

COHOMOLOGY OF SMOOTH TORIC VARIETIES: NATURALITY

MATTHIAS FRANZ AND XIN FU

ABSTRACT. Building on the recent computation of the cohomology rings of smooth toric varieties and partial quotients of moment-angle complexes, we investigate the naturality properties of the resulting isomorphism between the cohomology of such a space and the torsion product involving the Stanley–Reisner ring. If 2 is invertible in the chosen coefficient ring, then the isomorphism is natural with respect to toric morphisms, which for partial quotients are defined in analogy with toric varieties. In general there are deformation terms that we describe explicitly.

1. INTRODUCTION

The motivation for this paper is to understand the cohomology of smooth, possibly non-compact toric varieties. More precisely, we want to describe the maps induced in cohomology by morphisms between such varieties. This builds on the work [13] of the first author, where the cohomology rings of smooth toric varieties were described. Recall that a toric variety \mathbf{X}_Σ defined by a rational fan Σ is a complex algebraic variety containing an algebraic torus $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ as a dense open subset such that the action of the torus on itself extends to the ambient variety. Likewise, a morphism between toric varieties is a morphism of algebraic varieties that restricts to a group morphism between the algebraic tori they contain. As in [13], our methods do not involve any algebraic geometry and work more generally for so-called partial quotients of moment-angle complexes. Our results have already been applied in [14] to compute the cohomology rings of 4-dimensional toric orbifolds.

The moment-angle complex \mathcal{Z}_P defined by a simplicial complex P on m vertices is a certain subspace of the product of discs $(\mathcal{D}^2)^m$ stable under the canonical action of the torus $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{S}^1)^m$. The quotient $\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$ by a freely acting closed subgroup $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is called a partial quotient. It comes equipped with an action of the torus $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{K}$. (See Section 3 for precise definitions.) Topologically, smooth toric varieties are partial quotients if they are compact; otherwise they can be equivariantly deformed into partial quotients, see Lemma 3.1. However, not every partial quotient arises in this way from a toric variety. Both moment-angle complexes and partial quotients can be more generally defined for simplicial posets instead of simplicial complexes.

We define morphisms between partial quotients that are inspired by morphisms of toric varieties and look at the induced maps in cohomology. Since the precise definition of these morphisms is somewhat lengthy, we state our results in the language of toric varieties in this introduction.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14M25, 57S12; secondary 14F45, 55N91.

M.F. was supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant. X.F. was supported by the Fields Institute and by the National Research Foundation of Korea Grant NRF-2019R1A2C2C010989.

Let \mathbb{k} be a principal ideal domain. Additively, the cohomology $H^*(\mathbf{X}_\Sigma; \mathbb{k})$ of a smooth (complex) toric variety \mathbf{X}_Σ with coefficients in \mathbb{k} was determined in the first author's doctoral dissertation [6], see also [8]. The answer is an isomorphism of graded \mathbb{k} -modules

$$(1.1) \quad H^*(\mathbf{X}_\Sigma; \mathbb{k}) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_R(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[\Sigma]).$$

Here R is the evenly graded symmetric algebra of $H^1(\mathcal{L}; \mathbb{k})$ or, in other words, the evenly graded algebra of polynomials on $N = H_1(\mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z})$ with coefficients in \mathbb{k} . It is isomorphic to the cohomology of the classifying space of the compact torus \mathcal{L} acting on \mathbf{X}_Σ . Moreover, $\mathbb{k}[\Sigma]$ is the Stanley–Reisner algebra of the fan Σ , which is isomorphic to the \mathcal{L} -equivariant cohomology of \mathbf{X}_Σ .

If \mathbf{X}_Σ is (smooth and) compact, then $\mathbb{k}[\Sigma]$ is free over R . This implies

$$(1.2) \quad H^*(\mathbf{X}_\Sigma; \mathbb{k}) \cong \mathbb{k} \otimes_R \mathbb{k}[\Sigma] \cong \mathbb{k}[\Sigma]/\mathcal{J},$$

where \mathcal{J} is an ideal generated by linear terms. This quotient description is known as the Jurkiewicz–Danilov formula and in fact gives $H^*(\mathbf{X}_\Sigma; \mathbb{k})$ as an algebra, cf. [3, Thm. 5.3.1].¹ The multiplicative structure of $H^*(\mathbf{X}_\Sigma; \mathbb{k})$ for non-compact \mathbf{X}_Σ was only computed recently in [13]. There is one answer for general \mathbb{k} and a simpler one if 2 is invertible in \mathbb{k} . Let us start with the latter case. Recall that there is a canonical product on the torsion product in (1.1) because all algebras involved are graded commutative.

Theorem 1.1 (Franz). *If 2 is invertible in \mathbb{k} , then there is an isomorphism*

$$\tilde{\Psi}_\Sigma: H^*(\mathbf{X}_\Sigma; \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_R(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[\Sigma])$$

that is multiplicative with respect to the canonical product on Tor .

Our first main result is as follows. Recall that any morphism of smooth toric varieties $\varphi: \mathbf{X}_{\Sigma'} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_\Sigma$ induces a map of algebras $\varphi^*: R \rightarrow R'$ and also a map

$$(1.3) \quad \tilde{\varphi}^*: \mathbb{k}[\Sigma] = H^*_\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}_\Sigma; \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H^*_\mathcal{L'}(\mathbf{X}_{\Sigma'}; \mathbb{k}) = \mathbb{k}[\Sigma']$$

compatible with the former. Algebraically, the map (1.3) can be described as the pull-back of piecewise polynomials along the map of fans $(N', \Sigma') \rightarrow (N, \Sigma)$, see Remark 5.2.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that 2 is invertible in \mathbb{k} . The isomorphism $\tilde{\Psi}_\Sigma$ is natural with respect to morphisms of toric varieties.*

We now turn to the case where 2 may not be invertible in \mathbb{k} . We consider the complex

$$(1.4) \quad \mathbf{K}_\Sigma = H^*(\mathcal{L}; \mathbb{k}) \otimes \mathbb{k}[\Sigma]$$

with differential

$$(1.5) \quad d = - \sum_{v \in V} \iota(x_v) \otimes t_v$$

where v runs through the set V of rays in Σ . Here t_v is the generator of $\mathbb{k}[\Sigma]$ corresponding to v and $\iota(x_v)$ the contraction with its minimal lattice representative x_v . The cohomology of \mathbf{K}_Σ is the torsion product from (1.1).

¹It also gives the Chow ring of \mathbf{X}_Σ . At least for rational coefficients this isomorphism between the Chow ring and $\mathrm{Tor}_R^0(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[\Sigma])$ holds even for (smooth) non-compact \mathbf{X}_Σ , see [2, Sec. 3.1].

Choose a basis x_1, \dots, x_n for $N = H_1(\mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z})$. We turn \mathbf{K}_Σ into a differential graded $\mathbb{k}[\Sigma]$ -algebra by defining

$$(1.6) \quad \alpha * \beta = \alpha \beta + \sum_{i \geq j} \alpha(x_i) \beta(x_j) q_{ij}$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in H^1(\mathcal{L}; \mathbb{k}) \subset \mathbf{K}_\Sigma$, where

$$(1.7) \quad q_{ii} = \sum_{v \in V} \frac{x_v^i (x_v^i - 1)}{2} t_v, \quad q_{ij} = \sum_{v \in V} x_v^i x_v^j t_v \quad \text{if } i > j.$$

Here $x_v^1, \dots, x_v^n \in \mathbb{Z}$ are the coordinates of $x_v \in N$ with respect to the basis (x_i) , and the fraction on the left-hand side is computed in \mathbb{Z} .

Theorem 1.3 (Franz). *For any principal ideal domain \mathbb{k} there is an isomorphism*

$$\Psi_\Sigma: H^*(\mathbf{X}_\Sigma; \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_R(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[\Sigma])$$

that is multiplicative with respect to the twisted $$ -product on Tor .*

Besides the map (1.3), a morphism of smooth toric varieties $\varphi: \mathbf{X}_{\Sigma'} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_\Sigma$ also induces a map $\varphi^*: H^*(\mathcal{L}; \mathbb{k}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{L}'; \mathbb{k})$. We introduce the chain map

$$(1.8) \quad \Xi: \mathbf{K}_\Sigma = H^*(\mathcal{L}; \mathbb{k}) \otimes \mathbb{k}[\Sigma] \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{\Sigma'} = H^*(\mathcal{L}'; \mathbb{k}) \otimes \mathbb{k}[\Sigma'],$$

$$\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_k} \otimes f \mapsto \varphi^*(\alpha_{i_1}) * \cdots * \varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k}) * \tilde{\varphi}^*(f)$$

for $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in H^1(\mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z})$ is the basis dual to the one for $H_1(\mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z})$. Based on Ξ we define the chain map

$$(1.9) \quad \hat{\Xi}: \mathbf{K}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{\Sigma'},$$

$$\alpha \otimes f \mapsto \Xi(\alpha \otimes f) + \sum_{i > j} \Xi(\iota(x_i) \iota(x_j) \alpha \otimes f) \hat{q}_{ij}$$

where the elements $\hat{q}_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}[\Sigma']$ can be written explicitly in terms of the map of fans $(N', \Sigma') \rightarrow (N, \Sigma)$, see Lemma 6.3. We write the map induced by $\hat{\Xi}$ in cohomology as $\widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\varphi: \mathbf{X}_{\Sigma'} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_\Sigma$ be a morphism of smooth toric varieties. The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(\mathbf{X}_\Sigma; \mathbb{k}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} & H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\Sigma'}; \mathbb{k}) \\ \Psi_\Sigma \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow \Psi_{\Sigma'} \\ \text{Tor}_R(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[\Sigma]) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)} & \text{Tor}_{R'}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[\Sigma']) \end{array}$$

commutes for any principal ideal domain \mathbb{k} .

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we review some differential-algebraic background and prove several auxiliary results related to bar constructions. Motivated by morphisms of toric varieties, we introduce in Section 3 the class of morphisms that we are focusing on, namely toric morphisms of simplicial posets. They induce equivariant maps between the associated partial quotients. In Sections 4 and 5 we revisit and extend the formality result for classifying spaces of tori and for Davis–Januszkiewicz spaces that was established in [12] and laid the ground for the results from [13] recalled above. These formality maps depend on the choice of certain representatives. We show that the maps for different choices are related via algebra homotopies, and we investigate how these homotopies interact

with \cup_1 -products. In Section 6 we recall the setup for the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 and prove our technical main result, Theorem 6.6. We deduce Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 as special cases of it in Sections 7.1 and 7.3. As further applications we study the map induced in cohomology by the projection $\mathbf{Z}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_\Sigma$ (Section 7.2), and we describe an automorphism of \mathbf{K}_Σ that in cohomology intertwines the twisted with the canonical product (Section 7.4). We illustrate our results with numerous examples.

Acknowledgements. We thank Taras Panov for a comment that inspired Remark 7.11.

2. ALGEBRAS, COALGEBRAS AND BAR CONSTRUCTIONS

Throughout this paper, we work over a principal ideal domain \mathbb{k} . We refer to [12, Sec. 2] for our sign conventions as well as for the definitions of coaugmented differential graded coalgebras (dgcs), augmented differential graded algebras (dgas) and bar constructions of the latter. We write $\mathbf{1} = \iota(1) \in \mathbf{BA}$ for the unit of the bar construction of an augmented dga A with the canonical coaugmentation $\iota: \mathbb{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{BA}$ and $t_A: \mathbf{BA} \rightarrow A$ for the canonical twisting cochain.

We recall from [17, §1.11] the definitions of algebra and coalgebra homotopies. Let $f, g: A \rightarrow B$ be morphisms of augmented dgas. An *algebra homotopy* from f to g is a map $h: A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad d(h) = g - f, \quad h\mu_A = \mu_B(f \otimes h + h \otimes g) \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_B h = 0,$$

where μ_A and μ_B denote the multiplication maps of A and B , respectively.² Similarly, let $f, g: C \rightarrow D$ be morphisms of coaugmented dgcs. A *coalgebra homotopy* from f to g is a map $h: C \rightarrow D$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad d(h) = g - f, \quad \Delta_D h = (f \otimes h + h \otimes g) \Delta_C \quad \text{and} \quad h\iota_C = 0.$$

Remember that the dual of a coaugmented dgc is an augmented dga. Moreover, if $f: C \rightarrow D$ is a morphism of augmented dgcs, then its transpose $f^*: D^* \rightarrow C^*$ is a morphism of augmented dgas. (See [12, eq. (2.4)] for our sign convention regarding the transpose.)

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f, g: C \rightarrow D$ be morphisms of coaugmented dgcs. If $h: C \rightarrow D$ is a coalgebra homotopy from f to g , then its transpose $h^*: D^* \rightarrow C^*$ is an algebra homotopy from f^* to g^* .*

Proof. This follows directly from the properties of the transpose as given in [12, eqs. (2.5), (2.7)]. \square

We consider the following diagram of augmented dgas.

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{g} & A' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & B'_1 \\ f \downarrow & \searrow h & \downarrow f' \\ B_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & B'_2 \end{array}$$

²Note that $-h$ is an algebra homotopy in the sense of [17]. The conditions on the (co)augmentations in (2.1) and (2.2) are missing in [17]. Without them the bijections with twisting cochain homotopies that are stated in [17] (and which we will not use) may fail.

We assume that the top square commutes on the nose and the bottom square up to the algebra homotopy $h: B_1 \rightarrow B'_2$ from $g_2 f$ to $f' g_1$. We consider the map

$$(2.4) \quad \Theta_h: \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, A, B_2) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, A', B'_2),$$

$$[a_1 | \dots | a_k] \otimes b \mapsto \begin{cases} \mathbf{1} \otimes g_2(b) & \text{if } k = 0, \\ [g(a_1) | \dots | g(a_k)] \otimes g_2(b) \\ \quad + [g(a_1) | \dots | g(a_{k-1})] \otimes h(a_k) g_2(b) & \text{if } k > 0 \end{cases}$$

between one-sided bar constructions. Using the isomorphisms of graded modules $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, A, B_2) = \mathbf{B}A \otimes B_2$ and $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, A', B'_2) = \mathbf{B}A' \otimes B'_2$, the map Θ_h can also be written as

$$(2.5) \quad \Theta_h = \mathbf{B}g \otimes g_2 + (1 \otimes \mu_{B'_2})(1 \otimes h t_A \otimes g_2)(\Delta_{\mathbf{B}A} \otimes 1)$$

where $t_A: \mathbf{B}A \rightarrow A$ is the canonical twisting cochain. Note that we are not indicating the map $A \rightarrow B_1$ when writing $h t_A$ above.

Lemma 2.2. *The map Θ_h is a chain map. Moreover, the diagram of complexes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, A, B_1) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}(1, g, g_1)} & \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, A', B'_1) \\ \mathbf{B}(1, 1, f) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{B}(1, 1, f') \\ \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, A, B_2) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_h} & \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, A', B'_2) \end{array}$$

commutes up to homotopy.

Proof. One directly verifies the first claim and also that

$$(2.6) \quad H = \mathbf{B}g \otimes h: \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, A, B_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, A', B'_2),$$

$$\underbrace{[a_1 | \dots | a_k]}_a \otimes b \mapsto (-1)^{|a|} [g(a_1) | \dots | g(a_k)] \otimes h(b)$$

is a homotopy from $\mathbf{B}(1, 1, f') \mathbf{B}(1, g, g_1)$ to $\Theta_h \mathbf{B}(1, 1, f)$. \square

Recall that a homotopy Gerstenhaber algebra (hga) is a dga A equipped with operations

$$(2.7) \quad E_k: A \otimes A^k \rightarrow A$$

for $k \geq 1$ that allow to define a multiplication on $\mathbf{B}A$ turning the bar construction into a dg bialgebra, compare [12, Sec. 4] or [13, Sec. 2]. We write the product of two elements $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}' \in \mathbf{B}A$ as $\mathbf{a} \circ \mathbf{a}'$. Cochain algebras of simplicial sets and topological spaces are naturally hgas, and any (graded) commutative dga is an hga with $E_k = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$. Also recall that

$$(2.8) \quad a \cup_1 b = -E_1(a; b)$$

is a \cup_1 -product for A satisfying the Hirsch formula. This means that for all $a, b, c \in A$ one has

$$(2.9) \quad d(a \cup_1 b) + da \cup_1 b + (-1)^{|a|} a \cup_1 db = ab - (-1)^{|a||b|} ba,$$

$$(2.10) \quad ab \cup_1 c = (-1)^{|a|} a(b \cup_1 c) + (-1)^{|b||c|} (a \cup_1 c) b.$$

We will need the following fact.

