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#### Abstract

In this paper, we use the $\bar{\partial}$ steepest descent method to study the initial value problem for focusing nonlinear Schrödinger (fNLS) equation with non-generic weighted Sobolev initial data that allows for the presence of high-order discrete spectrum. More precisely, we shall characterize the properties of the eigenfunctions and scattering coefficients in the presence of high-order poles; further we formulate an appropriate enlarged RH problem; after a series of deformations, the RH problem is transformed into a solvable model. Finally, we obtain the asymptotic expansion of the solution of the fNLS equation in any fixed space-time cone: $$
\mathcal{S}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right):=\left\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x=x_{0}+v t, x_{0} \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], v \in\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]\right\} .
$$

Observing the result indicates that the solution of fNLS equation in this case satisfies the soliton resolution conjecture. The leading order term of this solution includes a high-order pole-soliton whose parameters are affected by soliton-soliton interactions through the cone and soliton-radiation interactions on continuous spectrum. The error term of this result is up to $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-3 / 4}\right)$ which comes from the corresponding $\bar{\partial}$ equation.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the long time asymptotic behavior of the Cauchy problem for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger (fNLS) equation in the solitonic region with high-order discrete spectrum

$$
\begin{align*}
& i q_{t}+\frac{1}{2} q_{x x}+|q|^{2} q=0  \tag{1.1}\\
& q(x, 0)=q_{0}(x) \in H^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $H^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ is a weighted Sobolev space

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})=\left\{f(x) \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}): f^{\prime}(x), x f(x) \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The NLS equation is an important model in applied mathematics and theoretical physics due to both its surprisingly rich mathematical structure and its physical significance and broad
applicability to a number of different areas [1]-[3]. The NLS equation is also a completely integrable system. A Lax pair for the equation was first derived by Zakharov and Shabat in 1972 [4]. For sufficient smoothness of the initial data, Zakharov and Shabat developed the inverse scattering transform (IST) for the initial value problem of the NLS on the line for initial conditions with sufficiently rapid decay at infinity [4]. One year later, the IST for the defocusing NLS equation on the line with nonzero boundary conditions (NZBC) at infinity was developed [5]. The periodic problem for NLS was studied by Its and Kotlyarov in 1976 [6]. Biondini and Kovacic established asymptotic expressions for the multiple pole solutions of the fNLS equation via the IST method [7]. The N-soliton solutions for the fNLS equation with NZBC at infinity and double zeros of the analytic scattering coefficients has been studied by Pichler and Biondini [8]. The well-posedness of the NLS equation on the line with initial data in $L^{2}$ and in Sobolev spaces $H^{s}, s>0$ was proved by Tsutsumi and Bourgain respectively [9, 10].

The long time asymptotic behavior of the defocusing NLS equation with Schwartz initial data was first studied by Zakharov and Manakov by the IST method [11]. The focusing NLS equation with nonzero boundary conditions by the IST method were presented by Kawata, Inoue and Ma in [12, 13]. Using monodromy theory, Its was able to reduce the RH problem formulation for the NLS equation to a model case, which can then be solved explicitly, giving the desired asymptotics [14]. A perturbation theory for the NLS equation with non-vanishing boundary conditions was put forward in [15], where particular attention was paid to the stability of the Ma soliton. Whitham theory results for the focusing NLS with step-like data can be found by Bikbaev in [16]. In particular, a nonlinear steepest descent method for oscillatory RH problem was developed by Deift and Zhou in 1993 [17], which is a new great achievement in the further development of the IST method. After that, a numerous new significant results on long-time asymptotics for NLS equation also other integrable nonlinear equations have been obtained in a rigorous and transparent form with this new method [18, 19, 21]. Kamvissis obtained the long time behavior for the focusing NLS equation with real spectral singularities [22]. Boutet de Monvel et al. studied long time asymptotic behavior of the fNLS equation with time-periodic boundary condition on the half-line [23], with step-like initial data [24], and more general steplike initial data recently [25]. By using a variant of IST and by employing Deift-Zhou nonlinear steepest descent method, Biondini studied the long time asymptotic behavior of the focusing NLS equation on the line with symmetric, nonzero boundary conditions at infinity [26], and recently with nonzero boundary conditions in the presence of a discrete spectrum [27].

Most recently, for weighted Sobolev initial data $q_{0}(x) \in H^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$, Borghese et al applied the $\bar{\partial}$ steepest descent method to obtain asymptotic expansion in any fixed space-time cone for the focusing NLS equation in solitonic region [28]; the $\bar{\partial}$ steepest descent method was first applied to analyze the asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle and on real line by McLaughlin and Miller in 2006 [29, 30]. Later, this method was further generalized to widely study the long time asymptotics of integrable systems. For example, Cuccagna and Jenkins studied the large-time leading order approximation and the asymptotic stability of $N$-soliton solutions of the defocusing NLS equation in 2016 [31]. Jenkins et al. obtained the soliton resolution property of the derivative NLS equation: As $t$ approaches infinity, the solutions can be described by a finite sum of localized solitons and a dispersive component [32]. We recently obtained long time asymptotics of short pulse equation in solitonic region [33]. The advantages of this method are not only avoiding delicate estimates of Cauchy projection operators but also improving error estimates without additional restrictions on the initial data.

For the defocusing NLS equation, its ZS-AKNS operator is self adjoint, so no soliton solutions appear due to empty discrete spectrum for finite mass initial data $q_{0}(x) \in H^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$. Soliton solutions have no effect on the long-time asymptotic behavior. However, for the focusing case, the ZS-AKNS operator is non-self adjoint that allow for presence of solitons anywhere in $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$. It is necessary to consider effects of soliton solutions when we study long time asymptotic behavior. Therefore, the long-time behavior of solutions of fNLS are necessarily more detailed than in the defocusing case due to the presence of solitons which correspond to discrete spectrum of the non self-adjoint ZS-AKNS scattering operator. The corresponding reflection coefficient $r(z)$ is a mapping defined on the real axis $r(z): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. It is possible for $r(z)$ to possess singularities along the real line and we call these points spectral singularities. The initial data $q_{0}$, which has no spectral singularities and produces only simple discrete spectrum, is generic. If spectral singularities or high-order discrete spectrum exist, the initial data $q_{0}$ is called the non-generic.

For the focusing NLS equation with zero boundary conditions (ZBC), it has been known that more general solutions corresponding to double order poles exist since the original work of Zakharov and Shabat [4]. More general high-order pole solutions of the focusing NLS equation with ZBC were also studied by Aktosun et al [34, 35]. Such solutions also exist in the focusing case with NZBC and describe the interaction of two solitons with same amplitude and velocity parameters, which diverge from each other logarithmically as in the case of zero boundary conditions [8]. Indeed for focusing NLS equation, it can be shown that high order discrete
spectrum may appear see in the following Lemma 1. As is common, however, all long time asymptotic expressions of the focusing NLS equation in the solitonic region are limited to the case in which all discrete spectrum are simple [25-28]. To the best of our knowledge, none of those works studied long time asymptotic expressions of the focusing NLS equation with highorder discrete spectrum in the framework of the RH method. A natural question is therefore how to characterize the long time behavior of the focusing NLS equation in the presence of high-order discrete spectrum.

In this work, we provide an implementation of the above question. We apply the $\bar{\partial}$ steepest descent techniques to obtain the long-time asymptotic behavior of solutions for the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) of the fNLS equation with non-generic initial data which allows for the presence of high-order discrete spectrum. More precisely, we shall characterize the properties of the eigenfunctions and scattering coefficients in the presence of high-order poles; further we formulate an appropriate enlarged RH problem; after a series of deformations, the RH problem is transformed into a solvable model. Finally, we obtain the long time asymptotic expression of the focusing NLS equation in solitonic region with the presence of high-order discrete spectrum.

The structure of this work is the following: In Section 2, we recall the basic scattering theory about the fNLS equation, such as the Lax pair, the analyticity and the symmetry of the corresponding eigenfunctions. In Section 3, we consider the high-order discrete spectrum and compute the residue condition and the coefficients of negative power terms. In Section 4, we formulate an RH problem $m(z)$ to characterize the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) with high-order poles. In Section 5, in order to regularize the RH problem $m(z)$, we first study the property of the jump matrices and introduce a transformation $T(z)$ to get $m^{(1)}(z)$. We then make continuous extension of these jump matrices to obtain a mixed $\bar{\partial}$-RH problem $m^{(2)}(z)$. In Section 6 , we decompose $m^{(2)}(z)$ into a pure RH problem $m_{R H P}^{(2)}(z)$ and a pure $\bar{\partial}$-problem $m^{(3)}(z)$, while the RH problems about $m_{R H P}^{(2)}(z)$ and $m^{(3)}(z)$ can be shown solved respectively. Finally, we give an explicit formula for the solution of the fNLS equation in Section 7. Moreover, the property of soliton resolution can be obtained after analyzing the form of solution.

## 2 The Lax pair and spectral analysis

The fNLS equation (1.1) admits the Lax pair [28]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{x}+i z \sigma_{3} \Phi=Q_{1} \Phi, \quad \Phi_{t}+i z^{2} \sigma_{3} \Phi=Q_{2} \Phi \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad Q_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & q \\
-\bar{q} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad Q_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i|q|^{2} & i q_{x}+2 z q \\
i \bar{q}_{x}-2 z \bar{q} & -i|q|^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Given the initial condition (1.2), the Lax pair (2.1) has a solution of the following asymptotic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(z) \sim e^{-i\left(z x+z^{2} t\right) \sigma_{3}}, \quad x \rightarrow \pm \infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By making a transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(z)=\Phi(z) e^{i\left(z x+z^{2} t\right) \sigma_{3}}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find the matrix function $\mu$ has the following asymptotic behavior

$$
\mu(z) \sim I, \quad x \rightarrow \pm \infty
$$

and satisfies the following Lax pair

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu_{x}+i z\left[\sigma_{3}, \mu\right]=Q_{1} \mu  \tag{2.4}\\
& \mu_{t}+i z^{2}\left[\sigma_{3}, \mu\right]=Q_{2} \mu \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

This Lax pair (2.4)-(2.5) can be written by fully differential form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(e^{i\left(z x+z^{2} t\right) \hat{\sigma}_{3}} \mu\right)=e^{i\left(z x+z^{2} t\right) \hat{\sigma}_{3}}\left[\left(Q_{1} d x+Q_{2} d t\right) \mu\right] \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We expand $\mu$ into a Taylor series at infinity and prove that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu(z) \sim I, \quad z \rightarrow \infty  \tag{2.7}\\
& q(x, t)=2 i \lim _{z \rightarrow \infty}(z \mu)_{12} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

By integrating the equation in two directions parallel to the real axis, two eigenvalue functions can be obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu^{-}(z ; x, t)=I+\int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-i z(x-y) \hat{\sigma}_{3}} Q_{1}(z ; y, t) \mu^{-}(z ; y, t) d y  \tag{2.9}\\
& \mu^{+}(z ; x, t)=I-\int_{x}^{+\infty} e^{-i z(x-y) \hat{\sigma}_{3}} Q_{1}(z ; y, t) \mu^{+}(z ; y, t) d y \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

From the relation (2.3), we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{ \pm}(z)=\mu^{ \pm}(z) e^{-i\left(z x+z^{2} t\right) \sigma_{3}} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

are two linear correlation matrix solutions of the Lax pair (2.1), which means there is a matrix $S(z)=\left(s_{i k}(z)\right)_{i, k=1}^{2}$ satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{-}(z)=\Phi^{+}(z) S(z) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{-}(z)=\mu^{+}(z) e^{-i\left(z x+z^{2} t\right) \sigma_{3}} S(z) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrix function $S(z)$ is called the spectral matrix and $s_{i k}(z), i, k=1,2$ is called the scattering data. Through direct calculations, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{11}(z)=\operatorname{det}\left(\mu_{1}^{-}, \mu_{2}^{+}\right)=1+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{q}(y) \mu_{12}^{+}(y) d y=1+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q(y) \mu_{21}^{-}(y) d y  \tag{2.14}\\
& s_{21}(z)=\operatorname{det}\left(\mu_{1}^{+}, \mu_{1}^{-}\right)=-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{q}(y) e^{-2 i z y} \mu_{11}^{+}(y) d y=-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q(y) e^{-2 i z y} \mu_{22}^{-}(y) d y \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where we denote

$$
\mu^{ \pm}=\left(\mu_{1}^{ \pm}, \mu_{2}^{ \pm}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mu_{11}^{ \pm} & \mu_{12}^{ \pm} \\
\mu_{21}^{ \pm} & \mu_{22}^{ \pm}
\end{array}\right)
$$

When $q(x) \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, by constructing iterative sequence and Neumann series, we can prove that $\mu_{1}^{-}, \mu_{2}^{+}, s_{11}$ are analytic in the upper half complex plane; $\mu_{2}^{-}, \mu_{1}^{+}, s_{22}$ are analytic in the lower half complex plane; $s_{12}$ and $s_{21}$ are not analytic in the upper and lower half complex plane but are continuous on the real axis.

