arXiv:2104.06894v2 [math.AG] 6 May 2021

ON ALGEBRAIC BI-LIPSCHITZ HOMEOMORPHISMS

ZBIGNIEW JELONEK

Abstract. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n; Y \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be closed affine varieties and let $\phi : X \to Y$ be an algebraic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Then $\deg X = \deg Y$. Similarly, let $(X,0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n,0), (Y,0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^m,0)$ be germs of analytic sets and let $f : (X,0) \to (Y,0)$ be a c-holomorphic and bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Then $\mathrm{mult}_0\ X = \mathrm{mult}_0\ Y$. Finally we show that the normality is not a bi-Lipschitz invariant.

1. Introduction

In [4] Bobadilla, Fernandes and Sampaio study invariance of degree of complex affine varieties under bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms. They proved that this problem is equivalent to the bi-Lipschitz version of the Zariski multiplicity conjecture. Moreover, they proved that the degree of curves and surfaces are such invariants. From other point of view in [3] Birbrair, Fernandes, Sampaio and Verbitsky give an example of two three-dimensional affine varieties, which are bi-Lipschitz equivalent but they have different degrees. In fact they showed that we have two different embeddings of $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ into $\mathbb{CP}^5$, say X and Y, such that affine cones $C(X), C(Y) \subset \mathbb{C}^6$ are bi-Lipschitz equivalent, but they have different degrees. Hence in general the algebraic degree of an affine set is not a bi-Lipschitz invariant. However varieties X, Y of Birbrair, Fernandes, Sampaio and Verbitsky have codimension greater than one. Hence the problem of invariance of degree under bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms is still open in the important case of affine hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}^n$, where $n > 3$.

In this paper we introduce a new class of bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism- the algebraic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms. Assume that X, Y are affine varieties. We say that homeomorphism $f : X \to Y$ is an algebraic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism if it is a bi-Lipschitz and its graph is an algebraic variety. Inspired by our recent paper [1] (with L. Birbrair and A. Fernandes) we show that this class of mappings preserves the degree of affine varieties:

Theorem 3.4 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be affine algebraic varieties and let $f : X \to Y$ be an algebraic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Then* $\deg X = \deg Y$.

In a similar way we can prove:

Theorem 4.1 *Let $(X,0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n,0), (Y,0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^m,0)$ be germs of analytic sets and let $f : (X,0) \to (Y,0)$ be a c-holomorphic and bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Then* $\mathrm{mult}_0\ X = \mathrm{mult}_0\ Y$.

Let us recall that a mapping $f : X \to Y$ is c-holomorphic, if it is continuous and its graph is analytic in $X \times Y$ (here X, Y are analytic sets).

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14 R 10, 14 P 15, 14 P 10.

Key words and phrases. degree of algebraic variety, bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism.

The author is partially supported by the grant of Narodowe Centrum Nauki number 2019/33/B/ST1/00755.

Corollary 4.2 *Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be affine algebraic varieties and let $f : X \to Y$ be an algebraic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Then* $\deg X = \deg Y$ *and for every $x \in X$ we have* $\mathrm{mult}_x\ X = \mathrm{mult}_{f(x)}\ Y$.

At the end of this paper we give an example of two algebraic affine varieties X, Y such that X is normal, Y is not normal and there exists an algebraic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $f : X \to Y$. Hence the normality is not a bi-Lipschitz invariant.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. *Let X, Y be affine complex varieties and let $f : X \to Y$ be a continuous mapping. We say that f* is algebraic *if the graph of f is a complex algebraic set.*

Proposition 2.2. *Every algebraic mapping is rational, i.e., there exists a Zariski open subset $U \subset X$ such that the mapping $f|_U$ is a regular mapping.*

Proof. Indeed, let Γ be a graph of f and $\iota : X \ni x \mapsto (x, f(x)) \in \Gamma$. Let $U \subset X$ be the set of smooth points of X. Then U is a Zariski open dense subset of X. By the Zariski Main Theorem the projection $\pi : \Gamma \to X$ is an isomorphism over U. This means that ι is an isomorphism on U. But $f|U = \pi \circ \iota|_U$, hence f is regular on U. □

