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#### Abstract

This paper studies spin glass to paramagnetic transition in the Spherical SherringtonKirkpatrick model with ferromagnetic Curie-Weiss interaction with coupling constant $J \in[0,1$ ) and inverse temperature $\beta$. The disorder of the system is represented by a general Wigner matrix. We confirm a conjecture of BL16] and BL17] that the critical window of temperatures for this transition is $\beta=1+b N^{-1 / 3} \sqrt{\log N}$ with $b \in \mathbb{R}$. For $b \leq 0$, we derive a Gaussian limiting distribution of the free energy. As $b$ increases from 0 to $\infty$, we describe the transition of the limiting distribution from Gaussian to Tracy-Widom.


## 1. Introduction

1.1. Set-up. We study the large- $N$ behavior of the spherical integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{\alpha, J, N}=\int_{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}^{N-1}} \exp \left\{\frac{N \beta}{\alpha} \cdot u^{\star} W_{J, N} u\right\}(\mathrm{d} u) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{J, N}=J \cdot w w^{\star}+W_{N} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ is a constant from $[0,1) ; w$ is an arbitrary unit-length vector from $\mathbb{F}^{N}$ (with $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ if $\alpha=2$ or 1 , respectively); and $W_{N}$ is a random Wigner matrix from $\mathbb{F}^{N \times N}$. In (1.1), $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}^{N-1}$ denotes the unit sphere in $\mathbb{F}^{N},(\mathrm{~d} u)$ denotes the normalized uniform measure over $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}^{N-1}$, and symbol ${ }^{\star}$ denotes combined transposition and complex conjugation. We investigate the limiting distributions of the quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\alpha, N}=\frac{\alpha}{2 N} \log \mathcal{I}_{\alpha, J, N} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\beta$ in the so-called "critical regime" of $\beta=1+O\left(N^{-1 / 3} \sqrt{\log N}\right)$. As follows from our results, these limiting distributions do not depend on $J \in[0,1)$. Therefore, we omit $J$ from the subscript of $F_{\alpha, N}$.

Our original motivation stems from the fact that integrals (1.1) appear in the likelihood ratio in statistical tests of spiked models in multivariate statistics. In such models, $J$ and $\beta$ play the roles of the size of the spike under the null and under alternative hypotheses, respectively. We discuss this in more detail at the end of this introduction.

As we studied the statistical problem, we learned that (1.3) has an important physical interpretation. For $\alpha=2$ and all entries of $w$ equal $N^{-1 / 2}$, it is the free energy in the Spherical Sherrington-Kirkpatrick (SSK) model with inverse temperature $\beta$ and ferromagnetic Curie-Weiss interaction with coupling constant $J$. The model is characterized by Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{N}(\sigma)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{N} W_{i j} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}+\frac{J}{N} \sum_{i, j=1}^{N} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma \in \sqrt{N} \mathcal{S}_{2}^{N-1}$ corresponds to a scaled version of $u$ in (1.1), $J \geq 0$ is known as the coupling constant, and $W$ is a real symmetric $N \times N$ matrix with zeroes on the diagonal and independent upper triangular entries $W_{i j}$ with mean zero and variance $1 / N$. It was introduced in [KTJ76] as a tractable variant of the original Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model that has discrete spins $\sigma \in\{ \pm 1\}^{N}$.


Figure 1. Phase diagram showing the Spin glass, Paramagnetic, and Ferromagnetic regimes. Red arrows indicate the transition between Spin glass and Paramagnetic regimes, which is the focus of this paper.
[KTJ76] show that $F_{2, N}$ exhibits three distinct asymptotic regimes illustrated in fig. 1] These regimes are defined by the value of $\max \left\{1, \beta^{-1}, J\right\}: 1$ for the spin glass, $\beta^{-1}$ for the paramagnetic, and $J$ for the ferromagnetic. They correspond to distinct behavior of complex magnetic media, and were extensively studied (see the recent works [BL16; BL17; BLW18] and references therein).

Transitions between the spin glass and ferromagetic regimes, and between para- and ferro-magnetic regimes have been established, see [BL17] and [BLW18] respectively. However the transition between the spin glass and paramagnetic regimes has not been fully described. As it is also of statistical interest, its description is the goal of this paper.

In the rest of this introduction, we first provide brief background on the three regimes in the SSK model. Then, we describe the main result of this paper. Finally, we return to our original statistical motivation and discuss connections to this paper.
1.2. The three regimes. The papers BL16; BL17; BLW18] make a thorough study of the fluctuations of the free energy $F_{2, N}$ in the spin glass, para- and ferro-magnetic regimes The fluctuations of the free energy in the three regimes are shown to be
(1) (Spin glass) If $\beta>1$ and $J<1$, then

$$
\frac{2 N^{2 / 3}}{\beta-1}\left(F_{2, N}-F(\beta)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{TW}_{1}
$$

(2) (Paramagnetic) If $\beta<1$ and $\beta<1 / J$, then

$$
N\left(F_{2, N}-F(\beta)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \mathcal{N}\left(f_{1}, a_{1}\right),
$$

where $a_{1}$ depends on $\beta$ but not on $J$, while $f_{1}$ depends on both $\beta$ and $J$.
(3) (Ferromagnetic) If $J>1$ and $\beta>1 / J$, then

$$
N^{1 / 2}\left(F_{2, N}-F(\beta)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \mathcal{N}\left(0, a_{2}\right),
$$

where $a_{2}$ depends on $\beta$.

[^0]The leading order term $F(\beta)$ differs across the regimes:

$$
F(\beta)= \begin{cases}\beta-\frac{1}{2} \log \beta-\frac{3}{4} & \text { for spin glass }  \tag{1.5}\\ \frac{1}{4} \beta^{2} & \text { for paramagnetic } \\ \frac{\beta}{2}(J+1 / J)-\frac{1}{2} \log (\beta J)-\frac{1}{4} J^{-2}-\frac{1}{2} & \text { for ferromagnetic. }\end{cases}
$$

These results characterize the fluctuations of $F_{2, N}$ in models lying strictly within the thee regimes. The results for the transitions studied in [BL17] and [BLW18] can be summarized as follows.
$(1 \leftrightarrow 3)$ (Spin glass $\leftrightarrow$ Ferromagnetic) For $\beta>1$ and $J=1+\omega N^{-1 / 3}$ with $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
N^{2 / 3}\left(F_{2, N}-F(\beta)\right) \xrightarrow{d} \frac{\beta-1}{2} T W_{1, \omega},
$$

where $T W_{1, \omega}$ denotes a one-parameter family of distributions described in theorems 1.5 and 1.7 of BV13.
$(2 \leftrightarrow 3)$ (Paramagnetic $\leftrightarrow$ Ferromagnetic) For $J>1$ and $\beta=1 / J+B N^{-1 / 2}$ with $B \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
N\left(F_{2, N}-F(\beta)\right) \xrightarrow{d} G_{1}+Q_{B}\left(G_{2}\right),
$$

where $\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$ has a bivariate Gaussian distribution that depends on $J$ but not on $B$, and $Q_{B}$ is a non-linear function that depends both on $J$ and $B$.
Concerning the remaining transition between the spin glass and the paramagnetic regimes, [BL16] and BL17] conjecture that the critical window of temperatures for this transition is $\beta=1+O\left(N^{-1 / 3} \sqrt{\log N}\right)$ for any $J<1$. They arrive at this conjecture by matching the orders of the variance of $F_{2, N}$ as $\beta \rightarrow 1$ from above and below. In this paper we confirm that the conjecture is correct, and describe the asymptotic behavior of $F_{\alpha, N}$ in the critical temperature window.
1.3. Our contributions. We show that if

$$
\beta=1+b N^{-1 / 3} \sqrt{\log N}, \quad 0 \leq J<1, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}
$$

then $F_{\alpha, N}$ has fluctuations of order $\sqrt{\log N} / N$. Moreover, as $b$ increases from $-\infty$ to $\infty$, we describe the transition of the limiting distribution of $F_{\alpha, N}$ from Gaussian to the Tracy-Widom.

Precisely, our main result is as follows.
Theorem 1.1. Consider $F_{\alpha, N}$ with $\alpha=1$ or $\alpha=2$ as defined in (1.1) - (1.3). Let $W_{N}$ from (1.2) be a Wigner matrix whose off-diagonal moments match scaled GOE $(\alpha=2)$ or GUE $(\alpha=1)$ up to third order. Further, let $\beta=1+b N^{-1 / 3} \sqrt{\log N}$ with a constant $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $0 \leq J<1$. Finally let $b_{+}=\max \{0, b\}$ be the positive part of $b$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{12} \log N}}\left(F_{\alpha, N}-F(\beta)+\frac{\log N}{12 N}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \mathcal{N}(0,1)+\sqrt{\frac{3}{\alpha}} b_{+} \mathrm{TW}_{2 / \alpha} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{TW}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{TW}_{1}$ are the complex and real Tracy-Widom distributions, respectively, independent from the $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$, and where $F(\beta)$ is as in (1.5), that is

$$
F(\beta)= \begin{cases}\beta-\frac{1}{2} \log \beta-\frac{3}{4} & \text { for } b \geq 0 \\ \frac{1}{4} \beta^{2} & \text { for } b \leq 0\end{cases}
$$

For definitions of Wigner matrices, scaled GUE/GOE, and the matching moment condition see section 2.1 As can be seen from the theorem, the fluctuations of $F_{\alpha, N}$ remain Gaussian for negative $b$. However, as $b$ changes sign and enters the spin glass domain from the paramagnetic domain, the fluctuations acquire an independent Tracy-Widom component, which eventually dominates the Gaussian as $b$ diverges to $+\infty$.

To prove the independence of $\mathrm{TW}_{2 / \alpha}$ and $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ components of the limit in (1.6) we establish the asymptotic independence of the largest eigenvalue of $W_{N}$ and the $\log \operatorname{determinant} \log \left|\operatorname{det}\left(W_{N}-2\right)\right|$.

When obtaining such a result initially for GUE/GOE, we use techniques similar to those developed in JKOP22]. Specifically, we first consider the tri-diagonal form of $N \times N \mathrm{GUE} / \mathrm{GOE}$ and prove that, asymptotically, the largest eigenvalue depends only on the lower-right corner of dimension $N^{1 / 3} \log ^{3} N$, while the $\log$ determinant asymptotically depends only on the complementary upper-left corner.

We believe that the latter result has some independent interest, as it gives theoretical grounding to the computational technique (described, for example, in EW13]), wherein the largest eigenvalue of an $N$-dimensional tri-diagonal matrix with huge $N$ is computed from its order $10 N^{1 / 3}$ minor.

When the initial version of this paper JKOP21] was close to completion, we learned about the related study Lan20], later published Lan22]. That paper considers $W_{N}$ from GOE, and establishes the Gaussian fluctuation limit for $F_{2, N}$ in the case $b \leq 0$ as in theorem 1.1. It obtains the same Gaussian limit for $b \rightarrow 0$ from above, and shows that the limit becomes Tracy-Widom for $b \rightarrow \infty$ at any rate. For fixed $b>0$, it establishes only the tightness of the left hand side of (1.6), and conjectures that the limiting distribution exists and equals a sum of independent normal and Tracy-Widom distributions. Our result confirms that conjecture not only for GOE, but for general symmetric or Hermitian Wigner matrices $W_{N}$ whose moments match GOE/GUE up to third order.