Lemma 2.3. *For any $k \geq 1$ and $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A$ of even positive degrees one has*

$$t_A([a_1] \circ \dots \circ [a_k]) = (-1)^{k-1} (((a_1 \cup_1 a_2) \cup_1 a_3) \cup_1 \dots) \cup_1 a_k.$$

As before, $t_A: \mathbf{B}A \rightarrow A$ denotes the canonical twisting cochain.

Proof. For all $a, b \in A$ of positive degrees we have

$$(2.11) \quad t_A([a] \circ [b]) = (-1)^{|a|} E_1(a; b) = (-1)^{|a|+1} a \cup_1 b,$$

compare [11, eqs. (6.7) & (6.10)]. Our claim follows inductively from this by evaluating the iterated product from the left to the right. \square

Given a morphism $A \rightarrow B$ of hgas, the one-sided bar construction $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, A, B)$ can be turned into a dga, compare [13, Prop. 2.2]. If all operations E_k with $k \geq 1$ are trivial in B , then the product on $\mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, A, B)$ is componentwise, that is,

$$(2.12) \quad (\mathbf{a} \otimes b) \circ (\mathbf{a}' \otimes b') = (-1)^{|\mathbf{a}'||b|} \mathbf{a} \circ \mathbf{a}' \otimes b b'$$

for all $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}' \in \mathbf{B}A$ and $b, b' \in B$.

3. MORPHISMS

As in [13], we write algebraic varieties in the form \mathbf{X} , topological spaces as \mathcal{X} and simplicial sets as X . As discussed in [13, Sec. 3.3], any lattice $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ gives naturally rise to a simplicial torus $T_N = BN$, a compact torus $\mathcal{T}_N \cong (\mathcal{S}^1)^n$ and an algebraic torus $\mathbf{T}_N \cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$. Here $\mathcal{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ denotes the unit circle.

To motivate our definition of a “toric morphism”, we start with the case of toric varieties. Recall that morphisms of toric varieties $\varphi: \mathbf{X}_{\Sigma'} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_{\Sigma}$ are morphisms of complex algebraic tori $\mathbf{L}' = \mathbf{T}_{N'} \rightarrow \mathbf{L} = \mathbf{T}_N$ that algebraically (or just continuously in the metric topology) extend to the toric varieties containing them. They correspond to morphisms of fans $A: (N', \Sigma') \rightarrow (N, \Sigma)$, that is, to morphisms of lattices $A: N' \rightarrow N$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \forall \sigma \in \Sigma' \quad \exists \tau \in \Sigma \quad A\sigma \subset \tau,$$

compare [15, Sec. 1.3].

Also recall from [15, p. 78] and [9, Sec. 2] that toric varieties can be defined over any topological monoid \mathbb{D} with group of invertible elements $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{D}$. We write such a toric variety as $\mathbf{X}_{\Sigma}(\mathbb{D})$, so that $\mathbf{X}_{\Sigma} = \mathbf{X}_{\Sigma}(\mathbb{C})$.

For a given rational fan Σ in the vector space $N_{\mathbb{R}} = N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, we write $x_v \in N$ for the the minimal lattice representative of the ray $v \in V$. If these vectors do not span the lattice N , we add “ghost rays” to V such that the minimal lattice representatives of both the real and the ghost rays do span N . Note that the ghost rays do not appear in Σ .

Let $\tilde{N} = \mathbb{Z}^V$, and let $\tilde{\Sigma}$ be the fan in $\tilde{N}_{\mathbb{R}}$ that is combinatorially equivalent to Σ and whose rays are the canonical basis elements $e_v \in \tilde{N}$ with $v \in V$. Also, let $\mathbf{Z}_{\Sigma}(\mathbb{D}) = \mathbf{X}_{\tilde{\Sigma}}(\mathbb{D})$ be the Cox construction of $\mathbf{X}_{\Sigma}(\mathbb{D})$ and write $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}_{\tilde{N}}$. Then

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbf{X}_{\Sigma} = \mathbf{Z}_{\Sigma}/\mathbf{K}$$

for some closed subgroup $\mathbf{K} \subset \mathbf{T}$ acting freely on \mathbf{Z}_{Σ} .

Let $\mathcal{D}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the unit disc, considered as a monoid with group of invertible elements \mathcal{S}^1 . Note that $\mathbf{Z}_{\Sigma} = \mathbf{Z}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{D}^2)$ is nothing but the moment-angle complex

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbf{Z}_{\Sigma} = (\mathcal{D}^2, \mathcal{S}^1)^{\Sigma} = \operatorname{colim}_{\sigma \in \Sigma} (\mathcal{D}^2, \mathcal{S}^1)^{\sigma}$$

defined by Σ . Here we are using the simplicial version of the polyhedral product functor, compare [3, Secs. 4.2 & 8.1]. We also write $\mathcal{X}_{\Sigma} = \mathbf{X}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{D}^2)$ as well as \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{K} for the compact abelian groups corresponding to \mathbf{L} , \mathbf{T} and \mathbf{K} .

Lemma 3.1. *Let Σ be a rational fan.*

(i) *The projection $\mathcal{Z}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_\Sigma$ induces a homeomorphism*

$$\mathcal{X}_\Sigma = \mathcal{Z}_\Sigma / \mathcal{K}.$$

(ii) *The inclusion*

$$\mathcal{X}_\Sigma \hookrightarrow \mathbf{X}_\Sigma$$

is an \mathcal{L} -equivariant strong deformation retract.

Proof. The first part is implicit in the proof of [13, Prop. 4.1]. This latter result is the second part above. \square

We now generalize from smooth toric varieties to partial quotients as in [13, Sec. 4], see also [3, Sec. 2.8].

Let P be a simplicial poset on the vertex set V . The empty simplex \emptyset is always contained in P . We furthermore assume P and V to be finite and V additionally ordered and possibly containing ghost vertices not appearing in P . By abuse of notation, we denote the complete simplicial complex on V by the same letter. We will also use of the folding map $P \rightarrow V$, which sends each simplex $\sigma \in P$ to its vertex set $V(\sigma) \subset V$.

Given a simplicial poset P , we define the moment-angle complex

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{Z}_P = \operatorname{colim}_{\sigma \in P} \mathcal{Z}_\sigma$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{Z}_\sigma = (\mathcal{D}^2, \mathcal{S}^1)^\sigma := (\mathcal{D}^2)^\sigma \times (\mathcal{S}^1)^{V \setminus \sigma}.$$

The torus $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{S}^1)^V$ canonically acts on \mathcal{Z}_P .

Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{S}^1)^V$ be a closed subgroup acting freely on \mathcal{Z}_P . Based on P and \mathcal{K} we define the partial quotient $\mathcal{Z}_P / \mathcal{K}$. It comes equipped with a canonical action of the quotient group $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{T} / \mathcal{K}$; the quotient map

$$(3.6) \quad \kappa: \mathcal{Z}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_P / \mathcal{K}$$

is equivariant with respect to the projection $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$. We also write κ for the projection map $\tilde{N} = \mathbb{Z}^V = H_1(\mathcal{T}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow N = H_1(\mathcal{L}; \mathbb{Z})$ between lattices as well as for the one between the corresponding real vector spaces.

For any $\sigma \in P$, the restriction $\kappa: \mathcal{Z}_\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\sigma / \mathcal{K}$ is the Cox construction discussed above in the special case $\mathbb{D} = \mathcal{D}^2$. If we consider σ as a cone in $\tilde{N}_\mathbb{R}$ spanned by the e_v with $v \in V$, then $\kappa(\sigma)$ is a cone in $N_\mathbb{R}$ whose rays $x_v = \kappa(e_v) \in N$ extend to a basis for N .

Remark 3.2. To every smooth toric variety \mathcal{X}_Σ one can associate a partial quotient as in Lemma 3.1. Then $\kappa(\sigma) = \sigma$ for each cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$. In general, however, the cones $\kappa(\sigma)$ defined above do not form a fan. The fan property is crucial in algebraic geometry when gluing together the affine toric varieties associated to each cone. The topological setting therefore is strictly more general than that of smooth toric varieties, compare [4, Ex. 1.19].

Consider another partial quotient $\mathcal{Z}_{P'} / \mathcal{K}'$. Let $A: N' \rightarrow N$ be a morphism of lattices, and let $\nu: P' \rightarrow P$ be an order-preserving function such that

$$(3.7) \quad A\kappa'(\sigma) \subset \kappa(\nu(\sigma))$$

for all $\sigma \in P'$. We call the pair (A, ν) a *toric morphism of simplicial posets* and write it as

$$(3.8) \quad (A, \nu): (N', P') \rightarrow (N, P).$$

It induces a morphism $\mathcal{Z}_\sigma/\mathcal{K}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\nu(\sigma)}/\mathcal{K}$ for each $\sigma \in P'$. Given that ν is order-preserving, these morphisms glue together to a continuous map

$$(3.9) \quad \varphi = \varphi_{(A, \nu)}: \mathcal{Z}_{P'}/\mathcal{K}' = \operatorname{colim}_{\sigma \in P'} \mathcal{Z}_\sigma/\mathcal{K}' \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{\tau \in P} \mathcal{Z}_\tau/\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K},$$

equivariant with respect to the morphism of groups $\mathcal{L}' \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ determined by A .

We also get a map between the Cox constructions: The morphism $A: N' \rightarrow N$ lifts to a morphism of lattices $\tilde{A}: \tilde{N}' \rightarrow \tilde{N}$ such that

$$(3.10) \quad \kappa(\tilde{A}e_{v'}) = A\kappa'(e_{v'}) = Ax_{v'} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{A}\sigma \subset \nu(\sigma) \subset \tilde{N}$$

for all $v' \in V'$ and all $\sigma \in P'$. In particular, we have a toric morphism of simplicial posets

$$(3.11) \quad (\tilde{A}, \nu): (\tilde{N}', P') \rightarrow (\tilde{N}, P).$$

It is canonically represented by a matrix $(\tilde{a}_v^{v'}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{V \times V'}$. For a non-ghost vertex $v' \in V'$ the coefficients $\tilde{a}_v^{v'}$ are in fact non-negative because $\tilde{A}e_{v'}$ is an \mathbb{N} -linear combination of the basis elements e_v where v runs through the vertices of the simplex $\nu(v') \in P$.

Remark 3.3. If V' does not contain ghost vertices, then the conditions (3.10) determine \tilde{A} uniquely. In general, the coefficients $\tilde{a}_v^{v'}$ for the ghost vertices $v' \in V'$ will depend on the chosen lift of A . We will see in Remark 7.1 that this ambiguity disappears when we construct the twisting term \hat{q}_{ij} that via the definition (1.9) enter the map $\widehat{\operatorname{Tor}}(\varphi)$ appearing in Theorem 1.4.

From \tilde{A} and ν we obtain the map

$$(3.12) \quad \tilde{\varphi} = \varphi_{(\tilde{A}, \nu)}: \mathcal{Z}_{P'} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_P,$$

which is equivariant with respect to the map $\mathcal{T}' \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ given by \tilde{A} . It follows from (3.10) and Lemma 3.1 (i) that $\tilde{\varphi}$ fits into the commutative diagram

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z}_{P'} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & \mathcal{Z}_P \\ \kappa' \downarrow & & \downarrow \kappa \\ \mathcal{Z}_{P'}/\mathcal{K}' & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}. \end{array}$$

For $\sigma \in P'$, the restriction of $\tilde{\varphi}$ to the “affine charts”

$$(3.14) \quad (\mathcal{D}^2, \mathcal{S}^1)^\sigma = \mathcal{Z}_\sigma \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} \mathcal{Z}_{\nu(\sigma)} = (\mathcal{D}^2, \mathcal{S}^1)^{\nu(\sigma)}$$

is given in the canonical coordinates indexed by V' and V as

$$(3.15) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x)_v = \prod_{v' \in V'} x_{v'}^{\tilde{a}_v^{v'}}.$$

We point out that our toric morphisms of simplicial posets lead to maps $\tilde{\varphi}$ that are more general than the simplicial maps considered for example in [3, Prop. 4.2.4].

It will be useful to take an even more general point of view. Let \mathbb{D} be any commutative simplicial monoid and $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{D}$ a simplicial subgroup. For example, we may consider the pair $(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{S}) = (BS^1, b_0)$, where BS^1 is the simplicial classifying

space of the simplicial circle $S^1 = T_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and b_0 its unique base point. (Here we are using that the simplicial classifying space of a commutative simplicial group is again a commutative simplicial group. More generally, the simplicial Borel construction of a commutative simplicial group (or monoid) with respect to another commutative simplicial group is again a commutative simplicial group (or monoid), compare [16, p. 98].) The polyhedral product associated to the pair (BS^1, b_0) is the simplicial Davis–Januszkiewicz space

$$(3.16) \quad DJ_P = (BS^1, b_0)^P.$$

Using (3.15) as a definition, we get from (\tilde{A}, ν) a map of simplicial monoids

$$(3.17) \quad (\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{S})^\sigma \rightarrow (\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{S})^{\nu(\sigma)}$$

for any pair (\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{S}) as above and any $\sigma \in P'$.

Lemma 3.4.

- (i) *The maps (3.17) induce a well-defined morphism of simplicial monoids*

$$\tilde{\varphi}: (\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{S})^{P'} \rightarrow (\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{S})^P.$$

- (ii) *This way, the polyhedral product $(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{S})^P$ becomes bifunctorial with respect to multiplicative maps of pairs $(\mathbb{D}', \mathbb{S}') \rightarrow (\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{S})$ and toric morphisms of simplicial posets $(\tilde{A}, \nu): (\tilde{N}', P') \rightarrow (\tilde{N}, P)$.*

Proof. It is readily verified that the diagram

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{D}', \mathbb{S}')^\sigma & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{S})^\sigma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbb{D}', \mathbb{S}')^{\nu(\sigma)} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{S})^{\nu(\sigma)} \end{array}$$

commutes for every $\sigma \in P'$, which proves both claims. \square

Because of the bifunctoriality we get an action of the group $\mathbb{S}^V = (\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S})^P$ on $(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{S})^P$ such that the map $\tilde{\varphi}$ is equivariant with the induced morphism of groups $\mathbb{S}^{V'} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^V$.

We write $Y_G = EG \times_G Y$ for the simplicial Borel construction of the G -space Y with respect to the simplicial group G , compare [13, Sec. 3.2]. In the proof of [13, Lemma 5.1] we observed that both arrows in the zigzag

$$(3.19) \quad (\mathcal{Z}_P)_T = ((\mathcal{D}^2)_{S^1}, (\mathcal{S}^1)_{S^1})^P \leftarrow ((\mathcal{D}^2)_{S^1}, e_0)^P \rightarrow (BS^1, b_0)^P = DJ_P$$

are homotopy equivalences, where e_0 is the canonical base points of ES^1 .