In addition, we can find symmetries of $\mu^{ \pm}$and $S(z)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu^{ \pm}(z)=-\sigma \overline{\mu^{ \pm}(\bar{z})} \sigma=\sigma_{2} \overline{\mu^{ \pm}(\bar{z})} \sigma_{2}  \tag{2.16}\\
S(z)=-\sigma \overline{S(\bar{z})} \sigma=\sigma_{2} \overline{S(\bar{z})} \sigma_{2} \tag{2.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here we give the definitions of several important concepts: the reflection coefficient $r(z)=$ $s_{21}(z) / s_{11}(z)$ and the transmission coefficient $\tau(z)=1 / s_{11}(z)$. In particular, for $z \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $s_{11}(z)=\overline{s_{22}(z)}, s_{12}(z)=-\overline{s_{21}(z)}$, and $1+|r(z)|^{2}=|\tau(z)|^{2}$.

For simplicity, we give an assumption about the initial data and scattering data.
Assumption 1. The initial data $q_{0} \in H^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ and the corresponding scattering data satisfy the following conditions: $s_{11}(z)$ has no zeros on $\mathbb{R} ; s_{11}(z)$ only has finite double roots; $s_{11}(z)$, $r(z) \in H^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$.

We give the following lemma to illustrate the rationality of our assumption.

Lemma 1. The zeros of $s_{11}(z)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{+}$are finite but not necessarily simple in the case $q_{0} \in$ $H^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. For $\Phi^{ \pm}(z)=\left(\Phi_{1}^{ \pm}(z), \Phi_{2}^{ \pm}(z)\right)$, applying (2.11) to (2.14) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{11}(z)=\operatorname{det}\left(\Phi_{1}^{-}(z), \Phi_{2}^{+}(z)\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $z_{k} \in \mathbb{C}^{+}(k=1, \ldots, N)$ are the zeros of $s_{11}(z)$. From (2.18), we know the pair $\Phi_{1}^{-}\left(z_{k}\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}^{+}\left(z_{k}\right)$ are linearly related, which is there exists a constant $\gamma_{k} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}^{-}\left(z_{k}\right)=\gamma_{k} \Phi_{2}^{+}\left(z_{k}\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we consider the partial derivative of $s_{11}(z)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial s_{11}(z)}{\partial z}\right|_{z=z_{k}}=\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{z} \Phi_{1}^{-}, \Phi_{2}^{+}\right)+\left.\operatorname{det}\left(\Phi_{1}^{-}, \partial_{z} \Phi_{2}^{+}\right)\right|_{z=z_{k}} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.1), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{z} \Phi_{1}^{-}, \Phi_{2}^{+}\right) & =-i \operatorname{det}\left(\sigma_{3} \Phi_{1}^{-}, \Phi_{2}^{+}\right)  \tag{2.21}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \operatorname{det}\left(\Phi_{1}^{-}, \partial_{z} \Phi_{2}^{+}\right) & =-i \operatorname{det}\left(\Phi_{1}^{-}, \sigma_{3} \Phi_{2}^{+}\right) \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

The equations (2.9), (2.9) and (2.11) tell us that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{1}^{-}(z ; x) \sim\binom{1}{0} e^{-2 i t \theta(z ; x)}, \quad x \rightarrow-\infty,  \tag{2.23}\\
& \Phi_{2}^{+}(z ; x) \sim\binom{0}{1} e^{2 i t \theta(z ; x)}, \quad x \rightarrow+\infty  \tag{2.24}\\
& \partial_{z} \Phi_{1}^{-}(z ; x) \sim\binom{-i(x+2 z t)}{0} e^{-2 i t \theta(z ; x)}, \quad x \rightarrow-\infty \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{z} \Phi_{1}^{-}, \Phi_{2}^{+}\right)=-i \gamma_{k} \int_{-\infty}^{x} \operatorname{det}\left(\sigma_{3} \Phi_{2}^{+}\left(z_{k} ; s\right), \Phi_{2}^{+}\left(z_{k} ; s\right)\right) d s  \tag{2.26}\\
& \operatorname{det}\left(\Phi_{1}^{-}, \partial_{z} \Phi_{2}^{+}\right)=-i \gamma_{k} \int_{x}^{\infty} \operatorname{det}\left(\sigma_{3} \Phi_{2}^{+}\left(z_{k} ; s\right), \Phi_{2}^{+}\left(z_{k} ; s\right)\right) d s \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting the above two terms together, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial s_{11}(z)}{\partial z}\right|_{z=z_{k}}=-2 i \gamma_{k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_{12}^{+}\left(z_{k} ; s\right) \Phi_{22}^{+}\left(z_{k} ; s\right) d s \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we can find: When the condition $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_{12}^{+}\left(z_{k} ; s\right) \Phi_{22}^{+}\left(z_{k} ; s\right) d s=0$ is satisfied, the zero $z_{k}$ is not simple. That means $z_{k}$ might be a multiple zero of $s_{11}(z)$.

To prove that the number of zeros of $s_{11}$ is finite, we first suppose that $s_{11}(z)$ has no zeros on $\mathbb{R}$. Using the asymptotic behavior of $s_{11}(z)\left(s_{11}(z) \rightarrow 1\right.$ as $\left.z \rightarrow \infty\right)$, we can give the finiteness of the number of zeros of $s_{11}$.

## 3 Discrete spectrum with double poles

Now we suppose that $s_{11}(z)$ has $N$ double zeros, which is $s_{11}\left(z_{k}\right)=s_{11}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)=0$ and $s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right) \neq 0$, in the upper half complex plane $\mathbb{C}^{+}$and denote them by $z_{k}(k=1, \ldots, N)$. By the symmetry of the eigenfunction, we know that $\bar{z}_{k} \in \mathbb{C}^{-}(k=1, \ldots, N)$ are the double zeros of $s_{22}(z)$. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{Z}=\left\{z_{k} \mid s_{11}\left(z_{k}\right)=s_{11}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)=0, s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right) \neq 0\right\} \\
& \overline{\mathcal{Z}}=\left\{\bar{z}_{k} \mid s_{22}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)=s_{22}^{\prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)=0, s_{22}^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right) \neq 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

which are the sets of the zeros of $s_{11}(z)$ and $s_{22}(z)$ respectively.
From the relation (2.13) and $s_{11}\left(z_{k}\right)=s_{11}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)=0$, we deduce that there are norming constants $b_{k}$ and $d_{k}$ that are independent of $x$ and $t$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu_{1}^{-}\left(z_{k}\right)=b_{k} e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} \mu_{2}^{+}\left(z_{k}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
\left(\mu_{1}^{-}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)=e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)}\left(\left(2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right) b_{k}+d_{k}\right) \mu_{2}^{+}\left(z_{k}\right)+b_{k}\left(\mu_{2}^{+}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\theta(z)=z^{2}+x z / t$. Similarly,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu_{2}^{-}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)=\hat{b}_{k} \theta\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right) e^{-2 i t \theta\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)} \mu_{1}^{+}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)  \tag{3.3}\\
\left(\mu_{2}^{-}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)=e^{-2 i t \theta\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)}\left(\left(\hat{d}_{k}-2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right) \hat{b}_{k}\right) \mu_{1}^{+}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)+\hat{b}_{k}\left(\mu_{1}^{+}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\hat{b}_{k}=-\bar{b}_{k}$ and $\hat{d}_{k}=-\bar{d}_{k}$ according to the symmetry of $S(z)$.
Notice that $\mu_{1}^{-}$is analytic in the upper half plane $\mathbb{C}^{+}$and $z_{k}$ is the double zero of $s_{11}(z)$, then let $\mu_{1}^{-}$and $s_{11}(z)$ do Taylor expansion at point $z_{k}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mu_{1}^{-}(z)}{s_{11}(z)} & =\frac{\mu_{1}^{-}\left(z_{k}\right)+\left(\mu_{1}^{-}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\left(z-z_{k}\right)+\left(\mu_{1}^{-}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{2} / 2+\ldots}{s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{2} / 2+s_{11}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{3} / 6+\ldots}  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\frac{2 \mu_{1}^{-}\left(z_{k}\right)}{s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{-2}+\left(\frac{2\left(\mu_{1}^{-}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}-\frac{2 \mu_{1}^{-}\left(z_{k}\right) s_{11}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{3 s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)^{2}}\right)\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{-1}+\ldots \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The above equations (3.1), (3.2) and (3.5) yield the residue condition and the coefficient of $\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{-2}$ in the Laurent expansion of $\frac{\mu_{1}^{-}(z)}{s_{11}(z)}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{k}}\left[\frac{\mu_{1}^{-}(z)}{s_{11}(z)}\right]=\frac{2\left(\mu_{1}^{-}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}-\frac{2 \mu_{1}^{-}\left(z_{k}\right) s_{11}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{3 s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)^{2}}  \tag{3.7}\\
&=A_{k} e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)}\left(\left(\mu_{2}^{+}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)+\mu_{2}^{+}\left(z_{k}\right)\left(B_{k}+2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right)\right),  \tag{3.8}\\
& P_{z=z_{k}}\left[\frac{\mu_{1}^{-}(z)}{s_{11}(z)}\right]=\frac{2 \mu_{1}^{-}\left(z_{k}\right)}{s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}=A_{k} e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} \mu_{2}^{+}\left(z_{k}\right), \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}=\frac{2 b_{k}}{s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}, \quad B_{k}=\frac{d_{k}}{b_{k}}-\frac{s_{11}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{3 s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likewise, as $z=\bar{z}_{k}$ is the double zero of $s_{22}(z)$, by equations (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{z=\bar{z}_{k}}{\operatorname{Res}}\left[\frac{\mu_{2}^{-}(z)}{s_{22}(z)}\right]=\frac{2\left(\mu_{2}^{-}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)}{s_{22}^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)}-\frac{2 \mu_{2}^{-}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right) s_{22}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)}{3 s_{22}^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)^{2}}  \tag{3.11}\\
&=\hat{A}_{k} e^{2 i t \theta\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)}\left(\left(\mu_{1}^{+}\right)^{\prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)+\mu_{1}^{+}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)\left(\hat{B}_{k}-2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)\right)\right),  \tag{3.12}\\
& P_{z=-2}\left[\frac{\mu_{2}^{-}(z)}{s_{22}(z)}\right]=\frac{2 \mu_{2}^{-}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)}{s_{22}^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)}=\hat{A}_{k} e^{-2 i t \theta\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)} \mu_{1}^{+}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right), \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}_{k}=\frac{2 \hat{b}_{k}}{s_{22}^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)}, \quad \hat{B}_{k}=\frac{\hat{d}_{k}}{\hat{b}_{k}}-\frac{s_{22}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)}{3 s_{22}^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, it is easy to find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}_{k}=-\bar{A}_{k}, \quad \hat{B}_{k}=\bar{B}_{k} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 The RH problem with high-order poles

In our situation, we introduce the meromorphic matrices

$$
m(z)=m(z ; x, t)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{\mu_{1}^{-}(z)}{s_{11}(z)}, \mu_{2}^{+}(z)\right), & \text { as } \operatorname{Im} z>0  \tag{4.1}\\ \left(\mu_{1}^{+}(z), \frac{\mu_{2}^{-}(z)}{s_{22}(z)}\right), & \text { as } \operatorname{Im} z<0\end{cases}
$$

which satisfies the following RH problem.
RHP1. Find a matrix-valued function $m(z)$ which satisfies
(a) $m(z)$ is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ and has double poles;


Figure 1: The jump contour and poles for $m(z)$
(b) $m(z)$ satisfies the jump condition $m_{+}(z)=m_{-}(z) v(z), z \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$
v(z)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+|r(z)|^{2} & e^{-2 i t \theta(z)} \overline{r(z)}  \tag{4.2}\\
e^{2 i t \theta(z)} r(z) & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

(c) The asymptotic behavior of $m(z)$ at infinity is

$$
m(z)=I+\mathcal{O}\left(z^{-1}\right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty
$$

(d) $m(z)$ satisfies the residue and the coefficient of negative second power term in the Laurent expansion conditions at double zeros $z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\bar{z}_{k} \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{k}} m^{+}(z)=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}}\left[m^{\prime}(z)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
A_{k} e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} & 0
\end{array}\right)+m(z)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
A_{k}\left(B_{k}+2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right) e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} & 0
\end{array}\right)\right],  \tag{4.3}\\
& \operatorname{ReS}_{z=\bar{z}_{k}} m^{-}(z)=\lim _{z \rightarrow \bar{z}_{k}}\left[m^{\prime}(z)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \hat{A}_{k} e^{-2 i t \theta\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)+m(z)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \hat{A}_{k}\left(\hat{B}_{k}-2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)\right) e^{-2 i t \theta\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right],  \tag{4.4}\\
& \underset{\substack{P_{-2} \\
P_{k}}}{ } m^{+}(z)=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}} m(z)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
A_{k} e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} & 0
\end{array}\right), \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The existence and uniqueness of the above RHP1 can be given by Liouville's theorem and the vanishing lemma [36]. Plugging the asymptotic expansion $m=I+m_{1} / z+o\left(z^{-1}\right)$ into the formula (2.1), we obtain that

$$
m(z)=I+\frac{1}{2 i z}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\int_{x}^{\infty}|q|^{2} d x & q  \tag{4.7}\\
\bar{q} & \int_{x}^{\infty}|q|^{2} d x
\end{array}\right)+o\left(z^{-1}\right)
$$

Thus, the solution $q(x, t)$ of initial value problem for NLS equation can be expressed by the above RHP1

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x, t)=2 i \lim _{z \rightarrow \infty}(z m)_{12} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5 Continuous extensions to a mixed $\bar{\partial}$-RH problem

In this section, we make factorizations of the jump matrix $v(z)$ and continuously extend each factor off $\mathbb{R}$. The idea of continuous extensions comes from [29]- 31$]$. Before doing continuous extensions, we renormalize the RH problem of $m(z)$ so that it is well-behaved at infinity. Then, we deform the jump matrix onto new contours on which they decay and obtain a new $\bar{\partial}$-RH problem by extensions.

