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#### Abstract

The present paper is devoted to an algebraic treatment of the joint spectral theory within the framework of Noetherian modules over an algebra finite extension of an algebraically closed field. We prove the spectral mapping theorem and analyze the index of tuples in purely algebraic case. The index function over tuples from the coordinate ring of a variety is naturally extended up to a numerical Tor-polynomial. Based on Serre's multiplicity formula, we deduce that Tor-polynomial is just the Samuel polynomial of the local algebra.


## 1. Introduction

The joint spectral theory plays a central role in operator theory and the complex analytic geometry. Its origin goes back to Gelfand's commutative Banach algebras and their representations. The joint spectral theory was mainly developed within the context of holomorphic functional calculus problem by J. Taylor [25], [26]. An interesting link to the complex analytic geometry was found by M. Putinar [23], [13] by constructing Taylor's holomorphic functional calculus within the context of Stein spaces. Taylor's spectral theory has a strong homological background developed independently by J. L. Taylor in [26] and A. Ya. Helemskii in [17], that allows to have a further generalization of the theory in the noncommutative setting. That played a crucial role in foundations of noncommutative complex analytic geometry (see [6], [8], [9] and [21]).

It is well known that many key results from the complex analytic geometry have their analogs in schemes such as the fundamental theorem of Serre on vanishing [16, 3.3.7]. More interesting sight is to have a scheme-theoretic analog of the joint spectra found in [10] and [11] that indicates to the fundamental nature of the joint spectral theory. Furthermore, Taylor's multivariable functional calculus has a scheme version suggested in [11]. Namely, Putinar spectrum can be defined for a module over a scheme and it plays the same central role in the functional calculus problem over Noetherian schemes as it does in the complex analytic geometry. Actually, in the affine case the same spectrum occurred in [18] and [19] based on the result of A. Neeman [20] that there is a bijection between subsets of $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ and localizing subcategories of the derived category of complexes of $R$-modules. Taylor's functional calculus through derived categories was proposed in [22] by A. Yu. Pirkovskii, which is a complex analytic version of Neeman's result.

In the paper we intend to develop a spectral theory in purely algebraic case with all its key properties and their links to algebraic geometry. As a basic tool we are exploiting many results from commutative algebra. Actually, some key results of commutative algebra can be retreated from point of view the joint spectral theory. For example, associated primes of a Noetherian module play the role of eigenvalues whereas support primes are spectral values. That approach inherits Koszul homology groups of an algebraic variety and the related index. In the case of a variety the index is reduced to the multiplicity from the local theory [24], and we use the multiplicity formula of Serre for calculation of Koszul homology groups.

[^0]Fix a commutative $k$-algebra $R / k$ with an $n$-tuple $x$ in $R$, and an $R$-module $M$. It turns out that $x$ is a family of commuting linear transformations acting on the $k$-vector space $M$, and we have their Taylor spectrum $\sigma(x, M)$ to be the set of those $a \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$ such that the Koszul complex $\operatorname{Kos}(x-a, M)$ fails to be exact. If the homology groups $H_{p}(x-a, M)$ of $\operatorname{Kos}(x-a, M)$ are finite dimensional $k$-vector spaces we have the index $i(x-a)=\sum_{p=0}^{n}(-1)^{p} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{p}(x-a, M)\right)$ of the tuple $x-a$. The last homology group $H_{n}(x-a, M)$ responds to the submodule of all joint eigenvectors in $M$ whenever $a$ is a joint eigenvalue of the tuple $x$. The set of all eigenvalues of $x$ is called the point spectrum $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(x, M)$ of $x$. The central result of Section 2 is the spectral mapping formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(p(x), M)=p(\sigma(x, M)) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all tuples $x$ and $p(x)$ (a polynomial tuple in $x$ ) from $R$ whenever $R / k$ is an algebra finite extension of an algebraically closed field $k$ and $M$ is a Noetherian $R$-module. Moreover, $i(x)<\infty$ for an $n$-tuple $x$ from $R$ whenever $R^{\prime}=k[x] \subseteq R$ is an integral extension. In this case, $i(x)=i(y)$ for every $n$-tuple $y$ from the subalgebra $R^{\prime}$ generating the same maximal ideal $\langle x\rangle \subseteq R^{\prime}$. It is an analog of the index stability result from analysis.

In Section 3, we analyze the general case of a scheme $\left(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ and a module $M$ over the global sections $R=\Gamma\left(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$. The spectrum $\sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M)$ of the $R$-module $M$ over the scheme $\left(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ is defined as the complement to the set of those $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ such that $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U), M\right)=0, i \geq 0$ for an affine neighborhood $U$ of $x$. This spectrum was introduced in [11] as a scheme-theoretic analog of Putinar spectrum of analytic sheaves [23]. In the case of an affine scheme $\mathfrak{X}=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ the spectrum $\sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M)$ is reduced to the closure of the support $\operatorname{Supp}_{R}(M)$ of the $R$-module $M$. If $M$ is a finitely generated $R$-module then we come up with the $\operatorname{support}^{\operatorname{Supp}} \operatorname{Sup}_{R}(M)$ rather than its closure. The point spectrum $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M)$ is defined to be the set $\operatorname{Ass}_{R}(M)$ of all associated primes of $M$. The spectral mapping theorem for modules over schemes was proposed in [11]. Its affine version states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\mathfrak{Y}, M)=f(\sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M))^{-} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $\mathfrak{X}=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ and $\mathfrak{Y}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ are affine schemes, $f=\varphi^{*}: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ is a morphism responding to a ring map $\varphi: R^{\prime} \rightarrow R$, and $M \in R$-mod, which is $R^{\prime}$-module through $\varphi$ either. For a ring extension $\iota: R^{\prime} \subseteq R$ and a finitely generated $R$-module $M$ we obtain that $\iota^{*}\left(\operatorname{Supp}_{R}(M)\right)$ is dense in $\operatorname{Supp}_{R^{\prime}}(M)$. In the case of the point spectrum we prove more valuable equality without the related closure in (1.2) (being so weak, the closure of Zariski topology covers up too much). If $\iota: R^{\prime} \subseteq R$ is a ring extension with Noetherian $R$, and $M \in R$-mod, then (see Theorem 3.1)

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}, M\right)=\iota^{*}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M)\right) .
$$

Notice that similar result for the spectrum (or support) is not true (see below Remark 3.1). But that is the case if $R^{\prime} \subseteq R$ is integral and we come with classics Krull-Cohen-Seidenberg Theory [1, Ch. 14], [2, 5.2].

The spectrum over a scheme and Taylor spectrum linked with each other when $R=k[x]$ is an algebra finite extension. Namely, $\mathfrak{X}=\operatorname{Spec}(R) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$ up to a homeomorphism, $\sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M)=$ $\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right), \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M)=\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right)$, and $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(x, M)=\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{n}$. If $M$ is a Noetherian $R$ module then $\sigma(x, M)=\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{n}$ and it is a nonempty closed subset of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ whose closure in the scheme $\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$ is reduced to $\sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M)$. If $k[p(x)] \subseteq R$ is integral then

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(p(x), M)=p\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(x, M)\right)
$$

Actually, (1.1) and (1.2) can be driven from the classics whenever $k[p(x)] \subseteq R$ is integral and $M$ is Noetherian. But the spectral mapping formula (1.1) is much stronger than classics, which is true for all tuples. Thus Taylor spectrum with all its properties have an independent value.

In Section 4 we consider the case of $M=R$ of the coordinate ring $R$ of a variety $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n}$. In this case, the tuple $x \subseteq R$ consists of the coordinate functions and $\sigma(x, R)=Y$ whereas $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(x, R)=\varnothing$. In the case of an algebraic set $Y$ the point spectrum $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(x, R)$ is the set of all isolated points of $Y$. For every point $a \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$ we have $i(x-a)=0$ due to Serre's multiplicity formula from the local algebra. For a singular point $a \in Y$ the dimension of $H_{1}(x-a, R)$ could get high integers depending on the depth of singularity (see below Lemma 4.6). The link between the index and the dimension of $Y$ is obtained through the numerical polynomial $p: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $p(r)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{P}\left(P /\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\rangle^{r}, R\right)\right)$ called the Tor-polynomial, which is reduced to Hilbert-Samuel polynomial of the localization $R_{\langle x-a\rangle}$.

Finally, I wish to thank G. G. Amosov, O. Yu. Aristov, B. Bilich and A. Yu. Pirkovskii for their interest to the paper, useful discussions and to draw my attention to the papers [18] and [19.

## 2. The projection property of spectrum

In the present section we prove the projection property of spectrum based on homology groups of the Koszul complex. The technical back up is the homology of commutative rings related to Koszul homology groups.
2.1. Lemma Bourbaki. Let $R$ be a (unital) commutative ring, $K_{i} \in R$-mod, $i=0,1$, and let $\alpha: K_{1} \rightarrow K_{0}$ be an $R$-linear map (module morphism). The chain complex $0 \leftarrow K_{0}{ }^{\alpha} K_{1}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{K}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a chain complex $0 \leftarrow C_{0} \stackrel{d_{0}}{\longleftarrow} C_{1} \stackrel{d_{1}}{\leftarrow} \cdots$ in $R$-mod then $\mathcal{K} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{C}$ is the following complex

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathcal{K} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{C}\right)_{p-1} \quad\left(\mathcal{K} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{C}\right)_{p} \\
& \ldots \quad \frac{\partial_{p-2}}{\longleftarrow}\left(K_{0} \otimes_{R} C_{p-1}\right) \oplus\left(K_{1} \otimes_{R} C_{p-2}\right) \stackrel{\partial_{p-1}}{\longleftarrow}\left(K_{0} \otimes_{R} C_{p}\right) \oplus\left(K_{1} \otimes_{R} C_{p-1}\right) \stackrel{\partial_{p}}{\longleftarrow} \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

with the differential

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{p-1}\left(z_{0, p}, z_{1, p-1}\right)=\left(\left(1 \otimes d_{p-1}\right)\left(z_{0, p}\right)+(-1)^{p-1}(\alpha \otimes 1)\left(z_{1, p-1}\right),\left(1 \otimes d_{p-2}\right)\left(z_{1, p-1}\right)\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{i, j} \in K_{i} \otimes_{R} C_{j}$. The canonical embedding $i_{p}$ and projection $\pi_{p}$ define the exact sequence

$$
0 \leftarrow K_{1} \otimes_{R} C_{p-1} \stackrel{\pi_{p}}{\leftarrow}\left(K_{0} \otimes_{R} C_{p}\right) \oplus\left(K_{1} \otimes_{R} C_{p-1}\right) \stackrel{i_{p}}{\longleftarrow} K_{0} \otimes_{R} C_{p} \leftarrow 0
$$

which splits. Since the diagram

commutes, there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \leftarrow K_{1} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{C} \stackrel{\pi}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{K} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{C} \stackrel{i}{\leftarrow} K_{0} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{C} \leftarrow 0
$$

of complexes with $\operatorname{deg}(\pi)=-1$ and $\operatorname{deg}(i)=0$. The sequence in turn generates a long exact sequence of homology groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \longleftarrow H_{p-1}\left(K_{0} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{C}\right) \stackrel{\delta_{p-1}}{\longleftarrow} H_{p-1}\left(K_{1} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{C}\right) \leftarrow H_{p}\left(\mathcal{K} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{C}\right) \leftarrow H_{p}\left(K_{0} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{C}\right) \stackrel{\delta_{p}}{\leftarrow} \cdots \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the connecting morphisms $\delta_{p}, p \geq 0$. If $\mathcal{K}$ is flat (that is, $K_{i}$ are flat modules) then $H_{p}\left(K_{i} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{C}\right)=K_{i} \otimes_{R} H_{p}(\mathcal{C})$ for all $i$ and $p$.
Lemma 2.1. The morphism $\delta_{p-1}: H_{p-1}\left(K_{1} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{C}\right) \rightarrow H_{p-1}\left(K_{0} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{C}\right)$ is acting by the rule $\delta_{p-1}\left(\omega^{\sim}\right)=$ $(-1)^{p-1}((\alpha \otimes 1) \omega)^{\sim}$ for all $\omega \in \operatorname{ker}\left(1 \otimes d_{p-2}\right)$, that is, $\delta_{p-1}=(-1)^{p-1}(\alpha \otimes 1)^{\sim}$ for all $p$. In the case of a flat complex $\mathcal{K}$ the morphism $\delta_{p-1}$ is reduced to the following morphism $\delta_{p-1}$ : $K_{1} \otimes_{R} H_{p-1}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_{0} \otimes_{R} H_{p-1}(\mathcal{C}), \delta_{p-1}=(-1)^{p-1} \alpha \otimes 1$.

Proof. Take $\omega \in \operatorname{ker}\left(1 \otimes d_{p-2}\right)$. Then $(0, \omega) \in\left(K_{0} \otimes_{R} C_{p}\right) \oplus\left(K_{1} \otimes_{R} C_{p-1}\right)=\left(\mathcal{K} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{C}\right)_{p}$ and

$$
\partial_{p-1}(0, \omega)=\left((-1)^{p-1}(\alpha \otimes 1)(\omega), 0\right)=i_{p-1}\left((-1)^{p-1}(\alpha \otimes 1)(\omega)\right)
$$

(see (2.1)). Hence $(-1)^{p-1}(\alpha \otimes 1)(\omega) \in \operatorname{ker}\left(1 \otimes d_{p-2}\right)$ and $\delta_{p-1}\left(\omega^{\sim}\right)=(-1)^{p-1}((\alpha \otimes 1) \omega)^{\sim}$.
Finally, if $\mathcal{K}$ is flat and $\omega=x_{1} \otimes y_{p-1}$ with $x_{1} \in K_{1}, y_{p-1} \in \operatorname{ker}\left(d_{p-2}\right)$ then

$$
\delta_{p-1}\left(x_{1} \otimes y_{p-1}^{\sim}\right)=\delta_{p-1}\left(\omega^{\sim}\right)=(-1)^{p-1}\left(\alpha\left(x_{1}\right) \otimes y_{p-1}\right)^{\sim}=(-1)^{p-1} \alpha\left(x_{1}\right) \otimes y_{p-1}^{\sim}
$$

that is, $\delta_{p-1}=(-1)^{p-1} \alpha \otimes 1$.
The following key assertion is taken from [3, 9.5, Lemma 3 ].
Lemma 2.2. ( $N$. Bourbaki) If $\alpha: K_{1} \rightarrow K_{0}$ is a morphism of flat $R$-modules and $\mathcal{C}$ is a complex in $R$-mod then the long homology sequence generates the following short exact sequences

$$
0 \leftarrow \operatorname{ker}(\alpha) \otimes_{R} H_{p-1}(\mathcal{C}) \longleftarrow H_{p}\left(\mathcal{K} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{C}\right) \longleftarrow \operatorname{coker}(\alpha) \otimes_{R} H_{p}(\mathcal{C}) \leftarrow 0
$$

of $R$-modules, $p \geq 1$.
Proof. Using the long homology sequence (2.2) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following exact sequence
$K_{0} \otimes_{R} H_{p-1}(\mathcal{C}) \stackrel{(-1)^{p-1} \alpha \otimes 1}{\leftarrow} K_{1} \otimes_{R} H_{p-1}(\mathcal{C}) \leftarrow H_{p}\left(\mathcal{K} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{C}\right) \leftarrow K_{0} \otimes_{R} H_{p-1}(\mathcal{C}) \stackrel{(-1)^{p} \alpha \otimes 1}{\leftarrow} K_{1} \otimes_{R} H_{p}(\mathcal{C})$ of $R$-modules. Using again the flatness, we deduce that $\operatorname{ker}\left((-1)^{p-1} \alpha \otimes 1\right)=\operatorname{ker}(\alpha) \otimes_{R} H_{p-1}(\mathcal{C})$ and coker $\left((-1)^{p} \alpha \otimes 1\right)=\operatorname{coker}(\alpha) \otimes_{R} H_{p}(\mathcal{C})$. The rest is clear.

As a practical use of Lemma [2.2, let us consider the case of $K_{0}=K_{1}=R$ and $\alpha=x$ is an element of the ring $R$, which is acting over all $R$-modules as a multiplication operator. Moreover, $K_{i} \otimes_{R} H_{p}(\mathcal{C})=H_{p}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\alpha \otimes 1$ is the same action $x: H_{p}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{p}(\mathcal{C})$ of $x$ over the $R$-module $H_{p}(\mathcal{C})$ denoted by $x \mid H_{p}(\mathcal{C})$. The complex $\mathcal{K} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{C}$ is reduced to the cone Con $(x, \mathcal{C})$ of the morphism $x: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Namely, Con $(x, \mathcal{C})$ is the following complex

$$
\cdots \longleftarrow C_{p-1} \oplus C_{p-2} \stackrel{\partial_{p-1}}{\longleftarrow} C_{p} \oplus C_{p-1} \leftarrow \cdots
$$

with the differential $\partial_{p-1}\left(c_{p}, c_{p-1}\right)=\left(d_{p-1}\left(c_{p}\right)+(-1)^{p-1} x c_{p-1}, d_{p-2}\left(c_{p-1}\right)\right)$.
Corollary 2.1. If $x \in R$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is a complex of $R$-modules then the following canonical sequences

$$
0 \leftarrow \operatorname{ker}\left(x \mid H_{p-1}(\mathcal{C})\right) \longleftarrow H_{p}(x, \mathcal{C}) \longleftarrow \operatorname{coker}\left(x \mid H_{p}(\mathcal{C})\right) \leftarrow 0
$$

are exact, where $H_{p}(x, \mathcal{C})$ are homology groups of the cone $\operatorname{Con}(x, \mathcal{C})$.
Proof. Since $\operatorname{ker}(\alpha) \otimes_{R} H_{p-1}(\mathcal{C})=\operatorname{ker}(\alpha \otimes 1)=\operatorname{ker}\left(x \mid H_{p-1}(\mathcal{C})\right)$ and $\operatorname{coker}(\alpha) \otimes_{R} H_{p}(\mathcal{C})=$ coker $(\alpha \otimes 1)=\operatorname{coker}\left(x \mid H_{p}(\mathcal{C})\right)$, the result follows from Lemma 2.2.