In other words an algebraic mapping is a mapping which additionally is rational. Here we are interested in these algebraic mappings, which are additionally bi-Lipschitz. Note that if a mapping $f : X \to Y$ is an algebraic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism, then the mapping $f^{-1} : Y \to X$ is also an algebraic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. First of all let us recall the following statement, which follows from [1]:

Theorem 2.3. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a $k-$dimensional affine variety. Then there is an algebraic bi-Lipschitz embedding $f : X \to \mathbb{C}^{2k+1}$.*

We say that the mapping $f : X \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is an algebraic bi-Lipschitz embedding, if the mapping $f : X \to f(X)$ is algebraic and bi-Lipschitz. Since not every k dimensional affine variety can be embedded into $\mathbb{C}^{2k+1}$ in a bi-regular way (see example below) we see that we have a lot of algebraic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms which are not biregular mappings.

Example 2.4. For $m > 3$ let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be a curve given by parametrization

$$X = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^m : x = (t^m, ..., t^{2m-1});\ t \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

It is an easy observation that the Zariski tangent space T_0X coincide with $\mathbb{C}^m$. Now consider a generic linear projection $\pi : X \to \mathbb{C}^3$. By [1] it is an algebraic bi-Lipschitz embedding. Since $T_0(\pi(X)) \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ and $T_0X = \mathbb{C}^m$ we see that the mapping π is not bi-regular. In fact the algebraic bi-Lipschitz mapping $\pi^{-1} : \pi(X) \to X$ can not be extended to any C^1 mapping in a neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{C}^3$.

Example 2.5. Let $X = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^3 : x = (t, t^3 + t^2, t^5); t \in \mathbb{C}\}$ and $Y = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^3 : x = (t, t^3 + 2t^2, t^5); t \in \mathbb{C}\}$. Let $\phi : X \ni (t, t^3 + t^2, t^5) \mapsto (t, t^3 + 2t^2, t^5) \in Y$. Then ϕ is bi-regular and bi-Lipschitz but it is not a linear mapping.

It is bi-regular because $\phi(x, y, z) = (x, y + x^2, z)$. Now we show that ϕ is bi-Lipschitz outside some big ball. Let $a(t) = (t, t^3 + t^2, t^5), b(t) = (t, t^3 + 2t^2, t^5)$. Hence $\phi(a(t)) = b(t)$. We have to show that for some $K > 0$

$$\frac{1}{K}||a(t) - a(s)|| < ||b(t) - b(s)|| < K||a(t) - a(s)||.$$

Consider the fraction

$$(*)\ \frac{b_2(t)-b_2(s))}{a_2(t)-a_2(s)}=\frac{t^3+2t^2-s^3-2s^2}{t^3+t^2-s^3-s^2}=\frac{(1-\epsilon_1\alpha)(1-\epsilon_2\alpha)+2/t(1+\alpha)}{(1-\epsilon_1\alpha)(1-\epsilon_2\alpha)+1/t(1+\alpha)},$$

where $1,\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2$ are all roots of polynomial x^3+1 and $\alpha=s/t$. Note that we can always assume that $|\alpha|\leq 1$. Denote $g(\alpha)=(1-\epsilon_1\alpha)(1-\epsilon_2\alpha)$. This polynomial has roots in ϵ_1,ϵ_2 only. Denote by $U=\{\alpha:|\alpha-\epsilon_1|>r\}\cap\{\alpha:|\alpha-\epsilon_2|>r\}$. Since U does not contain roots of g, we have $|g(\alpha)|>\rho>0$. We can assume that r is so small that in the set $V:=\{\alpha:|\alpha-\epsilon_1|\leq r\}\cup\{\alpha:|\alpha-\epsilon_2|\leq r\}$ there is no roots of polynomials x^5+1. Now we estimate $(*)$. We have two possibilities:

a) $\alpha\in U$,

b) $\alpha\in V$.

In the case a) we have $|g(\alpha)|>\rho>0$ and in particular for $|t|>R$ we have

$$\frac{||b_2(t)-b_2(s))||}{||a_2(t)-a_2(s)||}<2.$$

Hence

$$||b_2(t)-b_2(s))||<2||a_2(t)-a_2(s)||.$$

In the case b) we have $||\phi(a(t))-\phi(b(t))||=||b_3(t)-b_3(s)||=||a_3(t)-a_3(s))||=||a(t)-a(s)||$ for large $|t|$ (we consider here the "sup" norm). Hence indeed the mapping ϕ is Lipschitz outside a large ball. Similarly ϕ^{-1} is Lipschitz outside a large ball. Hence ϕ is bi-Lipschitz outside a large ball.