Proposition 3.5. *The homotopy equivalences (3.19) connecting $(\mathcal{Z}_P)_T$ and DJ_P commute with maps induced by toric morphisms of simplicial posets, and so does the map $DJ_P \rightarrow BT$.*

Proof. This follows by applying Lemma 3.4 to the maps of pairs used in (3.19). \square

4. CLASSIFYING SPACES OF TORI

4.1. Review of the formality map for BT . Let \mathbf{S} be a symmetric coalgebra on cogenerators y_1, \dots, y_m of degree 2. The dual \mathbf{S}^* of \mathbf{S} then is a polynomial algebra $\mathbb{k}[t_1, \dots, t_m]$ on generators t_i dual to the y_i 's. In this section we review and mildly generalize the construction of a dga morphism

$$(4.1) \quad f^*: C^*(BT) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^*$$

where T is a torus and

$$(4.2) \quad \pi: ET \rightarrow BT$$

the simplicial construction of its universal bundle. As in [12, Sec. 5], by a torus we mean a compact torus $\cong (\mathcal{S}^1)^m$, an algebraic torus $\cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^m$ or a simplicial torus, that is, the simplicial classifying space BN of a lattice $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^m$.

The elements of the canonical \mathbb{k} -basis for \mathbf{S} are written as y_α , indexed by a multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m$. If a component α_i of α is non-zero, we write $\alpha|i$ for the multi-index that is obtained from it by decreasing the i -th component by 1.

Let $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ be the (strictly) exterior algebra on generators x_1, \dots, x_m of degree 1. The differential of the Koszul complex

$$(4.3) \quad \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{\Lambda} \otimes \mathbf{S}$$

is given by

$$(4.4) \quad d(a \otimes y_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha_i > 0} x_i a \otimes y_{\alpha|i}.$$

We often write ay instead of $a \otimes y \in \mathbf{K}$, and also $y_0 = 1$.

We first define a map

$$(4.5) \quad F: \mathbf{K} \rightarrow C(ET).$$

Let $c_1, \dots, c_m \in C_1(T)$ be linear combination of loops (hence cycles). Recall that a loop in T is a 1-simplex whose vertices are both equal to $1 \in T$. We recursively set

$$(4.6) \quad F(1) = e_0,$$

$$(4.7) \quad F(y) = S F(dy) \quad \text{if } |y| > 0,$$

$$(4.8) \quad F(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} y) = c_{i_1} \cdots c_{i_k} \cdot F(y)$$

for $y \in \mathbf{S}$ and $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq m$. See the following section for the contracting homotopy S of $C(ET)$ as well as for the action of the augmented dga $C(T)$ on $C(ET)$ in (4.8). We note that F is independent of the order of the basis (x_i) because $C(T)$ is (graded) commutative.

The map F induces a map

$$(4.9) \quad f: \mathbf{S} = \mathbb{k} \otimes_{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \mathbf{K} \rightarrow C(BT), \quad y \mapsto \pi_* F(y).$$

The last claim in the following result explains the name ‘‘formality map’’ for f^* . By abuse of terminology, we use it for any map f^* constructed as described above.

Theorem 4.1. *The map f is a morphism of dgcs, hence its dual f^* a morphism of hgas. If $\mathbf{S} = H(BT)$, $\mathbf{\Lambda} = H(T)$ and c_1, \dots, c_m represent a basis for $H_1(T)$ that under transgression corresponds to the basis y_1, \dots, y_m for $H_2(BT)$, then f and f^* induce the identity in (co)homology.*

In fact, f is a morphism of homotopy Gerstenhaber coalgebras (hgcs), but we are not using this notion in this paper.

Proof. See [12, Thm. 5.3]. The additional assumptions on \mathbf{S} and the cycles c_i stated above are made throughout in [12, Sec. 5], but they are not needed to establish the (co)multiplicativity of f and f^* . \square

4.2. The main ingredients. We also review the main ingredients of the proof of Theorem 4.1 since we will use them again in the next sections.

The map $S: C(ET) \rightarrow C(ET)$ appearing in (4.7) is a homotopy from the projection to the basepoint e_0 to the identity map of ET . We therefore have

$$(4.10) \quad (dS + Sd)(e) = \begin{cases} e - e_0 & \text{if } p = 0, \\ e & \text{if } p > 0 \end{cases}$$

for any p -simplex $e \in ET$. It moreover satisfies the identities

$$(4.11) \quad SS = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Se_0 = 0,$$

see [12, Sec. 2.7].

Let $k, \ell \geq 0$, and consider a surjection $u: \{1, 2, \dots, k + \ell\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ satisfying $u(i) \neq u(i + 1)$ for $1 \leq i < k + \ell$. For any simplicial set X , the interval cut operation $AW_u: C(X) \rightarrow C(X)^{\otimes \ell}$ associated to u is defined by

$$(4.12) \quad AW_u(x) = \sum \pm x(c_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes x(c_\ell)$$

for a p -simplex $x \in X_p$, where the sum is over all indices

$$(4.13) \quad 0 = p_0 \leq p_1 \leq \cdots \leq p_{k+\ell} = p,$$

and c_i is the concatenation of all intervals $[p_{s-1}, p_s]$ such that $u(i) = s$. The sign not specified in (4.12) is the product of a permutation sign and a position sign, compare [12, Sec. 3]. We will only need the diagonal of the dgc $C(X)$,

$$(4.14) \quad AW_{(12)} = \Delta: C(X) \rightarrow C(X) \otimes C(X),$$

the diagonal with transposed factors,

$$(4.15) \quad AW_{(21)} = T_{C(X), C(X)} \Delta: C(X) \rightarrow C(X) \otimes C(X)$$

and the map

$$(4.16) \quad AW_{(121)}: C(X) \rightarrow C(X) \otimes C(X)$$

whose transpose is the \cup_1 -product, meaning that for $\alpha, \beta \in C^*(X)$ we have

$$(4.17) \quad \alpha \cup_1 \beta = -AW_{(121)}^*(\alpha \otimes \beta).$$

We recall that $AW_{(121)}$ vanishes on 0-simplices.

For $X = ET$ these maps interact with the homotopy S as follows:

$$(4.18) \quad \Delta S = (S \otimes 1) \Delta + e_0 \otimes S,$$

$$(4.19) \quad AW_{(21)} S = (1 \otimes S) AW_{(21)} + S \otimes e_0,$$

$$(4.20) \quad AW_{(121)} S = -(S \otimes 1) AW_{(121)} + (S \otimes 1) AW_{(21)} S,$$

see [12, Lemma 3.5].

Again for $X = ET$ we also use the partial projections

$$(4.21) \quad \hat{\Delta} = (1 \otimes \pi_*) \Delta: C(ET) \rightarrow C(ET) \otimes C(BT),$$

$$(4.22) \quad \widehat{AW}_{(121)} = (1 \otimes \pi_*) AW_{(121)}: C(ET) \rightarrow C(ET) \otimes C(BT).$$

They are $C(T)$ -equivariant where $C(T)$ acts on the factor $C(ET)$ of the target only, see [12, Cor. 3.4].

Since T acts on ET from the left, we get an induced action of the dg bialgebra $C(T)$ on $C(ET)$. The shuffle map underlying the latter action is a morphism of dgcs, see [5, (17.6)]. Hence for a loop $c \in T$ and a chain $w \in C(ET)$ we have

$$(4.23) \quad \Delta(c \cdot w) = \Delta c \cdot \Delta w = (c \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes c) \cdot \Delta w$$

Likewise, for a 2-simplex $b \in T$ whose 1-dimensional front face b^1 and back face b^2 are loops, we get

$$(4.24) \quad \Delta(b \cdot w) = (b \otimes 1 + b^1 \otimes b^2 + 1 \otimes b) \cdot \Delta w$$

and therefore also

$$(4.25) \quad AW_{(21)}(c \cdot w) = (c \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes c) \cdot AW_{(21)} w,$$

$$(4.26) \quad AW_{(21)}(b \cdot w) = (b \otimes 1 - b^2 \otimes b^1 + 1 \otimes b) \cdot AW_{(21)} w.$$

4.3. A homotopy between different formality maps. A different choice of linear combinations of loops $\tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_m \in C_1(T)$ leads to a different map $\tilde{f}: \mathbf{K} \rightarrow C(ET)$, hence to a different map $\tilde{f}^*: C^*(BT) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^*$. We need to know how f^* and \tilde{f}^* are related if c_i and \tilde{c}_i are homologous for each $1 \leq i \leq m$. The proofs in this section follow the same strategy as those for F and f in [12, Sec. 5].

Remark 4.2. One situation where different representatives \tilde{c}_i come up is the following: Any decomposition of T into circles determines bases x_1, \dots, x_m of $H_1(T)$ and $y_1, \dots, y_m \in H_2(BT)$. It also determines canonical representatives c_i of the x_i 's: In the simplicial setting, $c_i = [x_i] \in BH_1(T)$. Topologically, this corresponds to a loop that winds once around the (positively oriented) i -th circle factor with constant speed. Altogether we get canonical maps $F: \mathbf{K} \rightarrow C(ET)$ and $f: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow C(BT)$.

A different decomposition of T into circles likewise leads to different bases x'_i and y'_i as well as representatives c'_i of the x'_i 's, hence to different maps F' and f' . The x_i 's and y_i 's can be expressed as integer linear combinations of the x'_j 's and y'_j 's, respectively. Defining \tilde{c}_i as the corresponding linear combination of the c'_j 's gives different representatives of the x_i 's, hence another map $\tilde{F}: \mathbf{K} \rightarrow C(ET)$. A look at the definition (4.6)–(4.8) of F shows that \tilde{F} agrees with F' and therefore the induced map $\tilde{f}: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow C(BT)$ with f' .

Let us choose chains $b_1, \dots, b_m \in C_2(T)$ such that

$$(4.27) \quad db_i = \tilde{c}_i - c_i$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq m$. Based on the chains b_i and c_i and the morphism \tilde{F} , we recursively define a map

$$(4.28) \quad H: \mathbf{K} \rightarrow C(ET)$$

by setting, for $y \in \mathbf{S}$ and $1 \leq i \leq m$,

$$(4.29) \quad H(1) = 0,$$

$$(4.30) \quad H(y) = -S H(dy) \quad \text{if } |y| > 0,$$

$$(4.31) \quad H(x_i a y) = b_i \cdot \tilde{F}(a y) - c_i \cdot H(a y) \quad \text{if } a \in \bigwedge (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}).$$

The last line allows to split off generators x_i one after the other in decreasing order. For example, one has

$$(4.32) \quad \begin{aligned} H(x_2 x_1 y) &= b_2 \cdot \tilde{F}(x_1 y) - c_2 \cdot H(x_1 y) \\ &= b_2 \tilde{c}_1 \cdot \tilde{F}(y) - c_2 b_1 \cdot \tilde{F}(y) + c_2 c_1 \cdot H(y). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.3. *The map H is a homotopy from F to \tilde{F} .*

Proof. Let $y \in \mathbf{S}$. For $y = 1$ we have

$$(4.33) \quad (dH + Hd)(y) = 0 = \tilde{F}(y) - F(y).$$

For $|y| > 0$ we have by (4.10) and induction

$$(4.34) \quad \begin{aligned} d(H)(y) &= dH(y) + H(dy) = -dSH(dy) + H(dy) = SdH(dy) \\ &= S(\tilde{F} - F - Hd)(dy) = S\tilde{F}(dy) - SF(dy) = \tilde{F}(y) - F(y). \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $a \in \bigwedge(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})$ we have

$$(4.35) \quad \begin{aligned} dH(x_i a y) &= d(b_i \cdot \tilde{F}(a y) - c_i \cdot H(a y)) \\ &= db_i \cdot \tilde{F}(a y) + b_i \cdot d\tilde{F}(a y) + c_i \cdot dH(a y) \\ &= b_i \cdot \tilde{F}(d(a y)) + (\tilde{c}_i - c_i) \cdot \tilde{F}(a y) + c_i \cdot (\tilde{F} - F - Hd)(a y) \\ &= b_i \cdot \tilde{F}(d(a y)) + \tilde{c}_i \cdot \tilde{F}(a y) - c_i \cdot F(a y) - c_i \cdot H(d(a y)) \\ &= \tilde{F}(x_i a y) - F(x_i a y) + H(x_i d(a y)) \\ &= \tilde{F}(x_i a y) - F(x_i a y) - Hd(x_i a y). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

In analogy with f we introduce the map

$$(4.36) \quad h: \mathbf{S} = \mathbb{k} \otimes_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{K} \rightarrow C(BT), \quad y \mapsto \pi_* H(y).$$

We also define the “skewed diagonal”

$$(4.37) \quad \hat{\Delta} = (1 \otimes \pi_*) \Delta: \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{K} \otimes \mathbf{S}.$$

It is \mathbf{A} -equivariant where \mathbf{A} acts only on the first factor of the target, similarly to the $C(T)$ -equivariance of the map $\hat{\Delta}: C(ET) \rightarrow C(ET) \otimes C(BT)$ from (4.21).

Lemma 4.4. *The map H satisfies*

$$\hat{\Delta} H = (F \otimes h + H \otimes \tilde{f}) \hat{\Delta}.$$

Proof. Let $y \in \mathbf{S}$. The claim is trivial for $y = 1$. For $|y| > 0$ we have by (4.18) and induction that

$$(4.38) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{\Delta} H(y) &= -\hat{\Delta} SH(dy) = -e_0 \otimes \pi_* SH(dy) - (S \otimes 1) \hat{\Delta} H(dy) \\ &= F(1) \otimes H(y) - (S \otimes 1)(F \otimes h + H \otimes \tilde{f}) \hat{\Delta}(dy) \\ &= F(1) \otimes H(y) - \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} (SF \otimes h + SH \otimes \tilde{f})(dy_\beta \otimes y_\gamma) \\ &= F(1) \otimes H(y) + \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} SF(dy_\beta) \otimes h(y_\gamma) - \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} SH(dy_\beta) \otimes \tilde{f}(y_\gamma) \\ &= F(1) \otimes H(y) + \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} F(y_\beta) \otimes h(y_\gamma) + \sum_{\substack{\beta+\gamma=\alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} H(y_\beta) \otimes \tilde{f}(y_\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} F(y_\beta) \otimes h(y_\gamma) + \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} H(y_\beta) \otimes \tilde{f}(y_\gamma) \\
&= (F \otimes h + H \otimes \tilde{f}) \hat{\Delta}(y).
\end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $a \in \bigwedge(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.39) \quad \hat{\Delta} H(x_i a y) &= \hat{\Delta}(b_i \cdot \tilde{F}(a y) - c_i \cdot H(a y)) \\
&= (b_i \otimes 1) \cdot \hat{\Delta} \tilde{F}(a y) - (c_i \otimes 1) \cdot \hat{\Delta} H(a y) \\
&= (b_i \otimes 1) \cdot (\tilde{F} \otimes \tilde{f}) \hat{\Delta}(a y) - (c_i \otimes 1) \cdot (F \otimes h + H \otimes \tilde{f}) \hat{\Delta}(a y) \\
&= (b_i \otimes 1) \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \tilde{F}(a y_\beta) \otimes \tilde{f}(y_\gamma) \\
&\quad - (c_i \otimes 1) \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \left((-1)^{|a|} F(a y_\beta) \otimes h(y_\gamma) + H(a y_\beta) \otimes \tilde{f}(y_\gamma) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} (-1)^{|x_i a|} F(x_i a y_\beta) \otimes h(y_\gamma) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} (b_i \cdot \tilde{F}(a y_\beta) - c_i \cdot H(a y_\beta)) \otimes \tilde{f}(y_\gamma) \\
&= (F \otimes h + H \otimes \tilde{f}) \hat{\Delta}(x_i a y). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.5. *The map $h: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow C(BT)$ is a coalgebra homotopy from f to \tilde{f} , hence its transpose $h^*: C^*(BT) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ is an algebra homotopy from f^* to \tilde{f}^* .*

Proof. The first part follows from (4.29), Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4 by projecting to $C(BT)$ and $C(BT) \otimes C(BT)$, respectively, and then passing to \mathbf{S} . Lemma 2.1 gives the second claim. \square

4.4. The homotopy and cup-1 products. We now investigate how the algebra homotopy h^* constructed in the previous section interacts with \cup_1 -products.