In particular, $H_{p}(x, \mathcal{C})=0$ iff $x: H_{p-1}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{p-1}(\mathcal{C})$ is an injection and $x: H_{p}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_{p}(\mathcal{C})$ is a surjection.
2.2. Koszul homology groups. Fix a field $k, R / k$ a commutative $k$-algebra, $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ an $n$-tuple in $R$, and let $M$ be an $R$-module, which in turn is a $k$-vector space with an $n$-tuple $x$ of mutually commuting linear transformations acting on it. If it is necessary one can replace $R$ by an algebra finite extension $k[x]$ of the field $k$. For every point $a$ from the affine space $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ we have the tuple $x-a=\left(x_{1}-a_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}-a_{n}\right)$ on $M$, which is turn defines the Koszul complex $\operatorname{Kos}(x-a, M)$ :

$$
0 \leftarrow M \stackrel{\partial_{0}}{\longleftarrow} M \otimes_{k} k^{n} \stackrel{\partial_{1}}{\longleftarrow} \cdots \stackrel{\partial_{p-2}}{\longleftarrow} M \otimes_{k} \wedge^{p-1} k^{n} \stackrel{\partial_{p-1}}{\longleftarrow} M \otimes_{k} \wedge^{p} k^{n} \stackrel{\partial_{p}}{\longleftarrow} \cdots \stackrel{\partial_{n-1}}{\longleftarrow} M \leftarrow 0
$$

in $R$-mod with the differential

$$
\partial_{p-1}\left(m \otimes v_{p}\right)=\sum_{s=1}^{p}(-1)^{s+1}\left(x_{i_{s}}-a_{i_{s}}\right) m \otimes v_{p, s}
$$

where $m \in M, v_{p}=e_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{i_{p}}, v_{p, s}=e_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{e_{i_{s}}} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{i_{p}}$ (the notation $\widehat{e_{i_{s}}}$ stands for skipping $e_{i_{s}}$ from the $p$-vector) and $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ is the standard basis for $k^{n}$. The homology groups of $\operatorname{Kos}(x-a, M)$ are denoted by $H_{p}(x-a, M), p \geq 0$, which are $R$-modules. We put

$$
i(x)=\sum_{p=0}^{n}(-1)^{p+1} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{p}(x, M)\right)
$$

to be the index of the tuple $x$ whenever $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{p}(x, M)\right)<\infty$ for all $p$. In the latter case we write $i(x)<\infty$. Recall that the index $i(t)$ of a single $k$-linear transformation $t: M \rightarrow M$ is given by $i(t)=\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{ker}(t)-\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{coker}(t)$ whenever both dimensions are finite. If $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(M)<\infty$ then $i(t)=0$ for every $t$. The index of tuples of bounded linear operators acting on a Banach space is a subject of Fredholm theory from analysis [5], [14].
Lemma 2.3. If $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$ then there is an exact sequence

$$
0 \leftarrow \operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n} \mid H_{p-1}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)\right) \longleftarrow H_{p}(x, M) \longleftarrow \operatorname{coker}\left(x_{n} \mid H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)\right) \leftarrow 0
$$

of $R$-modules. In particular, if $H_{p}(x, M) \neq 0$ then $H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right) \neq 0$ or $H_{p-1}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right) \neq 0$. Moreover, if $i(x)<\infty$ then $i\left(x_{n} \mid H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)\right)<\infty$ for every $p$, and $i(x)=\sum_{p=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{p} i\left(x_{n} \mid H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)\right)$. If $i\left(x^{\prime}\right)<\infty$ then $i(x)=0$.

Proof. Put $\mathcal{C}=\operatorname{Kos}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)$ to be a complex of $R$-modules. Then $x_{n}$ defines an endomorphism of $\mathcal{C}$, which in turn generates the cone $\operatorname{Con}\left(x_{n}, \mathcal{C}\right)$. The fact $\operatorname{Con}\left(x_{n}, \mathcal{C}\right)=\operatorname{Kos}(x, M)$ is know even in the noncommutative case (see [15, Lemma 1.5]). One needs to use the vector space isomorphism $\wedge^{p} k^{n-1} \oplus \wedge^{p-1} k^{n-1} \rightarrow \wedge^{p} k^{n},\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \mapsto v_{1}+v_{2} \wedge e_{n}$, which generates $P$-module isomorphism $C_{p} \oplus C_{p-1} \rightarrow M \otimes_{k} \wedge^{p} k^{n}$ for every $p$. If $m_{p}=m \otimes v_{p} \in M \otimes_{k} \wedge^{p} k^{n}$ with $j_{p} \neq n$, then $m_{p}$ is identified with an element $\left(c_{p}, 0\right)$ of $C_{p} \oplus C_{p-1}$ and $\partial\left(c_{p}, 0\right)=\left(\partial^{\prime}\left(c_{p}\right), 0\right)$, where $\partial^{\prime}$ is the differential of $\mathcal{C}$. If $j_{p}=n$ then $m_{p}$ is identified with $\left(0, c_{p-1}\right)$, where $c_{p-1}=m \otimes v_{p-1} \in C_{p-1}, v_{p-1} \wedge e_{n}=v_{p}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial\left(0, c_{p-1}\right) & =\partial\left(m_{p}\right)=\sum_{s=1}^{p-1}(-1)^{s+1} x_{i_{s}} m \otimes v_{p-1, s} \wedge e_{n}+(-1)^{p+1} x_{n} m \otimes v_{p-1} \\
& =\partial^{\prime}\left(c_{p-1}\right) \wedge e_{n}+(-1)^{p+1} x_{n} c_{p-1}=\left((-1)^{p+1} x_{n} c_{p-1}, \partial^{\prime}\left(c_{p-1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\partial\left(c_{p}, c_{p-1}\right)=\left(\partial^{\prime}\left(c_{p}\right)+(-1)^{p-1} x_{n} c_{p-1}, \partial^{\prime}\left(c_{p-1}\right)\right)$ for all $\left(c_{p}, c_{p-1}\right) \in C_{p} \oplus C_{p-1}$, which means that $\partial$ is the morphism of $\operatorname{Con}\left(x_{n}, \mathcal{C}\right)$. It remains to use Corollary [2.1.

Finally, consider the case of $i(x)<\infty$. Since $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{p}(x, M)\right)<\infty$ for every $p$, it follows that $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n} \mid H_{p-1}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)\right)<\infty$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{coker}\left(x_{n} \mid H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)\right)<\infty$ for every $p$, which means that $i\left(x_{n} \mid H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)\right)<\infty$ for every $p$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
i(x) & =\sum_{p=0}^{n}(-1)^{p+1}\left(\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n} \mid H_{p-1}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)\right)+\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{coker}\left(x_{n} \mid H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{p=0}^{n}(-1)^{p+1} \operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{coker}\left(x_{n} \mid H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)\right)+(-1)^{p} \operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n} \mid H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{p=0}^{n}(-1)^{p} i\left(x_{n} \mid H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $i(x)=\sum_{p=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{p} i\left(x_{n} \mid H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)\right)\left(\right.$ for $\left.H_{n}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)=0\right)$. In particular, if $i\left(x^{\prime}\right)<\infty$ then $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)\right)<\infty$ and $i\left(x_{n} \mid H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)\right)=0$ for all $p$. Therefore $i(x)=0$.

The Taylor spectrum $\sigma(x, M)$ of the operator tuple $x$ on $M$ is defined to be a subset of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ of those $a$ such that $\operatorname{Kos}(x-a, M)$ is not exact. The point spectrum $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(x, M)$ consists of those $a \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$ such that $H_{n}(x-a, M) \neq 0$. Taking into account $H_{n}(x-a, M)=\operatorname{ker} \partial_{n-1}$, we conclude that $a \in \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(x, M)$ iff there is a nonzero $m \in M$ such that $x_{i} m=a_{i} m$ for all $i$, that is, $a$ turns out to be a joint eigenvalue and $m$ is the related joint eigenvector (see [4]).
Corollary 2.2. Let $R / k$ be an algebra, $M \in R$-mod, $x$ an $n$-tuple from $R$. Then $\pi(\sigma(x, M)) \subseteq$ $\sigma\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)$, where $\pi: \mathbb{A}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n-1}$ is the canonical projection onto first $n-1$ coordinates.

Proof. If $a \in \sigma(x, M)$ then $H_{p}(x-a, M) \neq 0$ for some $p$. By Lemma 2.3, either $H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}-a^{\prime}, M\right) \neq$ 0 or $H_{p-1}\left(x^{\prime}-a^{\prime}, M\right) \neq 0$, where $a^{\prime}=\pi(a)$. Whence $a^{\prime} \in \sigma\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)$.

Put $M_{i}=M /\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}\right\rangle M, i \geq 1$. Recall [3, 9.6], [1, Ch. 23] that if $M_{n} \neq\{0\}$ and $x_{i} \notin \operatorname{zdiv}\left(M_{i-1}\right)$ (zero-divisors) for all $i$, then $x$ is called a regular sequence. In the case of a nonzero Noetherian module $M$ and $x \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(M)$ the condition $M_{n} \neq\{0\}$ is satisfied automatically. Indeed, otherwise $\langle x\rangle M=M$ implies that $(1+a) M=\{0\}$ for some $a \in\langle x\rangle$ (Nakayama Lemma), which means that $1+a \in \operatorname{Ann}(M) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(M)$ or $1 \in \operatorname{rad}(M)$, a contradiction.
Corollary 2.3. Let $R / k$ be a $k$-algebra, $M \in R$-mod, and let $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \subseteq R$ be a regular sequence for $M$. Then $H_{i}(x, M)=0$ for all $i>0$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $n$. If $n=1$ the result follows. In the general case, we put $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$, which is regular either. By induction hypothesis, $H_{i}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)=0$ for all $i>0$. By Lemma 2.3, $H_{p}(x, M)=0$ for all $p \geq 2$. Moreover, $H_{1}(x, M)=\operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n} \mid H_{0}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)\right)$ and $H_{0}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)=M /\left\langle x^{\prime}\right\rangle M$. But $x_{n}: M /\left\langle x^{\prime}\right\rangle M \rightarrow M /\left\langle x^{\prime}\right\rangle M$ is injective by assumption, therefore $H_{1}(x, M)=0$. Whence $H_{i}(x, M)=0$ for all $i>0$.

In the case of a local ring $R$ and a Noetherian module $M$ the statement of Corollary 2.3 turns out to be a criteria for regularity of a tuple (see [7] for regularity in the noncommutative setting). One can easily seen that the tuple $X=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ is a regular sequence for the polynomial algebra $P=k[X]$ to be a $P$-module. By Corollary 2.3, $H_{i}(X, P)=0$ for all $i>0$, and $H_{0}(X, P)=P / \mathfrak{t}=$ $k$, where $\mathfrak{t}=\langle X\rangle$ is the maximal ideal of $P$. Thus $0 \leftarrow k \longleftarrow \operatorname{Kos}(X, P)$ is exact or $\operatorname{Kos}(X, P)$ provides a free $P$-module resolution for the $P$-module $k$. In particular, for every $P$-module $M$ we obtain that $\operatorname{Kos}(X, P) \otimes_{P} M=\operatorname{Kos}(x, M)$ and $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{P}(k, M)=H_{i}\left(\operatorname{Kos}(X, P) \otimes_{P} M\right)=H_{i}(x, M)$, where $x$ is the $n$-tuple of linear transformations on $M$ given by $X$-action on $M$ (see also below Subsection (3.4).

Let $R / k$ be a $k$-algebra, $R^{\prime}=k[x] \subseteq R$ a subalgebra with an $n$-tuple $x$. An $m$-tuple $y$ from $R^{\prime}$ is said to be related to $x$ if $x$ and $y$ generate the same (maximal) ideal $\langle x\rangle=\langle y\rangle$ in $R^{\prime}$. In particular, $x$ is always related to $x$ itself.

The following assertion is well know [25]. Below we provide its modified (a bit) version with the detailed proof.

Lemma 2.4. If $R / k$ is an algebra, $x$ an $n$-tuple in $R$ and $y$ is an $m$-tuple related to $x$, then the $k[x]$-module structure of $H_{p}(y, M)$ is reduced to its $k$-vector space structure for every $p \geq 0$. In particular, the action of a polynomial $p(x) \in R$ on $H_{p}(y, M)$ is just the multiplication by $p(0)$ operator.

Proof. For every $i$ let us define the $P$-linear map

$$
\gamma_{i}: M \otimes_{k} \wedge^{p} k^{m} \rightarrow M \otimes_{k} \wedge^{p+1} k^{m}, \quad \gamma_{i}\left(u_{p}\right)=u \otimes\left(e_{i} \wedge v_{p}\right)
$$

where $u_{p}=u \otimes v_{p}, e_{i} \wedge v_{p}=(-1)^{s-1} e_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{i} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{i_{p}}=(-1)^{s-1} v_{p+1}$ whenever $v_{p}=e_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge e_{i_{p}}$ and $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{s-1}<i<i_{s}<\cdots<i_{p}$ for some $s$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{p} \gamma_{i}\left(u_{p}\right) & =(-1)^{s-1} \sum_{k<s}(-1)^{k+1} y_{i_{k}} u \otimes v_{p+1, k}+x_{i} u \otimes v_{p}+(-1)^{s-1} \sum_{k \geq s}(-1)^{k} y_{i_{k}} u \otimes v_{p+1, k} \\
& =-\sum_{k<s}(-1)^{k+1} y_{i_{k}} u \otimes e_{i} \wedge v_{p, k}+\sum_{k \geq s}(-1)^{k} y_{i_{k}} u \otimes e_{i} \wedge v_{p, k}+x_{i} m \otimes v_{p} \\
& =-\gamma_{i} \partial_{p-1}\left(u_{p}\right)+y_{i} u_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $u_{p} \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\partial_{p-1}\right)$ then $y_{i} m_{p}=\partial_{p} \gamma_{i}\left(u_{p}\right) \in \operatorname{im}\left(\partial_{p}\right)$, which means that the action of $y_{i}$ over $H_{p}(y, M)$ is trivial, that is, $y H_{p}(y, M)=\{0\}$. But $H_{p}(y, M)$ is an $R$-module, therefore $\langle y\rangle H_{p}(y, M)=\{0\}$. Taking into account that $\langle x\rangle=\langle y\rangle$ in $R^{\prime}$, we deduce that $\langle x\rangle H_{p}(y, M)=\{0\}$.

Finally, take $p(x) \in R^{\prime}$. Then $p(x)=p(0)+g, g \in\langle x\rangle$ and $p(x) H_{p}(y, M)=p(0) H_{p}(y, M)$.

Since $R^{\prime}=k[x]=k[x-a]$ and $\langle x-a\rangle \subseteq R^{\prime}$ is a maximal ideal, we conclude that $k[x]$-module structure of $H_{p}(x-a, M)$ is reduced to its $k$-vector space structure for every $p \geq 0$. Actually, the action of a polynomial $p(x) \in R$ on $H_{p}(x-a, M)$ is just the multiplication by $p(a)$ operator.

Again consider $R^{\prime}=k[x] \subseteq R$ a subalgebra with an $n$-tuple $x$, and an $m$-tuple $y$ from $R^{\prime}$ related to $x$. If $p(x) \in R^{\prime}$ then $z=(y, p(x))$ is an $m+1$-tuple in $R$.

Proposition 2.1. If $b=(0, \lambda) \in \sigma(z, M)$ for some $\lambda \in k$, then $0 \in \sigma(y, M)$ and $\lambda=p(0)$. Moreover, $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{p}(z-b, M)\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{k} H_{p-1}(y, M)+\operatorname{dim}_{k} H_{p}(y, M)$ for all $p$, and $i(z-b)=0$ whenever $i(y)<\infty$.

Proof. Suppose $b \in \sigma(z, M)$ and $H_{j}(z-b, M) \neq 0$ for some $j$. Using Corollary [2.2, we derive that $0 \in \sigma(y, M)$. By Lemma [2.3, the following sequence

$$
0 \leftarrow \operatorname{ker}\left(p(x)-\lambda \mid H_{j-1}(y, M)\right) \longleftarrow H_{j}(z-b, M) \longleftarrow \operatorname{coker}\left(p(x)-\lambda \mid H_{j}(y, M)\right) \leftarrow 0
$$

turns out to be exact. By Lemma [2.4, $p(x) H_{p}(y, M)=p(0) H_{p}(y, M)$ for every $p(x) \in R^{\prime}$. Thus the actions of $p(x)-\lambda$ over the homology groups $H_{i}(y, M)$ are reduced to the constant multiplication operators by $p(0)-\lambda$. If $\lambda \neq p(0)$ then $p(x)-\lambda$ defines an invertible action over homology groups. Therefore the corners of the exact sequence are vanishing, which means that $H_{j}(z-b, M)=0$, a contradiction. Hence $\lambda=p(0)$. Using again Lemma 2.3, for every $p$ we obtain the following

$$
0 \leftarrow H_{p-1}(y, M) \longleftarrow H_{p}(z-b, M) \longleftarrow H_{p}(y, M) \leftarrow 0
$$

exact sequence. In particular, $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{p}(z-b, M)\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{k} H_{p-1}(y, M)+\operatorname{dim}_{k} H_{p}(y, M)$ whenever $i(y)<\infty$. Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
i(z-b) & =\sum_{p=0}^{n+1}(-1)^{p+1} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{p}(z-b, M)\right) \\
& =\sum_{p=0}^{n+1}(-1)^{p+1} \operatorname{dim}_{k} H_{p-1}(y, M)+(-1)^{p+1} \operatorname{dim}_{k} H_{p}(y, M)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $i(z-b)=0$.
Remark 2.1. The assertion just proven is equally true for the tuple $z=(p(x), y)$ swapped. Namely, if $b=(\lambda, 0) \in \sigma(z, M)$ for some $\lambda \in k$, then $0 \in \sigma(y, M)$ and $\lambda=p(0)$.

Corollary 2.4. If $w=(x, p(x))$ is an $n+1$-tuple in $R$ and $c=(a, \lambda) \in \sigma(w, M)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$ and $\lambda \in k$, then $a \in \sigma(x, M)$ and $\lambda=p(a)$.
Proof. Put $y=x-a, R^{\prime}=k[y], z=(y, q(y))$ and $b=(0, \lambda)$, where $q(y)=p(y+a)$. Then $z-b=(y, q(y)-\lambda)=w-c$, which means that $b \in \sigma(z, M)$. It remains to use Proposition 2.1 for $y$ instead of $x$. Then $0 \in \sigma(y, M)$ (or $a \in \sigma(x, M))$ and $\lambda=q(0)=p(a)$.

