

# Elementary covering numbers in odd-dimensional unitary groups

Raimund Preusser

## Abstract

Let  $(K, \Delta)$  be a Hermitian form field and  $n \geq 3$ . We prove that if  $\sigma \in \mathrm{U}_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$  is a unitary matrix of level  $(K, \Delta)$ , then any short root transvection  $T_{ij}(x)$  is a product of 4 elementary unitary conjugates of  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^{-1}$ . Moreover, the bound 4 is sharp. We also show that any extra short root transvection  $T_i(x, y)$  is a product of 12 elementary unitary conjugates of  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^{-1}$ . If the level of  $\sigma$  is  $(0, K \times 0)$ , then any  $(0, K \times 0)$ -elementary extra short root transvection  $T_i(x, 0)$  is a product of 2 elementary unitary conjugates of  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^{-1}$ .

## 1 Introduction

The investigation of products of conjugacy classes in different types of groups is a popular topic in group theory during the last 30-40 years. Many papers were devoted to this theme, for example [1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18]. A lot of these works are concerned with the computation of covering numbers.

Let  $G$  be a group and  $S \subseteq G$  a subset. For any subset  $X \subseteq G$  define  $\mathrm{cn}_X(S)$  as the least positive integer  $m$  such that  $S \subseteq X^m = \{x_1 \dots x_m \mid x_1, \dots, x_m \in X\}$ . If no such  $m$  exists, then  $\mathrm{cn}_X(S) := \infty$ . We call  $\mathrm{cn}_X(S)$  the *covering number of  $S$  with respect to  $X$* . For any set  $\mathcal{X}$  of subsets of  $G$  define  $\mathrm{cn}_{\mathcal{X}}(S)$  as the supremum of all covering numbers  $\mathrm{cn}_X(S)$  where  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ . We call  $\mathrm{cn}_{\mathcal{X}}(S)$  the *covering number of  $S$  with respect to  $\mathcal{X}$* . Note that if  $\mathcal{X}$  is the set of all conjugacy classes in  $G$  that are not contained in a proper normal subgroup, then  $\mathrm{cn}_{\mathcal{X}}(G)$  is the usual covering number  $\mathrm{cn}(G)$  as defined in [8]. We call  $\mathrm{scn}_X(S) := \mathrm{cn}_{X \cup X^{-1}}(S)$  the *symmetric covering number of  $S$  with respect to  $X$*  and  $\mathrm{scn}_{\mathcal{X}}(S) := \sup\{\mathrm{scn}_X(S) \mid X \in \mathcal{X}\}$  the *symmetric covering number of  $S$  with respect to  $\mathcal{X}$* .

The hyperbolic unitary groups  $\mathrm{U}_{2n}(R, \Lambda)$  were defined by A. Bak in 1969 [2]. They embrace the classical Chevalley groups of type  $C_m$  and  $D_m$ , namely the even-dimensional symplectic and orthogonal groups  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(R)$  and  $\mathrm{O}_{2n}(R)$ . In 2018, A. Bak and the author defined odd-dimensional unitary groups  $\mathrm{U}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  [3]. These groups generalise the even-dimensional unitary groups  $\mathrm{U}_{2n}(R, \Lambda)$  and embrace all classical

---

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20E45, 20H20.

Keywords and phrases. classical-like groups, conjugacy classes, covering numbers.

The work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant 19-71-30002.

Chevalley groups. The groups  $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  are in turn embraced by V. Petrov's odd unitary groups, which were introduced in [15].

Let  $(K, \Delta)$  be a Hermitian form field and  $n \geq 3$ . Denote the odd unitary group  $U_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$  by  $G$  and its elementary subgroup  $EU_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$  by  $E$ . It follows from the Sandwich Classification Theorem 28 that if  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  normalised by  $E$ , then there is a unique odd form ideal  $(I, \Omega)$  of  $(K, \Delta)$  such that

$$EU_{2n+1}((K, \Delta), (I, \Omega)) \subseteq H \subseteq CU_{2n+1}((K, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$$

where  $EU_{2n+1}((K, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$  denotes the relative elementary subgroup of level  $(I, \Omega)$  and  $CU_{2n+1}((K, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$  the full congruence subgroup of level  $(I, \Omega)$ . The odd form ideal  $(I, \Omega)$  is called the *level* of  $H$ . The *level* of a conjugacy class  $C$  in  $G$  is the level of the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $C$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the set of all conjugacy classes of level  $(K, \Delta)$ ,  $S_{\text{short}}$  the set of all nontrivial short root transvections and  $S_{\text{extra}}$  the set of all nontrivial extra short root transvections. In this paper we prove that  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}}) \leq 4$  and  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{extra}}) \leq 12$ . Moreover, we show that the bound  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}}) \leq 4$  is sharp, i.e. there is no better bound valid for all Hermitian form fields  $(K, \Delta)$  and  $n \geq 3$ .

If the Hermitian form  $B$  is degenerate and  $K \times 0 \subseteq \Delta$ , then there is a second nonzero odd form ideal, namely  $(0, K \times 0)$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  denote the set of all conjugacy classes of level  $(0, K \times 0)$  and  $T$  the set of all nontrivial  $(0, K \times 0)$ -elementary extra short root transvections. We prove that  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{D}}(T) = 1$  if  $K = \mathbb{F}_2$  and  $(0, 1) \in \Delta$ , and  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{D}}(T) = 2$  otherwise.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we recall some standard notation which is used throughout the paper. In Section 3, we recall the definitions of the groups  $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  and some important subgroups. In Section 4, we prove our main results, namely Theorems 33, 35, 36 and 39. The results of Section 4 are still valid if one replaces all occurrences of “conjugacy class” by “ $E$ -class” or more generally by “ $H$ -class” where  $E \leq H \leq G$  is a fixed intermediate group.

## 2 Notation

$\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of all positive integers. If  $G$  is a group and  $g, h \in G$ , we let  $g^h := h^{-1}gh$ ,  ${}^h g := hgh^{-1}$  and  $[g, h] := ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$ . By a ring we mean an associative ring with 1 such that  $1 \neq 0$ . By an ideal we mean a two-sided ideal. If  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $R$  is a ring, then the set of all  $m \times n$  matrices over  $R$  is denoted by  $M_{m \times n}(R)$ . Instead of  $M_{n \times n}(R)$  we may write  $M_n(R)$ . If  $\sigma \in M_{m \times n}(R)$ , we denote the transpose of  $\sigma$  by  $\sigma^t$ , the entry of  $\sigma$  at position  $(i, j)$  by  $\sigma_{ij}$ , the  $i$ -th row of  $\sigma$  by  $\sigma_{i*}$  and the  $j$ -th column of  $\sigma$  by  $\sigma_{*j}$ . The group of all invertible matrices in  $M_n(R)$  is denoted by  $GL_n(R)$  and the identity element of  $GL_n(R)$  by  $e$  or  $e_{n \times n}$ . If  $\sigma \in GL_n(R)$ , then the entry of  $\sigma^{-1}$  at position  $(i, j)$  is denoted by  $\sigma'_{ij}$ , the  $i$ -th row of  $\sigma^{-1}$  by  $\sigma'_{i*}$  and the  $j$ -th column of  $\sigma^{-1}$  by  $\sigma'_{*j}$ . Furthermore, we denote by  ${}^n R$  the set of all row vectors of length  $n$  with entries in  $R$  and by  $R^n$  the set of all column vectors of length  $n$  with entries in  $R$ . We consider  ${}^n R$  as left  $R$ -module and  $R^n$  as right  $R$ -module.

