

EQUIVALENCE OF MILNOR AND MILNOR-LÊ FIBRATIONS FOR REAL ANALYTIC MAPS

JOSÉ LUIS CISNEROS-MOLINA AND AURÉLIO MENEGON

ABSTRACT. In [22] Milnor proved that a real analytic map $f: (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$, where $n \geq p$, with an isolated critical point at the origin has a fibration on the tube $f|: \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \cap f^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_\delta^{p-1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_\delta^{p-1}$. Constructing a vector field such that, (1) it is transverse to the spheres, and (2) it is transverse to the tubes, he “inflates” the tube to the sphere, to get a fibration $\varphi: \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1} \setminus f^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$, but the projection is not necessarily given by $f/\|f\|$ as in the complex case.

In the case f has isolated critical value, in [9] it was proved that if the fibres inside a small tube are transverse to the sphere \mathbb{S}_ε , then it has a fibration on the tube. Also in [9], the concept of d -regularity was defined, it turns out that f is d -regular if and only if the map $f/\|f\|: \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1} \setminus f^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$ is a fibre bundle equivalent to the one on the tube.

In this article, we prove the corresponding facts in a more general setting: if a locally surjective map f has a linear discriminant Δ and a fibration on the tube $f|: \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \cap f^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_\delta^{p-1} \setminus \Delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_\delta^{p-1} \setminus \Delta$, then f is d -regular if and only if the map $f/\|f\|: \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1} \setminus f^{-1}(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ (with \mathcal{A} the radial projection of Δ on \mathbb{S}^{p-1}) is a fibre bundle equivalent to the one on the tube. We do this by constructing a vector field \tilde{w} which inflates the tube to the sphere in a controlled way, it satisfies properties analogous to the vector field constructed by Milnor in the complex setting: besides satisfying (1) and (2) above, it also satisfies that $f/\|f\|$ is constant on the integral curves of \tilde{w} .

This is a corrected version of the article published in *Internat. J. Math.*, 30(14):1950078, 1-25, 2019, where in the proof of Theorem 3.7 (Theorem 3.8 here) two inequalities were used that do not hold in general. Such inequalities are not essential for the proof and Theorem 3.7 can be proved without them.

Man approaches the unattainable truth through a succession of errors.
Aldous Huxley

PREFACE

This is a corrected version of the article [7] published in *Internat. J. Math.*, 30(14):1950078, 1-25, 2019, where in the proof of Theorem 3.7 (Theorem 3.8 here) two inequalities were used that do not hold in general. Such inequalities are not essential for the proof and Theorem 3.7 can be proved without them. Here we give such proof, which just needs the complementary Proposition 3.6. This proposition also simplifies the proof of Lemma 3.7 since it implies that **Case 2.B** with $\mu(x) < 0$ cannot occur, so the lengthy construction for that case given in the original article is not necessary. Hence, all the results in the original article [7] are valid.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32S55, 58K05, 58K15.

Key words and phrases. Milnor Fibration, Milnor-Lê Fibration, d -regularity, equivalence of fibrations, spherefication.

The first author is Regular Associate of the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy. Supported by CONACYT 253506.

We also take the opportunity to add Proposition 2.3, Corollary 2.4 and Corollary 2.5, which give a formula for the differential of the spherification map and some results about it. They are not necessary for the results of the article but can be useful and help to describe in a more precise way the vector fields constructed (see Remark 3.10). An errata has also been sent to the journal.

1. INTRODUCTION

Milnor Fibration Theorem is an important result in singularity theory. It is about the topology of the fibres of holomorphic functions near its critical points. To each singular point of a complex hypersurface it associates a fibre bundle, known as the Milnor Fibration. For an overview of its origin, generalizations and connections with other branches of mathematics we recommend the recent survey article by Seade [30].

Let $f: (\mathbb{C}^n, \underline{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a holomorphic map with a critical point at the origin $\underline{0} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The *Milnor Fibration* [22, Theorem 4.8] is given by

$$\phi := \frac{f}{|f|} : \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon \setminus K \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^1, \quad (1.1)$$

where K is the *link* of f at $\underline{0}$, that is $K = f^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon$ with \mathbb{S}_ε being a sufficiently small sphere around $\underline{0}$.

There is a second fibre bundle called the *Milnor-Lê Fibration*; this is a fibre bundle on a *Milnor tube* $N(\varepsilon, \delta) = \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon \cap f^{-1}(\partial \mathbb{D}_\delta)$ where \mathbb{B}_ε is the ball bounded by \mathbb{S}_ε , $\partial \mathbb{D}_\delta$ is the circle bounding the disc \mathbb{D}_δ of radius δ centred at the origin of \mathbb{C} . When $0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon$ the map f induces a fibration

$$f: N(\varepsilon, \delta) \rightarrow \partial \mathbb{D}_\delta. \quad (1.2)$$

Its existence was proved by Milnor [22, Theorem 11.2] for the case of isolated singularity and by Lê in [16, Theorem (1.1)] for the general case, both using Ehresmann Fibration Theorem for manifolds with boundary.

Fibrations (1.1) and (1.2) are equivalent, this is proved using a smooth vector field on $\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon \setminus f^{-1}(0)$ constructed by Milnor [22, Lemma 5.9] for an arbitrary holomorphic map $f: (\mathbb{C}^n, \underline{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ with the following properties:

- (1) It is transverse to the spheres centred at the origin contained in \mathbb{B}_ε ,
- (2) It is transverse to the Milnor tubes,
- (3) Given an integral curve $p(t)$ of such vector field $\frac{f(p(t))}{\|f(p(t))\|}$ is constant.

With this vector field the Milnor tube can be “inflated” to the sphere, i. e., following the flow of the vector field one gets a diffeomorphism between the Milnor tube and the complement on the sphere of a neighbourhood of the link.

Milnor also proved a Fibration Theorem for real singularities [21, Theorem 2] or [22, Theorem 11.2]. Let $n \geq p$ and consider a real analytic map $f: (\mathbb{R}^n, \underline{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ with an isolated critical point at $\underline{0}$. Let \mathbb{B}_ε^n be a ball of radius $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and, as before, let $0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon$. First, Milnor proved that there is a fibration on the Milnor tube $N(\varepsilon, \delta) = \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \cap f^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_\delta^{p-1})$

$$f: N(\varepsilon, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_\delta^{p-1}, \quad (1.3)$$

where \mathbb{S}_δ^{p-1} is the sphere of radius δ centred at the origin of \mathbb{R}^p . Then he proved that on the sphere $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1} = \partial \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n$ one has a fibre bundle

$$\varphi: \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1} \setminus K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1} \quad (1.4)$$

where $K = f^{-1}(0) \cap \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1}$ is the link. This was done constructing a vector field on $\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that:

- (1) It is transverse to the spheres centred at the origin contained in \mathbb{B}_ε^n ,
- (2) It is transverse to the Milnor tubes,

and “inflating” the Milnor tube to the sphere. Milnor pointed out that his real Fibration Theorem has some weaknesses: the condition that f has an isolated critical point is very restrictive, and since the vector field to “inflate” the tube to the sphere does not satisfy property (3) as in the complex case, the projection map φ is not necessarily given by $\frac{f}{\|f\|}$. In fact, in [22, page 99] Milnor gives an example of a map whose fibration on the sphere does not have projection $\frac{f}{\|f\|}$.

From these remarks two natural questions arose:

- (i) When does the fibration on the sphere have projection given by $\frac{f}{\|f\|}$?
- (ii) Is it possible to relax the condition that f has isolated critical point and still have fibrations on the tube and on the sphere?

Question (i) for f with isolated critical point was studied by several authors [1, 13, 14, 26, 27, 29]. In [2] dos Santos considered the following question

- (iii) If the fibration on the tube and the fibration on the sphere given by $\frac{f}{\|f\|}$ both exist, are they equivalent?

where he answered it positively for $p = 2$ and f quasi-homogeneous.

For question (ii), the natural generalization was to consider real analytic maps $f: (\mathbb{R}^n, \underline{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ with an *isolated critical value*, as in the case of holomorphic functions. There are several works in this direction [3, 9, 10, 19, 24]. To have the fibration (1.3) on the tube in a ball \mathbb{B}_ε^n of radius $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, it is necessary that f has the *transversality property*: there exists a *solid Milnor tube* $\widehat{N}(\varepsilon, \delta) = \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \cap f^{-1}(\mathbb{B}_\delta^p)$, with $0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon$, such that all the fibres in the tube are transverse to \mathbb{S}_ε , to be able to apply Ehresmann Fibration Theorem for manifolds with boundary. Examples of maps with the transversality property are maps with the Thom a_f property [9, Proposition 5.1, Remark 5.7]. Having the fibration on the tube, one can use Milnor’s vector field to inflate the tube to the sphere to get a fibration on the sphere, but again, not necessarily with projection $\frac{f}{\|f\|}$. The reason why the fibration on the sphere of Milnor’s example [22, page 99] does not have projection $\frac{f}{\|f\|}$ is because the map

$$\phi := \frac{f}{\|f\|}: \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1} \setminus K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1} \quad (1.5)$$

is not a submersion, which is a necessary condition to be a smooth fibre bundle. In [9, Definition 2.4] the concept of *d-regularity* was introduced (see Section 2 below). In [9, Proposition 3.2-(4)] it was proved that the map f is *d-regular* if and only if the map (1.5) is a submersion, so *d-regularity* is a necessary condition for (1.5) to be a fibre bundle. On the other hand, [9, Lemma 5.2] states that f is *d-regular* if and only if there exists a vector field on $\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \setminus f^{-1}(0)$ which satisfies properties (1), (2) and (3) above, which implies that “inflating” the tube to the sphere we have that (1.5) is a fibre bundle which is equivalent to the fibre bundle (1.3), so *d-regularity* is a necessary and sufficient condition for (1.5) to be a fibre bundle. Thus, the existence of such vector field answers questions (i) and (iii)

for $f: (\mathbb{R}^n, \underline{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ with isolated critical value, satisfying the transversality property.

However, Brodersen [11] and Hansen [23] pointed out that the argument of the proof of [9, Lemma 5.2] is not complete, and Hansen in [23] gives sufficient conditions for the existence of such vector field. Since then, other authors [5, 25, 28] have also given sufficient conditions.

In this article we prove of the existence of a vector field satisfying properties (1), (2) and (3) if and only if f is d -regular in the more general setting of real analytic maps with linear discriminant considered in [8]. Let $f: (\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ be a real analytic map with linear discriminant Δ , i. e., Δ is a union of line segments (see Section 5 for the precise definition). In order to simplify notation, suppose that f is locally surjective (see Remark 1.1 below).