In determining the limiting fluctuations, Lan22] uses recent results of LP20], who deal exclusively with Gaussian beta ensembles, in the case of interest here it is GOE and GUE without a spike (i.e. $J=0$ ). Instead, we rely on central limit theorems for logarithmic spectral statistics established in another paper of ours JKOP22, and recalled here in theorems 2.5 and 2.6. These results hold for general Wigner matrices with a spike in sub-critical region $J \in[0,1)$. In addition, our results allow arbitrary variance profile on the diagonal. This is motivated by the fact that the formulation of the SSK model often considers zero diagonal interactions, see e.g. [KTJ76], Tal06].
1.4. Statistics background. Random matrices of the form eq. (1.2), and in particular matrices that differ from a white Gaussian or Wishart random matrix by a low-rank deviation have been extensively studied in the statistics literature, where their distributions are known as spiked ensembles. In this context, the parameter $J$ is known as the spike.

Our interest in the free energy $F_{\alpha, N}$ stems from its appearance in problems of statistical testing for spiked random matrix models. As discussed in [Ona14] and cataloged for a much larger family of spiked models in [JO20], the joint density of the eigenvalues $\Lambda$ of both spiked Gaussian and spiked Wishart ensembles with a spike of size $h$ are of the form

$$
p_{N}(\Lambda ; h)=c(\Lambda) d(h) \int_{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha}^{N-1}} \exp \left\{\frac{N}{\alpha} h \cdot u^{\star} \Lambda u\right\}(\mathrm{d} u)
$$

for some functions $c$ and $d$. This demonstrates the close relationship between $F_{\alpha, N}$ and the log-likelihood ratio for testing simple hypotheses about $h$, as well as the close relationship between spiked Gaussian and Wishart models.

Indeed, when $J=0, F_{\alpha, N}$ is distributed as the scaled log-likelihood ratio for testing

$$
H_{0}: h=0 \quad \text { vs. } \quad H_{1}: h=\beta
$$

in the spiked Gaussian model, under the null hypothesis. Specifically, for $\beta \leq 1$

$$
\log \frac{p_{N}(\Lambda ; \beta)}{p_{N}(\Lambda ; 0)}=\frac{2 N}{\alpha}\left[F_{\alpha, N}-F(\beta)\right]
$$

Theorem 1.1 therefore gives the limiting behavior of the null distribution of the likelihood ratio. The mean shift and variance, both growing of order $\log N$, verify (as is expected from discussion in JO20], which focuses on sub-critical cases with $\beta<1$ fixed) that the null and alternative distributions fail to be contiguous, and so we cannot (as there) directly obtain the limiting distribution of the likelihood ratio under alternative hypotheses $\beta$ near 1 .

## 2. Definitions, preliminary results, and proof strategy

### 2.1. Definitions.

Definition 2.1. An $N \times N$ Wigner matrix is an Hermitian matrix $W_{N}=\left(\xi_{i j} / \sqrt{N}\right)$ satisfying
(i) the upper-triangular components $\left\{\operatorname{Re} \xi_{i j}, \operatorname{Im} \xi_{i j}\right\}_{i<j}$ and $\left\{\xi_{i i}\right\}$ are independent random variables with mean zero,
(ii) $\mathbf{E}\left|\xi_{i j}\right|^{2}=1$ for $i \neq j$ and $\mathbf{E} \xi_{i i}^{2} \leq B$ for some absolute constant $B$;
(iii) a moment bound uniform in $N$ : for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, there is a constant $C_{p}$ such that

$$
\mathbf{E}\left|\operatorname{Re} \xi_{i j}\right|^{p}, \mathbf{E}\left|\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i j}\right|^{p} \leq C_{p}
$$

This definition is standard, e.g. BK18, Def 2.2], except that we also require independence of $\operatorname{Re} \xi_{i j}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i j}$ to simplify our arguments. Condition (ii) allows for zero variances on the diagonal, as in the SSK model of KTJ76].

In what follows, we will consider Hermitian complex-valued $W_{N}$ when $\alpha=1$ and symmetric realvalued $W_{N}$ when $\alpha=2$. An important example of a Hermitian/symmetric Wigner matrix is a matrix from scaled GUE/GOE. For the reader's convenience, we recall here the definitions of these classical ensembles.

Definition 2.2 (GUE and GOE). For $1 \leq i \leq j \leq N$, let $\xi_{i j}, \eta_{i j}$ be independent $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ random variables. Then define a Hermitian matrix $Z_{1}$ with entries

$$
Z_{1, i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\xi_{i j} & \text { if } i=j \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\left.\xi_{i j}+\mathrm{i} \eta_{i j}\right)}{Z_{1, j i}}\right. & \text { if } i<j \\
\text { if } i>j
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similarly, define a symmetric matrix $Z_{2}$ by

$$
Z_{2, i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\sqrt{2} \xi_{i i} & \text { if } i=j \\
\xi_{i j} & \text { if } i<j \\
Z_{2, j i} & \text { if } i>j
\end{array}\right.
$$

We call the distribution of $Z_{1}$ the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE), and that of $Z_{2}$ the Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble (GOE).

If $Z_{\alpha}$ is an $N \times N$ GUE/GOE matrix, then we call $Z_{\alpha} / \sqrt{N}$ a scaled GUE/GOE matrix. After the scaling, matrices from GUE/GOE become special cases of Wigner matrices as defined above.
Definition 2.3 (Spiked Wigner Matrix). We call matrix $W_{J, N}=J w w^{\star}+W_{N}$ (see (1.2)) a $J$-spiked Wigner matrix. We call it sub-critically spiked if $J<1$. Sometimes, we refer to $W_{J, N}$ as a spiked $W_{N}$ or a spiked version of $W_{N}$.

Definition 2.4 (Moment matching). The off-diagonal moments of two Wigner matrices $W_{N}, W_{N}^{\prime}$ match to order $m$ if for integer $0<a \leq m$

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(\operatorname{Re} \xi_{i j}\right)^{a}=\mathbf{E}\left(\operatorname{Re} \xi_{i j}^{\prime}\right)^{a}, \quad \mathbf{E}\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i j}\right)^{a}=\mathbf{E}\left(\operatorname{Im} \xi_{i j}^{\prime}\right)^{a}
$$

for all $1 \leq i<j \leq N$.
Some notations. The notation $a_{N} \lesssim b_{N}$ means that $a_{N} \leq C b_{N}$ for some $C$ and $N$ large. The notation $a_{N} \asymp b_{N}$ means that $a_{N} \lesssim b_{N}$ and $b_{N} \lesssim a_{N}$. We say that $a_{N}$ is a $\Theta_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ variable if $a_{N}$ is a.s. positive and $a_{N}, a_{N}^{-1}$ are $O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$. We say that events $\mathcal{E}_{N}$ hold asymptotically almost surely (a.a.s.) if $\mathbf{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{N}\right) \rightarrow 1$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. We say that $\mathcal{E}_{N}$ hold with overwhelming probability (w.o.p.) if $\mathbf{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{N}\right)=1-O\left(N^{-c}\right)$ for any $c>0$. The term "with high probability" means $P\left(\mathcal{E}_{N}\right)=1-O\left(N^{-c}\right)$ for some $c>0$. Notation $\xrightarrow{d}$ indicates convergence in distribution.
2.2. Preliminary results. Our analysis is based on the now well known contour integral representation of $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha, J, N}$, cf. appendix A. 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{\alpha, J, N}=\frac{C_{\alpha, N}}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \exp \{(N / \alpha) G(z)\} \mathrm{d} z, \quad G(z)=\beta z-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left(z-\lambda_{j}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for now the integration contour $\mathcal{K}$ is the vertical line from $\gamma-\mathrm{i} \infty$ to $\gamma+\mathrm{i} \infty$ for any constant $\gamma>\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{N}$ are the eigenvalues of $W_{J, N}$, and

$$
C_{\alpha, N}=\frac{\Gamma(N / \alpha)}{(\beta N / \alpha)^{N / \alpha-1}}
$$

Notice that the integrand is an analytic function in $\mathbb{C} \backslash\left(-\infty, \lambda_{1}\right]$ and that the integral along the circular arc

$$
C_{R, K}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=R, \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq K\}
$$

satisfies, for large enough $R$,

$$
\left|\int_{C_{R, K}} \exp \{(N / \alpha) G(z)\} \mathrm{d} z\right| \leq 2 \pi R \cdot \frac{e^{N \beta K / \alpha}}{(R / 2)^{N / \alpha}} \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} 0
$$

In particular, Cauchy's theorem implies that $\mathcal{K}$ can be deformed without affecting the value of the integral as long as $\lambda_{j}$ are never intersected and as long as the resulting contour has real part bounded above.

Many of our technical arguments involve properties of the logarithmic statistic entering $G(z)$ and its derivatives at various points $z$. In this subsection, we collect important preliminary results that concern such properties. We will make the following assumption.

Assumption W. Suppose $W_{N}$ is a Wigner matrix whose off-diagonal moments match scaled GUE $(\alpha=1)$ or GOE $(\alpha=2)$ up to third order. Let $W_{J, N}$ be a sub-critically spiked version of $W_{N}$, and let $\lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{N}$ be the eigenvalues of $W_{J, N}$.

We will need the following two central limit theorems, which are established in JKOP22.
Theorem 2.5. Let $\gamma=2+C N^{-2 / 3} \log N$, where $C>0$ is an arbitrary constant, and suppose Assumption $W$ holds. Then

$$
\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left(\gamma-\lambda_{j}\right)-N / 2-N^{1 / 3} C \log N+(2 / 3)(C \log N)^{3 / 2}+\frac{\alpha-1}{6} \log N}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{3} \log N}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~d}} \mathcal{N}(0,1)
$$

Theorem 2.6. Let $\gamma=2+C N^{-2 / 3}$, where $C \in \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary constant, and suppose Assumption $W$ holds. Then

$$
\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left|\gamma-\lambda_{j}\right|-N / 2-N^{1 / 3} C+\frac{\alpha-1}{6} \log N}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{3} \log N}} \stackrel{\mathrm{~d}}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0,1)
$$

All the remaining preliminary results described in this section are established in sections [5 and 6. First, they are proved for the special case of $W_{N}$ being a scaled GUE/GOE and $J=0$ in section 5 . Then, section 6 extends the proof to sub-critically spiked Wigner matrices $W_{J, N}$ satisfying Assumption W using the Lindeberg swapping technique.
2.2.1. Convergence at the edge. We will rely on the properties of the top eigenvalues of sub-critically spiked Wigner matrices. For the special cases of scaled GUE/GOE and $J=0$, the celebrated papers TW94; TW96; Die05] showed that, for each fixed $j$, the scaled eigenvalues $N^{2 / 3}\left(\lambda_{j}-2\right)$ converge in law to the $j$-th Tracy-Widom distribution, $\mathrm{TW}_{2 / \alpha, j}$. We need some further consequences of this convergence, along with the extension of these consequences to sub-crtically spiked Wigner matrices. The particular results are summarized in the following lemma.
Lemma 2.7. Under Assumption $W$, we have
(i) For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $\left(\mathrm{TW}_{\frac{2}{\alpha}, j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq k}$ be the joint limiting distribution of the $k$ largest eigenvalues for a scaled GUE $(\alpha=1)$ or GOE $(\alpha=2)$. Then,

$$
\left(N^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\lambda_{1}-2\right), \ldots, N^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\lambda_{k}-2\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{TW}_{\frac{2}{\alpha}, 1}, \ldots, \mathrm{TW}_{\frac{2}{\alpha}, k}\right)
$$

(ii) For any $\varepsilon>0$, there are $C_{\varepsilon}, N_{\varepsilon}$ such that for $N \geq N_{\varepsilon}$, with probability at least $1-\varepsilon$,

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq 2+C_{\varepsilon} N^{-2 / 3}
$$