Let $\mathcal{S} \subset C(ET)$ be the graded submodule generated by all chains (in fact, cycles) of the form

$$(4.40) \quad S c_l S c_{l-1} \cdots S c_1 e_0$$

where $l \geq 0$ and c_1, \dots, c_l are loops in T .

Lemma 4.6.

- (i) $S(\mathcal{S}) = 0$,
- (ii) $\Delta(\mathcal{S}) = AW_{(12)}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}$ and $AW_{(21)}(\mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}$,
- (iii) $\widehat{AW}_{(121)}(\mathcal{S}) = 0$.

Proof. Part (i) follows from (4.11). For part (ii) it suffices to prove the first claim. This is done by induction on the length l of an expression as in (4.40), the case $l = 0$ being trivial. For larger l we consider an element $S c z \in \mathcal{S}$ with $z \in \mathcal{S}$. By (4.18), (4.23), induction and part (i) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.41) \quad \Delta(S c z) &= (S \otimes 1) \Delta(c z) + e_0 \otimes S c z \\
&= (S c \otimes 1) \Delta z + (S \otimes c) \Delta z + e_0 \otimes S c z \\
&= (S c \otimes 1) \Delta z + e_0 \otimes S c z \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}.
\end{aligned}$$

Part (iii) is another induction on l with a trivial start. This time we have

$$(4.42) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{AW}_{(121)}(Scz) &= -(S \otimes 1) \widehat{AW}_{(121)}cz + (S \otimes \pi_*) AW_{(21)}(Scz) \\ &= -(Sc \otimes 1) \widehat{AW}_{(121)}z = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (4.20), equivariance of $\widehat{AW}_{(121)}$, parts (i) and (ii) of the lemma and induction. \square

Let us write each chain $b_i \in C_2(T)$ introduced in (4.27) as a sum

$$(4.43) \quad b_i = \sum_s \lambda_{i,s} b_{i,s}$$

of 2-simplices $b_{i,s}$ in T with coefficients $\lambda_{i,s} \in \mathbb{k}$. Since T is connected, we may assume that all three vertices of each $b_{i,s}$ are equal to $1 \in T$. (This is automatic for simplicial tori.) The 1-dimensional front face $b_{i,s}^1$ and back face $b_{i,s}^2$ of $b_{i,s}$ are then again loops.

Lemma 4.7. *The following identities hold for any $y \in \mathbf{S}$.*

- (i) $F(y) \in \mathcal{S}$,
- (ii) $(1 \otimes S) AW_{(21)} H(y) = 0$,
- (iii) $(S \otimes 1) AW_{(21)} H(y) \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}$,
- (iv) $\widehat{AW}_{(121)} H(y) \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{S}$,
- (v) $(\widehat{AW}_{(121)} \otimes 1) \widehat{AW}_{(121)} H(y) = 0$.

Proof. We assume $y = y_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m$. All parts are inductions on $|\alpha|$ which are trivial for $\alpha = 0$.

For (i) we have by the definition (4.6)–(4.8) of F that

$$(4.44) \quad F(y_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha_i > 0} S F(x_i y_{\alpha|i}) = \sum_{\alpha_i > 0} S c_i F(y_{\alpha|i}) \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Using the definition of H and the identity (4.19), we have

$$(4.45) \quad \begin{aligned} AW_{(21)} H(y_\alpha) &= -AW_{(21)} S H(dy_\alpha) \\ &= -(1 \otimes S) AW_{(21)} H(dy_\alpha) - S H(dy_\alpha) \otimes e_0. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (4.11) this proves part (ii).

We now turn to part (iii). From (4.45), (4.11) and the definition of H we get

$$(4.46) \quad \begin{aligned} (S \otimes 1) AW_{(21)} H(y_\alpha) &= - \sum_{\alpha_i > 0} (S \otimes S) AW_{(21)} H(x_i y_{\alpha|i}) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha_i > 0} (S \otimes S) AW_{(21)} (c_i H(y_{\alpha|i}) - b_i \tilde{F}(y_{\alpha|i})) \end{aligned}$$

which by (4.25) and (4.26) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{\alpha_i > 0} (S c_i \otimes S + S \otimes S c_i) AW_{(21)} H(y_{\alpha|i}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha_i > 0} \left(S b_i \otimes S + \sum_s \lambda_{i,s} S b_{i,s}^2 \otimes S b_{i,s}^1 + S \otimes S b_i \right) AW_{(21)} \tilde{F}(y_{\alpha|i}) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha_i > 0} (S \otimes S c_i) AW_{(21)} H(y_{\alpha|i}) \end{aligned}$$

$$- \sum_{\alpha_i > 0} \sum_s \lambda_{i,s} (S b_{i,s}^2 \otimes S b_{i,s}^1) AW_{(21)} \tilde{F}(y_{\alpha|i})$$

by parts (i) and (ii) as well as Lemma 4.6 (i). This last expression lies in $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{S}$ by induction, part (i) and Lemma 4.6 (ii).

For part (iv) we have by the definition of H and (4.20) that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.47) \quad \widehat{AW}_{(121)} H(y_\alpha) &= -\widehat{AW}_{(121)} S H(dy_\alpha) \\ &= (S \otimes 1) \widehat{AW}_{(121)} H(dy_\alpha) - (S \otimes \pi_*) AW_{(21)} S H(dy_\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha_i > 0} (S \otimes 1) \widehat{AW}_{(121)} H(x_i y_{\alpha|i}) + (S \otimes \pi_*) AW_{(21)} H(y_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

The second term lies in $\mathcal{S} \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{S}$ by part (iii). By the definition of H and the $C(T)$ -equivariance of $\widehat{AW}_{(121)}$ each term of the sum can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (4.48) \quad (S \otimes 1) \widehat{AW}_{(121)} (b_i \tilde{F}(y_{\alpha|i}) - c_i H(y_{\alpha|i})) \\ = (S b_i \otimes 1) \widehat{AW}_{(121)} \tilde{F}(y_{\alpha|i}) + (S c_i \otimes 1) \widehat{AW}_{(121)} H(y_{\alpha|i}). \end{aligned}$$

The first term vanishes by part (i) together with Lemma 4.6 (iii), and the second lies in $\mathcal{S} \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{S}$ by induction.

Part (v) follows from part (iv) and Lemma 4.6 (iii). \square

Note that from Lemma 4.7 (i) and Lemma 4.6 (iii) we can again deduce that f^* annihilates all \cup_1 -products, compare [12, Thm. 5.3 (ii)].

Proposition 4.8.

(i) For any $\alpha \in C^*(BT)$ of even degree we have

$$h^*(\alpha) = 0.$$

(ii) For any $\alpha, \beta \in C^2(BT)$ we have

$$h^*(\alpha \cup_1 \beta) = - \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_s \lambda_{i,s} \langle \alpha, \pi_* S b_{i,s}^2 e_0 \rangle \langle \beta, \pi_* S b_{i,s}^1 e_0 \rangle t_i.$$

(iii) For any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in C^*(BT)$ we have

$$h^*((\alpha \cup_1 \beta) \cup_1 \gamma) = 0.$$

Proof. The first claim follows from the fact that $H^*(BT)$ is concentrated in even degrees, and the third one is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.7 (v). The second one means

$$(4.49) \quad \langle h^*(\alpha \cup_1 \beta), y_i \rangle = - \sum_s \lambda_{i,s} \langle \alpha, \pi_* S b_{i,s}^2 e_0 \rangle \langle \beta, \pi_* S b_{i,s}^1 e_0 \rangle$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq m$. To establish this identity, we need some preparations.

By (4.19), (4.11) and (4.26) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.50) \quad (S \otimes 1) AW_{(21)} S b_i e_0 &= (S \otimes S) AW_{(21)} b_i e_0 \\ &= (S \otimes S) \left(b_i \otimes 1 - \sum_s \lambda_{i,s} b_{i,s}^2 \otimes b_{i,s}^1 + 1 \otimes b_i \right) (e_0 \otimes e_0) \\ &= \sum_s \lambda_{i,s} S b_{i,s}^2 e_0 \otimes S b_{i,s}^1 e_0. \end{aligned}$$

From this, (4.20) and the equivariant of $\widehat{AW}_{(121)}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.51) \quad \widehat{AW}_{(121)} S b_i e_0 &= -(S \otimes 1) \widehat{AW}_{(121)} b_i e_0 + (S \otimes \pi_*) AW_{(21)} S b_i e_0 \\
 &= -(S b_i \otimes 1) \widehat{AW}_{(121)} e_0 + (S \otimes \pi_*) AW_{(21)} S b_i e_0 \\
 &= \sum_s \lambda_{i,s} S b_{i,s}^2 e_0 \otimes \pi_* S b_{i,s}^1 e_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have $H(y_i) = -S h(x_i) = -S b_i e_0$. Combining the preceding computation with the definition (4.17) of the \cup_1 -product and that of the transpose [12, eq. (2.4)], we finally get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.52) \quad \langle h^*(\alpha \cup_1 \beta), y_i \rangle &= -\langle \alpha \cup_1 \beta, h(y_i) \rangle = +\langle AW_{(121)}^*(\alpha \otimes \beta), \pi_* H(y_i) \rangle \\
 &= -\langle \alpha \otimes \beta, (\pi_* \otimes 1) \widehat{AW}_{(121)} S b_i e_0 \rangle \\
 &= -\sum_s \lambda_{i,s} \langle \alpha, \pi_* S b_{i,s}^2 e_0 \rangle \langle \beta, \pi_* S b_{i,s}^1 e_0 \rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. \square

5. DAVIS–JANUSZKIEWICZ SPACES

As in Section 3, let P be a simplicial poset on the vertex set V , and let $T = (S^1)^V$ be a simplicial torus of rank $|V| = m$. Suppressing the folding map $P \rightarrow V$, we write $T_\sigma = (S^1)^\sigma \subset T$ for $\sigma \in P$. We can then express the simplicial Davis–Januszkiewicz space (3.16) associated to P as

$$(5.1) \quad DJ_P = \operatorname{colim}_{\sigma \in P} BT_\sigma;$$

it comes with a canonical map $DJ_P \rightarrow BT$.

We fix a representative $c \in C_1(S^1)$, which leads to canonical representatives $c_v \in C_1(T_v) \subset C_1(T)$ for all $v \in V$. The dgc quasi-isomorphisms $f_\sigma: H(BT_\sigma) \rightarrow C(BT_\sigma)$ given by Theorem 4.1 are natural with respect to inclusions $\tau \hookrightarrow \sigma$ of simplices and therefore combine to a map

$$(5.2) \quad f_{P,c}: H(DJ_P) = \operatorname{colim}_{\sigma \in P} H(BT_\sigma) \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{\sigma \in P} C(BT_\sigma) = C(DJ_P).$$

We add the subscript “ c ” to remind ourselves that the map depends on this representative. The map $f_{P,c}$ is again a quasi-isomorphism of dgc's, and its transpose

$$(5.3) \quad f_{P,c}^*: C^*(DJ_P) \rightarrow H^*(DJ_P) = \mathbb{k}[P]$$

a quasi-isomorphism of dgas (in fact, of hgas), see [12, Thm. 6.2].

Here $\mathbb{k}[P]$ denotes the evenly graded face ring of the simplicial poset P with coefficients in \mathbb{k} , see [3, Sec. 3.5]. It is the limit of the polynomial rings $\mathbb{k}[\sigma] = H^*(BT_\sigma)$ over all $\sigma \in P$. As a \mathbb{k} -algebra, $\mathbb{k}[P]$ is generated by elements t_σ corresponding to the simplices $\sigma \in P$. For any $\tau \in P$, the restriction of $t_\sigma \in \mathbb{k}[P]$ to $\mathbb{k}[\tau]$ equals

$$(5.4) \quad \prod_{v \in \sigma} t_v \in \mathbb{k}[\tau] = \mathbb{k}[t_v \mid v \in \tau]$$

if $\sigma \leq \tau$ and 0 otherwise. If P is a simplicial complex, then $\mathbb{k}[P]$ is already generated by the generators t_v corresponding to the vertices v in P . Sometimes we also write down a generator $t_v = 0 \in \mathbb{k}[P]$ for a ghost vertex $v \in V$. The canonical map $\mathbb{k}[V] =$

$H^*(BT) \rightarrow H^*(DJ_P) = \mathbb{k}[P]$ sends $t_v \in \mathbb{k}[V]$ to $t_v \in \mathbb{k}[P]$ for any $v \in V$. A \mathbb{k} -basis for $\mathbb{k}[P]$ is given by the standard monomials

$$(5.5) \quad t_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{i}} = t_{\sigma_1}^{i_1} \cdots t_{\sigma_k}^{i_k}$$

where $\sigma = (\sigma_1 < \cdots < \sigma_k)$ is a chain in P of length $k \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ are strictly positive exponents.

Let $(\tilde{A}, \nu): (\tilde{N}', P') \rightarrow (\tilde{N}, P)$ be a toric morphism of simplicial posets, and let $(\tilde{a}_v^{v'}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{V \times V'}$ be the matrix representing \tilde{A} . The former induces a morphism

$$(5.6) \quad \tilde{\varphi}: DJ_{P'} \rightarrow DJ_P$$

between the associated Davis–Januszkiewicz spaces by Lemma 3.4. For completeness we describe the induced map $\tilde{\varphi}^*: \mathbb{k}[P] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[P']$ between face rings.

Proposition 5.1. *The image under the map $\tilde{\varphi}^*$ of the generator $t_{\tau} \in \mathbb{k}[P]$ corresponding to a simplex $\tau \in P$ is the linear combination*

$$\tilde{\varphi}^*(t_{\tau}) = \sum_{\tau \leq \nu(\sigma_k)} C(\tau; \sigma, \mathbf{i}) t_{\sigma_1}^{i_1} \cdots t_{\sigma_k}^{i_k}$$

of standard monomials in $\mathbb{k}[P']$, where the sum in

$$C(\tau; \sigma, \mathbf{i}) = \sum_j \prod_{v \in V(\tau)} \tilde{a}_v^{j(v)}$$

extends over all maps $j: V(\tau) \rightarrow V(\sigma_k)$ between vertex sets such that

$$j(V(\tau)) = i_1 V(\sigma_1) + \cdots + i_k V(\sigma_k)$$

as multi-sets. In particular, for a vertex $\tau = v$ of P we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}^*(t_v) = \sum_{v' \in V'} \tilde{a}_v^{v'} t_{v'},$$

and this determines $\tilde{\varphi}^*$ completely if P is a simplicial complex.

Proof. For any simplex $\sigma \in P'$ the diagram

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H^*(DJ_{\sigma}) & \longleftarrow & H^*(DJ_{P'}) & \longleftarrow & H^*(BT') \\ \tilde{\varphi}_{\sigma}^* \uparrow & & \tilde{\varphi}^* \uparrow & & \tilde{\varphi}^* \uparrow \\ H^*(DJ_{\nu(\sigma)}) & \longleftarrow & H^*(DJ_P) & \longleftarrow & H^*(BT) \end{array}$$

commutes by Proposition 3.5. Taking $\tau = v$ to be a vertex, the commutativity of the right square proves the formula for $\tilde{\varphi}^*(t_v)$ because we know it to hold in $H^*(BT')$. If P is a simplicial complex, then the elements t_v generate $\mathbb{k}[P]$, so that $\tilde{\varphi}^*$ is determined by their images.

We turn to the general case of a standard monomial $t_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbb{k}[P']$ corresponding to $\sigma = (\sigma_1 < \cdots < \sigma_k)$ and $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$. By what we have said above, $t_{\sigma}^{\mathbf{i}}$ restricts to $0 \in \mathbb{k}[\sigma]$ unless $\sigma_k \leq \sigma$. In this latter case the restriction is given by

$$(5.8) \quad \prod_{v' \in \sigma} t_{v'}^{j_{v'}}$$

where

$$(5.9) \quad \bigcup_{v' \in \sigma} j_{v'} \{v'\} = i_1 V(\sigma_1) + \cdots + i_k V(\sigma_k)$$

as multi-sets.