Now let us define the following one-to-one function

$$
f_{n}: \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n+2}, \tau_{n}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)=\left(d_{0}, d_{0}+d_{1}, d_{1}+d_{2}, \ldots, d_{n-1}+d_{n}, d_{n}\right)
$$

that generates Fibonacci numbers by increasing $n+1$-tuples of nonnegative integers. If $x$ is an $n$-tuple from $R$ with $i(x)<\infty$ then $d(x)=\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n+1}$ is a tuple of dimensions $d_{p}=\operatorname{dim}_{k} H_{p}(x, M), 0 \leq p \leq n$.
Corollary 2.5. Let $R / k$ be an algebra, $R^{\prime}=k[x] \subseteq R$ a subalgebra with an $n$-tuple $x$, and related to $x$ an $m$-tuple $y$. If $(0, \lambda) \in \sigma((x, y), M)$ and both $i(x)$ and $i(y)$ are finite, then $\lambda=0$, $d(x)=f_{n-m-1} \ldots f_{m}(d(y))$ and $i(x)=\delta_{n m} i(y)$ whenever $m \leq n$.
Proof. Put $z=(x, y)$ to be $n+m$-tuple from $R$. Note that $y$ is a tuple of polynomials from $R^{\prime}$ such that $y \subseteq\langle x\rangle \subseteq R^{\prime}$, that is, $y(0)=0$. By Corollary 2.4, $0 \in \sigma(x, M)$ and $\lambda=y(0)=0 \in \sigma(y, M)$ (see Corollary (2.2). Thus $0 \in \sigma(z, M)$ and $d(z)=f_{n+m-1} \ldots f_{n}(d(x))$ thanks Proposition 2.1. By symmetry (see Remark (2.1), we deduce $d(z)=f_{n+m-1} \ldots f_{m}(d(y))$ either. If $m \leq n$ then $d(x)=f_{n-m-1} \ldots f_{m}(d(y))$ due to the property to be a one-to-one function. If $n>m$ then $i(x)=0$ (see Proposition 2.1). But if $m=n$ then $d(x)=d(y)$ and $i(x)=i(y)$.

Remark 2.2. If $R^{\prime}=k[x]=k[y]$ for an $m$-tuple $y \subseteq\langle x\rangle$ then $y$ is a tuple related to $x$. Indeed, $x_{i}=q_{i}(y) \in k[y]=R^{\prime}$ for every $i$. It follows that $x_{i}=q_{i}(0)+h_{i}(y), h_{i}(y) \in\langle y\rangle \subseteq\langle x\rangle$. Hence $q_{i}(0)=0$, that is, $x_{i} \in\langle y\rangle$. Thus $\langle x\rangle=\langle y\rangle$ in $R^{\prime}$.
2.3. Noetherian modules and triangular actions. As above we have an algebra $R / k$ and an $R$-module $M$ with an $n$-tuple $x$ from $R$. If $R$ is Noetherian and $M$ is a finitely generated $R$-module then it turns out to be Noetherian automatically. Conversely, a Noetherian $R$-module has a finitely many generators. For brevity we say that $M$ is a Noetherian $R$-module with an acting tuple $x$ on $M$. Since $M \otimes_{k} \wedge^{i} k^{n}$ is a Noetherian $R$-module, it follows that so is $H_{i}(x, M)$ for every $i$.
Lemma 2.5. Let $R=k[x]$ be an algebra finite extension with an $n$-tuple $x$, $\mathfrak{m}=\langle x\rangle \subseteq R a$ maximal ideal, and let $M$ be an $R$-module. Then $H_{i}(x, M)_{\mathfrak{m}}=H_{i}(x, M)$ for all $i \geq 0$. If $M$ is Noetherian and $H_{p}(x, M)=0$ for some $p$, then $H_{j}(x, M)=0$ for all $j \geq p$.

Proof. By Lemma [2.4, the action of every $p(x) \notin \mathfrak{m}$ on $H_{i}(x, M)$ is reduced to the diagonal operator $p(0)$, which is invertible. Therefore $H_{i}(x, M)_{\mathfrak{m}}=H_{i}(x, M)$ (see [1, 12.1, 12.4]).

Now assume that $M$ is a Noetherian $R$-module and prove that $H_{p}(x, M)=0$ implies that $H_{j}(x, M)=0$ for all $j \geq p$. If $R$ is a local $k$-algebra with its maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and $x \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ then the result follows thanks to Lemma [2.3. Namely, we proceed by induction on $n$ for a nonzero Noetherian $R$-module $M$. Since $M / x_{1} M \neq 0$ (Nakayama lemma), the result follows in the case of $n=1$. Put $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$ and suppose $H_{p}(x, M)=0$. By Lemma 2.3, we obtain that coker $\left(x_{n} \mid H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)\right)=0$. Since $H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)$ is a Noetherian $R$-module and $x_{n} \in \operatorname{rad} R$, it follows that $H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right) / x_{n} H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right) \neq 0$ whenever $H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right) \neq 0$ (Nakayama lemma). Hence $H_{p}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)=0$, and $H_{j}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)=0, j \geq p$ by induction hypothesis. Using again Lemma 2.3, we obtain the exact sequence

$$
0 \leftarrow \operatorname{ker}\left(x_{n} \mid H_{j}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)\right) \longleftarrow H_{j+1}(x, M) \longleftarrow \operatorname{coker}\left(x_{n} \mid H_{j+1}\left(x^{\prime}, M\right)\right) \leftarrow 0
$$

for every $j \geq p$. It follows that $H_{j+1}(x, M)=0$ for all $j \geq p$. Optionally one can use [12, Theorem 17.6].

In the general case, $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a Noetherian $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$-module and $H_{i}(x, M)=H_{i}(x, M)_{\mathfrak{m}}=H_{i}\left(x / 1, M_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$ (the localization is an exact functor) $i \geq 0$, where $x / 1$ is the tuple representing $\left(x_{1} / 1, \ldots, x_{n} / 1\right) \subseteq$ $\mathfrak{m} R_{\mathfrak{m}}=\operatorname{rad} R_{\mathfrak{m}}$. If $H_{p}(x, M)=0$ then $H_{p}\left(x / 1, M_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)=0$ and we come up with the local $k$-algebra case $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Based on the fact just proven, we conclude that $H_{j}\left(x / 1, M_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)=0$ for all $j \geq p$. Hence $H_{j}(x, M)=0$ for all $j \geq p$.

Now we analyze tuples $y$ from an algebra finite extension $R / k$ which admit finite index. Everywhere below we assume that $k$ is an algebraically closed field. A tuple $y$ whose extension $k[y] \subseteq k[x]$ is integral plays a key role in this manner.

Theorem 2.1. Let $k \subseteq R^{\prime} \subseteq R$ be a ring extensions of the field $k$ such that $R / k$ is an algebra finite extension and $R / R^{\prime}$ is integral. If $M$ is a Noetherian $R$-module then $i(y)<\infty$ whenever $y$ is a tuple in $R$ generating a maximal ideal in $R^{\prime}$. In this case, the $R$-module structure on every $H_{p}(y, M)$ is triangularizable (or it is a semilocal $R$-module) whereas its $R^{\prime}$-module structure is diagonalizable.

Proof. Since $R / R^{\prime}$ is algebra finite and integral, it follows that $R$ is module finite over $R^{\prime}$ [1, 10.18]. But $M$ is module finite over $R$, therefore $M$ is module finite over $R^{\prime}$ (see [1, 10.16]). Moreover, $R^{\prime} / k$ is an algebra finite extension by Artin-Tate [1, 16.17], and it is Noetherian (Hilbert Basis). In particular, $M$ is a Noetherian $R^{\prime}$-module either, and so are all homology groups $H_{p}(y, M)$ for all tuples $y$ in $R^{\prime}$. Assume that $y$ is an $m$-tuple generating a maximal ideal $\langle y\rangle$ in $R^{\prime}$. But $R^{\prime}=k\left[y^{\prime}\right]$ for a certain $s$-tuple $y^{\prime} \subseteq R^{\prime}$, and the maximal ideals of $R^{\prime}$ respond to points from $\mathbb{A}^{s}$ by Zariski Nullstellensatz [1, 15.4]. In particular, $\langle y\rangle=\left\langle y^{\prime}-a^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for some $a^{\prime} \in \mathbb{A}^{s}$. Thus we can assume that $R^{\prime}=k\left[y^{\prime}\right]$ and $\langle y\rangle=\left\langle y^{\prime}\right\rangle$, which means that $y$ is a tuple related to $y^{\prime}$. By Lemma 2.4, the $R^{\prime}$-module structure of $H_{p}(y, M)$ is diagonalizable, and it is just the $k$-vector space structure. In particular, every ascending chain of vector subspaces in $H_{p}(y, M)$ turns out to be a chain of $R^{\prime}$-submodules which has to stabilize being a Noetherian $R^{\prime}$-module. Hence $H_{p}(y, M)$ is a finite dimensional $k$-vector space, and $i(y)<\infty$.

But $H_{p}(y, M)$ has also Noetherian $R$-module structure on. In particular, $H_{p}(y, M)$ has the finite length as an $R$-module, which means that there is a Jordan-Hölder series with the quotients $R / \mathfrak{m}_{j}$ for some maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_{j} \subseteq R$. Thus $H_{p}(y, M)$ is a semilocal $R$-module, that is, $\operatorname{Supp}_{R}\left(H_{p}(y, M)\right)$ is finite. As above $R=k[x]$ for some $n$-tuple $x$, and maximal ideals respond to points from $\mathbb{A}^{n}$. Hence there is a chain $0=V_{s} \nsubseteq V_{s-1} \nsubseteq \cdots \nsubseteq V_{0}=H_{p}(y, M)$ of $R$-submodules such that $V_{j} / V_{j+1}=R / \mathfrak{m}_{j}=k$ with $\mathfrak{m}_{j}=\left\langle x-b^{(j)}\right\rangle, b^{(j)} \in \mathbb{A}^{n}, 1 \leq j \leq s$. Thus
$s=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{p}(x, M)\right)$ and

$$
\operatorname{Supp}_{R}\left(H_{p}(y, M)\right)=\operatorname{Ass}_{R}\left(H_{p}(y, M)\right)=\operatorname{Max}_{R}\left(H_{p}(y, M)\right)=\left\{\mathfrak{m}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_{s}\right\}
$$

with their multiplicities (see [1, 19.4]). Based on the chain we can easily construct a basis $\omega=$ $\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{s}\right)$ for $H_{p}(y, M)$ such that

$$
x_{i} \left\lvert\, H_{p}(y, M)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
b_{i}^{(1)} & & 0 \\
& \ddots & \\
* & & b_{i}^{(s)}
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ with respect to $\omega$. In particular,

$$
p(x) \left\lvert\, H_{p}(y, M)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
p\left(b^{(1)}\right) & & 0 \\
& \ddots & \\
* & & p\left(b^{(s)}\right)
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

for every $p(x) \in R$, which means that $R$-action on $H_{p}(y, M)$ is triangularizable.
Corollary 2.6. Let $R / k$ be an algebra finite extension, and let $\mathfrak{a} \nsubseteq R$ be an ideal. The following assertions are equivalent: ( $i$ ) there is a tuple $y$ generating $\mathfrak{a}$ such that $k[y] \subseteq R$ is integral; (ii) there is a tuple $y$ generating $\mathfrak{a}$ such that $i(y)<\infty$ for every Noetherian $R$-module $M$ and the $R$ module structure on every $H_{p}(y, M)$ is triangularizable; (iii) the radical $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ is a finite intersection of maximal ideals of $R$.

Proof. The implication $(i) \Rightarrow(i i)$ is due to Theorem [2.1. Let prove the implication $(i i) \Rightarrow$ (iii). Suppose that $\mathfrak{a}=\langle y\rangle$ for some tuple $y$ such that $i(y)<\infty$ for every Noetherian $R$-module $M$, and the $R$-module structure on every $H_{p}(y, M)$ is triangularizable. By Lemma [2.4, $k[y]-$ module structure on $H_{p}(y, M)$ is diagonalizable. In particular, $\mathfrak{a}=\langle y\rangle \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{R}\left(H_{p}(y, M)\right)$, where $\operatorname{Ann}_{R}\left(H_{p}(y, M)\right)$ is the annihilator of the $R$-module $H_{p}(y, M)$. Taking into account that $R$-module $H_{p}(y, M)$ is semilocal (or triangularizable), we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} & \subseteq \sqrt{\operatorname{Ann}_{R}\left(H_{p}(y, M)\right)}=\operatorname{nil}_{R}\left(H_{p}(y, M)\right)=\cap \operatorname{Supp}_{R}\left(H_{p}(y, M)\right)=\cap \operatorname{Ass}_{R}\left(H_{p}(y, M)\right) \\
& =\cap_{j=1}^{s} \mathfrak{m}_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} \subseteq \cap_{j=1}^{s} \mathfrak{m}_{j}$ (see to the proof of Theorem [2.1, and [1, 13.6]). If $p=0$ and $M=R$, then we obtain that $H_{0}(y, R)=R /\langle y\rangle=R / \mathfrak{a}$ and $\cap_{j=1}^{s} \mathfrak{m}_{j}=\operatorname{nil}_{R}(R / \mathfrak{a})=\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$.

Finally prove that $(i i i) \Rightarrow(i)$. Suppose that $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}=\cap_{j=1}^{s} \mathfrak{m}_{j}$ for some maximal ideals $\left\{\mathfrak{m}_{j}\right\}$. It is a primary decomposition and $\operatorname{Ass}(R / \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}) \subseteq\left\{\mathfrak{m}_{j}\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{Max}(R / \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}})$ (see [1, 18.17]). Since $R / \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ is Noetherian, we deduce that $\operatorname{Spec}(R / \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}})=\operatorname{Max}(R / \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}})$ (see [1, 17.14]) or the Krull $\operatorname{dimension} \operatorname{dim}(R / \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}})=0$. By Akizuki-Hopkins Theorem [1, 19.8], we conclude that $R / \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ is an Artinian ring. Using again Zariski Nullstellensatz, we deduce that $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(R / \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}})<\infty$ (the gaps of a Jordan-Hölder series are $R / \mathfrak{m}_{j}=k$ ). But $R=k[x]$ for some $n$-tuple $x$, and every $x_{i}$ defines a linear transformation on $R / \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$. By Cayley-Hamilton Theorem, $q_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ for a monic polynomial $q_{i} \in k[t]$. It follows that $y_{i}=p_{i}\left(x_{i}\right) \in \mathfrak{a}$ for a monic polynomial $p_{i} \in k[t]$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Put $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ and consider the subalgebra $R^{\prime}=k[y] \subseteq R$. For every $i$ we have $\left(p_{i}-y_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)=0$, that is, $x_{i}$ is integral over $R^{\prime}$ and $R=R^{\prime}[x]$. Hence $R$ is module finite over $R^{\prime}$ or $R^{\prime} \subseteq R$ is an integral extension [1, 10.18]. But $y$ can easily be extended up to generators of the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$. Just consider $\mathfrak{b}=\langle y\rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ and pick up generators from $\mathfrak{b} / \mathfrak{a}$, or just add up another generators of $\mathfrak{a}$ to $y$ being a Noetherian ideal. Whence $\mathfrak{a}=\langle y\rangle$ and $k[y] \subseteq R$ is an integral extension.

Recall that if $M$ is a module over a $k$-algebra $R$ and $t \in R$, which defines a linear transformation $t \mid M$ over the $k$-vector space $M$, then its spectrum $\sigma(t \mid M)$ is defined to be a subset of those $\lambda \in k$ such that $t-\lambda$ is not an invertible linear transformation over $M$. Actually, it is just the Taylor spectrum $\sigma(t, M)$ of the single tuple $t$ from the algebra $R$. Note also that it is not expected that $t^{-1} \in R$ in the case of an invertible linear transformation $t$ over $M$, that is, $0 \notin \sigma(t)$ (see below Example (2.2).

Proposition 2.2. (Non voidness) Let $R / k$ be an algebra finite extension and $M$ a Noetherian $R$-module. Then $\sigma(t \mid M) \neq \varnothing$ for every $t \in R$. For every chain $0=M_{0} \nsubseteq M_{1} \nsubseteq \cdots \nsubseteq M_{n-1} \nsubseteq$ $M_{n}=M$ of submodules with $M_{i} / M_{i-1}=R / \mathfrak{p}_{i}$ and $\operatorname{Ass}(M) \subseteq\left\{\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{n}\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{Supp}(M)$, we have $\sigma(t \mid M)=\cup_{i} \sigma\left(t \mid R / \mathfrak{p}_{i}\right)$. In particular, $\sigma(t \mid M)$ is either finite set or it is a dense subset of $\mathbb{A}^{1}$.
Proof. We can assume that $M \neq 0$ and $R=k[x]$ for an $n$-tuple $x$. Then $\operatorname{Supp}(M) \neq \varnothing$. Actually, $\operatorname{Supp}(M)=V(\operatorname{Ann}(M))($ see [1, 13.4]) to be the set of all primes containing the annihilator $\operatorname{Ann}(M)$ of $M$. In particular, there is a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$ from $\operatorname{Supp}(M)$, that is, $M_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$. By Zariski Nullstellensatz, $\mathfrak{m}=\langle x-a\rangle$ for some $a \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$. Take $t=t(x) \in R$. Then $t-t(a) \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $\langle(t / 1)-t(a)\rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{m} R_{\mathfrak{m}}=\operatorname{rad}\left(R_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$. By Nakayama lemma, $(M /\langle t-t(a)\rangle M)_{\mathfrak{m}}=$ $M_{\mathfrak{m}} /\langle(t / 1)-t(a)\rangle M_{\mathfrak{m}} \neq 0$. It follows that $M /\langle t-t(a)\rangle M \neq 0$ or $\operatorname{im}(t-t(a)) \neq M$, that is, $t(a) \in \sigma(t \mid M)$.

Further, consider a chain $0=M_{0} \varsubsetneqq M_{1} \varsubsetneqq \cdots \nsubseteq M_{n-1} \varsubsetneqq M_{n}=M$ of submodules with $M_{i} / M_{i-1}=R / \mathfrak{p}_{i}$ and $\operatorname{Ass}(M) \subseteq\left\{\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{n}\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{Supp}(M)$ (see [1, 17.16]). If $t-\lambda$ is invertible on $M$ then $(t-\lambda)^{-1}$ is an $R$-linear map too. Hence $t-\lambda$ is invertible iff so are all $(t-\lambda) \mid M_{i} / M_{i-1}$, that is, $\sigma(t \mid M)=\cup_{i} \sigma\left(t \mid R / \mathfrak{p}_{i}\right)$.

Finally, consider the case of $M=R / \mathfrak{p}$ for some prime $\mathfrak{p}$, which is the coordinate ring of the variety $Y=V(\mathfrak{p}) \cap \mathbb{A}^{n} \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n}$. Then $\operatorname{zdiv}(M)=\{0\}$, and $\lambda \in \sigma(t \mid M)$ iff $t-\lambda \in \mathfrak{m}$ for some maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ containing $\mathfrak{p}$. By Zariski Nullstellensatz, $\mathfrak{m}=\langle x-a\rangle$ for some $a \in Y$, that is, $\lambda=t(a)$. Hence $\sigma(t \mid M)=t(Y)$ is an irreducible subset of $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ (see [2, 2.4.4]). But $\sigma(t \mid M)$ is irreducible iff so is its closure $\sigma(t \mid M)^{-}$in $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ (see [2, 2.4.2]). So $\sigma(t \mid M)^{-}$is either singleton or $\sigma(t \mid M)^{-}=\mathbb{A}^{1}$. But $\sigma(t \mid M) \neq \varnothing$, therefore $\sigma(t \mid M)$ is either singleton or it is a dense irreducible subset of $\mathbb{A}^{1}$.

