\mathbb{R}$, and because $\text{TS}_n^\circ \subset \text{Dr}(n)$, the Chow quotient $S_n // H$ is the closure of S_n° / H in $X(\Sigma_n/L_\mathbb{R})$.

Remark 7.4. Each point of the affine variety S_n° is H -stable in the sense of geometric invariant theory since, for each $x \in S_n^\circ$, the stabilizer is $\{\pm I_n\}$, which is finite, and the orbit $H \cdot x = (T_L \cdot x) \cap S_n^\circ$ is closed in S_n° . Therefore, S_n° / H is a geometric quotient by standard results in GIT.

Lemma 7.5. *For $n \leq 5$, the initial degenerations of S_n° / H are smooth and irreducible; in particular, S_n° / H is schön.*

Note that S_n° is schön by Theorem 6.12.

Proof. Compare to [7, Lemma 7.1]. Let $w \in \text{TS}_n$ and \bar{w} the projection of w to $N_\mathbb{R}/L_\mathbb{R}$. Then $\text{in}_w S_n^\circ \cong H \times \text{in}_{\bar{w}}(S_n^\circ / H)$. Therefore, for $n \leq 5$, the initial degenerations of S_n° / H are smooth and irreducible by Theorem 6.12. \square

In particular, for $n \leq 5$, the Chow quotient $S_n // H$ is a schön compactification of S_n° / H . When $n = 1, 2, 3$, the spinor variety is $S_n = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{k}^{E(n)})$ and $S_n // H$ is a projective toric variety. The first interesting case is $n = 4$.

Proposition 7.6. *The log canonical divisor $K_{S_4 // H} + B_4$ is ample, and therefore $S_4 // H$ is log canonical.*

Proof. The secondary fan of TS_4° is described in §4.1. By Proposition 7.2 and Lemma 7.5, we need to show that $|\text{Star}(\tau)|$ is not invariant under translation by any rational subspace of $N(\tau)_\mathbb{R}$. It suffices to consider the nonmaximal cones $\tau \in \Sigma_4$. Up to $W(D_4)$ -symmetry, there is only one cone, e.g., $\tau = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\langle f_{03} + f_{12} \rangle + L_\mathbb{R}$. There are 3 maximal cones containing τ :

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_0 &= \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\langle f_\emptyset + f_{0123}, f_{03} + f_{12} \rangle + L_\mathbb{R}, & \sigma_1 &= \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\langle f_{01} + f_{23}, f_{03} + f_{12} \rangle + L_\mathbb{R}, \\ \sigma_2 &= \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\langle f_{02} + f_{13}, f_{03} + f_{12} \rangle + L_\mathbb{R}.\end{aligned}$$

Given $v \in N_\mathbb{R}$, denote by v^* the linear functional given by $u \mapsto \langle u, v \rangle$. The subspace $(N_{\sigma_i})_\mathbb{R}$ is the kernel of v_i^* where

$$v_0 = f_{01} - f_{02} - f_{13} + f_{23}, \quad v_1 = f_\emptyset - f_{02} - f_{13} + f_{0123}, \quad v_2 = f_\emptyset - f_{01} - f_{23} + f_{0123}.$$

Because $\text{span}\{v_0, v_1, v_2\}$ is 2-dimensional, the intersection in Equation (7.1) holds for $A_\tau = \{\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2\}$, and hence $|\text{Star}(\tau)|$ is not invariant under translation by any rational subspace of $N(\tau)_\mathbb{R}$ by Lemma 7.3. \square

The rest of this section is devoted to the $n = 5$ case. Recall that $\text{TS}_5^\circ = \text{Dr}(5)$, and the Gröbner fan of TS_5° equals Σ_5 . Here is an explicit description of Σ_5 , adapted from a gfan computation. By §4.1, the lineality space of Σ_5 is the 5-dimensional subspace $L_\mathbb{R}$ where $L \subset N$ is the saturated subgroup generated by

$$\ell_i = \sum_{\lambda \ni i} f_\lambda, \quad m_i = \sum_{\lambda \not\ni i} f_\lambda \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq 4.$$