If $\tau \not\leq \nu(\sigma_k)$, then by taking $\sigma = \sigma_k$ in the diagram above we see that t_σ^i does not appear in $\tilde{\varphi}^*(t_\tau)$. Otherwise the claimed formula for $C(\tau; \sigma, i)$ follows by multiplying out the product

$$(5.10) \quad \tilde{\varphi}_\sigma^*(t_\tau) = \prod_{v \in \tau} \tilde{\varphi}_\sigma^*(t_v) \in \mathbb{k}[\sigma]. \quad \square$$

Remark 5.2. In the case of smooth (or just \mathbb{k} -smooth) toric varieties, one can identify $\mathbb{k}[P]$ with the piecewise polynomials on the fan Σ . The generator t_v associated to the ray $v \in \Sigma$ corresponds to the piecewise linear ‘Courant function’ that evaluates to 1 on x_v and to 0 on all x_w with $w \neq v$. In this picture, the function $\tilde{\varphi}^*$ is the pull-back of piecewise polynomials from Σ to Σ' , see [2, Secs. 1 & 2].

The main goal of this section is to establish that the diagram

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C^*(DJ_P) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}^*} & C^*(DJ_{P'}) \\ f_{P,c}^* \downarrow & \searrow h^* & \downarrow f_{P',c'}^* \\ H^*(DJ_P) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}^*} & H^*(DJ_{P'}) \end{array}$$

commutative up to an algebra homotopy h^* .

We start by considering the diagram

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H(BT'_\sigma) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\varphi}_\sigma)_*} & H(BT_{\nu(\sigma)}) \\ f_\sigma \downarrow & \searrow h_\sigma & \downarrow f_{\nu(\sigma)} \\ C(BT'_\sigma) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\varphi}_\sigma)_*} & C(BT_{\nu(\sigma)}) \end{array}$$

for a simplex $\sigma \in P'$. In this case we obtain a coalgebra homotopy

$$(5.13) \quad h_\sigma: H(BT'_\sigma) \rightarrow C(BT_{\nu(\sigma)})$$

as follows: For each $v' \in \sigma$ and $\tau = \nu(v')$ the cycles

$$(5.14) \quad \check{c}_{v'} = (\tilde{\varphi}_{v'})_*(c_{v'}) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{c}_{v'} = \sum_{v \in \tau} \tilde{a}_v^{v'} c_v$$

in $C_1(T_\tau)$ represent the same homology class $(\tilde{\varphi}_\sigma)_*(x_{v'}) \in H_1(T_\tau)$. We choose some $b_{v'} \in C_2(T_\tau)$ such that

$$(5.15) \quad db_{v'} = \check{c}_{v'} - \hat{c}_{v'}.$$

Note that there is no need to chose an element $b_{v'}$ if v' is a ghost vertex since ghost vertices do not manifest themselves in the associated Davis–Januszkiewicz space.

The composition

$$(5.16) \quad \check{f}_\sigma = (\tilde{\varphi}_\sigma)_* f_\sigma: H(BT'_\sigma) \rightarrow C(BT_{\nu(\sigma)})$$

is the formality map determined by the cycles $\check{c}_{v'}$ with $v' \in \sigma$, and

$$(5.17) \quad \hat{f}_\sigma = f_{\nu(\sigma)} (\tilde{\varphi}_\sigma)_*$$

the one for the cycles $\hat{c}_{v'}$. Based on the $b_{v'}$ ’s for the ordered vertices $v' \in \sigma$, Proposition 4.5 gives us a coalgebra homotopy h_σ from \hat{f}_σ to \check{f}_σ .

By construction, these homotopies h_σ for all $\sigma \in P'$ are natural with respect to inclusion of simplices. They therefore assemble to a map

$$(5.18) \quad h = \operatorname{colim}_{\sigma \in P'} h_\sigma: H(DJ_{P'}) \rightarrow C(DJ_P),$$

which is again a coalgebra homotopy, namely from $f_{P,c} \tilde{\varphi}_*$ to $\tilde{\varphi}_* f_{P',c'}$. An application of Lemma 2.1 finally gives the desired result.

Proposition 5.3. *The transpose*

$$h^*: C^*(DJ_P) \rightarrow H^*(DJ_{P'})$$

of (5.18) is an algebra homotopy from $\tilde{\varphi}^* f_{P,c}^*$ to $f_{P',c'}^* \tilde{\varphi}^*$ as in the diagram (5.11).

As in (4.43), each chain $b_{v'} \in C_2(T_\tau)$ chosen above can be written as a sum of 2-simplices with coefficients in \mathbb{k} ,

$$(5.19) \quad b_{v'} = \sum_s \lambda_{v',s} b_{v',s}.$$

Since we are in the simplicial setting, each 1-dimensional front face $b_{v',s}^1$ and back face $b_{v',s}^2$ of $b_{v',s}$ is a loop in T_τ . Let us introduce the 2-simplices

$$(5.20) \quad o_{v',s}^1 = \pi_* S b_{v',s}^1 e_0, \quad \text{and} \quad o_{v',s}^2 = \pi_* S b_{v',s}^2 e_0$$

in $BT_\tau \subset DJ_P \subset BT$.

Corollary 5.4. *We continue to use the notation introduced above.*

(i) *For any $\alpha \in C^*(DJ_P)$ of even degree we have*

$$h^*(\alpha) = 0.$$

(ii) *For any $\alpha, \beta \in C^2(DJ_P)$*

$$h^*(\alpha \cup_1 \beta) = - \sum_{v' \in P'} \sum_s \lambda_{v',s} \langle \alpha, o_{v',s}^2 \rangle \langle \beta, o_{v',s}^1 \rangle t_{v'}$$

where the first sum extends over the non-ghost vertices in V' .

(iii) *For any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in C^*(DJ_P)$ we have*

$$h^*((\alpha \cup_1 \beta) \cup_1 \gamma) = 0.$$

Proof. These are direct translations of Proposition 4.8 to the present setting. \square

6. THE MAIN THEOREM

6.1. Review of the multiplicative isomorphism. We review material from [13]. As in Section 5 we write $c \in C_1(S^1)$ for chosen representative of $1 \in H_1(S^1; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$ be a partial quotient. The Koszul complex

$$(6.1) \quad \mathbf{K}_P = H^*(L) \otimes \mathbb{k}[P]$$

has been defined in (1.4). Let $N = H_1(L; \mathbb{Z})$ be the lattice associated to L , say of rank n . We choose a basis (x_i) for $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$. Together with the canonical basis $(e_v)_{v \in V}$ for $\tilde{N} = \mathbb{Z}^V = \mathbb{Z}^m$ this allows to express the projection map $T \rightarrow L$ by the characteristic matrix $(x_v^i) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times m}$ where $v \in V$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Note that here we are using the simplicial torus $L = BN$ instead of the compact torus \mathcal{L} acting on $\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$. As discussed in [13, Sec. 3.3], the cocycles and cohomology classes of L in degree 1 as well as those of its simplicial classifying space BL in degree 2 are naturally isomorphic to the group of additive homomorphism from N to \mathbb{k} ,

$$(6.2) \quad \text{Hom}(N, \mathbb{k}) = Z^1(L) = H^1(L) = Z^2(BL) = H^2(BL).$$

The isomorphism

$$(6.3) \quad \Psi_{P,c}: H^*(\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathbf{K}_P) = \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BL)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P])$$

is the map induced in cohomology by the following zigzag of quasi-isomorphism, recalled below:

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} C^*(\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}) &\longrightarrow C^*(EK \times_K \mathcal{Z}_P) \\ &\longleftarrow \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL), C^*(ET \times_T \mathcal{Z}_P)) \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL), C^*(DJ_P)) \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}(1,1,f_{P,c}^*)} \mathbf{B}_c(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL), \mathbb{k}[P]) \xleftarrow{\Phi_P} \mathbf{K}_P. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we add the subscript “ c ” to the last bar construction because its differential depends implicitly on the quasi-isomorphism $f_{P,c}^*: C^*(DJ_P) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[P]$ given by Proposition 5.3 and therefore on the representative $c \in C_1(S^1)$.

The first map in (6.4) is induced by the composition

$$(6.5) \quad EK \times_K \mathcal{Z}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{EK} \times_K \mathcal{Z}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$$

and natural in the spaces and groups involved, see [13, Lemma 4.2, eq. (4.6)]. The second map is explained in [13, Prop. 3.2] and is again natural. The arrow “ \Leftarrow ” abbreviates the zigzag of quasi-isomorphisms induced by the maps displayed in (3.19).

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be the basis for $H^1(L; \mathbb{Z})$ dual to the basis (x_i) for N . As in [13, Sec. 6] we let $\gamma_i \in C^2(BL)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ be the cocycle corresponding to $\alpha_i \in H^1(L)$ under the isomorphisms (6.2). The final arrow in (6.4) is the map

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_P: \mathbf{K}_P = H^*(L) \otimes \mathbb{k}[P] &\rightarrow \mathbf{B}_c(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL), \mathbb{k}[P]) \\ \alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_k} \otimes f &\mapsto [\gamma_{i_1}] \circ \cdots \circ [\gamma_{i_k}] \otimes f \end{aligned}$$

with $k \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$. It is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes, see [13, Prop. 6.2]. Note that it depends on the chosen isomorphism $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$. However, as a morphism of graded \mathbb{k} -modules it is independent of the representative c .

The first four maps in (6.4) are actually multiplicative. We will only need that the one-sided bar construction $\mathbf{B}_c(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL), \mathbb{k}[P])$ is a dga with product given by (2.12).

Following [13, Sec. 7], we endow \mathbf{K}_P with the twisted $*$ -product determined by (1.6), based on elements

$$(6.7) \quad q_{ij} = f_{P,c}^*(\gamma_{ij})$$

for certain cochains $\gamma_{ij} \in C^2(BL)$ where $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n$. Then \mathbf{K}_P becomes a dga and the map Φ_P multiplicative up to a $\mathbb{k}[P]$ -bilinear homotopy

$$(6.8) \quad H: \mathbf{K}_P \otimes \mathbf{K}_P \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_c(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL), \mathbb{k}[P]),$$

that is,

$$(6.9) \quad (dH + Hd)(\alpha \otimes \beta) = \Phi_P(\alpha) \circ \Phi_P(\beta) - \Phi_P(\alpha * \beta).$$

Remark 6.1. Recall from [13, Lemma 3.1] that the equivariant cohomology of $\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$ with respect to the topological group \mathcal{L} is isomorphic to the one with respect to the simplicial group L . Both are isomorphic to the face algebra $\mathbb{k}[P]$ via the zigzag of quasi-isomorphisms

$$(6.10) \quad C^*(EL \times_L \mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow C^*(ET \times_T \mathcal{Z}_P) \longleftrightarrow C^*(DJ_P) \xrightarrow{f_{P,c}^*} \mathbb{k}[P],$$

which is the equivariant counterpart of (6.4) and involves again the maps from (3.19). (The first map above is induced by the projection $ET \times_T \mathcal{Z}_P \rightarrow EL \times_L \mathcal{Z}_P / \mathcal{K}$, which is a bundle with fibre EK .) By comparing the two zigzags, one can show that the diagram

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_L^*(\mathcal{Z}_P / \mathcal{K}) & \longrightarrow & H^*(\mathcal{Z}_P / \mathcal{K}) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_{\Sigma, c} \\ \mathbb{k}[P] & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BL)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P]) \end{array}$$

commutes, where the top arrow is the canonical restriction map and the bottom one induced by the inclusion $\mathbb{k}[P] \hookrightarrow \mathbf{K}_P$.

Now assume that $\mathcal{Z}_P / \mathcal{K}$ is equivariantly formal in the sense that $H_L^*(\mathcal{Z}_P / \mathcal{K})$ surjects onto $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_P / \mathcal{K})$. For example, all smooth compact toric varieties fall into this class, *cf.* [3, Thm. 7.4.35]. The commutative diagram above then implies that any cohomology class in $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_P / \mathcal{K})$ can be represented by $1 \otimes f \in \mathbf{K}_P$ for some $f \in \mathbb{k}[P]$. We therefore conclude that the twisted and untwisted product on \mathbf{K}_P agree in cohomology in this case.

Example 6.2. We introduce an example that we will revisit throughout Section 7. We consider the fan Σ in \mathbb{R}^3 whose maximal cones are the rays through the vectors $v = [1, 1, 1]$ and $w = -v = [-1, -1, -1]$. Then $\mathbf{X}_\Sigma \cong \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{CP}^1$, *cf.* [15, p. 22]. Recall that the Koszul complex \mathbf{K}_Σ is bigraded with an element $\alpha \otimes f$ having bidegree $(-|\alpha|, |f| + 2|\alpha|)$. In this example, the bigraded Tor terms are as follows:

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc|c} \mathbb{k} & & & 6 \\ \mathbb{k} & \mathbb{k}^2 & & 4 \\ & \mathbb{k}^2 & \mathbb{k} & 2 \\ & & \mathbb{k} & 0 \\ \hline -2 & -1 & 0 & \end{array}$$

We use the canonical basis of $N = \mathbb{Z}^3$ and fix bases for the cohomology in the following bidegrees:

$$(6.13) \quad (-1, 2): a_1 = [\alpha_1 - \alpha_3], \quad a_2 = [\alpha_2 - \alpha_3],$$

$$(6.14) \quad (-2, 4): b = [\alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \alpha_2 \alpha_3 - \alpha_1 \alpha_3],$$

$$(6.15) \quad (0, 2): c = [t_v] = [t_w].$$

The twisting terms for the product are given by

$$(6.16) \quad q_{11} = q_{22} = q_{33} = t_w, \quad q_{21} = q_{31} = q_{32} = t_v + t_w.$$

For example, we have

$$(6.17) \quad \begin{aligned} a_1 * a_2 &= [\alpha_1 * \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 * \alpha_3 - \alpha_3 * \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 * \alpha_3] \\ &= [\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 \alpha_3 - \alpha_3 \alpha_2 - q_{32} + q_{33}] = b - c. \end{aligned}$$

If we took v (or w) as part of our basis for N , then all twisting terms would vanish in cohomology. See Example 7.4, where we compare these two choices. As the examples given in [13, Ex. 1.1, Sec. 9] show, it is not always possible to make the twisting terms vanish this way.

6.2. The map induced in cohomology by a toric morphism. Let $\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{P'}/\mathcal{K}'$ be two partial quotients, and let $(A, \nu): (N', P') \rightarrow (N, P)$ be a toric morphism of simplicial posets as defined in Section 3. It induces the map $\varphi: \mathcal{Z}_{P'}/\mathcal{K}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$ and its lift $\varphi: \mathcal{Z}_{P'} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_P$. The latter is described by ν and the matrix $\tilde{A} \in \mathbb{N}^{V \times V'}$. We also write φ for the associated map $BL' \rightarrow BL$ as well as $\tilde{\varphi}$ for the maps $BT' \rightarrow BT$ and $DJ_{P'} \rightarrow DJ_P$. Moreover, we write

$$(6.18) \quad \rho: DJ_P \rightarrow BT \rightarrow BL$$

for the map induced by the projection $T \rightarrow L$; the map ρ' is defined analogously.

