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#### Abstract

We study combinatorial complexity of certain classes of products of intervals in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, from the point of view of Vapnik-Chervonenkis geometry. As a consequence of the obtained results, we conclude that the VapnikChervonenkis dimension of the set of balls in $\ell_{\infty}^{d}$ - which denotes $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ equipped with the sup norm - equals $\lfloor(3 d+1) / 2\rfloor$.


## 1 Introduction

A classifier $f$ on a measurable space $X$ is a binary function defined on $X$. A class $\mathcal{F}$ of classifiers on $X$ is said to shatter a sample $\sigma \subset X$ of size $n$ if $\mathcal{F}$ can perceive all possible binary labellings of the elements of $\sigma$, that is,

$$
\#\left\{\left.f\right|_{\sigma}: f \in \mathcal{F}\right\}=2^{n}
$$

In other words, $\mathcal{F}$ shatters $\sigma$ when $\#\left\{C \cap \sigma: \chi_{C} \in \mathcal{F}\right\}=2^{n}$, where $\chi_{C}$ denotes the indicator function of $C$. Thus, we can alternatively focus on the sets $\left\{C: \chi_{C} \in \mathcal{F}\right\}$ to study properties of $\mathcal{F}$. More generally, families of classifiers on $X$ are in one-to-one correspondence with families of subsets of $X$. The later are called concept classes, see for example [4]. When a learning algorithm has to efficiently choose a classifier within a family $\mathcal{F}$ that minimizes the learning error, it is often necessary some control on the quantity of different labellings that $\mathcal{F}$ can produce on finite samples of $X$. One of the most important ways to measure the combinatorial complexity of families of classifiers, or equivalently, families of concept classes, is to analyse their Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension, a concept introduced by Vapnik and Chervonenkis in [6].

The Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension of a concept class $\mathcal{E}$ on $X$, which we will denote by $\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{E})$, is defined by

$$
\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{E})=\sup \{\# \sigma \mid \sigma \subset X \text { is finite and } \mathcal{E} \text { shatters } \sigma\}
$$

We shall also write VC dimension, for short. To illustrate how the information about the VC dimension of a concept class $\mathcal{E}$ guarantees an efficient determination of an appropriate classifier, denote by $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{E}, n)$ the shattering coefficient of

[^0]$\mathcal{E}$ with respect to a sample size $n$. This is the number of labellings that $\mathcal{E}$ can produce on a sample of size $n$, that is,
$$
\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{E}, n)=\max _{\# \sigma=n} \#\{C \cap \sigma \mid C \in \mathcal{E}\}
$$

It was proved independently by Sauer in 1972, Shelah in 1972, and Vapnik and Chervonenkis in 1971 that if $\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{E})=d<\infty$, then $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{E}, n) \leq$ $(e n / d)^{d}$, where $e$ stands for the Euler constant. This implies in particular that the shattering coefficients grow polynomially with respect to the sample size. Furthermore, empirical risk minimization is consistent with respect to $\mathcal{E}$ if and only if $\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{E})$ is finite, see [5].

The VC geometry of certain concept classes in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ have received special attention. In his classic paper [2], Dudley showed that the VC dimension of Euclidean balls in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is $d+1$. Other natural classes that have been studied are the class of products of (possibly degenerate) intervals,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}:=\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]:-\infty \leq a_{i}<b_{i} \leq \infty, i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and some subclasses of $\mathcal{R}$. In 7, Dudley and Wenocur proved that VC$\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{R})=2 n$. They showed additionally that, under the assumption that each $a_{i}$ in (1) equals $-\infty$, the resulting subclass has VC dimension $n$. More recently, in [3], Gey determined the VC dimension of the class of axis-parallel cuts in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. More precisely, it was proved that the VC dimension of the concept class $\mathcal{A}_{n}=\left\{\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: x_{i} \leq a\right\}: i=1, \ldots, n, a \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ is equal to $\max \left\{m:\binom{m}{\lfloor m / 2\rfloor} \leq n\right\}$.

In this work we continue to investigate the VC complexity of some natural subclasses of $\mathcal{R}$. As a consequence of our study, we obtain in particular our main theorem, stated below. Let us first establish some notation. $\ell_{\infty}^{d}$ denotes the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}: \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \max \left\{\left|x_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|x_{d}\right|\right\}$. Denote by $\mathcal{C}_{d}$ the set of all closed balls in $\ell_{\infty}^{d}$, which coincide with the set of closed cubes with sides parallel to the coordinate axes. Our main result reads as follows.