### 3 Odd-dimensional unitary groups

We describe Hermitian form rings  $(R, \Delta)$  and odd form ideals  $(I, \Omega)$  first, then the odd-dimensional unitary group  $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  and its elementary subgroup  $EU_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  over a Hermitian form ring  $(R, \Delta)$ . For an odd form ideal  $(I, \Omega)$ , we recall the definitions of the following subgroups of  $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ : the preelementary subgroup  $EU_{2n+1}(I, \Omega)$  of level  $(I, \Omega)$ , the elementary subgroup  $EU_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$  of level  $(I, \Omega)$ , the principal congruence subgroup  $U_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$  of level  $(I, \Omega)$ , the normalised principal congruence subgroup  $NU_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$  of level  $(I, \Omega)$ , and the full congruence subgroup  $CU_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$  of level  $(I, \Omega)$ .

#### 3.1 Hermitian form rings and odd form ideals

First we recall the definitions of a ring with involution with symmetry and a Hermitian ring.

**Definition 1.** Let  $R$  be a ring and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\cdot} : R &\rightarrow R \\ x &\mapsto \bar{x} \end{aligned}$$

an anti-isomorphism of  $R$  (i.e.  $\bar{\cdot}$  is bijective,  $\overline{x+y} = \bar{x} + \bar{y}$ ,  $\overline{xy} = \bar{y}\bar{x}$  for any  $x, y \in R$  and  $\bar{\bar{1}} = 1$ ). Furthermore, let  $\lambda \in R$  such that  $\bar{x} = \lambda x \lambda$  for any  $x \in R$ . Then  $\lambda$  is called a *symmetry* for  $\bar{\cdot}$ , the pair  $(\bar{\cdot}, \lambda)$  an *involution with symmetry* and the triple  $(R, \bar{\cdot}, \lambda)$  a *ring with involution with symmetry*. A subset  $A \subseteq R$  is called *involution invariant* iff  $\bar{x} \in A$  for any  $x \in A$ . A *Hermitian ring* is a quadruple  $(R, \bar{\cdot}, \lambda, \mu)$  where  $(R, \bar{\cdot}, \lambda)$  is a ring with involution with symmetry and  $\mu \in R$  is a ring element such that  $\mu = \bar{\mu}\lambda$ .

**Remark 2.** Let  $(R, \bar{\cdot}, \lambda, \mu)$  be a Hermitian ring.

- (a) It is easy to show that  $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda^{-1}$ .
- (b) The map

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\cdot} : R &\rightarrow R \\ x &\mapsto \underline{x} := \bar{\lambda}\bar{x}\lambda \end{aligned}$$

is the inverse map of  $\bar{\cdot}$ . One checks easily that  $(R, \underline{\cdot}, \lambda, \mu)$  is a Hermitian ring.

Next we recall the definition of an  $R^\bullet$ -module.

**Definition 3.** If  $R$  is a ring, let  $R^\bullet$  denote the underlying set of the ring equipped with the multiplication of the ring, but not the addition of the ring. A (*right*)  $R^\bullet$ -module is a not necessarily abelian group  $(G, \dot{+})$  equipped with a map

$$\begin{aligned} \circ : G \times R^\bullet &\rightarrow G \\ (a, x) &\mapsto a \circ x \end{aligned}$$

such that the following holds:

- (i)  $a \circ 0 = 0$  for any  $a \in G$ ,
- (ii)  $a \circ 1 = a$  for any  $a \in G$ ,
- (iii)  $(a \circ x) \circ y = a \circ (xy)$  for any  $a \in G$  and  $x, y \in R$  and
- (iv)  $(a \dot{+} b) \circ x = (a \circ x) \dot{+} (b \circ x)$  for any  $a, b \in G$  and  $x \in R$ .

Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be  $R^\bullet$ -modules. A group homomorphism  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  satisfying  $f(a \circ x) = f(a) \circ x$  for any  $a \in G$  and  $x \in R$  is called a *homomorphism of  $R^\bullet$ -modules*. A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  which is  $\circ$ -stable (i.e.  $a \circ x \in H$  for any  $a \in H$  and  $x \in R$ ) is called an  *$R^\bullet$ -submodule*. Moreover, if  $A \subseteq G$  and  $B \subseteq R$ , we denote by  $A \circ B$  the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $\{a \circ b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ . We treat  $\circ$  as an operator with higher priority than  $\dot{+}$ .

An important example of an  $R^\bullet$ -module is the Heisenberg group, which we define next.

**Definition 4.** Let  $(R, \bar{\cdot}, \lambda, \mu)$  be a Hermitian ring. Define the map.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{+} : (R \times R) \times (R \times R) &\rightarrow R \times R \\ ((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &\mapsto (x_1, y_1) \dot{+} (x_2, y_2) := (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2 - \bar{x}_1 \mu x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $(R \times R, \dot{+})$  is a group, which we call the *Heisenberg group* and denote by  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Equipped with the map

$$\begin{aligned} \circ : (R \times R) \times R^\bullet &\rightarrow R \times R \\ ((x, y), a) &\mapsto (x, y) \circ a := (xa, \bar{a}ya) \end{aligned}$$

$\mathfrak{H}$  becomes an  $R^\bullet$ -module.

**Remark 5.** We denote the inverse of an element  $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{H}$  by  $\dot{-}(x, y)$ . One checks easily that  $\dot{-}(x, y) = (-x, -y - \bar{x}\mu x)$  for any  $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{H}$ .

In order to define the odd-dimensional unitary groups we need the notion of a Hermitian form ring.

**Definition 6.** Let  $(R, \bar{\cdot}, \lambda, \mu)$  be a Hermitian ring. Let  $(R, \dot{+})$  have the  $R^\bullet$ -module structure defined by  $x \circ a = \bar{a}xa$ . Define the *trace map*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} : \mathfrak{H} &\rightarrow R \\ (x, y) &\mapsto \bar{x}\mu x + y + \bar{y}\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

One checks easily that  $\text{tr}$  is a homomorphism of  $R^\bullet$ -modules. Set

$$\Delta_{\min} := \{(0, x - \bar{x}\lambda) \mid x \in R\}$$

and

$$\Delta_{\max} := \ker(\text{tr}).$$

An  $R^\bullet$ -submodule  $\Delta$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$  lying between  $\Delta_{\min}$  and  $\Delta_{\max}$  is called an *odd form parameter* for  $(R, \bar{\cdot}, \lambda, \mu)$ . Since  $\Delta_{\min}$  and  $\Delta_{\max}$  are  $R^\bullet$ -submodules of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , they are respectively the smallest and largest odd form parameters. A pair  $((R, \bar{\cdot}, \lambda, \mu), \Delta)$  is called a *Hermitian form ring*. We shall usually abbreviate it by  $(R, \Delta)$ .

Next we define an odd form ideal of a Hermitian form ring.

**Definition 7.** Let  $(R, \Delta)$  be a Hermitian form ring and  $I$  an involution invariant ideal of  $R$ . Set  $J(\Delta) := \{y \in R \mid \exists z \in R : (y, z) \in \Delta\}$  and  $\tilde{I} := \{x \in R \mid \overline{J(\Delta)\mu x} \subseteq I\}$ . Obviously  $\tilde{I}$  and  $J(\Delta)$  are right ideals of  $R$  and  $I \subseteq \tilde{I}$ . Moreover, set

$$\Omega_{\min}^I := \{(0, x - \bar{x}\lambda) \mid x \in I\} \dot{+} \Delta \circ I$$

and

$$\Omega_{\max}^I := \Delta \cap (\tilde{I} \times I).$$

An  $R^\bullet$ -submodule  $\Omega$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$  lying between  $\Omega_{\min}^I$  and  $\Omega_{\max}^I$  is called a *relative odd form parameter of level  $I$* . Since  $\Omega_{\min}^I$  and  $\Omega_{\max}^I$  are  $R^\bullet$ -submodules of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , they are respectively the smallest and the largest relative odd form parameters of level  $I$ . If  $\Omega$  is a relative odd form parameter of level  $I$ , then  $(I, \Omega)$  is called an *odd form ideal* of  $(R, \Delta)$ .