On the other hand ϕ is bi-Lipschitz in any ball, because it is a smooth mapping. Combining this fact with the first step of our proof we see that we can reduce the general case to the case where $|\alpha|$ is small and $|t|$ is large. But this can be done in a similar way as in the first step (we left details to the reader). Hence finally the mapping ϕ is bi-Lipschitz.

The mapping ϕ is not a restriction of a linear mapping because otherwise $t^3+2t^2=at+b(t^3+t^2)+ct^5+d$, where $a,b,c,d\in\mathbb{C}$. This is impossible.

3. Proof of the Theorem 3.4

Definition 3.1. *Let L^s, H^{n-s-1} be two disjoint linear subspaces of $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Let π_∞ be a hyperplane (a hyperplane at infinty) and assume that $L^s\subset\pi_\infty$. By a projection π_L with center L^s we mean the mapping:*

$$\pi_L:\mathbb{C}^n=\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n\setminus\pi_\infty\ni x\mapsto<L^s,x>\cap H^{n-s-1}\in H^{n-s-1}\setminus\pi_\infty=\mathbb{C}^{n-s-1}.$$

Here by $<L,x>$ we mean a linear subspace spanned by L and $\{x\}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a closed subset of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Denote by $\Lambda\subset\pi_\infty$ the set of directions of all secants of X and let $\Sigma=\overline{\Lambda}$, where π_∞ is a hyperplane at infinity and we consider the projective closure. Let $\pi_L:\mathbb{C}^n\to\mathbb{C}^l$ be a projection with center L. Then $\pi_L|_X$ is a bi-Lipschitz embedding if and only if $L\cap\Sigma=\emptyset$.*

Proof. a) Assume that $L\cap\Sigma=\emptyset$. We will proceed by induction. Since a linear affine mapping is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism, we can assume that π_L coincide with the projection $\pi:\mathbb{C}^n\ni(x_1,...,x_n)\mapsto(x_1,...,x_k,0,...,0)\in\mathbb{C}^k\times\{0,...,0\}$. We can decompose π into two projections: $\pi=\pi_2\circ\pi_1$, where $\pi_1:\mathbb{C}^n\ni(x_1,...,x_n)\mapsto(x_1,...,x_{n-1},0)=\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times 0$ is a projection with a center $P_1=(0:0:...:1)$ and $\pi_2:\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\ni(x_1,...,x_{n-1},0)\mapsto(x_1,...,x_k,0,...,0)\in\mathbb{C}^k\times\{(0,...,0)\}$ is a projection with a center $L':=\{x_0=0,...,x_k=0\}$. Since $P_1\in L$ and consequently $P_1\notin\Sigma$, we prove that π_1 is an algebraic bi-Lipschitz

homeomorphism. Indeed, let $P_1 \in \mathbb{CP}^{n-1} \setminus \Sigma$ and let $H \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a hyperplane, such that $P \notin H$. Since a complex linear isomorphism is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism, we can assume that $P_1 = (0 : 0 : ...0 : 1)$ and $H = \{x_n = 0\}$. We show that the projection $p : X \to H$ with center at P_1 is an algebraic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Of course $||p(x) - p(y)|| \leq ||x - y||$. Assume that p is not bi-Lipschitz, i,e., there is a sequence of points $x_j, y_j \in X$ such that

$$\frac{||p(x_j) - p(y_j)||}{||x_j - y_j||} \to 0,$$

as $n \to \infty$. Let $x_j - y_j = (a_1(j), ..., a_{n-1}(j), b(j))$ and denote by P_j the corresponding point $(a_1(j) : ... : a_{n-1}(j) : b(j))$ in $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$. Hence

$$P_j = \frac{(a_1(j) : ... : a_{n-1}(j) : b(j))}{||x_j - y_j||}.$$

Since $\frac{(a_1(j),...,a_{n-1}(j))}{||x_j - y_j||} = \frac{p(x_j) - p(y_j)}{||x_j - y_j||} \to 0$, we get that $P_j \to P$. It is a contradiction. Notice that if $\pi_1(X) = X'$, then $\Sigma' = \pi_1(\Sigma)$. Moreover $L' = L \cap \{x_n = 0\}$ and $< L', P_1 >= L$. This means that $\Sigma' \cap L' = \emptyset$. Now we can finish by induction.