Suppose that f satisfies the transversality property, then there exists $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small such that the restriction of f to the tube

$$f|: \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \cap f^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_\delta^{p-1} \setminus \mathcal{A}_\delta) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}_\delta^{p-1} \setminus \mathcal{A}_\delta) \quad (1.6)$$

is a fibre bundle where $\mathcal{A}_\delta := \Delta \cap \mathbb{S}_\delta^{p-1}$ (see [8, Theorem 2.7]).

In [8, Theorem 3.9] it is also proved that if f is d -regular then one has a fibre bundle

$$f/\|f\|: (\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1} \setminus f^{-1}(\Delta)) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^{p-1} \setminus \mathcal{A}) \quad (1.7)$$

where \mathcal{A} is the radial projection of \mathcal{A}_δ on \mathbb{S}^{p-1} .

The aim of the present article is to give a construction of a vector field with properties (1), (2) and (3) which works in any open set of \mathbb{R}^n where the maps f and $f/\|f\|$ are submersions. Applying this construction to maps f with isolated critical value we get a proof of [9, Lemma 5.2] which follows the idea of the original (incomplete) proof. Also this construction of the vector field allows us to prove the equivalence of fibrations (1.6) and (1.7) for real analytic maps with arbitrary linear discriminant.

Remark 1.1. Throughout this paper, we will assume that f is *locally surjective*, that is, the image of f contains an open neighbourhood of the origin in \mathbb{R}^p , and we shall not mention it all the time. Nevertheless, it is easy to see that in the general case the same results hold if one intersects the bases of the locally trivial fibrations with their image. This choice is to avoid a heavy notation.

2. THE CANONICAL PENCIL, d -REGULARITY AND THE SPHEREFICATION

Let U be an open neighbourhood of $\underline{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $n > p$, and $f: (U, \underline{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ a non-constant analytic map with a critical point at $\underline{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is an isolated critical value. Equip $V = f^{-1}(0)$ with a Whitney stratification and let \mathbb{B}_ε^n be an open ball in \mathbb{R}^n , centred at $\underline{0}$, of sufficiently small radius ε , so that every sphere in this ball, centred at $\underline{0}$, meets transversely every stratum of V , if V is not an isolated point at the origin. Such a ball exists by [22, Corollary 2.9] if f has an isolated critical point at $\underline{0}$, and by the Bertini-Sard theorem in [31] in general.

We define a family of real analytic spaces as follows. For each $\ell \in \mathbb{RP}^{p-1}$, consider the line $\mathcal{L}_\ell \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ passing through the origin corresponding to ℓ and set

$$X_\ell = \{x \in U \mid f(x) \in \mathcal{L}_\ell\}.$$

If we let \mathcal{L}_ℓ^\perp be the hyperplane orthogonal to \mathcal{L}_ℓ , let $\varpi_\ell: \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\ell^\perp$ be the orthogonal projection, and we set $h_\ell = \varpi_\ell \circ f$, then X_ℓ is the vanishing set of h_ℓ , which is

real analytic. Hence $\{X_\ell\}$ is a family of real analytic varieties parameterized by \mathbb{RP}^{p-1} .

Notice that the singular points of X_ℓ are contained in V . Hence each $X_\ell \setminus V$ is either a smooth submanifold of $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension $n - p + 1$ or empty. The union of all the X_ℓ 's is all of U and one has $V = \cap X_\ell = X_{\ell_1} \cap X_{\ell_2}$ for each pair $\ell_1 \neq \ell_2$.

Remark 2.1. We notice that each X_ℓ is naturally the union of three sets: the points $x \in U$ such that $f(x) = 0$, i.e., $x \in V$, and the points $x \in U$ such that $f(x)$ is in one of the two half lines of $\mathcal{L}_\ell \setminus \{0\}$. Write this as:

$$X_\ell = E_\ell^+ \cup V \cup E_\ell^- . \quad (2.1)$$

The family $\{X_\ell \mid \ell \in \mathbb{RP}^{p-1}\}$ is the *canonical pencil* of f . Let ρ be a metric in \mathbb{R}^n induced by some positive definite quadratic form. The map f is said to be *d-regular at $\underline{0}$ (with respect to the metric ρ)* if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that every sphere (for the metric ρ) of radius $\leq \varepsilon$ centred at $\underline{0}$ meets every $X_\ell \setminus V$ transversely whenever the intersection is not empty. If the metric is fixed we just say that f is *d-regular*.

In this article we consider ρ as the Euclidean metric in \mathbb{R}^n and we only consider *d-regular* maps with respect to this metric.

2.1. The spherefication. Consider the maps $\Phi: U \setminus V \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$ and $\mathfrak{F}: U \setminus V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{0\}$ defined by

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{f(x)}{\|f(x)\|} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{F}(x) = \|x\|\Phi(x). \quad (2.2)$$

For each $\ell \in \mathbb{RP}^{p-1}$ one has that the line \mathcal{L}_ℓ in \mathbb{R}^p meets the sphere \mathbb{S}^{p-1} in two antipodal points, say $\pm y_\ell$, and one has:

$$\Phi^{-1}(y_\ell) = E_\ell^+ \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi^{-1}(-y_\ell) = E_\ell^- ,$$

where E_ℓ^+ (resp. E_ℓ^-) is the inverse image under f of one of the two half lines in $\mathcal{L}_\ell \setminus \{0\}$.

Notice that given $y \in \mathcal{L}_\ell \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{0\}$, the fibre $\mathfrak{F}^{-1}(y)$ is the intersection of E_ℓ^\pm with the sphere of radius $\|y\|$ centred at $\underline{0}$. Hence, \mathfrak{F} carries spheres in \mathbb{R}^n of radius $r > 0$ into spheres in \mathbb{R}^p of the same radius, and each X_ℓ is also a union of fibres of \mathfrak{F} , just as it is a union of fibres of f . The analytic map \mathfrak{F} is called the *spherefication* of f .

As we mentioned in the Introduction, a map f is *d-regular* if and only if the map $\frac{f}{\|f\|}$ is a submersion. This is given by the following proposition together with other characterizations of *d-regularity* in terms of the spherefication.

Proposition 2.2 ([9, Proposition 3.2]). *Let $f: (\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n, \underline{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ be a real analytic map with isolated critical value at the origin. Set $V = f^{-1}(0)$ and $K_{\varepsilon'} = V \cap \mathbb{S}_{\varepsilon'}^{n-1}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (A) *The map f is d-regular at 0.*
- (B) *For each sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\varepsilon'}^{n-1}$ in \mathbb{R}^n centred at $\underline{0}$ of radius $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$, the restriction map $\mathfrak{F}_{\varepsilon'}: \mathbb{S}_{\varepsilon'}^{n-1} \setminus V \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\varepsilon'}^{p-1}$ of \mathfrak{F} is a submersion.*
- (C) *The spherefication map \mathfrak{F} is a submersion at each $x \in \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \setminus V$.*
- (D) *The map $\phi = \frac{f}{\|f\|}: \mathbb{S}_{\varepsilon'}^{n-1} \setminus K_{\varepsilon'} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$ is a submersion for every sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\varepsilon'}^{n-1}$ with $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$.*

The following proposition relates the differential of the spherefication map \mathfrak{F} and the differential of f .

Proposition 2.3. *Let $x \in U \setminus V$ and $v \in T_x(U \setminus V)$. Then*

$$D\mathfrak{F}_x(v) = \frac{\langle x, v \rangle}{\|x\|^2} \mathfrak{F}(x) - \frac{f(x)}{\|f(x)\|^2} \langle \mathfrak{F}(x), Df_x(v) \rangle + \frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|} Df_x(v).$$

Proof. Set

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \setminus V, & v &= (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in T_x(\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \setminus V), \\ w &= (w_1, \dots, w_p) = D\mathfrak{F}_x(v), & u &= (u_1, \dots, u_p) = Df_x(v). \end{aligned}$$

Using the quotient rule for the derivative we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|} \right) = \frac{x_j}{\|x\|\|f(x)\|} - \frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|^3} \left(\sum_{l=1}^p f_l(x) \frac{\partial f_l(x)}{\partial x_j} \right). \quad (2.3)$$

Write $f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_p(x))$; by the definition of the spherefication map (2.2) we have that

$$\mathfrak{F}(x) = (\mathfrak{F}_1(x), \dots, \mathfrak{F}_p(x)) = \left(\frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|} f_1(x), \dots, \frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|} f_p(x) \right).$$

Using (2.3) and the product rule we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \mathfrak{F}_i(x)}{\partial x_j} = \frac{x_j f_i(x)}{\|x\|\|f(x)\|} - \frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|^3} \left(\sum_{l=1}^p f_l(x) \frac{\partial f_l(x)}{\partial x_j} \right) f_i(x) + \frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|} \frac{\partial f_i(x)}{\partial x_j}.$$

Recall that

$$u_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i(x)}{\partial x_j} v_j. \quad (2.4)$$

Then using (2.4) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} w_i &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \mathfrak{F}_i(x)}{\partial x_j} v_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{f_i(x) x_j v_j}{\|x\|\|f(x)\|} - \frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|^3} \left(\sum_{l=1}^p f_l(x) \frac{\partial f_l(x)}{\partial x_j} \right) f_i(x) v_j + \frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|} \frac{\partial f_i(x)}{\partial x_j} v_j \right] \\ &= \frac{f_i(x)}{\|x\|\|f(x)\|} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j v_j - \frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|^3} f_i(x) \sum_{l=1}^p f_l(x) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f_l(x)}{\partial x_j} v_j + \frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|} u_i \\ &= \frac{\langle x, v \rangle}{\|x\|\|f(x)\|} f_i(x) - \frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|^3} f_i(x) \sum_{l=1}^p f_l(x) u_l + \frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|} u_i \\ &= \frac{\langle x, v \rangle}{\|x\|\|f(x)\|} f_i(x) - \frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|^3} f_i(x) \langle f(x), Df_x(v) \rangle + \frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|} u_i \\ &= \frac{\langle x, v \rangle}{\|x\|\|f(x)\|} f_i(x) - \frac{f_i(x)}{\|f(x)\|^2} \langle \mathfrak{F}(x), Df_x(v) \rangle + \frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|} u_i. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Hence the formula of the proposition follows. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let $x \in U \setminus V$ and $v \in T_x(U \setminus V)$. Then*

$$\|D\mathfrak{F}_x(v)\|^2 = \frac{\langle x, v \rangle^2}{\|x\|^2} + \frac{\|x\|^2 \|Df_x(v)\|^2 - \langle \mathfrak{F}(x), Df_x(v) \rangle^2}{\|f(x)\|^2}.$$

Proof. From (2.5) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} w_i^2 &= \frac{\langle x, v \rangle^2}{\|x\|^2 \|f(x)\|^2} f_i(x)^2 + \frac{f_i(x)^2}{\|f(x)\|^4} \langle \mathfrak{F}(x), Df_x(v) \rangle^2 + \frac{\|x\|^2}{\|f(x)\|^2} u_i^2 \\ &\quad - 2 \frac{\langle x, v \rangle}{\|x\| \|f(x)\|^3} \langle \mathfrak{F}(x), Df_x(v) \rangle f_i(x)^2 + 2 \frac{\langle x, v \rangle}{\|f(x)\|^2} f_i(x) u_i \\ &\quad - 2 \frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|^3} \langle \mathfrak{F}(x), Df_x(v) \rangle f_i(x) u_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the corollary follows. \square

Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and let $\mathbb{S}_{\|y\|}^{p-1}$ be the sphere in \mathbb{R}^p with centre at the origin which contains y . Let ℓ_y be the line through the origin in \mathbb{R}^p generated by y . Hence, the tangent space $T_y \mathbb{R}^p$ decomposes as the direct sum of the orthogonal subspaces

$$T_y \mathbb{R}^p = T_y \mathbb{S}_{\|y\|}^{p-1} \oplus \ell_y.$$

Thus, any vector $u \in T_y \mathbb{R}^p$ can be written in a unique way as $u = s + r$, with $s \in T_y \mathbb{R}^p$ and $r \in \ell_y$. We call s and r respectively, the *spherical* and *radial parts* of u .

