

# TRANSFER OF CS-RICKART AND DUAL CS-RICKART PROPERTIES VIA FUNCTORS BETWEEN ABELIAN CATEGORIES

SEPTIMIU CRIVEI AND SIMONA MARIA RADU

**ABSTRACT.** We study the transfer of (dual) relative CS-Rickart properties via functors between abelian categories. We consider fully faithful functors as well as adjoint pairs of functors. We give several applications to Grothendieck categories and, in particular, to (graded) module and comodule categories.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

CS-Rickart objects in abelian categories have been introduced and studied by us in [10] as a common generalization of Rickart objects and extending objects. We have also considered dual CS-Rickart objects, which generalize dual Rickart objects and lifting objects. But these dual results follow automatically by the duality principle in abelian categories, showing the advantage of working at this level of generality. In order to point out some previous interest in such topics, we mention Rickart and dual Rickart objects studied by Crivei, Kör and Olteanu [8, 9], which have been proved to be useful in the study of regular objects in abelian categories in the sense of Dăscălescu, Năstăsescu, Tudorache and Dăuş [12]. They generalize the module-theoretic notions of Rickart and dual Rickart modules in the sense of Lee, Rizvi and Roman [19, 20], and in particular, Baer and dual Baer modules studied by Rizvi and Roman [24, 25] and Keskin Tütüncü and Tribak [17] respectively. Extending modules (also called CS-modules) and lifting modules have been intensively investigated for the last decades, due to their important applications to ring and module theory (e.g., see [5, 13]). Let us also note that CS-Rickart objects and dual CS-Rickart objects extend the module-theoretic concepts of CS-Rickart and dual CS-Rickart modules studied by Abyzov, Nhan and Quynh [1, 2]. In our approach we needed to develop specific categorical techniques in order to generalize results on (dual) CS-Rickart modules and extending (lifting) modules to abelian categories. The reader is referred to [10] for further information and motivation on the topic.

The paper [10] contains illustrating examples, and studies direct summands of (dual) CS-Rickart objects, (co)products of (dual) CS-Rickart objects as well as classes all of whose objects are (dual) self-CS-Rickart. The present paper is a companion of [10], and investigates the transfer of (dual) relative CS-Rickart properties via functors between abelian categories. We consider fully faithful functors and adjoint pairs of functors between abelian categories, and we discuss the cases of coreflective and reflective abelian full subcategories of abelian categories as well as adjoint triples of functors and recollements between abelian categories. We present several applications to Grothendieck categories and, in particular, to (graded) module and comodule categories. Also, we derive consequences for endomorphism rings of (graded) modules and comodules.

## 2. TRANSFER OF (DUAL) CS-RICKART PROPERTIES VIA FULLY FAITHFUL FUNCTORS

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category. For every morphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  we denote by  $\ker(f) : \text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow M$ ,  $\text{coker}(f) : N \rightarrow \text{Coker}(f)$ ,  $\text{coim}(f) : M \rightarrow \text{Coim}(f)$  and  $\text{im}(f) : \text{Im}(f) \rightarrow N$  the

---

*Date:* April 2, 2020.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18E10, 18E15, 16D90, 16T15.

*Key words and phrases.* Abelian category, (dual) Rickart object, (dual) CS-Rickart object, extending object, lifting object, module, comodule.

kernel, the cokernel, the coimage and the image of  $f$  respectively. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is abelian, we have  $\text{Coim}(f) \cong \text{Im}(f)$ . Recall that a morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is called a *section* (*retraction*) if there is a morphism  $f' : B \rightarrow A$  such that  $f'f = 1_A$  ( $ff' = 1_B$ ).

We also need the following notions.

**Definition 2.1** ([10, Definition 2.2]). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category.

- (1) A monomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *essential* if for every morphism  $h : N \rightarrow P$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $hf$  is a monomorphism,  $h$  is a monomorphism.
- (2) An epimorphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *superfluous* if for every morphism  $h : P \rightarrow M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $fh$  is an epimorphism,  $h$  is an epimorphism.

**Remark 2.2.** As in module categories [28, 17.2, 19.2], in the definition of essential monomorphisms one may restrict to epimorphisms  $h : N \rightarrow P$ , while in the definition of superfluous epimorphisms one may restrict to monomorphisms  $h : P \rightarrow M$ .

**Definition 2.3** ([10, Definition 2.4]). Let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of an abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $N$  is called:

- (1) *M-CS-Rickart* if for every morphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$  there are an essential monomorphism  $e : \text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow L$  and a section  $s : L \rightarrow M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\text{ker}(f) = se$ . Equivalently,  $N$  is *M-CS-Rickart* if and only if for every morphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$ ,  $\text{Ker}(f)$  is essential in a direct summand of  $M$ .
- (2) *dual M-CS-Rickart* if for every morphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$  there are a retraction  $r : N \rightarrow P$  and a superfluous epimorphism  $t : P \rightarrow \text{Coker}(f)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\text{coker}(f) = tr$ . Equivalently,  $N$  is *dual M-CS-Rickart* if and only if for every morphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$ ,  $\text{Im}(f)$  lies above a direct summand of  $N$ , in the sense that  $\text{Im}(f)$  contains a direct summand  $K$  of  $M$  such that  $\text{Im}(f)/K$  is superfluous in  $M/K$ .
- (3) *self-CS-Rickart* if  $N$  is *N-CS-Rickart*.
- (4) *dual self-CS-Rickart* if  $N$  is *dual N-CS-Rickart*.

In general, a functor between abelian categories need not preserve or reflect CS-Rickart properties, as we may see in the following examples.

**Example 2.4.** Consider the ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{16}$  and the forgetful covariant functor  $F : \text{Mod}(R) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})$  between module categories. Then  $F$  is an exact faithful functor. The endomorphism ring of the right  $R$ -module  $R$  is  $\text{End}_R(R_R) \cong R$ , while the endomorphism ring of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $(R, +)$  is  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, +) \cong \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_{16} \end{pmatrix}$ . Since the rings  $\text{End}_R(R_R)$  and  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, +)$  are not isomorphic, it follows that the functor  $F$  is not full. Following [18, p. 64], a ring  $R$  is called right self-injective if the right  $R$ -module  $R$  is self-injective. Note that  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{16}$  are right self-injective rings [18, Corollary 3.13]. Then  $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{16}$  is also a right self-injective ring [18, Corollary 3.11B]. Hence the right  $R$ -module  $R$  is extending [18, Corollary 6.80], and so it is self-CS-Rickart. But the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $F(R) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{16}$  is not self-CS-Rickart [10, Example 3.9].

**Example 2.5.** For every  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M$ , denote by  $t(M)$  the largest torsion submodule of  $M$  and by  $d(M)$  the largest divisible (i.e., injective) submodule of  $M$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the category of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules, and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the category of torsion  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. Note that both  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are abelian categories. Consider the covariant functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  defined by  $F(M) = t(d(M))$  on objects  $M$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  and accordingly on homomorphisms. Then  $F$  is a left exact functor. Also,  $F$  is a full, but not faithful functor [7, Example 4.1]. Consider the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$  for some prime  $p$ . Then  $F(M) = \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$  is a torsion injective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, hence it is an injective object in the category  $\mathcal{B}$ . Moreover, it is well known that every object of  $\mathcal{B}$  has an injective envelope, namely the injective envelope of a torsion  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $A$  is  $t(E(A))$ , where  $E(A)$  is the injective envelope of  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then the injective object  $F(M)$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  is a self-CS-Rickart object in  $\mathcal{B}$  [10, Corollary 5.2]. By [10, Corollary 3.2] and [10, Example 3.9], the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M$  is not self-CS-Rickart, because its direct summand  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{16}$  is not self-CS-Rickart.