b) Assume that $\pi_L|_X$ is a bi-Lipschitz map and $\Sigma \cap L \neq \emptyset$. As before we can change a system of coordinates in such a way that $\pi : \mathbb{C}^n \ni (x_1, ..., x_n) \mapsto (x_1, ..., x_k, 0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \{0, ..., 0\}$. Moreover, we can assume that $P_1 = (0 : 0 : ... : 1) \in \Sigma$. Actually π_1 is not bi-Lipschitz. Indeed there is a sequence of secants $l_n = (x_n, y_n)$ of X whose directions tends to P_1. Let $x_j - y_j = (a_1(j), ..., a_{n-1}(j), b(j))$ and denote by P_j the corresponding point $(a_1(j) : ... : a_{n-1}(j) : b(j))$ in $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$. Hence

$$P_j = \frac{(a_1(j) : ... : a_{n-1}(j) : b(j))}{||x_j - y_j||}.$$

Since $P_j \to P$ we have $\frac{(a_1(j),...,a_{n-1}(j))}{||x_j - y_j||} = \frac{p(x_j) - p(y_j)}{||x_j - y_j||} \to 0$. Hence the mapping π_1 is not bi-Lipschitz.

Now it is enough to note that $||\pi_2(x) - \pi_2(y)|| \leq ||x - y||$, hence $||\pi(x_n) - \pi_(y_n)|| = ||\pi_2(\pi_1(x_n)) - \pi_2(\pi_1(y_n))|| \leq ||\pi_1(x_n) - \pi_1(y_n)||$. Thus

$$\frac{||x_n - y_n||}{||\pi(x_n) - \pi(y_n)||} \geq \frac{||x_n - y_n||}{||\pi_1(x_n) - \pi_1(y_n)||} \to \infty.$$

This contradiction finishes the proof. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a closed set and let $f : X \to \mathbb{C}^m$ be an algebraic Lipschitz homeomorphism. Let $Y := graph(f) \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m$. Then the mapping $\phi : X \ni x \mapsto (x, f(x)) \in Y$ is an algebraic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism.*

Proof. The mapping ϕ is algebraic by Proposition 2.2. Since f is Lipschitz, there is a constant C such that

$$||f(x) - f(y)|| < C||x - y||.$$

We have

$$||\phi(x) - \phi(y)|| = ||(x - y, f(x) - f(y))|| \leq$$
$$\leq ||x - y|| + ||f(x) - f(y)|| \leq ||x - y|| + C||x - y|| \leq (1 + C)||x - y||.$$

Moreover

$$||x - y|| \leq ||\phi(x) - \phi(y)||.$$

Hence

$$||x - y|| \leq ||\phi(x) - \phi(y)|| \leq (1 + C)||x - y||.$$

□

Theorem 3.4. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be affine algebraic varieties and let $f : X \to Y$ be an algebraic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Then* $\deg X = \deg Y$.

Proof. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and Let $f : X \to Y$ be an algebraic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Denote by $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ the graph of f. By Lemma 3.3 projections $\pi_X : \Gamma \to X$ and $\pi_Y : \Gamma \to Y$ are algebraic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Let $\pi_1 : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\pi_2 : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^m$ and denote by $S_1, S_2 \subset \pi_\infty = \mathbb{CP}^{m+n-1}$ centers of these projections. Denote by $\Lambda \subset \pi_\infty$ the set of directions of all secants of Γ and let $\Sigma = cl(\Lambda)$. Since $\pi_1|_\Gamma = \pi_X$ and $\pi_2|_\Gamma = \pi_Y$ we have by Lemma 3.2 that $\Sigma \cap S_1 = \Sigma \cap S_2 = \emptyset$. But $\overline{\Gamma} \setminus \Gamma \subset \Sigma$ and consequently $\overline{\Gamma} \cap S_i = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2$. Now let $L \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a generic linear subspace of dimension $k = \operatorname{codim} X$. Hence $\#(L \cap X) = \deg X$ and L has not common points with X at infinity. Since $\overline{\Gamma} \cap S_1 = \emptyset$ we see that $\#(< S_1, L > \cap \Gamma) = \deg \Gamma$ where by $< S_1, L >$ we mean a linear (projective) subspace spanned by L and S_1. However the mapping π_X is a bijection, hence $\#(< S_1, L > \cap \Gamma) = \deg X$. In particular $\deg \Gamma = \deg X$. In the same way $\deg \Gamma = \deg Y$. Hence $\deg X = \deg Y$. □