### 3.2 The odd-dimensional unitary group

Let  $(R, \Delta)$  be a Hermitian form ring and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $M := R^{2n+1}$ . We use the following indexing for the elements of the standard basis of  $M$ :  $(e_1, \dots, e_n, e_0, e_{-n}, \dots, e_{-1})$ . That means that  $e_i$  is the column whose  $i$ -th coordinate is one and all the other coordinates are zero if  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the column whose  $(n+1)$ -th coordinate is one and all the other coordinates are zero if  $i = 0$ , and the column whose  $(2n+2+i)$ -th coordinate is one and all the other coordinates are zero if  $-n \leq i \leq -1$ . If  $u \in M$ , then we call  $(u_1, \dots, u_n, u_{-n}, \dots, u_{-1})^t \in R^{2n}$  the *hyperbolic part* of  $u$  and denote it by  $u_{\text{hb}}$ . We set  $u^* := \bar{u}^t$  and  $u_{\text{hb}}^* := \bar{u}_{\text{hb}}^t$ . Moreover, we define the maps

$$B : M \times M \rightarrow R$$

$$(u, v) \mapsto u^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \pi \\ 0 & \mu & 0 \\ \pi\lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} v = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{u}_i v_{-i} + \bar{u}_0 \mu v_0 + \sum_{i=-n}^{-1} \bar{u}_i \lambda v_{-i}$$

and

$$Q : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$$

$$u \mapsto (Q_1(u), Q_2(u)) := (u_0, u_{\text{hb}}^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} u_{\text{hb}}) = (u_0, \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{u}_i u_{-i})$$

where  $\pi \in M_n(R)$  denotes the matrix with ones on the skew diagonal and zeros elsewhere.

**Lemma 8** ([3, Lemma 12]).

- (i)  $B$  is a  $\lambda$ -Hermitian form, *i.e.*  $B$  is biadditive,  $B(ux, vy) = \bar{x}B(u, v)y \forall u, v \in M, x, y \in R$  and  $B(u, v) = \overline{B(v, u)}\lambda \forall u, v \in M$ .
- (ii)  $Q(ux) = Q(u) \circ x \forall u \in M, x \in R$ ,  $Q(u+v) \equiv Q(u) \dot{+} Q(v) \dot{+} (0, B(u, v)) \pmod{\Delta_{\min}} \forall u, v \in M$  and  $\text{tr}(Q(u)) = B(u, u) \forall u \in M$ .

**Definition 9.** The group  $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta) :=$

$$\{\sigma \in \text{GL}_{2n+1}(R) \mid B(\sigma u, \sigma v) = B(u, v) \wedge Q(\sigma u) \equiv Q(u) \pmod{\Delta} \forall u, v \in M\}$$

is called the *odd-dimensional unitary group*.

**Remark 10.** The groups  $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  include as special cases the even-dimensional unitary groups  $U_{2n}(R, \Lambda)$  and all classical Chevalley groups. On the other hand, the groups  $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  are embraced by Petrov's odd unitary groups  $U_{2l}(R, \mathfrak{L})$ . For details see [3, Remark 14(c) and Example 15].

**Definition 11.** We define the sets  $\Theta_+ := \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\Theta_- := \{-n, \dots, -1\}$ ,  $\Theta := \Theta_+ \cup \Theta_- \cup \{0\}$  and  $\Theta_{\text{hb}} := \Theta \setminus \{0\}$ . Moreover, we define the map

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon : \Theta_{\text{hb}} &\rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \\ i &\mapsto \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \in \Theta_+, \\ -1, & \text{if } i \in \Theta_-. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 12** ([3, Lemma 17]). *Let  $\sigma \in \text{GL}_{2n+1}(R)$ . Then  $\sigma \in U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  iff Conditions (i) and (ii) below are satisfied.*

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_{ij} &= \lambda^{-(\epsilon(i)+1)/2} \bar{\sigma}_{-j, -i} \lambda^{(\epsilon(j)+1)/2} \quad \forall i, j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}, \\ \mu \sigma'_{0j} &= \bar{\sigma}_{-j, 0} \lambda^{(\epsilon(j)+1)/2} \quad \forall j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}, \\ \sigma'_{i0} &= \lambda^{-(\epsilon(i)+1)/2} \bar{\sigma}_{0, -i} \mu \quad \forall i \in \Theta_{\text{hb}} \text{ and} \\ \mu \sigma'_{00} &= \bar{\sigma}_{00} \mu. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$$Q(\sigma_{*j}) \equiv (\delta_{0j}, 0) \pmod{\Delta} \quad \forall j \in \Theta.$$

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $\sigma \in U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ . If  $\sigma_{*j} = e_k x$  for some  $j, k \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$  and invertible  $x \in R$ , then  $\sigma_{-k, *} = (e_{-j} \hat{x})^t$  where  $\hat{x} = \lambda^{(\epsilon(k)-1)/2} \bar{x}^{-1} \lambda^{(1-\epsilon(j))/2}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $e = \sigma^{-1} \sigma$ , we have

$$\delta_{ij} = (\sigma^{-1} \sigma)_{ij} = \sigma'_{i*} \sigma_{*j} = \sigma'_{i*} e_k x = \sigma'_{ik} x$$

for any  $i \in \Theta$ . It follows from the previous lemma that  $\sigma_{-k, *} = (e_{-j} \hat{x})^t$ .  $\square$

### 3.3 The polarity map

**Definition 14.** The map

$$\begin{aligned} \sim : M &\longrightarrow M^* \\ u &\longmapsto (\bar{u}_{-1} \lambda \quad \dots \quad \bar{u}_{-n} \lambda \quad \bar{u}_0 \mu \quad \bar{u}_n \quad \dots \quad \bar{u}_1) \end{aligned}$$

where  $M^* = {}^{2n+1}R$  is called the *polarity map*. Clearly  $\sim$  is *involuntary linear*, i.e.  $\widetilde{u+v} = \tilde{u} + \tilde{v}$  and  $\widetilde{ux} = \bar{x} \tilde{u}$  for any  $u, v \in M$  and  $x \in R$ .

**Lemma 15** ([16, Lemma 16]). *If  $\sigma \in U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  and  $u \in M$ , then  $\widetilde{\sigma u} = \tilde{u} \sigma^{-1}$ .*

### 3.4 The elementary subgroup

We introduce the following notation. Let  $(R, \_, \lambda, \underline{\mu})$  be the Hermitian ring defined in Remark 2(b) and  $\mathfrak{H}^{-1}$  the corresponding Heisenberg group. Note that the underlying set of both  $\mathfrak{H}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}^{-1}$  is  $R \times R$ . We denote the group operation (resp. scalar multiplication) of  $\mathfrak{H}$  by  $\dot{+}_1$  (resp.  $\circ_1$ ) and the group operation (resp. scalar multiplication) of  $\mathfrak{H}^{-1}$  by  $\dot{+}_{-1}$  (resp.  $\circ_{-1}$ ). Furthermore, we set  $\Delta^1 := \Delta$  and  $\Delta^{-1} := \{(x, y) \in R \times R \mid (x, \bar{y}) \in \Delta\}$ . One checks easily that  $((R, \_, \lambda, \underline{\mu}), \Delta^{-1})$  is a Hermitian form ring. Analogously, if  $(I, \Omega)$  is an odd form ideal of  $(R, \Delta)$ , we set  $\Omega^1 := \Omega$  and  $\Omega^{-1} := \{(x, y) \in R \times R \mid (x, \bar{y}) \in \Omega\}$ . One checks easily that  $(I, \Omega^{-1})$  is an odd form ideal of  $(R, \Delta^{-1})$ .