(iii) For any fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a constant $C_{x}$, such that

$$
\mathbf{E} \#\left\{j: \lambda_{j} \geq 2-x N^{-2 / 3}\right\} \leq C_{x}
$$

(iv) For some $c_{\varepsilon}, N_{\varepsilon}$ and any $N \geq N_{\varepsilon}$, with probability at least $1-\varepsilon$

$$
\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2} \geq c_{\varepsilon} N^{-2 / 3}
$$

In other words, $\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}=\Theta_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-2 / 3}\right)$.
(v) There exists $\kappa>0$ such that if $b_{N} \rightarrow \infty$ so that $b_{N}=O\left(N^{\varepsilon}\right)$ for any $\varepsilon>0$, then we have a.a.s. that

$$
\#\left\{j: \lambda_{j}>2-b_{N} N^{-2 / 3}\right\} \geq \kappa b_{N}^{3 / 2}
$$

2.2.2. Derivatives of logarithmic statistics. The next two lemmas describe asymptotic behavior of derivatives of $G$ at $\hat{\gamma}=2+b^{2} N^{-2 / 3} \log N$, and of the closely related statistics

$$
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{k}}, \quad \text { for } k=1,2
$$

Lemma 2.8. Suppose Assumption $W$ holds. Let $G(z)=\beta z-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left(z-\lambda_{j}\right)$ with $\beta=1+$ $b N^{-1 / 3} \sqrt{\log N}$, and $\hat{\gamma}=2+b^{2} N^{-2 / 3} \log N$. Denote the l-th derivative of $G(z)$ as $G^{(l)}(z)$, and let $b_{+}=\max \{0, b\}$. Then, for $b \neq 0$,

$$
G^{(l)}(\hat{\gamma})= \begin{cases}2 b_{+} N^{-1 / 3} \log ^{1 / 2} N+o_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-1 / 3} \log ^{-1 / 4} N\right) & \text { for } l=1  \tag{2.2}\\ (-1)^{l} \frac{(2 l-4)!}{(l-2)!}\left(\frac{N^{1 / 3}}{2|b| \log ^{1 / 2} N}\right)^{2 l-3}\left(1+o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right) & \text { for } l \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 2.9. Let $C \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed. Then, under Assumption $W$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2+C N^{-\frac{2}{3}}-\mu_{j}}=1+O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-1 / 3}\right), \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\left(2+C N^{-\frac{2}{3}}-\mu_{j}\right)^{2}}=O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{1 / 3}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}}=1+O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-1 / 3}\right), \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}}=O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{1 / 3}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2.3. Independence of the largest eigenvalue from the linear statistic. Our last preliminary result shows the asymptotic independence of $\lambda_{1}$ and $N^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left|2-\lambda_{j}\right|$. As discussed in the introduction, it may have an independent interest.

Proposition 2.10. Suppose Assumption $W$ holds. Then the random variables

$$
\xi_{1 N}=\frac{N / 2-\frac{\alpha-1}{6} \log N-\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left|2-\lambda_{j}\right|}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{3} \log N}} \text { and } \xi_{2 N}=N^{2 / 3}\left(\lambda_{1}-2\right)
$$

are asymptotically independent with limiting distribution given by

$$
\left(\xi_{1 N}, \xi_{2 N}\right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0,1) \times T W_{2 / \alpha} .
$$

2.3. Proof strategy. Many derivations in this paper revolve around the analysis of the integral

$$
\int_{\mathcal{K}} \exp \{(N / \alpha) G(z)\} \mathrm{d} z
$$

The fluctuations of this term differ qualitatively for $b<0$ and $b \geq 0$, and are considered in sections 3 and 4 respectively. In both cases the proofs involve Laplace approximation, but on different contours.
2.3.1. Section [3: Negative critical case. We use the vertical contour of (2.1), and a deterministic choice for $\gamma$ suffices. Indeed, use the Stieltjes transform of the semicircle law to make the approximation

$$
G^{\prime}(z)=\beta-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{z-\lambda_{j}} \approx \beta-\frac{z-\sqrt{z^{2}-4}}{2}
$$

When $b<0$, the critical point of the approximation is $\gamma=\hat{\gamma}+o\left(N^{-1+\varepsilon}\right)$ for $\hat{\gamma}=2+b^{2} N^{-2 / 3} \log N$ and any small positive $\varepsilon$. Laplace approximation of the integral

$$
\int_{\mathcal{K}} \exp \{(N / \alpha)[G(z)-G(\hat{\gamma})]\} \mathrm{d} z
$$

requires bounds on derivatives $G^{(l)}(\hat{\gamma})$, for $l=1,2,3$, provided by lemma 2.8. Having established that the fluctuations of $F_{\alpha, N}$ depend asymptotically only on $G(\hat{\gamma})$, it remains only to apply theorem 2.5, conclude that $F_{\alpha, N}$ is asymptotically Gaussian, and compute the correct centering and scaling constants.
2.3.2. Section 4: Positive critical case. When $b \geq 0$, the deterministic approximation to $G$ no longer has a critical point along the real axis, and the approximation $\hat{\gamma}$ fails. Indeed, lemma 2.8 shows that $G^{\prime}(\hat{\gamma})$ is of greater order than when $b<0$, so $G(z)$ oscillates too rapidly along the vertical contour through $\hat{\gamma}$.

We consider first $b>0$, and instead use the contour of fig. 2, which has a vertical part $\mathcal{K}_{3}$ through $\mu=\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) / 2$ and a keyhole part $\mathcal{K}_{1} \cup \mathcal{K}_{2}$ extending horizontally from $\mu$ and surrounding $\lambda_{1}$. The integral turns out to be dominated by the keyhole part, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathcal{K}_{1} \cup \mathcal{K}_{2}} \exp \{(N / \alpha) G(z)\} \mathrm{d} z=\exp \left\{(N / \alpha) \hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\frac{\alpha-1}{3} \log N+O_{\mathbf{P}}(\log \log N)\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=\beta \lambda_{1}-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \log \left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof requires bounds on the derivatives $\hat{G}^{(l)}\left(\lambda_{1}\right), l=1,2$ given in lemma 2.9.
In the boundary case $b=0$, the contributions of $\mathcal{K}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{1} \cup \mathcal{K}_{2}$ are of the same order of magnitude, so we consider instead the contour of the steepest descent. We establish upper and lower bounds on the integral and recover the right hand side of (2.5) in this case also.

The analysis of $\hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ is based on the approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=2}^{N} \log \left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left|2-\lambda_{j}\right|+N\left(\lambda_{1}-2\right)+O_{\mathbf{P}}(1) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right side sum can be handled by theorem 2.6. The $\lambda_{1}$ terms in (2.6) and (2.7) both contribute to Tracy-Widom fluctuations. The last part of the argument hinges on the asymptotic independence of $\lambda_{1}$ and $N^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left|2-\lambda_{j}\right|$, which is established in proposition 2.10,

## 3. Negative-critical Regime

For the case $b<0$, we deform $\mathcal{K}$ so that it is the vertical line passing through $\hat{\gamma}$, a point in $\mathbb{R}$ that approximates the critical point $\gamma$ of the function $G(z)$. Note that

$$
G^{\prime}(z)=\beta+\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}-z}
$$

where $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}-z}$ is the Stieltjes transform of the spectral distribution of $W_{J, N}$. For $z>2$, it must converge to the Stieltjes transform of the semi-circle law, that is to

$$
m_{S C}(z)=\frac{-z+\sqrt{z^{2}-4}}{2}
$$

Such a convergence follows e.g. from BK18, thm 2.4], the interlacing inequalities linking the eigenvalues of $W_{N}$ and $W_{J, N}$, and the convergence of $\lambda_{1}$ to 2 implied e.g. by part (i) of lemma 2.7. Solving $\beta+m_{s c}(z)=0$ for $z$, we obtain $z=1 / \beta+\beta$. Therefore, for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=1+b N^{-1 / 3} \sqrt{\log N} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have $z=\hat{\gamma}+o\left(N^{-1+\varepsilon}\right)$ for any $\varepsilon>0$, where

$$
\hat{\gamma}=2+b^{2} N^{-2 / 3} \log N
$$

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that Assumption $W$ holds and $b<0$. Then

$$
\int_{\hat{\gamma}-\mathrm{i} \infty}^{\hat{\gamma}+\mathrm{i} \infty} \exp \left\{\frac{N}{\alpha}[G(z)-G(\hat{\gamma})]\right\} \mathrm{d} z=2 \sqrt{\pi \alpha|b|} \frac{\mathrm{i} \log ^{1 / 4} N}{N^{2 / 3}}\left(1+o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right)
$$

Proof. As follows from part (i) of lemma 2.7, $\lambda_{1}-2=O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-2 / 3}\right)$. Hence, $\lambda_{1}<\hat{\gamma}$ a.a.s., so we will assume without loss of generality that the latter inequality holds. Changing variables $z \mapsto \hat{\gamma}+\mathrm{i} t \frac{\log ^{1 / 4} N}{N^{2 / 3}}$, we represent the integral as

$$
\frac{\mathrm{i} \log ^{1 / 4} N}{N^{2 / 3}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left\{\frac{N}{\alpha} \tilde{G}(t)\right\} \mathrm{d} t
$$

where

$$
\tilde{G}(t):=G\left(\hat{\gamma}+\mathrm{i} t \frac{\log ^{1 / 4} N}{N^{2 / 3}}\right)-G(\hat{\gamma})
$$

Using the Lagrange form of the remainder in the Taylor expansions of the real and imaginary parts of $G(z)-G(\hat{\gamma})$, we arrive at the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G(z)-G(\hat{\gamma})-G^{\prime}(\hat{\gamma})(z-\hat{\gamma})-\frac{1}{2} G^{\prime \prime}(\hat{\gamma})(z-\hat{\gamma})^{2}\right| \leq \frac{|z-\hat{\gamma}|^{3}}{3} \sup _{\zeta \in \hat{\gamma}+\mathrm{i} \mathbb{R}}\left|G^{\prime \prime \prime}(\zeta)\right| \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the event $\lambda_{1}<\hat{\gamma}$, the latter supremum is no larger than $\left|G^{\prime \prime \prime}(\hat{\gamma})\right|$ because, for any $z \in(\hat{\gamma}-\mathrm{i} \infty, \hat{\gamma}+\mathrm{i} \infty)$, $\left|z-\lambda_{j}\right|^{-3} \leq\left(\hat{\gamma}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{-3}$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{G}(t)-G^{\prime}(\hat{\gamma}) \mathrm{i} t \frac{\log ^{1 / 4} N}{N^{2 / 3}}+\frac{1}{2} G^{\prime \prime}(\hat{\gamma}) t^{2} \frac{\log ^{1 / 2} N}{N^{4 / 3}}\right| \leq \frac{|t|^{3}}{3} \frac{\log ^{3 / 4} N}{N^{2}}\left|G^{\prime \prime \prime}(\hat{\gamma})\right| \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.8 and inequality (3.3) yield, for any fixed $C>0$ and $b<0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-C}^{C} \exp \left\{\frac{N}{\alpha} \tilde{G}(t)\right\} \mathrm{d} t=\left(1+o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right) \int_{-C}^{C} \exp \left\{-\frac{t^{2}}{4 \alpha|b|}\right\} \mathrm{d} t \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, by definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re} \tilde{G}(t) & =-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left|1+\frac{\mathrm{i} t \log ^{1 / 4} N}{N^{2 / 3}\left(\hat{\gamma}-\lambda_{j}\right)}\right| \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left(1+\frac{t^{2} \log ^{1 / 2} N}{N^{4 / 3}\left(\hat{\gamma}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We will use the elementary inequality $\log (1+\delta) \geq \delta / 2$ for $\delta \in[0,1]$. Note that the event $\mathcal{E}_{0}=\left\{\hat{\gamma}-\lambda_{1}>\right.$ $\left.\frac{b^{2}}{2} N^{-2 / 3} \log N\right\}$ holds a.a.s. Conditionally on $\mathcal{E}_{0}$, for all $|t| \leq t_{N}:=\frac{b^{2}}{2} \log ^{3 / 4} N$, we have

$$
N \operatorname{Re} \tilde{G}(t)<-\frac{t^{2} \log ^{1 / 2} N}{4 N^{4 / 3}} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\hat{\gamma}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{-2}=-\frac{t^{2} \log ^{1 / 2} N}{4 N^{1 / 3}} G^{\prime \prime}(\hat{\gamma})
$$