Main Theorem. For each $d \geq 1$, VC- $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{C}_{d}\right)=\lfloor(3 d+1) / 2\rfloor$.
This result was announced in [1], but there was a flaw in the proof of the inequality $\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{C}_{d}\right) \geq\lfloor(3 d+1) / 2\rfloor$. Specifically, in the proof of Lemma 2 , it was claimed that the set denoted by $S^{\prime}$ is shattered by $\mathcal{C}_{d}$, which is false: the subset $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$ cannot be carved out of $S^{\prime}$ by an element of $\mathcal{C}_{d}$ (meaning that there is no $C \in \mathcal{C}_{d}$ such that $\left.C \cap S^{\prime}=\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}\right)$. This gap does not seem to be easily fixable.

In the present work we prove the announced result approaching it from a different viewpoint. We study the Vapnik-Chervonenkis dimension of certain families of degenerate balls in $\ell_{\infty}^{d}$. A closed (resp. open) degenerate ball in $\ell_{\infty}^{d}$ is any subset of the form $\prod_{i=1}^{d} I_{i} \subset \ell_{\infty}^{d}$, where each $I_{i}$ is a closed (resp. open)
interval, unbounded to at least one side. As the notation suggests, a degenerate ball can be interpreted as a ball with infinite radius. For instance, if we choose a point $\mathbf{x} \in \ell_{\infty}^{d}$ and a direction $\mathbf{v} \in \ell_{\infty}^{d} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$, and let $B_{n}$ be the closed ball centered at $n . \mathbf{v}$ and with $\mathbf{x}$ at its boundary, then the pointwise limit on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of $B_{n}$ is a closed degenerate ball $D$ with $\mathbf{x}$ in its boundary. One can imagine that $D$ has its center at infinity, in the direction $\mathbf{v}$. Although the notation might be new, the idea was already used in Vapnik-Chervonenkis theory: in Dudley's proof in [2] of the fact that the family of euclidean balls in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ has VC dimension $d+1$, an underlying idea is that semi-spaces are pointwise limits of euclidean balls. This motivates a more general and systematic study of combinatorial properties of degenerate balls in finite-dimensional normed spaces.

Throughout this work, we shall use the following additional notation. For any closed subset $F$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, we denote by $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{F}$ the set of all degenerate balls in $\ell_{\infty}^{d}$ containing $F$. In the case where $F=\{\mathbf{0}\}$, we use the lighter notation $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}$ instead of $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{\{\mathbf{0}\}}$. In the case where $F=\emptyset$, we simply write $\mathcal{D}_{d}$, instead of $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{\emptyset}$. Note that $\mathcal{D}_{d}$ is the set of all degenerate balls in $\ell_{\infty}^{d}$. Our first main result on Vapnik-Cervonenkis complexity of degenerate balls in $\ell_{\infty}^{d}$ is the following.

Theorem A. For each nonempty bounded subset $F$ of $\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d}^{F}\right)=$ $\lfloor 3 d / 2\rfloor$.

We then relate the Vapnik-Cervonenkis geometry of balls and of degenerate balls in $\ell_{\infty}^{d}$ spaces by proving the following.

Theorem B. For each $d \geq 2, \mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{C}_{d}\right)=\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d-1}^{0}\right)+2$.
Note that Theorems A and B imply the Main Theorem, with the exception of $\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}\right)$ - but it is well known, and easily verified, that $\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}\right)=2$.

The next section is dedicated to proving Theorems A and B.

## 2 Proof of main results

Let us start by establishing some notation. For each $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbf{c o}(S)$ denotes the convex hull of $S$, as usual. We define the rectangular hull of $S$ as being the smallest product of (possibly degenerate) intervals containing $S$, and denote it by $\square$-hull $(S)$.

To prove Theorems A and B, the following Propositions 1 and 2 will be of use.

Proposition 1. Let $F$ be a nonempty bounded closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then, $\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d}^{F}\right)=\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}\right)$.