We continue with a result on preservation and reflection of (dual) relative CS-Rickart properties via functors. For a covariant functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , we denote by  $\text{Im}(F)$  the essential image of  $F$ , which consists of all objects  $B$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $B \cong F(A)$  for some object  $A$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a fully faithful covariant functor between abelian categories. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

- (i) *Assume that  $\text{Im}(F)$  is closed under kernels or cokernels.*
  - (1) *If  $F$  is left exact and  $N$  is  $M$ -CS-Rickart, then  $F(N)$  is  $F(M)$ -CS-Rickart.*
  - (2) *If  $F$  is right exact and  $N$  is dual  $M$ -CS-Rickart, then  $F(N)$  is dual  $F(M)$ -CS-Rickart.*
- (ii) *Assume that  $\text{Im}(F)$  is closed under direct summands.*
  - (1) *If  $F$  is left exact and  $F(N)$  is  $F(M)$ -CS-Rickart, then  $N$  is  $M$ -CS-Rickart.*
  - (2) *If  $F$  is right exact and  $F(N)$  is dual  $F(M)$ -CS-Rickart, then  $N$  is dual  $M$ -CS-Rickart.*

*Proof.* (i) (1) Let  $g : F(M) \rightarrow F(N)$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{B}$ . We have  $g = F(f)$  for some morphism  $f : M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , because  $F$  is full. Since  $N$  is  $M$ -CS-Rickart, there are an essential monomorphism  $e : \text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow Q$  and a section  $s : Q \rightarrow M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\text{ker}(f) = se$ . Since  $F$  is left exact we have  $\text{ker}(g) = F(\text{ker}(f)) = F(s)F(e)$ , and then  $F(s)$  is a section, and  $F(e)$  is a monomorphism. We claim that  $F(e)$  is an essential monomorphism. To this end, denote  $K = \text{Ker}(f)$ , and let  $h' : F(Q) \rightarrow Z$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $h'F(e)$  is a monomorphism.

Assume first that  $\text{Im}(F)$  is closed under kernels. Let  $u' : \text{Ker}(h') \rightarrow F(Q)$  be the inclusion morphism. Now we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F(K) & \xrightarrow{h'F(e)} & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow F(e) & & \parallel \\ \text{Ker}(h') & \xrightarrow{u'} & F(Q) & \xrightarrow{h'} & Z \end{array}$$

in which the left square is a pullback. We have  $\text{Ker}(h') \cong F(A)$  for some object  $A$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and so  $u' = F(u)$  for some monomorphism  $u : A \rightarrow Q$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , because  $F$  is fully faithful. Let  $v = \text{coker}(u) : Q \rightarrow X$ . The faithful functor  $F$  reflects pullbacks (e.g., see [21, Chapter 2, Theorem 7.1]), hence we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{ve} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow e & & \parallel \\ A & \xrightarrow{u} & Q & \xrightarrow{v} & X \end{array}$$

in which the left square is a pullback. Since  $\text{Ker}(ve) = 0$ ,  $ve$  is a monomorphism. Then  $v$  is a monomorphism, because  $e$  is an essential monomorphism. Hence  $A = 0$ , and so  $\text{Ker}(h') = 0$ . Then  $h'$  is a monomorphism, which shows that  $F(e)$  is an essential monomorphism.

Assume now that  $\text{Im}(F)$  is closed under cokernels (epimorphisms). By Remark 2.2 we may take  $h' : F(Q) \rightarrow L'$  to be an epimorphism. Now  $L' \cong F(L)$  for some object  $L$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $F$  is full, we have  $h' = F(h)$  for some morphism  $h : Q \rightarrow L$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $F(he)$  is a monomorphism in  $\mathcal{B}$ , and so  $he$  is a monomorphism in  $\mathcal{A}$ , because  $F$  is faithful. Since  $e$  is an essential monomorphism,  $h$  is a monomorphism. It follows that  $h' = F(h)$  is a monomorphism since  $F$  is left exact. This shows that  $F(e)$  is an essential monomorphism.

(ii) (1) Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Consider the morphism  $F(f) : F(M) \rightarrow F(N)$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Since  $F(N)$  is  $F(M)$ -CS-Rickart, there are an essential monomorphism  $e' : \text{Ker}(F(f)) \rightarrow Q'$  and a section  $s' : Q' \rightarrow F(M)$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\text{ker}(F(f)) = s'e'$ . Since  $\text{Im}(F)$  is closed under direct summands, we have  $Q' \cong F(Q)$  for some object  $Q$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Since  $F$  is left exact and full, it follows that  $F(\text{ker}(f)) = F(s)F(e)$  for some morphisms  $e : \text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow Q$  and  $s : Q \rightarrow M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . But  $F$  is also faithful, hence we have  $\text{ker}(f) = se$ , where  $s$  is a section. We claim that  $e$  is an essential monomorphism. To this end, let  $h : Q \rightarrow L$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $he$  is a monomorphism. Since  $F$  is left exact,  $F(h)F(e)$  is a monomorphism. But  $e' = F(e)$

is an essential monomorphism, hence  $F(h)$  is a monomorphism. Since  $F$  is faithful, it follows that  $h$  is a monomorphism, which shows that  $e$  is an essential monomorphism. Hence  $N$  is  $M$ -CS-Rickart.  $\square$

The following result is immediate.

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be an equivalence of abelian categories, and let  $M, N$  be objects of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $N$  is (dual)  $M$ -CS-Rickart if and only if  $F(N)$  is (dual)  $F(M)$ -CS-Rickart.*

### 3. TRANSFER OF (DUAL) CS-RICKART PROPERTIES VIA ADJOINT FUNCTORS

Next we show that (dual) relative CS-Rickart properties transfer via adjoint functors under reasonable conditions. Let  $(L, R)$  be an adjoint pair of covariant functors  $L : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $R : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  between abelian categories. Then  $L$  is right exact and  $R$  is left exact. Denote by  $\varepsilon : LR \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $\eta : 1_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow RL$  the counit and the unit of adjunction respectively. Following [4], denote by  $\text{Stat}(R)$  the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{B}$  consisting of  $R$ -static objects, that is, objects  $B$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\varepsilon_B$  is an isomorphism. Also, denote by  $\text{Adst}(R)$  the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of  $R$ -adstatic objects, that is, objects  $A$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\eta_A$  is an isomorphism.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be abelian categories, and let  $(L, R)$  be an adjoint pair of covariant functors  $L : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $R : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ .*

- (1) *Assume that  $L$  is exact, and let  $M, N \in \text{Stat}(R)$ .*
  - (i) *If  $N$  is  $M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $R(N)$  is  $R(M)$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*
  - (ii) *If  $R$  reflects zero objects (in particular, if  $R$  is faithful) and  $R(N)$  is  $R(M)$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $N$  is  $M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{B}$ .*
- (2) *Assume that  $R$  is exact, and let  $M, N \in \text{Adst}(R)$ .*
  - (i) *If  $N$  is dual  $M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $L(N)$  is dual  $L(M)$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{B}$ .*
  - (ii) *If  $L$  reflects zero objects (in particular, if  $L$  is faithful) and  $L(N)$  is dual  $L(M)$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $N$  is dual  $M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Denote by  $\varepsilon : LR \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{B}}$  and  $\eta : 1_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow RL$  the counit and the unit of adjunction respectively. Then  $R(\varepsilon_B)\eta_{R(B)} = 1_{R(B)}$  for every object  $B$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ , and  $\varepsilon_{L(A)}L(\eta_A) = 1_{L(A)}$  for every object  $A$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

