Spin-Hall nanooscillator based on an antiferromagnetic domain wall.
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We propose here a high-frequency spin-Hall nano-oscillator based on a simple magnetic texture, such as a domain wall, located in an antiferromagnet with easy-axis anisotropy type. We show that the spin current, polarized along the anisotropy axis, excites a conical precession of the Néel vector in such a domain wall, which allows obtaining a robust ac output signal, contrary to the planar precession in an uniform uniaxial antiferromagnet, where ac output is hard to achieve. The frequency of the auto-oscillations is easily tunable by the applied current up to the THz range, and the threshold current vanishes for pure uniaxial antiferromagnet. By micro-magnetic simulations, we demonstrate that the pinning of the domain wall is crucial for the oscillator design, which can be achieved in nano-constriction layout of the free layer.

Spin-transfer-torque and spin-Hall nano-oscillators (STNOs and SHNOs) are well-established devices in modern spintronics [1, 2]. They can act as tiny frequency generators or as strongly nonlinear “active” elements for the advanced signal processing, including neuromorphic [3] and stochastic [4] computing. Both types of oscillators consists of a “free” magnetic layer and an adjunct spin-current source. Spin torque, which arises from the input spin current, drives the magnetization dynamics, which then can be readout as an output electric alternate current. The operational frequency of ferromagnetic devices is defined by the resonant modes of the magnetic layer, i.e. is determined by the bias magnetic field [5, 6]. This leads to the main drawback of such systems – a limited range of the output frequency (1–50 GHz) [7] and the necessity of the field source in a portable spintronic device.

The above drawback can be avoided by employing antiferromagnetic [8, 9] (AFM) or compensated ferrimagnetic [10, 11] materials for “free” layers, where a strong exchange field has a definitive contribution to the spin dynamics [12–14]. Thus, the so-called exchange enhancement is applied to the main AFM dynamic parameters: it leads to the ultra-high frequencies of the magnetic resonance [15], which can reach a THz frequency range (0.3-3.0 THz) and substantially high limiting velocity of domain wall motion [16]. Exchange enhancement also occurs for non-conservative phenomena, such as magnetic damping and spin-transfer torque. Besides, the AFMs can conduct [17], rectify [18] and even amplify [17, 19] the spin current.

In the recently proposed AFM-based SHNOs the spin current, polarized along p, induces a torque on the Néel vector l, which starts to rotate in the plane perpendicular to the p [8, 9, 14, 20]. The dynamics of the Néel vector, in turn, can induce an output electric current

\[ j_{\text{out}} \propto \tau_{\text{out}} = [l \times l] \]  

(1)

by spin-pumping and inverse spin-Hall mechanisms. Since for a planar rotation \( l \perp l \perp p \) (known as proliferation [8]), the alternate output is present only for the nonuniform in time Néel vector dynamics. This method is applicable to the bi-axial AFM with the easy-plane type of primary magnetic anisotropy [9, 13], where the in-plane potential created by the secondary small anisotropy accelerates/decelerates the rotation of Néel vector. This potential, however, creates a threshold for auto-oscillations that would require the application of extremely high currents for its overcoming in the case of easy-axis AFMs. Besides, the above method produces a substantial ac output only not far above the threshold that limits a useful frequency range.
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FIG. 1. Schematic diagrams illustrating a microwave generator with a thin film of a uniaxial AFM with domain wall used as an active element in a) nano-constriction and b) rectangular geometries. The Neel vector is shown by the yellow arrows, the black arrow shows the electrical current direction, the blue arrow indicates the direction of the spin current polarization.

Another way to get the ac signal is to excite the conical precession [8, 13] of l. To achieve this, here we propose to use the natural heterogeneity of magnetic order inside magnetic solitons, various types of those already plays a significant role in ferromagnetic-based spintronics [21–26]. We consider the simplest topologically stable (the topological charge \( \pi_0 \)) soliton, domain wall [27] (DW) and show that nano-oscillators based on AFM DW can achieve a substantial ac output, while keeping the benefits of the AFM materials, such as ultra-high achievable frequency. We are focused on the spin-Hall geom-
etry of the device, however, the developed theory of the DW dynamics is applicable also for STNOs with the 90° rotation of the appropriate axes.

The AFM DW motion under the action of spin-orbit torques already aroused considerable interest in the literature [28, 29]. Particularly, it was shown that both non-conservative (damping-like) and conservative (field-like) torques can drive the translational motion of the DW with ultra-high velocities [30–32], which are two order of magnitudes higher than the ones in ferromagnets. Here we are focused on the dynamics of the DW in the easy-axis AFM excited by the damping-like torque.