In this section we are going to show the following diagram induces a commutative diagram in cohomology. This will be the main technical result of this paper.

$$(6.19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C^*(\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} & C^*(\mathcal{Z}_{P'}/\mathcal{K}') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C^*((\mathcal{Z}_P)_K) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C^*((\mathcal{Z}_{P'})_{K'}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL), C^*((\mathcal{Z}_P)_T)) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL'), C^*((\mathcal{Z}_{P'})_{T'})) \\ \updownarrow & & \updownarrow \\ \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL), C^*(DJ_P)) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}(\varphi)} & \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL'), C^*(DJ_{P'})) \\ \downarrow \mathbf{B}(1, 1, f_{P,c}^*) & & \downarrow \mathbf{B}(1, 1, f_{P',c'}^*) \\ \mathbf{B}_c(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL), \mathbb{k}[P]) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{h^*}} & \mathbf{B}_{c'}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL'), \mathbb{k}[P']) \\ \uparrow \Phi_P & & \uparrow \Phi_{P'} \\ \mathbf{K}_P & \xrightarrow{\hat{\Xi}} & \mathbf{K}_{P'} \end{array}$$

The vertical arrows are as in (6.4), based on isomorphisms $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $N' \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n'}$ as well as on representatives $c, c' \in C_1(S^1)$. The first two squares commute by naturality and the middle square by Proposition 3.5. By abuse of notation we write $\mathbf{B}(\varphi)$ to denote the map

$$(6.20) \quad \mathbf{B}(\varphi): \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL), C^*(DJ_P)) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}(1, \varphi^*, \tilde{\varphi}^*)} \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL'), C^*(DJ_{P'})).$$

The chain map Θ_{h^*} in the bottom row of the fourth square is the map (2.4) applied to the diagram

$$(6.21) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C^*(BL) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} & C^*(BL') \\ \rho^* \downarrow & & \downarrow (\rho')^* \\ C^*(DJ_P) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}^*} & C^*(DJ_{P'}) \\ f_{P,c}^* \downarrow & \searrow h^* & \downarrow f_{P',c'}^* \\ \mathbb{k}[P] & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}^*} & \mathbb{k}[P'] \end{array}$$

where $h^*: C^*(DJ_P) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[P']$ is the algebra homotopy from $\tilde{\varphi}^* f_P^*$ to $f_{P'}^* \tilde{\varphi}^*$ established in Proposition 5.3. Remember that it depends not only on P' and P , but also on c, c' and on the chains $b_{v'} \in C_2(T)$ chosen to satisfy the condition (5.15) for the vertices $v' \in P'$.

The commutativity of the fourth square up to homotopy therefore is a special case of Lemma 2.2. Spelling out the maps suppressed in (2.4), we see that Θ_{h^*} is of the form

$$(6.22) \quad \Theta_{h^*}: \mathbf{B}_c(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL), \mathbb{k}[P]) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{c'}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL'), \mathbb{k}[P'])$$

$$[a_1 | \dots | a_k] \otimes f \mapsto \begin{cases} \mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{\varphi}^*(f) & \text{if } k = 0 \\ [\varphi^*(a_1) | \dots | \varphi^*(a_k)] \otimes \tilde{\varphi}^*(f) \\ \quad + [\varphi^*(a_1) | \dots | \varphi^*(a_{k-1})] \otimes h^* \rho^*(a_k) \tilde{\varphi}^*(f) & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases}$$

We introduce the abbreviation

$$(6.23) \quad \hat{q}_{ij} = -h^* \rho^*(\gamma_j \cup_1 \gamma_i) \in \mathbb{k}[P']$$

for $1 \leq j < i \leq n$. Recall from [13, Sec. 3.3] that the 2-simplices in BT are in bijection with elements of the lattice \tilde{N} . In this interpretation, the 2-simplices $o_{v',s}^2$ and $o_{v',s}^{2,v}$ introduced in (5.20) have coordinates $o_{v',s}^{1,v}$ and $o_{v',s}^{2,v}$ with $v \in V$.

Lemma 6.3. *For any $1 \leq j < i \leq n$ we have*

$$\hat{q}_{ij} = \sum_{v' \in P'} \sum_{v, w \in V} \sum_s \lambda_{v',s} o_{v',s}^{2,v} o_{v',s}^{1,w} x_v^j x_w^i t_{v'}$$

where the first sum extends over the non-ghost vertices in V' .

Proof. This follows from Corollary 5.4 (ii) since

$$(6.24) \quad \langle \gamma_i, o_{v',s}^1 \rangle = \sum_{v \in V} o_{v',s}^{1,v} x_v^i \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \gamma_j, o_{v',s}^2 \rangle = \sum_{v \in V} o_{v',s}^{2,v} x_v^j. \quad \square$$

To state and prove the next result we define

$$(6.25) \quad \Gamma: H^*(L) \rightarrow \mathbf{B} C^*(BL), \quad \alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_k} \mapsto [\gamma_{i_1}] \circ \cdots \circ [\gamma_{i_k}],$$

$$(6.26) \quad \Gamma': H^*(L) \rightarrow \mathbf{B} C^*(BL'), \quad \alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_k} \mapsto [\varphi^*(\gamma_{i_1})] \circ \cdots \circ [\varphi^*(\gamma_{i_k})]$$

where $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$. Since $\mathbf{B} C^*(BL)$ is a dg bialgebra and each factor $[\gamma_{i_s}]$ primitive, the map Γ is a morphism of dgcs, and so is $\Gamma' = \mathbf{B}(\varphi) \Gamma$.

Lemma 6.4. *The composition*

$$\Theta_{h^*} \Phi_P: \mathbf{K}_P \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{c'}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL'), \mathbb{k}[P'])$$

is given by

$$\alpha \otimes f \mapsto \Gamma'(\alpha) \otimes \tilde{\varphi}^*(f) + \sum_{i > j} \Gamma'(\iota(x_i) \iota(x_j) \alpha) \otimes \hat{q}_{ij} \tilde{\varphi}^*(f).$$

Proof. We are using the formula (2.5) for Θ_{h^*} . Writing

$$(6.27) \quad \alpha_I = \alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_k}$$

for $I = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$(6.28) \quad \Delta \Gamma(\alpha_I) = (\Gamma \otimes \Gamma) \Delta \alpha_I = \sum_{I=I_1 \sqcup I_2} (-1)^{\sigma(I_1, I_2)} \Gamma(\alpha_{I_1}) \otimes \Gamma(\alpha_{I_2})$$

where $\sigma(I_1, I_2)$ is the permutation sign of the decomposition $I = I_1 \sqcup I_2$.

Let $t = t_{C^*(BL)}: \mathbf{B} C^*(BL) \rightarrow C^*(BL)$ be the canonical twisting cochain. We know from Lemma 2.3 that $t \Gamma(\alpha_{I_2})$ is an iterated \cup_1 -product, hence $h^* t \Gamma(\alpha_{I_2})$

vanishes unless $|I_2| = 2$ by Corollary 5.4. Moreover, in the case where $I_2 = \{j < i\}$ has two elements, we have again by Lemma 2.3 that

$$(6.29) \quad h^* \rho^* t \Gamma(\alpha_{I_2}) = h^* \rho^* t([\gamma_j] \circ [\gamma_i]) = -h^* \rho^*(\gamma_j \cup_1 \gamma_i).$$

Comparison with Corollary 5.4 (ii) shows that the claimed formula holds up to sign.

To conclude the proof, we note that again for $I_2 = \{i_q = j < i_p = i\}$ we have

$$(6.30) \quad \sigma(I_1, I_2) = (n - p) + (n - q - 1) \equiv (q - 1) + (p - 2) \pmod{2},$$

hence

$$(6.31) \quad \alpha_{I_1} = (-1)^{\sigma(I_1, I_2)} \iota(x_i) \iota(x_j) \alpha_I.$$

This proves that the sign is correct. \square

Recall that in (1.8) and (1.9) we have defined the map

$$(6.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{\Xi}: \mathbf{K}_P &\rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{P'}, \\ \alpha \otimes f &\mapsto \Xi(\alpha \otimes f) + \sum_{i>j} \Xi(\iota(x_i) \iota(x_j) \alpha \otimes f) \hat{q}_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(6.33) \quad \Xi(\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_k} \otimes f) = \varphi^*(\alpha_{i_1}) * \cdots * \varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k}) * \tilde{\varphi}^*(f)$$

for $k \geq 0$ and $i_1 < \cdots < i_k$. (Note the twisted products on the right-hand side.) One can check that Ξ as well as all operators $\iota(x_i) \iota(x_j): \mathbf{K}_P \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_P$ commute with the differentials, which implies that $\hat{\Xi}$ is a chain map.

Lemma 6.5. *The bottom square in diagram (6.19),*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{B}_c(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL), \mathbb{k}[P]) & \xrightarrow{\Theta_{h^*}} & \mathbf{B}_{c'}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL'), \mathbb{k}[P']) \\ \Phi_P \uparrow & & \uparrow \Phi_{P'} \\ \mathbf{K}_P & \xrightarrow{\hat{\Xi}} & \mathbf{K}_{P'}, \end{array}$$

is homotopy commutative.

Proof. Based on the homotopy H recalled in (6.8), we define the map

$$(6.34) \quad \tilde{H}: \mathbf{K}_P \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{c'}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL'), \mathbb{k}[P'])$$

of degree -1 by linearity with respect to the algebra map $\tilde{\varphi}^*: \mathbb{k}[P] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[P']$ and

$$(6.35) \quad \tilde{H}(1 \otimes 1) = 0$$

$$(6.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{H}(\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_k} \otimes 1) &= H\left(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_1}) * \cdots * \varphi^*(\alpha_{i_{k-1}}) \otimes \varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k})\right) \\ &\quad + \tilde{H}(\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_{k-1}}) \circ \Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k})) \end{aligned}$$

for $k \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$. We claim that \tilde{H} is a homotopy from $\Phi_{P'} \Xi$ to $\mathbf{B}(\varphi) \Phi_P$. In other words,

$$(6.37) \quad d(\tilde{H}) = \mathbf{B}(\varphi) \Phi_P - \Phi_{P'} \Xi.$$

The isomorphism (6.2) and the definition of Ξ imply that this identity can be written as

$$(6.38) \quad \begin{aligned} (d\tilde{H} + \tilde{H}d)(\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_k}) &= \\ \Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_1})) \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k})) &- \Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_1}) * \cdots * \varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k})). \end{aligned}$$

We verify (6.38) by induction on k , the case $k = 0$ being trivial. For $k \geq 1$ we obtain from definition of \tilde{H} , induction and (6.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.39) \quad d\tilde{H}(\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_k}) &= dH\left(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_1}) * \cdots * \varphi^*(\alpha_{i_{k-1}}) \otimes \varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k})\right) \\
&\quad + d\tilde{H}(\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_{k-1}}) \circ \Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k})) \\
&\quad + (-1)^k \tilde{H}(\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_{k-1}}) \circ d\Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k})) \\
&= -Hd\left(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_1}) * \cdots * \varphi^*(\alpha_{i_{k-1}}) \otimes \varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k})\right) \\
&\quad + \Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_1}) * \cdots * \varphi^*(\alpha_{i_{k-1}})) \circ \Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k})) \\
&\quad - \Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_1}) * \cdots * \varphi^*(\alpha_{i_{k-1}}) * \varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k})) \\
&\quad - \tilde{H}d(\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_{k-1}}) \circ \Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k})) \\
&\quad + \Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_1})) \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_{k-1}})) \circ \Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k})) \\
&\quad - \Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_1}) * \cdots * \varphi^*(\alpha_{i_{k-1}})) \circ \Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k})) \\
&\quad + (-1)^k \tilde{H}(\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_{k-1}}) \circ d\Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k})) \\
&= -Hd\left(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_1}) * \cdots * \varphi^*(\alpha_{i_{k-1}}) \otimes \varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k})\right) \\
&\quad - \tilde{H}d(\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_{k-1}}) \circ \Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k})) \\
&\quad - (-1)^{k-1} \tilde{H}(\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_{k-1}}) \circ \Phi_{P'}(d\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k})) \\
&\quad + \Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_1})) \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k})) \\
&\quad - \Phi_{P'}(\varphi^*(\alpha_{i_1}) * \cdots * \varphi^*(\alpha_{i_k})).
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up the first three terms of the last expression, we get $-\tilde{H}d(\alpha_{i_1} \cdots \alpha_{i_k})$, which proves the claim.

Lemma 6.4 finally implies that the map

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.40) \quad \hat{H}: \mathbf{K}_P &\rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{C'}(\mathbb{k}, C^*(BL'), \mathbb{k}[P']), \\
\alpha \otimes f &\mapsto \tilde{H}(\alpha \otimes f) + \sum_{i>j} \tilde{H}(\iota(x_i) \iota(x_j) \alpha \otimes f) \hat{q}_{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

is a homotopy from $\Phi_{P'} \hat{\Xi}$ to $\Theta_{h*} \Phi_P$. \square

The toric morphism $(A, \nu): (N', P') \rightarrow (N, P)$ defining φ naturally gives maps $\varphi^*: H^*(L) \rightarrow H^*(L')$ and $\tilde{\varphi}^*: \mathbb{k}[V] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[V']$, hence also a chain map

$$(6.41) \quad \mathbf{K}_P \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{P'}, \quad \alpha \otimes f \mapsto \varphi^*(\alpha) \otimes \tilde{\varphi}^*(f).$$

We denote the induced map in cohomology by

$$(6.42) \quad \text{Tor}(\varphi): \text{Tor}_{H^*(BL)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P]) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{H^*(BL')}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P']).$$

It is multiplicative with respect to the canonical products on the Tor terms. Moreover, we write the map induced by $\hat{\Xi}$ as

$$(6.43) \quad \widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi): \text{Tor}_{H^*(BL)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P]) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{H^*(BL')}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P']).$$

In contrast to $\text{Tor}(\varphi)$, this map does not only depend on (A, ν) , but also on the twisting elements $\hat{q}_{ij} \in \mathbb{k}[P']$ as given by Lemma 6.3 and on the twisted $*$ -product on $\mathbf{K}_{P'}$, which in turn depends on the elements $q_{ij} \in \mathbb{k}[P']$ defined in (6.7). Ultimately, $\widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)$ depends on (A, ν) , on the bases chosen for N and N' and on the

representatives c and c' . The examples in the following section will show that $\text{Tor } \varphi$ and $\widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)$ differ in general.

Summing up the discussion of this section, we obtain our main technical result.

Theorem 6.6. *In cohomology the diagram (6.19) induces the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} & H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{P'}/\mathcal{K}') \\ \Psi_{P,c} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_{P',c'} \\ \text{Tor}_{H^*(BL)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P]) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)} & \text{Tor}_{H^*(BL')}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P']). \end{array}$$

7. APPLICATIONS

We continue to consider a map

$$(7.1) \quad \varphi = \varphi_{(A,\nu)}: \mathcal{Z}_{P'}/\mathcal{K}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$$

induced by a toric morphism of simplicial posets $(A,\nu): (N', P') \rightarrow (N, P)$. We use the same notation as in the preceding section. Recall from Lemma 3.1 that in case Σ and Σ' are rational fans we have a commutative diagram

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_{\Sigma'} & \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} & \mathcal{X}_{\Sigma} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{X}_{\Sigma'} & \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} & \mathbf{X}_{\Sigma} \end{array}$$

whose vertical arrows are equivariant homotopy equivalences. It therefore suffices to prove the analogues of Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 for partial quotients. This is done in Sections 7.1 and 7.3, respectively. The same remark applies to Section 7.4 where we compare the twisted and the untwisted product in case 2 is invertible in \mathbb{k} . In Section 7.2 we make some additional comments regarding moment-angle complexes.

7.1. Naturality in general. The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.4, which applies to any coefficient ring \mathbb{k} . In the light of Theorem 6.6, the main work left to do is construct explicit chains $b_{v'} \in C_2(T_{\nu(v')})$ such that the condition (5.15) holds for all vertices v' of P' . In a second step we evaluate the formula for \hat{q}_{ij} obtained in Lemma 6.3.

Unless stated otherwise, we choose the canonical representative $c = [1] \in C_1(S^1)$ of the generator $1 \in H_1(S^1) = \mathbb{Z}$ for the rest of this paper. It leads to the multiplicative isomorphism

$$(7.3) \quad \Psi_P = \Psi_{P,c}: H^*(\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{H^*(BL)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P])$$

where the multiplication on the torsion product is induced by the $*$ -product (1.6) on \mathbf{K}_P . The twisting terms q_{ij} from (6.7) evaluate to (1.7) in this case. All this is [13, Thm. 7.2], which we have recalled in Theorem 1.3.