(i) Assume that  $N$  is  $M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $f : R(M) \rightarrow R(N)$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{A}$  with kernel  $k = \ker(f) : K \rightarrow R(M)$ . Consider the morphism  $g = \varepsilon_N L(f) \varepsilon_M^{-1} : M \rightarrow N$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then there are an essential monomorphism  $e : \text{Ker}(g) \rightarrow Q$  and a section  $s : Q \rightarrow M$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\ker(g) = se$ . Note that  $\text{Ker}(g) = L(K)$ . There is a morphism  $r : M \rightarrow Q$  such that  $rs = 1_Q$ . Then  $LR(s)$  is a section. By naturality we have the following commutative diagram in  $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R(M) & \xrightarrow{f} & R(N) \\ \eta_{R(M)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_{R(N)} \\ RL R(M) & \xrightarrow{RL(f)} & RL R(N) \end{array}$$

Since  $M, N \in \text{Stat}(R)$ ,  $R(\varepsilon_M)$  and  $R(\varepsilon_N)$  are isomorphisms, and so  $\eta_{R(M)} = R(\varepsilon_M)^{-1}$  and  $\eta_{R(N)} = R(\varepsilon_N)^{-1}$  are isomorphisms. It follows that:

$$f = \eta_{R(N)}^{-1} RL(f) \eta_{R(M)} = R(\varepsilon_N) RL(f) R(\varepsilon_M^{-1}) = R(\varepsilon_N L(f) \varepsilon_M^{-1}) = R(g).$$

Hence  $k = \ker(f) = R(\ker(g)) = R(s)R(e)$ . Clearly,  $R(s)$  is a section and  $R(e)$  is a monomorphism. In order to finish the proof, it is enough to show that the monomorphism  $R(e)$  is essential.

We first claim that the monomorphism  $LR(e) : LRL(K) \rightarrow LR(Q)$  is essential. By naturality we have the following commutative diagram in  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} LR(M) & \xrightarrow{LR(r)} & LR(Q) & \xrightarrow{LR(s)} & LR(M) \\ \varepsilon_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_Q & & \downarrow \varepsilon_M \\ M & \xrightarrow{r} & Q & \xrightarrow{s} & M \end{array}$$

Since  $\varepsilon_Q LR(r) = r\varepsilon_M$  is an epimorphism and  $s\varepsilon_Q = \varepsilon_M LR(s)$  is a monomorphism,  $\varepsilon_Q$  is an isomorphism, hence  $Q \in \text{Stat}(R)$ . By naturality we also have the following commutative diagram in  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} LRL(K) & \xrightarrow{LR(e)} & LR(Q) \\ \varepsilon_{L(K)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_Q \\ L(K) & \xrightarrow{e} & Q \end{array}$$

Then  $e\varepsilon_{L(K)} = \varepsilon_Q LR(e)$  is a monomorphism, hence so is  $\varepsilon_{L(K)}$ . But the equality  $\varepsilon_{L(K)} L(\eta_K) = 1_{L(K)}$  shows that  $\varepsilon_{L(K)}$  is also a retraction, and so  $\varepsilon_{L(K)}$  is an isomorphism. Since  $\varepsilon_Q LR(e) = e\varepsilon_{L(K)}$  is an essential monomorphism, so is  $LR(e)$ , because a composition of monomorphisms is essential if and only if each of them is essential.

Now we claim that the monomorphism  $R(e) : RL(K) \rightarrow R(Q)$  is essential. To this end, let  $h : R(Q) \rightarrow P$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $hR(e)$  is a monomorphism. The functor  $L$  being exact,  $L(h)LR(e)$  is a monomorphism, and so is  $L(h)$ , because  $LR(e)$  is an essential monomorphism. Thus  $RL(h)$  is a monomorphism. By naturality we have the following commutative diagram in  $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R(Q) & \xrightarrow{h} & P \\ \eta_{R(Q)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_P \\ RLR(Q) & \xrightarrow{RL(h)} & RL(P) \end{array}$$

Note that  $\eta_{R(Q)}$  is an isomorphism, because so is  $R(\varepsilon_Q)$ . Since  $\eta_P h = RL(h)\eta_{R(Q)}$  is a monomorphism, so is  $h$ . This shows that  $R(e)$  is an essential monomorphism. Thus  $R(N)$  is  $R(M)$ -CS-Rickart.

(ii) Assume that  $R$  reflects zero objects and  $R(N)$  is  $R(M)$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{B}$  with kernel  $k = \ker(f) : K \rightarrow M$ . Consider the morphism  $R(f) : R(M) \rightarrow R(N)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then there are an essential monomorphism  $e : \text{Ker}(R(f)) \rightarrow Q$  and a section  $s : Q \rightarrow R(M)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\ker(R(f)) = se$ .

By naturality we have the following commutative diagram in  $\mathcal{B}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} LR(M) & \xrightarrow{LR(f)} & LR(N) \\ \varepsilon_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_N \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$$

in which  $\varepsilon_M$  and  $\varepsilon_N$  are isomorphisms. Since  $L$  is exact, it follows that

$$\ker(f) = \ker(LR(f)) = L(\ker R(f)) = L(s)L(e).$$

Clearly,  $L(s)$  is a section and  $L(e)$  is a monomorphism, because  $L$  is exact. In order to finish the proof, it is enough to show that the monomorphism  $L(e)$  is essential.

We first claim that the monomorphism  $RL(e) : RLR(K) \rightarrow RL(Q)$  is essential. There is a morphism  $r : R(M) \rightarrow Q$  such that  $rs = 1_Q$ . Then  $RL(s)$  is a section and  $RL(r)$  is a retraction.

By naturality we have the following commutative diagram in  $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R(M) & \xrightarrow{r} & Q & \xrightarrow{s} & R(M) \\ \eta_{R(M)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_Q & & \downarrow \eta_{R(M)} \\ RLR(M) & \xrightarrow{RL(r)} & RL(Q) & \xrightarrow{RL(s)} & RLR(M) \end{array}$$

Since  $M \in \text{Stat}(R)$ ,  $R(\varepsilon_M)$  is an isomorphism, and so  $\eta_{R(M)} = R(\varepsilon_M)^{-1}$  is an isomorphism. Since  $\eta_{QR} = RL(r)\eta_{R(M)}$  is an epimorphism and  $RL(s)\eta_Q = \eta_{R(M)}s$  is a monomorphism,  $\eta_Q$  is an isomorphism, hence  $Q \in \text{Adst}(R)$ . By naturality we have the following commutative diagram in  $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R(K) & \xrightarrow{e} & Q \\ \eta_{R(K)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_Q \\ RLR(K) & \xrightarrow{RL(e)} & RL(Q) \end{array}$$

in which  $\eta_Q$  is an isomorphism and  $\eta_{R(K)}$  is a section. Since  $RL(e)\eta_{R(K)} = \eta_Q e$  is an essential monomorphism, so is  $RL(e)$ , because a composition of monomorphisms is essential if and only if each of them is essential.