Proposition 2.5. *Let $x \in U \setminus V$, $v \in T_x U$ and consider $D\mathfrak{F}_x(v)$. Then*

- I) $\frac{\langle x, v \rangle}{\|x\|^2} \mathfrak{F}(x)$ is the radial part of $D\mathfrak{F}_x(v)$.
- II) $-\frac{f(x)}{\|f(x)\|^2} \langle \mathfrak{F}(x), Df_x(v) \rangle + \frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|} Df_x(v)$ is the spherical part of $D\mathfrak{F}_x(v)$.

Proof. Part I) is obvious since it is a multiple of $\mathfrak{F}(x)$. For part II) it is enough to see that its inner product with $f(x)$ vanishes.

$$\begin{aligned} -\langle f(x), \frac{f(x)}{\|f(x)\|^2} \langle \mathfrak{F}(x), Df_x(v) \rangle \rangle + \langle f(x), \frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|} Df_x(v) \rangle &= \\ -\langle \mathfrak{F}(x), Df_x(v) \rangle + \langle \frac{\|x\|}{\|f(x)\|} f(x), Df_x(v) \rangle &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proposition 2.5 gives a criterium for a vector $v \in T_x \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n$ to be tangent to the corresponding E_ℓ^\pm

Corollary 2.6. *Let $x \in U \setminus V$ and $v \in T_x U$. Then $v \in T_x E_{\ell_{\mathfrak{F}(x)}}^\pm$ if and only if*

$$Df_x(v) = \frac{f(x)}{\|f(x)\|^2} \langle f(x), Df_x(v) \rangle.$$

Proof. We have that $v \in T_x E_{\ell_{\mathfrak{F}(x)}}^\pm$ if and only if the spherical part of $D_x \mathfrak{F}(v)$ is zero. Then the formula follows from Proposition 2.5-II. \square

3. CONSTRUCTION OF A VECTOR FIELD SATISFYING (1), (2) AND (3)

In this section we construct a vector field satisfying (1), (2) and (3). First in Subsection 3.1 we prove some auxiliary lemmas, in particular, a lemma about liftings to \mathbb{R}^n of the gradient vector field of a function $g: \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ via a submersion $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$. Then, in Subsection 3.2 we apply the lemmas to a real analytic d -regular map $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ with isolated critical point, to construct the aforementioned vector field.

3.1. Lifting of gradients. Consider open subsets $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $U' \subset \mathbb{R}^p$. Let $f: U \rightarrow U'$ and $g: U' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be submersions. Consider the function $h = g \circ f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is a submersion, being the composition of two submersions. Let ∇g and ∇h be the gradients of g and h respectively, which are non zero everywhere. Let $x \in U$ and let $t = h(x) = g(f(x))$, we have that t is a regular value of g and h , so $N = g^{-1}(t)$ and $M = f^{-1}(N) = h^{-1}(t)$ are submanifolds of codimension 1 of U' and U respectively. Since f is a submersion, it is transverse to N and therefore we also have $M = f^{-1}(N) = h^{-1}(t)$ and

$$T_x M = Df_x^{-1}(T_{f(x)} N). \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $x \in U$. Then there exists a unique vector $v(x) \in T_x M$ orthogonal to $\ker Df_x$ such that*

$$Df_x(v(x) + \alpha(x)\nabla h(x)) = \nabla g(f(x)). \quad (3.2)$$

for some positive function $\alpha: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$.

Proof. The gradient $\nabla g(f(x))$ is normal to $T_{f(x)} N$. Denote by G the line in $T_{f(x)} U'$ generated by $\nabla g(f(x))$. Analogously the gradient $\nabla h(x)$ is normal to $T_x M$. Denote by H the line in $T_x U$ generated by $\nabla h(x)$. Hence, we have the following isomorphisms

$$T_x U \simeq T_x M \oplus H$$

and

$$T_{f(x)} U' \simeq T_{f(x)} N \oplus G.$$

On the other hand, we have that $\ker Df_x = T_x f^{-1}(f(x)) \subset T_x M$, so there is an isomorphism:

$$T_x U \cong T_x f^{-1}(f(x)) \oplus \tilde{M} \oplus H$$

where \tilde{M} denotes the orthogonal complement of $T_x f^{-1}(f(x))$ in $T_x M$. Hence Df_x induces an isomorphism:

$$Df_x|_{\tilde{M} \oplus H}: \tilde{M} \oplus H \rightarrow T_{f(x)} N \oplus G. \quad (3.3)$$

By (3.1) $Df_x|_{\tilde{M}}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow T_{f(x)} N$ is an isomorphism, therefore $Df_x(\nabla h(x))$ has the form

$$Df_x(\nabla h(x)) = u(x) + \lambda(x)\nabla g(f(x)), \quad (3.4)$$

with $u(x) \in T_{f(x)} N$ and for some $\lambda(x) \neq 0$ (otherwise (3.3) would not be an isomorphism). Set $\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda(x)}$, by the isomorphism (3.3) there exist a unique vector $v(x)$ in \tilde{M} such that $Df_x(v(x)) = -\alpha(x)u(x)$, then

$$Df_x(\alpha(x)\nabla h(x) + v(x)) = \frac{1}{\lambda(x)}u(x) + \nabla g(f(x)) - \frac{1}{\lambda(x)}u(x) = \nabla g(f(x)).$$

It only remains to check that $\alpha(x) > 0$ for every $x \in U$. By the chain rule we have that

$$\nabla h(x) = \nabla g(f(x)) \cdot Df_x. \quad (3.5)$$

Taking the inner product with $\nabla g(f(x))$ in (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla g(f(x)) \cdot Df_x(v(x) + \alpha(x)\nabla h(x)) &= \nabla g(f(x)) \cdot \nabla g(f(x)) \\ \nabla g(f(x)) \cdot (-\alpha(x)u(x)) + \alpha(x)\nabla g(f(x)) \cdot Df_x(\nabla h(x)) &= \nabla g(f(x)) \cdot \nabla g(f(x)) \\ \alpha(x)\nabla h(x) \cdot \nabla h(x) &= \|\nabla g(f(x))\|^2, \quad \text{by (3.5),} \\ \alpha(x)\|\nabla h(x)\|^2 &= \|\nabla g(f(x))\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\alpha(x) = \frac{\|\nabla g(f(x))\|^2}{\|\nabla h(x)\|^2} > 0. \quad (3.6)$$

□

Corollary 3.2. *Let $f: U \rightarrow U'$, $g: U' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h = g \circ f$ be as in Lemma 3.1. Let $x \in U$ and let L_x be a subspace of $T_x M$ of codimension 1 in $T_x M$, which is transverse to $T_x f^{-1}(f(x))$ in $T_x M$. Then there exists a unique vector $\bar{v}(x) \in L_x$ orthogonal to $L_x \cap T_x f^{-1}(f(x))$ such that*

$$Df_x(\bar{v}(x) + \alpha(x)\nabla h(x)) = \nabla g(f(x)). \quad (3.7)$$

for some positive function $\alpha: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$.

Proof. We have the isomorphism

$$T_x M \cong T_x f^{-1}(f(x)) \oplus \tilde{M} \quad (3.8)$$

where \tilde{M} denotes the orthogonal complement of $T_x f^{-1}(f(x))$ in $T_x M$. Consider the projection

$$\pi: T_x f^{-1}(f(x)) \oplus \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}, \quad (3.9)$$

and its restriction to L_x

$$\pi|_{L_x}: L_x \rightarrow \tilde{M}. \quad (3.10)$$

We claim that (3.10) is onto. Recall that $\dim T_x M = n-1$, and $\dim L_x = n-2$, since L_x is a codimension 1 subspace of $T_x M$. The kernel of (3.10) is $L_x \cap T_x f^{-1}(f(x))$, which has dimension $n-p-1$, since $\dim T_x f^{-1}(f(x)) = n-p$ and by hypothesis L_x and $T_x f^{-1}(f(x))$ intersect transversely in $T_x M$. Thus, by the rank-nullity theorem, the rank of (3.10) is $n-2-(n-p-1) = p-1$, and since $\dim \tilde{M} = p-1$ (3.10) is onto as claimed. Since $\ker \pi|_{L_x} = L_x \cap T_x f^{-1}(f(x))$ the orthogonal complement of $L_x \cap T_x f^{-1}(f(x))$ in L_x is sent isomorphically onto \tilde{M} by $\pi|_{L_x}$.

Let $v(x) \in \tilde{M}$ be the vector given by Lemma 3.1, let $\bar{v}(x) \in L_x$ the unique vector orthogonal to $L_x \cap T_x f^{-1}(f(x))$ such that $\pi(\bar{v}(x)) = v(x)$. By isomorphism (3.8) the vector $\bar{v}(x)$ has the form

$$\bar{v}(x) = k(x) + v(x), \quad \text{with } k(x) \in T_x f^{-1}(f(x)). \quad (3.11)$$

Therefore

$$Df_x(\bar{v}(x) + \alpha(x)\nabla h(x)) = Df_x(v(x) + \alpha(x)\nabla h(x)) = \nabla g(f(x)).$$

□

Lemma 3.3. *Let $r, s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that they do not point in opposite directions. Let $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be orthogonal vectors to both r and s . Then the vectors $v_1 + \alpha r$ and $v_2 + \beta s$, with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$, cannot point in opposite directions.*

Proof. Suppose $v_1 + \alpha r$ and $v_2 + \beta s$, with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$ point in opposite directions, then there exists $0 < \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$v_1 + \alpha r = -\lambda(v_2 + \beta s).$$

By hypothesis, the subspace generated by r and s is orthogonal to the subspace generated by v_1 and v_2 , so there is no contribution by r and s on the subspace generated by v_1 and v_2 and we need to have $v_1 = -\lambda v_2$, which implies that $\alpha r = -\lambda \beta s$, which contradicts the fact that r and s do not point in opposite directions.