### 5.1 Factorizations of jump matrix

We first consider the oscillatory term in the jump matrix (4.2)

$$
e^{2 i t \theta(z)}=e^{2 t \varphi(z)}, \quad \varphi(z)=i \theta(z)=i\left(z^{2}+x z / t\right)
$$

Differentiating $\varphi(z)$ with respect to $z$ yields a stationary phase point and four paths of steepest descent

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0}=-\frac{x}{2 t}, \quad \Sigma_{k}=\left\{z_{0}+e^{i(2 k-1) \pi / 4} \mathbb{R}_{+}\right\}, k=1,2,3,4 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\theta(z)=z^{2}-2 z_{0} z=\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{2}-z_{0}^{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}(i \theta)=-2 \operatorname{Im} z\left(\operatorname{Re} z-z_{0}\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we can divide the complex plane into two classes of domains according to the exponential decay $e^{2 i t \theta(z)}$.

From the above analysis, the jump matrix (4.2) admits two compositions

$$
v(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \bar{r} e^{-2 i t \theta} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
r e^{2 i t \theta} & 1
\end{array}\right), z>z_{0}, \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\frac{r}{1+|r|^{2}} e^{2 i t \theta} & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+|r|^{2} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{1+|r|^{2}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \frac{\bar{r}}{1+|r|^{2}} e^{-2 i t \theta} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), z<z_{0} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

To remove the intermediate matrix of the second decomposition, we introduce the following scalar RH problem.
RHP2. Find a scalar function $\delta(z)$ which satisfies


Figure 2: Exponential decaying domains
(a) $\delta(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$;
(b) $\delta_{+}(z)=\delta_{-}(z)\left(1+|r|^{2}\right), \quad z<z_{0}$;
(c) $\delta(z) \sim I, \quad z \rightarrow \infty$.

By the Plemelj formula, we prove that this RH problem has a unique solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(z)=\exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{z_{0}} \frac{\log \left(1+|r(s)|^{2}\right) d s}{s-z}\right)=\exp \left(i \int_{-\infty}^{z_{0}} \frac{\nu(s) d s}{s-z}\right), \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu(s)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \left(1+|r(s)|^{2}\right)$.

### 5.2 Renormalizations of the RH problem for $m(z)$

For convenience, we introduce some notations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{z_{0}}^{+}=\left\{k \in\{1, \ldots, N\} \| z_{k} \mid>z_{0}\right\}, \\
& \Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}=\left\{k \in\{1, \ldots, N\} \| z_{k} \mid<z_{0}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For a real interval $I=[a, b]$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{Z}(I)=\left\{z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}| | z_{k} \mid \in I\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{Z}^{-}(I)=\left\{z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}| | z_{k} \mid<a\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{Z}^{+}(I)=\left\{z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}| | z_{k} \mid>b\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 3: Different spectrum sets.

For a fixed point $z_{0} \in I$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}(I) & =\left\{k \in\{1, \ldots, N\}\left|a \leq\left|z_{k}\right|<z_{0}\right\}\right. \\
\Delta_{z_{0}}^{+}(I) & =\left\{k \in\{1, \ldots, N\}\left|z_{0}<\left|z_{k}\right| \leq b\right\}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

See the corresponding domains for different spectrum sets in Figure 3
Then, we introduce the function

$$
\begin{align*}
T(z) & =\prod_{k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\left(\frac{z-\bar{z}_{k}}{z-z_{k}}\right)^{2} \delta(z)  \tag{5.4}\\
& =\prod_{k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\left(\frac{z-\bar{z}_{k}}{z-z_{k}}\right)^{2}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{i \nu\left(z_{0}\right)} e^{i \beta\left(z, z_{0}\right)} \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta\left(z, z_{0}\right)=-\nu\left(z_{0}\right) \log \left(z-z_{0}+1\right)+\int_{-\infty}^{z_{0}} \frac{\nu(s)-\chi(s) \nu\left(z_{0}\right)}{s-z} d s \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $\chi(s)$ is the characteristic function of the interval $\left(z_{0}-1, z_{0}\right)$, and $\log$ takes the analytic branch along the cut $\left(-\infty, z_{0}-1\right]$.

Proposition 1. The function $T$ has the following properties
(a) $T$ is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C} \backslash\left(-\infty, z_{0}\right]$. For each $k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}, T(z)$ has double poles at $z_{k}$ and double zeros at $\bar{z}_{k}$;
(b) For $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\left(-\infty, z_{0}\right], \overline{T(\bar{z})}=1 / T(z)$;
(c) For $z \in\left(-\infty, z_{0}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{+}(z)=T_{-}(z)\left(1+|r(z)|^{2}\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) As $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ with $|\arg (z)| \leq c<\pi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(z)=1+\frac{i}{z}\left[4 \sum_{k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}} \operatorname{Im}\left(z_{k}\right)-\int_{-\infty}^{z_{0}} \nu(s) d s\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(z^{-2}\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) Along the ray $z=z_{0}+e^{i \phi} \mathbb{R}_{+}$where $|\phi|<\pi$, as $z \rightarrow z_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T\left(z, z_{0}\right)-T_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{i \nu\left(z_{0}\right)}\right| \leq c\left|z-z_{0}\right|^{1 / 2} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a fixed constant.

Proof. The proof of above properties is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.1 provided by Borghese et al [28].

Next, we construct a new transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{(1)}(z)=m(z) T(z)^{-\sigma_{3}} . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this transformation, we can achieve the following two goals:

- Renormalize $m$ such that $m^{(1)}$ is well behaved as $t \rightarrow \infty$ along arbitrary characteristic;
- Split the residue coefficients into two sets according to signature of $\operatorname{Re}(i \theta)$.

In addition, $m^{(1)}(z)$ satisfies the following RH problem.
RHP3. Find a matrix-valued function $m^{(1)}(z)=m^{(1)}(z ; x, t)$ such that
(a) $m^{(1)}(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \backslash(\mathbb{R} \cup \mathcal{Z} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}})$;
(b) $m^{(1)}(z)$ has the following jump condition $m_{+}^{(1)}(z)=m_{-}^{(1)}(z) v^{(1)}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
v^{(1)}(z) & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \overline{r(z)} T(z)^{2} e^{-2 i t \theta(z)} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
r(z) T^{-2}(z) e^{2 i t \theta(z)} & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad z \in\left(z_{0},+\infty\right)  \tag{5.11}\\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\frac{r(z)}{1+\mid r\left(\left.z\right|^{2}\right.} T_{-}^{-2}(z) e^{2 i t \theta(z)} & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \frac{\overline{r(z)}}{1+|r(z)|^{2}} T_{+}^{-2}(z) e^{-2 i t \theta(z)} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad z \in\left(-\infty, z_{0}\right) ; \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

(c) $m^{(1)}(z)=I+\mathcal{O}\left(z^{-1}\right), \quad$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$;
(d) $m^{(1)}(z)$ satisfies the following residue conditions at double poles $z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\bar{z}_{k} \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{k}} m^{(1)}(z)=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}}\left(m^{(1)}\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 4 A_{k}^{-1}\left(\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right)^{-2} e^{-2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{5.13}\\
& +m^{(1)}(z)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & -4 A_{k}^{-1}\left(\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right)^{-2}\left[B_{k}+2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)+\frac{2\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{3\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}\right] e^{-2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{-} ;  \tag{5.14}\\
& \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{k}} m^{(1)}(z)=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}}\left(m^{(1)}\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
A_{k} T^{-2}\left(z_{k}\right) e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} & 0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{5.15}\\
& +m^{(1)}(z)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
A_{k} T^{-2}\left(z_{k}\right)\left[B_{k}+2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)-\frac{2 T^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{T\left(z_{k}\right)}\right] e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{+} ;  \tag{5.16}\\
& \operatorname{Res}_{z=\bar{z}_{k}} m^{(1)}(z)=\lim _{z \rightarrow \bar{z}_{k}}\left(m^{(1)}\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
-4 \bar{A}_{k}^{-1}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)\right)^{-2} e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} & 0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{5.17}\\
& +m^{(1)}(z)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
4 \bar{A}_{k}^{-1}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)\right)^{-2}\left[\bar{B}_{k}-2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)+\frac{2 T^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)}{3 T^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)}\right] e^{2 i t \theta\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}  \tag{5.18}\\
& \operatorname{Res}_{z=\bar{z}_{k}} m^{(1)}(z)=\lim _{z \rightarrow \bar{z}_{k}}\left(m^{(1)}\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & -\bar{A}_{k} T^{2}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right) e^{-2 i t \theta\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{5.19}\\
& +m^{(1)}(z)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & -\bar{A}_{k} T^{2}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)\left[\bar{B}_{k}-2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)+\frac{2 T^{\prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)}{T\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)}\right] e^{-2 i t \theta\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad k \in \Delta_{z_{0} ;}^{+} ; \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, the coefficients of the negative second-order term are

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{z=2}^{P_{-2}} m^{(1)}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}} m^{(1)}(z)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 4 A_{k}^{-1}\left(\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right)^{-2} e^{-2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} \\
0 & 0 & k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{-} ; \\
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}} m^{(1)}(z)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
A_{k} T^{-2}\left(z_{k}\right) e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} & 0
\end{array}\right), & k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{+} ;
\end{array}\right. \\
\underset{\substack{P_{-2} \\
P_{k}}}{ } m^{(1)}(z)= \begin{cases}\lim _{z \rightarrow \bar{z}_{k}} m^{(1)}(z)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
-4 \bar{A}_{k}^{-1}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)\right)^{-2} & e^{2 i t \theta\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)} \\
0
\end{array}\right), & k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{-} ; \\
\lim _{z \rightarrow \bar{z}_{k}} m^{(1)}(z)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & -\bar{A}_{k} T^{2}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right) e^{-2 i t \theta\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), & k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{+} .\end{cases}
\end{array} . \begin{array}{l}
5.2
\end{array}\right. \tag{5.2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The analyticity, jump condition and asymptotic behavior of $m^{(1)}(z)$ are easily to be proven. The difficulty lies in the calculation of residue conditions. We first consider poles
$z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}$ in the upper half complex plane and denote $m(z)=\left(m_{1}(z), m_{2}(z)\right)$, then

$$
m^{(1)}(z)=\left(m_{1}^{(1)}(z), m_{2}^{(1)}(z)\right)=\left(m_{1}(z) T^{-1}(z), m_{2}(z) T(z)\right)=\left(\frac{\mu_{1}^{-}(z)}{s_{11}(z)} T^{-1}(z), \mu_{2}^{+}(z) T(z)\right)
$$

(i) For $k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}$and $z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}, z_{k}$ is the double poles of $m_{1}^{(1)}$ and $T$, but $m_{2}^{(1)}$ and $T^{-1}$ are analytic at the point $z_{k}$ with $T^{-1}\left(z_{k}\right)=0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& m^{(1)}\left(z_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2} A_{k} e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} m_{2}\left(z_{k}\right)\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)  \tag{5.23}\\
& \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{k}} m_{2}^{(1)}(z)=m_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right) 2\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)-m_{2}\left(z_{k}\right) \frac{2\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{3\left(\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right)^{2}} \tag{5.24}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{T}(z)=T(z)\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{2}$. Next, we calculate the derivative of $m_{1}^{(1)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m_{1}^{(1)}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)=\left(\mu_{1}^{-}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right) \frac{T^{-1}\left(z_{k}\right)}{s_{11}\left(z_{k}\right)}+\mu_{1}^{-}\left(z_{k}\right)\left(\frac{T^{-1}}{s_{11}}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right) \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Taylor expansion, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{T^{-1}(z)}{s_{11}(z)}=\frac{\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}+\left(\frac{\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{3 s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}-\frac{\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right) s_{11}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{3\left(s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right)^{2}}\right)\left(z-z_{k}\right)+\cdots \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{T^{-1}}{s_{11}}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)=\frac{\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{3 s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}-\frac{\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right) s_{11}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{3\left(s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right)^{2}} \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combing (3.1), (3.2) and (5.25) with (5.27), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(m_{1}^{(1)}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)=b_{k} \frac{\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)} e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)}\left(\mu_{2}^{+}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right) \\
& +\left[\frac{\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}\left(2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right) b_{k}+d_{k}\right)+b_{k}\left(\frac{\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{3 s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}-\frac{\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right) s_{11}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{3\left(s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right)^{2}}\right)\right] e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} \mu_{2}^{+}\left(z_{k}\right) \tag{5.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (5.23) and (5.28) into (5.24), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{k}} m_{2}^{(1)}(z)=4 A_{k}^{-1}\left(\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right)^{-2} e^{-2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)}\left(m_{2}^{(1)}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right) \\
& -4 A_{k}^{-1}\left(\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right)^{-2}\left[B_{k}+2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)+\frac{2\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{3\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}\right] e^{-2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} m_{2}^{(1)}\left(z_{k}\right) \tag{5.29}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the fact $A_{k}=\frac{2 b_{k}}{s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}$. Finally, we obtain the corresponding residue condition for $m^{(1)}(z)$.