Now we claim that the monomorphism  $L(e) : LR(K) \rightarrow L(Q)$  is essential. To this end, let  $h : L(Q) \rightarrow P$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $hL(e)$  is a monomorphism. Then  $R(h)RL(e)$  is a monomorphism, and so  $R(h)$  is a monomorphism, because  $RL(e)$  is an essential monomorphism. We have  $R(\text{Ker}(h)) = \text{Ker}(R(h)) = 0$ . Since  $R$  reflects zero objects, it follows that  $\text{Ker}(h) = 0$ , and so  $h$  is a monomorphism. This shows that  $L(e)$  is an essential monomorphism. Thus  $N$  is  $M$ -CS-Rickart.  $\square$

We also give the contravariant version of Theorem 3.1, because it will be used later on. Let  $(L, R)$  be a *right adjoint pair* of contravariant functors  $L : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $R : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  between abelian categories [28, 45.2]. This means that for every objects  $A$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $B$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  there is a bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(B, L(A)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, R(B)),$$

natural in each variable. Then both  $L$  and  $R$  are left exact, so they turn cokernels into kernels. Let  $\varepsilon : 1_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow LR$  and  $\eta : 1_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow RL$  be the counit and the unit of adjunction respectively. Following [3], denote by  $\text{Refl}(R)$  the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{B}$  consisting of *R-reflexive* objects, that is, objects  $B$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\varepsilon_B$  is an isomorphism. Also, denote by  $\text{Refl}(L)$  the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of *L-reflexive* objects, that is, objects  $A$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\eta_A$  is an isomorphism. Similarly, one may consider a *left adjoint pair* of contravariant functors  $L : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $R : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  between abelian categories [28, 45.2]. This means that for every objects  $A$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $B$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  there is a bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(L(A), B) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(R(B), A),$$

natural in each variable. Then both  $L$  and  $R$  are right exact, so they turn kernels into cokernels.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be abelian categories, and let  $(L, R)$  be a pair of contravariant functors  $L : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $R : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ .*

- (1) *Assume that  $(L, R)$  is left adjoint and  $L$  is exact, and let  $M, N \in \text{Refl}(R)$ .*
  - (i) *If  $N$  is  $M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $R(M)$  is dual  $R(N)$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*
  - (ii) *If  $R$  reflects zero objects (in particular, if  $R$  is faithful) and  $R(M)$  is dual  $R(N)$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $N$  is  $M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{B}$ .*
- (2) *Assume that  $(L, R)$  is right adjoint and  $R$  is exact, and let  $M, N \in \text{Refl}(L)$ .*
  - (i) *If  $N$  is dual  $M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $L(M)$  is  $L(N)$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{B}$ .*
  - (ii) *If  $L$  reflects zero objects (in particular, if  $L$  is faithful) and  $L(M)$  is  $L(N)$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $N$  is dual  $M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

The main consequence of Theorem 3.1 is the following result.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be abelian categories, and let  $(L, R)$  be an adjoint pair of covariant functors  $L : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $R : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ .*

- (1) *Assume that  $L$  is exact and  $R$  is fully faithful. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $N$  is  $M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{B}$  if and only if  $R(N)$  is  $R(M)$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*
- (2) *Assume that  $R$  is exact and  $L$  is fully faithful. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $N$  is dual  $M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $L(N)$  is dual  $L(M)$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{B}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Since  $R$  is fully faithful, every object of  $\mathcal{B}$  is in  $\text{Stat}(R)$ . Then use Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

#### 4. APPLICATIONS

We give several applications of our theorems, showing that their hypotheses hold in a large number of relevant situations.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is called a *reflective* (coreflective) subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  if the inclusion functor  $i : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  has a left (right) adjoint. In any of the two cases,  $i$  is fully faithful. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is reflective, then limits (in particular, kernels) in  $\mathcal{C}$  are the same as limits in  $\mathcal{A}$ , while colimits (in particular, cokernels) in  $\mathcal{C}$  are taken in  $\mathcal{A}$  and then reflected in  $\mathcal{C}$  [26, Chapter X, Proposition 1.2]. For coreflective subcategories one has the dual result. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a reflective (coreflective) subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the left (right) adjoint of the inclusion functor  $i$  preserves kernels (cokernels), then the subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  is called *Giraud* (co-Giraud). In this case, the left (right) adjoint of  $i$  is exact.

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category,  $\mathcal{C}$  an abelian full subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $i : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  the inclusion functor.*

- (i) *Assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a Giraud subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $N$  is  $M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $i(N)$  is  $i(M)$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*
- (ii) *Assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a co-Giraud subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $N$  is dual  $M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $i(N)$  is dual  $i(M)$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Since  $i$  is fully faithful and its left adjoint is exact, one uses Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

For Grothendieck categories we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Grothendieck category with a generator  $U$  with  $R = \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$ . Let  $S = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(U, -) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(R)$  and let  $T : \text{Mod}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a left adjoint of  $S$ . Let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $N$  is an  $M$ -CS-Rickart object of  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $S(N)$  is an  $S(M)$ -CS-Rickart right  $R$ -module.*

*Proof.* By the Gabriel-Popescu Theorem [26, Chapter X, Theorem 4.1],  $S$  is fully faithful and has an exact left adjoint  $T : \text{Mod}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to a Giraud subcategory of  $\text{Mod}(R)$  [26, Chapter X, Proposition 1.5], which is a reflective abelian full subcategory. Then use Corollary 4.1.  $\square$

Following [11, Section 2.2], let  $C$  be a coalgebra over a field  $k$ , and let  ${}^C\mathcal{M}$  be the (Grothendieck) category of left  $C$ -comodules. Left  $C$ -comodules will be identified with rational right  $C^*$ -modules, where  $C^* = \text{Hom}_k(C, k)$ . Let  $i : {}^C\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(C^*)$  be the inclusion functor, and let  $\text{Rat} : \text{Mod}(C^*) \rightarrow {}^C\mathcal{M}$  be the functor which associates to every right  $C^*$ -module its rational  $C^*$ -submodule. Then  $(i, \text{Rat})$  is an adjoint pair. If  $C$  is a right semiperfect coalgebra, then the functor  $\text{Rat}$  is exact [11, Corollary 3.2.12], hence  ${}^C\mathcal{M}$  is a co-Giraud subcategory of  $\text{Mod}(C^*)$ . Then Corollary 4.1 yields the following consequence.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $C$  be a right semiperfect coalgebra over a field. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be left  $C$ -comodules. Then  $N$  is a dual  $M$ -CS-Rickart left  $C$ -comodule if and only if  $i(N)$  is a dual  $i(M)$ -CS-Rickart right  $C^*$ -module.*

Recall that an *adjoint triple* of functors is a triple  $(L, F, R)$  of covariant functors  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $L, R : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $(L, F)$  and  $(F, R)$  are adjoint pairs of functors. Then  $F$  is an exact functor. It is known that  $L$  is fully faithful if and only if so is  $R$  [14, Lemma 1.3]. Now Theorem 3.3 yields the following consequence.

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $(L, F, R)$  be an adjoint triple of covariant functors  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  and  $L, R : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  between abelian categories. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of  $\mathcal{B}$ , and assume that  $L$  (or  $R$ ) is fully faithful. Then:*

- (1)  *$N$  is  $M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{B}$  if and only if  $R(N)$  is  $R(M)$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*
- (2)  *$N$  is dual  $M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{B}$  if and only if  $L(N)$  is dual  $L(M)$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

Now let  $A, C$  be rings and let  ${}_C B_A$  be a bimodule. Let  $R = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{pmatrix}$  be the formal triangular matrix ring constructed from  $A, B, C$ . Following [15, Chapter 4, Section A], for a right  $A$ -module  $M$ , a right  $C$ -module  $N$  and a right  $A$ -module homomorphism  $f : N \otimes_C B \rightarrow M$ , one may endow the abelian group  $P = M \oplus N$  with a right  $R$ -module structure as follows. If we write each pair  $(m, n) \in P$  in the form  $\begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ n & n \end{pmatrix}$ , scalar multiplication is defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ n & n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ma + f(n \otimes b) & 0 \\ nc & nc \end{pmatrix}$$

and we write  $P = \begin{pmatrix} M & 0 \\ N & N \end{pmatrix}$ . Moreover, every right  $R$ -module has this form.