□

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose $r, s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ point in opposite directions. Let $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be orthogonal to r (and therefore also to s). Then the vectors $r+k$ and s cannot point in opposite directions.*

Proof. Since r and s point in opposite directions there exists $0 < \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r = -\lambda s$. Suppose $r+k$ and s point in opposite directions, then there exists $0 < \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $r+k = -\mu s$, then $-\lambda s + k = -\mu s$, hence $k = (\lambda - \mu)s$. But k and s are orthogonal, so we need to have $\lambda = \mu$ and $k = 0$ which is a contradiction. \square

3.2. Construction of the vector field. Let U be an open neighbourhood of $\underline{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $f: (U, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ be a real analytic map, with isolated critical value at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Also suppose f is d -regular and set $V = f^{-1}(0)$. Let $g: \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $g(y) = \|y\|^2$. We have that the restriction $f: U \setminus V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{0\}$ is a submersion. On the other hand, since f is d -regular, by Proposition 2.2(C) the spherefication $\mathfrak{F}: U \setminus V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{0\}$ of f is also a submersion. Define the maps

$$\begin{aligned} h &= g \circ f: U \setminus V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ \mathfrak{H} &= g \circ \mathfrak{F}: U \setminus V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that by the definitions

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= \|f(x)\|^2, \\ \mathfrak{H}(x) &= \|\mathfrak{F}(x)\|^2 = \left\| \|x\| \frac{f(x)}{\|f(x)\|} \right\|^2 = \|x\|^2 \frac{\|f(x)\|^2}{\|f(x)\|^2} = \|x\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Let \mathbb{B}_ε^n be an open ball in U , centred at $\underline{0}$, of sufficiently small radius ε as in Section 2. Let $x \in \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \setminus V$ and let $\delta^2 = h(x)$, then $h^{-1}(\delta^2) = \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \cap f^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_\delta^{k-1} \setminus \{0\})$, that is, the fibres of h are the tubes. On the other hand, let $\nu^2 = \mathfrak{H}(x)$, then $\mathfrak{H}^{-1}(\nu^2) = \mathbb{S}_\nu^{n-1} \setminus V$, that is, the fibres of \mathfrak{H} are the spheres.

Consider the corresponding gradient vector fields $\nabla h(x)$ and $\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x) = 2x$ which are non-zero for any $x \in U \setminus V$. The vector field ∇h is normal to the Milnor tubes, while the vector field $\nabla \mathfrak{H}$ is normal to the spheres. The gradient ∇g is the radial vector field $\nabla g(y) = 2y$ on \mathbb{R}^p .

Proposition 3.5. *There exists $0 < \varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon$ such that for $x \in \mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon'}^n \setminus V$ the vector fields $\nabla h(x)$ and $\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)$ cannot point in exactly opposite directions at any point.*

Proof. Apply [22, Corollary 3.4] to the non-negative analytic functions h and \mathfrak{H} but using the Analytic Curve Selection Lemma (see Proposition 2.2 of [6] or (2.1) of [17]). \square

Let $f: (\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ be a real analytic map, with isolated critical value at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Also assume that $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough such that Proposition 3.5 holds. Let $\{X_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{p-1}}$ be the canonical pencil of f and consider the decomposition $X_\ell = E_\ell^+ \cup V \cup E_\ell^-$ of each of its elements given in (2.1). From now on, to simplify notation we will write E_ℓ instead of E_ℓ^\pm .

Let $x \in \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \setminus V$, let

$$w_f(x) = v_f(x) + \alpha(x)\nabla h(x), \quad \text{and} \quad (3.12)$$

$$w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x) = v_{\mathfrak{F}}(x) + \beta(x)\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x) = v_{\mathfrak{F}}(x) + \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x), \quad (3.13)$$

be, respectively, the normal liftings of the radial vector field ∇g obtained from Lemma 3.1 applied to h and \mathfrak{H} , with $\langle v_f(x), \nabla h(x) \rangle = 0$, $\langle v_{\mathfrak{F}}(x), \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x) \rangle = 0$,

$\alpha(x) > 0$ and $\beta(x) > 0$. We have that $\beta(x) = 1$, by equation (3.6) and the definitions of \mathfrak{F} and \mathfrak{H} (or see equation (3.32) below). Since both are liftings of ∇g , both are tangent to the corresponding E_ℓ ; $w_f(x)$ is normal to the fibres of f and to the Milnor tubes, while $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ is normal to the fibres of \mathfrak{F} and to the spheres.

Let us analyse how are $w_f(x)$ and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ on the set of points where the tangent space of the fibre of f coincides with the tangent space of the fibre of \mathfrak{F} .

Let $x \in \mathring{\mathbb{B}}_\varepsilon^n \setminus V$, denote by ℓ_x the ray from $0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ passing by $f(x)$. Let P_x be the normal space of E_{ℓ_x} at x , the p -dimensional subspace $\langle P_x, \nabla h(x) \rangle$ generated by P_x and $\nabla h(x)$ is the normal space to the fibre $f^{-1}(f(x))$ at x . If the vector $\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x')$ is in $\langle P_x, \nabla h(x) \rangle$, then the tangent spaces to the fibres of f and \mathfrak{F} at x coincide. Let $M(f)$ be the set defined by

$$\begin{aligned} M(f) &= \{ x \in \mathring{\mathbb{B}}_\varepsilon^n \setminus V \mid \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x) \in \langle P_x, \nabla h(x) \rangle \}, \\ &= \{ x \in \mathring{\mathbb{B}}_\varepsilon^n \setminus V \mid T_x f^{-1}(f(x)) = T_x \mathfrak{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{F}(x)) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ be the map defined by $F(x) = (f(x), \|x\|^2)$. We have that $M(f)$ is the set of critical points of F . It is a semi-analytic set with semi-analytic components each of which having 0 in its closure if ε is sufficiently small.¹

Let $x \in M(f)$, the tangent space $\mathbf{T}_x = T_x f^{-1}(f(x)) = T_x \mathfrak{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{F}(x))$ is a subspace of $T_x E_{\ell_x}$ with codimension 1. Since $w_f(x), w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x) \in T_x E_{\ell_x}$ and both of them are orthogonal to \mathbf{T}_x , they are collinear, that is,

$$w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x) = \mu(x)w_f(x), \quad \text{with } \mu(x) \neq 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Hence we have

$$w_f(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(x)}w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x). \quad (3.15)$$

Restricting x to a component of $M(f)$ we have that either $\mu(x) > 0$ or $\mu(x) < 0$ for every x in such component.

By (3.12), (3.14), (3.13) and (3.15) we have that

$$\langle w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x), \nabla h(x) \rangle = \alpha(x)\mu(x)\|\nabla h(x)\|^2 \quad (3.16)$$

$$\langle w_f(x), \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x) \rangle = \frac{1}{\mu(x)}\|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)\|^2. \quad (3.17)$$

Proposition 3.6. *Let $x \in M(f)$ and let $w_f(x)$ and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ be the normal liftings of the radial vector field ∇g obtained by applying Lemma 3.1 to h and \mathfrak{H} respectively. Then $w_f(x)$ and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ cannot point in opposite directions, that is, $\mu(x) > 0$, for ε sufficiently small.*

Proof. Let $x \in M(f)$ and let $\delta^2 = \|f(x)\|^2 = h(x)$. Consider the open solid Milnor tube

$$\mathring{N}(\varepsilon, \delta) := \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \cap f^{-1}(\mathring{\mathbb{B}}_\delta^p).$$

Consider the inverse image of the open interval $(-\delta^2, \delta^2)$ under the map h . We have that

$$h^{-1}((-\delta^2, \delta^2)) = h^{-1}([0, \delta^2)) = \mathring{N}(\varepsilon, \delta)$$

and x is in the closure of $\mathring{N}(\varepsilon, \delta)$. Let $\mathring{\mathbb{B}}_{\|x\|}^n$ be the open ball centered at 0 of radius $\|x\|$ and let W be the open set given by the intersection

$$W = \mathring{N}(\varepsilon, \delta) \cap \mathring{\mathbb{B}}_{\|x\|}^n,$$

¹We learned this description from [11].

which is nonempty since $\underline{0} \in W$. By definition we have that

$$h(W) \subset [0, \delta^2]. \quad (3.18)$$

If $\|x\|$ is sufficiently small we have that x is in the closure of W .

Suppose $w_f(x)$ and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ point in opposite directions, that is, $\mu(x) < 0$ in (3.14), then by (3.17) we have that $\langle w_f(x), \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x) \rangle < 0$.

Since $w_f(x) \in T_x E_{\ell_x}$ there exist a curve $\beta: (-\eta, \eta) \rightarrow E_{\ell_x}$ such that $\beta(0) = x$ and $\beta'(0) = w_f(x)$. By (3.12) and (3.17) we have that

$$\frac{d}{dt} h(\beta(t))|_{t=0} = \langle w_f(x), \nabla h(x) \rangle = \alpha(x) \|\nabla h(x)\|^2 > 0, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathfrak{H}(\beta(t))|_{t=0} = \langle w_f(x), \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x) \rangle = \frac{1}{\mu(x)} \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)\|^2 < 0. \quad (3.20)$$

From (3.19) we have that $h(\beta(t)) = \|f(\beta(t))\|^2$ is an increasing function, thus we have that

$$\|f(\beta(t))\|^2 > \|f(\beta(0))\|^2 = \|f(x)\|^2 = \delta^2, \quad \text{for } t > 0. \quad (3.21)$$

From (3.20) we have that $\mathfrak{H}(\beta(t)) = \|\mathfrak{F}(\beta(t))\|^2 = \|\beta(t)\|^2$ is a decreasing function, thus we have

$$\|\beta(t)\|^2 < \|\beta(0)\|^2 = \|x\|^2, \quad \text{for } t > 0. \quad (3.22)$$

The vector $w_f(x)$ is transverse to the Milnor tube $N(\varepsilon, \delta) = h^{-1}(\delta^2)$ which is the boundary of the open Milnor tube $\mathring{N}(\varepsilon, \delta)$ and by (3.13)

$$\langle w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x), 2x \rangle = \langle w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x), \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x) \rangle = \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)\|^2 > 0,$$

so $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ is transverse to the sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\|x\|}^{n-1}$ pointing outwards. By hypothesis, $w_f(x)$ points in the opposite direction of $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$, hence, $w_f(x)$ is transverse to the sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\|x\|}^{n-1}$ pointing *inwards*. Since x is in the closure of W , we have that

$$\beta(t) \in W, \quad \text{for } t > 0, \quad (3.23)$$

but then (3.21) and (3.18) give a contradiction with the continuity of the map h . Therefore, $w_f(x)$ and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ cannot point in opposite directions. Since x is an arbitrary point in $M(f)$, $w_f(x)$ and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ must point in the same direction in all the components of $M(f)$ in a sufficiently small sphere $\mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon}^n$. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let $g: \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $g(y) = \|y\|^2$. If the map f is d -regular, then for every $x \in \mathring{\mathbb{B}}_{\varepsilon}^n \setminus V$ there is a neighbourhood U_x of x and vector fields w_f and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}$ in U_x , which are differentiable liftings of the radial vector field ∇g by f and its spherefication \mathfrak{F} , respectively, such that:*

- (a) w_f is tangent to each E_{ℓ} , it is transverse to the fibres of f and therefore, it is transverse to the tubes.
- (b) $w_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is tangent to each E_{ℓ} , it is transverse to the fibres of \mathfrak{F} , and therefore to the spheres.
- (c) At every point $x' \in U_x$ the vectors $w_f(x')$ and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x')$ do not point in opposite directions.