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{F}=\mathcal{D}_{d}^{R}$, where $R=\square$-hull $(F)$. We can assume then, without loss of generality, that $F$ is rectangular: $F=\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[a_{d}, b_{d}\right]$. For
each $i \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$, define

$$
p_{i}(x)= \begin{cases}x-a_{i}, & \text { if } x<a_{i} \\ 0, & \text { if } a_{i} \leq x \leq b_{i} \\ x-b_{i}, & \text { if } b_{i}<x\end{cases}
$$

Define $P: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ by $P\left(p_{1}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, p_{d}\left(x_{d}\right)\right)$. It is readily verified that $P$ is surjective and satifies the following properties:

1. for each $D \in \mathcal{D}_{d}^{F}, P(D) \in \mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}$, and
2. for each $D \in \mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}, P^{-1}(D) \in \mathcal{D}_{d}^{F}$.

Let us verify that $P$ also satisfies the following additional property:
3. $P$ is injective when restricted to any $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{F}$-shattered subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Indeed, let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, and let $D \in \mathcal{D}_{d}^{F}$ be such that $\mathbf{x} \in D$. Then

$$
D \supset \square-\operatorname{hull}(F \cup\{\mathbf{x}\})=\mathbf{c o}\left(\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \cup\left\{x_{1}\right\}\right) \times \cdots \times \mathbf{c o}\left(\left[a_{d}, b_{d}\right] \cup\left\{x_{d}\right\}\right)
$$

Suppose now that $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is such that $P(\mathbf{y})=P(\mathbf{x})$. Then for each $i \in$ $\{1, \ldots, d\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{i}(\mathbf{y})=p_{i}(\mathbf{x}) & \Rightarrow \begin{cases}y_{i}=x_{i}, & \text { if } x_{i}<a_{i} \text { or } x_{i}>b_{i} \\
y_{i} \in\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right], & \text { if } x_{i} \in\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]\end{cases} \\
& \Rightarrow y_{i} \in \mathbf{c o}\left(\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right] \cup\left\{x_{i}\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\mathbf{y} \in \square-h u l l(F \cup\{\mathbf{x}\}) \subset D$. This proves that $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ cannot be separated by an element of $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{F}$, from which Property 3 follows.

Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{F}$-shattered set. By Property $3, \# P(S)=\# S$. Let us verify that $P(S)$ is $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}$-shattered. Indeed, let $P\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ be an arbitrary subset of $P(S)$. Since $S$ is $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{F}$-shattered, there exists $D \in \mathcal{D}_{d}^{F}$ with $D \cap S=S^{\prime}$. By Property 1, $P(S) \in \mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}$, and on the other hand, $P(D) \cap P(S)=P\left(S^{\prime}\right)$. This shows that $P(S)$ is $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}$-shattered. We conclude that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}\right) \geq \mathcal{D}_{d}^{F}$.

Suppose now that $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}$-shattered. For each $\mathbf{s} \in S$, choose any $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{s}} \in P^{-1}(\mathbf{s})$, and define $T=\left\{\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{s}}: \mathbf{s} \in S\right\}$. We claim that $T$ is $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{F}$-shattered. Indeed, let $T^{\prime}=\left\{\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{s}}: \mathbf{s} \in S^{\prime}\right\}$ be any subset of $T$. Since $S$ is $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}$-shattered, there exists $D \in \mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}$ such that $S \cap D=S^{\prime}$. By Property $2, P^{-1}(S) \in \mathcal{D}_{d}^{F}$, and it clearly satisfies $P^{-1}(S) \cap T=T^{\prime}$. This shows that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d}^{F}\right) \geq \mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}$, and we are done.

Proposition 2. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{F}$ or $\mathcal{C}_{d}$. Suppose that there exists a $\mathcal{B}$-shattered set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $\# A=n$. Then, there exists a $\mathcal{B}$-shattered set $A^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $\# A^{\prime}=n$ and such that, for each coordinate projection $\pi_{j}: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \# \pi_{j}\left(A^{\prime}\right)=n$.

Proof. We shall prove the statement for $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{C}_{d}$. The proof for $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{F}$ can be easily adapted. Suppose that $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is $\mathcal{C}_{d}$-shattered and that $\# A=n$. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be some point in $A$. Consider $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{k} \in \mathcal{C}_{d}$ that shatter $A$. For each $j=1, \ldots, k$ such that $\mathbf{x} \in C_{j}$, note that $d\left(A \backslash\{\mathbf{x}\}, C_{j}\right)>0$, since $C_{j}$ is closed. This implies that we can substitute $C_{j}$ by another cube $C_{j}^{\prime}$ with same center but slightly bigger, so that $C_{j}^{\prime} \cap A=C_{j} \cap A$, but now we guarantee that $\mathbf{x}$ is an interior point of $C_{j}^{\prime}$. Choose some open set $V_{j}$ with $\mathbf{x} \in V_{j} \subset C_{j}^{\prime}$. For the $j=1, \ldots, k$, such that $\mathbf{x} \notin C_{j}$, put $C_{j}^{\prime}=C_{j}$ and choose an open neighborhood $V_{j}$ of $\mathbf{x}$ such that $V_{j} \cap C_{j}^{\prime}=\emptyset$.