The low-energy (in comparison with the energy of the exchange interaction) spin excitation of an AFM can be described by a σ-model equation with a single variable – unit Néel vector $\mathbf{l}$ [10, 14], (normalized by the saturated AFM magnetization value $M_s$, which corresponds to the parallel orientation of the both sublattices). The vector $\mathbf{l}$ is convenient to represent in angular variables:

$$l_x = \sin \theta \cos \phi, \quad l_y = \sin \theta \sin \phi, \quad l_z = \cos \theta,$$  

(2)

where the axis $z$ is chosen along the easy axis of the AFM, so that the ground state corresponds to $\theta = 0, \pi$. The Lagrangian density is then [20, 33]:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{M_s}{2 \gamma \alpha} \left[ \dot{\theta}^2 - c^2 \theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta \left( \dot{\phi}^2 - c^2 \phi^2 \right) \right] - w_a,$$  

(3)

where upper dot and prime denote derivatives over time and space respectively, and $\gamma$ is a gyromagnetic ratio, $\omega_{ex} = \gamma H_x$, is the frequency defined by the exchange field of the AFM, $c = x_0 \omega_0 = \gamma \sqrt{H_{ex} A/M_s}$ – characteristic speed of magnons, which can be written through the domain-wall thickness $x_0 = \sqrt{\Lambda/K}$ and the magnon gap $\alpha = \gamma \sqrt{H_{ex} K/M_s}$. The energy density of the anisotropy reads as:

$$w_a = \frac{M_s}{2 \gamma \alpha} \left( \omega_{ex}^2 + \omega_p^2 \sin^2 \phi \right) \sin^2 \theta,$$  

(4)

where the first term defines purely uniaxial anisotropy $K$ of the easy-axis type, and the second term defines anisotropy $K_{ip}$ in the basal plane for an AFM with an easy axis of the second order $C_2$, which will be used to compare pure uniaxial and non-uniaxial cases.

The natural dissipation and the influx of energy by STT can be expressed in Rayleigh dissipation function as [13, 20]:

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\alpha M_s}{2 \gamma} \left( \dot{\theta}^2 + \dot{\phi}^2 \sin^2 \theta \right) + \frac{\tau M_s}{\gamma} \left[ p_x (\theta \cos \phi \sin \phi \cos \phi \sin 2 \theta) - p_y \phi \sin^2 \theta \right],$$  

(5)

where $\alpha$ is an effective Gilbert damping, $p$ – is the spin current polarization and $\tau$ is the amplitude of the STT expressed in the units of frequency $\tau = \sigma J$, $J$ is the density of electrical current, $\sigma$ – STT efficiency [1, 34].

The rotation of the vector $\mathbf{l}$ in the AFM driven by the spin pumping mechanism generates an output spin current (Eq. 1) into adjacent layer with [9, 11, 13]:

$$\tau_{out} = \omega \left[ x \sin^2 \theta - \sin \theta \cos \theta (x \cos \omega t + y \sin \omega t) \right],$$  

(6)

From Eq. (6) the condition $\sin \theta \cos \theta \neq 0$ is required to obtain the ac output. However, in the case of uniform spin dynamics, the angle of stationary precession is determined from the condition $dw_a/d\theta = 0$. From Eq. (4), $dw_a/d\theta = \sin \theta \cos \theta$, which corresponds to $\theta = \pi/2$, i.e. the ac signal is absent, whereas an ac output appears in the nonuniform state of the Néel order parameter, or spin texture, in the region where $\theta \neq \pi/2$. The simplest example of such a spin texture is a dynamical domain wall with the known profile [35–37]:

$$\cos \theta = \tan \left( \frac{x - X(t)}{\Delta} \right), \quad \phi = \Phi(t),$$  

(7)

where $\Delta$ is an instant value of the DW thickness; $\Delta = x_0$ for the stationary DW. Using the solution of the DW profile (7) and assuming $\alpha \ll 1$ and $\tau \ll \alpha_0$, we can write down the equations of motion through the collective coordinates: the coordinate of the wall center $X$ and the angle $\Phi$, which determines the rotation angle of the vector $\mathbf{l}$ in the wall center (See Supplementary materials):

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_0 c} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{X}{\Delta} \right) + \alpha \frac{X}{\Delta} + \tau \left( p_x \sin \Phi - p_y \cos \Phi \right) = 0,$$  