Example 2.1. As an example of the case $\sigma(t \mid M)=\mathbb{A}^{1}$ consider the action of $X$ on the PID $k[X]$. If $\ell_{R}(M)<\infty$ then Ass $(M)=\operatorname{Max}(M)$ and $M$ is a finite dimensional $k$-vector space (see [1, 19.4]). In this case, $t$ is a triangularizable whose diagonal entries consist of its finite spectrum $\sigma(t \mid M)$.
Example 2.2. The spectrum can be empty set unless $M$ is Noetherian. Put $R=k[X]$ and $M=k(X)=\operatorname{Frac}(R)$. Then $M$ is an $R$-module and $X$ is acting as a multiplication operator over $M$. For every $\lambda \in k$ we have $X-\lambda$ is invertible and $(X-\lambda)^{-1}$ is the multiplication operator on $M$ by $1 /(X-\lambda)$. Note also that $(X-\lambda)^{-1} \notin R$.

Example 2.3. The spectrum $\sigma(t \mid M)$ can be an open subset of $\mathbb{A}^{1}$. Let $M$ be the coordinate ring of the hyperbola $Y=\{x y=1\}$ in $\mathbb{A}^{2}$, and $t=x$. Then $\sigma(t \mid M)=t(Y)=\mathbb{A}^{1}-\{0\}$ is an open dense subset of $\mathbb{A}^{1}$.

Now we can prove a key result of the present section.
Theorem 2.2. (The projection property) Let $R / k$ be an algebra finite extension of the field $k$, $M$ a Noetherian $R$-module, $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$ an $m$-tuple in $R$, and let $y^{\prime}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m-1}\right)$. Then $\sigma\left(y^{\prime}, M\right)=\pi(\sigma(y, M))$, where $\pi: \mathbb{A}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{m-1}$ is the canonical projection onto first $m-1$ coordinates.

Proof. The inclusion $\pi(\sigma(y, M)) \subseteq \sigma\left(y^{\prime}, M\right)$ holds in the general case thanks to Corollary 2.2. Conversely, take $a^{\prime} \in \sigma\left(y^{\prime}, M\right)$. Then $H_{p}\left(y^{\prime}-a^{\prime}, M\right) \neq 0$ for some $p$. But $H_{p}\left(y^{\prime}-a^{\prime}, M\right)$ is a Noetherian $R$-module, and $y_{m}$ defines a linear transformation on it. By Proposition 2.2, $\sigma\left(y_{m} \mid H_{p}\left(y^{\prime}-a^{\prime}, M\right)\right) \neq \varnothing$, that is,

$$
y_{m}-a_{m}: H_{p}\left(y^{\prime}-a^{\prime}, M\right) \rightarrow H_{p}\left(y^{\prime}-a^{\prime}, M\right)
$$

is not invertible for some $a_{m} \in k$. If coker $\left(y_{m}-a_{m} \mid H_{p}\left(y^{\prime}-a^{\prime}, M\right)\right) \neq 0$ then using the following exact (Lemma 2.3) sequence $0 \leftarrow \operatorname{ker}\left(y_{m}-a_{m} \mid H_{p-1}\left(y^{\prime}-a^{\prime}, M\right)\right) \longleftarrow H_{p}(y-a, M) \longleftarrow \operatorname{coker}\left(y_{m}-a_{m} \mid H_{p}\left(y^{\prime}-a^{\prime}, M\right)\right) \leftarrow 0$, we conclude that $H_{p}(y-a, M) \neq 0$. If $\operatorname{ker}\left(y_{m}-a_{m} \mid H_{p}\left(y^{\prime}-a^{\prime}, M\right)\right) \neq 0$ then using the exact sequence
$0 \leftarrow \operatorname{ker}\left(y_{m}-a_{m} \mid H_{p}\left(y^{\prime}-a^{\prime}, M\right)\right) \longleftarrow H_{p+1}(y-a, M) \longleftarrow \operatorname{coker}\left(y_{m}-a_{m} \mid H_{p+1}\left(y^{\prime}-a^{\prime}, M\right)\right) \leftarrow 0$, we conclude that $H_{p+1}(y-a, M) \neq 0$. Hence either $H_{p}(y-a, M) \neq 0$ or $H_{p+1}(y-a, M) \neq 0$. Anyway $a \in \sigma(y, M)$ and $\pi(a)=a^{\prime}$.
Corollary 2.7. Let $R / k$ be an algebra finite extension of the field $k$ and let $M$ be a Noetherian $R$-module. Then $\sigma(y, M) \neq \varnothing$ for every tuple $y$ in $R$.

Proof. Indeed, using Theorem [2.2, we conclude that $\pi_{1}(\sigma(y, M))=\sigma\left(y_{1}, M\right)=\sigma\left(y_{1} \mid M\right)$, where $\pi_{1}: \mathbb{A}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ is the canonical projection onto the first coordinate. By Proposition 2.2, $\sigma\left(y_{1} \mid M\right) \neq$ $\varnothing$. Therefore $\sigma(y, M) \neq \varnothing$.

Nonetheless the point spectrum $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(y, M)$ could be an empty set, which detects only zero-divisors in the module $M$.
2.4. The spectral mapping property. Finally, let us prove the main result for the present section. As above we fix an algebra finite extension $R / k$, an $n$-tuple with $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ from $R$, and an $m$-tuple $p(x)=\left(p_{1}(x), \ldots, p_{m}(x)\right)$ from the subalgebra $k[x]$. If $M$ is an $R$-module then $k[p(x)] \subseteq R$ is a subalgebra and $M$ has the natural $k[p(x)]$-module structure. Moreover, the tuple $p(x)$ defines a morphism mapping $p: \mathbb{A}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{m}, a \mapsto p(a)=\left(p_{1}(a), \ldots, p_{m}(a)\right)$.
Theorem 2.3. If $R / k$ is an algebra finite extension of the field $k, M$ a Noetherian $R$-module, then $\sigma(p(x), M)=p(\sigma(x, M))$ for all tuples $x$ and $p(x)$ from $R$.
Proof. Consider the $n+m$-tuple $y=(x, p(x))$ in $R$. By Theorem 2.2, $\sigma(x, M)=\pi_{n}(\sigma(y, M))$, where $\pi_{n}: \mathbb{A}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n}$ is the canonical projection onto the first $n$ coordinates. Take $(a, b) \in$ $\sigma(y, M)$. By Corollary [2.4, $b_{j}=p_{j}(a)$ for all $j, 1 \leq j \leq m$, that is, $b=p(a)$. Thus $\sigma(y, M)=\{(a, p(a)): a \in \sigma(x, M)\}$. Using again Theorem 2.2, we conclude that $\sigma(p(x), M)=$ $\pi_{m}(\sigma(y, M))=p(\sigma(x, M))$, where $\pi_{m}: \mathbb{A}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{m}$ is the canonical projection onto the last $m$ coordinates.

Corollary 2.8. If $R / k$ is an algebra finite extension of the field $k, M$ a Noetherian $R$-module, then $\sigma(p(x) \mid M)=p(\sigma(x, M))$ for every polynomial $p(x) \in R$.

Corollary 2.9. Let $R / k$ be an algebra, $R^{\prime}=k[x] \subseteq R$ a $k$-subalgebra with an $n$-tuple $x$ such that $R / R^{\prime}$ is integral, and let $M$ be a Noetherian $R$-module. If $y$ is an $m$-tuple in $R^{\prime}$ related to $x$ with $m \leq n$, and $0 \in \sigma(x, M)$, then $d(x)=f_{n-m-1} \ldots f_{m}(d(y))$ and $i(x)=\delta_{n m} i(y)$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.3, $(0, \lambda) \in \sigma((x, y), M)$ for a certain $\lambda \in \mathbb{A}^{m}$. Actually, $\lambda=y(0)=0$, and $0 \in \sigma((x, y), M)$. Since $R^{\prime}=k[x]$ and $y$ generates the maximal ideal $\langle x\rangle$ in $R^{\prime}$, we conclude that $i(x)<\infty$ and $i(y)<\infty$ thanks to Theorem [2.1. It remains to use Corollary 2.5.

## 3. Spectra of a module over a scheme and extension property

In the present section we review some key facts on spectrum of a module over a scheme from [11, and use them regarding our framework of spectra. We also introduce the point spectrum in the general setting and investigate its invariance under integral extensions. Thereafter we switch to the case of the affine scheme $\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$ over a field $k$.
3.1. Spectra of a module over scheme. Let $\left(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ be a scheme with the ring $R=\Gamma\left(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}\right)$ of global sections, and let $M \in R$-mod. We say that a point $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ belongs to a resolvent set $\operatorname{res}(\mathfrak{X}, M)$ if there is an affine neighborhood $U$ of $x$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U) \perp_{R} M$, that is, $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U), M\right)=0$ for all $i \geq 0$. Since $U$ is an affine neighborhood for all points close to $x$, it follows that res $(\mathfrak{X}, M)$ is an open set, whose complement set $\sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M)$ is called the spectrum of $M$ over the scheme. The property $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U) \perp_{R} M$ implies that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(V) \perp_{R} M$ for every open affine $V \subseteq U$. Indeed, suppose $U=\operatorname{Spec}(B)$ and take a free resolution

$$
\mathcal{P}=R \otimes G_{\circ}, \quad 0 \leftarrow R \otimes G_{0} \stackrel{\partial_{0}}{\longleftarrow} R \otimes G_{1} \stackrel{\partial_{1}}{\longleftarrow} \cdots
$$

of the module $\underset{\widetilde{B}}{M}$, where $G_{j}$ are free abelian groups. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is a quasi-coherent sheaf, it follows that $\left.\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}\right|_{U}=\widetilde{B}$ with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U)=B$, and $B \otimes_{R} \mathcal{P}=B \otimes G_{\circ}$, which is exact iff so are $B_{x} \otimes G_{\circ}$, $x \in \operatorname{Spec}(B)$ (see [11, Lemma 2.1]). But $B_{x}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, x}$ for all $x \in U$. Thus $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U) \perp_{R} M$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, x} \perp_{R} M$ for all $x \in U$. In particular, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, x} \perp_{R} M$ for all $x \in V$ imply that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(V) \perp_{R} M$.

If $\mathfrak{X}=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ is an affine scheme, then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, x} \perp_{R} M$ means that $R_{x} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{P}$ is exact. But $R_{x}$ is a flat $R$-module, therefore the sequence $0 \leftarrow R_{x} \otimes_{R} M \leftarrow R_{x} \otimes_{R} \mathcal{P}$ remains exact. Hence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}, x} \perp_{R} M$ iff $M_{x}=0$. Thus $x \in \operatorname{res}(\mathfrak{X}, M)$ iff $M_{y}=0$ for all $y$ from a neighborhood of $x$. Since $\sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M)=\mathfrak{X}-\operatorname{res}(\mathfrak{X}, M)$, we derive that $x \in \sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M)$ such that property $M_{y} \neq 0$ holds for some $y$ in every neighborhood of $x$, that is, $\sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M)$ is the closure of $\operatorname{Supp}(M)$ in $\mathfrak{X}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M)=\operatorname{Supp}(M)^{-} \subseteq V(\operatorname{Ann}(M)) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $M$ is a finitely generated $R$-module then $\operatorname{Supp}(M)$ is closed, res $(\mathfrak{X}, M)=\left\{x \in \mathfrak{X}: R_{x} \perp_{R} M\right\}=$ $\left\{x \in \mathfrak{X}: M_{x}=0\right\}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M)=\operatorname{Supp}(M)=V(\operatorname{Ann}(M)) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of a general scheme the spectrum can be localized using an affine covering. In particular, $\sigma\left(\mathbb{P}_{k}^{r}, M\right)=\mathbb{P}_{k}^{r}$ whenever $M$ is a nonzero vector space over a field $k$ (see [11]). The related spectral mapping formula was mentioned in (1.2).
3.2. The point spectrum. Let $\mathfrak{X}=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ be an affine scheme and let $M$ be an $R$-module. The set $\operatorname{Ass}_{R}(M)$ of all primes associated to $M$ is called the point spectrum $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M)$ of $M$. If $R$ is Noetherian then $\operatorname{Supp}(M)=\cup\left\{V(\mathfrak{p}): \mathfrak{p} \in \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M)\right\}$ and the minimal primes $\operatorname{Min}(M)$ from Supp $(M)$ belong to $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M)$ [1, 17.14]. In particular, $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M)$ is dense in $\operatorname{Supp}(M)$, which in turn implies that $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M)^{-}=\sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M)$ in $\mathfrak{X}$ (see (3.1)).

Now let $R^{\prime} \subseteq R$ be a ring extension, $\iota: R^{\prime} \rightarrow R$ is the realted inclusion map, and $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ with the canonical mapping $\iota^{*}: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}, \iota^{*}(\mathfrak{p})=\iota^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})=\mathfrak{p} \cap R$. If $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{p} \cap R=\iota^{*}(\mathfrak{p})$ we say that $\mathfrak{p}$ lies over the prime $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$. Note also that $M$ has the canonical $R^{\prime}$-module structure along the embedding $\iota$. If $\mathfrak{a}=\operatorname{Ann}(m)$ is the annihilator of $m \in M$ in $R$ then $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{a} \cap R^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ann}^{\prime}(m)$ is the annihilator of $m$ in $R^{\prime}$. It follows that $\iota^{*}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M)\right) \subseteq \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}, M\right)$.

Notice also that $\iota^{*}(\operatorname{Supp}(M)) \subseteq \operatorname{Supp}^{\prime}(M)$, where $\operatorname{Supp}^{\prime}(M)=\operatorname{Supp}_{R^{\prime}}(M)$. Indeed, if $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq$ $\{0\}$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{X}$, then $s m \neq 0$ for some $m \in M$ and all $s \in R-\mathfrak{p}$. But $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{p} \cap R^{\prime}$, and for
$s^{\prime} \in R^{\prime}$ we have $s^{\prime} \in R^{\prime}-\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ iff $s^{\prime} \in R-\mathfrak{p}$. Hence $s^{\prime} m \neq 0$ for all $s^{\prime} \in R^{\prime}-\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$, which means that $m / 1 \neq 0$ in $M_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}$ or $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Supp}^{\prime}(M)$.

Using (3.1) and continuity of the map $\iota^{*}$, we deduce that $\iota^{*}(\sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M)) \subseteq \sigma\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}, M\right)$. Actually $\iota^{*}(\sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M))$ is dense in $\sigma\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}, M\right)$ due to the spectral mapping property (1.2).

Theorem 3.1. If $R^{\prime} \subseteq R$ is a ring extension and $M \in R-\bmod$ then $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}, M\right)=\iota^{*}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M)\right)$ whenever $R$ is Noetherian.

Proof. First note that $M \neq\{0\}$ iff $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M) \neq \varnothing$ (see [1, 17.10]), and the result follows in the case of $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M)=\varnothing$. Thus we can assume that $M \neq\{0\}$, and take $\mathfrak{q} \in \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}, M\right)$. Then $\iota_{\mathfrak{p}}: R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a ring extension and $M_{\mathfrak{q}}=R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime} \otimes_{R^{\prime}} M=R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime} \otimes_{R^{\prime}} R \otimes_{R} M=R_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{R} M$ is an $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$-module either, where $R_{\mathfrak{q}}=\iota\left(R^{\prime}-\mathfrak{q}\right)^{-1} R$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{q} R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime}}\left(M_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)$ [1, 17.8], say $\mathfrak{q} R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ann}^{\prime}(m / 1)$ for some $m / 1 \in M_{\mathfrak{q}} \backslash\{0\}$. If $\operatorname{Ann}(m / 1)$ is the annihilator of $m / 1$ in $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ then $\operatorname{Ann}(m / 1) \cap R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ann}^{\prime}(m / 1)=\mathfrak{q} R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime}$. Pick

$$
\mathfrak{S}=\left\{\operatorname{Ann}(n): n \in M_{\mathfrak{q}}, \operatorname{Ann}(n) \cap R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{q} R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

which is a nonempty set of ideals of the ring $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Since $R$ is Noetherian, so is $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ and $\mathfrak{S}$ has a maximal element $\mathfrak{l}=\operatorname{Ann}(n)$ for some $n \in M_{\mathfrak{q}}$. If $x \in R_{\mathfrak{q}}-\mathfrak{l}$ then $x n \neq 0$ (or $1 \notin \operatorname{Ann}(x n)$ ), $\mathfrak{l} \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}(x n) \neq R_{\mathfrak{q}}$, which in turn implies that

$$
\mathfrak{q} R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ann}(n) \cap R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime} \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}(x n) \cap R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime} \varsubsetneqq R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime} .
$$

But $R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime}$ is local with its unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{q} R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime}$, therefore $\mathfrak{q} R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ann}(x n) \cap R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime}$ or $\operatorname{Ann}(x n) \in \mathfrak{S}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{l}=\operatorname{Ann}(x n)$ whenever $x \in R_{\mathfrak{q}}-\mathfrak{l}$. In particular, if $x y \in \mathfrak{l}$ with $x \in R_{\mathfrak{q}}-\mathfrak{l}$ then $y x n=0$ or $y \in \operatorname{Ann}(x n)=\mathfrak{l}$, which means that $\mathfrak{l}$ is a prime or $\mathfrak{l} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{q}}}\left(M_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)$, and it is lying over $\mathfrak{q} R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime}$. But $\mathfrak{l}=\mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{R}(M)$ [1, 17.8], [2, 4.1.5] with $\mathfrak{p} \cap\left(R^{\prime}-\mathfrak{q}\right)=\varnothing\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathfrak{p} \cap R^{\prime} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}\right)$. Prove that $\mathfrak{p} \cap R^{\prime}=\mathfrak{q}$. Take $x^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{q}$. Then $x^{\prime} / 1 \in \mathfrak{q} R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime} \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}(n)=\mathfrak{p} R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ or $x^{\prime} / 1=x / t^{\prime}$ for some $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $t^{\prime} \in R^{\prime}-\mathfrak{q}$. It follows that $s x^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{p}$ for some $s \in R^{\prime}-\mathfrak{q}$. Taking into account that $s \in R-\mathfrak{p}$, we conclude that $x^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{p}$ or $x^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{p} \cap R^{\prime}$. Hence $\mathfrak{q}=\mathfrak{p} \cap R^{\prime}=\iota^{*}(\mathfrak{p})$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M)$.