Let $V=\cap_{j=1}^{k} V_{j}$. Note that $C_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, C_{k}^{\prime}$ shatter $A$, but also shatter $(A \backslash$ $\{\mathbf{x}\}) \cup\left\{\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right\}$ for any choice of $\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \in V$. Since the set

$$
\cup_{j=1}^{d} \pi_{j}^{-1}\left(\pi_{j}(A \backslash\{\mathbf{x}\})\right)
$$

is nowhere dense in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, we can choose some $\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \in V$ such that, for each $j=$ $1, \ldots, d, \pi_{j}(\mathbf{x}) \notin \pi_{j}(A \backslash\{\mathbf{x}\})$.

Repeating this process recursively to each point of $A$ at a time, we obtain $A^{\prime}$, which clearly satisfies the desired properties.

Remark. The same works for for the set of non-degenerate rectangles in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, or the set of closed balls with respect to any norm in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. These cases will not be used in what follows, though.

We are in position to prove the main results.
Proof of Theorem $A$. Let us start by showing that $\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}\right) \geq\lfloor 3 d / 2\rfloor$. Suppose that $d$ is even. In this case, we can write $3 d / 2$ instead of $\lfloor 3 d / 2\rfloor$. The proof in this case will follow by a two-step induction on $d$. Note that $\mathcal{D}_{2}^{0} \geq 3$, since for instance it is readily verified that $\{(-1,1),(1,-1),(2,1)\}$ is $\mathcal{D}_{2}^{0}$-shattered. Suppose now that $\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}\right) \geq 3 d / 2$. This means that there exists a $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}$-shattered set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $\# S=3 d / 2$. We shall show that there is a $\mathcal{D}_{d+2}^{0}$-shattered subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d+2}$ with $3(d+2) / 2=\# S+3$ elements, implying that $\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d+2}^{0}\right) \geq 3(d+2) / 2$. Indeed, let $X$ be a $\mathcal{D}_{2}^{0}$-shattered subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $\# X=3$. We claim that the set

$$
T=\{(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{0}): \mathbf{s} \in S\} \cup\{(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x}): \mathbf{x} \in X\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}=\mathbb{R}^{d+2}
$$

is $\mathcal{D}_{d+2}^{0}$-shattered. In effect, let $T^{\prime}$ be any subset of $T$. Then there are $S^{\prime} \subset S$ and $X^{\prime} \subset X$ with $T^{\prime}=\left\{(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{0}): \mathbf{s} \in S^{\prime}\right\} \cup\left\{(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x}): \mathbf{x} \in X^{\prime}\right\}$. Since $S$ is $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}$-shattered, there exists $I=\prod_{i=1}^{d} I_{i} \in \mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}$ with $S \cap I=S^{\prime}$. Since $X$ is $\mathcal{D}_{2}^{0}$-shattered, there exists $J=\prod_{i=d+1}^{d+2} I_{i} \in \mathcal{D}_{2}^{0}$ with $X \cap J=X^{\prime}$. Then, $I \times J=\prod_{i=1}^{d+2} I_{j} \in \mathcal{D}_{d+2}^{0}$ satisfies $T \cap I \times J=T^{\prime}$. Since $\# T=3(d+2) / 2$, we are done for $d$ even.