(8)

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_0 c} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \Delta \Phi \right) + \alpha \Delta \Phi - \Delta \tau p_x + \Delta \frac{\omega_p^2}{2 \alpha_0 c} \sin 2 \Phi = 0,$$  

(9)

The equations (8, 9) are one of the main analytical results of this work: they show the possibility of excitation of the both rotational ($p_z \neq 0$) and translational ($p_x, p_y \neq 0$) dynamics of the domain wall by the spin current. With this in mind, below, we discuss in detail the possibility of creating a spin-torque nanogenerator based on the AFM domain wall and analyze the regimes of its operation depending on the polarization direction of the spin current.

To verify analytical results, we performed micro-magnetic simulations using MuMax3 solver [38] employing method similar to the described in Ref. [39]. We chose two geometries of the SHNO – the rectangular one, which completely represents our analytical model, and the nano-constriction (NC, See Fig. 1). The NC cut-out not only increases the local current density but also induces pinning potential for the DW in the wall center – $X$ and the angle $\Phi$, which corresponds to the ground state corresponds to $\theta = 0, \pi$. The Lagrangian density is then [20, 33]:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{M_s}{2 \gamma \alpha} \left[ \dot{\theta}^2 - c^2 \theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta \left( \dot{\phi}^2 - c^2 \phi^2 \right) \right] - w_a,$$  

(3)

where upper dot and prime denote derivatives over time and space respectively, and $\gamma$ is a gyromagnetic ratio, $\omega_{ex} = \gamma H_x$, is the frequency defined by the exchange field of the AFM, $c = x_0 \omega_0 = \gamma \sqrt{H_{ex} A/M_s}$ – characteristic speed of magnons, which can be written through the domain-wall thickness $x_0 = \sqrt{\Lambda/K}$ and the magnon gap $\alpha = \gamma \sqrt{H_{ex} K/M_s}$. The energy density of the anisotropy reads as:

$$w_a = \frac{M_s}{2 \gamma \alpha} \left( \omega_{ex}^2 + \omega_p^2 \sin^2 \phi \right) \sin^2 \theta,$$  

(4)

where the first term defines purely uniaxial anisotropy $K$ of the easy-axis type, and the second term defines anisotropy $K_{ip}$ in the basal plane for an AFM with an easy axis of the second order $C_2$, which will be used to compare pure uniaxial and non-uniaxial cases.

The natural dissipation and the influx of energy by STT can be expressed in Rayleigh dissipation function as [13, 20]:

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\alpha M_s}{2 \gamma} \left( \dot{\theta}^2 + \dot{\phi}^2 \sin^2 \theta \right) + \frac{\tau M_s}{\gamma} \left[ p_x (\theta \cos \phi \sin \phi \cos \phi \sin 2 \theta) - p_y \phi \sin^2 \theta \right],$$  

(5)

where $\alpha$ is an effective Gilbert damping, $p$ – is the spin current polarization and $\tau$ is the amplitude of the STT expressed in the units of frequency $\tau = \sigma J$, $J$ is the density of electrical current, $\sigma$ – STT efficiency [1, 34].

The rotation of the vector $\mathbf{l}$ in the AFM driven by the spin pumping mechanism generates an output spin current (Eq. 1) into adjacent layer with [9, 11, 13]:

$$\tau_{out} = \omega \left[ x \sin^2 \theta - \sin \theta \cos \theta (x \cos \omega t + y \sin \omega t) \right],$$  

(6)
For the further analysis of the DW dynamics, we introduce
the angle $\psi$, which determines the direction of the polarization
vector $p = z \cos \psi + y \sin \psi$. The angle $\psi$ is easily config-
urable in STNOS, where the polarization of the spin current is
determined by the polarization layer. However, in SHNOS $p$
is strictly determined by the direction of the electrical current,
and in the chosen configuration corresponds to $z$- direction.
Thus, the direction of the easy axis should be changed to ob-
tain a non-zero angle $\psi$. In this case, the domain wall itself
does not change its position, as it is not bound to the spin
frame of reference, but the direction of the output torque is
changed, see details below. For this case, we introduce an-
other angle $\phi_{DW}$ which determines the deviation of the easy
axis relative to the DW orientation ($z$-axis).