Then, we calculate the coefficient of $\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{-2}$ in the Laurent expansion of $m^{(1)}$. We still consider this condition according to the order of the columns of $m^{(1)}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{z=2} m_{1}^{(1)}(z)=0,  \tag{5.30}\\
& \underset{z=z_{k}}{P_{-2} m_{k}} m_{2}^{(1)}(z)=m_{2}\left(z_{k}\right) P_{z=z_{k}}^{P_{-2}} T(z)=2 m_{2}\left(z_{k}\right)\left(\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right)^{-1} . \tag{5.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Plugging (5.23) into (5.31), it is straightforward to find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{z=z_{k}}{P_{-2}} m^{(1)}(z)=\left(0,4 A_{k}^{-1}\left(\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right)^{-2} e^{-2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)}\right) \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) For $k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{+}$and $z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}, T$ and $T^{-1}$ is analytic at the point $z_{k}$. In this case, the residue condition of the first column of $m^{(1)}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{k}} m_{1}^{(1)}(z) & =\underset{z=z_{k}}{\operatorname{Res}} m_{1}(z) \cdot T^{-1}\left(z_{k}\right)+\underset{z=z_{k}}{\operatorname{Res}} m_{1}(z)\left(T^{-1}(z)-T^{-1}\left(z_{k}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{k}} m_{1}(z) \cdot T^{-1}\left(z_{k}\right)+\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}} m_{1}\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{2} \tag{5.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}} m_{1}\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{2}=2 \mu_{1}^{-}\left(z_{k}\right)\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right) / s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)  \tag{5.34}\\
\left(m_{2}^{(1)}\right)^{\prime}(z)=m_{2}^{\prime}(z) T(z)+m_{2}(z) T^{\prime}(z) \tag{5.35}
\end{gather*}
$$

we give the expression

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{k}} m_{1}^{(1)}(z) & =A_{k} T^{-2}\left(z_{k}\right) e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)}\left(m_{2}^{(1)}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right) \\
& +A_{k} T^{-2}\left(z_{k}\right)\left[B_{k}+2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)-\frac{2 T^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{T\left(z_{k}\right)}\right] e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} m_{2}^{(1)}\left(z_{k}\right) \tag{5.36}
\end{align*}
$$

in which we have used equations (3.1) and (3.10). Because of the analyticity of $m_{2}(z)$ and $T(z)$ at the point $z_{k}, \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{k}} m_{2}^{(1)}(z)=0$. Thus, we find the expression of the residue condition in this case.

In addition, we can obtain the coefficient of $\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{-2}$ in the Laurent expansion of $m^{(1)}$ directly because $T^{-1}$ is analytic at the point $z_{k}$

$$
\underset{z=z_{k}}{P_{-2} m^{(1)}}(z)=P_{z=z_{k}}^{P_{-2}} m(z) \cdot T^{-1}\left(z_{k}\right)=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}} m^{(1)}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0  \tag{5.37}\\
A_{k} T^{-2}\left(z_{k}\right) e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Using the same method, we can obtain the corresponding conditions for $\bar{z}_{k} \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ in the lower half plane.

### 5.3 Continuous extensions of jump matrix

In this section, we make continuous extension to the scattering data of the jump matrix $v^{(1)}$ and construct a new transformation from $m^{(1)}$ to $m^{(2)}$. The transformed $m^{(2)}$ satisfies the following properties:

- $m^{(2)}(z)$ has no jump on $\mathbb{R}$ and matches $m^{(p c)}(z)$ model, which is given and analyzed in Section 5.1.2, on a new contour $\Sigma^{(2)}$ which is defined in (5.38).
- The norm of the function, which is introduced by this transformation, has been controlled so that the $\bar{\partial}$-contribution to the long-time asymptotics of $q(x, t)$ can be ignored.
- The residues are unaffected by the transformation.

To make continuous extension, we first define a new contour $\Sigma^{(2)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{(2)}=\Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2} \cup \Sigma_{3} \cup \Sigma_{4}, \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Sigma_{k}$ are given in (5.1). Then, the real axis $\mathbb{R}$ and the contour $\Sigma^{(2)}$ separate complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ into six open sectors denoted by $\Omega_{k}, k=1, \ldots, 6$, depicted in Figure 4 .

Second, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\frac{1}{2} \min _{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{Z} \cup \bar{Z} ; \lambda \neq \mu}|\lambda-\mu| . \tag{5.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any point $z_{k}=x_{k}+i y_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}$, we have $\overline{z_{k}}=x_{k}-i y_{k} \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$. Thus, $\operatorname{dist}(\mathcal{Z}, \mathbb{R}) \geq \rho$. Suppose that $\chi_{\mathcal{Z}} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C},[0,1])$ is the characteristic function defined in the neighborhood of discrete spectrum

$$
\chi \mathcal{Z}(z)= \begin{cases}1 & \operatorname{dist}(z, \mathcal{Z} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}})<\rho / 3,  \tag{5.40}\\ 0 & \operatorname{dist}(z, \mathcal{Z} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}})>2 \rho / 3 .\end{cases}
$$

Finally, we introduce a transformation $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$ to obtain a mixed $\bar{\partial}$-RH problem.

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{(2)}(z)=m^{(1)}(z) \mathcal{R}^{(2)}(z), \tag{5.41}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4: Definition of $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$ in different domains.
where $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(z)$ is defined as follows:

$$
\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(z)= \begin{cases}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
R_{1}(z) e^{2 i t \theta} & 1
\end{array}\right)^{-1}=W_{R}^{-1}, & z \in \Omega_{1},  \tag{5.42}\\
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & R_{3}(z) e^{-2 i t \theta} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)^{-1}=U_{R}^{-1}, & z \in \Omega_{3}, \\
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 \\
R_{4}(z) e^{2 i t \theta} & 1
\end{array}\right)=U_{L}, & z \in \Omega_{4}, \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & R_{6}(z) e^{-2 i t \theta} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=W_{L}, & z \in \Omega_{6} \\
I, & z \in \Omega_{2} \cup \Omega_{5}\end{cases}
$$

where the function $R_{j}, j=1,3,4,6$, is defined in following proposition, depicted in Figure 4 .


Figure 5: Jump matrix $v^{(2)}$. Yellow parts support $\bar{\partial}$ derivative: $\bar{\partial} \mathcal{R}^{(2)} \neq 0$; White parts don't support $\bar{\partial}$ derivative: $\bar{\partial} \mathcal{R}^{(2)}=0$.

Proposition 2. There exists a function $R_{j}: \bar{\Omega}_{j} \rightarrow C, j=1,3,4,6$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{1}(z)= \begin{cases}r(z) T(z)^{-2}, & z \in\left(z_{0}, \infty\right), \\
r\left(z_{0}\right) T_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{-2 i \nu\left(z_{0}\right)}\left(1-\chi_{\mathcal{Z}}(z)\right), & z \in \Sigma_{1},\end{cases}  \tag{5.43}\\
& R_{3}(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{r(z) T_{+}(z)^{2}}{\frac{1+|r(z)|^{2}}{r\left(z_{0}\right)} T_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)^{2}} \frac{z \in\left(-\infty, z_{0}\right),}{1+\left|r\left(z_{0}\right)\right|^{2}}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{2 i \nu\left(z_{0}\right)}\left(1-\chi_{\mathcal{Z}}(z)\right), & z \in \Sigma_{2},\end{cases}  \tag{5.44}\\
& R_{4}(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{r(z) T_{-}(z)^{-2}}{1+|r(z)|^{2}}, & z \in\left(-\infty, z_{0}\right), \\
\frac{r\left(z_{0}\right) T_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)^{-2}}{1+\left|r\left(z_{0}\right)\right|^{2}}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{-2 i \nu\left(z_{0}\right)}\left(1-\chi_{\mathcal{Z}}(z)\right), & z \in \Sigma_{3},\end{cases}  \tag{5.45}\\
& R_{6}(z)= \begin{cases}\frac{r(z) T(z)^{2},}{\frac{r\left(z_{0}\right)}{} T_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)^{2}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{2 i \nu\left(z_{0}\right)}\left(1-\chi_{\mathcal{Z}}(z)\right),} & z \in \Sigma_{4},\end{cases} \tag{5.46}
\end{align*}
$$

and $R_{j}$ admit estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|R_{j}(z)\right| \lesssim \sin ^{2}\left(\arg \left(z-z_{0}\right)\right)+\langle\operatorname{Re}(z)\rangle^{-1 / 2}  \tag{5.47}\\
& \left|\bar{\partial} R_{j}(z)\right| \lesssim\left|\bar{\partial} \chi_{\mathcal{Z}}(z)\right|+\left|r^{\prime}(\operatorname{Re} z)\right|+\left|z-z_{0}\right|^{-1 / 2}  \tag{5.48}\\
& \bar{\partial} R_{j}(z)=0, \quad \text { if } z \in \Omega_{2} \cup \Omega_{5} \text { or } \operatorname{dist}(z, \mathcal{Z} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}})<\rho / 3 . \tag{5.49}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof of above proposition is the same as that in [28] because the form of residue condition doesn't affect this transform $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(z)$.

Therefore, $m^{(2)}(z)$ satisfies the mixed $\bar{\partial}-\mathrm{RH}$ problem as follows:
RHP4. Find a matrix-valued function $m^{(2)}(z)=m^{(2)}(z ; x, t)$ which satisfies
(a) $m^{(2)}(z)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{C} \backslash\left(\Sigma^{(2)} \cup \mathcal{Z} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}}\right)$.
(b) $m^{(2)}(z)$ has the following jump condition $m_{+}^{(2)}(z)=m_{-}^{(2)}(z) v^{(2)}(z), \quad z \in \Sigma^{(2)}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
v^{(2)}(z)= & \left(\mathcal{R}_{-}^{(2)}\right)^{-1}(z) v^{(1)}(z) \mathcal{R}_{+}^{(2)}(z) \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
R_{1}(z) e^{2 i t \theta} & 1
\end{array}\right),  \tag{5.50}\\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & R_{3}(z) e^{-2 i t \theta} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), & z \in \Sigma_{1} \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
R_{4}(z) e^{2 i t \theta} & 1
\end{array}\right), & z \in \Sigma_{3} \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & R_{6}(z) e^{-2 i t \theta} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), & z \in \Sigma_{4}
\end{align*}
$$

See Figure 5
(c) $m^{(2)}(z)=I+\mathcal{O}\left(z^{-1}\right), \quad$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.
(d) For $\mathbb{C} \backslash\left(\Sigma^{(2)} \cup \mathcal{Z} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\partial} m^{(2)}(z)=m^{(2)}(z) \bar{\partial} \mathcal{R}^{(2)}(z) \tag{5.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\bar{\partial} \mathcal{R}^{(2)}(z)= \begin{cases}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-\bar{\partial} R_{1}(z) e^{2 i t \theta} & 1
\end{array}\right), & z \in \Omega_{1}  \tag{5.52}\\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\bar{\partial} R_{3}(z) e^{-2 i t \theta} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), & z \in \Omega_{3} \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\bar{\partial} R_{4}(z) e^{2 i t \theta} & 1
\end{array}\right), & z \in \Omega_{4} \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \bar{\partial} R_{6}(z) e^{-2 i t \theta} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), & z \in \Omega_{6} \\
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), & z \in \Omega_{2} \cup \Omega_{5}\end{cases}
$$