Using lemma 2.8, we conclude that for all $|t| \leq t_{N}$ and $b \neq 0$,

$$
N \operatorname{Re} \tilde{G}(t)<-\frac{t^{2}}{8|b|}\left(1+o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right)
$$

Therefore, by Chernoff's inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-t_{N}}^{-C}\left|\exp \left\{\frac{N}{\alpha} \tilde{G}(t)\right\}\right| \mathrm{d} t+\int_{C}^{t_{N}}\left|\exp \left\{\frac{N}{\alpha} \tilde{G}(t)\right\}\right| \mathrm{d} t<\left(1+o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right) 2 \sqrt{8 \pi \alpha|b|} \exp \left\{-\frac{C^{2}}{8|b| \alpha}\right\} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $C$ can be chosen arbitrarily large, equations (3.4) and (3.5) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\hat{\gamma}-\mathrm{i} t_{N} N^{-2 / 3} \log ^{1 / 4} N}^{\hat{\gamma}+\mathrm{i} t_{N} N^{-2 / 3} \log ^{1 / 4} N} \exp \left\{\frac{N}{\alpha}[G(z)-G(\hat{\gamma})]\right\} \mathrm{d} z=2 \sqrt{\pi \alpha|b|} \frac{\mathrm{i} \log ^{1 / 4} N}{N^{2 / 3}}\left(1+o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to show that the contribution of the remaining parts of the integral is negligible. Clearly, it is sufficient to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{N}}^{\infty}\left|\exp \left\{\frac{N}{\alpha} \tilde{G}(t)\right\}\right| \mathrm{d} t=o_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-k}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrarily large fixed $k$. Note that $\operatorname{Re} \tilde{G}(t)$ is a strictly decreasing function of $t \in\left[t_{N}, \infty\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{N}}^{N^{2}}\left|\exp \left\{\frac{N}{\alpha} \tilde{G}(t)\right\}\right| \mathrm{d} t & <N^{2} \exp \left\{\frac{N}{\alpha} \operatorname{Re} \tilde{G}\left(t_{N}\right)\right\} \\
& <N^{2} \exp \left\{-\frac{|b|^{3} \log ^{3 / 2} N}{32 \alpha}\left(1+o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right)\right\} \\
& =o_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for arbitrarily large fixed $k$. For $t>N^{2}$, on the event $\left(\hat{\gamma}-\lambda_{N}\right)^{2}<\bar{C}$ that holds a.a.s. for some positive constant $\bar{C}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N \operatorname{Re} \tilde{G}(t) & =-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left(1+\frac{t^{2} \log ^{1 / 2} N}{N^{4 / 3}\left(\hat{\gamma}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq-\frac{N}{2} \log \left(\frac{t^{2} \log ^{1 / 2} N}{N^{4 / 3} \bar{C}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\int_{N^{2}}^{\infty}\left|\exp \left\{\frac{N}{\alpha} \tilde{G}(t)\right\}\right| \mathrm{d} t<\int_{N^{2}}^{\infty}\left(\frac{t^{2} \log ^{1 / 2} N}{N^{4 / 3} \bar{C}}\right)^{-\frac{N}{2 \alpha}\left(1+o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right)} \mathrm{d} t=o_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-k}\right)
$$

for arbitrarily large fixed $k$ as well. Hence, (3.7) indeed holds.
Now we are ready to prove the following theorem. Recall that $F_{\alpha, N}=\frac{\alpha}{2 N} \log \mathcal{I}_{\alpha, N}$, where $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha, N}$ is as defined in (2.1).

Theorem 3.2 (Negative-critical regime). Suppose Assumption $W$ holds and $\beta=1+b N^{-1 / 3} \log ^{1 / 2} N$ with $b<0$. Then,

$$
\frac{N}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{12} \log N}}\left(F_{\alpha, N}-\frac{1}{4} \beta^{2}+\frac{\log N}{12 N}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \mathcal{N}(0,1)
$$

Proof. After rearranging (2.1), we have

$$
2 N F_{\alpha, N}=\alpha \log C_{\alpha, N}+N G(\hat{\gamma})+\alpha \log \frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\hat{\gamma}-\mathrm{i} \infty}^{\hat{\gamma}+\mathrm{i} \infty} \exp \left\{\frac{N}{\alpha}[G(z)-G(\hat{\gamma})]\right\} \mathrm{d} z
$$

For the first term, using Stirling's formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \log C_{\alpha, N}=\alpha \log \frac{\sqrt{2 \pi}(N / \alpha)^{N / \alpha-1 / 2} e^{-N / \alpha}}{(N \beta / \alpha)^{N / \alpha-1}}+o(1)=-N(1+\log \beta)+\frac{\alpha}{2} \log N+O(1) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the second term we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N G(\hat{\gamma}) & =N \beta \hat{\gamma}-\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left(\hat{\gamma}-\lambda_{j}\right) \\
& =2 \beta N+b^{2} N^{1 / 3} \log N+b^{3} \log ^{3 / 2} N-\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left(\hat{\gamma}-\lambda_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the third term, using lemma 3.1 ,

$$
\alpha \log \frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\hat{\gamma}-\mathrm{i} \infty}^{\hat{\gamma}+\mathrm{i} \infty} \exp \left\{\frac{N}{\alpha}[G(z)-G(\hat{\gamma})]\right\} \mathrm{d} z=-\frac{2 \alpha}{3} \log N+O_{\mathbf{P}}(\log \log N) .
$$

Combining the three terms, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 N F_{\alpha, N}= & N(-1-\log \beta+2 \beta)+b^{2} N^{1 / 3} \log N+b^{3} \log ^{3 / 2} N-\frac{\alpha}{6} \log N \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left(\hat{\gamma}-\lambda_{j}\right)+O_{\mathbf{P}}(\log \log N)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
N \xi_{N}:=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left(\hat{\gamma}-\lambda_{j}\right)-\frac{N}{2}-b^{2} N^{1 / 3} \log N+\frac{2}{3}|b|^{3} \log ^{3 / 2} N+\frac{\alpha-1}{6} \log N
$$

Combining the last two displays and noting that

$$
b^{3} \frac{\log ^{3 / 2} N}{N}=(\beta-1)^{3}
$$

we get $($ for $b<0)$

$$
2 N F_{\alpha, N}=N\left(2 \beta-\log \beta-\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{3}(\beta-1)^{3}-\frac{\log N}{6 N}\right)-N \xi_{N}+O_{\mathbf{P}}(\log \log N)
$$

Using the Taylor expansion

$$
\log \beta=(\beta-1)-\frac{1}{2}(\beta-1)^{2}+\frac{1}{3}(\beta-1)^{3}+o\left(N^{-1}\right)
$$

in the previous display, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 N F_{\alpha, N}=\frac{N}{2} \beta^{2}-\frac{\log N}{6}-N \xi_{N}+O_{\mathbf{P}}(\log \log N) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by theorem 2.5,

$$
N \xi_{N} / \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{3} \log N} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0,1)
$$

This yields Theorem 3.2 and hence the negative critical part of Theorem 1.1 .

## 4. Positive-critical regime

The vertical contour passing through $\hat{\gamma}$ does not work when $b \geq 0$ because $G^{\prime}(\hat{\gamma})$ becomes nonnegligible. As a result, the function $G(z)$ oscillates quickly along the vertical contour near $\hat{\gamma}$. Instead we use contours crossing the real axis closer to $\lambda_{1}$. To this end, we consider the nonsingular part of $G$ at $\lambda_{1}$ and define

$$
\hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=\beta \lambda_{1}-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \log \left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}\right)
$$

Proposition 4.1. Suppose Assumption $W$ holds. If $b \geq 0$, then for both $\alpha=1,2$,

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \exp \{(N / \alpha) G(z)\} \mathrm{d} z=\exp \left\{(N / \alpha) \hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\frac{(\alpha-1)}{3} \log N+O_{\mathbf{P}}(\log \log N)\right\}
$$



Figure 2. Contour of integration for positive $b$

For $b>0$, we consider the vertical "keyhole contour" $\mathcal{K}$, fig. 2, which is symmetric around the real axis and has the following form above the axis:

$$
\mathcal{K}^{+}=\mathcal{K}_{1}^{+} \cup \mathcal{K}_{2}^{+} \cup \mathcal{K}_{3}^{+}
$$

with $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{+}$being a semi-circle with center at $\lambda_{1}$ and small radius $\varepsilon, \mathcal{K}_{2}^{+}$being a horizontal segment connecting $\mu=\frac{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}{2}$ and $\lambda_{1}-\varepsilon$, and $\mathcal{K}_{3}^{+}$being a vertical ray starting from $\mu$.

In the $\alpha=1$ case, the integrand is analytic away from $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}$, and so the contributions of $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{+}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{2}^{-}$cancel. On the other hand, for $\alpha=2$, $\exp \{(N / \alpha) G(z)\}$ has a square-root-type singularity at $z=\lambda_{1}$. Hence, the contribution of $\mathcal{K}_{1}$ to the integral $\int_{\mathcal{K}} \exp \{(N / \alpha) G(z)\} \mathrm{d} z$ converges to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. To summarize, let

$$
I_{N}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \exp \{(N / \alpha) G(z)\} \mathrm{d} z, \quad I_{N k}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathcal{K}_{k}} \exp \{(N / \alpha) G(z)\} \mathrm{d} z
$$

Thus, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have for both $\alpha=1,2$

$$
I_{N}=I_{N \alpha}+I_{N 3}
$$

Let

$$
A_{N \alpha}=\exp \left\{(N / \alpha) \hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\frac{\alpha-1}{3} \log N\right\}
$$

When $b>0$, we establish proposition 4.1 in section 4.1 by showing that

$$
I_{N \alpha}=A_{N \alpha} \exp \left\{O_{\mathbf{P}}(\log \log N)\right\}, \quad I_{N 3}=o_{\mathbf{P}}\left(I_{N \alpha}\right)
$$

The $b=0$ case is more delicate. The keyhole contour yields both $I_{N \alpha}, I_{N 3}=A_{N \alpha} \exp \left\{O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right\}$ which suffices for the upper bound for $I_{N}$. Since the $O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ terms are in general complex, some cancellation between $I_{N \alpha}$ and $I_{N 3}$ cannot be excluded, so further argument is needed for the lower bound. In section 4.2 a separate argument using the steepest descent contour yields the required lower bound.

Section 4.3 completes the proof of the positive-critical regime of theorem 1.1.