If  $i, j \in \Theta$ , let  $e^{ij}$  denote the matrix in  $M_{2n+1}(R)$  with 1 in the  $(i, j)$ -th position and 0 in all other positions.

**Definition 16.** If  $i, j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$ ,  $i \neq \pm j$  and  $x \in R$ , the element

$$T_{ij}(x) := e + xe^{ij} - \lambda^{(\epsilon(j)-1)/2} \bar{x} \lambda^{(1-\epsilon(i))/2} e^{-j, -i}$$

of  $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  is called an *(elementary) short root transvection*. If  $i \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$  and  $(x, y) \in \Delta^{-\epsilon(i)}$ , the element

$$T_i(x, y) := e + xe^{0, -i} - \lambda^{-(1+\epsilon(i))/2} \bar{x} \mu e^{i0} + ye^{i, -i}$$

of  $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  is called an *(elementary) extra short root transvection*. The extra short root transvections of the kind

$$T_i(0, y) = e + ye^{i, -i}$$

are called *(elementary) long root transvections*. If an element of  $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  is a short or extra short root transvection, then it is called an *elementary transvection*. The subgroup of  $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  generated by all elementary transvections is called the *elementary subgroup* and is denoted by  $EU_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ .

**Lemma 17** ([3, Lemma 20]). *The following relations hold for the elementary transvections.*

$$T_{ij}(x) = T_{-j, -i}(-\lambda^{(\epsilon(j)-1)/2} \bar{x} \lambda^{(1-\epsilon(i))/2}), \quad (\text{S1})$$

$$T_{ij}(x)T_{ij}(y) = T_{ij}(x + y), \quad (\text{S2})$$

$$[T_{ij}(x), T_{kl}(y)] = e \text{ if } k \neq j, -i \text{ and } l \neq i, -j, \quad (\text{S3})$$

$$[T_{ij}(x), T_{jk}(y)] = T_{ik}(xy) \text{ if } i \neq \pm k, \quad (\text{S4})$$

$$[T_{ij}(x), T_{j, -i}(y)] = T_i(0, xy - \lambda^{(-1-\epsilon(i))/2} \bar{y} \bar{x} \lambda^{(1-\epsilon(i))/2}), \quad (\text{S5})$$

$$T_i(x_1, y_1)T_i(x_2, y_2) = T_i((x_1, y_1) \dot{+}_{-\epsilon(i)} (x_2, y_2)), \quad (\text{E1})$$

$$[T_i(x_1, y_1), T_j(x_2, y_2)] = T_{i, -j}(-\lambda^{-(1+\epsilon(i))/2} \bar{x}_1 \mu x_2) \text{ if } i \neq \pm j, \quad (\text{E2})$$

$$[T_i(x_1, y_1), T_i(x_2, y_2)] = T_i(0, -\lambda^{-(1+\epsilon(i))/2} (\bar{x}_1 \mu x_2 - \bar{x}_2 \mu x_1)), \quad (\text{E3})$$

$$[T_{ij}(x), T_k(y, z)] = e \text{ if } k \neq j, -i \text{ and} \quad (\text{SE1})$$

$$[T_{ij}(x), T_j(y, z)] = T_{j, -i}(z \lambda^{(\epsilon(j)-1)/2} \bar{x} \lambda^{(1-\epsilon(i))/2}) \cdot T_i(y \lambda^{(\epsilon(j)-1)/2} \bar{x} \lambda^{(1-\epsilon(i))/2}, xz \lambda^{(\epsilon(j)-1)/2} \bar{x} \lambda^{(1-\epsilon(i))/2}). \quad (\text{SE2})$$

**Definition 18.** Let  $x \in R$  be invertible and  $i, j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$  such that  $i \neq \pm j$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ij}(x) &:= e + (x-1)e^{ii} + (x^{-1}-1)e^{jj} + (\lambda^{(\epsilon(i)-1)/2}\bar{x}^{-1}\lambda^{-(\epsilon(i)-1)/2} - 1)e^{-i,-i} \\ &\quad + (\lambda^{(\epsilon(j)-1)/2}\bar{x}\lambda^{-(\epsilon(j)-1)/2} - 1)e^{-j,-j} \\ &= T_{ij}(x-1)T_{ji}(1)T_{ij}(x^{-1}-1)T_{ji}(-x) \in \text{EU}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $(D_{ij}(x))^{-1} = D_{ij}(x^{-1})$ .

**Definition 19.** Let  $i, j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$  such that  $i \neq \pm j$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ij} &:= e - e^{ii} - e^{jj} - e^{-i,-i} - e^{-j,-j} + e^{ij} - e^{ji} + \lambda^{(\epsilon(i)-\epsilon(j))/2}e^{-i,-j} - \lambda^{(\epsilon(j)-\epsilon(i))/2}e^{-j,-i} \\ &= T_{ij}(1)T_{ji}(-1)T_{ij}(1) \in \text{EU}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $(P_{ij})^{-1} = P_{ji}$ .

The two lemmas below are easy to check.

**Lemma 20.** Let  $i, j, k \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$  such that  $i \neq \pm j$  and  $k \neq \pm i, \pm j$ . Let  $a \in R$  be invertible,  $x \in R$  and  $(y, z) \in \Delta^{-\epsilon(i)}$ . Then

- (i)  $D_{ik(a)}T_{ij}(x) = T_{ij}(ax)$ ,
- (ii)  $D_{kj(a)}T_{ij}(x) = T_{ij}(xa)$  and
- (iii)  $D_{-i,k(a^{-1})}T_i(y, z) = T_i(ya, \lambda^{-(\epsilon(i)+1)/2}\bar{a}\lambda^{(\epsilon(i)+1)/2}za)$ .

**Lemma 21** ([3, Lemma 23]). Let  $i, j, k \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$  such that  $i \neq \pm j$  and  $k \neq \pm i, \pm j$ . Let  $x \in R$  and  $(y, z) \in \Delta^{-\epsilon(i)}$ . Then

- (i)  $P_{ki}T_{ij}(x) = T_{kj}(x)$ ,
- (ii)  $P_{kj}T_{ij}(x) = T_{ik}(x)$  and
- (iii)  $P_{-k,-i}T_i(y, z) = T_k(y, \lambda^{(\epsilon(i)-\epsilon(k))/2}z)$ .

### 3.5 Relative subgroups

In this subsection  $(I, \Omega)$  denotes an odd form ideal of  $(R, \Delta)$ .

**Definition 22.** A short root transvection  $T_{ij}(x)$  is called  $(I, \Omega)$ -elementary if  $x \in I$ . An extra short root transvection  $T_i(x, y)$  is called  $(I, \Omega)$ -elementary if  $(x, y) \in \Omega^{-\epsilon(i)}$ . The subgroup  $\text{EU}_{2n+1}(I, \Omega)$  of  $\text{EU}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  generated by the  $(I, \Omega)$ -elementary transvections is called the *preelementary subgroup of level  $(I, \Omega)$* . Its normal closure  $\text{EU}_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$  in  $\text{EU}_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  is called the *elementary subgroup of level  $(I, \Omega)$* .

If  $\sigma \in M_{2n+1}(R)$ , we call the matrix  $(\sigma_{ij})_{i,j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}} \in M_{2n}(R)$  the *hyperbolic part* of  $\sigma$  and denote it by  $\sigma_{\text{hb}}$ . Furthermore, we define the submodule  $M(R, \Delta) := \{u \in M \mid u_0 \in J(\Delta)\}$  of  $M$ .

**Definition 23.** The subgroup  $U_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)) :=$

$$\{\sigma \in U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta) \mid \sigma_{\text{hb}} \equiv e_{\text{hb}} \pmod{I} \text{ and } Q(\sigma u) \equiv Q(u) \pmod{\Omega} \forall u \in M(R, \Delta)\}$$

of  $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  is called *the principal congruence subgroup of level  $(I, \Omega)$* .