(e) $m^{(2)}(z)$ satisfies the following condition at double poles $z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\bar{z}_{k} \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{k}} m^{(2)}(z)=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}}\left(m^{(2)}\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 4 A_{k}^{-1}\left(\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right)^{-2} e^{-2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& +\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}} m^{(2)}(z)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & -4 A_{k}^{-1}\left(\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right)^{-2}\left[B_{k}+2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)+\frac{2\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{3\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}\right] e^{-2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{-} ; \\
& \underset{z=z_{k}}{\operatorname{Res}} m^{(2)}(z)=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}}\left(m^{(2)}\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
A_{k} T^{-2}\left(z_{k}\right) e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& +\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}} m^{(2)}(z)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
A_{k} T^{-2}\left(z_{k}\right)\left[B_{k}+2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)-\frac{2 T^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{T\left(z_{k}\right)}\right] e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{+} ; \\
& \operatorname{Res}_{z=\bar{z}_{k}} m^{(2)}(z)=\lim _{z \rightarrow \bar{z}_{k}}\left(m^{(2)}\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
-4 \bar{A}_{k}^{-1}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)\right)^{-2} e^{2 i t \theta\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)} & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& +\lim _{z \rightarrow \bar{z}_{k}} m^{(2)}(z)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
4 \bar{A}_{k}^{-1}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)\right)^{-2}\left[\bar{B}_{k}-2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)+\frac{2 T^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)}{3 T^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)}\right] e^{2 i t \theta\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{-} ;  \tag{5.58}\\
& \operatorname{Res}_{z=\bar{z}_{k}} m^{(2)}(z)=\lim _{z \rightarrow \bar{z}_{k}}\left(m^{(2)}\right)^{\prime}(z)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & -\bar{A}_{k} T^{2}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right) e^{-2 i t \theta\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{5.59}\\
& +\lim _{z \rightarrow \bar{z}_{k}} m^{(2)}(z)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & -\bar{A}_{k} T^{2}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)\left[\bar{B}_{k}-2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)+\frac{2 T^{\prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)}{T\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)}\right] e^{-2 i t \theta\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{+} ; \tag{5.60}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{z=\bar{z}_{k}}{P_{-2} m^{(2)}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\lim _{z \rightarrow \bar{z}_{k}} m^{(2)}(z) \\
\lim _{z \rightarrow \bar{z}_{k}} m^{(2)}(z)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
-4 \bar{A}_{k}^{-1}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)\right)^{-2} e^{2 i t \theta\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)} & 0
\end{array}\right), & k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{-} ; \\
0 & -\bar{A}_{k} T^{2}\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right) e^{-2 i t \theta\left(\bar{z}_{k}\right)} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right),} \begin{array}{ll} 
& k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{+} .
\end{array} \tag{5.62}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. (a)-(d) are easy to be checked, so here we only give a brief proof to (e). It is sufficient to prove the case where $k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{+}$and $z_{k} \in \mathbb{C}^{+}$, since the proof of others is similar. In this case,
any $z_{k} \in \Omega_{1}$ is not the pole of $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{k}} m^{(2)}(z)=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}}\left(m^{(1)}\right)^{\prime} \mathcal{R}^{(2)}\left(\mathcal{R}^{(2)}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
A_{k} T^{-2}\left(z_{k}\right) e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} & 0
\end{array}\right) \mathcal{R}^{(2)} \\
& +\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}} m^{(1)} \mathcal{R}^{(2)}\left(\mathcal{R}^{(2)}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
A_{k} T^{-2}\left(z_{k}\right)\left[B_{k}+2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)-\frac{2 T^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{T\left(z_{k}\right)}\right] e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)} & 0
\end{array}\right) \mathcal{R}^{(2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitute $\left(m^{(2)}\right)^{\prime}=\left(m^{(1)}\right)^{\prime} \mathcal{R}^{(2)}+m^{(1)}\left(\mathcal{R}^{(2)}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\left(\mathcal{R}^{(2)}\right)^{\prime}=0$ into the above equation, and we finish the proof.

## 6 Decomposition of the mixed $\bar{\partial}$-RH problem

In this section, we will find the solution of the mixed $\bar{\partial}$-RH problem $m^{(2)}$ as follows:
Step 1: Separate zero and non-zero parts of $\bar{\partial} \mathcal{R}^{(2)}$. Thus, we decompose $m^{(2)}$ into a pure Riemann-Hilbert problem with $\bar{\partial} \mathcal{R}^{(2)}=0$, which we denote by $m_{R H P}^{(2)}$, and a pure $\bar{\partial}$ problem with $\bar{\partial} \mathcal{R}^{(2)} \neq 0$, which we denote by $m^{(3)}$.

$$
m^{(2)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{\partial} \mathcal{R}^{(2)}=0 \rightarrow m_{R H P}^{(2)}  \tag{6.1}\\
\bar{\partial} \mathcal{R}^{(2)} \neq 0 \rightarrow m^{(3)}=m^{(2)}\left(m_{R H P}^{(2)}\right)^{-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The RH problem for the $m_{R H P}^{(2)}$ is as follows:
RHP5. Find a matrix-valued function $m_{R H P}^{(2)}(z)$ which satisfies
(a) $m_{R H P}^{(2)}(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \backslash\left(\Sigma^{(2)} \cup \mathcal{Z} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}}\right)$;
(b) $m_{R H P}^{(2)}(z)$ has the following jump condition $m_{R H P+}^{(2)}(z)=m_{R H P-}^{(2)}(z) v^{(2)}(z), \quad z \in \Sigma^{(2)}$, where $v^{(2)}(z)$ has been given by (5.50);
(c) $m_{R H P}^{(2)}(z) \rightarrow I, \quad$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$;
(d) $\bar{\partial} \mathcal{R}^{(2)}(z)=0, \quad$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$;
(e) The residue condition and the coefficient of the negative twice power of the Laurent expansion have the same form as $m^{(2)}$ with $m_{R H P}^{(2)}$ replacing $m^{(2)}$.

Step 2: To prove the existence of the solution $m_{R H P}^{(2)}$, we separate the jump line from the pole. Suppose $\epsilon_{z_{0}}=\left\{z:\left|z-z_{0}\right|<\rho / 2\right\}$. Let $m_{R H P}^{(2)}$ be further decomposed into two parts:

$$
m_{R H P}^{(2)}(z)= \begin{cases}E(z) m^{(\text {out })}(z), & z \notin \epsilon_{z_{0}}  \tag{6.2}\\ E(z) m^{\left(z_{0}\right)}(z), & z \in \epsilon_{z_{0}}\end{cases}
$$

The outer model $m^{(o u t)}(z)$ is constructed by ignoring the jumps in RHP5, which can be approximated by solitons on the discrete spectrum. The inner model $m^{\left(z_{0}\right)}(z)$ has the same jump with RHP5, which can be approximated by the parabolic cylinder model in continuous spectrum.

Step 3: Find the solution and its asymptotic behavior of the pure $\bar{\partial}$ problem $m^{(3)}(z)$.

### 6.1 The pure RH problem and constructions of its solution

### 6.1.1 The construction of outer model

By definition (6.2), $m^{(o u t)}(z)$ is the solution of $m^{(2)}(z)$ in the soliton region, which satisfies the following RH problem.
RHP6. Find a matrix-valued function $m^{(o u t)}(z)$ which satisfies
(a) $m^{(\text {out })}(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \backslash(\mathcal{Z} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}})$;
(b) $m^{(\text {out })}(z)=I+O\left(z^{-1}\right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty ;$
(c) $m^{(\text {out })}(z)$ has double poles at each $z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\bar{z}_{k} \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$, which satisfies the residue relations in (e) of RHP4 with $m^{(o u t)}(z)$ replacing $m^{(2)}(z)$.

In order to show the existence and uniqueness of solution of $m^{(o u t)}(z)$, we first consider the reflectionless case of the RHP1. In this case, $r(z)=0$ and $v(z)=I$, then $m_{+}=m_{-}$. Thus, RHP1 of NLS equation has no jumps in the whole plane and is analytic in $\mathbb{C}$ except for $z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\bar{z}_{k} \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$. The RHP1 can be equivalently rewritten as the following solvable RH problem: RHP7. Given discrete data $\sigma_{d}=\left\{\left(z_{k}, c_{i, k}\right), i=0,1, z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}\right\}_{k=1}^{N}$. Find a matrix-valued function $m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)$ which has the following properties:
(a) $m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)$ is analytical in $\mathbb{C} \backslash(\mathcal{Z} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}})$;
(b) $m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)=I+O\left(z^{-1}\right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty$;
(c) $m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)$ satisfies the following relations at each double pole $z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\bar{z}_{k} \in \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{z=z_{k}}{\operatorname{Res}} m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}}\left[m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right) n_{0, k}+m^{\prime}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right) n_{1, k}\right],  \tag{6.3}\\
& \operatorname{ReS}_{z=\bar{z}_{k}} m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)=\lim _{z \rightarrow \bar{z}_{k}}\left[m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right) \sigma_{2} \overline{n_{0, k}} \sigma_{2}+m^{\prime}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right) \sigma_{2} \overline{n_{1, k}} \sigma_{2}\right],  \tag{6.4}\\
& P_{-2} m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}} m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right) n_{1, k}  \tag{6.5}\\
& P_{z=2} m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)=\lim _{z \rightarrow \bar{z}_{k}} m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right) \sigma_{2} \overline{n_{1, k}} \sigma_{2} \tag{6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
n_{0, k}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0  \tag{6.7}\\
\gamma_{0, k}(x, t) & 0
\end{array}\right), n_{1, k}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
\gamma_{1, k}(x, t) & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{0, k}(x, t)=c_{0, k} e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)}  \tag{6.8}\\
& \gamma_{1, k}(x, t)=c_{1, k} e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)}  \tag{6.9}\\
& c_{0, k}=A_{k}\left(B_{k}+2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\right)  \tag{6.10}\\
& c_{1, k}=A_{k} \tag{6.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 3. Given discrete data $\sigma_{d}=\left\{\left(z_{k}, c_{i, k}\right), i=0,1, z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}\right\}_{k=1}^{N}$, there exists a unique solution of $R H P^{7}$ for each $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{s o l}\left(x, t ; \sigma_{d}\right)=2 i \lim _{z \rightarrow \infty}\left(z m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)\right)_{12} \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof includes two parts. One is for the uniqueness, and the other is for the existence. The proof of uniqueness is relatively simple, here we only briefly introduce the steps and mainly prove the existence.

Uniqueness: To prove the uniqueness of this solution, we first need to introduce a transformation to remove singularity of $m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)$ and then use Liouville's theorem to provide the uniqueness. Existence: We rewrite $\operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{k}} m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)$ and $\underset{z=z_{k}}{P_{-2} m}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)$ into the following form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{k}} m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)=a^{(0)}\left(z_{k}\right) n_{0, k}+a^{(1)}\left(z_{k}\right) n_{1, k}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
a_{12}^{(0)}\left(z_{k}\right) \gamma_{0, k}+a_{12}^{(1)}\left(z_{k}\right) \gamma_{1, k} \\
a_{22}^{(0)}\left(z_{k}\right) \gamma_{0, k}+a_{22}^{(1)}\left(z_{k}\right) \gamma_{1, k} \\
0
\end{array}\right) \triangleq\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{1, k} & 0 \\
\beta_{1, k} & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
 \tag{6.13}\\
P_{z=z_{k}}^{P_{-2} m}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)=a^{(2)}\left(z_{k}\right) n_{1, k}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{12}^{(2)}\left(z_{k}\right) \gamma_{1, k} & 0 \\
a_{22}^{(2)}\left(z_{k}\right) \gamma_{1, k} & 0
\end{array}\right) \triangleq\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{2, k} & 0 \\
\beta_{2, k} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

From the symmetry $m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)=\sigma_{2} \overline{m\left(\bar{z} \mid \sigma_{d}\right)} \sigma_{2}$, we know

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Res}_{z=\bar{z}_{k}} m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)=\sigma_{2}\left[\overline{a^{(0)}\left(z_{k}\right) n_{0, k}+a^{(1)}\left(z_{k}\right) n_{1, k}}\right] \sigma_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & -\bar{\beta}_{1, k} \\
0 & \bar{\alpha}_{1, k}
\end{array}\right),  \tag{6.15}\\
\underset{z=\bar{z}_{k}}{P_{-2}} m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)=\sigma_{2} \overline{a^{(2)}\left(z_{k}\right) n_{2, k}} \sigma_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & -\bar{\beta}_{2, k} \\
0 & \bar{\alpha}_{2, k}
\end{array}\right) . \tag{6.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

Notice that when $r(z)=0, v(z)=I$. Therefore, the above RH problem for $m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)$ has the
following solution

$$
\begin{align*}
m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right) & =I+\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left[\frac{1}{z-z_{k}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{1, k} & 0 \\
\beta_{1, k} & 0
\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{2, k} & 0 \\
\beta_{2, k} & 0
\end{array}\right)\right.  \tag{6.17}\\
& \left.+\frac{1}{z-\bar{z}_{k}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & -\bar{\beta}_{1, k} \\
0 & \bar{\alpha}_{1, k}
\end{array}\right)+\frac{1}{\left(z-\bar{z}_{k}\right)^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & -\bar{\beta}_{2, k} \\
0 & \bar{\alpha}_{2, k}
\end{array}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (6.17) into (6.3) and (6.5) respectively, we get the following linear equations after normalization