Remark 3.1. Note that $\operatorname{Supp}^{\prime}(M)$ can be much larger than $\iota^{*}(\operatorname{Supp}(M))$. For example, put $R^{\prime}=$ $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}=R$ and $M=\mathbb{Q}$. Then $\sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M)=\operatorname{Supp}(M)=\{0\}$ whereas $\sigma\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}, M\right)=\operatorname{Supp}^{\prime}(M)^{-}=$ $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})=\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$. But $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}, M\right)=\operatorname{Ass}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q})=\{0\}=\iota^{*}(\{0\})=\iota^{*}\left(\operatorname{Ass}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q})\right)=\iota^{*}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M)\right)$, and it is dense in $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$.

Corollary 3.1. Let $k$ be a field and let $k \subseteq R^{\prime} \subseteq R$ be $k$-algebra extensions such that $R / k$ is algebra-finite. Then $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}, M\right)=\iota^{*}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M)\right)$ for every $R$-module $M$.

Proof. The ring $R$ is Noetherian by Hilbert Basis Theorem. It remains to use Theorem 3.1,
Corollary 3.2. Let $R^{\prime} \subseteq R$ be a ring extension with Noetherian $R, M \in R-\bmod$ and let $Q \subseteq M$ be an $R$-submodule. If $Q$ is $\mathfrak{p}$-primary then $Q$ is $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$-primary $R^{\prime}$-submodule of $M$, where $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}=\iota^{*}(\mathfrak{p})$. If $M$ is a Noetherian $R$-module with its submodule $Q$ then $Q$ is $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$-primary $R^{\prime}$ submodule of $M$ iff $Q=Q_{1} \cap \cdots \cap Q_{r}$ admits an irredundant primary decomposition in $R$-mod such that every $Q_{j}$ is $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$-primary $R^{\prime}$-module.
Proof. Suppose that $Q$ is a $\mathfrak{p}$-primary submodule, that is, $\operatorname{Ass}_{R}(M / Q)=\{\mathfrak{p}\}$ or $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M / Q)=$ $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$. Since $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}=\iota^{*}(\mathfrak{p}) \in \iota^{*}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M / Q)\right) \subseteq \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}, M / Q\right)$, it follows that $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{R^{\prime}}(M / Q)$. Conversely, if $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{R^{\prime}}(M / Q)$ then

$$
\mathfrak{q} \in \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}, M / Q\right)=\iota^{*}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M / Q)\right)=\iota^{*}\left(\operatorname{Ass}_{R}(M / Q)\right)=\iota^{*}(\{\mathfrak{p}\})=\left\{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

thanks to Theorem 3.1, that is, $\mathfrak{q}=\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$. Hence $\operatorname{Ass}_{R^{\prime}}(M / Q)=\left\{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right\}$, which means that $Q$ is a $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$-primary $R^{\prime}$-submodule of $M$.

Finally, assume that $M$ is a finitely generated $R$-module. By Lasker-Noether Theorem [1, 18.19], $Q=Q_{1} \cap \cdots \cap Q_{r}$ admits an irredundant primary decomposition in $R$-mod, where $Q_{j}$ is $\mathfrak{p}_{j}$-primary. In this case, $\left\{\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{r}\right\}$ are uniquely defined, in fact they are all distinct primes of $\operatorname{Ass}_{R}(M / Q)$ (First Uniqueness [1, 18.18]). In particular,

$$
\operatorname{Ass}_{R^{\prime}}(M / Q)=\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}, M / Q\right)=\iota^{*}\left(\operatorname{Ass}_{R}(M / Q)\right)=\iota^{*}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{r}\right\}\right)
$$

by virtue of Theorem 3.1. If $Q$ is $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$-primary $R^{\prime}$-submodule then $\operatorname{Ass}_{R^{\prime}}(M / Q)=\left\{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right\}$ and

$$
\operatorname{Ass}_{R^{\prime}}\left(M / Q_{j}\right)=\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}, M / Q_{j}\right)=\iota^{*}\left(\operatorname{Ass}_{R}\left(M / Q_{j}\right)\right)=\iota^{*}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{p}_{j}\right\}\right)=\left\{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

for every $j$. Thus every $Q_{j}$ is $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$-primary $R^{\prime}$-module.
Conversely, suppose that $Q=Q_{1} \cap \cdots \cap Q_{r}$ admits an irredundant primary decomposition in $R$-mod such that every $Q_{j}$ is $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$-primary $R^{\prime}$-module. Then $\iota^{*}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{p}_{j}\right\}\right)=\left\{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right\}$ for every $j$, and $\operatorname{Ass}_{R^{\prime}}(M / Q)=\iota^{*}\left(\left\{\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{r}\right\}\right)=\left\{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right\}$, which means that $Q$ is a $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$-primary $R^{\prime}$-module.
3.3. Integral extensions. Now assume that $R^{\prime} \subseteq R$ is an integral extension of rings and $M \in R$ mod. In this case, the mapping $\iota^{*}: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$ is surjective due to Krull-Cohen-Seidenberg Theory [1, Ch. 14], [2, 5.2].

Proposition 3.1. If $R^{\prime} \subseteq R$ is integral and $M \in R-\bmod$ then $\operatorname{Supp}^{\prime}(M)=\iota^{*}(\operatorname{Supp}(M))$.
Proof. The inclusion $\iota^{*}(\operatorname{Supp}(M)) \subseteq \operatorname{Supp}^{\prime}(M)$ was proved above. Conversely, take $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Supp}^{\prime}(M)$. Then $M_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}} \neq\{0\}$, which means that $s^{\prime} m \neq 0$ for all $s^{\prime} \in R^{\prime}-\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ and some $m \in M$. Put $\mathfrak{a}=\operatorname{Ann}(m) \subseteq R$ and $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Ann}^{\prime}(m) \subseteq R^{\prime}$ to be ideals with $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{a} \cap R^{\prime}$. Then $\mathfrak{a}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$, and using the key property Going Up [1, 14.3], we deduce that $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}=\iota^{*}(\mathfrak{p})$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{X}$ such that $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. The latter means that $s m \neq 0$ for all $s \in R-\mathfrak{p}$, that is, $m / 1 \neq 0$ in $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}(M)$ and $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}=\iota^{*}(\mathfrak{p}) \in \iota^{*}(\operatorname{Supp}(M))$.

Remark 3.2. In the case of an integral algebra finite extension $R / R^{\prime}$ the set $\left(\iota^{*}\right)^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ is finite for every $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Supp}^{\prime}(M)$. Indeed, since $R / R^{\prime}$ is module finite, it follows that so is $R_{\mathfrak{q}} / R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime}$, which in turn implies that $A / k$ is module finite either, where $A=R_{\mathfrak{q}} / \mathfrak{q} R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ and $k=R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime} / \mathfrak{q} R_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime}$ is the residue field of the local ring $R_{q}^{\prime}$. In particular, $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(A)<\infty$, and $A$ turns out to be an Artinian ring. By Akizuki-Hopkins Theorem [1, 19.8], $\operatorname{dim}(A)=0, \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ consists of maximal ideals and it is finite. But $\left(\iota^{*}\right)^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ is canonically identified with a subset of $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$.

Corollary 3.3. If $R^{\prime} \subseteq R$ is integral with Noetherian $R$ and $M \in R$-mod with finite length $\ell_{R^{\prime}}(M)<\infty$ as an $R^{\prime}$-module then $\ell_{R}(M)<\infty$.

Proof. Since $\ell_{R^{\prime}}(M)<\infty$, it follows that $\operatorname{Supp}^{\prime}(M)$ consists of maximal ideals by Jordan-Hőlder Theorem [1, 19.3]. By Proposition 3.1, $\operatorname{Supp}^{\prime}(M)=\iota^{*}(\operatorname{Supp}(M))$ and $\operatorname{Supp}(M)$ consists of primes lying over the maximal ideals from $\operatorname{Supp}^{\prime}(M)$. By Maximality [1, 14.3], Supp ( $M$ ) consists of maximal ideals of $R$ either. But $M$ is a finitely generated $R^{\prime}$-module having finite length $\ell_{R^{\prime}}(M)$, therefore so is $M$ as an $R$-module. It follows that $\ell_{R}(M)<\infty$ (see [1, 19.4 or 17.16]).

Remark 3.3. Let $k$ be a field, $k \subseteq R^{\prime} \subseteq R$ algebra extensions such that $R / k$ is algebra-finite and $R / R^{\prime}$ is integral, and let $M \in R$-mod. Then $\ell_{R}(M)<\infty$ iff $\ell_{R^{\prime}}(M)<\infty$. By Artin-Tate lemma [1, 16.21], $R^{\prime} / k$ is algebra-finite too. In particular, $R^{\prime}$ is Noetherian by Hilbert Basis. If $\left\{M_{i}: 0 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ is a Jordan-Hölder chain of submodules in $R^{\prime}-\bmod ($ or $R-\bmod )$ then $M_{i} / M_{i-1}=$ $R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}_{i}^{\prime}$ for some maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_{i}^{\prime}$, $i \geq 1$. But $k \subseteq R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}_{i}^{\prime}$ is an algebra finite extension, which is finite by Zariski Nullstellensatz [1, 15.4]. It follows that $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(M_{i} / M_{i-1}\right)<\infty$ for every $i$. Hence $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(M)<\infty$. Thus $\ell_{R^{\prime}}(M)<\infty$ iff $\operatorname{dim}_{k}(M)<\infty$, which in turn is equivalent to $\ell_{R}(M)<\infty$.
3.4. Algebraic varieties and spectra. Now let $P=k[X]$ be the algebra of all polynomials in $n$ variables $X=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ over the field $k$. The $P$-bimodule $P \otimes_{k} P$ has the $n$-tuple $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ of mutually commuting operators $T_{i}=1 \otimes X_{i}-X_{i} \otimes 1$ acting on, and we have the Koszul complex $\operatorname{Kos}\left(T, P \otimes_{k} P\right)$ augmented with the multiplication (bi)operator $\pi: P \otimes_{k} P \rightarrow P$ provides a free $P$-bimodule resolution of the algebra $P$. Actually, $\operatorname{Kos}\left(T, P \otimes_{k} P\right)$ splits as a complex of $P$-bimodules. If $M \in P$-mod with the actions $x_{i}(m)=X_{i} \cdot m, 1 \leq i \leq n$, then derive that the complex $0 \leftarrow P \otimes_{P} M \stackrel{1 \otimes \pi}{\longleftarrow} \operatorname{Kos}\left(P \otimes_{k} P, T\right) \otimes_{P} M$ remains exact. But $P \otimes_{P} M=M$ and $\operatorname{Kos}\left(T, P \otimes_{k} P\right) \otimes_{P} M=\operatorname{Kos}\left(t, P \otimes_{k} M\right)$ with $t_{i}=1 \otimes x_{i}-X_{i} \otimes 1$, and $1 \otimes \pi$ is reduced to the homomorphism $\pi_{M}: P \otimes_{k} M \rightarrow M, \pi_{M}\left(r \otimes_{k} m\right)=r m$. Thus $\mathcal{P}=\operatorname{Kos}\left(t, P \otimes_{k} M\right)$ provides a finite free resolution of the module $M$. If $R / k$ is an algebra finite extension with $R=k[x]$ for an $n$-tuple $x$, then $R$ is a quotient of $P$ and $\operatorname{Kos}\left(t, P \otimes_{k} R\right)$ turns out to be a free $R$-module resolution of $R$ either. Therefore it splits in $R$-mod.

Consider the affine space $\mathfrak{X}=\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$ over $k$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{res}(\mathfrak{X}, M)$ iff there is an open affine neighborhood $U=\operatorname{Spec}(B)$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $B \perp_{P} M$. It means that

$$
0 \leftarrow B \otimes_{P} M \longleftarrow B \otimes_{P} \mathcal{P}=\operatorname{Kos}\left(t^{B}, B \otimes_{k} M\right)
$$

with $t_{i}^{B}=1 \otimes x_{i}-\left(\left.X_{i}\right|_{U}\right) \otimes 1$ is exact. Put $k(\mathfrak{p})=P_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{p} P_{\mathfrak{p}}=(P / \mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}}=\operatorname{Frac}(P / \mathfrak{p})$ to be residue field of $\mathfrak{p}$. Then $k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_{P} \mathcal{P}=\operatorname{Kos}\left(t^{\mathfrak{p}}, k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_{k} M\right)$ with $t_{i}^{\mathfrak{p}}=1 \otimes x_{i}-X_{i}(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes 1,1 \leq i \leq n$.

The following key result was proved in [11].
Theorem 3.2. Let $\mathfrak{X}=\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$ be the affine space over $k, P=k\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ and let $M \in P$-mod. If $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{res}(\mathfrak{X}, M)$ then $k(\mathfrak{p}) \perp_{P} M$, that is, the complex $\operatorname{Kos}\left(t^{\mathfrak{p}}, k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_{k} M\right)$ is exact. Thus

$$
\operatorname{res}(\mathfrak{X}, M) \subseteq\left\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{X}: k(\mathfrak{p}) \perp_{P} M\right\} \subseteq\left\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{X}: M_{\mathfrak{p}}=\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}\right\},
$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}}=\operatorname{rad}\left(P_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$. If $M$ is a Noetherian $P$-module then $\operatorname{res}(\mathfrak{X}, M)=\left\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathfrak{X}: k(\mathfrak{p}) \perp_{P} M\right\}$.
If $\mathfrak{p}=\langle X-a\rangle$ is a maximal ideal corresponding to a closed point $a \in \mathfrak{X}$ then $k(\mathfrak{p})=\operatorname{Frac}(P / \mathfrak{p})=$ $k$ and $k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_{P} \mathcal{P}=\operatorname{Kos}\left(t^{\mathfrak{p}}, M\right)$ with $t_{i}^{\mathfrak{p}}=x_{i}-a_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, that is, $t^{\mathfrak{p}}=x-a$. Thus if $M$ is a finitely generated $P$-module, then $\sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M)=\operatorname{Supp}(M)$ (see (3.2)), and $a \in \operatorname{res}(\mathfrak{X}, M)$ iff $\operatorname{Kos}(x-a, M)$ is exact thanks to Theorem 3.2.
Lemma 3.1. Let $R / k$ be an algebra finite extension of the field $k$, $\mathfrak{X}=\operatorname{Spec}(R), M$ an $R$-module, and let $R=k[x]$ for an n-tuple $x$. Then $M$ is a $P$-module, $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$ up to a homeomorphism, $\operatorname{Supp}_{P}(M)=\operatorname{Supp}_{R}(M), \sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M)=\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right), \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M)=\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right)$, and

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(x, M)=\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{n} .
$$

If $M$ is a Noetherian module then

$$
\sigma(x, M)=\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{n}
$$

and it is a nonempty closed subset of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ whose closure in the scheme $\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$ is reduced to $\sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M)$.
Proof. Put $R=P / \mathfrak{a}$ for some ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq P$. Then $M$ turns out to be a $P$-module with the actions $X_{i} u=x_{i} u, u \in M, 1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{P}(M)$. Note that $\mathfrak{X}=V(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \operatorname{Spec}(P)=\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$ up to a homeomorphism. Moreover, $M_{\mathfrak{p}}=M_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}$ whenever $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{p} / \mathfrak{a}$. Indeed, $R_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}=$ $\left(R-\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} R=(P-\mathfrak{p})^{-1} R=R_{\mathfrak{p}}=P_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{P} R$ (see [1, (11.15.1)]) and

$$
M_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}=R_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}} \otimes_{R} M=P_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{P} R \otimes_{R} M=P_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{P} M=M_{\mathfrak{p}}
$$

In particular, $\operatorname{Supp}_{P}(M)=\operatorname{Supp}_{R}(M)$. But $R / \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}=(P / \mathfrak{a}) /(\mathfrak{p} / \mathfrak{a})=P / \mathfrak{p}$, which means that $R / \mathfrak{p}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow M$ iff $P / \mathfrak{p} \hookrightarrow M$. Thus $\operatorname{Ass}_{P}(M)=\operatorname{Ass}_{R}(M)\left(\right.$ see [1, 17.3]) or $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right)=\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M)$. Using (3.1), we obtain that $\sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M)=\operatorname{Supp}_{R}(M)^{-}=\operatorname{Supp}_{P}(M)^{-}=\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right)$.

The variety $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ is the set of all closed points in $\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$ obtained by means of the natural homeomorphism $\beta: \mathbb{A}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, \beta(a)=\langle X-a\rangle$ (see [16, 2.2.6]) onto the closed points. Put $\sigma_{c}\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right)$ to be $\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{n}$ or $\beta^{-1}\left(\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right)\right)$. Since $\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right)$ is closed, the set $\sigma_{c}\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right)$ turns out to be a closed subset of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$. If $\mathfrak{p} \in \sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right)$ then $V(\mathfrak{p})=\overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}} \subseteq \sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right)$ and $\mathfrak{m} \in \sigma_{c}\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right)$ whenever $\mathfrak{m} \in V(\mathfrak{p}) \cap \mathbb{A}^{n}$ is a maximal ideal. By Hilbert Nullstellensatz [1, 15.7], $\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right)$ is the closure of $\sigma_{c}\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right)$ in $\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$.

Notice that $\mathfrak{m} \in \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{n}$ iff $\mathfrak{m}=\langle X-a\rangle=\operatorname{Ann}(u)$ for some point $a \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$ and $u \neq 0$ from $M$. The latter means that $x_{i} u=a_{i} u$ for all $i$, which means that $a \in \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(x, M)$ is a joint eigenvalue of $x$ with the related eigenvector $u$.

Finally, assume that $M$ is a Noetherian $R$-module (or $P$-module). Based on Theorem 3.2, we conclude that $\operatorname{res}\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{n}=\left\{a \in \mathbb{A}^{n}: k(\langle X-a\rangle) \perp_{P} M\right\}$ or

$$
\sigma_{c}\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right)=\left\{a \in \mathbb{A}^{n}: \operatorname{Kos}(x-a, M) \text { is not exact }\right\}=\sigma(x, M)
$$

is the Taylor spectrum of the tuple $x$ on $M$. Hence $\sigma(x, M)$ is a closed subset of $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ and $\sigma(x, M)^{-}=\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right)=\sigma(\mathfrak{X}, M)$. By Corollary [2.7, $\sigma(x, M) \neq \varnothing$.

Corollary 3.4. Let $R / k$ be an algebra finite extension of the field $k, M$ an $R$-module with $\ell_{R}(M)<\infty$, and let $x$ be an n-tuple in $R$ such that $k[x] \subseteq R$ is integral. Then $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(x, M)=$ $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right)=\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right)=\sigma(x, M)$ and the $k[x]$-module structure on $M$ is triangularizable. In this case, $i(x-a)=0$ for all $a \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$.