**Lemma 24** ([3, Lemma 28]). *Let  $\sigma \in U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ . Then  $\sigma \in U_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$  iff Conditions (i) and (ii) below are satisfied.*

(i)  $\sigma_{\text{hb}} \equiv e_{\text{hb}} \pmod{I}$ .

(ii)  $Q(\sigma_{*j}) \in \Omega \forall j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$  and  $(Q(\sigma_{*0}) - (1, 0)) \circ a \in \Omega \forall a \in J(\Delta)$ .

**Definition 25.** The subgroup  $NU_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)) :=$

$$\text{Normaliser}_{U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)}(U_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)))$$

of  $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  is called *the normalised principal congruence subgroup of level  $(I, \Omega)$* .

**Definition 26.** The subgroup  $CU_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)) :=$

$$\{\sigma \in NU_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)) \mid [\sigma, EU_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)] \leq U_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))\}$$

of  $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  is called *the full congruence subgroup of level  $(I, \Omega)$* .

### 3.6 The standard commutator formulas and the sandwich classification theorem

We call the ring  $R$  *quasifinite*, if it is a direct limit of subrings  $R_i$  ( $i \in \Phi$ ) which are almost commutative (i.e. finitely generated as modules over their centers), involution invariant and contain  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ .

**Theorem 27** ([3, Theorem 39]). *Suppose that  $R$  is quasifinite and  $n \geq 3$ . Then  $EU_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$  is a normal subgroup of  $NU_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$  and the standard commutator formulas*

$$\begin{aligned} & [CU_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)), EU_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)] \\ &= [EU_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)), EU_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)] \\ &= EU_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

*hold. In particular from the absolute case  $(I, \Omega) = (R, \Delta)$ , it follows that  $EU_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  is perfect and normal in  $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ .*

**Theorem 28** ([3, Theorem 80]). *Suppose that  $R$  is quasifinite and  $n \geq 3$ . Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ . Then  $H$  is normalised by  $EU_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  if and only if there is an odd form ideal  $(I, \Omega)$  of  $(R, \Delta)$  such that*

$$EU_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)) \subseteq H \subseteq CU_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega)).$$

*Moreover,  $(I, \Omega)$  is uniquely determined.*

Recall that if  $H$  is a subgroup of  $U_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$  normalised by  $EU_{2n+1}(R, \Delta)$ , then the uniquely determined odd form ideal  $(I, \Omega)$  in Theorem 28 is called the level of  $H$ .

## 4 Elementary covering numbers in $U_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$

In this section  $n \geq 3$  denotes an integer and  $(K, \Delta)$  a Hermitian form field (i.e.  $(K, \Delta)$  is a Hermitian form ring and  $K$  a field). We denote the odd unitary group  $U_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$  by  $G$  and its elementary subgroup  $EU_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$  by  $E$ .

If  $\mu \neq 0$  or  $K \times 0 \not\subseteq \Delta$ , then there are only two odd form ideals in  $(K, \Delta)$ , namely  $(0, 0)$  and  $(K, \Delta)$ . If  $\mu = 0$  and  $K \times 0 \subseteq \Delta$ , then there is a third odd form ideal, namely  $(0, K \times 0)$ . It follows from [3, Remark 26] that  $NU_{2n+1}((K, \Delta), (I, \Omega)) = U_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$  for any odd form ideal  $(I, \Omega)$ . Hence  $EU_{2n+1}((K, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$ ,  $U_{2n+1}((K, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$  and  $CU_{2n+1}((K, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$  are normal subgroups of  $U_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$ .

Recall that the level of a conjugacy class  $C$  in  $G$  is the level of the subgroup generated by  $C$ . In Subsection 4.1 we investigate covering numbers with respect to conjugacy classes of level  $(K, \Delta)$ . In Subsection 4.2 we investigate covering numbers with respect to conjugacy classes of level  $(0, K \times 0)$ .

**Lemma 29.** *Let  $C$  be a conjugacy class in  $G$  and  $\sigma$  an element of  $C$ . Set*

$$Y := \{\sigma_{ij}, \sigma_{ii} - \sigma_{jj}, \sigma_{i0}J(\Delta), \overline{J(\Delta)}\mu\sigma_{0j}, \overline{J(\Delta)}\mu(\sigma_{00} - \sigma_{jj})J(\Delta) \mid i, j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}, i \neq j\}$$

and

$$Z := \{Q(\sigma_{*j}), (Q(\sigma_{*0}) \dot{-} (1, 0)) \circ y \dot{+} (y, z) \dot{-} (y, z) \circ \sigma_{ii} \mid i, j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}, (y, z) \in \Delta\}.$$

Let  $I$  be the involution invariant ideal generated by  $Y$  and set  $\Omega := \Omega_{\min}^I \dot{+} Z \circ K$ . Then  $(I, \Omega)$  is the level of  $C$ .

*Proof.* If  $(J, \Sigma)$  and  $(J', \Sigma')$  are odd form ideals, then we call  $(J, \Sigma)$  *smaller* than  $(J', \Sigma')$  if  $J \subseteq J'$  and  $\Sigma \subseteq \Sigma'$ . In order to prove the assertion of the lemma, it suffices to show that  $(I, \Omega)$  is the smallest odd form ideal such that  $\langle C \rangle \subseteq CU_{2n+1}((R, \Delta), (I, \Omega))$ . But that follows from [16, Lemma 31].  $\square$

### 4.1 Elementary covering numbers with respect to conjugacy classes of level $(K, \Delta)$

We denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the set of all conjugacy classes of level  $(K, \Delta)$ , by  $S_{\text{short}}$  the set of all nontrivial short root transvections and by  $S_{\text{extra}}$  the set of all nontrivial extra short root transvections. We will prove that  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}}) \leq 4$  and  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{extra}}) \leq 12$ . In order to do that we need three lemmas.

**Lemma 30.** *Any two elements of  $S_{\text{short}}$  are conjugated.*

*Proof.* The lemma follows from Lemmas 20 and 21.  $\square$

In the following lemma we drop the assumption that  $n \geq 3$ .

**Lemma 31.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  and  $\sigma \in U_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$ . Then either  $(\tau\sigma)_{*1} = e_{-1}x$  for some  $\tau \in EU_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$  and  $x \in K$  or  $(\tau\sigma)_{*1} = e_2x$  for some  $\tau \in EU_{2n+1}(K, \Delta)$  and  $x \in K$  or  $\sigma_{*1} = e_1x + e_0y$  for some  $x, y \in K$ .*

*Proof.* First suppose that  $\sigma_{-1,1} \neq 0$ . Then  $(\tau\sigma)_{*1} = e_{-1}x$  for some  $x \in K$  where  $\tau = (\prod_{i \neq 0, \pm 1} T_{i,-1}(*))T_1(*)$ . Now suppose that  $\sigma_{-1,1} = 0$  and  $\sigma_{j1} \neq 0$  for some  $j \neq 0, \pm 1$ . We may assume that  $j = 2$  (conjugate  $\sigma$  by a product of  $P_{kl}$ 's). Clearly  $(\tau\sigma)_{*1} = e_2x$  for some  $x \in K$  where  $\tau = (\prod_{i \neq 0, -1, \pm 2} T_{i2}(*))T_{-2}(*)$ . The assertion of the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 32.** *Let  $\sigma \in G$  such that  $\sigma_{*1} = e_2x$  for some  $x \in K$ . Then there is a  $\tau \in E$  such that  $(\tau\sigma)_{*1} = e_2x$  and  $(\tau\sigma)_{i,-2} = 0$  for some  $i \in \{\pm 3\}$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $\sigma_{\pm 3,-2} \neq 0$  (otherwise we can choose  $\tau = e$ ). First suppose that  $\sigma_{-1,-2} \neq 0$ . Then the assertion of the lemma holds with  $\tau = T_{-3,-1}(-\sigma_{-3,-2}(\sigma_{-1,-2})^{-1})$ . Suppose now that  $\sigma_{-1,-2} = 0$  (note that we also have  $\sigma_{-2,-2} = 0$  by Lemma 13). Then the assertion of the lemma holds with

$$\tau = \left( \prod_{i \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3} T_{i,-3}(*))T_{-3}(*).\right.$$

$\square$

**Theorem 33.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the set of all conjugacy classes of level  $(K, \Delta)$  and  $S_{\text{short}}$  the set of all nontrivial short root transvections. Then  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}}) \leq 4$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\sigma \in C$ . In order to prove the theorem it suffices to show that  $\text{scn}_C(S_{\text{short}}) \leq 4$ .