Parallel polarization $\psi = 0^\circ$. The solution for the DW co-
dordinate $X$ corresponds to the standing wall, as $p_x = p_y = 0$
in (8). From Eq. (9) follows that spin current, with polariza-
tion along the easy axis $p_z$ excites Josephson-like dynamic [9]
which leads to the rotation of the Néel vector within a DW
under the action of direct spin current. In the case of limited
spin-current source size with the length $L$, the dependence of
the frequency on the current is determined by the formula:

$$\alpha \omega = \sigma j p_z \tanh \left( \frac{L}{2 \theta_0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2}} \right). \quad (10)$$

The Eq. (10) implies easy tunability of the frequency by the
driving current in the range $0 < \omega_0$, where $\omega_0$ can reach the THz
range. In the case of a large spin-torque source, $L \gg \theta_0$,
and $\omega \ll \omega_0$, the frequency of the rotation is linearly proportional
to the driving current $\omega = \sigma j p_z / \alpha$. The in-plane anisotropy
defines the threshold for excitation as $\sigma j_{th} = \omega_0^2 / (2 \omega_0 \alpha)$,
which is small for our parameters (see Fig. 2a)) and vanishes
for pure uniaxial AFM. The numerical simulation of such a
regime is represented in Fig. 2 a).

Since $I_{out} \propto \int \tau_{out} dx dy$ averaged over the DW vanishes,
see Fig. 3 (a, b), the signals from the film areas, where
$\sin \theta \cos \theta \leq 0$ have to be read out independently, which can be
achieved by an additional spin-Hall electrode on top of the
AFM layer. Here, a unique technique used in work [44] can
be applied, where two nanowires made of metals with oppo-
site signs of the spin-Hall angle (such as e.g. tantalum and
platinum) are placed to the opposite slopes of the DW to sum
up the signals (see, Fig. 1b).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig2.png}
\caption{a) Frequency $\omega$ of the DW rotation for the NC (blue) and
rectangular (red) geometry, b) velocity of the DW as a functions of
the dc electric current density. Dots shows the values extracted from
the micro-magnetic simulations, while solid lines are calculated an-
alytically. The frequency of the AFM resonance $\omega_0 = 0.45$ THZ,
the limiting velocity $c = 22$ km/s.}
\end{figure}

Given the Eq. (6) for this case, one can notice that the out-
put alternate spin-current is spatially asymmetric:

$$\tau_{out}^x = \omega \text{sech} \left( \frac{x}{\Delta} \right) \tanh \left( \frac{x}{\Delta} \right) \cos \omega t \quad (11)$$

Perpendicular polarization $\psi = 90^\circ$. The solution for the DW con-
dditions (8) and, thus, controls the efficiency of the driving force in Eq.
(8). As in the case of $\psi = 0^\circ$, the anisotropy in the hard plane
induces the threshold for the oscillations. Above the threshold
$j > j_{th}$, particularly for pure uniaxial AFM, when $j_{th} = 0$, the

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig3.png}
\caption{Simulated distribution of the x-component of the output
Torque at the primary frequency of oscillations $\omega / 2\pi = 160$ GHz
(Eq. 11), while (b) and (d) at the doubled frequency $2\omega / 2\pi = 320$
GHz (Eq. 16).}
\end{figure}

$\tau_{out} = \mu \tau c \sqrt{(\mu \tau)^2 + c^2}, \quad (12)$

where $\mu = p_x \pi \theta_0 / 2 \alpha$ has the sense of the mobility of the do-
main wall. The dependence of the velocity on the applied
current for the rectangular geometry is shown on Fig. 2 b).
solution takes the form \( \Phi \approx \omega t \) that leads to oscillations of the domain wall around the equilibrium position with the velocity defined by:

\[
\frac{\dot{X}}{\sqrt{1 - X^2/c^2}} = \Delta(\omega) \frac{\pi p_x}{2} \frac{\tau \omega_{x\text{ex}}}{\sqrt{\omega^2 + \alpha^2 \omega_{x\text{ex}}^2}} \sin(\omega t + \varphi),
\]

where \( \Delta(\omega) = x_0 \sqrt{1 - \omega^2 / \omega_0^2} \) and \( \varphi = \arctan(\alpha \omega_{x\text{ex}} / \omega) \).