Proof. Based on Corollary 3.3, we can assume that $R=k[x]$ (see also Remark 3.3). In this case, Ass $(M)=\operatorname{Supp}(M)=\operatorname{Max}(M) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n}$ [1, 19.4]. It remains to use Lemma 3.1. Further, all the gaps of a Jordan-Hölder chain is $k$ by Zariski Nullstellensatz. There is a $k$-basis $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{s}\right)$ for $M$ such that Ass $(M)=\left\{a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(s)}\right\}$ and

$$
x=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a^{(1)} & & 0 \\
& \ddots & \\
* & & a^{(s)}
\end{array}\right]
$$

which means that the $k[x]$-module structure on $M$ is triangularizable.
Finally, for every $a \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$ the complex $\operatorname{Kos}(x-a, M)$ consists of finite dimensional $k$-vector space. It follows that its index coincides with its Euler characteristics. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
i(x-a) & =\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j+1} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{j}(x-a, M)\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j+1} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(M \otimes_{k} \wedge^{j} k^{n}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{k}(M) \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j+1}\binom{n}{j}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $i(x-a)=0$ for all $a \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$.
The following reformulation of Theorem 3.1 results in spectral mapping theorem for the joint point spectrum.

Theorem 3.3. Let $R=k[x]$ be an algebra finite extension with an $n$-tuple $x$, and let $M$ be an $R$-module. Then

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(p(x), M)=p^{*}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right)\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{m}
$$

for every m-tuple $p(x)$ from $R$. If $k[p(x)] \subseteq R$ is integral then $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(p(x), M)=p\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(x, M)\right)$ and $\sigma(p(x), M)$ is a closed set.

Proof. Put $\mathfrak{X}=\operatorname{Spec}(R), R^{\prime}=k[p(x)]$ to be a subalgebra of $R$ generated by an $m$-tuple $p(x)$ from $R$ with the inclusion map $\iota: R^{\prime} \rightarrow R$, and $\iota^{*}: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$ is the natural map with $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$. There are canonical surjective maps $k[X] \rightarrow R$ and $k[Y] \rightarrow R^{\prime}$, where $Y$ is an $m$-tuple. In particular, $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$ and $\mathfrak{X}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{k}^{m}$ up to a canonical homeomorphisms. We have the polynomial ring map $p: k[Y] \rightarrow k[X], Y_{j} \mapsto p_{j}(X), 1 \leq j \leq m$, which in turn generates the map $p^{*}$ : $\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{k}^{m}$ of affine spaces. If $\mathfrak{m}_{a}=\langle X-a\rangle \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$ then $p^{*}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{a}\right)=p^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{a}\right) \supseteq\langle Y-p(a)\rangle=\mathfrak{m}_{p(a)}$, for $p(\langle Y-p(a)\rangle) \subseteq\langle p(X)-p(a)\rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{a}$. Since $\mathfrak{m}_{p(a)} \in \mathbb{A}^{m}$ is maximal, we conclude that $p^{*}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{a}\right)=\mathfrak{m}_{p(a)}$. Thus $p^{*}\left(\mathbb{A}^{n}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{m}$ and $p^{*}: \mathbb{A}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{m}, a \mapsto p(a)$ is a polynomial morphism. Moreover, $p^{*}\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{m}=p^{*}\left(\mathbb{A}^{n}\right)$. Indeed, if $p^{*}(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{m}_{b}$ for some $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{A}^{m}$, then $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{a}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{b} \subseteq p^{*}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{a}\right)=\mathfrak{m}_{p(a)}$, which implies that $\mathfrak{m}_{b}=\mathfrak{m}_{p(a)}$ or $b=p(a)$.

Using the duality correspondence between affine schemes and rings, we obtain the following commutative diagrams

supporting each other. Using Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.1, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(p(x), M) & =\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{m}, M\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{m}=\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}, M\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{m}=\iota^{*}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M)\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{m} \\
& =p^{*}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right)\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $R^{\prime} \subseteq R$ is integral, then $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathfrak{X}^{\prime}, M\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{m}=\iota^{*}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{X}, M) \cap \mathbb{A}^{n}\right)$ by Maximality [1, 14.3] and Theorem 3.1. Using again Lemma 3.1, we deduce that $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(p(x), M)=p^{*}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, M\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{n}\right)=$ $p\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(x, M)\right)$.

Finally, prove that Taylor spectrum $\sigma(p(x), M)$ is a closed set. Since $R / R^{\prime}$ is integral, $M$ is module finite over $R^{\prime}$. By Lemma 3.1, $\sigma(p(x), M)=\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{m}, M\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{m}$ is a closed set.

Remark 3.4. The same argument from the proof of Theorem 3.3, and Proposition[3.1, result in the spectral mapping property $\sigma(p(x), M)=p(\sigma(x, M))$ for Taylor spectrum whenever $k[p(x)] \subseteq R$ is integral and $M$ is Noetherian. But as we have seen above in Theorem [2.3, the formula holds in the general case of all tuples $p(x)$.

If $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq P$ is a radical ideal with the related algebraic set $Y=Z(\mathfrak{a})=V(\mathfrak{a}) \cap \mathbb{A}^{n}$ (that is $\left.I(Y)=\mathfrak{a}\right)$, then the coordinate ring $R$ of $Y$ is the reduced to the Noetherian ring $P / \mathfrak{a}$. Put $\mathfrak{Y}=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$. By (3.2), we obtain that $\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, R\right)=\operatorname{Supp}(R)=V(\mathfrak{a})=\mathfrak{Y}$ is the set of all subvarieties of $Y$, and by Lemma 3.1, $Y=\mathfrak{Y} \cap \mathbb{A}^{n}=\sigma(x, R)$ is the Taylor spectrum of the operator tuple $x$ in $R$, which consists of all coordinate functions. For a Noetherian $k[x]$-module $M$, we obtain that the tuple $x$ on the module $M$ is triangularizable whose diagonal entries are varieties (see [11, 4.4]).

Let $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}\right): Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{m}$ be a morphism given by means of a ring extension $R^{\prime}=k[y] \subseteq R$ with $y=p(x)$ to be an $m$-tuple. In particular, we have the spectrum $\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{m}, R\right)$ of the $R^{\prime}$-module $R$. If $R / R^{\prime}$ is integral then $p^{*}$ turns out to be a finite morphism (see [16, 2.3]). Using (1.2), we deduce that $\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{m}, R\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{m}=p(Y)^{-}$(see [11] for the details).

Corollary 3.5. If $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n}$ is an algebraic set over $k$ with its coordinate ring $R$, then $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(x, R)$ is the set $Y_{\text {is }}$ of all isolated points of $Y$. If $p: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{m}$ is a finite morphism given by a ring extension $k[p(x)] \subseteq R$, then $\sigma(p(x), R)=\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{m}, R\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{m}=p(Y)$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(p(x), R)=p\left(Y_{i s}\right)$.

Proof. Note that $a \in \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(x, R)$ iff $\langle X-a\rangle g(X) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ for some $g(X) \notin \mathfrak{a}$. It means that $Y=$ $Z(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq\{a\} \cup Z(g)$ and $Y \nsubseteq Z(g)$. Since $a \in Y$, we conclude that $a \notin Z(g)$ and $\{a\}=$ $Y \cap\left(\mathbb{A}^{n}-Z(g)\right)$ is open in $Y$, that is, $\{a\}$ is an isolated point. Conversely, take $a \in Y_{i s}$.

Since the complements to hypersurfaces from $\mathbb{A}^{n}$ is a topology base in $\mathbb{A}^{n}$, it follows that $\{a\}=$ $Y \cap\left(\mathbb{A}^{n}-Z(g)\right)$ for a certain hypersurface $Z(g)$. Then $Y=Z(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq\{a\} \cup Z(g), Y \nsubseteq Z(g)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle X-a\rangle g & \subseteq\langle X-a\rangle \cap \sqrt{g}=I(\{a\}) \cap I(Z(g))=I(\{a\} \cup Z(g)) \\
& \subseteq I(Y)=\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}=\mathfrak{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that $a \in \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(x, R)$. Further, if $p$ is a finite morphism then $R$ is a Noetherian $k[p(x)]$-module and $\sigma(p(x), R)=\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{m}, R\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{m}$ is closed (see Lemma 3.1). Using Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.3, we derive that $\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{m}, R\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{m}=\sigma(p(x), R)=p(\sigma(x, R))=p(Y)$, and $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(p(x), R)=p\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(x, R)\right)=p\left(Y_{i s}\right)$ thanks to Theorem 3.3.

## 4. Koszul homology groups of a variety

In the present section we focus on the case of a module $M$ which is the coordinate ring $R$ of a variety $Y$, and investigate its Koszul homology groups. We are targeting to find out a link between the dimensions of Koszul homology groups of the standard tuple in $R$ and the dimension $d=\operatorname{dim}(Y)$.
4.1. Multiplicity formula of Serre. Let $P=k[X]$ be the polynomial algebra over the field $k$ with $n$-tuple $X=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$, and put $h(r)=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(\mathfrak{t}^{r} / \mathfrak{t}^{r+1}\right)=\binom{r+n-1}{n-1}$ to be the Hilbert polynomial $(\operatorname{deg}(h)=n-1)$ of the graded $P$-algebra $G^{\circ} P$ associated with the filtration $\left\{\mathfrak{t}^{r}\right\}$, where $\mathfrak{t}=\langle X\rangle \subseteq P$ is the maximal ideal generated by $X$, and $\binom{z}{s}=\frac{1}{s!} z(z-1) \cdots(z-s+1)$ is the binomial coefficient. If $\mathfrak{s}(P, r)=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(P / \mathfrak{t}^{r}\right)$ is the Samuel polynomial of the filtration then $\mathfrak{s}(P, r)=\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} h(i)=\sum_{i=0}^{r-1}\binom{i+n-1}{n-1}=\binom{r+n-1}{n}$. If $M$ is a $P$-module given by an $n$-tuple $x$ of $k$-linear maps on $M$, then $P / \mathfrak{t}^{r} \otimes_{k} M=M^{\binom{r+n-1}{n}}$ and there are nilpotent (shift) operators $X_{i} \otimes 1$ acting on $M^{\left(r_{n}^{r+n-1}\right)}$. Put $x_{i}^{(r)}=\left(1 \otimes x_{i}\right)-X_{i} \otimes 1$ and $x^{(r)}=\left(x_{1}^{(r)}, \ldots, x_{n}^{(r)}\right)$ to be an $n$-tuple of mutually commuting operators on the inflation $M^{(r+n-1} n_{n}$, which defines its $P$-module structure. For every $a \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$ the $P$-module structure on $M_{\binom{r+n-1}{n}}^{(v i v e n ~ b y ~} x^{(r)}-a$ is the same inflation of the $P$-module $M$ given by the tuple $x-a$. Just notice that $x_{i}^{(r)}-a_{i}=1 \otimes\left(x_{i}-a_{i}\right)-X_{i} \otimes 1=\left(x_{i}-a_{i}\right)^{(r)}$ for all $i$, or $x^{(r)}-a=(x-a)^{(r)}=1 \otimes(x-a)-X \otimes 1$. In this case one can also replace $\mathfrak{t}$ by $\langle X-a\rangle$.

Lemma 4.1. If $M$ is a Noetherian $P$-module then so is $M^{\left({ }_{(r+n-1}^{n}\right)}$ and $\sigma(x, M)=\sigma\left(x^{(r)}, M^{\binom{r+n-1}{n}}\right)$ for all $r$. Thus $i\left((x-a)^{(r)}\right)<\infty$ for all $a \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$ and $r \geq 1$.

Proof. For every $r \geq 1$ consider the following canonical exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leftarrow P / \mathfrak{t}^{r} \longleftarrow P / \mathfrak{t}^{r+1} \longleftarrow \mathfrak{t}^{r} / \mathfrak{t}^{r+1} \leftarrow 0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $P$-mod. Notice that the $P$-module structure of $\mathfrak{t}^{r} / \mathfrak{t}^{r+1}$ is reduced to its $k$-vector space one with $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(\mathfrak{t}^{r} / \mathfrak{t}^{r+1}\right)=h(r)$. Using (4.1), we generate the following exact sequences

$$
0 \leftarrow P / \mathfrak{t}^{r} \otimes_{k} M \longleftarrow P / \mathfrak{t}^{r+1} \otimes_{k} M \longleftarrow \mathfrak{t}^{r} / \mathfrak{t}^{r+1} \otimes_{k} M \leftarrow 0
$$

such that the $P$-module structure of $\mathfrak{t}^{r} / \mathfrak{t}^{r+1} \otimes_{k} M$ is diagonal and therefore it is Noetherian. By induction on $r$ we deduce that $P / \mathfrak{t}^{r} \otimes_{k} M$ is Noetherian. By Spectral Mapping Theorem [2.3, we
have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma\left(x^{(r)}, M^{\binom{r+n-1}{n}}\right) & =\sigma\left(1 \otimes x-X \otimes 1, M^{\binom{r+n-1}{n}}\right) \\
& =\left\{a-b:(a, b) \in \sigma\left((1 \otimes x, X \otimes 1), M^{(r+n-1}{ }_{n}^{(1)}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $b \in \sigma\left(X \otimes 1, M^{\binom{r+n-1}{n}}\right)$. For every $a \in \sigma\left(1 \otimes x, M^{\binom{r+n-1}{n}}\right)$ there is $b$ such that $(a, b) \in$ $\sigma\left((1 \otimes x, X \otimes 1), M^{\binom{r+n-1}{n}}\right)$ (see Theorem [2.2). Moreover, $b_{i} \in \sigma\left(\left(X_{i} \otimes 1\right) \left\lvert\, M_{\binom{r+n-1}{n}}\right.\right)=\{0\}$, for $X_{i} \otimes 1$ is nilpotent. Hence $\left.\sigma\left(x^{(r)}, M^{(r+n-1)}\right)=\sigma\left(1 \otimes x, M_{n}^{(r+n-1}\right)\right)$. Finally,

$$
\left.\operatorname{Kos}\left(1 \otimes(x-a), M_{n}^{(r+n-1}\right)\right)=P / \mathfrak{t}^{r} \otimes_{k} \operatorname{Kos}(x-a, M)
$$

which is exact iff so is $\operatorname{Kos}(x-a, M)$, that is, $\sigma\left(1 \otimes x, M^{\left({ }^{r+n-1}\right)}\right)=\sigma(x, M)$. It remains to use Theorem 2.1.

Let $A / k$ be a local Noetherian algebra with an $n$-tuple $x$ contained in the maximal ideal of $A$, and let $M$ be a Noetherian $A$-module such that $\ell(M / x M)<\infty$, that is, $x$ is a system of parameters for $M$. The filtration $\left\{\langle x\rangle^{r} M\right\}$ in $M$ defines the Samuel polynomial $\mathfrak{s}(M, r)=\ell\left(M /\langle x\rangle^{r} M\right)$ whose degree is at most $n$, and $\mathfrak{s}(M, r)=e_{x, M}(n) \frac{r^{n}}{n!}+q(r)$ with $e_{x, M}(n)=\Delta^{n} \mathfrak{s}(M, \circ)(n$th difference operator) and $\operatorname{deg}(q)<n$. By Theorem 2.1, $\ell\left(H_{p}(x, M)\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{p}(x, M)\right)$ for all $p$, and the Euler characteristic $\chi(x, M)=\sum_{p=0}^{n}(-1)^{p} \ell\left(H_{p}(x, M)\right)$ from [24, 4.A.3] is reduced to the opposite index $-i(x)$ of the tuple $x$. The multiplicity formula of Serre [24, 4.A.3. Theorem 1 ] is expressed in the following way

$$
\begin{equation*}
i(x)=-e_{x, M}(n) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\operatorname{dim}(M)=n$ then $i(x)<0$, whereas $i(x)=0$ in the case of $\operatorname{dim}(M)<n$.
4.2. The numerical Tor-polynomial. Now let $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq P$ be a nonzero prime ideal of a variety $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n}$ with its coordinate ring $R=P / \mathfrak{p}$. The actions of $X_{i}$ on $R$ are denoted by $x_{i}$, and $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is an operator tuple on $R$. Thus $R=k[x]$ is an algebra finite extension of $k$ which is a domain. In particular, $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(x, R)=\varnothing$ or $H_{n}(x)=0$. For every $r$ we have the inflated $P$-module $\left.R^{(r+n-1} \begin{array}{c}n\end{array}\right)$ given by means of the $n$-tuple $x^{(r)}$. Using Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 4.1, we deduce that

$$
Y=\operatorname{Spec}(R) \cap \mathbb{A}^{n}=\sigma\left(\mathbb{A}_{k}^{n}, R\right) \cap \mathbb{A}^{n}=\sigma(x, R)=\sigma\left(x^{(r)}, R^{(r+n-1)} n\right)
$$

for all $r$. For every $a \in Y$ the homology groups $H_{i}\left(x^{(r)}-a, R^{\left(r_{n}^{r+-1}\right)}\right)$ denoted by $H_{i}\left(x^{(r)}-a\right)$ are finite dimensional $k$-vector spaces (Lemma 4.1). Put $d_{p}\left(a^{(r)}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{p}\left(x^{(r)}-a\right)\right)$ and define the tuple $d\left(a^{(r)}\right)=\left(d_{p}\left(a^{(r)}\right)\right)_{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n+1}$. In particular, $i\left(x^{(r)}-a\right)=\sum_{p=0}^{n}(-1)^{p+1} d_{p}\left(a^{(r)}\right)$ and it defines the function $i_{Y}^{(r)}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, a \mapsto i\left(x^{(r)}-a\right)$. We also put $i_{Y}$ instead of $i_{Y}^{(1)}$.
Lemma 4.2. If $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n}$ is a variety then $i_{Y}=-\delta_{n d}$, where $d=\operatorname{dim}(Y)$.
Proof. If $Y=\mathbb{A}^{n}$ then $\operatorname{Kos}(X-a, P)$ is a free $P$-module resolution for the $P$-module $k$ (see Corollary (2.3), that is, $H_{0}(X-a, P)=k$ and $H_{i}(X-a, P)=0, i \geq 1$. In particular, $d_{0}(a)=1$, $d_{i}(a)=0, i \geq 1$, and $i_{Y}(a)=-1$ for all $a \in Y$.

Now assume that $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n}$ is a variety of dimension $d<n$, and $a=0 \in Y$, which responds to the maximal ideal $\langle x\rangle \subseteq R$. Then $R_{\langle x\rangle}$ is a local Noetherian algebra with its maximal ideal
$\mathfrak{m}=\langle x\rangle R_{\langle x\rangle}$. By Lemma 2.5, we have $H_{p}(x, R)=H_{p}(x, R)_{\langle x\rangle}=H_{p}\left(x / 1, R_{\langle x\rangle}\right)$ for all $p \geq 0$, and $\mathfrak{m}=\langle x / 1\rangle$ for the $n$-tuple $x / 1$. Thus $i(x)=i(x / 1), x / 1$ is a system of parameters for $R_{\langle x\rangle}$ and the Samuel polynomial $\mathfrak{s}\left(R_{\langle x\rangle}, r\right)$ has the degree $\operatorname{dim}\left(R_{\langle x\rangle}\right)$ [1, Theorem 21.4]. But $\operatorname{dim}\left(R_{\langle x\rangle}\right)=\operatorname{dim}(R)=d<n$. Using Serre's formula (4.2), we conclude that $i(x)=0$.