### 4.1. Proof of proposition 4.1 for $b>0$.

Lemma 4.2. Suppose Assumption $W$ holds and $b \geq 0$. Then for $\alpha=1,2$ we have

$$
I_{N \alpha}=A_{N \alpha} \exp \left\{O_{\mathbf{P}}(\log \log N)\right\}
$$

Proof. In the complex case, Cauchy's integral formula yields $I_{N 1}=\exp \left\{N \hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\right\}=: A_{N 1}$, since $\mathcal{K}_{1}$ encircles only $\lambda_{1}$. The rest of this proof is devoted to the real case. First, consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathcal{K}_{2}^{+} \cup \mathcal{K}_{2}^{-}} \exp \{(N / 2) G(z)\} \mathrm{d} z & =\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\lambda_{1}}^{\mu} \frac{-\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{1}-y}} \exp \left\{\frac{N \beta y}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \log \left(y-\lambda_{j}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} y \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\mu}^{\lambda_{1}} \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{1}-y}} \exp \left\{\frac{N \beta y}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \log \left(y-\lambda_{j}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} y
\end{aligned}
$$

Changing variables $y \mapsto x=\lambda_{1}-y$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathcal{K}_{2}^{+} \cup \mathcal{K}_{2}^{-}} \exp \{(N / 2) G(z)\} \mathrm{d} z & =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \exp \left\{\frac{N \beta\left(\lambda_{1}-x\right)}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \log \left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}-x\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\exp \left\{(N / 2) \hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\right\} \mathcal{I}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{I}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \exp \left\{-\frac{N}{2} \beta x-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \log \left(1-\frac{x}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} x
$$

Since $0 \leq-\log (1-y)-y \leq y^{2}$ for $0 \leq y \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have for some $\xi \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-N \beta x-\sum_{j=2}^{N} \log \left(1-\frac{x}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}}\right) & =-N \beta x+\sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{x}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}}+\xi \sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{x^{2}}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}} \\
& =N^{2 / 3} x\left(-b \sqrt{\log N}+\omega_{1 N}\right)+\xi N^{4 / 3} x^{2} \omega_{2 N}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

with random variables $\omega_{1 N}$ and $\omega_{2 N}^{+}>0$ both being $O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ from lemma [2.9, Setting $y=N^{2 / 3} x$ and $\theta_{N}=N^{2 / 3}\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) / 2=\Theta_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ (by lemma 2.7(iii)) and noting also that $\omega_{1 N} y+\xi \omega_{2 N}^{+} y^{2}$ is uniformly $O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ for $0 \leq y \leq \theta_{N}$, we arrive at

$$
\mathcal{I}=\frac{e^{O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)}}{N^{1 / 3}} \int_{0}^{\theta_{N}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} b y \sqrt{\log N}\right\} \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\sqrt{y}}= \begin{cases}\frac{e^{O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)}}{N^{1 / 3}} \frac{1}{b^{1 / 2} \log ^{1 / 4} N} & b>0 \\ \frac{e^{O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)}}{N^{1 / 3}} & b=0\end{cases}
$$

In what follows, we define $G(\mu)=\lim _{t \rightarrow+0} G(\mu+\mathrm{i} t)$, i.e., as a continuation from the upper-half plane, so that we have $\log \left(\lambda_{1}-\mu\right)=\log \left|\lambda_{1}-\mu\right|+\pi \mathrm{i}$.

Lemma 4.3. Suppose Assumption $W$ holds. For $b \geq 0$, we have

$$
\left|I_{N 3}\right| \leq A_{N \alpha} \exp \left\{-\theta_{N} \frac{b \sqrt{\log N}}{\alpha}+O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right\}
$$

where $\theta_{N}=N^{2 / 3}\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) / 2$ is a non-negative $\Theta_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ variable.
Proof. It suffices to bound

$$
I_{N 3}^{+}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathcal{K}_{3}^{+}} \exp \{(N / \alpha) G(z)\} \mathrm{d} z=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \{(N / \alpha) G(\mu+\mathrm{i} t)\} \mathrm{d} z
$$

as the analysis for $\mathcal{K}_{3}^{-}$is analogous using $G(\bar{z})=\overline{G(z)}$. Let $\tilde{G}(t)=G(\mu+\mathrm{i} t)-G(\mu)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{N 3}^{+}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \exp \left\{(N / \alpha) \hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\right\}\left|J_{N}\right| K_{N} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
J_{N}=\exp \left\{-(N / \alpha)\left[\hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-G(\mu)\right]\right\}, \quad K_{N}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \{(N / \alpha) \operatorname{Re}[\tilde{G}(t)]\} \mathrm{d} t
$$

First we compare $\hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ and $G(\mu)$. Since $\log \left(\mu+\mathrm{i} 0-\lambda_{1}\right)=\log \left[\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) / 2\right]+\mathrm{i} \pi$, we have

$$
N\left[\hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-G(\mu)\right]=N \beta\left(\lambda_{1}-\mu\right)+\log \frac{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}{2}+\mathrm{i} \pi+\sum_{j=2}^{N} \log \left(1-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}}\right)
$$

For $0 \leq t \leq 1$ we have that $|\log (1-t / 2)+t / 2| \leq t^{2}$. From lemma 2.9 we then have

$$
\sum_{j=2}^{N} \log \left(1-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}}\right)=-\frac{N\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)}{2}\left[1+O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-1 / 3}\right)\right]+N\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)^{2} O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{1 / 3}\right)
$$

In addition, by lemma 2.7(iv) $\theta_{N}$ is a $\Theta_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ variable, and so $\log \left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)=-\frac{2}{3} \log N+O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
N\left[\hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-G(\mu)\right] & =\frac{N \beta}{2}\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)-\frac{2}{3} \log N-\frac{N}{2}\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)+O_{\mathbf{P}}(1) \\
& =\frac{N\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)}{2} \frac{b \sqrt{\log N}}{N^{1 / 3}}-\frac{2}{3} \log N+O_{\mathbf{P}}(1) \\
& =-\frac{2}{3} \log N+\theta_{N} b \sqrt{\log N}+O_{\mathbf{P}}(1) \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|J_{N}\right|=\exp \left\{(2 / 3 \alpha) \log N-\theta_{N} \frac{b \sqrt{\log N}}{\alpha}+O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right\} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We turn to $K_{N}$ in (4.1). Fix $k>\alpha$ and let $\zeta=\max _{j \leq k} N^{2 / 3}\left|\lambda_{j}-\mu\right|$. Neglecting negative terms, we have

$$
N \operatorname{Re} \tilde{G}(t)=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left(1+\frac{t^{2}}{\left(\mu-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}}\right) \leq-\frac{k}{2} \log \left(1+\frac{t^{2}}{\zeta^{2} N^{-4 / 3}}\right)
$$

Since $\zeta$ is a non-negative $\Theta_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ variable from lemma 2.7 (iv), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{N} & \leq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1+\zeta^{-2} N^{4 / 3} t^{2}\right)^{-k / 2 \alpha} \mathrm{~d} t  \tag{4.4}\\
& =\zeta N^{-2 / 3} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1+s^{2}\right)^{-k / 2 \alpha} \mathrm{~d} s=\exp \left\{-\frac{2}{3} \log N+O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (4.3) and (4.4), for each case $\alpha=1,2$ we arrive at

$$
\left|J_{N}\right| K_{N} \leq \exp \left\{-\frac{(\alpha-1)}{3} \log N-\theta_{N} \frac{b \sqrt{\log N}}{\alpha}+O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right\}
$$

Together with (4.1), this yields the lemma.
4.2. The case $b=0$. In this section we use the steepest descent contour to show that

$$
I_{N} \geq A_{N \alpha} \exp \left\{O_{\mathbf{P}}(\log \log N)\right\}
$$

When combined with the upper bound already established, this yields proposition 4.1 for $b=0$.
Let $\Gamma$ denote the contour of steepest descent of $G(z)$ crossing the real line above $\lambda_{1}$. Such a contour exists because, as is easy to verify, there exists a unique saddle point of $G(z)$ on $z \in\left(\lambda_{1},+\infty\right)$. Since Im $G(z)$ must remain constant along such a contour, we have

$$
0=\operatorname{Im}[G(z)]=y-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \arg \left(\left(x-\lambda_{j}\right)+\mathrm{i} y\right)
$$

for any $z=x+\mathrm{i} y \in \Gamma$. From this equation, we observe that $\Gamma$ is symmetric around the real axis.
Next, observe that for a fixed imaginary part $y>0, \arg \left(\left(x-\lambda_{j}\right)+\mathrm{i} y\right)$ is strictly decreasing with $x$. Hence, equation $0=\operatorname{Im} G(x+\mathrm{i} y)$ can have at most one solution for any positive $y$. By symmetry around the real axis, this also holds for $y<0$. This means that it is possible to parameterise

$$
\Gamma=\{\Gamma(t): 0<t<1\}
$$

so that $\operatorname{Im} \Gamma(t)$ is increasing in $t$.


Figure 3. Curve of steepest descent of $G(z)$ near $\lambda_{1}$.

Moreover, since as $y \uparrow \pi$ we must have $x \rightarrow-\infty$, we see that $\Gamma\left(0^{+}\right)=-\infty-\mathrm{i} \pi$ and $\Gamma\left(1^{-}\right)=-\infty+\mathrm{i} \pi$. Therefore, $\Gamma$ must have upper-bounded real part, and so

$$
\int_{\mathcal{K}} \exp \{(N / \alpha) G(z)\} \mathrm{d} z=\int_{\Gamma} \exp \{(N / \alpha) G(z)\} \mathrm{d} z
$$

To continue, we need one last result about $\Gamma$, which formalizes the notion that $\Gamma$ passes above $\lambda_{1}$ at a distance of roughly $N^{-2 / 3}$ :
Lemma 4.4. Under Assumption $W$, the function

$$
f(y)=\operatorname{Im}\left[G\left(\lambda_{1}+\mathrm{i} y\right)\right]=y-\frac{\pi}{2 N}-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \arctan \left(\frac{y}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}}\right)
$$

has a unique positive root $y_{0}$. If $a_{N} \rightarrow \infty$ such that $a_{N}=O\left(N^{\varepsilon}\right)$ for any $\varepsilon>0$, then a.a.s.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N^{-2 / 3}}{a_{N}} \leq y_{0} \leq N^{-2 / 3} a_{N} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Notice that, over $[0, \infty), f$ is convex with $f(0)=-\pi /(2 N)$ and $\lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} f(y)=\infty$. In particular, this means that it has a unique positive root, which we will call $y_{0}$.

We will show that $f\left(N^{-2 / 3} a_{N}^{-1}\right)<0<f\left(N^{-2 / 3} a_{N}\right)$ a.a.s., which implies (4.5).
Let $y_{-}=N^{-2 / 3} a_{N}^{-1}$. Using $\arctan (x) \geq x-x^{2} / 4$ for $x \geq 0$ and then lemma 2.9, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(y_{-}\right) & \leq y_{-}\left(1-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}}\right)+\frac{y_{-}^{2}}{4 N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}}-\frac{\pi}{2 N} \\
& =N^{-2 / 3} a_{N}^{-1} O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-1 / 3}\right)+\frac{1}{4} N^{-4 / 3} a_{N}^{-2} O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{1 / 3}\right)-\frac{\pi}{2 N} \\
& =-\frac{\pi}{2 N}+o_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $f\left(y_{-}\right)<0$ a.a.s.
Next, set $y_{+}=N^{-2 / 3} a_{N}$. Now some $y_{+} /\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}\right)$ terms will diverge to $\infty$ and so the linear approximation to arctan is not helpful. To handle these cases, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
j^{*} & =\max \left\{j: \frac{y_{+}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}}>1+\frac{\pi}{2}\right\} \\
& =\#\left\{j: \lambda_{j}>\lambda_{1}-\left(1+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{-1} a_{N} N^{-2 / 3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The significance of the $1+\pi / 2$ term is that $x-\arctan x \geq 1$ for $x$ exceeding $1+\pi / 2$, and hence

$$
\arctan \left(\frac{y_{+}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}}\right) \leq \frac{y_{+}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}}-\mathbf{1}_{j \leq j^{*}}
$$

We observe that, since $\lambda_{1}-2 \sim N^{-2 / 3} \ll a_{N} N^{-2 / 3}$, we have a.a.s.

$$
j^{*} \geq j_{0}=\#\left\{j: \lambda_{j}>2-\frac{1}{3} a_{N} N^{-2 / 3}\right\}
$$