Proof. Recall that by Proposition 2.2-(C) the spherefication \mathfrak{F} is also a submersion in $\mathring{\mathbb{B}}_{\varepsilon}^n \setminus V$. Set $h = g \circ f$ and $\mathfrak{H} = g \circ \mathfrak{F}$ as before.

Case 1. Let $x \in \mathring{\mathbb{B}}_\varepsilon^n \setminus V$ be such that the vectors $\nabla h(x)$ and $\nabla \mathfrak{h}(x)$ are colinear. Let $w_f(x)$ and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ be the normal liftings of the radial vector field ∇g obtained by applying Lemma 3.1 to h and \mathfrak{h} respectively. By Proposition 3.5, $\nabla h(x)$ and $\nabla \mathfrak{h}(x)$ point in the same direction and $v_f(x)$ and $v_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ are orthogonal to them. Thus, by Lemma 3.3, $w_f(x)$ and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ cannot point in opposite directions, and the lemma is proved in this case.

Case 2. The vectors $\nabla h(x)$ and $\nabla \mathfrak{h}(x)$ are linearly independent. In this case the tube $N_x = \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \cap f^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_{\|f(x)\|}^{k-1})$ and the sphere $S_x = \mathbb{S}_{\|x\|}^{n-1}$, are transverse at x . Hence, its intersection $N_x \cap S_x$ is a submanifold of codimension 2 of $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose $x \in E_\ell$ and, as before, denote by P_x the normal space of E_ℓ at x , so we have that $\dim P_x = p - 1$. The tube N_x and E_ℓ are transverse at x since f is a submersion, hence $\nabla h(x)$ is not in P_x and the p -dimensional subspace $\langle P_x, \nabla h(x) \rangle$ generated by P_x and $\nabla h(x)$ is the normal space to the fibre $f^{-1}(f(x))$ at x . Since f is d -regular, E_ℓ and S_x are transverse, so $\nabla \mathfrak{h}(x)$ is not in P_x and the p -dimensional subspace $\langle P_x, \nabla \mathfrak{h}(x) \rangle$ generated by P_x and $\nabla \mathfrak{h}(x)$ is the normal space to the fibre $\mathfrak{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{F}(x))$.

We have two subcases.

Subcase A. The vector $\nabla \mathfrak{h}(x)$ is not in $\langle P_x, \nabla h(x) \rangle$. Then $N_x \cap S_x$ is transverse to the fibre $f^{-1}(f(x))$, i.e., $T_x(N_x \cap S_x)$ is transverse to $T_x f^{-1}(f(x))$. By Corollary 3.2 there exists a lifting of $\nabla g(f(x))$ by f ,

$$w_f(x) = \bar{v}_f(x) + \alpha(x) \nabla h(x),$$

with $\bar{v}_f(x) \in T_x(N_x \cap S_x)$. Notice that the hypothesis $\nabla \mathfrak{h} \notin \langle P_x, \nabla h(x) \rangle$ implies $\nabla h(x) \notin \langle P_x, \nabla \mathfrak{h}(x) \rangle$, so $N_x \cap S_x$ is also transverse to the fibre $\mathfrak{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{F}(x))$. Hence $T_x(N_x \cap S_x)$ is transverse to $T_x \mathfrak{F}^{-1}(\mathfrak{F}(x))$. By Corollary 3.2 there exists a lifting of $\nabla g(\mathfrak{F}(x))$ by \mathfrak{F} ,

$$w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x) = \bar{v}_{\mathfrak{F}}(x) + \beta(x) \nabla \mathfrak{h}(x),$$

with $\bar{v}_{\mathfrak{F}}(x) \in T_x(N_x \cap S_x)$. Since $\bar{v}_f(x)$ and $\bar{v}_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ are in $T_x(N_x \cap S_x)$, they are orthogonal to $\nabla h(x)$ and $\nabla \mathfrak{h}(x)$, which do not point in opposite directions. Then Lemma 3.3 implies that $w_f(x)$ and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ do not point in opposite directions, and the lemma is proved in this case.

Subcase B. The vector $\nabla \mathfrak{h}(x')$ is in $\langle P_x, \nabla h(x) \rangle$. Then $x \in M(f)$. Consider the normal liftings $w_f(x)$ and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ of the radial vector field ∇g obtained by applying Lemma 3.1 to h and \mathfrak{h} respectively. We have that $w_f(x)$ and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ are collinear and by Proposition 3.6, if ε is small enough, they point in the same direction. Then there exists a neighbourhood U_x of x such that $w_f(x')$ and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x')$ do not point in opposite directions for any $x' \in U_x$ and we are done. \square

Theorem 3.8 ([9, Lemma 5.2]). *The map f is d -regular, if and only if there exists an analytic vector field \tilde{w} on $\mathring{\mathbb{B}}_\varepsilon \setminus V$ which has the following properties:*

- (1) *It is radial, i.e., it is transverse to all spheres in $\mathring{\mathbb{B}}_\varepsilon$ centred at 0.*
- (2) *It is transverse to all the tubes $f^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_\delta^{k-1})$.*
- (3) *It is tangent to each E_ℓ , whenever it is not empty.*

Proof. It is clear that properties (1) and (3) imply d -regularity. For the proof that d -regularity implies the existence of a vector field as stated, as in [22, Lemma 5.9], it suffices to construct such vector field locally, and then use a partition of unity to get the desired global vector field.

For every $x \in \mathring{\mathbb{B}}_\varepsilon^n \setminus V$ let U_x be the neighbourhood of x and w_f and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}$ the vector fields in U_x given by Lemma 3.7. Remember that in all cases the vectors $w_f(x')$ and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x')$ have the form

$$w_f(x') = \hat{v}_f(x') + \alpha(x') \nabla h(x'), \quad (3.24)$$

$$w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x') = \hat{v}_{\mathfrak{F}}(x') + \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x'), \quad (3.25)$$

with $\langle \hat{v}_f(x'), \nabla h(x') \rangle = 0$, $\langle \hat{v}_{\mathfrak{F}}(x'), \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x') \rangle = 0$, $\alpha(x') > 0$ and $\beta(x') > 0$ for all $x' \in U_x$.

Now on each U_x let \tilde{w} be the vector field given by

$$\tilde{w}(x') = \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x')\| w_f(x') + \alpha(x') \|\nabla h(x')\| w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x'). \quad (3.26)$$

Let us check that it has the desired properties. Since $w_f(x')$ and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x')$ are both tangent to E_ℓ , then $\tilde{w}(x')$ is also tangent to E_ℓ , so it satisfies property (3).

By (3.26) and (3.25) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{w}(x'), \nabla h(x') \rangle &= \alpha(x') \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x')\| \|\nabla h(x')\|^2 + \alpha(x') \|\nabla h(x')\| \langle w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x'), \nabla h(x') \rangle, \\ &= \alpha(x') \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x')\| \|\nabla h(x')\|^2 + \alpha(x') \|\nabla h(x')\| \langle \hat{v}_{\mathfrak{F}}(x') + \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x'), \nabla h(x') \rangle, \\ &= \alpha(x') \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x')\| \|\nabla h(x')\|^2 + \alpha(x') \|\nabla h(x')\| \langle \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x'), \nabla h(x') \rangle, \\ &\quad + \alpha(x') \|\nabla h(x')\| \langle \hat{v}_{\mathfrak{F}}(x'), \nabla h(x') \rangle, \\ &= \alpha(x') \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x')\| \|\nabla h(x')\|^2 + \alpha(x') \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x')\| \|\nabla h(x')\|^2 \cos \vartheta, \\ &\quad + \alpha(x') \|\nabla h(x')\| \langle \hat{v}_{\mathfrak{F}}(x'), \nabla h(x') \rangle, \\ &= \alpha(x') \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x')\| \|\nabla h(x')\|^2 (1 + \cos \vartheta) + \alpha(x') \|\nabla h(x')\| \langle \hat{v}_{\mathfrak{F}}(x'), \nabla h(x') \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

where ϑ is the angle between $\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x')$ and $\nabla h(x')$.

By an analogous computation, by (3.26) and (3.24) we have

$$\langle \tilde{w}(x'), \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x') \rangle = \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x')\| \langle w_f(x'), \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x') \rangle + \alpha(x') \|\nabla h(x')\| \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x')\|^2, \quad (3.29)$$

$$= \alpha(x') \|\nabla h(x')\| \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x')\|^2 (1 + \cos \vartheta) + \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x')\| \langle \hat{v}_f(x'), \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x') \rangle, \quad (3.30)$$

where, again, ϑ is the angle between $\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x')$ and $\nabla h(x')$.

Let us analyse these inner products for the different cases considered in Lemma 3.7.

Case 1. Let $x \in \mathring{\mathbb{B}}_\varepsilon^n \setminus V$ be such that the vectors $\nabla h(x)$ and $\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)$ are colinear. In this case, in (3.24) and (3.25), both $\hat{v}_f(x)$ and $\hat{v}_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ are orthogonal to $\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)$ and $\nabla h(x)$. Hence (3.28) and (3.30) become

$$\langle \tilde{w}(x), \nabla h(x) \rangle = \alpha(x) \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)\| \|\nabla h(x)\|^2 (1 + \cos \vartheta) > 0,$$

$$\langle \tilde{w}(x), \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x) \rangle = \alpha(x) \|\nabla h(x)\| \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)\|^2 (1 + \cos \vartheta) > 0,$$

since $\cos \vartheta > -1$, otherwise, the vectors $\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)$ and $\nabla h(x)$ would point in opposite directions which is impossible by Proposition 3.5. Thus, there exist an open neighbourhood U_x of x where these inner products are positive.