Following [15, Chapter 4, Section A, Exercises 19 and 20], consider the covariant functors:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{23} : \text{Mod}(C) &\rightarrow \text{Mod}(R), & J_{23}(N) &= \begin{pmatrix} N \otimes_C B & 0 \\ N & N \end{pmatrix}, \\ J_3 : \text{Mod}(C) &\rightarrow \text{Mod}(R), & J_3(N) &= J_{23}(N) / \begin{pmatrix} N \otimes_C B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ P_3 : \text{Mod}(R) &\rightarrow \text{Mod}(C), & P_3(M) &= M \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $(J_{23}, P_3, J_3)$  is an adjoint triple [15, Chapter 4, Section A, Exercise 22].

**Corollary 4.5.** *Consider the ring  $R = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ B & C \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $A, C$  are rings and  ${}_C B_A$  is a bimodule. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be right  $C$ -modules. Then:*

- (1)  *$N$  is an  $M$ -CS-Rickart right  $C$ -module if and only if  $J_3(N)$  is a  $J_3(M)$ -CS-Rickart right  $R$ -module.*
- (2)  *$N$  is a dual  $M$ -CS-Rickart right  $C$ -module if and only if  $J_{23}(N)$  is a dual  $J_{23}(M)$ -CS-Rickart right  $R$ -module.*

*Proof.* Since  $P_3 J_{23} \cong 1_{\text{Mod}(C)}$  and  $P_3 J_3 \cong 1_{\text{Mod}(C)}$  [15, Chapter 4, Section A, Exercise 22],  $J_{23}$  and  $J_3$  are fully faithful. Then use Corollary 4.4 for the adjoint triple of functors  $(J_{23}, P_3, J_3)$ .  $\square$

Following [22], we recall some notation and terminology on graded modules. In what follows  $G$  will denote a group with identity element  $e$ , and  $R$  will be a  $G$ -graded ring. For a  $G$ -graded ring  $R = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} R_\sigma$ , denote by  $\text{gr}(R)$  the (Grothendieck) category which has as objects the  $G$ -graded unital right  $R$ -modules and as morphisms the morphisms of  $G$ -graded unital right  $R$ -modules. We consider the following functors:

- (1) For  $\sigma \in G$ , the  $\sigma$ -suspension functor  $T_\sigma : \text{gr}(R) \rightarrow \text{gr}(R)$  defined by  $T_\sigma(M) = M(\sigma)$ . Recall that for a graded right  $R$ -module  $M$  and  $\sigma \in G$ , the  $\sigma$ -suspension  $M(\sigma)$  of  $M$  is the graded right  $R$ -module which is equal to  $M$  as a right  $R$ -module and the gradation is given by  $M(\sigma)_\tau = M_{\sigma\tau}$  for every  $\tau \in G$ .
- (2) For  $\sigma \in G$ , the functor  $(-)_\sigma : \text{gr}(R) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(R_e)$ , which associates to every graded right  $R$ -module  $M = \bigoplus_{\tau \in G} M_\tau$  the right  $R_e$ -module  $M_\sigma$ .



- (3) The *induced functor*  $\text{Ind} : \text{Mod}(R_e) \rightarrow \text{gr}(R)$  defined as follows: for a right  $R_e$ -module  $N$ ,  $\text{Ind}(N)$  is the graded right  $R$ -module  $M = N \otimes_{R_e} R$ , where the gradation of  $M = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} M_\sigma$  is given by  $M_\sigma = N_\sigma \otimes_{R_e} R$  for every  $\sigma \in G$ .
- (4) The *coinduced functor*  $\text{Coind} : \text{Mod}(R_e) \rightarrow \text{gr}(R)$  defined as follows: for a right  $R_e$ -module  $N$ ,  $\text{Coind}(N)$  is the graded right  $R$ -module  $M^* = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} M'_\sigma$ , where

$$M'_\sigma = \{f \in \text{Hom}_{R_e}(R, N) \mid f(R_{\sigma'}) = 0 \text{ for every } \sigma' \neq \sigma^{-1}\}.$$

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $R$  be a  $G$ -graded ring, and let  $M$  and  $N$  be right  $R_e$ -modules. Then:*

- (1)  *$N$  is an  $M$ -CS-Rickart right  $R_e$ -module if and only if  $\text{Coind}(N)$  is a  $\text{Coind}(M)$ -CS-Rickart graded right  $R$ -module.*
- (2)  *$N$  is a dual  $M$ -CS-Rickart right  $R_e$ -module if and only if  $\text{Ind}(N)$  is a dual  $\text{Ind}(M)$ -CS-Rickart graded right  $R$ -module.*

*Proof.* By [22, Theorem 2.5.5], for  $\sigma \in G$ ,  $(T_{\sigma^{-1}} \circ \text{Ind}, (-)_\sigma, T_{\sigma^{-1}} \circ \text{Coind})$  is an adjoint triple, and we have  $(-)_\sigma \circ T_{\sigma^{-1}} \circ \text{Ind} \cong 1_{\text{Mod}(R_e)}$  and  $(-)_\sigma \circ T_{\sigma^{-1}} \circ \text{Coind} \cong 1_{\text{Mod}(R_e)}$ , that is, the functors  $T_{\sigma^{-1}} \circ \text{Ind}$  and  $T_{\sigma^{-1}} \circ \text{Coind}$  are fully faithful. In particular,  $(\text{Ind}, (-)_e, \text{Coind})$  is an adjoint triple, and  $\text{Ind}$  and  $\text{Coind}$  are fully faithful. Now use Corollary 4.4.  $\square$

Now let us recall the concept of recollement of abelian categories, following [23]. For an additive functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  between abelian categories, we denote by  $\text{Ker}(F)$  the kernel of  $F$ , which consists of all objects  $A$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $F(A) = 0$ . A *recollement* of abelian categories  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ , denoted by  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ , is a diagram of functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & q & & l & \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{B} & \xleftarrow{e} & \mathcal{C} \\ & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright & \\ & p & & r & \end{array}$$

which satisfy the following conditions: (i)  $(l, e, r)$  is an adjoint triple; (ii)  $(q, i, p)$  is an adjoint triple; (iii)  $i$ ,  $l$  and  $r$  are fully faithful; (iv)  $\text{Im}(i) = \text{Ker}(e)$ .

Now Corollary 4.4 yields the following consequence.

**Corollary 4.7.** *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$  be a recollement of abelian categories as above. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then:*

- (1)  *$N$  is  $M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $r(N)$  is  $r(M)$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{B}$ .*
- (2)  *$N$  is dual  $M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $l(N)$  is dual  $l(M)$ -CS-Rickart in  $\mathcal{B}$ .*

We recall a specific example of recollement of abelian categories from [23], where further relevant examples are presented. Let  $R$  be a unitary ring and let  $e = e^2 \in R$  be an idempotent. Then the following diagram is a recollement of abelian categories [23, Example 2.7]:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & (R/ReR) \otimes_R - & & Re \otimes_{eRe} - & \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\ \text{Mod}(R/ReR) & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{Mod}(R) & \xleftarrow{e(-)} & \text{Mod}(eRe) \\ & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright & \\ & \text{Hom}_R(R/ReR, -) & & \text{Hom}_{eRe}(eR, -) & \end{array}$$

where the module categories are categories of left modules,  $i$  is the inclusion functor and  $e(-)$  is the functor given by left multiplication by  $e$ . Now we have the following consequence of Corollary 4.7.