Using part (v) of lemma 2.7 we have a.a.s. that $j^{*} \geq j_{0}>C a_{n}^{3 / 2}$ for some $C>0$. Consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(y_{+}\right) & =y_{+}-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \arctan \left(\frac{y_{+}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}}\right)-\frac{\pi}{2 N} \\
& \geq y_{+}-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{y_{+}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}}+\frac{j^{*}}{N}-\frac{\pi}{2 N} \\
& \geq O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\frac{a_{N}}{N}\right)+\frac{j^{*}}{N}-\frac{\pi}{2 N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, a.a.s., $j^{*}>C a_{N}^{3 / 2}$, this means that $f\left(y_{+}\right)>0$ a.a.s.
Having established necessary results about $\Gamma$, we also define $z_{0}=\lambda_{1}+\mathrm{i} y_{0}$ and

$$
\Gamma_{0}=\left\{z \in \Gamma:|\operatorname{Im}(z)| \leq y_{0}\right\}
$$

Since $\Gamma$ can be parameterized with increasing imaginary part, this curve is connected.
Using the fact that $G(z)$ is purely real on $\Gamma$ together with the parameterisation of $\Gamma$ with increasing imaginary part, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\Gamma} e^{(N / \alpha)\left[G(z)-\hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\right]} \mathrm{d} z & \geq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-y_{0}}^{y_{0}} e^{(N / \alpha) \operatorname{Re}\left[G(z)-\hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\right]} \mathrm{d} y \\
& \geq \frac{y_{0}}{\pi} e^{(N / \alpha) \operatorname{Re}\left[G\left(z_{0}\right)-\hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\right]} \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

since the integrand is minimized on $\Gamma_{0}$ at the endpoints $z_{0}, \bar{z}_{0}=\lambda_{1} \pm \mathrm{i} y_{0}$.
Appealing again to lemma 2.9, we obtain for $\alpha=1,2$

$$
\begin{align*}
\log y_{0}+(N / \alpha) \operatorname{Re}\left[G\left(z_{0}\right)-\hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\right] & =\log y_{0}-\frac{1}{\alpha} \log y_{0}-\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \log \left(1+\frac{y_{0}^{2}}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \log y_{0}-\frac{y_{0}^{2}}{2 \alpha} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\left(\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}\right) \log y_{0}-\frac{y_{0}^{2}}{2 \alpha} O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{4 / 3}\right) .  \tag{4.7}\\
& \geq-\left(\frac{\alpha-1}{3}\right) \log N+O_{\mathbf{P}}(\log \log N),
\end{align*}
$$

since $N^{-2 / 3} / \log N \leq y_{0} \leq N^{-2 / 3} \sqrt{\log \log N}$ a.a.s. according to lemma 4.4.
Inserting this bound into (4.6), we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\Gamma} e^{(N / \alpha) G(z)} \mathrm{d} z \geq \exp \left\{(N / \alpha) \hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\left(\frac{\alpha-1}{3}\right) \log N+O_{\mathbf{P}}(\log \log N)\right\}
$$

which is the lower bound required to complete the proof of proposition 4.1 for $b=0$.

### 4.3. Limiting law in positive-critical regime.

Theorem 4.5. Suppose Assumption $W$ holds and $\beta=1+b N^{-1 / 3} \log ^{1 / 2} N$ with $b \geq 0$. Then

$$
\frac{N}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{12} \log N}}\left(F_{\alpha, N}-\beta+\frac{1}{2} \log \beta+\frac{3}{4}+\frac{\log N}{12 N}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \mathcal{N}(0,1)+\sqrt{\frac{3}{\alpha}} b \mathrm{TW}_{2 / \alpha}
$$

with independent $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ and $\mathrm{TW}_{2 / \alpha}$.
Proof. From (2.1) and Proposition 4.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 N F_{\alpha, N}=\alpha \log C_{\alpha, N}+N \hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{3} \log N+O_{\mathbf{P}}(\log \log N) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The behavior of $N \hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ is governed by the approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=2}^{N} \log \left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}\right)=\sum_{j=2}^{N} \log \left|2-\lambda_{j}\right|+N\left(\lambda_{1}-2\right)+O_{\mathbf{P}}(1) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To verify its validity, let $\Delta_{N}$ denote the difference between right and left sides, without the error term. We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{N} & =S_{N}+N\left(2-\lambda_{1}\right)\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{2}^{N} \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}}-1\right] \\
S_{N} & =\sum_{j=2}^{N} X_{N j}, \quad X_{N j}=\log \left|2-\lambda_{j}\right|-\log \left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}\right)-\frac{2-\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The second term of $\Delta_{N}$ is $O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ from lemma 2.7 (i) and lemma 2.9, For each fixed $j, X_{N j}=O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ since both $\left|2-\lambda_{j}\right|$ and $\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}$ are $\Theta_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-2 / 3}\right)$, the latter by Lemma 2.7, part (iv).

To show that $S_{N}$ is $O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$, we use the following convergence criterion: if for each $\varepsilon$ small there exist events $\mathcal{E}_{N, \varepsilon}$ of probability at least $1-\varepsilon$ for $N>N(\varepsilon)$ such that on $\mathcal{E}_{N, \varepsilon}$ we have $S_{N}=S_{N 1}(\varepsilon)+S_{N 2}(\varepsilon)$ with $S_{N k}(\varepsilon)=O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$, then $S_{N}=O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$.

First, we argue that for each $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $k=k(\varepsilon), C=C(\varepsilon)>0$ such that the event

$$
\mathcal{E}_{N, \varepsilon}=\left\{\lambda_{1} \leq 2+C N^{-2 / 3}, \lambda_{k} \leq 2-C N^{-2 / 3}\right\}
$$

has $\mathbf{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{N, \varepsilon}\right)>1-\varepsilon$ for large enough $N$. Indeed, lemma 2.7 (i) provides $C_{\varepsilon}$ such that $\lambda_{1} \leq 2+C_{\varepsilon} N^{-2 / 3}$ with probability at least $1-\varepsilon / 2$. Lemma 2.7(iii) and Markov's inequality show that $\mathbf{P}\left(\lambda_{k} \geq 2-x N^{-2 / 3}\right) \leq$ $C_{x} / k$. With $x=C_{\varepsilon}$, this can be made at most $\varepsilon / 2$ by choosing $k(\varepsilon)=\left\lceil 2 C_{x} / \varepsilon\right\rceil$. Hence $\mathbf{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{N, \varepsilon}\right) \geq 1-\varepsilon$.

Let $S_{N 1}(\varepsilon), S_{N 2}(\varepsilon)$ denote the sum in $S_{N}$ restricted to $j<k(\varepsilon)$ and $j \geq k(\varepsilon)$ respectively. On $\mathcal{E}_{N, \varepsilon}$, the sum $S_{N 1}(\varepsilon)$ has a finite number of $O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ terms and so is itself $O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$. Also on $\mathcal{E}_{N, \varepsilon}$, observe that $\left(2-\lambda_{1}\right) /\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}\right) \geq-\frac{1}{2}$ for all $j \geq k$. Since $|\log (1+x)-x| \leq C_{1} x^{2}$ for $x>-\frac{1}{2}$ and some $C_{1}>0$, we have the bound

$$
\left|S_{N 2}(\varepsilon)\right| \leq C_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}-2\right)^{2} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}}=O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)
$$

from lemma 2.7 (i) and lemma 2.9. This completes the proof of (4.9).
Returning to $N \hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$, using (4.9) and $\beta-1=b N^{-1 / 3} \log ^{1 / 2} N$, we obtain the key decomposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
N \hat{G}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) & =2 N \beta+N \beta\left(\lambda_{1}-2\right)-\sum_{j=2}^{N} \log \left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}\right) \\
& =2 N \beta-\sum_{j=2}^{N} \log \left|2-\lambda_{j}\right|+b \sqrt{\log N} N^{2 / 3}\left(\lambda_{1}-2\right)+O_{\mathbf{P}}(1) \\
& =2 N \beta-\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left|2-\lambda_{j}\right|-\frac{2}{3} \log N+b \sqrt{\log N} \xi_{2 N}+O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

after adding and subtracting $\log \left|2-\lambda_{1}\right|=-\frac{2}{3} \log N+O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ and setting $\xi_{2 N}=N^{2 / 3}\left(\lambda_{1}-2\right)$.
Combining this with (4.8) and (3.8), we obtain

$$
2 N F_{\alpha, N}=N(-1-\log \beta+2 \beta)-\frac{\alpha}{6} \log N-\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left|2-\lambda_{j}\right|+b \sqrt{\log N} \xi_{2 N}+O_{\mathbf{P}}(\log \log N)
$$

where we note that the coefficient of $\log N$, namely $\frac{1}{2} \alpha-\frac{2}{3}-\frac{1}{3} \alpha(\alpha-1)$, reduces to $-\frac{\alpha}{6}$ when $\alpha=1$ or 2 .
Let

$$
N \check{\xi}_{N}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left|2-\lambda_{j}\right|-\frac{N}{2}+\frac{\alpha-1}{6} \log N .
$$

Combining the two previous displays we obtain (compare (3.9))

$$
2 N F_{\alpha, N}=N\left(-\frac{3}{2}-\log \beta+2 \beta\right)-\frac{\log N}{6}-N \check{\xi}_{N}+b \sqrt{\log N} \xi_{2 N}+O_{\mathbf{P}}(\log \log N)
$$

Now rewrite this as

$$
N F_{\alpha, N}=N\left(-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{2} \log \beta+\beta-\frac{\log N}{12 N}\right)+\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{12} \log N} \xi_{1 N}+\frac{b}{2} \sqrt{\log N} \xi_{2 N}+O_{\mathbf{P}}(\log \log N)
$$

where we set $\xi_{1 N}=-N \check{\xi}_{N} / \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{3} \log N}$.
By proposition 2.10, $\left(\xi_{1 N}, \xi_{2 N}\right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0,1) \times T W_{2 / \alpha}$, so $\xi_{1 N}+c \xi_{2 N} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~d}} \mathcal{N}(0,1)+c T W_{2 / \alpha}$ with independent $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ and $T W_{2 / \alpha}$. This completes the proof of theorem 4.5 and thus the non-negative critical part of theorem 1.1

## 5. Proof of the key lemmas for GUE/GOE cases and $J=0$

In this section, we prove lemmas $2.7+2.9$ and proposition 2.10 for the special cases where $W_{N}$ is represented by scaled GUE/GOE (Gaussian case), and $J=0$ (there is no spike). To contrast this case with the general Wigner cases, we will denote eigenvalues of such special $W_{N}$ as $\mu_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{N}$. In section 66 we will extend the proof to general sub-critically spiked Wigner matrices $W_{J, N}$ satisfying Assumption W (Wigner case) by using the Lindeberg swapping technique. The eigenvalues of such $W_{J, N}$ will be denoted as $\lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{N}$.
5.1. Some useful tools. Let us first describe two important background results that we are going to use in the Gaussian part of the proof.