Similar result for the inflated $P$-module $\left.R^{(r+n-1}{ }_{n}\right)$ is not trivial (at least is not straightforward), for its $P$-module structure is not diagonal. The related result is proved below in Proposition 4.1.
Remark 4.1. In the case of a nonsingular point $a=0 \in Y$ of a variety $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{n}$ with $d<n$, one can skip Serre's formula. Namely, $d=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(\mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}\right)$ is the minimal number of generators of the $R_{\langle x\rangle}$-module $\mathfrak{m}$ [1, 10.9]. As above $\mathfrak{m}=\langle x / 1\rangle$ for the $n$-tuple $x / 1$, and there is a new d-tuple $y \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ generating $\mathfrak{m}$ due to the regularity of $R_{\langle x\rangle}$, that is, $y$ is a tuple related to $x / 1$. Note that $0 \in Y=\sigma(x, R)$ or $H_{p}(x, R) \neq 0$ for some $p$, which in turn implies that $0 \in \sigma\left(x / 1, R_{\langle x\rangle}\right)$. Using Corollary [2.9, we deduce that $i(x)=i(x / 1)=\delta_{n d} i(y)=0$.

Remark 4.2. A singular point a of a variety $Y$ is said to be integral if $x_{i}-a_{i}$ is integral over the subalgebra $k\left[x^{\prime}-a^{\prime}\right] \subseteq R$ with $i$-th term skipped tuple $x^{\prime}$. Suppose that $a=0$, and say $x_{n}$ is integral over $R^{\prime}=k\left[x^{\prime}\right]$ with $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$. Then $R^{\prime} \subseteq R$ is integral and $i\left(x^{\prime}\right)<\infty$ thanks to Theorem 2.1. Moreover, $0 \in \sigma\left(x^{\prime}, R\right)$ thanks to Corollary 2.2. By Lemma 2.3, $i(x)=0$. Again we can avoid (4.2).

Example 4.1. In the case of a point $Y=\{a\}$, we have $R=k$ and $i=0$ thanks to Corollary 3.4. Actually, $\operatorname{Kos}(x, k)$ is the following complex

$$
0 \leftarrow k \leftarrow k^{n} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \wedge^{p} k^{n} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow k \leftarrow 0
$$

with trivial morphisms, $H_{j}(x, k)=\wedge^{j} k^{n}, d_{j}(a)=\binom{n}{j}, j \geq 0$, and $i_{Y}=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{j+1}\binom{n}{j}=0$.
Now assume that $Y$ is a variety and $a=0 \in Y$, that is, $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq\langle X\rangle$. For every $r \geq 1$ consider again the sequence (4.1). Notice that $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{P}\left(\mathfrak{t}^{r} / \mathfrak{t}^{r+1}, R\right)=\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{P}(k, R)^{h(r)}=H_{i}(x)^{h(r)}$ for all $i \geq 0$. The functor $\circ \otimes_{P} R$ generates the following long homology exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leftarrow P / \mathfrak{t}^{r} \otimes_{P} R \leftarrow P / \mathfrak{t}^{r+1} \otimes_{P} R \leftarrow k^{h(r)} \leftarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{P}\left(P / \mathfrak{t}^{r}, R\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{P}\left(P / \mathfrak{t}^{r+1}, R\right) \leftarrow H_{1}(x)^{h(r)} \\
& \cdots \leftarrow H_{i-1}(x)^{h(r)} \longleftarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{P}\left(P / \mathfrak{t}^{r}, R\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{P}\left(P / \mathfrak{t}^{r+1}, R\right) \leftarrow H_{i}(x)^{h(r)} \leftarrow \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

But $P / \mathfrak{t}^{r} \otimes_{P} R=R / \mathfrak{t}^{r} R=R /\langle x\rangle^{r}$ and $0 \leftarrow R /\langle x\rangle^{r} \longleftarrow R /\langle x\rangle^{r+1} \longleftarrow\langle x\rangle^{r} /\langle x\rangle^{r+1} \leftarrow 0$ is exact. Thus we come with the exact sequence

$$
0 \leftarrow\langle x\rangle^{r} /\langle x\rangle^{r+1} \leftarrow k^{h(r)} \leftarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{P}\left(P / \mathfrak{t}^{r}, R\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{P}\left(P / \mathfrak{t}^{r+1}, R\right) \leftarrow H_{1}(x)^{h(r)} \leftarrow \cdots
$$

Note that $\mathcal{P}=\operatorname{Kos}\left(t, P \otimes_{k} R\right)$ is a free resolution of $R$, and $P / \mathfrak{t}^{r} \otimes_{P} \mathcal{P}=\operatorname{Kos}\left(x^{(r)}, P / \mathfrak{t}^{r} \otimes_{k} R\right)=$ $\left.\operatorname{Kos}\left(x^{(r)}, R^{(r+n-1}\right)\right)$ with $x^{(r)}=1 \otimes x-X \otimes 1$ (see Lemma 4.1). In particular, $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{P}\left(P / \mathfrak{t}^{r}, R\right)=$ $H_{i}\left(P / \mathfrak{t}^{r} \otimes_{P} \mathcal{P}\right)=H_{i}\left(x^{(r)}\right)$ for all $i$ and $r$. Now we apply the localization functor $\circ \otimes_{R} R_{\langle x\rangle}$ (or - $\left.\otimes_{P} P_{\langle X\rangle}\right)$ at $\langle x\rangle$. By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$
\left.H_{i}\left(x^{(r)} / 1, R_{\langle x\rangle}^{\binom{r+n-1}{n}}\right)=H_{i}\left(x^{(r)}, R^{\left(r_{n}^{r+n-1}\right)}\right)_{\langle x\rangle}=H_{i}\left(x^{(r)}, R^{(r+n-1}{ }_{n}^{r}\right)\right)=H_{i}\left(x^{(r)}\right)
$$

for all $i$ and $r$. We obtain the following exact sequence

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leftarrow \mathfrak{m}^{r} / \mathfrak{m}^{r+1} \leftarrow k^{h(r)} \leftarrow H_{1}\left(x^{(r)}\right) \leftarrow H_{1}\left(x^{(r+1)}\right) \leftarrow H_{1}(x)^{h(r)} \leftarrow \cdots  \tag{4.3}\\
& \leftarrow H_{n-1}(x)^{h(r)} \leftarrow H_{n}\left(x^{(r)}\right) \leftarrow H_{n}\left(x^{(r+1)}\right) \leftarrow H_{n}(x)^{h(r)}=0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{m}=\langle x\rangle R_{\langle x\rangle}$ is the maximal ideal of the local ring $R_{\langle x\rangle}$.
Lemma 4.3. If $H_{j+1}(x)=0$ for some $j$, then $H_{i}\left(x^{(r)}\right)=0$ for all $i>j$ and $r \geq 1$, and the sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leftarrow \mathfrak{m}^{r} / \mathfrak{m}^{r+1} \leftarrow k^{h(r)} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow H_{i-1}(x)^{h(r)} \leftarrow H_{i}\left(x^{(r)}\right) \leftarrow H_{i}\left(x^{(r+1)}\right) \leftarrow H_{i}(x)^{h(r)} \leftarrow \cdots \\
& \leftarrow H_{j-1}\left(x^{(r)}\right) \leftarrow H_{j}\left(x^{(r)}\right) \leftarrow H_{j}(x)^{h(r)} \leftarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

remains exact. In particular, $H_{n}\left(x^{(r)}\right)=0$ for all $r$, and the sequence

$$
0 \leftarrow \mathfrak{m}^{r} / \mathfrak{m}^{r+1} \leftarrow k^{h(r)} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow H_{n-1}\left(x^{(r)}\right) \leftarrow H_{n-1}\left(x^{(r+1)}\right) \leftarrow H_{n-1}(x)^{h(r)} \leftarrow 0
$$

is exact.
Proof. Suppose that $H_{j+1}(x)=0$ for some $j$. Prove that $H_{i}\left(x^{(r)}\right)=0$ for all $i>j, r \geq 1$ by induction on $r$. By Lemma 2.5, $H_{i}(x)=0$ for all $i>j$. If $r=1$, then we have $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{P}(P / \mathfrak{t}, R)=$ $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{P}(k, R)=H_{i}(x), i \geq 0$ and we come with the exact pieces

$$
\cdots \leftarrow H_{i}(x) \leftarrow H_{i}\left(x^{(2)}\right) \leftarrow H_{i}(x)^{h(r)} \leftarrow \cdots
$$

of the sequence (4.3) for $r=1$. If $i>j$ then $H_{i}\left(x^{(2)}\right)=0$. By induction hypothesis, $H_{i}\left(x^{(r)}\right)=0$ for all $i>j$. The exact part

$$
\cdots \leftarrow H_{i-1}(x)^{h(r)} \leftarrow H_{i}\left(x^{(r)}\right) \leftarrow H_{i}\left(x^{(r+1)}\right) \leftarrow H_{i}(x)^{h(r)} \leftarrow \cdots
$$

of the sequence (4.3) for $i>j$, implies that $H_{i}\left(x^{(j+1)}\right)=0$, and the long homology sequence terminates at $H_{j}(x)^{h(r)}$. Finally, since $H_{n}(x)=0$ we conclude that $H_{n}\left(x^{(r)}\right)=0$ for all $r \geq 1$.

Based on Lemma 4.1, we have $i\left(x^{(r)}\right)<\infty$ for all $r$, and we define the following function

$$
p: \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad p(r)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i+1} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{P}\left(P / \mathfrak{t}^{r}, R\right)\right)
$$

called as of Tor-polynomial. Note that $-\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{0}\left(x^{(r)}\right)\right)+p(r)=i\left(x^{(r)}\right)$ for all $r$. Recall that $R_{\langle x\rangle}$ is a local Noetherian algebra, and $d=\operatorname{dim}(Y)=\operatorname{dim}\left(R_{\langle x\rangle}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{R}\right)+1=\operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{s}\left(R_{\langle x\rangle}, \circ\right) \leq$ $n$, where $h_{R}(r)=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(\mathfrak{m}^{r} / \mathfrak{m}^{r+1}\right)$ is the Hilbert polynomial of $R_{\langle x\rangle}$ associated with $\left\{\mathfrak{m}^{r}\right\}$.
Proposition 4.1. The equality $p(r)=\left(1-\delta_{n d}\right) \mathfrak{s}\left(R_{\langle x\rangle}, r\right)$ holds for all $r$, that is, the function $p$ is a numerical polynomial of degree at most d. In particular, $i_{Y}^{(r)}=\binom{r+n-1}{n} i_{Y}$ for all $r$.
Proof. Using the exact sequence from Lemma 4.3 and its Euler characteristics, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =-h_{R}(r)+h(r)+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{i}\left(x^{(r)}\right)\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{i+1} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{i}\left(x^{(r+1)}\right)\right) \\
& +h(r) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{i}(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $H_{0}(x)=R /\langle x\rangle=k, H_{n}\left(x^{(r)}\right)=0$, and $\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{i}(x)\right)=1-p(1)=-i(x)$. If $d<n$ then $i(x)=0$ thanks to Lemma 4.2. Thus $p: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a function with the property

$$
p(z+1)-p(z)=h_{R}(z)
$$

that is, $p(z+1)-p(z)$ is a numerical polynomial for large $z$. That means $p(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$ is a numerical polynomial (see [16, 1.7.3]) and $p(r)=\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} h_{R}(j)=\mathfrak{s}\left(R_{\langle x\rangle}, r\right)$ for all $r \geq 1$. If
$Y=\mathbb{A}^{n}$ then $h_{R}(r)=h(r), H_{i}(x)=0, i \geq 1$, and $i(x)=-1$ (see Lemma 4.2). It follows that $p(r+1)=p(r)$ for all $r \geq 1$. But $p(1)=i(x)+1=0$, therefore $p=0$.

Finally, taking into account that

$$
H_{0}\left(x^{(r)}\right)=H_{0}\left(x^{(r)}\right)_{\langle x\rangle}=\operatorname{Tor}_{0}^{P}\left(P / \mathfrak{t}^{r}, R\right)_{\langle x\rangle}=\left(P / \mathfrak{t}^{r} \otimes_{P} R\right)_{\langle x\rangle}=\left(R /\langle x\rangle^{r}\right)_{\langle x\rangle}=R_{\langle x\rangle} / \mathfrak{m}^{r}
$$

we deduce that $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{0}\left(x^{(r)}\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(R_{\langle x\rangle} / \mathfrak{m}^{r}\right)=\mathfrak{s}\left(R_{\langle x\rangle}, r\right)$. It follows that

$$
i\left(x^{(r)}\right)=-\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{0}\left(x^{(r)}\right)\right)+p(r)=-\mathfrak{s}\left(R_{\langle x\rangle}, r\right)+\left(1-\delta_{n d}\right) \mathfrak{s}\left(R_{\langle x\rangle}, r\right)=-\delta_{n d} \mathfrak{s}\left(R_{\langle x\rangle}, r\right)
$$

Thus $i_{Y}^{(r)}=0$ whenever $d<n$, and $i_{Y}^{(r)}=-\mathfrak{s}\left(P_{\langle X\rangle}, r\right)=-\mathfrak{s}(P, r)=-\binom{r+n-1}{n}$ for $Y=$ $\mathbb{A}^{n}$.

Example 4.2. If $d<n$ and $H_{2}(x)=0$, then $H_{i}\left(x^{(r)}\right)=0$ for all $i \geq 2, r \geq 1$ thanks to Lemma 4.3. Then $p(r)=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{1}\left(x^{(r)}\right)\right), i(x)=-1+\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{1}(x)\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{1}\left(x^{(r)}\right)\right)=$ $\mathfrak{s}\left(R_{\langle x\rangle}, r\right)$, that is, $r \mapsto \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{1}\left(x^{(r)}\right)\right)$ is a polynomial of degree d. If $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{2}$ is an irreducible curve and $a \in Y$, then $d_{0}(a)=1$ and $d_{2}(a)=0$. By Lemma 4.2, $i_{Y}(a)=0$, therefore $d_{1}(a)=1$, and $r \mapsto \operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{1}\left((x-a)^{(r)}\right)\right)$ is a linear polynomial.

Remark 4.3. The presence of nonzero $H_{1}(x)$ is well know. If $H_{1}(x)=0$ then $R \stackrel{\partial_{0}}{\longleftrightarrow} R \otimes$ $k^{n} \stackrel{\partial_{1}}{\leftarrow} R \otimes \wedge^{2} k^{n}$ is exact and so is its localization $R_{\langle x\rangle} \stackrel{\partial_{0}}{\leftarrow} R_{\langle x\rangle} \otimes k^{n} \stackrel{\partial_{1}}{\leftarrow} R_{\langle x\rangle} \otimes \wedge^{2} k^{n}$, that is, $x / 1 \subseteq \operatorname{rad}\left(R_{\langle x\rangle}\right)$ with $H_{1}(x / 1)=0$. Using [3, 9.7, Theorem 1], we conclude that $x / 1$ is a regular sequence in $R_{\langle x\rangle}$. In particular, depth $\left(R_{\langle x\rangle}\right) \geq n$. But depth $\left(R_{\langle x\rangle}\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(R_{\langle x\rangle}\right)=d<n$, a contradiction.

The evaluation map $a: P \rightarrow k, f \mapsto f(a)$ can be lifted to a ring homomorphism $a: R \rightarrow$ $k$, which is the quotient mapping $R \rightarrow R /\langle x\rangle$. In particular, there are $k$-linear maps $\wedge^{r} a$ : $R \otimes_{k} \wedge^{r} k^{n} \rightarrow \wedge^{r} k^{n}, r \geq 1$, and $\left(\wedge^{r} a\right) \partial_{r-1}=0$ for all $r$, which in turn define $k$-linear maps $a^{(r)}: H_{r}(x) \rightarrow \wedge^{r} k^{n}$ on the homology groups. Note that $H_{0}(x)=R / \operatorname{im}\left(\partial_{0}\right)=k, a^{(0)}=1$, and $a^{(1)}: H_{1}(x) \rightarrow k^{n}$ is a $k$-linear map.
4.3. Upper-triangular matrices. Now let us analyze the first homology group $H_{1}(x)$. We assume that char $(k) \neq 2$. Every $g=\sum_{i<j} g_{i j} e_{i} \wedge e_{j} \in R \otimes_{k} \wedge^{2} k^{n}$ can be represented by means of an upper triangular matrix $g=\left[g_{i j}\right]_{i, j} \in M_{n}(R)$ whose $i$-th column $C_{i}$ and $i$-th row $R_{i}$ (they are $n$-tuples in $R$ ) have the shapes

for all $i>1$, and $C_{1}, R_{n}$ consist of zeros. For $n$-tuples $\alpha$ and $\beta$ from $R^{n}$ we write $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ instead of the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \beta_{i}$ in $R$. Note that $\partial_{1}: R \otimes_{k} \wedge^{2} k^{n} \rightarrow R \otimes_{k} k^{n}$ is an $R$-linear map acting by the rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{1}(g)=\sum_{i<j} x_{i} g_{i j} e_{j}-x_{j} g_{i j} e_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{s<i} x_{s} g_{s i}-\sum_{i<s} x_{s} g_{i s}\right) e_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left\langle C_{i}, x\right\rangle-\left\langle R_{i}, x\right\rangle\right) e_{i} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, if

$$
g=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \cdots & g_{j} & \cdots & g_{n} \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
& & \vdots & & \\
0 & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}\right] \text { with } \sum_{k=j}^{n} x_{k} g_{k}=0
$$

for some $g_{j}, \ldots, g_{n}$ then $C_{i}=0,1 \leq i \leq j-1, R_{i}=0, i>1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{1}(g) & =-\left\langle R_{1}, x\right\rangle e_{1}+\left\langle C_{j}, x\right\rangle e_{j}+\cdots+\left\langle C_{n}, x\right\rangle e_{n}=-\left(\sum_{k=j}^{n} x_{k} g_{k}\right) e_{1}+x_{1} g_{j} e_{j}+\cdots+x_{1} g_{n} e_{n} \\
& =x_{1} \sum_{k=j}^{n} g_{j} e_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following assertion is a special case of Lemma 2.4. But for our purposes we provide an independent proof in the case of $H_{1}(x)$ exploiting upper triangular matrices.