Recall from Section 3 that a toric morphism $(A,\nu): (N', P') \rightarrow (N, P)$ of simplicial posets (or regular fans) lifts to a toric morphism $(\tilde{A}, \nu): (\tilde{N}', P') \rightarrow (\tilde{N}, P)$ where $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{a}_v^{v'}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{V \times V'}$. Moreover, if v' is a vertex of P' (and not a ghost vertex), then $\tilde{a}_v^{v'} \geq 0$ if $v' \in \nu(v)$ and equal to 0 otherwise.

We take a vertex $v' \in P'$ and set $\tau = \nu(v')$. Remember that we are working with simplicial tori, which are bar constructions of lattices. The simplicial torus T_{τ} is the bar construction of the sublattice of \tilde{N} spanned by the basis vectors e_v with $v \in \tau$.

For each $v \in \tau$ we define the 2-chains

$$(7.4) \quad C_2(T_v) \ni b_{v',v} = \begin{cases} [e_v, e_v] + \cdots + [(\tilde{a}_v^{v'} - 1) e_v, e_v] & \text{if } \tilde{a}_v^{v'} \geq 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } \tilde{a}_v^{v'} = 0 \text{ or } 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(7.5) \quad C_2(T_\tau) \ni b_{v'} = - \sum_{v \in \tau} b_{v',v} - \sum_{w \in \tau} \left[\sum_{v < w} \tilde{a}_v^{v'} e_v, \tilde{a}_w^{v'} e_w \right],$$

where we compare the vertices in V according to the chosen order. Then

$$(7.6) \quad db_{v',v} = \tilde{a}_v^{v'} [e_v] - [\tilde{a}_v^{v'} e_v],$$

and therefore also

$$(7.7) \quad db_{v'} = \left[\sum_{v \in V} \tilde{a}_v^{v'} e_v \right] - \sum_{v \in V} \tilde{a}_v^{v'} [e_v] = \check{c}_{v'} - \hat{c}_{v'},$$

where $\check{c}_{v'}$ and $\hat{c}_{v'}$ are defined as in (5.15).

Lemma 6.3 now gives

$$(7.8) \quad \hat{q}_{ij} = - \sum_{v' \in P'} \left(\sum_{v \in \nu(v')} \frac{\tilde{a}_v^{v'} (\tilde{a}_v^{v'} - 1)}{2} x_v^i x_v^j + \sum_{\substack{v, w \in \nu(v') \\ v < w}} \tilde{a}_v^{v'} \tilde{a}_w^{v'} x_v^i x_w^j \right) t_{v'}$$

for $1 \leq j < i \leq n$, where (x_v^i) is the characteristic matrix for $\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$ and the first sum extends over all non-ghost vertices v' .

As mentioned above, $\tilde{a}_v^{v'}$ vanishes for $v' \in P'$ and $v \notin \nu(v')$, and so does $t_{v'}$ for each ghost vertex v' . We can therefore rewrite formula (7.8) in the form

$$(7.9) \quad \hat{q}_{ij} = - \sum_{v' \in V'} \left(\sum_{v \in V} \frac{\tilde{a}_v^{v'} (\tilde{a}_v^{v'} - 1)}{2} x_v^i x_v^j + \sum_{\substack{v, w \in V \\ v < w}} \tilde{a}_v^{v'} \tilde{a}_w^{v'} x_v^i x_w^j \right) t_{v'}.$$

Remark 7.1. We pointed out in Remark 3.3 that the coefficient $\tilde{a}_v^{v'}$ of the lift \tilde{A} of A is uniquely determined by (A, ν) if v' is not a ghost vertex. Hence formula (7.8) shows that the twisting terms \hat{q}_{ij} are independent of the chosen lifting, and so are the map $\hat{\Xi}$ defined in (6.32) and the induced map $\widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)$ in cohomology.

Theorem 7.2. *The following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} & H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{P'}/\mathcal{K}') \\ \Psi_P \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi_{P'} \\ \text{Tor}_{H^*(BL)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P]) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)} & \text{Tor}_{H^*(BL')}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P']) \end{array}$$

Here the twisting terms \hat{q}_{ij} implicit in the definition of $\widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)$ are given by (7.9).

Proof. The statement is a consequence of Theorem 6.6 and Lemma 6.3 with a substitution of the elements $c_v \in C_1(T)$, $c_{v'} \in C_1(T')$ as well as $b_{v'}$ from (7.5). \square

Remark 7.3. We continue the discussion started in Remark 6.1. Given any toric morphism $\varphi: \mathcal{Z}_{P'}/\mathcal{K}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$, we see from the definition (6.32) that the map $\hat{\Xi}$ sends any $1 \otimes f \in \mathbf{K}_P$ to $1 \otimes \tilde{\varphi}^*(f) \in \mathbf{K}_{P'}$. If $\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$ is equivariantly formal (which happens for instance in the case of smooth compact toric varieties), this implies that the induced map $\widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)$ in cohomology is untwisted in the sense that it coincides

with $\text{Tor}(\varphi)$. This is not surprising because the equivariant formality of $\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$ entails that the map $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{P'}/\mathcal{K}')$ is completely determined by its equivariant counterpart.

Example 7.4. Consider the fan Σ' in \mathbb{R}^3 whose maximal cones are rays spanned by the vectors $v' = e_3 = [0, 0, 1]$ and $w' = -v' = [0, 0, -1]$. Then $\mathbf{X}_{\Sigma'} \cong \mathbf{X}_{\Sigma}$, where \mathbf{X}_{Σ} is as in Example 6.2. Using the canonical basis for $N' = \mathbb{Z}^3$, we get the following generators for the cohomology $H^*(\mathbf{X}_{\Sigma'})$ in the specified bidegrees:

$$(7.10) \quad (-1, 2): a'_1 = [\alpha'_1], \quad a'_2 = [\alpha'_2],$$

$$(7.11) \quad (-2, 4): b' = [\alpha'_1 \alpha'_2],$$

$$(7.12) \quad (0, 2): c' = [t_{v'}] = [t_{w'}].$$

The only non-zero twisting term is $q'_{33} = t_{w'}$, which entails that the multiplication is untwisted in cohomology.

The map $A: N' \rightarrow N$, $e_1 \mapsto e_1$, $e_2 \mapsto e_2$, $e_3 \mapsto v$ determines an isomorphism of toric varieties $\varphi: \mathbf{X}_{\Sigma'} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_{\Sigma}$. Its lift $\tilde{A}: \tilde{N}' \rightarrow \tilde{N}$ is the identity map on \mathbb{Z}^4 . We have

$$(7.13) \quad \varphi^*(\alpha_1) = \alpha'_1 + \alpha'_3, \quad \varphi^*(\alpha_2) = \alpha'_2 + \alpha'_3, \quad \varphi^*(\alpha_3) = \alpha'_3,$$

$$(7.14) \quad \varphi^*(\beta) = \beta' \quad \tilde{\varphi}^*(c) = c',$$

so that for the untwisted map $\text{Tor}(\varphi)$ we get

$$(7.15) \quad \text{Tor}(\varphi): a_1 \mapsto a'_1, \quad a_2 \mapsto a'_2, \quad b \mapsto b', \quad c \mapsto c'.$$

This implies that the two cohomology classes

$$(7.16) \quad \text{Tor}(\varphi)(a_1 * a_2) = \text{Tor}(\varphi)(b - c) = b' - c',$$

$$(7.17) \quad \text{Tor}(\varphi)(a_1) * \text{Tor}(\varphi)(a_2) = a'_1 * a'_2 = b'$$

differ. Thus $\text{Tor}(\varphi)$ is not multiplicative.

We now look at the twisted map $\widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)$. Since \tilde{A} is the identity map, formula (7.9) yields trivial twisting terms \hat{q}_{ij} , hence $\hat{\Xi} = \Xi$. We therefore have

$$(7.18) \quad \widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)(a_1) = a'_1, \quad \widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)(a_2) = a'_2, \quad \widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)(c) = c',$$

$$(7.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)(b) &= [\hat{\Xi}(\beta)] = [\Xi(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \alpha_2 \alpha_3 - \alpha_1 \alpha_3)] \\ &= [(\alpha'_1 + \alpha'_3) * (\alpha'_2 + \alpha'_3) + (\alpha'_2 + \alpha'_3) * \alpha'_3 - (\alpha'_1 + \alpha'_3) * \alpha'_3] \\ &= [\alpha'_1 \alpha'_2 + q'_{33}] = b' + c', \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(7.20) \quad \widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)(a_1 * a_2) = \widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)(b - c) = b' = \widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)(a_1) * \widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)(a_2),$$

as predicted by Theorem 7.2.

We further illustrate Theorem 7.2 by the diagonal map and power maps. Recall that the *join* $\Sigma_1 * \Sigma_2$ of the simplicial posets Σ_1 and Σ_2 is a simplicial poset consisting of the pairs (σ, τ) with $\sigma \in \Sigma_1$ and $\tau \in \Sigma_2$ (which may be empty simplices).

Example 7.5. We consider the diagonal map

$$(7.21) \quad \mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{Z}_{P*P} / \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$$

of a partial quotient $\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$. The vertex set of the join $P * P$ consists of two disjoint copies V_1 and V_2 of the vertex set V for P . We write the two vertices

corresponding to $v \in V$ as $v_1 \in V_1$ and $v_2 \in V_2$. We extend the ordering of V to $V_1 \sqcup V_2$ by defining all vertices in V_1 to be smaller than all vertices in V_2 . The characteristic matrix for $\mathcal{Z}_{P \ast P} / \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{K}$ is determined by

$$(7.22) \quad x_{v_1} = (v, 0) \in N \oplus N, \quad \text{and} \quad x_{v_2} = (0, v) \in N \oplus N$$

for $v \in V$. The chosen coordinates for N give coordinates for $N \oplus N$ by first taking the n coordinates of the first summand, followed by the n coordinates of the second summand.

The diagonal map $A: N \rightarrow N \oplus N$ lifts to $\tilde{A}: \tilde{N} \rightarrow \tilde{N} \oplus \tilde{N}$ with

$$(7.23) \quad \tilde{a}_w^v = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w = v_1 \text{ or } w = v_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $v \in V$ and $w \in V_1 \sqcup V_2$. This implies that the first term inside the bracket in the formula (7.9) for \hat{q}_{ij} vanishes. In fact, the second term vanishes, too: For a given $v \in V$ only the coefficients $\tilde{a}_{v_1}^v$ and $\tilde{a}_{v_2}^v$ are non-zero. For v_1 only the first half of the coordinates can be non-zero, and for v_2 only the second half. Hence $x_{v_1}^i \neq 0$ implies $x_{v_2}^j = 0$ for $j < i$. All coefficients \hat{q}_{ij} thus vanish.

Because the way we have chosen the coordinates on $N \oplus N$, this means that the map

$$(7.24) \quad \hat{\Xi} = \Xi: \mathbf{K}_{P \ast P} = \mathbf{K}_P \otimes \mathbf{K}_P \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_P$$

is in fact the twisted \ast -product. Since the diagonal map induces the cup product in cohomology, one can deduce from this the description of the cup product given in Theorem 1.3. As the examples given in [13, Ex. 1.1, Sec. 9] show, the cup product is twisted in general, which again illustrates that $\widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)$ may differ from the canonical map between the torsion products.

Example 7.6. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Given a simplicial poset P and a closed subgroup $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{T}$ acting freely on \mathcal{Z}_P (or instead a regular fan Σ), consider the r -th power map φ on the partial quotient $\mathcal{Z}_P / \mathcal{K}$ (or the smooth toric variety \mathbf{X}_Σ). This is a toric morphism with $A = r \text{ id}_N$ and $\nu = \text{id}_P$. In this case the map Ξ is given by

$$(7.25) \quad \Xi(\alpha \otimes f) = r^{k+l} \alpha \otimes f$$

for $\alpha \in H^k(L)$ and $f \in \mathbb{k}[P]$ of degree $2l$, and we also have $\tilde{A} = r \text{ id}_{\tilde{N}}$, that is,

$$(7.26) \quad \tilde{a}_v^{v'} = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } v = v', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, the formula (7.9) reduces to

$$(7.27) \quad \hat{q}_{ij} = -\frac{r(r-1)}{2} q_{ij}.$$

We therefore get

$$(7.28) \quad \hat{\Xi}(\alpha \otimes f) = r^{k+l} \alpha \otimes f - \frac{r^{k+l-1}(r-1)}{2} \sum_{i>j} \iota(x_i) \iota(x_j) \alpha \otimes f q_{ij}.$$

Note that the sum on the right vanishes for $k < 2$. For $k \geq 2$ we have $k+l-1 \geq 1$, which implies that the factor in front of the sum is an integer.

Example 7.7. We consider the r -th power map $\varphi: \mathbf{X}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_\Sigma$ for the toric variety given in Example 6.2. By formula (7.28) we have in cohomology

$$(7.29) \quad \widehat{\mathrm{Tor}}(\varphi)(a_1) = r a_1, \quad \widehat{\mathrm{Tor}}(\varphi)(a_2) = r a_2, \quad \widehat{\mathrm{Tor}}(\varphi)(c) = r c,$$

$$(7.30) \quad \widehat{\mathrm{Tor}}(\varphi)(b) = r^2 [\beta] - \frac{r(r-1)}{2} [q_{21} + q_{32} - q_{31}] = r^2 b - r(r-1) c.$$

To illustrate that $\widehat{\mathrm{Tor}}(\varphi)$ is indeed multiplicative, we compute

$$(7.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathrm{Tor}}(\varphi)(a_1 * a_2) &= \widehat{\mathrm{Tor}}(\varphi)(b - c) = r^2 b - r(r-1) c - r c \\ &= r^2 (b - c) = (r a_1) * (r a_2) = \widehat{\mathrm{Tor}}(\varphi)(a_1) * \widehat{\mathrm{Tor}}(\varphi)(a_2). \end{aligned}$$

7.2. Moment-angle complexes and Cox constructions. Every moment-angle complex \mathcal{Z}_P is itself a partial quotient (with $\mathcal{K} = 1$). In the same vein, every Cox construction \mathbf{Z}_Σ (or complement of a complex coordinate subspace arrangement) is a toric variety. As shown by Franz [7, Thm. 1.3] and Baskakov–Buchstaber–Panov [1], the multiplication is untwisted in this case. This is confirmed by the fact that all q_{ij} ’s vanish if the x_v ’s are part of the canonical basis (e_v) for $N = \tilde{N}$, compare [13, Rem. 7.4] and also Example 6.2. In this section we make several additional observations regarding moment-angle complexes and Cox constructions.

Proposition 7.8. *Let $(A, \nu): (\tilde{N}', P') \rightarrow (\tilde{N}, P)$ be a toric morphism, inducing a map $\varphi: \mathcal{Z}_{P'} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_P$. Then*

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Tor}}(\varphi) = \mathrm{Tor}(\varphi): \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BT)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BT')}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P']).$$

Together with Theorem 7.2 this means that the map $\Psi_P: H^*(\mathcal{Z}_P) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BT)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P])$ is natural with respect to toric morphisms between moment-angle complexes and the canonical maps between the associated Tor terms.

Proof. We start by looking at formula (7.9) for \hat{q}_{ij} . Since the vectors $x_v = e_v$ are part of the canonical basis for $N = \tilde{N}$ (with the same indexing set), we have

$$(7.32) \quad x_v^i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = v, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This implies that the first term inside the brackets in (7.9) vanishes, and in fact also the second because we have $j < w$ if $i = v$. Hence all \hat{q}_{ij} ’s vanish.