This fan has 36 rays, which have primitive vectors (modulo $L_\mathbb{R}$):

$$\begin{aligned}r_0 &= f_0 & r_1 &= f_1 & r_2 &= f_2 & r_3 &= f_3 & r_4 &= f_4 & r_5 &= f_{012} \\ r_6 &= f_{013} & r_7 &= f_{023} & r_8 &= f_{123} & r_9 &= f_{014} & r_{10} &= f_{024} & r_{11} &= f_{124} \\ r_{12} &= f_{034} & r_{13} &= f_{134} & r_{14} &= f_{234} & r_{15} &= f_{01234} \\ \\ r_{16} &= f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + f_{012} & r_{17} &= f_0 + f_1 + f_3 + f_{013} & r_{18} &= f_0 + f_2 + f_3 + f_{023} \\ r_{19} &= f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_{123} & r_{20} &= f_0 + f_1 + f_4 + f_{014} & r_{21} &= f_0 + f_2 + f_4 + f_{024} \\ r_{22} &= f_1 + f_2 + f_4 + f_{124} & r_{23} &= f_0 + f_3 + f_4 + f_{034} & r_{24} &= f_1 + f_3 + f_4 + f_{134} \\ r_{25} &= f_2 + f_3 + f_4 + f_{234} \\ \\ r_{26} &= -f_0 - f_1 - f_2 - f_{012} & r_{27} &= -f_0 - f_1 - f_3 - f_{013} & r_{28} &= -f_0 - f_2 - f_3 - f_{023} \\ r_{29} &= -f_1 - f_2 - f_3 - f_{123} & r_{30} &= -f_0 - f_1 - f_4 - f_{014} & r_{31} &= -f_0 - f_2 - f_4 - f_{024} \\ r_{32} &= -f_1 - f_2 - f_4 - f_{124} & r_{33} &= -f_0 - f_3 - f_4 - f_{034} & r_{34} &= -f_1 - f_3 - f_4 - f_{134} \\ r_{35} &= -f_2 - f_3 - f_4 - f_{234}\end{aligned}$$

Notice that, e.g., r_{25} and r_{35} belong to the same $W(D_5)$ -orbit modulo L because

$$t_{02} \cdot r_{25} - r_{35} = m_1$$

The cones of Σ_5 partition into 20 $W(D_5)$ -orbits, which we denote by \mathcal{O}_i for $-1 \leq i \leq 18$. Orbit representatives are listed in Table 7.1.

The space TS_5° is supported on a slightly coarser fan Σ'_5 , which we now describe.

Lemma 7.7. *Each $\tau \in \mathcal{O}_{11}$ has exactly two cones in its star, and these belong to the orbit \mathcal{O}_{18} . Similarly, each $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_{18}$ has only one face in \mathcal{O}_{11} .*

That is, the cones in \mathcal{O}_{18} partition in pairs, one pair $(\sigma_\tau, \sigma'_\tau)$ for each $\tau \in \mathcal{O}_{11}$. For example, if $\tau = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\langle r_3, r_4, r_6, r_9 \rangle + L_{\mathbb{R}}$, then

$$(7.2) \quad \sigma_\tau = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\langle r_3, r_4, r_6, r_9, r_{25} \rangle + L_{\mathbb{R}} \quad \sigma'_\tau = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\langle r_3, r_4, r_6, r_9, r_{26} \rangle + L_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Proof. For the first part, it suffices to show that the star of $\tau = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\langle r_3, r_4, r_6, r_9 \rangle + L_{\mathbb{R}}$ consists of exactly σ_τ and σ'_τ from Equation (7.2). That $\text{Star}(\tau)$ contains no cones from the orbits $\mathcal{O}_{15}, \mathcal{O}_{16}, \mathcal{O}_{17}$ follows from the fact that, for