Case 2. The vectors $\nabla h(x)$ and $\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)$ are linearly independent. In this case the tube $N_x = \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \cap f^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_{\|f(x)\|}^{k-1})$ and the sphere $S_x = \mathbb{S}_{\|x\|}^{n-1}$, are transverse at x . Hence, its intersection $N_x \cap S_x$ is a submanifold of codimension 2 of $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

We have two subcases.

Subcase A. The vector $\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)$ is not in $\langle P_x, \nabla h(x) \rangle$. Then $N_x \cap S_x$ is transverse to the fibre $f^{-1}(f(x))$, i.e., $T_x(N_x \cap S_x)$ is transverse to $T_x f^{-1}(f(x))$. By the construction of $w_f(x)$ and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ in Lemma 3.7 in this subcase, we also have that in (3.24) and (3.25), both $\hat{v}_f(x)$ and $\hat{v}_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ are orthogonal to $\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x')$ and $\nabla h(x')$. Hence, we get the same conclusion as in **Case 1**.

Subcase B. The vector $\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)$ is in $\langle P_x, \nabla h(x) \rangle$. Then $x \in M(f)$. In this case $w_f(x)$ and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ are collinear and by Proposition 3.6, if ε is small enough, they point in the same direction, that is, in (3.14) $\mu(x) > 0$.

By (3.27), (3.16), (3.29) and (3.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{w}(x), \nabla h(x) \rangle &= \alpha(x) \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)\| \|\nabla h(x)\|^2 + \alpha(x)^2 \mu(x) \|\nabla h(x)\|^3 > 0, \\ \langle \tilde{w}(x), \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x) \rangle &= \frac{1}{\mu(x)} \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)\|^3 + \alpha(x) \|\nabla h(x)\| \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)\|^2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\langle \tilde{w}(x), \nabla h(x) \rangle > 0$ and $\langle \tilde{w}(x), \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x) \rangle > 0$ in all the cases, which implies that \tilde{w} is transverse to the tubes and to the spheres, satisfying properties (2) and (1). Once we have the vector field \tilde{w} defined in each neighbourhood U_x using a partition of unity we get a global vector field \tilde{w} on $\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon \setminus V$ with the desired properties. \square

Remark 3.9. Remember that the vector fields w_f and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}$ have, respectively, the form given in (3.24) and (3.25). We can compute the precise values of α and β as follows. We have that

$$Df_x(w_f(x)) = \nabla g(f(x)),$$

taking the inner product with $\nabla g(f(x))$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla g(f(x)), Df_x(w_f(x)) \rangle &= \|\nabla g(f(x))\|^2 \\ \langle \nabla h(x), w_f(x) \rangle &= \|2f(x)\|^2 && \text{by the chain rule,} \\ \alpha(x) \|\nabla h(x)\|^2 &= 4\|f(x)\|^2 && \text{by (3.24)} \\ \alpha(x) &= \frac{4\|f(x)\|^2}{\|\nabla h(x)\|^2} \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Analogously, we have that

$$D\mathfrak{F}_x(w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)) = \nabla g(\mathfrak{F}(x)),$$

taking the inner product with $\nabla g(\mathfrak{F}(x))$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla g(\mathfrak{F}(x)), D\mathfrak{F}_x(w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)) \rangle &= \langle \nabla g(\mathfrak{F}(x)), \nabla g(\mathfrak{F}(x)) \rangle \\ \langle \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x), w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x) \rangle &= \|\nabla g(\mathfrak{F}(x))\|^2 && \text{by the chain rule,} \\ \beta(x) \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)\|^2 &= \|2\mathfrak{F}(x)\|^2 && \text{by (3.25)} \\ \beta(x) 4\|x\|^2 &= 4\|x\|^2 \\ \beta(x) &= 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

Remark 3.10. Let $x \in M(f)$, then we have that $w_f(x)$ and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)$ are collinear, that is $w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x) = \mu(x)w_f(x)$, with $\mu(x) \neq 0$ (see (3.14)). Using the differential of the spherification map given in Proposition 2.3 we can compute the value of $\mu(x)$. Since $w_f(x) \in T_x E_{\ell_x}$ by Proposition 2.5 we have

$$D\mathfrak{F}_x(w_f(x)) = \frac{\langle x, w_f(x) \rangle}{\|x\|^2} \mathfrak{F}(x) = \frac{\langle 2x, w_f(x) \rangle}{\|2x\|^2} 2\mathfrak{F}(x) = \frac{\langle \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x), w_f(x) \rangle}{\|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)\|^2} 2\mathfrak{F}(x),$$

thus,

$$D\mathfrak{F}_x \left(\frac{\|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)\|^2}{\langle \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x), w_f(x) \rangle} w_f(x) \right) = 2\mathfrak{F}(x) = \nabla g(\mathfrak{F}(x)),$$

and we have that

$$\mu(x) = \frac{\|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)\|^2}{\langle \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x), w_f(x) \rangle}. \quad (3.33)$$

On the other hand, by Corollary 2.6 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} Df_x(w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)) &= \frac{f(x)}{\|f(x)\|^2} \langle f(x), Df_x(w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)) \rangle = \frac{2f(x)}{4\|f(x)\|^2} \langle 2f(x), Df_x(w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\langle \nabla g(f(x)), Df_x(w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x)) \rangle}{4\|f(x)\|^2} \nabla g(f(x)) = \frac{\langle \nabla h(x), w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x) \rangle}{4\|f(x)\|^2} \nabla g(f(x)) \end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$Df_x \left(\frac{4\|f(x)\|^2}{\langle \nabla h(x), w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x) \rangle} w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x) \right) = \nabla g(f(x))$$

hence by (3.15) we have that

$$\frac{1}{\mu(x)} = \frac{4\|f(x)\|^2}{\langle \nabla h(x), w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x) \rangle}. \quad (3.34)$$

From (3.33) and (3.34) we have that

$$\langle \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x), w_f(x) \rangle \langle \nabla h(x), w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x) \rangle = 4\|f(x)\|^2 \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)\|^2, \quad (3.35)$$

which implies that for any $x \in M(f)$ the inner products $\langle \nabla \mathfrak{H}(x), w_f(x) \rangle$ and $\langle \nabla h(x), w_{\mathfrak{F}}(x) \rangle$ always have the same sign, as we also can see from equations (3.16) and (3.17). By Proposition 3.6, if ε is small enough, these inner products are always positive.

Remark 3.11. Let us revise what we have done. In Lemma 3.7 we gave an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of $\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \setminus V$ and in each open set U_λ we constructed the vector field $w_{f,\lambda}$ satisfying (a), the vector field $w_{\mathfrak{F},\lambda}$ satisfying (b) and they also satisfy (c). Let $\{\rho_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a partition of unity subordinated to the open cover \mathcal{U} . In Theorem 3.8 we constructed in each open set U_λ the vector field (using (3.32))

$$\tilde{w}_\lambda(x) = \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)\| w_{f,\lambda}(x) + \alpha(x) \|\nabla h(x)\| w_{\mathfrak{F},\lambda}(x). \quad (3.36)$$

which satisfies properties (1), (2) and (3) and using the partition of unity we get the following global vector field with the same properties

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}(x) &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \rho_\lambda(x) \tilde{w}_\lambda(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \rho_\lambda(x) (\|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)\| w_{f,\lambda}(x) + \alpha(x) \|\nabla h(x)\| w_{\mathfrak{F},\lambda}(x)), \\ &= \|\nabla \mathfrak{H}(x)\| \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \rho_\lambda(x) w_{f,\lambda}(x) + \alpha(x) \|\nabla h(x)\| \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \rho_\lambda(x) w_{\mathfrak{F},\lambda}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

Is it easy to check that the vector fields $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \rho_\lambda(x) w_{f,\lambda}(x)$ and $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \rho_\lambda(x) w_{\mathfrak{F},\lambda}(x)$ are, respectively, liftings to $\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \setminus V$, by f and \mathfrak{F} of the radial vector field ∇g , and their weighted sum (3.37) gives the vector field \tilde{w} , as it is mentioned in the proof of [9, Lemma 5.2]. In other words, it turns out that with the proof of Theorem 3.8, the (incomplete) proof of [9, Lemma 5.2] can be seen as a sketch of a proof.

Remark 3.12. Notice that the construction of the vector fields w_f and $w_{\mathfrak{F}}$ with properties (a), (b) and (c) works in any open set \mathcal{U} of \mathbb{R}^n where f and its spherefication \mathfrak{F} are submersions, and defining the vector field \tilde{w} as in (3.26) in the proof of Theorem 3.8 we get a vector field on \mathcal{U} satisfying properties (1), (3) and (2). By Proposition 2.2 the spherefication \mathfrak{F} is a submersion if and only if the map $f/\|f\|$ is a submersion, thus, the construction of the vector field \tilde{w} works on any open set \mathcal{U} of \mathbb{R}^n where f and $f/\|f\|$ are submersions, as it was mentioned in the Introduction.

4. EQUIVALENCE OF THE FIBRATION ON TUBE AND ON THE SPHERE

In this section, using Theorem 3.8, we give for completeness the proof of the equivalence between the fibration on the tube and the fibration on the sphere for a real analytic map with isolated critical value.

Let U be an open neighbourhood of $\underline{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $n > p$, and $f: (U, \underline{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ a non-constant analytic map defined on U with a critical point at $\underline{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is an isolated critical value. Also assume that f is locally surjective (see Remark 1.1). Let \mathbb{B}_ε^n be a closed ball in \mathbb{R}^n , centred at $\underline{0}$, of sufficiently small radius ε , so that every sphere in this ball, centred at $\underline{0}$, meets transversely every stratum of a Whitney stratification of V , and such that Proposition 3.5 holds.

We say that f satisfies the *transversality property* if there exists $0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon$ such that for every $y \in \mathbb{B}_\delta^p$ the fibre $f^{-1}(y)$ meets $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1}$ transversely. Since we are assuming f locally surjective we can take $\mathbb{B}_\delta^p \subset \text{Im}(f|_{\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n})$.