**Case 1** Suppose that  $\sigma_{ij} \neq 0$  for some  $i, j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}, i \neq j$ . Then there is a product  $\tau$  of  $P_{kl}$ 's such that  $(\tau\sigma)_{t1} \neq 0$  for some  $t \neq 0, 1$ . The proof of Lemma 31 shows that there is a  $\rho \in E$  such that either  $(\rho\tau\sigma)_{*1} = e_{-1}x$  or  $(\rho\tau\sigma)_{*1} = e_2x$  for some  $x \in K$ . Set  $\zeta := \rho\tau\sigma$ .

**Subcase 1.1** Suppose that  $\zeta_{*1} = e_{-1}x$ . It follows from Lemma 13 that

$$\zeta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \hat{x} \\ 0 & A & v \\ x & u & z \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $A \in M_{2n-1}(K)$ ,  $u \in M_{1 \times (2n-1)}(K)$ ,  $v \in M_{(2n-1) \times 1}(K)$  and  $\hat{x}, z \in K$ . Clearly  $A \in U_{2n-1}(K, \Delta)$  by Lemma 12. By Lemma 31 we may assume that  $\zeta_{-3,2}$  (the penultimate entry of the first column of  $A$ ) equals zero. One checks easily that  $[T_{31}(1), [T_{1,-2}(1), \zeta]] = T_{3,-2}(1)$ . It follows that  $T_{3,-2}(1) \in CC^{-1}CC^{-1}$ . Thus  $S_{\text{short}} \subseteq CC^{-1}CC^{-1}$  by Lemma 30.

**Subcase 1.2** Suppose that  $\zeta_{*1} = e_2x$ . By Lemma 32 we may assume that  $\zeta_{i,-2} = 0$  for some  $i \in \{\pm 3\}$ . By Lemma 13 we have  $\zeta_{-2,*} = (e_{-1}\hat{x})^t$  for some  $\hat{x} \in K$ . One checks easily that  $[T_{2i}(-1), [T_{12}(1), \zeta]] = T_{1i}(1)$ . It follows that  $T_{1i}(1) \in CC^{-1}CC^{-1}$ . Thus  $S_{\text{short}} \subseteq CC^{-1}CC^{-1}$  by Lemma 30.

**Case 2** Suppose that  $\sigma_{ij} = 0$  for any  $i, j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}, i \neq j$  and  $\sigma_{kk} \neq \sigma_{ll}$  for some  $k, l \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}, k \neq l$ . Clearly  $(T_{kl}(1)\sigma)_{kl} = \sigma_{ll} - \sigma_{kk} \neq 0$  and hence one can apply Case 1 to  $T_{kl}(1)\sigma$ .

**Case 3** Suppose that  $\sigma_{ij}, \sigma_{jj} - \sigma_{ii} = 0$  for any  $i, j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}, i \neq j$ . Then  $\sigma$  has the form

$$\sigma = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|c|ccc} y & & & * & & & \\ & \ddots & & \vdots & & & \\ & & y & * & & & \\ \hline * & \dots & * & * & * & \dots & * \\ \hline & & & * & y & & \\ & & & \vdots & & \ddots & \\ & & & * & & & y \end{array} \right)$$

for some  $y \in K$ . Since the level of  $C$  is  $(K, \Delta)$ , it follows from Lemma 29 that  $\mu \neq 0$  (note that if  $\mu = 0$ , then  $\sigma_{i0} = 0$  for any  $i \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$  by Lemma 12).

**Subcase 3.1** Suppose that  $\sigma_{0j} \neq 0$  for some  $j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$ . By Lemma 12 we have  $(\sigma_{0j}, 0) = Q(\sigma_{*j}) \in \Delta$ . Choose an  $i \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$  such that  $i \neq \pm j$ . Then  $(T_i(\sigma_{0j}, 0)\sigma)_{ij} \neq 0$  and hence one can apply Case 1 to  $T_i(\sigma_{0j}, 0)\sigma$ .

**Subcase 3.2** Suppose that  $\sigma_{0j} = 0$  for any  $j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$ . It follows from Lemma 13 that  $\sigma$  is a diagonal matrix. Since the level of  $C$  equals  $(K, \Delta)$ , it follows from Lemma 29 that  $J(\Delta) = K$  and  $\sigma_{00} \neq \sigma_{11}$ . Since  $J(\Delta) = K$  we can choose a  $y \in K$  such that  $(1, y) \in \Delta$ . Clearly  $(T_{-1}(1, y)\sigma)_{01} = \sigma_{11} - \sigma_{00} \neq 0$  and hence one can apply Case 1 or Subcase 3.1 to  $T_{-1}(1, y)\sigma$ .

□

The corollary below follows from Relation (SE2) in Lemma 17.

**Corollary 34.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the set of all conjugacy classes of level  $(K, \Delta)$  and  $S_{\text{extra}}$  the set of all nontrivial extra short root transvections. Then  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{extra}}) \leq 12$ .*

**Theorem 35.** *Suppose that  $\bar{\phantom{x}} = \text{id}$ ,  $\lambda = -1$ ,  $\mu = 1$  and  $\Delta = \Delta_{\text{max}} = 0 \times K$  (hence  $G$  is isomorphic to the symplectic group  $\text{Sp}_{2n}(K)$ ). Moreover, suppose that  $K$  has characteristic 2. Then  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}}) = 3$  or  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}}) = 4$ .*

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 33 it suffices to find an  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\text{scn}_C(S_{\text{short}}) \geq 3$ . Let  $C$  be the conjugacy class of  $T_1(0, 1)$ . Note that  $C = C^{-1}$  since  $K$  has characteristic 2. Assume that  $T_{12}(1) \in C$ . Then there is a  $\sigma \in H$  such that  $\sigma T_1(0, 1) = T_{12}(1)$ . Let  $u$  be the first column of  $\sigma$ . It follows from Lemma 15 that  $\sigma T_1(0, 1) = e + u\tilde{u}$ . Since by assumption  $\sigma T_1(0, 1) = T_{12}(1)$ , we obtain  $u_1 \neq 0$ . But then

$$0 = (T_{12}(1))_{1,-1} = (e + u\tilde{u})_{1,-1} = u_1^2 \neq 0$$

which is absurd.