$$S_{15} = \{f_4, f_{023}, f_{123}, f_{014}\}, \quad S_{16} = \{f_3, f_4, f_{012}, f_{023}, f_{014}\}, \quad S_{17} = \{f_3, f_4, f_{012}, f_{013}\},$$

there is no even subset μ such that $t_\mu \cdot S_\mu$ contains some $\{f_k, f_\ell, f_{ijk}, f_{ij\ell}\}$ for some distinct i, j, k, ℓ , see §3.3. Now, the $W(D_5)$ -stabilizer of $S_{18} = \{f_3, f_4, f_{013}, f_{014}\}$ is

$$\text{Stab}_{W(D_5)}(S_{18}) = \langle s_{(01)}, s_{(34)}, t_{01}, t_{34}, s_{(03)(14)} t_{03} \rangle.$$

The $\text{Stab}_{W(D_5)}(S_{18})$ -orbit of $r_{25} = f_2 + f_3 + f_4 + f_{234}$ modulo $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ is $\{r_{25}, r_{26}\}$, and therefore the only cones in the star of τ are σ_τ and σ'_τ , as required.

For the second statement, it suffices to consider the cone $\sigma = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\langle r_3, r_4, r_6, r_9, r_{25} \rangle + L_{\mathbb{R}}$. Every facet of σ other than $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\langle r_3, r_4, r_6, r_9 \rangle + L_{\mathbb{R}}$ has one ray in the $W(D_5)$ -orbit of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}r_{25} + L_{\mathbb{R}}$, and therefore is not in \mathcal{O}_{11} . \square

Lemma 7.8. *For any $\tau \in \mathcal{O}_{11}$, we have that $\sigma_\tau \cup \sigma'_\tau$ is the convex polyhedral cone spanned by the rays of σ_τ and σ'_τ . Furthermore, each face of this cone is contained in \mathcal{O}_j for some $j \neq 11, 18$.*

Proof. By symmetry, it suffices to show that

$$\sigma_\tau \cup \sigma'_\tau = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\langle r_3, r_4, r_6, r_9, r_{25}, r_{26} \rangle + L_{\mathbb{R}}$$

where $\tau = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\langle r_3, r_4, r_6, r_9 \rangle + L_{\mathbb{R}}$. Because the cones $\sigma_\tau/L_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\sigma'_\tau/L_{\mathbb{R}}$ are simplicial, we must show that

$$(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\langle r_{25}, r_{26} \rangle) \cap \tau \neq L_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Indeed, consider the vector $w = f_3 + f_4 + f_{013} + f_{014}$. We may express w as

$$w = r_3 + r_4 + r_6 + r_9 = r_{25} + r_{26} + \frac{1}{2}(\ell_0 + \ell_1 - \ell_2 - \ell_3 + m_4).$$

This shows that w lies in $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\langle r_{25}, r_{26} \rangle) \cap \tau$ but not in $L_{\mathbb{R}}$, as required. The last statement follows from Lemma 7.7. \square

The fan Σ'_5 is obtained by gluing σ_τ and σ'_τ along τ , for each $\tau \in \mathcal{O}_{11}$. Explicitly, let $\mathcal{O}'_{18} = \{\sigma_\tau \cup \sigma'_\tau : \tau \in \mathcal{O}_{11}\}$. Define Σ'_5 by

$$\Sigma'_5 = \{\tau : \tau \in \mathcal{O}_i \text{ for } i \neq 11, 18, \text{ or } \tau \in \mathcal{O}'_{18}\}.$$

Lemma 7.9. *The collection of cones Σ'_5 is a fan whose support is TS_5° , and Σ_5 is a refinement of Σ'_5 .*

Proof. It is clear that TS_5° is the union of the cones in Σ'_5 and each cone of Σ_5 is contained in a cone of Σ'_5 . Therefore, it suffices to show that Σ'_5 is a fan. That is, we must show that every face of a cone in Σ'_5 is in Σ'_5 , and the intersection of any two cones in Σ'_5 is a face of both of them.