Remark 4.1. If we work with a germ $f: (U, \underline{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ instead of with just a map, we need the following stronger *transversality property*. We say that the germ f satisfies the *transversality property* if for any representative f there exists a ball $\mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon_0}^n$ as above such that for every $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ there exists a real number $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$ such that $0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon$ and for every $y \in \mathbb{B}_\delta^p$ the fibre $f^{-1}(y)$ meets $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1}$ transversely.

Remark 4.2. In the case that f is not locally surjective, for the transversality property we need to ask that every $y \in \mathbb{B}_\delta \cap \text{Im}(f|_{\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n})$ the fibre $f^{-1}(y)$ meets $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1}$ transversely. But if we consider that an empty fibre intersects transversely the sphere $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1}$ we can state in general the transversality property as above.

Proposition 4.3. *If f satisfies the transversality property, then the restriction of f to the Milnor tube*

$$f: N(\varepsilon, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_\delta^{p-1}, \quad (4.1)$$

is a smooth fibre bundle.

Proof. Since f satisfies the transversality property we can apply Ehresmann Fibration Theorem for Manifolds with Boundary (see for instance [15, p. 23]). \square

Consider the projection $\pi: \mathbb{B}_\delta^p \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$ given by $\pi(y) = \frac{y}{\|y\|}$. We have that π is a trivial fibre bundle over \mathbb{S}^{p-1} . Let \tilde{f} be the composition of the fibre bundle (4.1) with π , since the restriction of π to \mathbb{S}_δ^{p-1} is a diffeomorphism, we get an equivalent fibre bundle

$$\tilde{f}: N(\varepsilon, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1}. \quad (4.2)$$

Notice that \tilde{f} is the restriction of the map Φ given in (2.2) to the Milnor tube $N(\varepsilon, \delta)$.

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose $f: (U, \underline{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ satisfies the transversality property. Then f is d -regular if and only if the map*

$$\phi := \frac{f}{\|f\|}: \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1} \setminus K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1} \quad (4.3)$$

is a smooth fibre bundle which is equivalent to the fibre bundle (4.2).

Proof. Since f has the transversality property, by Proposition 4.3 we have the fibration (4.2) on the tube. If f is d -regular, by Theorem 3.8 there exists a vector field \tilde{w} satisfying properties (1), (2) and (3). The flow associated to \tilde{w} defines a diffeomorphism τ between the tube $N(\varepsilon, \delta)$ and $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1} \setminus f^{-1}(\mathring{\mathbb{B}}_\delta)$, where $\mathring{\mathbb{B}}_\delta$ is the open ball in \mathbb{R}^p centred at 0 of radius δ , “inflating” the tube to the sphere in the following way: for a point $x \in N(\varepsilon, \delta)$ follow the integral curve of \tilde{w} which passes through x until it reaches $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1}$ at some point \hat{x} , which exists and it is unique because \tilde{w} satisfies properties (1) and (2). Define $\tau(x) = \hat{x}$. By property (3) the integral curves of \tilde{w} lie on the E_ℓ , so we have that $\tilde{f}(x) = \Phi(x) = \Phi(\hat{x}) = \phi(\hat{x})$. Hence the diffeomorphism τ gives an equivalence of fibre bundles $\tilde{f} = \phi \circ \tau$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N(\varepsilon, \delta) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon \setminus f^{-1}(\mathring{\mathbb{B}}_\delta) \\ & \searrow \tilde{f} & \swarrow \phi \\ & \mathbb{S}^{p-1} & \end{array}$$

Now we extend the fibre bundle

$$\phi: \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon \setminus f^{-1}(\mathring{\mathbb{B}}_\delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1} \quad (4.4)$$

to $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon \setminus K$ as follows. Since $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon \cap f^{-1}(\mathring{\mathbb{B}}_\delta^p)$ is compact, the restriction of f

$$f: \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon \cap f^{-1}(\mathring{\mathbb{B}}_\delta^p \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathring{\mathbb{B}}_\delta^p \setminus \{0\}$$

is a proper submersion, and by Ehresmann Fibration Theorem, it is a smooth fibre bundle. Composing it with π , we get a fibre bundle given by the restriction of ϕ

$$\phi| = \pi \circ f: \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon \cap f^{-1}(\mathring{\mathbb{B}}_\delta^p \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1}, \quad (4.5)$$

since the composition of two smooth fibre bundles is again a smooth fibre bundle (see [8, Lemma A.1], [20, Corollary 7] or [12]). We can glue the fibre bundles (4.4) and (4.5) since they coincide in the intersection and both have projection ϕ , to get the smooth fibre bundle (4.3).

On the other hand, if (4.3) is a smooth fibre bundle, then it is a submersion, and by Proposition 2.2 f is d -regular. \square

5. REAL ANALYTIC MAPS WITH LINEAR DISCRIMINANT

In this section, we see that Theorem 3.8 also holds for analytic maps with linear discriminant and we use it to prove the equivalence of the fibration on the tube and the fibration on the sphere for real analytic maps with arbitrary linear discriminant (Theorem 5.9 below). This is done in Subsection 5.1.

5.1. Equivalence of fibrations for maps with arbitrary linear discriminant.

We start recalling some definitions and results from [8]. Let $f: (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ be an analytic map with a critical point at 0. Assume that f is locally surjective (see Remark 1.1). Equip \mathbb{R}^n with a Whitney stratification adapted to $V = f^{-1}(0)$, and let $\mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon_0}^n$ be a closed ball in \mathbb{R}^n , centred at $\underline{0}$, of sufficiently small radius $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, so that every sphere in this ball, centred at $\underline{0}$, meets transversely every stratum of V . In what follows, for $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ we shall consider the restriction f_ε of f to the closed ball $\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \subset \mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon_0}^n$. Denote by Σ_ε the critical set of f_ε and by $\Delta_\varepsilon := f_\varepsilon(\Sigma_\varepsilon)$ the *discriminant* of f_ε .

Remark 5.1. (i) The discriminant Δ_ε is a subanalytic set and it may depend on the choice of the radius ε , as showed in [4].

(ii) The results of this article extend to map-germs $f: (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ if we consider f in the class of *nice analytic map-germs* defined in [4, Definition 2.2] for which the discriminant is a well-defined set-germ at 0, so it does not depend on the radius ε .

Let $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, we say that the ball \mathbb{B}_ε^n has the transversality property if there exist $0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon$ such that for every $y \in \mathbb{B}_\delta^p \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon$ the fibre $f^{-1}(y)$ is transverse to the sphere \mathbb{S}_ε . Since we are assuming f locally surjective we can take $\mathbb{B}_\delta^p \subset \text{Im}(f_\varepsilon)$.

Remark 5.2. If we work with a nice analytic map-germ $f: (U, \underline{0}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ (see Remark 5.1), the discriminant does not depend on the radius ε and we just denote it by Δ . In this case we need the following stronger *transversality property*. We say that f satisfies the *transversality property* if for every $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ there exists a real number $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$ such that $0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon$ and for every $y \in \mathbb{B}_\delta^p \setminus \Delta$ the fibre $f^{-1}(y)$ meets $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1}$ transversely.

Remark 5.3. In the case that f is not locally surjective for the transversality property we need to ask that every $y \in (\mathbb{B}_\delta^p \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon) \cap \text{Im}(f_\varepsilon)$ the fibre $f^{-1}(y)$ meets $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1}$ transversely. But if we consider that an empty fibre intersects transversely the sphere $\mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1}$ we can state in general the transversality property as above.

Suppose that f has the transversality property, then Proposition 4.3 generalizes so that the restriction

$$f_\varepsilon|: \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \cap f^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_\delta^{p-1} \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_\delta^{p-1} \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon \quad (5.1)$$

is a smooth fibre bundle [8, Theorem 2.7].

Let $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, we say that f has *linear discriminant in the ball* \mathbb{B}_ε^n if Δ_ε is a union of line-segments with one endpoint at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$. We say that $\eta > 0$ is a *linearity radius* for Δ_ε if each of these line-segments intersect \mathbb{S}_η^{p-1} , that is, if

$$\Delta_\varepsilon \cap \mathbb{B}_\eta^p = \text{Cone}(\Delta_\varepsilon \cap \mathbb{S}_\eta^{p-1}).$$

The case when f has $0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ as isolated critical value is considered to have linear discriminant with $\Delta_\varepsilon \cap \mathbb{S}_\eta^{p-1} = \emptyset$.

Let $f: (\mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon_0}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ be an analytic map with linear discriminant in the ball \mathbb{B}_ε^n and consider a linearity radius $\eta > 0$ for f . Set:

$$\mathcal{A}_\eta := \Delta_\varepsilon \cap \mathbb{S}_\eta^p.$$

Let $\pi_\eta: \mathbb{S}_\eta^p \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$ be the radial projection onto the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{p-1} and set $\mathcal{A} = \pi_\eta(\mathcal{A}_\eta)$. For each point $\vartheta \in \mathbb{S}_\eta^{p-1}$, let $\mathcal{L}_\vartheta \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ be the open ray in \mathbb{R}^p from

the origin that contains the point ϑ . Set:

$$E_\vartheta := f_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_\vartheta).$$

Notice that E_ϑ is a smooth manifold in \mathbb{B}_ε^n , for any ϑ in $\mathbb{S}_\eta^{p-1} \setminus \mathcal{A}_\eta$.

We say that f is *d-regular* (in the ball \mathbb{B}_ε^n) if E_ϑ intersects the sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\varepsilon'}^{n-1}$ transversely in \mathbb{R}^n , for every ε' with $0 < \varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon$ and for every $\vartheta \in \mathbb{S}_\eta^{p-1} \setminus \mathcal{A}_\eta$.

Remark 5.4. In the case that f has an isolated critical value at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$, f is *d-regular* (in the definition given in Section 2) if and only if there exists $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ such that f is *d-regular* in the ball \mathbb{B}_ε^n .

Remark 5.5. Let $f: (\mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon_0}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ with $n \geq p \geq 2$ be a locally surjective analytic map. Let $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and suppose the ball \mathbb{B}_ε^n has the transversality property and f has linear discriminant in \mathbb{B}_ε^n . Notice that in this case we can take the linearity radius to be the δ in the definition of the transversality property.

The following examples are also from [8].

Example 5.6. The real analytic map $f: (\mathbb{R}^4, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^3, 0)$

$$f(x, y, z, w) := (x^2 - y^2z, y, w).$$

has the plane $\{x = y = 0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^4 as its critical set, its discriminant is the axis $\{u_1 = u_2 = 0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^3 , so it is linear. One can check that f is *not d-regular*.