Now suppose that $d$ is odd. It is a general observation that $\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}\right)>$ $\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d-1}^{0}\right)$, since whenever $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ is shattered by $\mathcal{D}_{d-1}^{0}$, then the set $\{(\mathbf{x}, 0) \mid \mathbf{x} \in S\} \cup\{(\mathbf{0}, 1)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is clearly shattered by $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}$. It follows that, also for odd $d$,

$$
\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}\right) \geq \mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d-1}^{0}\right)+1=\frac{3(d-1)}{2}+1=\frac{3 d}{2}-\frac{1}{2}=\left\lfloor\frac{3 d}{2}\right\rfloor .
$$

It remains to show that $\operatorname{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}\right) \leq\lfloor 3 d / 2\rfloor$, for each $d \geq 1$. This part of the proof departs from the main idea used in [1, Theorem 1]. Suppose that $S$ is a $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}$-shattered subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, with $\# S=d+n$. For each $i \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$, choose $\mathbf{l}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{i}$ with minimal, and respectively maximal, $i$ th coordinate amongst $S$. Note that each $\mathbf{s} \in S$ should appear on the list

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{l}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{l}_{2}, \mathbf{u}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{l}_{d}, \mathbf{u}_{d} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, it would be otherwise impossible to carve out $S \backslash\{\mathbf{s}\}$ from $S$ with an element of $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}$, since $\mathbf{s}$ would be in the rectangular envelope of $S \backslash\{\mathbf{s}\}$.

Let $k$ be the number of elements of $S$ which appear on the list (2) exactly once. Assume that $k \geq d+1$. By the pigeonhole principle, there exists an $i$ such that both $\mathbf{l}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{i}$ appear on the list exactly once. Without loss of generality, assume that $i=d$. Let $\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[a_{d}, b_{d}\right]$ the the rectangular envelope of $S \backslash\left\{\mathbf{1}_{d}, \mathbf{u}_{d}\right\}$. Since $\mathbf{1}_{d}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{d}$ appear on (2) only once, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i} \leq \pi_{i}\left(\mathbf{l}_{d}\right) \leq b_{i}, \text { and } a_{i} \leq \pi_{i}\left(\mathbf{u}_{d}\right) \leq b_{i}, \text { for each } i \in\{1, \ldots, d-1\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that a product of intervals $I=\prod_{i=1}^{d} I_{i}$ carves out $S \backslash\left\{\mathbf{1}_{d}, \mathbf{u}_{d}\right\}$ from $S$. I must contain $\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[a_{d}, b_{d}\right]$. It follows from (31) and the fact that $\mathbf{l}_{d}$ has minimal $d$ th coordinate among $S$, that $I_{d}$ is bounded from below by $\pi_{d}\left(\mathbf{l}_{d}\right)$. Analogously, $I_{d}$ is bounded from above by $\pi_{d}\left(\mathbf{u}_{d}\right)$. $I_{d}$ is therefore a bounded interval, and it follows that $I$ is not an element from $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}$. This shows in particular that $S \backslash\left\{\mathbf{l}_{d}, \mathbf{u}_{d}\right\}$ cannot be carved out from $S$ by an element from $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}$, a contradiction. Then, $k \leq d$.

Once the $k$ points of $S$ that appear only once in (2), $2 d-k$ slots remain to be filled with the $d+n-k$ points of $S$, which appear on (2) at least twice. It follows that $2(d+n-k) \leq 2 d-k$, thus $2 n \leq k$. We conclude that

$$
\# S=d+n \leq d+\frac{k}{2} \leq \frac{3 d}{2}
$$

Proof of Theorem B. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a $\mathcal{C}_{d}$-shattered set with $\# A=\operatorname{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{C}_{d}\right)$. By Proposition 2, we can assume that $\# \pi_{j}(A)=\# A$, for each $j=1, \ldots, d$. Let $I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{d}$ be the rectangular envelope of $A$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\left|I_{d}\right|=\max \left\{\left|I_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|I_{d}\right|\right\}$, where $\left|I_{j}\right|$ denotes the length of the interval $I_{j}$.

Write $I_{d}=\left[a_{d}, b_{d}\right]$, and let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in A$ be such that $\pi_{d}(\mathbf{x})=a_{d}$ and $\pi_{d}(\mathbf{y})=b_{d}$. Let $A^{\prime}$ be some subset of $A \backslash\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$. Since $A$ is $\mathcal{C}_{d^{-}}$-shattered, there must be some $C_{A^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{C}_{d}$ with $C_{A^{\prime}} \cap A=A^{\prime} \cup\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$. Consider $\pi: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ the projection onto the first $d-1$ coordinates, and note that $\pi\left(C_{A^{\prime}}\right)$ is a $(d-1)$-dimensional cube such that $\pi\left(C_{A^{\prime}}\right) \cap \pi(A)=\pi\left(A^{\prime} \cup\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}\right)$. Since $C_{A^{\prime}}$ contains $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$, the side of the cube $\pi\left(C_{A^{\prime}}\right)$ is greater than the diameter of $\pi(A)$ in $\ell_{\infty}^{d-1}$. It follows that there exists $D_{A^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^{\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}}$ such that $D_{A^{\prime}} \cap \pi(A)=\pi\left(A^{\prime} \cup\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}\right)$. It follows that $\left\{D_{A^{\prime}}: A^{\prime} \subset A \backslash\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}\right\} \subset \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^{\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}}$ shatters the set $\pi(A \backslash\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\})$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. Since $\# \pi(A \backslash\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\})=\# A-2=\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{C}_{d}\right)-2$, it follows that
$\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d-1}^{\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}}\right) \geq \mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{C}_{d}\right)-2$. From Proposition 1 it follows that $\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d-1}^{0}\right) \geq \mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{C}_{d}\right)-2$.