Suppose $\sigma \in \Sigma'_5$, and σ' is a face of σ . If $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}_i$ for some $i \neq 11, 18$, then σ' is in \mathcal{O}_j for some $j \neq 11, 18$ by Lemma 7.7 and because Σ_5 is a fan; in particular $\sigma' \in \Sigma'_5$. If $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}'_{18}$ then $\sigma' \in \mathcal{O}_j$ for some $j \neq 11, 18$ by Lemma 7.8.

Suppose $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma'_5$ and let $\sigma_3 = \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$. If $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{O}_i$ and $\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{O}_j$ for some $i, j \neq 11, 18$, then $\sigma_3 \in \mathcal{O}_k$ for some $k \neq 11, 18$ because Σ_5 is a fan and Lemma 7.7. Now suppose $\sigma_2 \in \mathcal{O}'_{18}$, by symmetry it suffices to consider $\sigma_2 = \sigma_\tau \cup \sigma'_\tau$ from Equation (7.2). If $r_{25}, r_{26} \in \sigma_1$, then σ_1 meets the relative interior of σ_τ and σ'_τ by Lemma 7.8, and hence $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3$ because Σ_5 is a fan. If $r_{25} \in \sigma_1$ but $r_{26} \notin \sigma_1$, then σ_3 is a face of σ_τ not contained in τ , and therefore is a face of σ_1 and σ_2 . Finally, if that $r_{25}, r_{26} \notin \sigma_1$, then σ_3 is a face of σ_1 and τ , but it cannot equal τ by Lemma 7.7. Therefore, σ_3 is also a face of σ_2 , as required. \square

Let Y denote the closure of Σ_5°/H in the toric variety $X(\Sigma'_5/L_\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 7.10. *For each $\tau \in \Sigma'_5/L_\mathbb{R}$, the space $|\text{Star}(\tau)|$ is not preserved under translation by any rational subspace of $N(\tau)_\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 7.3, we must find a collection of maximal cones A_τ satisfying Equation (7.1). We need only check one cone, e.g., τ_i , from each non-maximal $W(D_5)$ -orbit \mathcal{O}_i . Sets A_{τ_i} that satisfy (7.1) are listed in Table 7.1.

Equation (7.1) may be verified for A_τ in the following way. For each $\sigma \in A_\tau$, let $R_{\sigma\tau}$ be the matrix whose rows consist of the rays of σ together with the vectors $\ell_0, \dots, \ell_4, m_0, \dots, m_4$ spanning the lineality space. Let $S_{\sigma\tau}$ be a matrix such that $\text{rowsp } R_{\sigma\tau} = \ker S_{\sigma\tau}$. Now let S_τ be the matrix whose (block) rows are the $S_{\sigma\tau}$. The kernel of S_τ is $\bigcap_{\sigma \in A_\tau} (N_\sigma)_\mathbb{R}$. Thus, to establish (7.1) for A_τ , one must show that $\text{rank}(S_\tau) = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{R}^{E(5)}}(\tau)$, which is a routine verification. \square