**Corollary 4.8.** *Let  $R$  be a unitary ring and let  $e = e^2 \in R$  be an idempotent. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be objects of  $\text{Mod}(eRe)$ . Then:*

- (1)  *$N$  is  $M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\text{Mod}(eRe)$  if and only if  $\text{Hom}_{eRe}(eR, N)$  is  $\text{Hom}_{eRe}(eR, M)$ -CS-Rickart in  $\text{Mod}(R)$ .*
- (2)  *$N$  is dual  $M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\text{Mod}(eRe)$  if and only if  $Re \otimes_{eRe} N$  is dual  $Re \otimes_{eRe} M$ -CS-Rickart in  $\text{Mod}(R)$ .*

## 5. ENDOMORPHISM RINGS

In this section we give some applications to endomorphism rings of (graded) modules and comodules. We start with a specific result for modules, for which we need the following concepts.

**Definition 5.1.** A right  $R$ -module  $M$  is called:

- (i) *im-local-retractable* if for every monomorphism  $k : K \rightarrow M$  and for every  $x \in K$ , there exists a homomorphism  $h : M \rightarrow K$  such that  $x \in \text{Im}(hk)$ .
- (ii) *im-local-coretractable* if for every epimorphism  $c : M \rightarrow C$  and for every  $z \in C$ , there exists a homomorphism  $h : C \rightarrow M$  such that  $z \in \text{Im}(ch)$ .

**Remark 5.2.** (i) Clearly, every im-local-retractable right  $R$ -module  $M$  is  $k$ -local-retractable, in the sense that for every endomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$  with  $k = \ker(f) : K \rightarrow M$  and for every  $x \in K$ , there exists a homomorphism  $h : M \rightarrow K$  such that  $x \in \text{Im}(hk)$  [19, Definition 3.6]. Dually, every im-local-coretractable right  $R$ -module  $M$  is  $c$ -local-coretractable, in the sense that for every endomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$  with  $c = \text{coker}(f) : M \rightarrow C$  and for every  $z \in C$ , there exists a homomorphism  $h : C \rightarrow M$  such that  $z \in \text{Im}(ch)$  [6, Definition 4.5].

(ii) The class of im-local-retractable right  $R$ -modules and the class of im-local-coretractable right  $R$ -modules are closed under direct summands. Indeed, for the former, let  $M$  be a im-local-retractable right  $R$ -module and let  $N$  be a direct summand of  $M$ . Let  $k : K \rightarrow N$  be a monomorphism,  $i : N \rightarrow M$  the canonical inclusion and  $x \in K$ . Then there is a homomorphism  $h : M \rightarrow K$ , and so there is the homomorphism  $hi : N \rightarrow K$  such that  $x \in \text{Im}(hik)$ . Hence  $N$  is im-local-retractable.

**Corollary 5.3.** Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module, and let  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ .

- (1) If  $M$  is im-local-retractable and  $S$  is a self-CS-Rickart right  $S$ -module, then  $M$  is a self-CS-Rickart right  $R$ -module.
- (2) If  $M$  is im-local-coretractable and  $S$  is a dual self-CS-Rickart left  $S$ -module, then  $M$  is a dual self-CS-Rickart right  $R$ -module.

*Proof.* (1) Consider the adjoint pair of functors  $(T, H)$ , where

$$T = - \otimes_S M : \text{Mod}(S) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(R),$$

$$H = \text{Hom}_R(M, -) : \text{Mod}(R) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(S).$$

Assume that  $M$  is im-local-retractable and  $S$  is a self-CS-Rickart right  $S$ -module. Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a homomorphism with kernel  $k = \ker(f) : K \rightarrow M$ . Since  $H(M) = S$  is a self-CS-Rickart right  $S$ -module,  $H(k) = \ker(H(f)) = sm$  for some essential monomorphism  $m : H(K) \rightarrow Q$  and section  $s : Q \rightarrow H(M)$ . Let  $r : H(M) \rightarrow Q$  be a homomorphism such that  $rs = 1_Q$ . Denote  $t = \varepsilon_M T(s) : T(Q) \rightarrow M$  and  $e = T(r) \varepsilon_M^{-1} k : K \rightarrow T(Q)$ . Then  $t$  is a section.

We prove that  $k = te$ . To this end, let  $x \in K$ . Since  $M$  is im-local-retractable, there exists a homomorphism  $h : M \rightarrow K$  such that  $x = hk(y)$  for some  $y \in K$ . By naturality we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TH(M) & \xrightarrow{TH(kh)} & TH(M) \\ \varepsilon_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_M \\ M & \xrightarrow{kh} & M \end{array}$$

It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned}
te(x) &= \varepsilon_M T(s)T(r)\varepsilon_M^{-1}k(x) = \varepsilon_M T(s)T(r)\varepsilon_M^{-1}khk(y) \\
&= \varepsilon_M T(s)T(r)\varepsilon_M^{-1}kh\varepsilon_M\varepsilon_M^{-1}k(y) = \varepsilon_M T(s)T(r)\varepsilon_M^{-1}\varepsilon_M TH(kh)\varepsilon_M^{-1}k(y) \\
&= \varepsilon_M T(s)T(r)TH(kh)\varepsilon_M^{-1}k(y) = \varepsilon_M T(s)T(r)TH(k)TH(h)\varepsilon_M^{-1}k(y) \\
&= \varepsilon_M T(s)T(r)T(s)T(m)TH(h)\varepsilon_M^{-1}k(y) = \varepsilon_M T(s)T(m)TH(h)\varepsilon_M^{-1}k(y) \\
&= \varepsilon_M TH(k)TH(h)\varepsilon_M^{-1}k(y) = \varepsilon_M TH(kh)\varepsilon_M^{-1}k(y) \\
&= kh\varepsilon_M\varepsilon_M^{-1}k(y) = khk(y) = k(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $k = te$ , which also shows that  $e$  is a monomorphism.