Example 5.7. Let \mathbb{K} be either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Let $(f, g): \mathbb{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^2$ be a \mathbb{K} -analytic map of the form:

$$(f, g) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i^p, \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i^p \right),$$

where $p \geq 2$ is an integer and $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{K}$ are constants in generic position, i. e., the origin is in the convex hull of the points (a_i, b_i) (which guarantees that the link of $V(f)$ is non-empty) and no two of the points (a_i, b_i) are linearly dependent, that is, $a_i b_j \neq a_j b_i$, for any $i \neq j$ (*Weak Hyperbolicity Hypothesis*). Its critical set Σ is given by the coordinate axis of \mathbb{K}^n , the discriminant Δ is linear and it is *d-regular* (see [8, Examples 3.6 and 3.7]). For $p = 2$ this maps were studied by S. López de Medrano in [18].

Let $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ be such that f has linear discriminant and it is *d-regular* in the ball \mathbb{B}_ε^n . Consider the maps $\Phi: \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \setminus f^{-1}(\Delta_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathfrak{F}: \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \setminus f^{-1}(\Delta_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \Delta_\varepsilon$ given in (2.2) but restricted to $\mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \setminus f^{-1}(\Delta_\varepsilon)$. Hence Proposition 2.2 generalizes in a straightforward way for maps with linear discriminant, removing $f^{-1}(\Delta_\varepsilon)$ from the domain of the maps involved instead of only removing V [8, Proposition 3.8]. Thus, the maps \mathfrak{F} and $\phi = \Phi|: \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1} \setminus f^{-1}(\Delta_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ are submersions if and only if f is *d-regular*. In fact, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.8 ([8, Theorem 3.9]). *Let $f: (\mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon_0}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ with $n \geq p \geq 2$ be a locally surjective analytic map. Let $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and suppose the ball \mathbb{B}_ε^n has the transversality property and f has linear discriminant in \mathbb{B}_ε^n . If f is *d-regular* then the restriction of Φ given by*

$$\phi = \Phi|: \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1} \setminus f^{-1}(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1} \setminus \mathcal{A} \quad (5.2)$$

is a smooth locally trivial fibration.

The construction of the vector field \tilde{w} of Theorem 3.8 works in any open set of \mathbb{R}^n where f and the spherefication \mathfrak{F} are submersions (see Remark 3.12), so in Subsection 3.2 we can replace $\mathring{\mathbb{B}}_\varepsilon^n \setminus V$ by $\mathring{\mathbb{B}}_\varepsilon^n \setminus f^{-1}(\Delta_\varepsilon)$. Using this generalization of Theorem 3.8 we prove the equivalence of fibrations (5.1) and (5.2) for real analytic maps with arbitrary linear discriminant as in Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 5.9. *Let $f: (\mathbb{B}_{\varepsilon_0}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ with $n \geq p \geq 2$ be a locally surjective analytic map. Let $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and suppose the ball \mathbb{B}_ε^n has the transversality property, f has linear discriminant and it is d -regular in \mathbb{B}_ε^n . Then the fibre bundles:*

$$\tilde{f} := \pi_\delta \circ f_\varepsilon|: \mathbb{B}_\varepsilon^n \cap f^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_\delta^{p-1} \setminus \mathcal{A}_\delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1} \setminus \mathcal{A}$$

and

$$\phi: \mathbb{S}_\varepsilon^{n-1} \setminus f^{-1}(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1} \setminus \mathcal{A}$$

are equivalent, where $\pi_\delta: \mathbb{S}_\delta^{p-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{p-1}$ is the radial projection.

Remark 5.10. In Theorem 5.9 we take the linearity radius to be δ as in Remark 5.5.

Remark 5.11. In the PhD thesis [25] and in the articles [4, 5] the authors study nice real analytic maps-germs $f: (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^p, 0)$ with discriminant of positive dimension obtaining some results in common with [8]. They prove the existence of the fibration on the tube (5.1) if f satisfies a condition equivalent to the transversality property [4, Lemma 3.3]. They also consider real analytic map-germs with linear discriminant (they call it with radial discriminant) and if they have fibration on the tube and are d -regular (they call it ρ -regular) then the fibration on the sphere (5.2) exists. Then they consider the problem of finding conditions for which the two fibrations are equivalent [5, Problem 4.1] and prove that the problem reduces to find a vector field which satisfies properties (1), (3) and (2) (which they call a *good vector field* or *Milnor vector field*). The authors conjecture that if f is a real analytic map which is d -regular and for which both fibrations (5.1) and (5.2) exists, then they are equivalent [5, Equivalence Conjecture 4.4]. Theorem 5.9 above proves that the conjecture is true.

Remark 5.12. In [5, 25] the authors prove that for fixed $\vartheta \in \mathbb{S}_\eta^{p-1} \setminus \mathcal{A}_\eta$ the corresponding fibres of fibrations (5.1) and (5.2) are diffeomorphic but without being able to prove the equivalence of fibrations since they could not find a radius ε_1 which works for every $\vartheta \in \mathbb{S}_\eta^{p-1} \setminus \mathcal{A}_\eta$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author was supported by CONACYT 253506 and a UNAM-DGAPA-PASPA sabbatical scholarship. He wants to thank Prof. Hans Brodersen for the helpful email correspondence. Both authors want to thank José Seade and Jawad Snoussi for many enlightening conversations.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. N. Araújo dos Santos. Uniform (m)-condition and strong Milnor fibrations. In *Singularities II*, volume 475 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 189–198. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008. 3
- [2] R. N. Araújo dos Santos. Equivalence of real Milnor fibrations for quasi-homogeneous singularities. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 42(2):439–449, 2012. 3

- [3] Raimundo N. Araújo dos Santos, Ying Chen, and Mihai Tibăr. Singular open book structures from real mappings. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.*, 11(5):817–828, 2013. [3](#)
- [4] Raimundo N. Araújo dos Santos, Maico F. Ribeiro, and Mihai Tibăr. Fibrations of highly singular map germs. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 155:92–111, 2019. [19](#), [21](#)
- [5] Raimundo N. Araújo dos Santos, Maico F. Ribeiro, and Mihai Tibăr. Milnor-Hamm sphere fibrations and the equivalence problem. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 72(3):945–957, 2020. [4](#), [21](#)
- [6] Dan Burghilea and Andrei Verona. Local homological properties of analytic sets. *Manuscripta Math.*, 7:55–66, 1972. [10](#)
- [7] José Luis Cisneros-Molina and Aurélio Menegon. Equivalence of Milnor and Milnor-Lê fibrations for real analytic maps. *Internat. J. Math.*, 30(14):1950078, 25, 2019. [1](#)
- [8] José Luis Cisneros-Molina, Aurélio Menegon, José Seade, and Jawad Snoussi. Fibration theorems à la Milnor for differentiable maps with non-isolated singularities, February 2020. Preprint : arXiv:2002.07120 [math.AG]. [4](#), [18](#), [19](#), [20](#), [21](#)
- [9] José Luis Cisneros-Molina, José Seade, and Jawad Snoussi. Milnor fibrations and d -regularity for real analytic singularities. *Internat. J. Math.*, 21(4):419–434, 2010. [1](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [13](#), [16](#)
- [10] R. N. Araújo dos Santos and M. Tibăr. Real map germs and higher open book structures. *Geom. Dedicata*, 147:177–185, 2010. [3](#)
- [11] Hans Brodersen. About d -regularity. Personal communication, September 2014. [4](#), [11](#)
- [12] [Torsten Ekedahl](#). Is the composition of two bundle projections necessarily a bundle projection? MathOverflow. URL:<http://mathoverflow.net/q/74722>. [18](#)
- [13] Alain Jacquemard. *Thèse 3ème cycle*. PhD thesis, Université de Dijon, 1982. [3](#)
- [14] Alain Jacquemard. Fibrations de Milnor pour des applications réelles. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B* (7), 3(3):591–600, 1989. [3](#)
- [15] Klaus Lamotke. The topology of complex projective varieties after S. Lefschetz. *Topology*, 20(1):15–51, 1981. [17](#)
- [16] Dung Tráng Lê. Some remarks on relative monodromy. In P. Holm, editor, *Real and complex singularities (Proc. Ninth Nordic Summer School/NAVF Sympos. Math., Oslo, 1976)*, pages 397–403. Sijthoff and Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn, 1977. [2](#)
- [17] E. J. N. Looijenga. *Isolated singular points on complete intersections*, volume 77 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984. [10](#)
- [18] Santiago López de Medrano. Singularities of homogeneous quadratic mappings. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM*, 108(1):95–112, 2014. [20](#)
- [19] David B. Massey. Real analytic Milnor fibrations and a strong Łojasiewicz inequality. In *Real and complex singularities*, volume 380 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 268–292. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010. [3](#)
- [20] Benjamin McKay. Morphisms of Cartan Connections. arXiv:0802.1473, 2010. [18](#)
- [21] John Milnor. On isolated singularities of hypersurfaces. Preprint, June 1966. [2](#)
- [22] John Milnor. *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 61. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1968. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [10](#), [13](#)
- [23] Nikolai B. Hansen. Milnor’s Fibration Theorem for Real Singularities. Master’s thesis, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences University of Oslo, May 2014. [4](#)
- [24] Anne Pichon and José Seade. Fibred multilinks and singularities $f\overline{g}$. *Math. Ann.*, 342(3):487–514, 2008. [3](#)
- [25] Maico Felipe Silva Ribeiro. *Singular Milnor Fibrations*. PhD thesis, Universidade de São Paulo, April 2018. [4](#), [21](#)
- [26] Maria Aparecida Soares Ruas and Raimundo Nonato Araújo dos Santos. Real Milnor fibrations and (c)-regularity. *Manuscripta Math.*, 117(2):207–218, 2005. [3](#)
- [27] Maria Aparecida Soares Ruas, José Seade, and Alberto Verjovsky. On real singularities with a Milnor fibration. In A. Libgober and M. Tibar, editors, *Trends in singularities*, Trends Math., pages 191–213. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2002. [3](#)
- [28] Raimundo Araújo Dos Santos and Maico F. Ribeiro. Geometrical conditions for the existence of a Milnor vector field, October 2018. [4](#)
- [29] José Seade. Open book decompositions associated to holomorphic vector fields. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (3)*, 3(2):323–335, 1997. [3](#)
- [30] José A. Seade. On Milnor’s fibration theorem and its offspring after 50 years. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, November 2018. [2](#)
- [31] Jean-Louis Verdier. Stratifications de Whitney et théorème de Bertini-Sard. *Invent. Math.*, 36:295–312, 1976. [4](#)

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIDAD CUERNAVACA, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO, AVENIDA UNIVERSIDAD S/N, COLONIA LOMAS DE CHAMILPA, CUERNAVACA, MORELOS, MEXICO.

Email address: `jlcisneros@im.unam.mx`

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA PARAÍBA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, CEP 58051-900, JOÃO PESSOA - PB, BRAZIL

Email address: `aurelio@mat.ufpb.br`