	Rep. $\tau_i \in \mathcal{O}_i$	Dim	A_{τ_i}
-1	\emptyset	5	$t_{23} \cdot \tau_{15}, s_{(13)}t_{03} \cdot \tau_{15}$
0	$\{r_{26}\}$	6	$s_{(12)} \cdot \tau_{15}, s_{(34)} \cdot \tau_{15}, s_{(12)(34)} \cdot \tau_{15}$
1	$\{r_4\}$	6	$s_{(142)}t_{14} \cdot \tau_{15}, s_{(024)}t_{03} \cdot \tau_{15}$
2	$\{r_3, r_4\}$	7	$s_{(021)}t_{34} \cdot \tau_{16}, s_{(02)(34)} \cdot \tau_{16}$
3	$\{r_4, r_5\}$	7	$s_{(032)(14)}t_{23} \cdot \tau_{15}, s_{(02)(13)} \cdot \tau_{15}$
4	$\{r_4, r_{26}\}$	7	$\tau_{15}, s_{(012)} \cdot \tau_{15}, s_{(12)} \cdot \tau_{15}$
5	$\{r_4, r_5, r_9\}$	8	$\tau_{16}, s_{(243)}t_{23} \cdot \tau_{17}$
6	$\{r_4, r_9, r_{26}\}$	8	$\tau_{15}, s_{(03)(142)}t_{02} \cdot \tau_{17}, s_{(03)(142)}t_{03} \cdot \tau_{17}$
7	$\{r_4, r_6, r_{26}\}$	8	$s_{(012)} \cdot \tau_{15}, s_{(12)} \cdot \tau_{15}$
8	$\{r_3, r_4, r_5\}$	8	τ_{16}, τ_{17}
9	$\{r_3, r_4, r_{26}\}$	8	$s_{(04132)}t_{03} \cdot \tau_{17}, s_{(032)(14)}t_{03} \cdot \tau_{17}$
10	$\{r_4, r_7, r_8, r_9\}$	9	$\tau_{15}, s_{(23)} \cdot \tau_{15}$
11	$\{r_3, r_4, r_6, r_9\}$	9	N/A
12	$\{r_3, r_4, r_5, r_{25}\}$	9	$\tau_{17}, s_{(34)} \cdot \tau_{17}$
13	$\{r_3, r_4, r_5, r_6\}$	9	$s_{(12)} \cdot \tau_{16}, s_{(01)(34)} \cdot \tau_{16}$
14	$\{r_3, r_4, r_6, r_{26}\}$	9	$s_{(03)(14)}t_{03} \cdot \tau_{17}, s_{(0314)}t_{03} \cdot \tau_{17}$
15	$\{r_4, r_7, r_8, r_9, r_{26}\}$	10	N/A
16	$\{r_3, r_4, r_5, r_7, r_9\}$	10	N/A
17	$\{r_3, r_4, r_5, r_6, r_{25}\}$	10	N/A
18	$\{r_3, r_4, r_6, r_9, r_{26}\}$	10	N/A

TABLE 7.1. Sets A_{τ_i} satisfying (7.1)

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Lemma 7.5, the initial degenerations of S_5°/H are smooth and irreducible, so $S_5//H$ and Y are schön compactifications of S_5°/H . Each Y_τ for $\tau \in \Sigma'_5$ is not preserved by a rational subspace of $N(\tau)_\mathbb{R}$ by Lemma 7.10. Therefore, $K_Y + B$ is ample by Proposition 7.2, so Y is the log canonical model of $S_5//H$.

Now consider the last statement. One readily verifies that the fan $\Sigma_5/L_\mathbb{R}$ is strictly simplicial as defined in the beginning of this section. So $S_5//H$ is smooth with simple normal crossings boundary by Proposition 7.1. The morphism $S_5//H \rightarrow Y$ is log crepant by [25, Theorem 1.4]. \square

Funding. This research was partially supported by NSF RTG Award DMS–1502553 and “Symbolic Tools in Mathematics and their Application” (TRR 195, project-ID 286237555).