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{1, j}+\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left[\gamma_{0, j}\left(\frac{\bar{\beta}_{1, k}}{z_{j}-\bar{z}_{k}}+\frac{\bar{\beta}_{2, k}}{\left(z_{j}-\bar{z}_{k}\right)^{2}}\right)-\gamma_{1, j}\left(\frac{\bar{\beta}_{1, k}}{\left(z_{j}-\bar{z}_{k}\right)^{2}}+\frac{2 \bar{\beta}_{2, k}}{\left(z_{j}-\bar{z}_{k}\right)^{3}}\right)\right]=0,  \tag{6.18}\\
& \bar{\beta}_{1, j}-\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left[\bar{\gamma}_{0, j}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1, k}}{\bar{z}_{j}-z_{k}}+\frac{\alpha_{2, k}}{\left(\bar{z}_{j}-z_{k}\right)^{2}}\right)-\bar{\gamma}_{1, j}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1, k}}{\left(\bar{z}_{j}-z_{k}\right)^{2}}+\frac{2 \alpha_{2, k}}{\left(\bar{z}_{j}-z_{k}\right)^{3}}\right)\right]=\bar{\gamma}_{0, j},  \tag{6.19}\\
& \alpha_{2, j}+\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left[\gamma_{2, j}\left(\frac{\bar{\beta}_{1, k}}{z_{j}-\bar{z}_{k}}+\frac{\bar{\beta}_{2, k}}{\left(z_{j}-\bar{z}_{k}\right)^{2}}\right)\right]=0  \tag{6.20}\\
& \bar{\beta}_{2, j}-\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left[\bar{\gamma}_{2, j}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1, k}}{\bar{z}_{j}-z_{k}}+\frac{\alpha_{2, k}}{\left(\bar{z}_{j}-z_{k}\right)^{2}}\right)\right]=\bar{\gamma}_{2, j} . \tag{6.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we transform the above linear equations (6.18)-(6.21) into matrix form. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}=\left(\alpha_{1,1}, \cdots, \alpha_{1, N}\right)^{T}, \alpha_{2}=\left(\alpha_{2,1}, \cdots, \alpha_{2, N}\right)^{T} \\
& \bar{\beta}_{1}=\left(\bar{\beta}_{1,1}, \cdots, \bar{\beta}_{1, N}\right)^{T}, \bar{\beta}_{2}=\left(\bar{\beta}_{2,1}, \cdots, \bar{\beta}_{2, N}\right)^{T}, \\
& A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{N \times N}, a_{i j}=\frac{\gamma_{0, i}}{z_{i}-\bar{z}_{j}}-\frac{\gamma_{1, i}}{\left(z_{i}-\bar{z}_{j}\right)^{2}}, i, j=1, \cdots, N, \\
& B=\left(b_{i j}\right)_{N \times N}, b_{i j}=\frac{\gamma_{0, i}}{\left(z_{i}-\bar{z}_{j}\right)^{2}}-\frac{2 \gamma_{1, i}}{\left(z_{i}-\bar{z}_{j}\right)^{3}}, i, j=1, \cdots, N, \\
& C=\left(c_{i j}\right)_{N \times N}, c_{i j}=\frac{\gamma_{1, i}}{z_{i}-\bar{z}_{j}}, i, j=1, \cdots, N, \\
& D=\left(d_{i j}\right)_{N \times N}, d_{i j}=\frac{\gamma_{1, i}}{\left(z_{i}-\bar{z}_{j}\right)^{2}}, i, j=1, \cdots, N .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the above linear equations (6.18)-(6.21) are equivalent to the following partitioned matrix equation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
I_{N} & 0 & A & B  \tag{6.22}\\
0 & I_{N} & C & D \\
-A^{*} & -B^{*} & I_{N} & 0 \\
-C^{*} & -D^{*} & 0 & I_{N}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{1} \\
\alpha_{2} \\
\beta_{1} \\
\beta_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
\bar{\gamma}_{0} \\
\bar{\gamma}_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is easy to prove that the coefficient matrix of the above equation is positive definite. According to the Cramer's rule, the solution of the (6.22) exists and is unique.

Remark 1. RHP7 is a special case in Zhang's paper [3才] with $n_{1}=n_{2}=\cdots=n_{N}=2$, but the method of proof in his article is completely different from ours.

For convenience, let $\Delta \subseteq\{1,2, \cdots, N\}, \nabla=\Delta^{c}=\{1,2, \cdots, N\} \backslash \Delta$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\Delta}(z)=\prod_{k \in \Delta}\left(\frac{z-z_{k}}{z-\bar{z}_{k}}\right)^{2}, a_{\nabla}(z)=\frac{s_{11}}{a_{\Delta}(z)} \prod_{k \in \nabla}\left(\frac{z-z_{k}}{z-\bar{z}_{k}}\right)^{2} . \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we make a transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{\Delta}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta}\right)=m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right) a_{\Delta}(z)^{\sigma_{3}} \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we can see from the above expression, the transformation (6.24) splits the poles between the columns of $m^{\Delta}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta}\right)$ according to the choice of $\Delta$, and it satisfies the following nonreflective RH problem.
RHP8. Given discrete data $\sigma_{d}^{\Delta}=\left\{\left(z_{k}, c_{i, k}^{\Delta}\right), i=0,1, z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}\right\}_{k=1}^{N}$. Find a matrix-valued function $m^{\Delta}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta}\right)$ which has the following properties:
(a) $m^{\Delta}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta}\right)$ is analytical in $\mathbb{C} \backslash(\mathcal{Z} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}})$;
(b) $m^{\Delta}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta}\right)=I+O\left(z^{-1}\right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty ;$
(c) $m^{\Delta}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta}\right)$ has the following relations at discrete spectrum $\mathcal{Z} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{k}} m^{\Delta}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta}\right)=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}}\left[m^{\Delta}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta}\right) n_{0, k}^{\Delta}+\left(m^{\Delta}\right)^{\prime}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta}\right) n_{1, k}^{\Delta}\right]  \tag{6.25}\\
& \underset{z=\bar{z}_{k}}{\operatorname{Res}^{s}} m^{\Delta}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta}\right)=\lim _{z \rightarrow \bar{z}_{k}}\left[m^{\Delta}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta}\right) \sigma_{2} \overline{n_{0, k}^{\Delta}} \sigma_{2}+\left(m^{\Delta}\right)^{\prime}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta}\right) \sigma_{2} \overline{n_{1, k}^{\Delta}} \sigma_{2}\right]  \tag{6.26}\\
& P_{z=z_{k}} m^{\Delta}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta}\right)=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}} m^{\Delta}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta}\right) n_{1, k}^{\Delta}  \tag{6.27}\\
& P_{z=-2} m^{\Delta}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta}\right)=\lim _{z \rightarrow \bar{z}_{k}} m^{\Delta}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta}\right) \sigma_{2} \overline{n_{1, k}^{\Delta}} \sigma_{2} \tag{6.28}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
n_{0, k}^{\Delta}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \gamma_{0, k}^{\Delta}(x, t) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), & k \in \Delta \\
0 & 0 \\
\gamma_{0, k}^{\Delta}(x, t) & 0
\end{array}\right),  \tag{6.29}\\
n_{1, k}^{\Delta}= \begin{cases}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \gamma_{1, k}^{\Delta}(x, t) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & k \in \Delta \\
\gamma_{1, k}^{\Delta}(x, t) & 0
\end{array}\right), & k \in \nabla\end{cases} \tag{6.30}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{0, k}^{\Delta}(x, t)= \begin{cases}c_{0, k}^{\Delta} e^{-2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)}, & k \in \Delta, \\
c_{0, k}^{\Delta} e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)}, & k \in \nabla,\end{cases}  \tag{6.31}\\
& c_{0, k}^{\Delta}= \begin{cases}-4 A_{k}^{-1} a_{\Delta}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)^{-2}\left[2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)+B_{k}+\frac{2 a_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{3 a_{\Delta}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}\right], & k \in \Delta, \\
2 b_{k} s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right) a_{\nabla}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)^{-2}\left[2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)+B_{k}-\frac{2 a_{V}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{3 a_{\nabla}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}\right], & k \in \nabla,\end{cases}  \tag{6.32}\\
& \gamma_{1, k}^{\Delta}(x, t)= \begin{cases}c_{1, k}^{\Delta} e^{-2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)}, & k \in \Delta, \\
c_{1, k}^{\Delta} e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right),} & k \in \nabla,\end{cases}  \tag{6.33}\\
& c_{1, k}^{\Delta}= \begin{cases}4 A_{k}^{-1} a_{\Delta}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)^{-2}, & k \in \Delta, \\
b_{k} s_{11}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right) a_{\nabla}^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{k}\right)^{-2}, & k \in \nabla .\end{cases} \tag{6.34}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof is similar to the proof of RHP3.
Proposition 4. For nonreflective scattering data $\sigma_{d}^{\Delta}=\left\{\left(z_{k}, c_{i, k}^{\Delta}\right), i=0,1, z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}\right\}_{k=1}^{N}$, RHP8 owns a unique solution and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\text {sol }}\left(x, t ; \sigma_{d}^{\Delta}\right)=2 i \lim _{z \rightarrow \infty}\left(z m^{\Delta}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\triangle}\right)\right)_{12}=2 i \lim _{z \rightarrow \infty}\left(z m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)\right)_{12}=q_{s o l}\left(x, t ; \sigma_{d}\right) \tag{6.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since the transformation (6.24) is explicit, from Proposition 3, we know this RHP8 has a unique solution. Using transformations (4.7) and (6.24), we can obtain

$$
m^{\Delta}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta}\right)=I+\frac{1}{2 i z}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\int_{x}^{\infty}|q|^{2} d x+8 \Sigma_{k \in \Delta} \operatorname{Im} z_{k} & q(x, t)  \tag{6.36}\\
\bar{q}(x, t) & \int_{x}^{\infty}|q|^{2} d x-8 \Sigma_{k \in \Delta} \operatorname{Im} z_{k}
\end{array}\right)+o\left(z^{-1}\right)
$$

Hence, the formula (6.35) can be found.
In order to establish the relationship between $m^{(o u t)}(z)$ and $m^{\Delta}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta}\right)$, we take $\Delta=\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}$ and replace the scattering data $\sigma_{d}^{\Delta}$ with scattering data

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{d}^{(o u t)}=\left\{\left(z_{k}, \tilde{c}_{i, k}\right), \tilde{c}_{i, k}=c_{i, k} \delta\left(z_{k}\right)^{2}, i=0,1\right\}_{k=1}^{N} \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the conditions defining $m^{(o u t)}(z)$ are identical to those defining $m^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{(o u t)}\right)$, we can draw a conclusion.

Corollary 1. There exists a unique solution for the RHP5. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{(o u t)}(z)=m^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{(o u t)}\right) \tag{6.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the scattering data $\sigma_{d}^{(o u t)}$ is given by (6.37). In addition, the corresponding $N$-soliton solution satisfies

$$
q_{s o l}\left(x, t ; \sigma_{d}^{(o u t)}\right)=q_{s o l}\left(x, t ; \sigma_{d}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\right)
$$



Figure 6: Space-time $\mathcal{S}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$

Next, we consider the large $z$ behavior of the above solutions.
Proposition 5. Given discrete scattering data $\sigma_{d}=\left\{\left(z_{k}, c_{i, k}\right), i=0,1, z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}\right\}_{k=1}^{N}$, pairs of points $y_{1}, y_{2}$ with $y_{1} \leq y_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ and velocities $v_{1}$, $v_{2}$ with $v_{1} \leq v_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the cone

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right):=\left\{(y, t) \in R^{2} \mid y=y_{0}+v t, y_{0} \in\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right], v \in\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]\right\} . \tag{6.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

See Figure 6.
Take $I=\left[-v_{2} / 2,-v_{1} / 2\right]$. Then, when $t \rightarrow \infty$ with $(y, t) \in \mathcal{S}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)=\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-2 \mu|t|}\right)\right) m^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}(I)}\left(z ; y, t \mid \sigma_{d}^{-}(I)\right) \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{d}^{-}(I)=\left\{\left(z_{k}, c_{i, k}(I)\right), i=0,1, z_{k} \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}(I)\right\}_{k=1}^{N}  \tag{6.41}\\
& \mu=\mu(I)=\min _{z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z} \backslash \mathcal{Z}(I)}\left\{\operatorname{Im}\left(z_{k}\right) \operatorname{dist}\left(\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{k}\right), I\right)\right\}=\min _{z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z} \backslash \mathcal{Z}(I), i=1,2}\left\{2 \operatorname{Im}\left(z_{k}\right)\left|v_{i}-v_{z_{k}}\right|\right\} \tag{6.42}
\end{align*}
$$

An example has been given in Figure 7.

Proof. Let

$$
\Delta^{-}(I)=\left\{k| | z_{k} \mid<-v_{2} / 2\right\}, \quad \Delta^{+}(I)=\left\{k| | z_{k} \mid>-v_{1} / 2\right\}
$$



Figure 7: Fix $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ so that $v_{1}<v_{2} . I=\left[-v_{2} / 2,-v_{1} / 2\right]$. For example, the original data has five pairs of discrete spectrum, but inside cone $\mathcal{S}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$, the solution is asymptotically described by a two-soliton solution $q_{\text {sol }}\left(x, t ; \sigma_{d}(I)\right)$ with discrete spectrum in $\mathcal{Z}(I)=\left\{z_{1}, z_{3}\right\}$.