Now let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ be finite, $\mathcal{D}_{d-1}^{0}$-shattered set, and let $L>0$ be such that $A$ is contained in the closed $\ell_{\infty}^{d}$ ball centered at $\mathbf{0}$ and with radius $L / 2$. Consider in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ the points $\mathbf{x}=(0, \ldots, 0, L)$ and $\mathbf{y}=(0, \ldots, 0,-L)$, and let $A^{\prime}=\{(\mathbf{a}, 0)$ : $\mathbf{a} \in A\} \cup\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$. To show that $\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{C}_{d}\right) \geq \mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d-1}^{0}\right)+2$, it suffices to verify that $A^{\prime}$ is $\mathcal{C}_{d}$-shattered. In effect, let $B \subset A^{\prime}$. Since $\pi(B) \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\} \subset A$, $\pi(B) \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$ is carved out by some $D \in \mathcal{D}_{d-1}^{0}$. Note that, for each $M \geq L$, $\pi(B) \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$ can also be carved out by some $C \in \mathcal{C}_{d-1}$ which contains $\mathbf{0}$ and has $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$-diameter $M$. Let us define an element $C^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}_{d}$ depending on $B \cap\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$, as follows:

1. if $B \cap\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}=\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$, consider some $C \in \mathcal{C}_{d-1}$ which contains $\mathbf{0}$ and has $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$-diameter $2 L$. Define $C^{\prime}=C \times[-L, L]$;
2. if $B \cap\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}=\{\mathbf{x}\}$, consider some $C \in \mathcal{C}_{d-1}$ which contains $\mathbf{0}$ and has $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$-diameter $L$. Define $C^{\prime}=C \times[0, L]$;
3. analogously, if $B \cap\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}=\{\mathbf{y}\}$, consider some $C \in \mathcal{C}_{d-1}$ which contains 0 and has $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$-diameter $L$. Define $C^{\prime}=C \times[-L, 0]$;
4. if $B \cap\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}=\emptyset$, consider some $C \in \mathcal{C}_{d-1}$ which contains $\mathbf{0}$ and has $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$-diameter $L$. Define $C^{\prime}=C \times[-L / 2, L / 2]$;

In each case, $C^{\prime}$ carves $B$ out of $A^{\prime}$, which concludes the proof.

## 3 Final remarks

The natural follow up to this work would be to determine the exact VapnikChervonenkis dimension of the set $\mathcal{D}_{d}$ of all degenerate balls in $\ell_{\infty}^{d}$. Note that one can easily obtain the comparison

$$
\left\lfloor\frac{3 d}{2}\right\rfloor=\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0}\right) \leq \mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d}\right) \leq \mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{C}_{d}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{3(d+1)}{2}\right\rfloor
$$

Indeed, the first inequality is clear since $\mathcal{D}_{d}^{0} \subset \mathcal{D}_{d}$. The second inequality follows from the following fact: if we can carve out a subset $S^{\prime}$ from a set $S$ with a degenerate ball, then we can carve out $S^{\prime}$ from $S$ with an appropriate ball with large enough radius. Computing $\lfloor 3 d / 2\rfloor$ and $\lfloor(3 d+1) / 2\rfloor$ in the cases where $d$ is odd and even separately gives us the following result, which is a direct consequence of Theorem A and the main theorem.

Proposition 3. Let $d \geq 1$. If $d$ is odd, $\mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d}\right)=(3 d+1) / 2$. If $d$ is even,

$$
\frac{3 d}{2} \leq \mathrm{VC}-\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{D}_{d}\right) \leq \frac{3 d}{2}+1
$$
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