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Michael Joswig and anonymous referees for their constructive feedback on earlier drafts.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Ardila and C. J. Klivans. The Bergman complex of a matroid and phylogenetic trees. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 96(1):38–49, 2006.
- [2] S. Awodey. *Category theory*, volume 52 of *Oxford Logic Guides*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, second edition, 2010.
- [3] A. V. Borovik, I. M. Gelfand, and N. White. *Coxeter matroids*, volume 216 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2003.
- [4] A. Bouchet. Greedy algorithm and symmetric matroids. *Math. Programming*, 38(2):147–159, 1987.
- [5] A. Bouchet. Multimatroïds. I. Coverings by independent sets. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 10(4):626–646, 1997.
- [6] N. Bourbaki. *Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4–6*. Elements of Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [7] D. Corey. Initial degenerations of Grassmannians. *Sel. Math. New Ser.*, 27(57), 2021.
- [8] W. Fulton. *Introduction to Toric Varieties*. Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [9] E. Gawrilow and M. Joswig. polymake: a framework for analyzing convex polytopes. In *Polytopes—combinatorics and computation (Oberwolfach, 1997)*, volume 29 of *DMV Sem.*, pages 43–73. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000.
- [10] I. M. Gelfand, M. M. Kapranov, and A. V. Zelevinsky. *Discriminants, resultants, and multidimensional determinants*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., 1994.
- [11] P. Hacking, S. Keel, and J. Tevelev. Stable pair, tropical, and log canonical compactifications of moduli spaces of del Pezzo surfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 178(1):173–227, 2009.
- [12] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*. Springer, 1977.
- [13] D. Helm and E. Katz. Monodromy filtrations and the topology of tropical varieties. *Canad. J. Math.*, 64(4):845–868, 2012.
- [14] A. N. Jensen. Gfan, a software system for Gröbner fans and tropical varieties. <http://home.imf.au.dk/jensen/software/gfan/gfan.html>, 2017.
- [15] M. M. Kapranov, B. Sturmfels, and A. V. Zelevinsky. Quotients of toric varieties. *Math. Ann.*, 290(4):643–655, 1991.
- [16] E. Katz and S. Payne. Realization spaces for tropical fans. In *Combinatorial aspects of commutative algebra and algebraic geometry*, volume 6 of *Abel Symp.*, pages 73–88. Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- [17] S. Keel and J. Tevelev. Geometry of Chow quotients of Grassmannians. *Duke Math. J.*, 134(2):259–311, 2006.
- [18] D. E. Knuth. Overlapping Pfaffians. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 3(2):Research Paper 5, approx. 13, 1996.
- [19] M. Luxton and Z. Qu. Some results on tropical compactifications. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 363(9):4853–4876, 2011.
- [20] D. Maclagan and B. Sturmfels. *Introduction to Tropical Geometry*, volume 161 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.

- [21] L. Manivel. On spinor varieties and their secants. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 5:Paper 078, 22, 2009.
- [22] S. Payne. Fibers of tropicalization. *Math. Z.*, 262(2):301–311, 2009.
- [23] F. Rincón. Isotropical linear spaces and valuated Delta-matroids. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 119(1):14–32, 2012.
- [24] T. Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2022.
- [25] J. Tevelev. Compactifications of subvarieties of tori. *Amer. J. Math.*, 129(4):1087–1104, 2007.

APPENDIX A. SUBDIVISIONS FOR $n = 5$

In this appendix, we record the matroidal subdivisions of $\Delta(5)$. Each table consists of the subdivisions corresponding to the cones of $\text{Dr}(5)$ of the prescribed dimension. The leftmost column corresponds to the leftmost column of Table 7.1. The *Adjacency graph* column records the adjacency graph of the subdivision. Finally, in the *Bases of the Δ -matroids M_i* column, we list the bases of the matroids M_i , where M_i is the matroid corresponding to the vertex i in the adjacency graph.



Index	Adjacency graph	Bases of the Δ -matroids M_i
0		$M_0 : \{1, 2, 012, 123, 124, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_1 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 012, 013, 023, 123, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_2 : \{0, 1, 2, 4, 012, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_3 : \{0, 2, 012, 023, 024, 034, 234, 01234\},$ $M_4 : \{0, 1, 012, 013, 014, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_5 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 034, 134, 234\}$
1		$M_0 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 012, 013, 023, 123, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_1 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234\}$

TABLE A.1. Dimension 6




Index	Adjacency graph	Bases of the Δ -matroids M_i
2		$M_0 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 012, 013, 023, 123, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_1 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_2 : \{0, 1, 2, 4, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_3 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 013, 023, 123, 034, 134, 234\}$
3		$M_0 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 013, 023, 123, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_1 : \{0, 1, 2, 012, 013, 023, 123, 014, 024, 124, 01234\},$ $M_2 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234\}$
4		$M_0 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 012, 013, 023, 123, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_1 : \{0, 1, 2, 012, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_2 : \{0, 2, 012, 023, 024, 034, 234, 01234\},$ $M_3 : \{0, 1, 012, 013, 014, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_4 : \{1, 2, 012, 123, 124, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_5 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_6 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 034, 134, 234\}$