For the \( \dot{X} \ll c \), the coordinate can be written as:

\[
X(t) = X_{\text{max}} \cos(\omega t + \varphi),
\]

where the amplitude of the DW translational oscillations is defined by:

\[
X_{\text{max}} = \frac{\pi p_x}{2 p_z} \frac{\alpha \omega_{x\text{ex}}}{\sqrt{\omega^2 + \alpha^2 \omega_{x\text{ex}}^2}} \Delta(\omega)
\]

However, the analytical solution \( \Phi = \omega t \) is approximate and our micro-magnetic simulations show that periodic wall oscillations are accompanied by a drift, see Fig. 4 a) [9]. This drift is a significant problem for the signal readout in a rectangular geometry; depending on the polarization of the spin current, phase, and velocity of the domain wall, it can bounce off the edge of the contact, stay on the edge for a long time, or go beyond it, which will disrupt signal generation (see Fig. 4 b) for \( \psi = 45^\circ \)). This problem can be solved by pinning the wall, such as using NC, see Fig. 4 b). The NC creates a restoring force for the DW, so as can be seen from the simulations, the wall ceases to drift, and the points of the phase change are fixed in coordinate. Interestingly, the amplitude of the oscillations increases as the DW exhibits resonant behaviour in the pinning potential.

The translational oscillations of the DW produce an additional output torque at the doubled frequency:

\[
\tau_{\text{out}}^\text{v} = \frac{\omega X_{\text{max}}}{\Delta} \sech\left( \frac{x - X(t)}{\Delta} \right) \cos 2\omega t
\]

In contrast to Eq. (11), the signal is symmetrical in coordinate, see Fig. 3 (c, d), so there is no need for the additional readout layers. However, \( \tau_{\text{out}} \) creates an electrical current in \( z \)-direction, i.e. perpendicular to the input one, which requires complex four-terminal device design. To avoid this issue one can tilt the easy axis of the AFM in the \( yz \)-plane by an angle \( \varphi_{\text{DW}} \), and as a result an alternating \( z \)-component appears in the output torque. In this case, the same two terminals can be used simultaneously as a source of the dc input and a detector of the ac output as in conventional spin-Hall oscillators.

The output power of the SHNO described above can be calculated using the method described in Ref. [9]; it is presented on Fig. 5. Useful signal at the frequency \( \omega \) of the DW precession achieves higher power, although it requires an additional readout layers. However, even at the doubled frequency \( 2\omega \) and simple bilayer SHNO layout, the output power can reach tens of picowatts for 100 nm DW length, which is few times higher that for the conventional ferromagnetic counterparts [22].

In conclusion, we showed that the spin current can excite complex dynamical regimes for an AFM DW, which include the precession of the Neel vector in the center of a DW, as well as oscillatory motion of the DW position. This dynamics provides a substantial alternate output spin current, which can be used for the development of efficient subterahertz spin-Hall nano-oscillators. The pronounced feature of this oscillator is the possibility to minimize a threshold current, till complete vanishing for a pure uniaxial case, and the increasing of the output power with the frequency, see Fig. 5.
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Supplementary materials

The Lagrangian of the purely uniaxial antiferromagnet can be represented in the form:

\[ \mathcal{L} = \frac{M_s}{2\gamma \omega_{ex}} \left[ \dot{\theta}^2 - c^2 \dot{\phi}^2 + \sin^2 \theta (\dot{\phi}^2 - c^2 \dot{\phi}^2 - \omega_0^2) \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The rate of the energy losses due to the dissipation and the action of spin-transfer torque can be written as:

\[ \dot{E} = -i \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\alpha M_s}{\gamma} (\dot{\theta}^2 + \dot{\phi}^2 \sin^2 \theta) - \frac{\tau M_s}{\gamma} [p_x (\dot{\theta} \sin \phi + \dot{\phi} \cos \phi \sin \theta) - p_y (\dot{\theta} \cos \phi - \dot{\phi} \sin \phi \sin \theta) - p_z \dot{\phi} \sin^2 \theta] \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The forced dynamics of a domain wall under the action of such perturbations can be described by the two collective coordinates, the displacement \( X \) and the phase \( \Phi \) of the domain wall. This approach corresponds to the so-called adiabatic approximation in perturbation theory for solitons\([1]\), which can be applied if the characteristic perturbation parameters are small. For instance, if the effective dissipation constant \( \alpha \ll 1 \), the amplitude of the spin current (in the units of frequency) \( \tau \sim \alpha \omega_0 \) and the rates of change of the domain wall velocity \( v = \dot{X} \) and its precession frequency \( \omega = \dot{\Phi} \) are of the order of small system parameters \( dv/dt \sim \alpha \omega_0 v \ll \omega_0 v, \; d\omega/dt \sim \alpha \omega_0 \omega \ll \omega_0 \omega \). The conditions \( \alpha \ll 1 \) and \( \tau \sim \alpha \omega_0 \) will be considered as being fulfilled as they are satisfied for magnets that are interesting for spintronics applications. The exact parametrized solution of the domain wall\([2, 3]\) is:

\[ \cos \theta = \tanh \left( \frac{x - X(t)}{\Delta} \right), \quad \phi = \Phi(t), \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \( \Delta \) means the DW thickness, with the next ansatz:

\[ \Delta = x_0 \sqrt{\frac{1 - \dot{X}^2}{c^2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Substituting (3) into (1) and (2) and integrating over the \( x \), we obtain the effective Lagrangian and energy losses functions of the dynamic system with two degrees of freedom, which describe the dynamics of the collective coordinates:

\[ \mathcal{L} = -\frac{2M_s}{\gamma \omega_{ex}} \omega_0 \sqrt{1 - \dot{X}^2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\dot{\Phi}^2}{\omega_0^2}}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

\[ \dot{E} = -\frac{2\alpha M_s}{\gamma} \left( \frac{\dot{X}^2}{\Delta} + \Delta \dot{\Phi}^2 \right) - \frac{\tau M_s}{\gamma} \left[ \pi \dot{X} (p_x \sin \Phi - p_y \cos \Phi) - p_z 2 \Delta \dot{\Phi} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The Lagrangian (5) describes a free relativistic particle. The energy losses can be represented as the sum of two independent terms, products of linear and angular velocities with corresponding friction forces:

\[ \dot{E} = \dot{X} F_X + \dot{\Phi} F_\Phi = \dot{X} \left( -\frac{2\alpha M_s}{\gamma} \frac{\dot{X}}{\Delta} - \frac{\pi \tau M_s}{\gamma} (p_x \sin \Phi - p_y \cos \Phi) \right) + \dot{\Phi} \left( -\frac{2\alpha M_s}{\gamma} \Delta \dot{\Phi} + \frac{2\tau M_s}{\gamma} p_z \Delta \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)
Therefore, we can build the relativistic version of Newton’s second law for collective coordinates, the time derivative of generalized momentum $P_q = \partial L(q, \dot{q}, t)/\partial \dot{q}$ (where $q = X, \Phi$) is equal to the corresponding force acting on the particle:

$$\frac{1}{\omega_{cx}} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\dot{X}}{\Delta} \right) + \frac{\dot{X}}{\Delta} + \frac{\pi}{2} (p_x \sin \Phi - p_y \cos \Phi) = 0,$$

(8)

$$\frac{1}{\omega_{cx}} \frac{d}{dt} (\Delta \dot{\Phi}) + \alpha \Delta \dot{\Phi} - \Delta \tau p_z + \Delta \frac{\omega_{ip}^2}{2\omega_{cx}} \sin 2\Phi = 0,$$

(9)

where we additionally took into account the presence of a small anisotropy in the base plane $\omega_{in} \ll \omega_0$. For example, these equations have two particular solutions. The first one corresponds to a steady-state motion of the domain wall\[4, 5\] $X = vt$ if $p_z = 0$. The velocity of this motion for the case $\Phi = 0$ is defined as:

$$v = \frac{\mu \tau c}{\sqrt{(\mu \tau)^2 + c^2}},$$

(10)

where $\mu = p_y \pi x_0 / 2\alpha$ has the sense of the mobility of the domain wall with respect to $\tau$, $v = \mu \tau$ at a low velocity. The second solution, for the case $p_x, p_y = 0$ and $\omega_{ip} = 0$, describes a uniform in time precession $\Phi = \omega t$ with frequency:

$$\omega = \tau p_z / \alpha$$

(11)

Here we should note that the effect of saturation of the precession frequency in the domain wall, discussed in the paper, is associated with a limited length of the spin current source and it is absent if we consider the source to be unlimited. As the frequency increases, the wall expands and its size may become larger than the size of the spin current source.

The presence of in-plane anisotropy creates a threshold for excitation $\tau^h p_z = \omega_{ip}^2 / 2\omega_{cx}$ and leads to a non-uniform in time precession dynamics, an approximate analytical solution for supercritical torques $\tau \gg \tau^h$[6] is given by:

$$\dot{\Phi}(t) \approx \omega + \frac{\omega_{ip}^2}{4 \sqrt{\alpha^2 \omega_{cx}^2 + 4 \omega^2}} \cos 2\omega t,$$

(12)

where $\omega$ is defined by (11).
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