One-point correlation function. Let $\rho_{N}$ be the level density or one-point function of scaled GUE. Then the expectation of a linear spectral statistic is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}\left[N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f\left(\mu_{i}\right)\right]=\int f(\mu) \rho_{N}(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A key tool in approximating such expectations will be a uniform bound, due to Götze and Tikhomirov, for the deviation of the one-point function in GUE from the semicircle density $p_{\mathrm{SC}}(x)=(2 \pi)^{-1} \sqrt{4-x^{2}} \mathbf{1}_{|x| \leq 2}$. Indeed, GT05, Theorem 1.2] show the existence of absolute constants $\gamma, C>0$ such that for all $|x| \leq 2-\gamma N^{-2 / 3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\rho_{N}(x)-p_{S C}(x)\right| \leq \frac{C}{N\left(4-x^{2}\right)} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, the one-point function decays at least exponentially at the edge: for all $s>-\gamma$, for large enough $N$ (see appendix A.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{N}\left(2+s N^{-2 / 3}\right) \leq C(\gamma) N^{-1 / 3} e^{-2 s} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar bound holds at the negative edge, by symmetry. Corresponding bounds also hold for $p_{S C}$.
Comparing GOE with GUE. Forrester and Rains FR01] found a relation between the eigenvalues of GOE and GUE that can be used to compare linear statistics from the two ensembles. Let $\tilde{Z}_{\alpha}$ denote scaled $N \times N$ GUE and GOE for $\alpha=1,2$ respectively. Given $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let $f\left(\tilde{Z}_{\alpha}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} f\left(\mu_{\alpha, i}\right)$,
where $\mu_{\alpha, i}$ are the eigenvalues of $\tilde{Z}_{\alpha}$, and let $\operatorname{TV}(f)$ denote the total variation of $f$. In JKOP22, Lemma 33 and Corollary 34] it is shown that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathbf{E} f\left(\tilde{Z}_{1}\right)-\mathbf{E} f\left(\tilde{Z}_{2}\right)\right| & \leq O(\operatorname{TV}(f))  \tag{5.4}\\
\operatorname{Var} f\left(\tilde{Z}_{2}\right) & \leq 2 \operatorname{Var} f\left(\tilde{Z}_{1}\right)+2 \operatorname{TV}^{2}(f) \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, if $f_{N}$ is a series of functions such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{N}\left(\tilde{Z}_{1}\right)=a_{N}+O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(b_{N}\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some sequences $a_{N}$ and $b_{N}$, then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{N}\left(\tilde{Z}_{2}\right)=a_{N}+O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(b_{N}+\operatorname{TV}\left(f_{N}\right)\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.2. Proof of lemma 2.7 for GUE/GOE. Part (i) is trivial in the GUE/GOE cases with $J=0$.

Part (ii) follows e.g. from the convergence of $N^{2 / 3}\left(\mu_{1}-2\right)$ to $T W_{2 / \alpha}$.
For GUE, part (iii) follows from the one-point function decay bound (5.3) and (5.1) applied to the counting function statistic built from $f_{N}(\mu)=\mathbf{1}\left\{\mu \geq 2-x N^{-1 / 3}\right\}$. The extension to GOE follows from the comparison bound (5.4).

To see that part (iv) holds, consider the operator

$$
\mathbf{H}_{\alpha}=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}-x+\sqrt{2 \alpha} B_{x}^{\prime}
$$

where $B_{x}^{\prime}$ is the "derivative" of the Brownian motion on $(0, \infty)$, and the operator acts on some Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}_{*}$, which consists of continuous functions supported on $(0, \infty)$, see p. 308 in [AGZ09]. The following result is theorem 4.5.42 in [AGZ09] for the special case of just the two top eigenvalues,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(N^{2 / 3}\left(\mu_{1}-2\right), N^{2 / 3}\left(\mu_{2}-2\right)\right) \xrightarrow{d}\left(\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}\right), \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}$ are the top two eigenvalues of random operator $\mathbf{H}_{\alpha}$. In addition, in lemma 4.5.47 of AGZ09] it is shown that the operator has simple spectrum with probability one. This implies (iv).

For part (v), Let $\mathcal{N}_{b_{N}}=\#\left\{j: \mu_{j}>2-b_{N} N^{-2 / 3}\right\}$ with $b_{N} \rightarrow \infty$, so that $b_{N}=O\left(N^{\varepsilon}\right)$ for all $\varepsilon>0$. Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 of [Gus05] yield that, in the GUE case,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} \mathcal{N}_{b_{N}} & =\frac{2}{3 \pi} b_{N}^{3 / 2}+O(1) \\
\operatorname{Var} \mathcal{N}_{b_{N}} & =\frac{3}{4 \pi^{2}}\left(\log b_{N}-\log 2\right)(1+o(1)) \lesssim \log b_{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the function $f_{N}(\mu)=\mathbf{1}\left[\mu \geq 2-b_{N} N^{-2 / 3}\right]$ has $\operatorname{TV}(f)=1$, eqs. (5.4) and (5.5) yield mean and variance bounds of the same order in the GOE case. From Chebychev's inequality, if $\kappa<\kappa_{0}=2 /(3 \pi)$, then in both cases

$$
\mathbf{P}\left\{\mathcal{N}_{b_{N}} \leq \kappa b_{N}^{3 / 2}\right\} \lesssim \frac{\log b_{N}}{\left(\kappa_{0}-\kappa\right)^{2} b_{N}^{3}} \rightarrow 0
$$

5.3. Proof of lemma 2.8 for GUE/GOE. First, recall that for $l \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{(l)}(\hat{\gamma})=\left(1+\operatorname{sgn}(b) b_{N} N^{-1 / 3}\right) \delta_{l 1}+\frac{c_{l}}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\hat{\gamma}-\mu_{j}\right)^{-l} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{N}=|b| \sqrt{\log N}, \delta_{l 1}=1$ if $l=1$ and 0 otherwise, and $c_{l}=(-1)^{l}(l-1)$ !. Henceforth, we write $\hat{\gamma}=2+\varepsilon_{N}$, with $\varepsilon_{N}=b_{N}^{2} N^{-2 / 3}$. We also set $\eta=\eta_{N}=\varepsilon_{N} / 2$ and consider truncated functions $\sqrt{2}^{2}$

$$
s_{N}(l)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{\eta}^{l}\left(\hat{\gamma}-\mu_{j}\right), \quad f_{\eta}(x)=x^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{|x|>\eta}
$$

Since by lemma 2.7(ii) $\mathbf{P}\left(\mu_{1}>2+\varepsilon_{N} / 2\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have a.a.s.

$$
G^{(l)}(\hat{\gamma})=\left(1+\operatorname{sgn}(b) b_{N} N^{-1 / 3}\right) \delta_{l 1}+c_{l} s_{N}(l)
$$

[^1]Recall that if $X_{N}=c_{N}+o_{\mathbf{P}}\left(d_{N}\right)$ and $Y_{N}=X_{N}$ a.a.s., then $Y_{N}=c_{N}+o_{\mathbf{P}}\left(d_{N}\right)$ also. With these preparations, the proof of lemma 2.8 reduces to showing that

$$
s_{N}(l)= \begin{cases}1-b_{N} N^{-1 / 3}+o_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-1 / 3} b_{N}^{-1 / 2}\right) & l=1  \tag{5.10}\\ d_{l}\left(N^{1 / 3} / b_{N}\right)^{2 l-3}\left(1+o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)\right) & l \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

with $d_{l}=\frac{(2 l-4)!}{(l-1)!(l-2)!2^{2 l-3}}$ if $l \geq 2$.
We consider first GUE, and begin with the case $l \geq 2$, for which it will be enough to use

$$
s_{N}(l)=\mathbf{E} s_{N}(l)+O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(s_{N}(l)\right)}\right) .
$$

Let $\rho_{N}(\mu)$ denote the normalized one-point correlation function. As in (5.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E} s_{N}(l)=\int f_{\eta}^{l}(\hat{\gamma}-\mu) \rho_{N}(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To bound the error in replacing $\rho_{N}$ by $p_{\mathrm{SC}}$ in integrals such as (5.11), set $\delta_{N}=\gamma N^{-2 / 3}$ with $\gamma>0$, decompose $\mathbb{R}$ into $I_{N}=\left[-2+\delta_{N}, 2-\delta_{N}\right]$ along with $J_{N}=\left(2-\delta_{N}, \infty\right)$ and $J_{N}^{-}=\left(-\infty,-2+\delta_{N}\right)$ and write

$$
\int g \rho_{N}-g p_{\mathrm{SC}}=\int_{I_{N}} g\left(\rho_{N}-p_{\mathrm{SC}}\right)+\int_{J_{N} \cup J_{N}^{-}} g \rho_{N}-\int_{J_{N} \cup J_{N}^{-}} g p_{\mathrm{SC}}
$$

Integrals over $J_{N}$ may be bounded using (5.3):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{J_{N}}|g(\mu)| \rho_{N}(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu \leq C_{\gamma} N^{-1} \sup _{\mu>2-\delta_{N}}|g(\mu)| \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\int_{2-\delta_{N}}^{\infty} \rho_{N}(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu \leq N^{-1} C(\gamma) \int_{-\gamma}^{\infty} e^{-2 s} \mathrm{~d} s=N^{-1} C_{\gamma}$. The integral over $J_{N}^{-}$is dealt with similarly, and so are the integrals with respect to $p_{\mathrm{SC}}$.

The integrals over $I_{N}$ require the Götze-Tikhomirov bound (5.2). For later use, we bring in a bounded function $\psi$ and set $a_{\psi}=\sup _{-1 \leq y \leq 0}|\psi(y)|$ and $b_{\psi}=\sup _{y \leq-1}|\psi(y)|$. Let

$$
\mathcal{I}_{N}=\int_{I_{N}}(\hat{\gamma}-\mu)^{-r} \psi\left(\frac{\mu-2}{\varepsilon_{N}}\right)\left[\rho_{N}(\mu)-p_{\mathrm{SC}}(\mu)\right] \mathrm{d} \mu .
$$

Then, for $C=C(\gamma)$ and any $r>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{I}_{N}\right| \leq \frac{C}{N \varepsilon_{N}^{r}}\left(a_{\psi} \log \frac{\varepsilon_{N}}{\delta_{N}}+b_{\psi}\right) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all sufficiently large $N$.
Indeed, on the interval $\left[-2+\delta_{N}, 0\right]$ the absolute value of the integrand is bounded by $2^{-r} b_{\psi} C N^{-1} 2^{-1}(2+$ $\mu)^{-1}$ and so the absolute value of the corresponding integral is at most $b_{\psi} C N^{-1} \log \delta_{N}^{-1}$, for all sufficiently large $N$. Writing $\mathcal{I}_{N}^{\prime}$ for the integral over $\left[0,2-\delta_{N}\right]$, setting $x=2-\mu$, and noting that $\delta=\delta_{N}<\varepsilon_{N}=\varepsilon$, we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{I}_{N}^{\prime}\right| \leq \frac{C}{N} \int_{\delta}^{2} \frac{1}{(x+\varepsilon)^{r} x}\left|\psi\left(\frac{-x}{\varepsilon}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} x \leq \frac{a_{\psi} C}{N \varepsilon^{r}} \int_{\delta}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{x}+\frac{2 b_{\psi} C}{N} \int_{\varepsilon}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x}{(x+\varepsilon)^{r+1}}
$$

which yields the claimed bound.
Returning to the approximation of (5.11) and setting $g(\mu)=f_{\eta}^{l}(\hat{\gamma}-\mu)$, we have $g(\mu)=O\left(\eta^{-l}\right)=$ $O\left(\varepsilon_{N}^{-l}\right)$ in (5.12), and $\psi:=1, r=l$ in (5.13), so that with $\varepsilon_{N} / \delta_{N}=b_{N}^{2} / \gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E} s_{N}(l)=\int(\hat{\gamma}-\mu)^{-l} p_{\mathrm{SC}}(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu+O\left(\frac{\log b_{N}}{N \varepsilon_{N}^{l}}\right) \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