TABLE A.2. Dimension 7






Index	Adjacency graph	Bases of the Δ -matroids M_i
5		$M_0 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 013, 023, 123, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_1 : \{0, 1, 013, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_2 : \{0, 1, 012, 013, 014, 024, 124, 01234\},$ $M_3 : \{0, 1, 2, 012, 013, 023, 123, 024, 124, 01234\},$ $M_4 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_5 : \{0, 1, 4, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134\}$
6		$M_0 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 012, 013, 023, 123, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_1 : \{0, 2, 012, 023, 024, 034, 234, 01234\},$ $M_2 : \{0, 1, 2, 012, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_3 : \{0, 1, 012, 013, 014, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_4 : \{0, 1, 012, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_5 : \{1, 2, 012, 123, 124, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_6 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_7 : \{0, 1, 2, 4, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_8 : \{0, 1, 4, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134\}$
7		$M_0 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 012, 023, 123, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_1 : \{0, 1, 3, 012, 013, 023, 123, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_2 : \{0, 1, 2, 012, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_3 : \{0, 1, 012, 013, 014, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_4 : \{1, 2, 012, 123, 124, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_5 : \{0, 2, 012, 023, 024, 034, 234, 01234\},$ $M_6 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_7 : \{0, 1, 2, 4, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234\}$
8		$M_0 : \{0, 1, 2, 013, 023, 123, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_1 : \{0, 1, 2, 012, 013, 023, 123, 014, 024, 124, 01234\},$ $M_2 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_3 : \{0, 1, 2, 4, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_4 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 013, 023, 123, 034, 134, 234\}$
9		$M_0 : \{0, 1, 2, 012, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_1 : \{0, 2, 012, 023, 024, 034, 234, 01234\},$ $M_2 : \{0, 1, 2, 012, 013, 023, 123, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_3 : \{1, 2, 012, 123, 124, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_4 : \{0, 1, 012, 013, 014, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_5 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_6 : \{0, 1, 2, 4, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_7 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 013, 023, 123, 034, 134, 234\}$

TABLE A.3. Dimension 8

Index	Adjacency graph	Bases of the Δ -matroids M_i
10		$M_0 : \{0, 1, 012, 013, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_1 : \{2, 3, 012, 013, 023, 123, 234, 01234\},$ $M_2 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 012, 013, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_3 : \{0, 2, 3, 012, 013, 023, 024, 034, 234, 01234\},$ $M_4 : \{1, 2, 3, 012, 013, 123, 124, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_5 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_6 : \{0, 1, 4, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134\}$
11		$M_0 : \{0, 1, 2, 012, 023, 123, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_1 : \{0, 1, 012, 013, 023, 123, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_2 : \{0, 1, 012, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_3 : \{0, 1, 012, 013, 014, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_4 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_5 : \{0, 1, 2, 4, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_6 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 023, 123, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_7 : \{0, 1, 4, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134\},$ $M_8 : \{0, 1, 3, 013, 023, 123, 034, 134\}$
12		$M_0 : \{0, 1, 013, 023, 123, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_1 : \{0, 1, 012, 013, 023, 123, 014, 024, 124, 01234\},$ $M_2 : \{0, 1, 2, 023, 123, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_3 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_4 : \{0, 1, 2, 4, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_5 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 023, 123, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_6 : \{0, 1, 4, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134\},$ $M_7 : \{0, 1, 3, 013, 023, 123, 034, 134\},$ $M_8 : \{0, 1, 2, 012, 023, 123, 024, 124\},$ $M_9 : \{023, 123, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234, 01234\}$
13		$M_0 : \{0, 1, 2, 023, 123, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_1 : \{0, 1, 013, 023, 123, 014, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_2 : \{0, 1, 012, 013, 023, 123, 014, 01234\},$ $M_3 : \{0, 1, 2, 012, 023, 123, 014, 024, 124, 01234\},$ $M_4 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_5 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 023, 123, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_6 : \{0, 1, 2, 4, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_7 : \{0, 1, 3, 013, 023, 123, 034, 134\}$
14		$M_0 : \{0, 1, 012, 013, 023, 123, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_1 : \{0, 1, 2, 012, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_2 : \{0, 1, 2, 012, 023, 123, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_3 : \{0, 1, 012, 013, 014, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_4 : \{1, 2, 012, 123, 124, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_5 : \{0, 2, 012, 023, 024, 034, 234, 01234\},$ $M_6 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_7 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 023, 123, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_8 : \{0, 1, 2, 4, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_9 : \{0, 1, 3, 013, 023, 123, 034, 134\}$