Finally, let us prove that  $e$  is an essential monomorphism. To this end, let  $v : T(Q) \rightarrow V$  be a homomorphism such that  $ve : K \rightarrow V$  is a monomorphism. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1 (ii),  $\eta_Q : Q \rightarrow HT(Q)$  is an isomorphism. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
H(ve) &= H(v)HT(r)H(\varepsilon_M^{-1})H(k) = H(v)HT(r)\eta_{H(M)}H(k) \\
&= H(v)\eta_Q r H(k) = H(v)\eta_Q r s m = H(v)\eta_Q m.
\end{aligned}$$

and this is a monomorphism. Since  $\eta_Q m$  is an essential monomorphism,  $H(v)$  must be a monomorphism. Let  $a = \ker(v) : A \rightarrow T(Q)$  and  $z \in A$ . Since  $M$  is im-local-retractable, so is its direct summand  $T(Q)$  by Remark 5.2. Hence there exists a homomorphism  $g : T(Q) \rightarrow A$  such that  $z = ga(u)$  for some  $u \in A$ . By naturality we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
THT(Q) & \xrightarrow{TH(ag)} & THT(Q) \\
\varepsilon_{T(Q)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_{T(Q)} \\
T(Q) & \xrightarrow{ag} & T(Q)
\end{array}$$

Since  $H(a) = \ker(H(v)) = 0$ , it follows that:

$$a(z) = aga(u) = ag\varepsilon_{T(Q)}\varepsilon_{T(Q)}^{-1}a(u) = \varepsilon_{T(Q)}TH(ag)\varepsilon_{T(Q)}^{-1}a(u) = 0.$$

Hence  $\ker(v) = 0$ , and so  $v$  is a monomorphism. This shows that  $e$  is an essential monomorphism, and thus  $M$  is a self-CS-Rickart right  $R$ -module.  $\square$

Following [16], a covariant functor  $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  between abelian categories is called *faithfully exact* provided the sequence  $F(A) \xrightarrow{F(f)} F(B) \xrightarrow{F(g)} F(C)$  is exact if and only if the sequence  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$  is exact. Let  ${}_S M_R$  be a bimodule. The right  $R$ -module  $M$  is called *faithfully projective* if the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(M, -) : \text{Mod}(R) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(S)$  is faithfully exact. The right  $R$ -module  $M$  is called *faithfully injective* if the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(-, M) : \text{Mod}(R) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(S^{\text{op}})$  is faithfully exact. The left  $S$ -module  $M$  is called *faithfully flat* if the functor  $- \otimes_S M : \text{Mod}(S) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(R)$  is faithfully exact.

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $M$  be a right  $R$ -module and  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ .*

- (1) *Assume that  $M$  is a flat left  $S$ -module.*
  - (i) *If  $M$  is a self-CS-Rickart right  $R$ -module, then  $S$  is a self-CS-Rickart right  $S$ -module.*
  - (ii) *If  $M$  is a faithfully projective right  $R$ -module and  $S$  is a self-CS-Rickart right  $S$ -module, then  $M$  is a self-CS-Rickart right  $R$ -module.*
- (2) *Assume that  $M$  is a projective right  $R$ -module.*
  - (i) *If  $S$  is a dual self-CS-Rickart right  $S$ -module, then  $M$  is a dual self-CS-Rickart right  $R$ -module.*
  - (ii) *If  $M$  is a faithfully flat left  $S$ -module and  $M$  is a dual self-CS-Rickart right  $R$ -module, then  $S$  is a dual self-CS-Rickart right  $S$ -module.*
- (3) *Assume that  $M$  is an injective left  $S$ -module.*

- (i) If  $M$  is a dual self-CS-Rickart right  $R$ -module, then  $S$  is a self-CS-Rickart left  $S$ -module.
- (ii) If  $M$  is a faithfully injective right  $R$ -module and  $S$  is a self-CS-Rickart left  $S$ -module, then  $M$  is a dual self-CS-Rickart right  $R$ -module.

*Proof.* Consider the adjoint pair of covariant functors  $(T, H)$ , where

$$T = - \otimes_S M : \text{Mod}(S) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(R),$$

$$H = \text{Hom}_R(M, -) : \text{Mod}(R) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(S),$$

and the right adjoint pair of contravariant functors  $(H_1, H_2)$ , where

$$H_1 = \text{Hom}_R(-, M) : \text{Mod}(R) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(S^{\text{op}}),$$

$$H_2 = \text{Hom}_S(-, M) : \text{Mod}(S^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(R).$$

(1) We have  $TH(M) \cong M$ , whence  $M \in \text{Stat}(H)$ . Since  $M$  is a flat left  $S$ -module,  $T$  is exact. If  $M$  is a faithfully projective right  $R$ -module, then  $H$  reflects zero objects [16, Theorem 2.2]. Finally, use Theorem 3.1 (1).

(2) We have  $HT(S) \cong S$ , whence  $S \in \text{Adst}(H)$ . Since  $M$  is a projective right  $R$ -module,  $H$  is exact. If  $M$  is a faithfully flat left  $S$ -module, then  $T$  reflects zero objects [16, Theorem 2.1]. Finally, use Theorem 3.1 (2).

(3) We have  $H_2H_1(M) \cong M$ , whence  $M \in \text{Refl}(H_1)$ . Since  $M$  is an injective left  $S$ -module,  $H_2$  is exact. If  $M$  is a faithfully injective right  $R$ -module, then  $H_1$  reflects zero objects [16, Theorem 3.1]. Finally, use Theorem 3.2 (2).  $\square$

Following [22], for a  $G$ -graded ring  $R$  and two graded right  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ , we may consider the graded abelian group  $\text{HOM}_R(M, N)$ , whose  $\sigma$ -th homogeneous component is

$$\text{HOM}_R(M, N)_\sigma = \{f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \mid f(M_\lambda) \subseteq N_{\lambda\sigma} \text{ for all } \lambda \in G\}.$$

Then  $S = \text{END}_R(M) = \text{HOM}_R(M, M)$  is a  $G$ -graded ring (where the multiplication is the map composition) and  $M$  is a graded  $(S, R)$ -bimodule, that is,  $S_\tau \cdot M_\sigma \cdot R_\lambda \subseteq M_{\tau\sigma\lambda}$  for every  $\tau, \sigma, \lambda \in G$ . For a graded right  $S$ -module  $N$ , the right  $R$ -module  $N \otimes_S M$  may be graded by

$$(N \otimes_S M)_\tau = \left\{ \sum_{\sigma\lambda=\tau} n_\sigma \otimes m_\lambda \mid n_\sigma \in N_\sigma, m_\lambda \in M_\lambda \right\}.$$

Following the terminology from modules, one may naturally define the concepts of *faithfully flat*, *faithfully projective* and *faithfully injective* graded modules.

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $M$  be a graded right  $R$ -module and  $S = \text{END}_R(M)$ .*

- (1) *Assume that  $M$  is a flat graded left  $S$ -module.*
  - (i) *If  $M$  is a self-CS-Rickart graded right  $R$ -module, then  $S$  is a self-CS-Rickart graded right  $S$ -module.*
  - (ii) *If  $M$  is a faithfully projective graded right  $R$ -module and  $S$  is a self-CS-Rickart graded right  $S$ -module, then  $M$  is a self-CS-Rickart graded right  $R$ -module.*
- (2) *Assume that  $M$  is a projective graded right  $R$ -module.*
  - (i) *If  $S$  is a dual self-CS-Rickart graded right  $S$ -module, then  $M$  is a dual self-CS-Rickart graded right  $R$ -module.*
  - (ii) *If  $M$  is a faithfully flat graded left  $S$ -module and  $M$  is a dual self-CS-Rickart graded right  $R$ -module, then  $S$  is a dual self-CS-Rickart graded right  $S$ -module.*
- (3) *Assume that  $M$  is an injective graded left  $S$ -module.*
  - (i) *If  $M$  is a dual self-CS-Rickart graded right  $R$ -module, then  $S$  is a self-CS-Rickart graded left  $S$ -module.*
  - (ii) *If  $M$  is a faithfully injective graded right  $R$ -module and  $S$  is a self-CS-Rickart graded left  $S$ -module, then  $M$  is a dual self-CS-Rickart graded right  $R$ -module.*

*Proof.* Consider the adjoint pair of covariant functors  $(T, H)$ , where

$$T = - \otimes_S M : \text{gr}(S) \rightarrow \text{gr}(R),$$

$$H = \text{HOM}_R(M, -) : \text{gr}(R) \rightarrow \text{gr}(S),$$

and the right adjoint pair of contravariant functors  $(H_1, H_2)$ , where

$$H_1 = \text{HOM}_R(-, M) : \text{gr}(R) \rightarrow \text{gr}(S^{\text{op}}),$$

$$H_2 = \text{HOM}_S(-, M) : \text{gr}(S^{\text{op}}) \rightarrow \text{gr}(R).$$

(1) We have  $TH(M) \cong M$ , whence  $M \in \text{Stat}(H)$ . Since  $M$  is a flat graded left  $S$ -module,  $T$  is exact. If  $M$  is a faithfully projective graded right  $R$ -module, then  $H$  reflects zero objects [16, Theorem 1.1]. Finally, use Theorem 3.1 (1).