For $t>0,(x, t) \in \mathcal{S}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
-v_{2} / 2<z_{0}+x_{0} /(2 t)<-v_{1} / 2
$$

and as $t \rightarrow \infty, x_{0} /(2 t) \rightarrow 0,-v_{2} / 2<z_{0}<-v_{1} / 2$. By the residue condition and the coefficient of negative second power of Laurent expansion, it is easy to calculate that

$$
\left\|n_{i, k}^{\Delta^{ \pm}(I)}\right\|= \begin{cases}\mathcal{O}(1), & z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}(I)  \tag{6.43}\\ \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-4 \mu|t|}\right), & z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z} \backslash \mathcal{Z}(I)\end{cases}
$$

For each $z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z} \backslash \mathcal{Z}(I)$, we introduce small disks $D_{k}$ whose radius are sufficiently small that they are non-overlapping. We define a function

$$
\Upsilon(z)= \begin{cases}I-\frac{1}{z-z_{k}} n_{0, k}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}-\frac{1}{\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{2}} n_{2, k}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}, & z \in D_{k}  \tag{6.44}\\ I-\sigma_{2}\left[\frac{1}{z-\bar{z}_{k}} \bar{n}_{0, k}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}-\frac{1}{\left(z-\bar{z}_{k}\right)^{2}} \bar{n}_{2, k}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\right] \sigma_{2}, & z \in \bar{D}_{k} \\ I, & \text { elsewhere. }\end{cases}
$$

Then we introduce a transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{m}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\right)=m^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\right) \Upsilon(z) \tag{6.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $\hat{m}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\right)$has jumps across each boundary of $D_{k}$ and $\bar{D}_{k}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{m}_{+}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\right)=\hat{m}_{-}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\right) \hat{v}(z), \quad z \in \partial D_{k} \cup \partial \bar{D}_{k} \tag{6.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\hat{v}-I\|=\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-4 \mu|t|}\right) \tag{6.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be given by the formula (6.43).
Take $\Delta=\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}(I)$, then $m^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}(I)}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{-}(I)\right)$ has the same poles as $\hat{m}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\right)$with the same residue conditions and the coefficient of negative second power of Laurent expansion. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(z)=\hat{m}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\right)\left[m^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}(I)}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}^{-}(I)\right)\right]^{-1} \tag{6.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no poles but satisfies $\varepsilon_{+}(z)=\varepsilon_{-}(z) v_{\varepsilon}(z)$ with $\left\|v_{\varepsilon}-I\right\|=\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-4 \mu|t|}\right)$. From the theory of small-norm Riemann-Hilbert problems, we know $\varepsilon(z)=I+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-4 \mu|t|}\right)$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, by equations (6.45) and (6.48), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)=\left(I+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-2 \mu|t|}\right)\right) m^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}(I)}\left(z ; y, t \mid \sigma_{d}^{-}(I)\right) \tag{6.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we can obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 2. Assume that $q_{\text {sol }}\left(x, t ; \sigma_{d}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\right)$is the $N$-soliton solution of the NLS equation with scattering data $\sigma_{d}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}=\left\{\left(z_{k}, c_{i, k}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\right), i=0,1, k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}\right\}_{k=1}^{N}$. Then, as $(x, t) \in \mathcal{S}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$, $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{s o l}\left(x, t ; \sigma_{d}^{(o u t)}\right)=q_{s o l}\left(x, t ; \sigma_{d}^{\Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}}\right)=q_{\text {sol }}\left(x, t ; \sigma_{d}^{-}(I)\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-4 \mu|t|}\right) \tag{6.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{\text {sol }}\left(x, t ; \sigma_{d}^{-}(I)\right)$ is the $N(I)$-soliton solution of the $N L S$ equation with the scattering data $\sigma_{d}^{-}(I)$.

### 6.1.2 The construction of local model

At the beginning of the construction of local model near the saddle point, we consider the jump matrix in the interior of the region.

## Proposition 6.

$$
\left\|v^{(2)}-I\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{(2)}\right)}= \begin{cases}\mathcal{O}\left(\left|z-z_{0}\right|^{-1} t^{-1 / 2}\right), & z \in \Sigma^{(2)} \cap \epsilon_{z_{0}}  \tag{6.51}\\ \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-t \rho^{2} / 2}\right), & z \in \Sigma^{(2)} \backslash \epsilon_{z_{0}}\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We prove the above proposition for the case $z \in \Sigma_{1}$, and other cases can be shown in a similar way. The jump line is $z-z_{0}=\left|z-z_{0}\right| e^{i \pi / 4}$ at $\Sigma_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{2}-z_{0}^{2}=i\left|z-z_{0}\right|^{2}-z_{0}^{2} \tag{6.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5.47) and (5.50), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{1} e^{2 i t \theta(z)}\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{2} c_{1}+c_{2}\langle\operatorname{Re} z\rangle^{-1 / 2}\right) e^{-2 t\left|z-z_{0}\right|^{2}} \tag{6.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\operatorname{Re} z\rangle^{-1 / 2} \leq c$. In the interior of $\epsilon_{z_{0}}, m_{R H P}^{(2)}$ has no pole and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v^{(2)}-I\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{(2)}\right)} \leq c\left|z-z_{0}\right|^{-1} t^{-1 / 2}, \quad z \in \Sigma^{(2)} \cap \epsilon_{z_{0}} \tag{6.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, it is clear that the jump $v^{(2)}$ is point-wise bounded, but not uniformly decayed to the identity matrix. Additionally, as $z \in \Sigma^{(2)} \cap\left\{\left|z-z_{0}\right| \geq \rho / 2\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v^{(2)}-I\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{(2)}\right)} \leq c e^{-t \rho^{2} / 2} \tag{6.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to achieve a uniformly small jump Riemann-Hilbert problem for the function $E(z)$ defined by (6.2), we establish a local model $m^{\left(z_{0}\right)}$ which matches $m_{R H P}^{(2)}$ on $\Sigma^{(2)} \cap \epsilon_{z_{0}}$. For this reason, the translation scale transformation is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\lambda(z)=2 \sqrt{t}\left(z-z_{0}\right) \tag{6.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that if we take $r_{0}=r\left(z_{0}\right) T_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)^{-2} e^{2 i\left(\nu\left(z_{0}\right) \log (2 \sqrt{\mathrm{t}})-\mathrm{tz}{ }^{2}\right)}$, the jump of $m_{R H P}^{(2)}$ is in accordance with that of the parabolic cylinder model problem $m^{(p c)}$, which satisfies the following RH problem, see more details in [14].
RHP9. Fix $r_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$, find an analytic function $m^{(p c)}\left(\lambda, r_{0}\right)$ such that
(a) $m^{(p c)}\left(\lambda, r_{0}\right)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \backslash \Sigma^{(2)}$.
(b) $m^{(p c)}\left(\lambda, r_{0}\right)$ has continuous boundary value $m_{ \pm}^{(p c)}\left(\lambda, r_{0}\right)$ on $\Sigma^{(2)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{+}^{(p c)}\left(\lambda, r_{0}\right)=m_{-}^{(p c)}\left(\lambda, r_{0}\right) v^{(p c)}\left(\lambda, r_{0}\right), \quad \zeta \in \Sigma^{(2)} \tag{6.57}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 8: Jump matrix $v^{(p c)}$.
where

$$
v^{(p c)}\left(\lambda, r_{0}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
r_{0} \lambda^{-2 i \nu} e^{i \lambda^{2} / 2} & 1
\end{array}\right), & \lambda=\Sigma_{1}  \tag{6.58}\\
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \frac{\bar{r}_{0}}{1+\left|r_{0}\right|^{2}} \lambda^{2 i \nu} e^{-i \lambda^{2} / 2} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), & \lambda=\Sigma_{2} \\
1 & 0 \\
\frac{r_{0}}{1+\left|r_{0}\right|^{2}} \lambda^{-2 i \nu} e^{i \lambda^{2} / 2} & 1 \\
1 & \bar{r}_{0} \lambda^{2 i \nu} e^{-i \lambda^{2} / 2} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \lambda=\Sigma_{3}, ~ \lambda=\Sigma_{4} .
$$

See Figure 8
(c) As $\lambda \rightarrow \infty, m^{(p c)}\left(\lambda, r_{0}\right)=I+\frac{m_{1}^{(p c)}\left(r_{0}\right)}{\lambda}+\mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^{-2}\right)$.

Moreover, the asymptotic behavior of $m^{(p c)}\left(\lambda, r_{0}\right)$ has been verified in the paper [17], which is

$$
m^{(p c)}\left(\lambda, r_{0}\right)=I+\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & -i \beta_{12}\left(r_{0}\right)  \tag{6.59}\\
i \beta_{21}\left(r_{0}\right) & 0
\end{array}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^{-2}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{12}\left(r_{0}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{2 \pi} e^{i \pi / 4} e^{-\pi \nu / 2}}{r_{0} \Gamma(-i \nu)}, \quad \beta_{21}\left(r_{0}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{2 \pi} e^{-i \pi / 4} e^{-\pi \nu / 2}}{\bar{r}_{0} \Gamma(i \nu)}=\frac{\nu}{\beta_{12}\left(r_{0}\right)} \tag{6.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, it is convenient to define $m^{\left(z_{0}\right)}(z)$, which is given by (6.2), as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{\left(z_{0}\right)}(z)=m^{(o u t)}(z) m^{(p c)}\left(\lambda, r_{0}\right) . \tag{6.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, $m^{\left(z_{0}\right)}(z)$ is a bounded function in $\epsilon_{z_{0}}$ and fulfills the jump condition $v^{(2)}(z)$ of $m_{R H P}^{(2)}(z)$.


Figure 9: Jump contour $\Sigma^{(E)}=\partial \epsilon_{z_{0}} \cup\left(\Sigma^{(2)} \backslash \epsilon_{z_{0}}\right)$

### 6.2 The small-norm RH problem for $E(z)$

In this section, we deal with the error function $E(z)$. By the definition (6.38) and (6.61), it is obvious that $E(z)$ meets the RH problem as below.
RHP10. Find a holomorphic function $E(z)$ such that
(a) $E(z)$ is analytical in $\mathbb{C} \backslash \Sigma^{(E)}$, where $\Sigma^{(E)}=\partial \epsilon_{z_{0}} \cup\left(\Sigma^{(2)} \backslash \epsilon_{z_{0}}\right)$, see Figure 9 ,
(b) For $z \in \Sigma^{(E)}, E(z)$ has continuous boundary values $E_{ \pm}(z)$ which satisfy

$$
E_{+}(z)=E_{-}(z) v^{(E)}(z)
$$

where

$$
v^{(E)}(z)= \begin{cases}m^{(o u t)}(z) v^{(2)}(z) m^{(o u t)}(z)^{-1}, & z \in \Sigma^{(2)} \backslash \epsilon_{z_{0}}  \tag{6.62}\\ m^{(o u t)}(z) m^{(p c)}\left(\lambda, r_{0}\right) m^{(o u t)}(z)^{-1}, & z \in \partial \epsilon_{z_{0}}\end{cases}
$$

(c) For $z \in \infty, E(z)=I+\mathcal{O}\left(z^{-1}\right)$.

Utilizing Proposition 6, the formula (6.62) and the boundedness of $m^{(o u t)}(z)$, we can obtain

$$
\left|v^{(E)}(z)-I\right|= \begin{cases}\mathcal{O}\left(e^{-t \rho^{2} / 2}\right), & z \in \Sigma^{(2)} \backslash \epsilon_{z_{0}}  \tag{6.63}\\ \mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1 / 2}\right), & z \in \partial \epsilon_{z_{0}}\end{cases}
$$

Proposition 7. RHP10 has a unique solution.

Proof. According to Beal-Cofiman theorem, the solution of RHP10 can be constructed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(z)=I+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Sigma^{(E)}} \frac{\mu_{E}(s)\left(v^{(E)}(s)-I\right)}{s-z} d s \tag{6.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{E} \in L^{2}\left(\Sigma^{(E)}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-C_{w_{E}}\right) \mu_{E}=I \tag{6.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C_{w_{E}}$ being a integral operator defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{w_{E}}(f)(z)=C_{-}\left(f\left(v^{(E)}(z)-I\right)\right) \tag{6.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{-}$is the Cauchy projection operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{-}(f)(s)=\lim _{z^{\prime} \rightarrow z \in \Sigma^{(E)}} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Sigma^{(E)}} \frac{f(s)}{s-z^{\prime}} d s \tag{6.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above formulas (6.66) and (6.67), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|C_{w_{E}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Sigma^{(E)}\right)} \leq\left\|C_{-}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Sigma^{(E)}\right)}\left\|v^{(E)}-I\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{(E)}\right)} \lesssim \mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1 / 2}\right) \tag{6.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that $1-C_{w_{E}}$ is invertible. Subsequently, $\mu_{E}$ and the solution of the RHP10 exist and are unique.

By (6.63) and the process of proof of Proposition 7, it is straightforward to obtain the following corollary.

## Corollary 3.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\langle\cdot\rangle\left(v^{(E)}-I\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1 / 2}\right), \quad p \in[1, \infty), k \geq 0  \tag{6.69}\\
& \left\|\mu_{E}-I\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Sigma^{(E)}\right)}=\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1 / 2}\right) \tag{6.70}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we consider the asymptotic expansion of $E(z)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(z)=I+\frac{E_{1}}{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(z^{-2}\right) \tag{6.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Sigma^{(E)}} \mu_{E}(s)\left(v^{(E)}-I\right) d s \tag{6.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proposition 8.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}(x, t)=\frac{1}{2 i \sqrt{t}} m^{(o u t)}\left(z_{0}\right) m_{1}^{(p c)}\left(r_{0}\right) m^{(o u t)}\left(z_{0}\right)^{-1}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-1}\right) . \tag{6.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Rewrite formula (6.72) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\partial \epsilon_{z_{0}}}\left(v^{(E)}-I\right) d s--\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Sigma^{(E)} \backslash \epsilon_{z_{0}}}\left(v^{(E)}-I\right) d s-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Sigma^{(E)}}\left(\mu_{E}(s)-I\right)\left(v^{(E)}-I\right) d s \tag{6.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the conclusion can be obtained by estimating the three integrals respectively.

### 6.3 The pure $\bar{\partial}$-Problem and its asymptotic behaviors

In this section, we acquire a pure $\bar{\partial}$-problem after removing the $\bar{\partial}$ component of $m^{(2)}$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{(3)}(z):=m^{(2)}(z) m_{R H P}^{(2)}(z)^{-1} \tag{6.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $m^{(3)}(z)$ is continuous and has no jump in $\mathbb{C}$, which satisfies a pure $\bar{\partial}$-problem.
RHP11. Find a matrix-valued function $m^{(3)}(z)$ with the following properties.
(a) $m^{(3)}(z)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{C} \backslash\left(\mathbb{R} \cup \Sigma^{(2)}\right)$;
(b) For $z \in \mathbb{C}, \bar{\partial} m^{(3)}(z)=m^{(3)}(z) w^{(3)}(z)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{(3)}(z)=m_{R H P}^{(2)}(z) \bar{\partial} \mathcal{R}^{(2)} m_{R H P}^{(2)}(z)^{-1} ; \tag{6.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) For $z \in \infty, m^{(3)}(z)=I+\mathcal{O}\left(z^{-1}\right)$.