Remark 3.5. In fact a more general statement is true. We can say after [4] that the mapping $f : X \to Y$ is bi-Lipschitz at infinity if there exist compact sets K, K' such that the mapping $f' : X \setminus K \ni x \mapsto f(x) \in Y \setminus K'$ is bi-Lipschitz. It is easy to see that our proof works if f is an algebraic homeomorphism, which is bi-Lipschitz at infinity. Indeed under this assumption we still have $\overline{\Gamma} \setminus \Gamma \subset \Sigma$, where $\Gamma = graph(f)$ and Σ is the set of directions of secants of $graph(f')$. Moreover, there are sufficiently general linear subspaces which omit K or K'. Hence in fact we can state:

Theorem 3.6. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be affine algebraic varieties and let $f : X \to Y$ be a birational correspondence. Assume that there exist compact sets K, K' such that $f : X \setminus K \to Y \setminus K'$ is defined (as continuous mapping) and bi-Lipschitz. Then* $\deg X = \deg Y$.

Remark 3.7. It is worth to say, that affine cones $C(X), C(Y)$ mentioned in the introduction are birationally and bi-Lipschitz equivalent, but they have different degrees.

4. Proof of the Theorem 4.1

In a similar way we can prove:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0), (Y, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$ be germs of analytic sets and let $f : (X, 0) \to (Y, 0)$ be a c-holomorphic and bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Then* $\operatorname{mult}_0 X = \operatorname{mult}_0 Y$.

.

Proof. Let U, V be small neighborhoods of 0 in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}^m$ such that the mapping $f : U \cap X = X' \to V \cap Y = Y'$ is defined and it is by-Lipschitz. Denote by $\Gamma \subset U \times V$ the graph of f. By Lemma 3.3 projections $\pi_{X'} : \Gamma \to X'$ and $\pi_{Y'} : \Gamma \to Y'$ are bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Let $\pi_1 : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\pi_2 : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^m$ and denote by $S_1, S_2 \subset \pi_\infty = \mathbb{CP}^{m+n-1}$ centers of these projections. Denote by $\Lambda \subset \pi_\infty$ the set of directions of all secants of Γ and let $\Sigma = cl(\Lambda)$. Since $\pi_1|_\Gamma = \pi_X$ and $\pi_2|_\Gamma = \pi_Y$ we have by Lemma 3.2 that $\Sigma \cap S_1 = \Sigma \cap S_2 = \emptyset$. But $\overline{C(0,\Gamma)} \setminus C(0,\Gamma) \subset \Sigma$ and consequently $\overline{C(0,\Gamma)} \cap S_i = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2$. Now let $L \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a generic linear subspace of dimension $k = \operatorname{codim} X$. Hence $\#(L \cap X') = \operatorname{mult}_0 X$ and L has not common points with $C(0, X)$ at infinity (we can shrink U, V if necessary!). Since $\overline{C(0,\Gamma)} \cap S_1 = \emptyset$ we see that

$\#(< S_1, L > \cap \Gamma) = \text{mult}_0\ \Gamma$ where by $< S_1, L >$ we mean a linear (projective) subspace spanned by L and S_1. However the mapping $\pi_{X'}$ is a bijection, hence $\#(< S_1, L > \cap \Gamma) = \text{mult}_0\ X$. In particular $\text{mult}_0\ \Gamma = \text{mult}_0\ X$. In the same way $\text{mult}_0\ \Gamma = \text{mult}_0\ Y$. Hence $\text{mult}_0\ X = \text{mult}_0\ Y$. □

Corollary 4.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be affine algebraic varieties and let $f : X \to Y$ be an algebraic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Then* $\deg\ X = \deg\ Y$ *and for every $x \in X$ we have* $\text{mult}_x\ X = \text{mult}_{f(x)}\ Y$.