(2) We have  $HT(S) \cong S$ , whence  $S \in \text{Adst}(H)$ . Since  $M$  is a projective graded right  $R$ -module,  $H$  is exact. If  $M$  is a faithfully flat graded left  $S$ -module, then  $T$  reflects zero objects [16, Theorem 1.1]. Finally, use Theorem 3.1 (2).

(3) We have  $H_2H_1(M) \cong M$ , whence  $M \in \text{Refl}(H_1)$ . Since  $M$  is an injective graded left  $S$ -module,  $H_2$  is exact. If  $M$  is a faithfully injective graded right  $R$ -module, then  $H_1$  reflects zero objects [16, Theorem 3.1]. Finally, use Theorem 3.2 (2).  $\square$

Let  $C$  be a coalgebra over a field. A left  $C$ -comodule  $Q$  is called *quasi-finite* if  $\text{Hom}_C(M, Q)$  is finite dimensional for every finite dimensional left  $C$ -comodule  $M$  [27, Definition 1.1]. For a  $C$ - $D$ -bicomodule  $Q$ , consider the *cotensor functor*  $-\square_C Q : \mathcal{M}^C \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^D$  (see [11, p. 87]). If  $Q$  is quasi-finite as a right  $D$ -comodule, then the cotensor functor has a left adjoint denoted by  $h_D(Q, -) : \mathcal{M}^D \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^C$  [27, Proposition 1.10], and called the *cohom functor*.

**Corollary 5.6.** *Let  $D$  be a coalgebra over a field, let  $Q$  be a quasi-finite injective right  $D$ -comodule, and let  $C = h_D(Q, Q)$ . Then:*

- (i) *If  $Q$  is a dual self-CS-Rickart right  $D$ -comodule, then  $C$  is a dual self-CS-Rickart right  $C$ -comodule.*
- (ii) *If  $h_D(Q, -)$  reflects zero objects and  $C$  is a dual self-CS-Rickart right  $C$ -comodule, then  $Q$  is a dual self-CS-Rickart right  $D$ -comodule.*

*Proof.* Consider the adjoint pair of covariant functors  $(L, R)$ , where

$$L = h_D(Q, -) : \mathcal{M}^D \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^C,$$

$$R = -\square_C Q : \mathcal{M}^C \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^D.$$

We have  $RL(Q) \cong Q$ , whence  $Q \in \text{Adst}(R)$ . Since  $Q$  is an injective right  $D$ -comodule,  $R$  is exact [11, Theorem 2.4.17]. Finally, use Theorem 3.1 (2).  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A.N. Abyzov and T.H.N. Nhan, *CS-Rickart modules*, Lobachevskii J. Math. **35** (2014), 317–326.
- [2] A.N. Abyzov, T.H.N. Nhan and T.C. Quynh, *Modules close to SSP- and SIP-modules*, Lobachevskii J. Math. **38** (2017), 16–23.
- [3] F. Castaño Iglesias, *On a natural duality between Grothendieck categories*, Comm. Algebra **36** (2008), 2079–2091.
- [4] F. Castaño Iglesias, J. Gómez-Torrecillas and R. Wisbauer, *Adjoint functors and equivalences of subcategories*, Bull. Sci. Math. **127** (2003), 379–395.
- [5] J. Clark, C. Lomp, N. Vanaja and R. Wisbauer, *Lifting modules*, Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, 2006.
- [6] S. Crivei, D. Keskin Tütüncü and R. Tribak, *Transfer of splitness with respect to a fully invariant short exact sequence in abelian categories*, Comm. Algebra **48** (2020), 2639–2654.
- [7] S. Crivei, D. Keskin Tütüncü and R. Tribak, *Baer-Kaplansky classes in categories: transfer via functors*, Comm. Algebra **48** (2020), 3157–3169.
- [8] S. Crivei and A. Kör, *Rickart and dual Rickart objects in abelian categories*, Appl. Categor. Struct. **24** (2016), 797–824.
- [9] S. Crivei and G. Olteanu, *Rickart and dual Rickart objects in abelian categories: Transfer via functors*, Appl. Categor. Struct. **26** (2018), 681–698.

- [10] S. Crivei and S.M. Radu, *CS-Rickart and dual CS-Rickart objects in abelian categories*, preprint, 2020. arXiv:2007.11059
- [11] S. Dăscălescu, C. Năstăsescu and Ş. Raianu, *Hopf Algebras. An Introduction*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2001.
- [12] S. Dăscălescu, C. Năstăsescu, A. Tudorache and L. Dăuş, *Relative regular objects in categories*, Appl. Categor. Struct. **14** (2006), 567–577.
- [13] N.V. Dung, D.V. Huynh, P.F. Smith and R. Wisbauer, *Extending modules*, Pitman Research Notes, **313**, Longman Scientific and Technical, 1994.
- [14] R. Dyckhoff and W. Tholen, *Exponentiable morphisms, partial products and pullback complements*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **49** (1987), 103–116.
- [15] K.R. Goodearl, *Ring theory: nonsingular rings and modules*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1976.
- [16] T. Ishikawa, *Faithfully exact functors and their applications to projective modules and injective modules*, Nagoya Math. J. **24** (1964), 29–42.
- [17] D. Keskin Tütüncü and R. Tribak, *On dual Baer modules*, Glasgow Math. J. **52** (2010), 261–269.
- [18] T.Y. Lam, *Lectures on modules and rings*, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [19] G. Lee, S.T. Rizvi and C. Roman, *Rickart modules*, Comm. Algebra **38** (2010), 4005–4027.
- [20] G. Lee, S.T. Rizvi and C. Roman, *Dual Rickart modules*, Comm. Algebra **39** (2011), 4036–4058.
- [21] B. Mitchell, *Theory of categories*, Academic Press, New York, 1965.
- [22] C. Năstăsescu and F. Van Oystaeyen, *Methods of graded rings*, Lect. Notes Math. **1836**, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [23] C. Psaroudakis, *Homological theory of recollements of abelian categories*, J. Algebra **398** (2014), 63–110.
- [24] S.T. Rizvi and C. Roman, *Baer and quasi-Baer modules*, Comm. Algebra **32** (2004), 103–123.
- [25] S.T. Rizvi and C. Roman, *On direct sums of Baer modules*, J. Algebra **321** (2009), 682–696.
- [26] B. Stenström, *Rings of quotients*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1975.
- [27] M. Takeuchi, *Morita theorems for categories of comodules*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **24** (1977), 629–644.
- [28] R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of module and ring theory*, Gordon and Breach, Reading, 1991.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, BABEŞ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY, STR. M. KOGĂLNICEANU  
1, 400084 CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA  
Email address: crivei@math.ubbcluj.ro

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, BABEŞ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY, STR. M. KOGĂLNICEANU  
1, 400084 CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA  
Email address: simonamariar@math.ubbcluj.ro