Lemma 4.4. The equality $\langle x\rangle H_{1}(x)=\{0\}$ holds.
Proof. Take $\omega=\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{j} e_{j} \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\partial_{0}\right)$ or $\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} g_{j}=0$ in $R$. Fix $i$ and prove that $x_{i} \omega \in \operatorname{im}\left(\partial_{1}\right)$. First note that $x_{i} g_{i}=-\sum_{j \neq i} x_{j} g_{j}$ and consider the matrix

$$
g=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \cdots & -g_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
& & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
& & -g_{i-1} & 0 & & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 & g_{i+1} & \cdots & g_{n} \\
& & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
& & 0 & 0 & & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

with nontrivial $i$-th column and $i$-th row. Since

$$
\left\langle C_{i}, x\right\rangle-\left\langle R_{i}, x\right\rangle=-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_{j} g_{j}-\sum_{j=i+1}^{n} x_{j} g_{j}=-\sum_{j \neq i} x_{j} g_{j}=x_{i} g_{i}
$$

we deduce using (4.4) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{1}(g) & =-x_{i} g_{1} e_{1}-\cdots-x_{i} g_{i-1} e_{i-1}+\left(\left\langle C_{i}, x\right\rangle-\left\langle R_{i}, x\right\rangle\right) e_{i}+x_{i} g_{i+1} e_{i+1}+\cdots+x_{i} g_{n} e_{n} \\
& =x_{i}\left(\sum_{j<i} g_{j} e_{j}+\sum_{j \geq i} g_{j} e_{j}\right)=x_{i} \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $x_{i} H_{1}(x)=\{0\}$.
4.4. Minimal generators. Now let $F=\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{p}\right\}$ be a set of generators of the ideal $\mathfrak{p}$. Since $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq\langle X\rangle$ in $P$, it follows that every $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ has a standard representation $f=X_{1}^{m_{1}} h_{1}+\cdots+X_{n}^{m_{n}} h_{n}$ with $h_{j}(a) \neq 0$. In particular, $f_{j}=\sum_{i} X_{i}^{m_{i j}} h_{i j}$ with $h_{i j}(a) \neq 0$ for all $i, j$. For every $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, we put $m_{i}=\min \left\{m_{i j}: 1 \leq j \leq p\right\}$. A generator $f \in F$ is said to be minimal if $f=f_{j}$ and $m_{i}=m_{i j}$ for some $i$. Every $f=\sum_{i} X_{i}^{m_{i}} h_{i} \in \mathfrak{p}$ associates in turn $\omega=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{m_{i}-1} h_{i} e_{i} \in R \otimes_{k} k^{n}$ such that $\partial_{0}(\omega)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{m_{i}} h_{i}=0$ in $R=P / \mathfrak{p}$. In particular, $\omega^{\sim} \in H_{1}(x)$.
Lemma 4.5. If $f \in F$ is a minimal generator then $\omega^{\sim} \neq 0$ in $H_{1}(x)$, and $a^{(1)}\left(\omega^{\sim}\right) \neq 0$ whenever $m_{i}=1$.

Proof. Suppose $\omega^{\sim}=0$, which means that $\omega=\partial_{1}(g)$ for some upper triangular matrix $g \in M_{n}(R)$. It follows that $\left\langle C_{i}, x\right\rangle-\left\langle R_{i}, x\right\rangle=x_{i}^{m_{i}-1} h_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ in $R$ or

$$
\sum_{s<i} X_{s} g_{s i}-X_{i}^{m_{i}-1} h_{i}-\sum_{i<s} X_{s} g_{i s}=\sum_{q=1}^{p} f_{q} l_{q}=\sum_{q=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}^{m_{k q}} h_{k q} l_{q}
$$

in $P$ for some $l_{q} \in P$. But $f=f_{j}$ for some $j$, therefore

$$
\sum_{s<i} X_{s} g_{s i}-X_{i}^{m_{i}-1} h_{i}-\sum_{i<s} X_{s} g_{i s}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}^{m_{k}} h_{k} l_{j}+\sum_{q \neq j} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}^{m_{k q}} h_{k q} l_{q} .
$$

By passing to the quotient algebra $P /\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{X_{i}}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\rangle=k\left[X_{i}\right]$, we obtain that $-X_{i}^{m_{i}-1} h_{i}^{\sim}=$ $X_{i}^{m_{i}} h_{i}^{\sim} l_{j}^{\sim}+\sum_{q \neq j} X_{i}^{m_{i q}} h_{i q}^{\sim} l_{q}^{\sim}$. But $m_{i}=\min \left\{m_{i q}: 1 \leq q \leq p\right\}$, therefore $m_{i q}-m_{i} \geq 0$ and

$$
h_{i}^{\sim}=-X_{i} h_{i}^{\sim} l_{j}^{\sim}-\sum_{q \neq j} X_{i}^{m_{i q}-m_{i}+1} h_{i q}^{\sim} l_{q}^{\sim} \in\left\langle X_{i}\right\rangle .
$$

It follows that $h_{i}(a)=0$, a contradiction. Finally, $a\left(x_{i}^{m_{i}-1} h_{i}\right)=h_{i}(a) \neq 0$ whenever $m_{i}=1$. Therefore $a^{(1)}\left(\omega^{\sim}\right)=\left(a\left(x_{k}^{m_{k}-1} h_{k}\right)\right)_{k} \neq 0$ in $k^{n}$.

Remark 4.4. In the case of an irreducible curve $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{2}$ given by $f=X_{1}^{n} h_{1}+X_{2}^{m} h_{2} \in k\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right]$, $h_{j} \notin\left\langle X_{1}, X_{2}\right\rangle, n, m \geq 1$, we have $H_{1}(x)=k \omega^{\sim}$ with $\omega=\left(x_{2}^{m-1} h_{2}, x_{1}^{n-1} h_{1}\right) \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\partial_{0}\right)$ and $a^{(1)}\left(\omega^{\sim}\right)=\left(a\left(x_{2}^{m-1} h_{2}\right), a\left(x_{1}^{n-1} h_{1}\right)\right)$, which is not trivial whenever $m=1$ or $n=1$. The latter is equivalent to the presence of a linear part of $f$, which means that $f$ is nonsingular at $a$. Thus $a^{(1)}: H_{1}(x-a) \rightarrow k^{2}$ is a nonzero linear map iff $f$ is nonsingular at $a$.

For every $i$ we put $S_{i}=\left\{j: m_{i j}=m_{i}\right\}$ and define the vector $v_{j}=\left(v_{1 j}, v_{2 j}, \ldots, v_{n j}\right) \in k^{n}$ with

$$
v_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{cll}
h_{i j}(a) & \text { if } & j \in S_{i} \\
0 & \text { if } & j \notin S_{i}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus $v_{j}=0$ whenever $j \notin \cup_{i} S_{i}$. If $j \in S_{i}$ for some $i$, then $m_{i j}=m_{i}, f_{j}$ turns out to be a minimal generator and $v_{i j}=h_{i j}(a) \neq 0$. Hence $v_{j} \neq 0$ in $k^{n}$ iff $f_{j}$ is a minimal generator. Suppose $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{t}$ are minimal generators in $F$. Then we have the related nonzero vectors $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}\right\}$ from $k^{n}$, and related nonzero vectors $\left\{\omega_{1}^{\sim}, \ldots, \omega_{t}^{\sim}\right\}$ (see Lemma 4.5) from $H_{1}(x)$.
Lemma 4.6. If $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}\right\}$ is a linearly independent set of vectors in $k^{n}$ then so is the set $\left\{\omega_{1}^{\sim}, \ldots, \omega_{t}^{\sim}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{1}(x)\right) \geq t$.
Proof. Suppose that $\sum_{j=1}^{t} \lambda_{j} \omega_{j}^{\sim}=0$, that is, $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{t} \lambda_{j} x_{i}^{m_{i j}-1} h_{i j}\right) e_{i}=\partial_{1}(g)$ for some $g$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.5, we derive that

$$
\sum_{s<i} X_{s} g_{s i}-\sum_{j=1}^{t} X_{i}^{m_{i j}-1} \lambda_{j} h_{i j}-\sum_{i<s} X_{s} g_{i s}=\sum_{s=1}^{p} X_{i}^{m_{i s}} h_{i s} l_{s}+\sum_{s=1}^{p} \sum_{k \neq i} X_{k}^{m_{k s}} h_{k s} l_{s}
$$

for some $l_{s} \in P$. Again by passing to the quotient algebra $k\left[X_{i}\right]$, we obtain that $\sum_{j=1}^{t} X_{i}^{m_{i j}-1} \lambda_{j} h_{i j}^{\sim}=$ $-\sum_{s=1}^{p} X_{i}^{m_{i s}} h_{i s}^{\sim} l_{s}^{\sim}$. But

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{t} X_{i}^{m_{i j}-1} \lambda_{j} h_{i j}^{\sim}=\sum_{j \in S_{i}}+\sum_{j \notin S_{i}}=X_{i}^{m_{i}-1} \sum_{j \in S_{i}} \lambda_{j} h_{i j}^{\sim}+\sum_{j \notin S_{i}} X_{i}^{m_{i j}-1} \lambda_{j} h_{i j}^{\sim}
$$

therefore

$$
X_{i}^{m_{i}-1} \sum_{j \in S_{i}} \lambda_{j} h_{i j}^{\sim}=-\sum_{j \notin S_{i}} X_{i}^{m_{i j}-1} \lambda_{j} h_{i j}^{\sim}-\sum_{s=1}^{p} X_{i}^{m_{i s}} h_{i s}^{\sim} l_{s}^{\sim} .
$$

Thus $\sum_{j \in S_{i}} \lambda_{j} h_{\tilde{i j}}^{\sim} \in\left\langle X_{i}\right\rangle$, which in turn implies that $\sum_{j \in S_{i}} \lambda_{j} h_{i j}(a)=0$ for every $i$. In particular,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{t} \lambda_{j} v_{i j}=\sum_{j \in S_{i}} \lambda_{j} h_{i j}(a)=0
$$

for every $i$, which means that $\sum_{j=1}^{t} \lambda_{j} v_{j}=0$ in $k^{n}$. Using the fact of independence, we conclude that $\lambda_{j}=0$ for all $j$. Hence $\left\{\omega_{1}^{\sim}, \ldots, \omega_{t}^{\sim}\right\}$ is a linearly independent set of vectors and the $R$-module structure of $H_{1}(x)$ is reduced to its $k$-vector space one (see Lemma 4.4). Whence $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{1}(x)\right) \geq t$.

For every $j$ we have the well defined vector $f_{j}^{\prime}=\left(\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial X_{1}}(a), \ldots, \frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial X_{n}}(a)\right) \in k^{n}$. Notice that $v_{j} \neq 0$ whenever $f_{j}^{\prime} \neq 0$, and in this case $v_{j}=f_{j}^{\prime}$ and $a^{(1)}\left(\omega_{j}^{\sim}\right)=f_{j}^{\prime}$.
Corollary 4.1. If the Jacobian matrix $J_{a}=\left[\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial X_{i}}(a)\right]_{j, i}$ has the rankt then $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{1}(x-a)\right) \geq t$. If $Y$ is nonsingular at point a then $d_{1}(a) \geq n-d$.
Proof. There are linearly independent columns $f_{j_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, f_{j_{t}}^{\prime}$ of the Jacobian matrix. In particular, so are vectors $v_{j_{1}}, \ldots, v_{j_{t}}$. By Lemma 4.6, $\left\{\omega_{j_{1}}^{\sim}, \ldots, \omega_{j_{t}}^{\sim}\right\}$ is an independent set of vectors from $H_{1}(x)$, therefore $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{1}(x)\right) \geq t$. If $Y$ is nonsingular at point $a$ then the rank of the matrix $J_{a}$ is $n-d$, where $d=\operatorname{dim}(Y)$. It follows that $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{1}(x)\right) \geq n-d$.
4.5. Examples. Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{3}$ be a variety given by a prime $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq P=k\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{2}\right]$. For the actions of $X_{j}$ on $R=P / \mathfrak{p}$ we use the notations $x, y$ and $z$, respectively. If $a=0 \in Y$ then the Koszul complex $\operatorname{Kos}((x, y, z), R)$ looks like that

$$
0 \leftarrow R \stackrel{\partial_{0}}{\longleftarrow} R^{3} \stackrel{\partial_{1}}{\longleftarrow} R^{3} \stackrel{\partial_{2}}{\longleftarrow} R \leftarrow 0
$$

with the operators

$$
\partial_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
x & y & z
\end{array}\right], \partial_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-y & -z & 0 \\
x & 0 & -z \\
0 & x & y
\end{array}\right], \partial_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
z \\
-y \\
x
\end{array}\right]
$$

whose homology groups are denoted by $H_{j}$ and $d_{j}=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(H_{j}\right), 0 \leq j \leq 3$. Recall that $d_{0}=1$, $d_{3}=0$.
Proposition 4.2. The equalities $\partial_{1}\left(\partial_{1}^{2}+2 x z-y^{2}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{im}\left(\partial_{1}^{2}+2 x z-y^{2}\right) \oplus \operatorname{im}\left(\partial_{2} \mid k \cdot 1\right)=$ im $\left(\partial_{2}\right)$ hold.

Proof. One can easily verify that $Q(t)=t\left(t^{2}+2 x z-y^{2}\right) \in R[t]$ is the characteristic polynomial of $\partial_{1}$. By Cayley-Hamilton Theorem, we obtain that $Q\left(\partial_{1}\right)=0$. In particular, $\operatorname{im}\left(\partial_{1}^{2}+2 x z-y^{2}\right) \subseteq$ ker $\left(\partial_{1}\right)$. But

$$
\partial_{1}^{2}+2 x z-y^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
x z & y z & z^{2} \\
-x y & -y^{2} & -y z \\
x^{2} & x y & x z
\end{array}\right],
$$

which in turn implies that $\left(\partial_{1}^{2}+2 x z-y^{2}\right) g=\partial_{2}\left(x g_{1}+y g_{2}+z g_{3}\right)$ for every $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right) \in R^{3}$. Thus im $\left(\partial_{1}^{2}+2 x z-y^{2}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{im}\left(\partial_{2}\right)$. Finally, for every $h \in R$ we have $h=h(0)+x h_{1}+y h_{2}+z h_{3}$ and

$$
\partial_{2}(h)=h(0) \partial_{2}(1)+\partial_{2}\left(x h_{1}+y h_{2}+z h_{3}\right)=\left(\partial_{1}^{2}+2 x z-y^{2}\right) g+h(0) \partial_{2}(1),
$$

where $g=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}\right) \in R^{3}$. It remains to use the fact $H_{2}=0$ and the $k$-linear projection $\partial_{2}(h) \mapsto h(0) \partial_{2}(1)$.

Thus $g \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\partial_{1}\right)-\operatorname{im}\left(\partial_{2}\right)$ iff $x g_{1}=z g_{3}, x g_{2}=-y g_{3}, y g_{1}=-z g_{3}$, and

$$
g \neq \lambda\left[\begin{array}{c}
z \\
-y \\
x
\end{array}\right]+\left(2 x z-y^{2}\right) h
$$

for all $\lambda \in k$ and $h \in R^{3}$, that is, the structure of $H_{2}$ is really complicated. But Lemma 4.2 turns out to be useful in this manner. Namely, consider the following hypersurface $Y=$ $\{x y+y z+z x=0\}$, whose singularity at $a=0$ is not integral (see Remark 4.2). Then

$$
H_{1}=k \omega^{\sim} \text { with } \omega=\left[\begin{array}{c}
y+z \\
z \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Indeed, $\partial_{0}(\omega)=x(y+z)+y z=0$ means that $\omega \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\partial_{0}\right)$. Take $g \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\partial_{0}\right)$, that is, $x g_{1}+$ $y g_{2}+z g_{3}=0$ in $R$. Then $X_{1} g_{1}+X_{2} g_{2}+X_{3} g_{3}=\left(X_{1}\left(X_{2}+X_{3}\right)+X_{2} X_{3}\right) h \in \mathfrak{p}$ or $X_{3} g_{3}=$ $X_{1}\left(\left(X_{2}+X_{3}\right) h-g_{1}\right)+X_{2}\left(X_{3} h-g_{2}\right) \in\left\langle X_{1}, X_{2}\right\rangle$ in $P$. Then $g_{3}=X_{1} q_{1}+X_{2} q_{2} \in\left\langle X_{1}, X_{2}\right\rangle$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(X_{2}+X_{3}\right) h-g_{1} & =X_{3} q_{1}-X_{2} l \\
X_{3} h-g_{2} & =X_{3} q_{2}+X_{1} l
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $q_{j}, l \in P$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g & =\left[\begin{array}{l}
g_{1} \\
g_{2} \\
g_{3}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
(y+z) h+y l-z q_{1} \\
z h-x l-z q_{2} \\
x q_{1}+y q_{2}
\end{array}\right]=h\left[\begin{array}{c}
y+z \\
z \\
0
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
(-y)(-l)+(-z) q_{1} \\
x(-l)-z q_{2} \\
x q_{1}+y q_{2}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =h \omega+\partial_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-l \\
q_{1} \\
q_{2}
\end{array}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $g^{\sim}=h^{\sim} \omega^{\sim}=h(0) \omega^{\sim}$ (see Lemma 4.4). Using Lemma 4.5, we deduce that $\omega^{\sim} \neq 0$ and $H_{1}=k \omega^{\sim}$, that is, $d_{1}=1$. By Lemma 4.2, we have $d_{2}=d_{0}-d_{1}+d_{2}-d_{3}=-i_{Y}(a)=0$.

Another example of a singular (at $a=0$ ) variety $Y$ is given by the following generators

$$
f_{1}=X_{1}^{2}-X_{2}\left(1-X_{1} X_{3}\right)+X_{3}^{3}, \quad f_{2}=X_{1}^{3} X_{2}+X_{2}+\left(X_{2}-1\right) X_{3}^{2}, \quad f_{3}=X_{1}^{3}+X_{2}^{2} X_{3}+X_{3}^{4}
$$

in $P$. Notice that $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are minimal generators, $m_{1}=m_{3}=2, m_{2}=1, S_{1}=\{1\}, S_{2}=\{1,2\}$, $S_{3}=\{2\}$ and $v_{1}=(1,-1,0), v_{2}=(0,1,-1)$. Note also that these vectors can also be represented by

$$
v_{1}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial X_{1}^{2}}(0), \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial X_{2}}(0), \frac{\partial^{2} f_{1}}{\partial X_{3}^{2}}(0)\right), v_{2}=\left(f_{2}(0), \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial X_{2}}(0), \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}}{\partial X_{3}^{2}}(0)\right)
$$

up to constant multiplies. Based on Lemma4.6, we deduce that $d_{1} \geq 2$. Notice also that $x^{3}-g=0$ in $R=k[x]$, where $g=-y^{2} z-z^{4}$ (since $f_{3}=0$ in $R$ ). That means that $x$ is integral over $k[y, z]$ or $a$ is integral with $i_{Y}(a)=0$ (see Remark (4.2).
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