Assume now that  $T_{12}(1) \in CC$ . Then there are  $\sigma, \tau \in H$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &({}^\sigma T_1(0, 1))({}^\tau T_1(0, 1)) = T_{12}(1) \\ \Leftrightarrow &{}^\sigma T_1(0, 1) = T_{12}(1)({}^\tau T_1(0, 1)). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $u$  and  $v$  be the first columns of  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , respectively. It follows from Lemma 15 that

$$e + u\tilde{u} = T_{12}(1)(e + v\tilde{v}). \quad (1)$$

Let  $i \in \Theta \setminus \{1, -2\}$ . It follows from Equation (1) that

$$u_i\tilde{u} = v_i\tilde{v}. \quad (2)$$

Clearly either  $u_i, v_i \neq 0$  or  $u_i, v_i = 0$ . Assume  $u_i, v_i \neq 0$ . Then  $\tilde{v} = v_i^{-1}u_i\tilde{u}$  which implies that  $v = uk$  for some nonzero  $k \in K$ . Choose a  $j \in \Theta$  such that  $(\tilde{u})_j \neq 0$ . It follows from Equation (2) that  $u_i(\tilde{u})_j = v_i(\tilde{v})_j = k^2u_i(\tilde{u})_j$  whence  $k^2 = 1$ . Hence  $v\tilde{v} = uk^2\tilde{u} = u\tilde{u}$  which leads to a contradiction (consider the first two rows of the matrices in Equation (1)). Hence we have shown that  $u_i, v_i = 0$  for any  $i \in \Theta \setminus \{1, -2\}$ . By considering the entries of the matrices in Equation (1) at positions  $(1, 2)$ ,  $(1, -1)$  and  $(-2, 2)$ , we obtain  $u_1u_{-2} = v_1v_{-2} + 1$ ,  $u_1^2 = v_1^2$  and  $u_{-2}^2 = v_{-2}^2$ . It follows that  $(u_1u_{-2})^2 = (v_1v_{-2})^2 + 1 = (u_1u_{-2})^2 + 1$  which is absurd.

We have shown that neither  $S_{\text{short}} \subseteq C$  nor  $S_{\text{short}} \subseteq CC$ . It follows that  $\text{scn}_C(S_{\text{short}}) \geq 3$ . Thus  $\text{scn}_C(S_{\text{short}}) = 3$  or  $\text{scn}_C(S_{\text{short}}) = 4$  by Theorem 33.  $\square$

**Theorem 36.** *Suppose that  $\bar{\phantom{x}} = \text{id}$ ,  $\lambda = -1$ ,  $\mu = 0$  and  $\Delta = \Delta_{\text{max}} = K \times K$  (hence  $G$  is Proctor's odd symplectic group  $\text{Sp}_{2n+1}(K)$ , see [3, Example 15(4)]). Moreover, suppose that  $K$  has characteristic 2 and contains an element of order  $\geq 4$ . Then  $\text{scn}_C(S_{\text{short}}) = 4$ .*

*Proof.* In view of Theorem 33 it suffices to find an  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\text{scn}_C(S_{\text{short}}) \geq 4$ . Choose an  $x \in K$  of order  $\geq 4$  and set  $\alpha := \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, x, 1, \dots, 1) \in G$  where  $x$  is at position  $(0, 0)$ . Let  $C$  be the conjugacy class of

$$\beta := \alpha T_1(0, 1) = e + e^{1,-1} + (x-1)e^{00}.$$

Assume that  $T_{12}(1) \in C^{i_1} \dots C^{i_m}$  for some  $m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Since  $\det(\beta) = x$  has order  $\geq 4$ , it follows that  $m = 2$  and  $p_1 = -p_2$ . We only consider the case  $p_1 = 1$  and  $p_2 = -1$  and leave the case  $p_1 = -1$  and  $p_2 = 1$  to the reader. So assume that  $T_{12}(1) \in CC^{-1}$ . Then there are  $\sigma, \tau \in H$  such that

$$\sigma\beta(\tau\beta^{-1}) = T_{12}(1) \Leftrightarrow \sigma\beta = T_{12}(1)(\tau\beta).$$

Let  $u$  and  $v$  be the first columns of  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , respectively. It follows from Lemmas 12 and 15 that

$$e + u_{\text{hb}}\tilde{u}_{\text{hb}} + e_0w = T_{12}(1)(e + v_{\text{hb}}\tilde{v}_{\text{hb}} + e_0w') \quad (3)$$

for some  $w, w' \in M_{1 \times (2n+1)}(K)$ . Let  $i \in \Theta_{\text{hb}} \setminus \{1, -2\}$ . It follows from Equation (3) that

$$u_i\tilde{u}_{\text{hb}} = v_i\tilde{v}_{\text{hb}}. \quad (4)$$

Clearly either  $u_i, v_i \neq 0$  or  $u_i, v_i = 0$ . Assume  $u_i, v_i \neq 0$ . Then  $\tilde{v}_{\text{hb}} = v_i^{-1}u_i\tilde{u}_{\text{hb}}$  which implies that  $v_{\text{hb}} = u_{\text{hb}}k$  for some nonzero  $k \in K$ . Choose a  $j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$  such that  $(\tilde{u})_j \neq 0$ . It follows from Equation (4) that  $u_i(\tilde{u})_j = v_i(\tilde{v})_j = u_i k^2 (\tilde{u})_j$  whence  $k^2 = 1$ . Hence  $v_{\text{hb}}\tilde{v}_{\text{hb}} = u_{\text{hb}}\tilde{u}_{\text{hb}}$  which leads to a contradiction (consider the first two rows of the matrices in Equation (3)). Hence we have shown that  $u_i, v_i = 0$  for any  $i \in \Theta_{\text{hb}} \setminus \{1, -2\}$ . By considering the entries of the matrices in Equation (3) at positions  $(1, 2)$ ,  $(1, -1)$  and  $(-2, 2)$ , we obtain  $u_1 u_{-2} = v_1 v_{-2} + 1$ ,  $u_1^2 = v_1^2$  and  $u_{-2}^2 = v_{-2}^2$ . It follows that  $(u_1 u_{-2})^2 = (v_1 v_{-2})^2 + 1 = (u_1 u_{-2})^2 + 1$  which is absurd. We have shown that there is no  $m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{\pm 1\}$  such that  $S_{\text{short}} \subseteq C^{i_1} \dots C^{i_m}$ . It follows that  $\text{scn}_C(S_{\text{short}}) \geq 4$ . Thus  $\text{scn}_C(S_{\text{short}}) = 4$  by Theorem 33.  $\square$

## 4.2 Elementary covering numbers with respect to conjugacy classes of level $(0, K \times 0)$

In this subsection we assume that  $\mu = 0$  and  $K \times 0 \subseteq \Delta$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{D}$  the set of all conjugacy classes of level  $(0, K \times 0)$  and by  $T$  the set of all nontrivial  $(0, K \times 0)$ -elementary extra short root transvections. We will determine  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{D}}(T)$ .

**Lemma 37.** *Any two elements of  $T$  are conjugated.*

*Proof.* The lemma follows from Lemmas 20 and 21.  $\square$

**Lemma 38.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  denote the set of all conjugacy classes of level  $(0, K \times 0)$ , and  $S$  the set of all nontrivial  $(0, K \times 0)$ -elementary extra short root transvections. Then  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{D}}(T) \leq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\sigma \in D$ . In order to prove the theorem it suffices to show that  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{D}}(T) \leq 2$ . Since the level of  $D$  equals  $(0, K \times 0)$ , there is an  $x \in K$  and  $u, v \in M_{1 \times n}(K)$  such that

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} e_{n \times n} & 0 & 0 \\ u & x & v \\ 0 & 0 & e_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Case 1** Suppose that  $\sigma_{0i} \neq 0$  for some  $i \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$ . We may assume that  $\sigma_{0j} = 0$  for some  $j \in \Theta_{\text{hb}} \setminus \{\pm i\}$  (conjugate  $\sigma$  by  $T_{ij}(-\sigma_{0i}^{-1}\sigma_{0j})$ ). One checks easily that  $[\sigma, T_{i,-j}(1)] = T_j(\sigma_{0i}, 0)$ . It follows that  $T_j(\sigma_{0i}, 0) \in DD^{-1}$ . Thus  $S \subseteq DD^{-1}$  by Lemma 37.