Because the product in $\mathbf{K}_{P'}$ is untwisted, this implies that $\hat{\Xi} = \Xi$ is the canonical map (6.41) induced by (A, ν) . This proves the claim. \square

Proposition 7.9. *Let $\kappa: \mathcal{Z}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$ be the canonical projection map of a partial quotient. Then*

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Tor}}(\kappa) = \mathrm{Tor}(\kappa): \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BL)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BT)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P]),$$

where the canonical basis for $N' = \tilde{N}$ is used when computing $\widehat{\mathrm{Tor}}(\kappa)$.

Proof. Since the domain of κ is a moment-angle complex, this is analogous to the proof of Proposition 7.8. \square

Let us define the ideal

$$(7.33) \quad I_P = \ker \mathrm{Tor}(\kappa) = \ker \widehat{\mathrm{Tor}}(\kappa) \triangleleft \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BL)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P]).$$

Corollary 7.10. *Let $(A, \nu): (N', P') \rightarrow (N, P)$ be a toric morphism, inducing a map $\varphi: \mathcal{Z}_{P'}/\mathcal{K}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$ between partial quotients. Modulo $I_{P'}$, we have a congruence*

$$\widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi) \equiv \text{Tor}(\varphi): \text{Tor}_{H^*(BL)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P]) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{H^*(BL')}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P']).$$

Proof. We consider the following diagram.

$$(7.34) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H^*(\mathcal{Z}_P) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_P} & \text{Tor}_{H^*(BT)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P]) & & \\ \downarrow \varphi^* & \swarrow \kappa^* & \downarrow \text{Tor}(\kappa) & \swarrow \text{Tor}(\varphi) & \\ & H^*(\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_P} & \text{Tor}_{H^*(BL)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P]) & \\ & \downarrow \varphi^* & \downarrow \text{Tor}(\varphi) & \downarrow \Upsilon & \\ H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{P'}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{P'}} & \text{Tor}_{H^*(BT')}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P']) & & \\ & \swarrow (\kappa')^* & \downarrow \text{Tor}(\kappa') & \swarrow & \\ & H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{P'}/\mathcal{K}') & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{P'}} & \text{Tor}_{H^*(BL')}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P']) & \end{array}$$

The left square commutes by naturality, and so does the right one if one takes $\Upsilon = \text{Tor}(\varphi)$ as the rightmost vertical map. The back commutes by Proposition 7.8 and the top and bottom squares by Proposition 7.9, each time combined with Theorem 7.2. The latter result also guarantees that the front commutes for $\Upsilon = \widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)$. Altogether this implies that $\widehat{\text{Tor}}(\varphi)$ and $\text{Tor}(\varphi)$ agree modulo $I_{P'}$. \square

Remark 7.11. The ideal I_P can be quite large: It is not difficult to see that the submodule $1 \otimes \mathbb{k}[P]$ of the Koszul complex for \mathcal{Z}_P consists of coboundaries. Hence I_P contains the image of $\mathbb{k}[P]$ in $\text{Tor}_{H^*(BL)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P])$, that is, the restrictions of all equivariant cohomology classes of $\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$. If $\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$ is equivariantly formal, then this implies that I_P contains all cohomology classes of positive degree.

7.3. Naturality if 2 is invertible. In this section we prove Theorem 1.2 and its generalization to partial quotients.

If 2 is invertible in \mathbb{k} , then we can choose the representative

$$(7.35) \quad \tilde{c} = \frac{1}{2} [1] - \frac{1}{2} [-1] = \frac{1}{2} (c - \iota_* c) \in C_1(S^1),$$

where $c = [1]$ is the representative used in Section 7.1 and $\iota: T \rightarrow T$ the group inversion. This leads to the isomorphism

$$(7.36) \quad \tilde{\Psi}_P = \Psi_{P, \tilde{c}}: H^*(\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{H^*(BL)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P])$$

constructed in [13, Sec. 8] and featuring in Theorem 1.1, and analogously we obtain $\tilde{\Psi}_{P'}$.

For any $v' \in V'$, let $b_{v'} \in C_2(T)$ be a chain as in (5.15) based on the representative c both for DJ_P and $DJ_{P'}$. For example, we can take the elements chosen in Section 7.1. We obtain new elements $\tilde{b}_{v'}$ in the same way as in (7.35),

$$(7.37) \quad \tilde{b}_{v'} = \frac{1}{2} (b_{v'} - \iota_* b_{v'}) \in C_2(T)$$

for $v' \in V'$. If we write $\ell(v')$ for the number of 2-simplices $b_{v',s}$ appearing in $b_{v'}$, then we can express each

$$(7.38) \quad \tilde{b}_{v'} = \sum_{s=1}^{2\ell(v')} \tilde{b}_{v',s}$$

as a linear combination of $2\ell(v')$ (not necessarily distinct) 2-simplices where

$$(7.39) \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{v',s} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{v',s}, \quad \tilde{b}_{v',s} = b_{v',s}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{v',s+\ell(v')} = -\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{v',s}, \quad \tilde{b}_{v',s+\ell(v')} = \iota_* b_{v',s}$$

for $1 \leq s \leq \ell(v')$.

We have $\iota_*[o^1, o^2] = [-o^1, -o^2]$ for any 2-simplex $[o^1, o^2]$ in $S^1 = B\mathbb{Z}$. For the coordinates $\tilde{o}_{v',s}^{1,v}$ and $\tilde{o}_{v',s}^{2,v}$ of the 2-simplices (5.20) this implies in our present context that

$$(7.40) \quad \tilde{o}_{v',s+\ell(v')}^{1,v} = -\tilde{o}_{v',s}^{1,v} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{o}_{v',s+\ell(v')}^{2,v} = -\tilde{o}_{v',s}^{2,v}$$

for all $v \in V$, $v' \in V'$ and $1 \leq s \leq \ell(v')$. A look at Lemma 6.3 now reveals

$$(7.41) \quad \hat{q}_{ij} = 0$$

for all $i \geq j$ because each term for s cancels against the corresponding term for $s + \ell(v')$. The twisting terms \tilde{q}_{ij} governing the $*$ -product in $\mathbf{K}_{P'}$ also vanish by [13, Lemma 8.1]. Hence

$$(7.42) \quad \hat{\Xi}(\alpha \otimes f) = \Xi(\alpha \otimes f) = \varphi^*(\alpha) \otimes \tilde{\varphi}^*(f) \in \mathbf{K}_{P'}$$

for any $\alpha \otimes f \in \mathbf{K}_P$. Theorem 6.6 therefore takes on the following form.

Theorem 7.12. *Assume that 2 is invertible in \mathbb{k} . The following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^*} & H^*(\mathcal{Z}_{P'}/\mathcal{K}') \\ \tilde{\Psi}_P \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\Psi}_{P'} \\ \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BL)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P]) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tor}(\varphi)} & \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BL')}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P']) \end{array}$$

Remark 7.13. Theorem 7.12 can also be proven with the (more high-powered) techniques which are developed in [10] and [11] to show that for certain homogeneous spaces G/K the isomorphism

$$(7.43) \quad H^*(G/K) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BG)}(\mathbb{k}, H^*(BK))$$

is multiplicative and natural if 2 is invertible in \mathbb{k} . In this approach one constructs an A_∞ map $\Lambda^P: \mathbb{k}[P] \Rightarrow C^*(DJ_P)$ along with an A_∞ map $\Lambda^L: H^*(BL) \Rightarrow C^*(BL)$. The key fact is that the diagram

$$(7.44) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^*(BL) & \xrightarrow{\rho^*} & \mathbb{k}[P] \\ \Lambda^L \downarrow & & \downarrow \Lambda^P \\ C^*(BL) & \xrightarrow{\rho^*} & C^*(DJ_P) \end{array}$$

commutes up to an A_∞ homotopy that behaves well with respect to the formality map $f_{P,\tilde{c}}^*: C^*(DJ_P) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[P]$, compare [11, Cor. 10.9, Thm. 11.6].³

³This technical issue is crucial for proving the multiplicativity of the isomorphism. It is not addressed in the note [18], which is otherwise based on similar ideas.

7.4. Comparing the two products on the Koszul complex. Let $\mathcal{X}_\Sigma = \mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$ be a partial quotient with quotient map κ . For the moment, we allow a general coefficient ring \mathbb{k} . Recall from Proposition 7.9 that $\mathrm{Tor}(\kappa) = \widehat{\mathrm{Tor}}(\kappa)$ and that in (7.33) we have defined the ideal $I_P \triangleleft \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BL)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P])$ as the kernel of this map.

Proposition 7.14. *For all $a, b \in \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BL)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P])$ we have*

$$a * b \equiv ab \pmod{I_P}.$$

In other words, the deformation terms for the product in the Tor term for $\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$ vanish when they are pulled back to \mathcal{Z}_P via $\mathrm{Tor}(\kappa) = \widehat{\mathrm{Tor}}(\kappa)$.

Proof. By Theorem 7.2 the map $\widehat{\mathrm{Tor}}(\kappa)$ is multiplicative with respect to the twisted products on both Tor terms. On the other hand, $\mathrm{Tor}(\kappa)$ is so with respect to the canonical products. As remarked at the beginning of Section 7.2, the $*$ -product in $\mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BT)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P])$ is in fact untwisted. Since the maps just mentioned agree, the difference $a * b - ab$ must lie in their common kernel. \square

If 2 is invertible in \mathbb{k} , then it follows from Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 that both the twisted product and the canonical product on the Koszul complex \mathbf{K}_P induce a multiplicative isomorphism in cohomology to $H^*(\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K})$. We finally describe an automorphism of \mathbf{K}_P that in cohomology is multiplicative with respect to these two products.

Proposition 7.15. *Assume that 2 is invertible in \mathbb{k} . The $\mathbb{k}[P]$ -linear automorphism*

$$\Omega: \mathbf{K}_P \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_P, \quad \alpha \otimes f \mapsto \alpha \otimes f + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i>j} \iota(x_i) \iota(x_j) \alpha \otimes f q_{ij}$$

induces a multiplicative isomorphism in cohomology that makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H^*(\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}) & \\ \Psi_P \swarrow & & \searrow \tilde{\Psi}_P \\ \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BL)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P]) & \xrightarrow{\Omega^*} & \mathrm{Tor}_{H^*(BL)}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k}[P]) \end{array}$$

commute. Here the domain of Ω is equipped with the twisted $$ -product and the codomain with the canonical product.*

Proof. We apply Theorem 6.6 to the case where φ is the identity map on $\mathcal{Z}_P/\mathcal{K}$. We keep the canonical representative $c \in C_1(S^1)$ from Section 7.1 and choose $c' = \tilde{c}$ as in (7.35). Moreover, we take $b_v = -\frac{1}{2}[e_v, -e_v] \in C_2(T)$ for $v \in V = V'$, so that

$$(7.45) \quad db_v = -\frac{1}{2}[e_v] - \frac{1}{2}[-e_v] = \tilde{c}_v - c_v.$$

To compute \hat{q}_{ij} , we first evaluate (4.43) and (5.20) to get the following data:

$$(7.46) \quad s = 1, \quad \lambda_{v,1} = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad o_{v',1}^{1,v} = -o_{v',1}^{2,v} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v' = v, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $v', v \in V$. Applying Lemma 6.3, we obtain the twisting terms

$$(7.47) \quad \hat{q}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} q_{ij} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j < i \leq n.$$

Because φ is the identity map, so is the map Ξ . The map Ω therefore is of the claimed form and in particular bijective. It induces an algebra map in cohomology because of the commutativity of the diagram above, which is the translation of the diagram from Theorem 6.6 to our context. \square

Remark 7.16. Since we have used the isomorphisms Ψ_P and $\tilde{\Psi}_P$ from Theorems 1.1 and 1.3, our argument that $\Omega^*: H^*(\mathbf{K}_P) \rightarrow H^*(\mathbf{K}_P)$ is multiplicative is topological in nature. It would be instructive to see a purely algebraic proof of this fact.

We conclude with our recurring example.

Example 7.17. Consider once again the toric variety \mathbf{X}_Σ from Example 6.2. By Proposition 7.15 and formula (6.16) we have

$$(7.48) \quad \Omega^*(a_1) = a_1, \quad \Omega^*(a_2) = a_2, \quad \Omega^*(c) = c,$$

$$(7.49) \quad \Omega^*(b) = b + \frac{1}{2}[q_{21} + q_{32} - q_{31}] = b + c,$$

hence Ω does not induce the identity map in cohomology. Moreover,

$$(7.50) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega^*(a_1 * a_2) &= \Omega^*(b - c) = b = [\alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \alpha_2 \alpha_3 - \alpha_1 \alpha_3] \\ &= [(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3)(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)] = a_1 a_2 = \Omega^*(a_1) \Omega^*(a_2), \end{aligned}$$

which illustrates that Ω^* is multiplicative, in contrast to the identity map.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. V. Baskakov, V. M. Buchstaber, T. E. Panov, Cellular cochain algebras and torus actions (Russian), *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **59** (2004), 159–160; English translation: *Russian Math. Surveys* **59** (2004), 562–563; doi:10.1070/RM2004v059n03ABEH000743
- [2] M. Brion, Piecewise polynomial functions, convex polytopes and enumerative geometry, pp. 25–44 in: P. Pragacz (ed.), *Parameter spaces*, Banach Cent. Publ. **36**, Warszawa 1996
- [3] V. M. Buchstaber, T. E. Panov, *Toric topology*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 2015; doi:10.1090/surv/204
- [4] M. Davis, T. Januszkiewicz, Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions, *Duke Math. J.* **62** (1991), 417–451; doi:10.1215/S0012-7094-91-06217-4
- [5] S. Eilenberg, J. C. Moore, Homology and fibrations I: Coalgebras, cotensor product and its derived functors, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **40** (1966), 199–236; doi:10.1007/BF02564371
- [6] M. Franz, Koszul duality for tori, doctoral dissertation, Univ. Konstanz 2001, available at <http://math.sci.uwo.ca/~mfranz/papers/diss.pdf>
- [7] M. Franz, On the integral cohomology of smooth toric varieties, [arXiv:math/0308253v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0308253v1)
- [8] M. Franz, The integral cohomology of toric manifolds, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **252** (2006), 53–62; doi:10.1134/S008154380601007X
- [9] M. Franz, Describing toric varieties and their equivariant cohomology, *Colloq. Math.* **121** (2010), 1–16; doi:10.4064/cm121-1-1
- [10] M. Franz, Homotopy Gerstenhaber algebras are strongly homotopy commutative, *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.* **15** (2020), 557–595; doi:10.1007/s40062-020-00268-y
- [11] M. Franz, The cohomology rings of homogeneous spaces, *J. Topol.* **14** (2021), 1396–1447; doi:10.1112/topol.12213
- [12] M. Franz, Homotopy Gerstenhaber formality of Davis–Januszkiewicz spaces, *Homology Homotopy Appl.* **23** (2021), 325–347; doi:10.4310/HHA.2021.v23.n2.a17
- [13] M. Franz, The cohomology rings of smooth toric varieties and quotients of moment-angle complexes, *Geom. Topol.* **25** (2021), 2109–2144; doi:10.2140/gt.2021.25.2109
- [14] X. Fu, T. So, J. Song, The integral cohomology ring of four-dimensional toric orbifolds, [arXiv:2304.03936](https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.03936)
- [15] W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ 1993; doi:10.1515/9781400882526
- [16] J. P. May, *Simplicial objects in algebraic topology*, Chicago Univ. Press, Chicago 1992

- [17] H. J. Munkholm, The Eilenberg–Moore spectral sequence and strongly homotopy multiplicative maps, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **5** (1974), 1–50; doi:10.1016/0022-4049(74)90002-4
- [18] T. E. Panov, On the cohomology of quotient spaces of moment-angle complexes (Russian), *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **70** (2015), 209–210; English translation: *Russian Math. Surveys* **70** (2015), 779–781; doi:10.4213/rm9673

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO, LONDON, ONT. N6A 5B7,
CANADA

Email address: `mfranz@uwo.ca`

BEIJING INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND APPLICATIONS, BEIJING 101408, CHINA

Email address: `x.fu@bimsa.cn`