TABLE A.4. Dimension 9





Index	Adjacency graph	Bases of the Δ -matroids M_i
15		$M_0 : \{2, 3, 012, 013, 023, 123, 234, 01234\},$ $M_1 : \{0, 2, 3, 012, 013, 023, 034, 234, 01234\},$ $M_2 : \{1, 2, 3, 012, 013, 123, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_3 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 012, 013, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_4 : \{0, 1, 012, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_5 : \{0, 2, 012, 023, 024, 034, 234, 01234\},$ $M_6 : \{0, 1, 2, 012, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_7 : \{0, 1, 012, 013, 014, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_8 : \{1, 2, 012, 123, 124, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_9 : \{0, 1, 2, 4, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_{10} : \{0, 1, 4, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134\},$ $M_{11} : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 034, 134, 234\}$
16		$M_0 : \{0, 1, 2, 013, 123, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_1 : \{0, 2, 013, 023, 123, 024, 034, 234, 01234\},$ $M_2 : \{0, 1, 013, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_3 : \{0, 1, 012, 013, 014, 024, 124, 01234\},$ $M_4 : \{0, 1, 2, 012, 013, 123, 024, 124, 01234\},$ $M_5 : \{0, 2, 012, 013, 023, 123, 024, 01234\},$ $M_6 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_7 : \{0, 1, 2, 4, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_8 : \{0, 1, 4, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134\},$ $M_9 : \{0, 2, 3, 013, 023, 123, 034, 234\},$ $M_{10} : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 013, 123, 034, 134, 234\}$
17		$M_0 : \{0, 1, 013, 023, 123, 014, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_1 : \{0, 1, 023, 123, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_2 : \{0, 1, 012, 023, 123, 014, 024, 124, 01234\},$ $M_3 : \{0, 1, 012, 013, 023, 123, 014, 01234\},$ $M_4 : \{0, 1, 2, 023, 123, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_5 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_6 : \{0, 1, 2, 4, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_7 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 023, 123, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_8 : \{0, 1, 3, 013, 023, 123, 034, 134\},$ $M_9 : \{0, 1, 4, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134\},$ $M_{10} : \{0, 1, 2, 012, 023, 123, 024, 124\},$ $M_{11} : \{023, 123, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234, 01234\}$
18		$M_0 : \{0, 2, 012, 023, 024, 034, 234, 01234\},$ $M_1 : \{0, 1, 012, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_2 : \{0, 1, 2, 012, 023, 123, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_3 : \{0, 1, 012, 013, 023, 123, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_4 : \{1, 2, 012, 123, 124, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_5 : \{0, 1, 012, 013, 014, 034, 134, 01234\},$ $M_6 : \{0, 1, 2, 012, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234, 01234\},$ $M_7 : \{0, 1, 2, 4, 024, 124, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_8 : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 023, 123, 034, 134, 234\},$ $M_9 : \{0, 1, 4, 014, 024, 124, 034, 134\},$ $M_{10} : \{0, 1, 3, 013, 023, 123, 034, 134\},$ $M_{11} : \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 034, 134, 234\}$

TABLE A.5. Dimension 10