Proof. By the definition of $m^{(3)}(z)$, it is obvious that $m^{(3}(z)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{C} \backslash \Sigma^{(2)}$ and satisfies the condition (b). At the same time, using formulas (5.50) and (6.75), we can find that

$$
m_{+}^{(3)}(z)=m_{-}^{(3)}(z), \quad z \in \Sigma^{(2)}
$$

From the property (e) of RHP3, we prove the residue condition for the case $k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{+}$, $\operatorname{Im} z_{k}>0$, because the proofs for the other cases are similar.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_{k}} m^{(2)}(z)=\left(\gamma_{0, k}^{(2)} m_{2}^{(2)}\left(z_{k}\right), 0\right)+\left(\gamma_{1, k}^{(2)}\left(m_{2}^{(2)}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right), 0\right)=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}}\left(m^{(2)} n_{0, k}^{(2)}+\left(m^{(2)}\right)^{\prime} n_{1, k}^{(2)}\right),  \tag{6.77}\\
& {\underset{z=2}{-2}}_{P_{-2} m^{(2)}(z)=\left(\gamma_{1, k}^{(2)} m_{2}^{(2)}\left(z_{k}\right), 0\right)=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{k}}\left(m^{(2)} n_{1, k}^{(2)}\right),} \tag{6.78}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{0, k}^{(2)}=A_{k} T^{-2}\left(z_{k}\right)\left[B_{k}+2 i t \theta^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)-\frac{2 T^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)}{T\left(z_{k}\right)}\right] e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)}  \tag{6.79}\\
& \gamma_{1, k}^{(2)}=A_{k} T^{-2}\left(z_{k}\right) e^{2 i t \theta\left(z_{k}\right)}  \tag{6.80}\\
& n_{0, k}^{(2)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
\gamma_{0, k}^{(2)} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad n_{1, k}^{(2)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
\gamma_{1, k}^{(2)} & 0
\end{array}\right) \tag{6.81}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left(n_{i, k}^{(2)}\right)^{2}=0(i=0,1), n_{i, k}^{(2)}$ is the nilpotent matrix.
As $z_{k}$ is the second-order pole of $m^{(2)}(z), m^{(2)}(z)$ has the Laurent expansion with the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{(2)}(z)=\frac{P_{z=2} m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)}{\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\underset{z=z_{k}}{\operatorname{Res} m\left(z \mid \sigma_{d}\right)}}{z-z_{k}}+a\left(z_{k}\right)+b\left(z_{k}\right)\left(z-z_{k}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{2} \tag{6.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (6.82) into (6.77) and (6.78) respectively, we acquire

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{z=z_{k}}{\operatorname{Res}} m^{(2)}(z)=a\left(z_{k}\right) n_{0, k}^{(2)}+b\left(z_{k}\right) n_{1, k}^{(2)}  \tag{6.83}\\
& P_{-2} m^{(2)}(z)=a\left(z_{k}\right) n_{1, k}^{(2)} \tag{6.84}
\end{align*}
$$

And then, bring (6.83) and (6.84) back to (6.82), we have the Laurent expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{(2)}(z)=a\left(z_{k}\right)\left[I+\frac{n_{0, k}^{(2)}}{z-z_{k}}+\frac{n_{1, k}^{(2)}}{\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{2}}\right]+b\left(z_{k}\right) \frac{n_{1, k}^{(2)}}{z-z_{k}}+b\left(z_{k}\right)\left(z-z_{k}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{2} \tag{6.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $m^{(2)}$ and $m_{R H P}^{(2)}$ have the same residue relations and $\operatorname{det} m^{(2)}(z)=\operatorname{det} m_{R H P}^{(2)}(z)=1$, it can be calculated directly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m_{R H P}^{(2)}\right)^{-1}(z)=\left[I-\frac{n_{0, k}^{(2)}}{z-z_{k}}-\frac{n_{1, k}^{(2)}}{\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{2}}\right] \sigma_{2} a\left(z_{k}\right)^{T} \sigma_{2}+\left(I-\frac{n_{1, k}^{(2)}}{\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{2}}\right) \sigma_{2} b\left(z_{k}\right)^{T} \sigma_{2}\left(z-z_{k}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(z-z_{k}\right)^{2} \tag{6.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{(2)}(z)\left(m_{R H P}^{(2)}\right)^{-1}(z)=\mathcal{O}(1) \tag{6.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which we have used the property of nilpotent matrix $n_{i, k}^{(2)}(i=0,1)$. Hence, $m^{(3)}(z)$ has only removable singularities at each $z_{k}$.

The property (b) can be obtained by $\bar{\partial} m_{R H P}^{(2)}=0$.
The solution of this RHP11 is constructed by the following integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{(3)}(z)=I-\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{m^{(3)}(s) w^{(3)}(s)}{s-z} d A(s) \tag{6.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d A(s)$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}$. Meanwhile, the equation (6.88) can also be represented by operators, which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I-C) m^{(3)}(z)=I \tag{6.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is the Cauchy-Green integral operator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C[f](z)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{f(s) w^{(3)}(s)}{s-z} d A(s) \tag{6.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, this operator $C$ admits the following estimation.
Proposition 9. For $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|C\|_{L^{\infty} \rightarrow L^{\infty}} \lesssim|t|^{-1 / 4} \tag{6.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $(I-C)^{-1}$ exists.
As $z \rightarrow \infty$, we consider the asymptotic expansion of $m^{(3)}(z)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{(3)}(z)=I+\frac{m_{1}^{(3)}}{z}+\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{s m^{(3)}(s) w^{(3)}(s)}{z(s-z)} d A(s) \tag{6.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}^{(3)}=\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{C}} m^{(3)}(s) w^{(3)}(s) d A(s) \tag{6.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

To reconstruct the solution $q(x, t)$ of the fNLS equation with double poles, we need to determine the long time asymptotic behavior of $m_{1}^{(3)}$. We can testify the following property of $m_{1}^{(3)}$.

Proposition 10. There is a constant $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|m_{1}^{(3)}\right| \leq c t^{-3 / 4} \tag{6.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proofs of Proposition 9 and 10 are similar to the proof of Proposition 6.1 and Appendix D in [28] respectively.

## 7 Long time asymptotics for fNLS equation

Now we begin to seek the long time asymptotic behavior of the solution for the fNLS equation.
Theorem 1. Take $q_{0}(x) \in H^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ and suppose that the corresponding scattering data is $\sigma_{d}=$ $\left\{\left(z_{k}, c_{i, k}\right), i=0,1, z_{k} \in \mathcal{Z}\right\}_{k=1}^{N}$ with $z_{k}$ is double zeros of the scattering coefficient $s_{11}(z)$. Fix $x_{1}, x_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $x_{1} \leq x_{2}, v_{1} \leq v_{2}$. Take $I=\left[-v_{2} / 2,-v_{1} / 2\right]$ and $z_{0}=-x /(2 t)$. Take $q_{\text {sol }}\left(x, t ; \sigma_{d}^{-}(I)\right)$ be the $N(I)$ solition corresponding to the scattering data

$$
\sigma_{d}^{-}(I)=\left\{\left(z_{k}, c_{i, k}(I)\right), i=0,1, z_{k} \in \Delta_{z_{0}}^{-}(I)\right\}_{k=1}^{N}
$$

Then as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ with $(x, t) \in \mathcal{S}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x, t)=q_{s o l}\left(x, t ; \sigma_{d}^{-}(I)\right)+t^{-1 / 2} f+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-3 / 4}\right) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\left(\eta_{11}\right)^{2} \alpha\left(z_{0}\right) e^{i\left(x^{2} /(2 t)-\nu\left(z_{0}\right) \log |4 t|\right)}+\left(\eta_{12}\right)^{2} \overline{\alpha\left(z_{0}\right)} e^{-i\left(x^{2} /(2 t)-\nu\left(z_{0}\right) \log |4 t|\right)} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left|\alpha\left(z_{0}\right)\right|^{2}=\left|v\left(z_{0}\right)\right|, v\left(z_{0}\right)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \left(1+\left|r\left(z_{0}\right)\right|^{2}\right)$ and $\arg \alpha\left(z_{0}\right)=\frac{\pi}{4}+\arg \Gamma\left(i \nu\left(z_{0}\right)\right)-\arg \gamma\left(z_{0}\right)-4 \sum_{k \in \Delta_{z_{0}}} \arg \left(z_{0}-z_{k}\right)-2 \int_{-\infty}^{z_{0}} \ln \left|s-z_{0}\right| d \ln \left(1+|r(s)|^{2}\right)$,
where $\eta_{11}, \eta_{12}$ is the elements in the first row of $m^{\triangle_{z_{0}}^{-}(I)}\left(z ; y, t \mid \sigma_{d}^{-}(I)\right)$.
Proof. Reviewing a series of transformations we have made in the process of solving the initial problem (1.1)-(1.2), which are (5.10), (5.41), (6.2) and (6.75), and backward pushing these transformation processes gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(z)=m^{(3)}(z) E(z) m^{(o u t)}\left(\mathcal{R}^{(2)}(z)\right)^{-1} T^{\sigma_{3}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \epsilon_{z_{0}} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we consider cases where $z$ tends to infinity in the vertical direction of $z \in \Omega_{2}$ or $\Omega_{5}$. In these cases, we have $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}=I$ and
$m(z)=\left(I+\frac{m_{1}^{(3)}}{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{2}}\right)\right)\left(I+\frac{E_{1}}{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{2}}\right)\right)\left(I+\frac{m_{1}^{(\text {out })}}{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{2}}\right)\right)\left(I+\frac{T_{1} \sigma_{3}}{z}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^{2}}\right)\right)$.

After that, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}=m_{1}^{(\text {out })}+E_{1}+m_{1}^{(3)}+T_{1} \sigma_{3} \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{1}$ is the coefficient of the $z^{-1}$ in the Laurent expansion of $m$. Meanwhile, the equation (4.8) and Proposition 10 tell us that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x, t)=2 i\left(\left(m_{1}^{(\text {out })}\right)_{12}+\left(E_{1}\right)_{12}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-3 / 4}\right) \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $m^{(o u t)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\eta_{11} & \eta_{12} \\ \eta_{21} & \eta_{22}\end{array}\right)$. Using Proposition 8, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E_{1}\right)_{12}=\frac{1}{2 i \sqrt{t}}\left(\beta_{12}\left(\eta_{11}\right)^{2}+\beta_{21}\left(\eta_{12}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{12}\left(z_{0}\right)=\overline{\beta_{21}\left(z_{0}\right)}=\alpha\left(z_{0}\right) e^{i\left(x^{2} /(2 t)-\nu\left(z_{0}\right) \log |4 \mathrm{t}|\right)} . \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Bringing $2 i\left(m_{1}^{(\text {out })}\right)_{12}=q_{\text {sol }}\left(x, t ; \sigma_{d}^{(o u t)}\right)$ and (7.8) back to (7.7), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x, t)=q_{\text {sol }}\left(x, t ; \sigma_{d}^{(o u t)}\right)+t^{-1 / 2} f+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-3 / 4}\right) \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is given by (7.2). In addition, using Corollary 2, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x, t)=q_{s o l}\left(x, t ; \sigma_{d}^{-}(I)\right)+t^{-1 / 2} f+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{-3 / 4}\right) \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(x, t) \in \mathcal{S}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$.
Remark 2. Though the asymptotic result (7.1) has the same form with that obtained in [28], they have different meanings. For example, the first term $q_{\text {sol }}\left(x, t ; \sigma_{d}^{-}(I)\right)$ demonstrates highorder pole solutions, while it denotes simple pole solutions in [28]; The second term $t^{-1 / 2} f$ is an interaction between high-order pole solutions and the dispersion term, while it denotes an interaction between simple pole solutions and the dispersion term in [28].

Remark 3. The asymptotic result (7.1) shows that the initial value problem of the fNLS equation with zero-boundary and double poles in scattering coefficient has the property of the soliton resolution, which is as $t \rightarrow \infty$, any solution of the fNLS equation can be decomposed into solitary wave part and dispersion part. Linear NLS equation $i q_{t}+q_{x x} / 2$ is dispersive and any solution of this linear equation has the estimation $\|q\|_{L^{\infty}} \sim t^{-1 / 2}$. Therefore, the second term in the formula (7.1), which includes the $t^{-1 / 2}$, represents the contribution of the dispersion term. The multiple solitary wave solutions $q_{\text {sol }}\left(x, t ; \sigma_{d}^{-}(I)\right)$ corresponding to the scattering data, which is superposed by a finite single soliton solution, appear in the long time asymptotic expansion when the NLS equation includes a nonlinear term $|q|^{2} q$.

Remark 4. After modification on the residue conditions 4.3)- 4.6), we can show that the solutions of the Cauchy problem of the fNLS equation with high-order pole spectrum data still possess the property of soliton resolution like Theorem 1.
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