**Case 2** Suppose that  $\sigma_{0i} = 0$  for any  $i \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$ . Then  $x \neq 1$  since the level of  $D$  equals  $(0, K \times 0)$ . One checks easily that  $[\sigma, T_1(1, 0)] = T_1(x - 1, 0)$ . It follows that  $T_1(x - 1, 0) \in DD^{-1}$ . Thus  $S \subseteq DD^{-1}$  by Lemma 37.  $\square$

**Theorem 39.**  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{D}}(T) = 1$  if  $K = \mathbb{F}_2$  and  $(0, 1) \in \Delta$ , and  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{D}}(T) = 2$  otherwise.

*Proof.* **Case 1** Suppose that  $K = \mathbb{F}_2$  and  $(0, 1) \in \Delta$ . Let  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\sigma \in D$ . Since the level of  $D$  equals  $(0, K \times 0)$ , there are  $u, v \in M_{1 \times n}(K)$  such that

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} e_{n \times n} & 0 & 0 \\ u & 1 & v \\ 0 & 0 & e_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover,  $\sigma_{0i} = 1$  for some  $i \in \Theta_{\text{hb}}$ . One checks easily that  $\sigma^\tau = T_{-i}(1, 0)$  where  $\tau = (\prod_{j \neq \pm i} T_{ij}(*))T_i(0, *)$ . It follows that  $T_{-i}(1, 0) \in D$ . Thus  $S \subseteq D$  by Lemma 37.

**Case 2** Suppose that  $K = \mathbb{F}_2$  and  $(0, 1) \notin \Delta$ . Set  $\sigma := T_1(1, 0)T_{-1}(1, 0) \in G$  and let  $D$  be the conjugacy class of  $\sigma$ . Then the level of  $D$  equals  $(0, K \times 0)$ . Assume that  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{D}}(T) = 1$ . Then there is a  $\tau \in H$  such that  $\tau\sigma = T_{-1}(1, 0)$ . Since  $\sigma = e + e_{01} + e_{0,-1} = e + e_0(e_1^t + e_{-1}^t)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau\sigma &= T_{-1}(1, 0) \\ \Leftrightarrow e + e_0(e_1^t + e_{-1}^t)\tau^{-1} &= e + e_0e_1^t \\ \Leftrightarrow e_0(e_1^t + e_{-1}^t) &= e_0e_1^t\tau \\ \Leftrightarrow e_0(e_1^t + e_{-1}^t) &= e_0\tau_{1*} \\ \Leftrightarrow e_1^t + e_{-1}^t &= \tau_{1*}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 12 that  $\tau'_{*, -1} = e_1 + e_0x + e_{-1}$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{F}_2$ . Hence  $(x, 1) = Q(\tau'_{*, -1}) \in \Delta$  (also by Lemma 12). Since by assumption  $(0, 1) \notin \Delta$ , we obtain  $(1, 1) \in \Delta$ . Since  $(1, 0) \in \Delta$  it follows that  $(0, 1) = (1, 1) \dot{+} (1, 0) \in \Delta$  which contradicts the assumption that  $(0, 1) \notin \Delta$ . Hence  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{D}}(T) \geq 2$ . It follows from Lemma 38 that  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{D}}(T) = 2$ .

**Case 3** Suppose that  $K \neq \mathbb{F}_2$ . Choose an  $x \in K \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . Let  $D$  be the conjugacy class of  $\text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, x, 1, \dots, 1) \in G$  where  $x$  is in position  $(0, 0)$ . Then the level of  $D$  equals  $(0, K \times 0)$ . Since  $\det(\text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, x, 1, \dots, 1)) = x \neq 1$ , we have  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{D}}(T) \geq 2$ . It follows from Lemma 38 that  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{D}}(T) = 2$ . □

### 4.3 Some open questions

As in Subsection 4.1 we denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the set of all conjugacy classes of level  $(K, \Delta)$ , by  $S_{\text{short}}$  the set of all nontrivial short root transvections and by  $S_{\text{extra}}$  the set of all nontrivial extra short root transvections. By Theorem 33 we have  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}}) \leq 4$ . By Theorem 36, 4 is the optimal uniform bound for  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}})$  (valid for all Hermitian form fields  $(K, \Delta)$  and  $n \geq 3$ ). One can ask Questions 40 and 41 below.

**Question 40.** Can the bound  $\text{scn}_{\mathcal{C}}(S_{\text{short}}) \leq 4$  be improved if one restricts to Hermitian form fields  $(K, \Delta)$  where  $\mu \neq 0$  (i.e. the Hermitian form  $B$  is nondegenerate) or 2 is invertible?

**Question 41.** What is the optimal bound for  $\text{scn}_C(S_{\text{short}})$  for the classical Chevalley groups  $\text{Sp}_{2n}(K)$ ,  $\text{O}_{2n}(K)$  and  $\text{O}_{2n+1}(K)$ , respectively?

By Corollary 34 we have  $\text{scn}_C(S_{\text{extra}}) \leq 12$ . But it could be the case that this bound is not optimal.

**Question 42.** What is the optimal bound for  $\text{scn}_C(S_{\text{extra}})$ ?

## References

- [1] Z. Arad, J. Stavi, M. Herzog, *Powers and products of conjugacy classes in groups*. In: Z. Arad, M. Herzog, *Products of conjugacy classes in groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1112**, Springer, 1985.
- [2] A. Bak, *The stable structure of quadratic modules*, PhD thesis, Columbia University, 1969.
- [3] A. Bak, R. Preusser, *The E-normal structure of odd dimensional unitary groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **222** (2018), 2823–2880.
- [4] A. Beltran, M.J. Felipe, C. Melchor, *Some problems about products of conjugacy classes in finite groups*, Int. J. Group Theory **9** (2020), 59–68.
- [5] E.W. Ellers, N. Gordeev, M. Herzog, *Covering numbers for Chevalley groups*, Israel J. Math. **111** (1999), 339–372.
- [6] N. Gordeev, *Products of conjugacy classes in algebraic groups I*, J. Algebra **173** (1995), 715–744.
- [7] N. Gordeev, *Products of conjugacy classes in algebraic groups II*, J. Algebra **173** (1995), 745–779.
- [8] N. Gordeev, J. Saxl, *Products of conjugacy classes in Chevalley groups I. Extended covering numbers*, Isr. J. Math. **130** (2002), 207–248.
- [9] N. Gordeev, J. Saxl, *Products of conjugacy classes in Chevalley groups II. Covering and generation*, Isr. J. Math. **130** (2002), 249–258.
- [10] R. Guralnick, G. Malle, P. Huu Tiep, *Products of conjugacy classes in finite and algebraic simple groups*, Adv. Math. **234** (2013), 618–652.
- [11] F. Knüppel, K. Nielsen, *The extended covering number of  $SL_n$  is  $n + 1$* , Linear Algebra Appl. **418** (2006), 634–656.
- [12] A. Lev, *Products of cyclic conjugacy classes in the groups  $PSL(n, F)$* , Linear Algebra Appl. **179** (1993), 59–83.
- [13] A. Lev, *Products of cyclic similarity classes in the group  $GL_n(F)$* , Linear Algebra Appl. **202** (1994), 235–266.

- [14] A. Lev, *The covering number of the group  $PSL_n(F)$* , J. Algebra **182** (1996), 60–84.
- [15] V. A. Petrov, *Odd unitary groups*, J. Math. Sci. **130** (2005), no. 3, 4752–4766.
- [16] R. Preusser, *The subnormal structure of classical-like groups over commutative rings*, to appear in J. Group Theory, <https://doi.org/10.1515/jgth-2020-0136>.
- [17] D.M. Rodgers, J. Saxl, *Products of conjugacy classes in the special linear groups*, Comm. Algebra **31** (2003), 4623–4638.
- [18] L.N. Vaserstein, E. Wheland, *Products of conjugacy classes of two by two matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **230** (1995), 165–188.

CHEBYSHEV LABORATORY, ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, RUSSIA  
*E-mail address:* `raimund.preusser@gmx.de`