5. Normality is not a bi-Lipschitz invariant

In [2] (see also [6]) the authors proved that the smoothness of an analytic space is a bi-Lipschitz invariant. The natural question is whether the normality is also a bi-Lipschitz invariant. Directly from [2] and [6] we have:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $(X, 0), (Y, 0)$ be analytic hypersurfaces in $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. If they are bi-Lipschitz equivalent and X is normal , then also Y is normal.*

Proof. Indeed, such a hypersurface is normal if it is smooth in codimension one. Hence our result follows directly from [2] and [6]. □

In this section we show that in general Theorem 5.1 does not hold. We start with:

Lemma 5.2. *Let $X\mathbb{P}^n$ be a projective variety, which is not contained in any hyperplane. Let $C(X) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a cone over X. Then* $\dim\ T_0(C(X)) = n + 1$.

Proof. Note that $T_0(C(X))$ is the dimension of a minimal smooth germ Y_0 which contains the germ $C(X)_0$. However since $C(X)_0 \subset Y_0$ we have that $C(X) \subset T_0Y$. Denote by $\pi \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ the hyperplane at infinity. By the assumption we have $\overline{T_0Y} \cap \pi = \pi$. Hence $T_0Y = \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. □

Theorem 5.3. *For every $r > 1$ there is a normal affine algebraic variety X^r of dimension r and an algebraic bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\phi : X^r \to Y^r$ such that Y^r is not normal.*

Proof. Let us take d-tuple embedding ϕ_d of $\mathbb{P}^r$ to $\mathbb{P}^N(d)$. Denote $A_d = \phi_d(\mathbb{P}^r)$. Let us note that the space $A_d \subset \mathbb{P}^N(d)$ is projectively normal i.e., the mapping

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^N(d), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N(d)}(k)) \to \Gamma(A_d, \mathcal{O}_X(k))$$

is surjective for every k. This means that the cone $X := C(A_d)$ is a normal space (for details see [Har], p.126, 5.14). Moreover it is easy to check that A_d is not contained in any linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}^N(d)$. Hence by Lemma 5.2 we have $\dim\ T_0X = N(d)+1$. We can take d so large that $N := N(d) > 2r + 1$. Now consider a generic projection $\pi : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{2r+1}$. We know by [1] that π restricted to X_d is by-Lipschitz embedding. Let $Y = \pi(X)$. The variety Y is not normal. Indeed, otherwise by the Zariski Main Theorem the mapping $\pi|X : X \to Y$ has to be an isomorphism , in particular $\dim\ T_0X = \dim\ T_0Y$. Since $\dim\ T_0Y \leq 2r + 1 < N$ it is a contradiction. □

References

[1] L. Birbrair, A. Fernandes, Z. Jelonek, *On the extension of bi-Lipschitz mappings*, **Selecta Mathematica**, 27(2), (2021).

[2] Birbrair; L; Fernandes, A; Le, D.T., Sampaio, E; *Lipschitz regular complex algebraic sets are smooth*, **Proc. of the AMS, 144**, 983—987, (2016).

[3] Birbrair, L.; A. Fernandes; J. E. Sampaio; M. Verbitsky; *Multiplicity of singularities is not a bi-Lipschitz invariant*, **Mathematische Annalen**, 377, 115-121, (2020).

[4] J.F. de Bobadilla, A. Fernandes, J.E. Sampaio, *Multiplicity and degree as bi-lipschitz invariants for complex sets*, **Journal of Topology**, 11, 958-966, (2018).

[Har] Hartshorne, R, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1987.

[5] Neumann, W.D.; Pichon, A., *Lipschitz geometry of complex curves*, **Journal of Singularities 10**, 225-234, (2014).

[6] Sampaio, E.J.; *Bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic subanalytic sets have bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic tangent cones*, **Selecta Mathematica**, 22(2), 553-559, (2016).

(Zbigniew Jelonek) Instytut Matematyczny, Polska Akademia Nauk, Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warszawa, Poland

Email address: najelone@cyf-kr.edu.pl