To approximate the latter integral we use derivatives of $m_{\mathrm{SC}}(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(-z+\sqrt{z^{2}-4}\right)$, the Stieltjes transform of the semi-circle distribution (extended to real $z>2$ ). Recalling that $\hat{\gamma}=2+\varepsilon_{N}$, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{\mathrm{SC}}(2+\varepsilon)=-1+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}(1+\varepsilon / 4)^{1 / 2}-\varepsilon / 2 \\
& m_{\mathrm{SC}}^{(l)}(2+\varepsilon)=m_{l} \varepsilon^{1 / 2-l}(1+O(\varepsilon))-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{l 1}, \quad l \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m_{l}=\frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{2^{2 l-1}} \frac{(2 l-2)!}{(l-1)!}$. We then have

$$
\int(\hat{\gamma}-\mu)^{-l} p_{\mathrm{SC}}(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu=c_{l}^{-1} m_{S C}^{(l-1)}(\hat{\gamma})= \begin{cases}1-\varepsilon_{N}^{1 / 2}+O\left(\varepsilon_{N}\right) & l=1 \\ d_{l} \varepsilon_{N}^{3 / 2-l}-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{l 2}+O\left(\varepsilon_{N}^{5 / 2-l}\right) & l \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

where $d_{l}=m_{l-1} / c_{l}=\frac{(2 l-4)!}{(l-1)!(l-2)!2^{2 l-3}}$ for $l \geq 2$ as claimed above. The error term $O\left(\frac{\log b_{N}}{N \varepsilon_{N}^{N}}\right)$ in (5.14) dominates the term $O\left(\varepsilon_{N}\right)$ for $l=1$ and all but the leading term for $l \geq 2$. Consequently

$$
\mathbf{E} s_{N}(l)= \begin{cases}1-b_{N} N^{-1 / 3}+O\left(N^{-1 / 3}\left(\log b_{N}\right) / b_{N}^{2}\right) & l=1  \tag{5.15}\\ d_{l}\left(N / b_{N}^{3}\right)^{2 l / 3-1}+O\left(\left(N / b_{N}^{3}\right)^{2 l / 3-1}\left(\log b_{N}\right) / b_{N}^{3}\right) & l \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

To bound the variances of $s_{N}(l)$ we use the following inequality (see JKOP22], lemma 16)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f\left(\mu_{j}\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{N} \int f^{2}(\mu) \rho_{N}(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds for GUE for arbitrary $f$ and $N$, as long as the integrals involved exist. In particular,

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left[s_{N}(l)\right] \leq \frac{1}{N} \int f_{\eta}^{2 l}(\hat{\gamma}-\mu) \rho_{N}(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu=O\left(N^{\frac{4 l-6}{3}} b_{N}^{-4 l+3}\right)
$$

using (5.15). This establishes (5.10) for $l \geq 2$.
For $l=1$, however, this bound yields only error control at $O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-1 / 3} b_{N}^{-1 / 2}\right)$ in (5.10). To improve on the bound near the edge, we use the mesoscopic CLT of Basor and Widom [BW99]. Let $c>1$ and consider a $C^{\infty}$ partition of unity $\psi_{1}+\psi_{2}=1$ with $0 \leq \psi_{i} \leq 1$ and

$$
\psi_{1}(x)= \begin{cases}1 & -c \leq x \leq 1 / 4 \\ 0 & x \leq-2 c \text { and } x \geq 1 / 2\end{cases}
$$

We then have the decomposition $s_{N}(1)=\bar{s}_{1}(c)+\bar{s}_{2}(c)$, where

$$
\bar{s}_{i}=\bar{s}_{i}(c)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{\eta}\left(\hat{\gamma}-\mu_{j}\right) \psi_{i}\left(\Delta_{N}\left(\mu_{j}\right)\right), \quad \Delta_{N}(\mu)=\frac{N^{2 / 3}(\mu-2)}{b_{N}^{2}}
$$

To show that $s_{N}(1)-\mathbf{E} s_{N}(1)=o_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-1 / 3} b_{N}^{-1 / 2}\right)$, we use the following convergence criterion: if for each $c$ large, $X_{N}=Y_{N 1}(c)+Y_{N 2}(c)$ with $Y_{N 1}(c)=o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ and $\operatorname{Var} Y_{N 2}(c) \leq c^{-1 / 2}$ for $N>N(c)$, then $X_{N}=o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$.

First, our previous tools suffice to bound fluctuations of the $\bar{s}_{2}$ term. Indeed, from (5.16) we have

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{s}_{2}(c)\right) \leq \frac{1}{N} \int f_{\eta}^{2}(\hat{\gamma}-\mu) \psi_{2}^{2}\left(\Delta_{N}(\mu)\right) \rho_{N}(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu
$$

We approximate the integral by $\mathcal{J}_{N}=\int g(\mu) p_{\mathrm{SC}}(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu$, using bounds (5.12) with $g(\mu)=f_{\eta}^{2}(\hat{\gamma}-$ $\mu) \psi_{2}^{2}\left(\Delta_{N}(\mu)\right)=O\left(\eta^{-2}\right)$ and (5.13) with $a_{\psi}=0, b_{\psi}=1$, so that the error is bounded in order by

$$
N^{-1}\left[\eta_{N}^{-2}+\varepsilon_{N}^{-2}\right] \asymp N^{-1} \varepsilon_{N}^{-2} \asymp N^{1 / 3} b_{N}^{-4}
$$

On the interval $[-2,2]$, we have $g(\mu)=(\hat{\gamma}-\mu)^{-2} \psi_{2}^{2}\left(\Delta_{N}(\mu)\right)$, which vanishes if $\Delta_{N}(\mu) \geq-c$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}_{N} \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-2}^{2-c \varepsilon_{N}}(\hat{\gamma}-\mu)^{-2} \sqrt{4-\mu^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \mu \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{c \varepsilon_{N}}^{4} x^{-3 / 2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \frac{2}{\pi}\left(c \varepsilon_{N}\right)^{-1 / 2} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently for $N \geq N(c)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var} \bar{s}_{2}(c) \leq N^{-1}\left[2 \pi^{-1} c^{-1 / 2} N^{1 / 3} b_{N}^{-1}+O\left(N^{1 / 3} b_{N}^{-4}\right)\right] \leq 4 \pi^{-1} c^{-1 / 2} N^{-2 / 3} b_{N}^{-1} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Turning now to $\bar{s}_{1}(c)$, since $\psi_{1}\left(\Delta_{N}(\mu)\right)=0$ for $\mu>2+\varepsilon_{N} / 2=\hat{\gamma}-\eta_{N}$, we have

$$
\bar{s}_{1}=N^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\hat{\gamma}-\mu_{j}\right)^{-1} \psi_{1}\left(\Delta_{N}\left(\mu_{j}\right)\right)
$$

Let us rewrite

$$
(\hat{\gamma}-\mu)^{-1} \psi_{1}\left(\Delta_{N}(\mu)\right)=\varepsilon_{N}^{-1} \phi\left(\Delta_{N}(\mu)\right)
$$

where $\phi(x)=\psi_{1}(x) /(1-x)$ is a Schwartz function because supp $\psi_{1}=\left[-2 c, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. By [BW99],

$$
T_{N}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \phi\left(\Delta_{N}\left(\mu_{j}\right)\right)=\alpha_{N}+o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)
$$

with

$$
\alpha_{N}=\frac{b_{N}^{3}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sqrt{x} \phi(-x) \mathrm{d} x=\frac{N^{1 / 3} b_{N}^{2}}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{2}(2-\mu)^{1 / 2} \varepsilon_{N}^{-1} \phi\left(\Delta_{N}(\mu)\right) \mathrm{d} \mu
$$

Hence

$$
\bar{s}_{1}=\frac{T_{N}}{N^{1 / 3} b_{N}^{2}}=\int_{-\infty}^{2}(\hat{\gamma}-\mu)^{-1} \psi_{1}\left(\Delta_{N}(\mu)\right) \frac{\sqrt{2-\mu}}{\pi} \mathrm{d} \mu+o_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\frac{1}{N^{1 / 3} b_{N}^{2}}\right)
$$

We also have

$$
\mathbf{E} \bar{s}_{1}=\int_{-2}^{2}(\hat{\gamma}-\mu)^{-1} \psi_{1}\left(\Delta_{N}(\mu)\right) p_{\mathrm{SC}}(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu+O\left(N^{-1 / 3} b_{N}^{-2} \log b_{N}\right)
$$

where again we approximate the first integral by $\mathcal{J}_{N 2}=\int g_{2}(\mu) p_{\mathrm{SC}}(\mu) \mathrm{d} \mu$, using bound (15.12) with $g_{2}(\mu)=(\hat{\gamma}-\mu)^{-1} \psi_{1}\left(\Delta_{N}(\mu)\right)=O\left(\varepsilon_{N}^{-1}\right)$ and bound (5.13) with $a_{\psi}=b_{\psi}=1$, so that the error is bounded in order by $N^{-1} \varepsilon_{N}^{-1}+N^{-1} \varepsilon_{N}^{-1} \log \left(\varepsilon_{N} / \delta_{N}\right)=O\left(N^{-1 / 3} b_{N}^{-2} \log b_{N}\right)$.

Since, $\psi_{1}\left(\Delta_{N}(\mu)\right)=0$ for $\mu \leq 2-2 c \varepsilon_{N}$ and $\hat{\gamma}>2$, the leading term of $\bar{s}_{1}-\mathbf{E} \bar{s}_{1}$ is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{2-2 c \varepsilon_{N}}^{2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2-\mu}}{\pi}\right. & \left.-\frac{\sqrt{4-\mu^{2}}}{2 \pi}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} \mu}{2-\mu} \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 c \varepsilon_{N}}\left[1-\left(1-\frac{x}{4}\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{x}}=O\left(\left(c \varepsilon_{N}\right)^{3 / 2}\right)=O\left(c^{3 / 2} b_{N}^{3} / N\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, combining the error terms, we obtain for all $c>1$

$$
\bar{s}_{1}(c)-\mathbf{E} \bar{s}_{1}(c)=O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-1 / 3} b_{N}^{-2} \log b_{N}\right)
$$

Together with (5.18) and the convergence criterion described above, we conclude that $s_{N}(1)-\mathbf{E} s_{N}(1)=$ $o_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-1 / 3} b_{N}^{-1 / 2}\right)$.

For the GOE case we use GUE/GOE comparison for linear statistics, cf (5.6) - (5.7). We apply this to $f_{N}(x)=N^{-1} f_{\eta}^{l}(\hat{\gamma}-x)$, for which $\operatorname{TV}\left(f_{N}\right)=4 N^{-1} \eta_{N}^{-l}=2^{l+2} N^{2 l / 3-1} / b_{N}^{2 l}$. Since this is of smaller order than the error terms in (5.10), (5.10) remains valid in the GOE case.
5.4. Proof of lemma 2.9 for GUE/GOE. The validity of (2.3) is established in proposition 3 of JKOP22]. We will prove (2.4) later, directly for the general case of spiked Wigner $W_{N}$ without making the GUE/GOE step.
5.5. Proof of proposition 2.10 for GUE/GOE. The marginal convergences follow from theorem 2.6 and lemma 2.7 (i). Hence, we only need to prove the asymptotic independence. Our proof is based on the tridiagonal representation of GUE and GOE. Recall that the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$
\sqrt{N} M_{N}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1} & b_{1} & & &  \tag{5.19}\\
b_{1} & a_{2} & b_{2} & & \\
& b_{2} & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & b_{N-1} \\
& & & b_{N-1} & a_{N}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with independent $a_{i} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \alpha)$ and $b_{i} \sim \chi(2 i / \alpha) / \sqrt{2 / \alpha}$, are distributed as eigenvalues of GUE matrices for $\alpha=1$ and as eigenvalues of GOE matrices for $\alpha=2$. Therefore, in our proof, we may and will reinterpret the eigenvalues of $W_{N}$, entering the definitions of $\xi_{j N}$, as the eigenvalues of $M_{N}$. For a proof of the tridiagonal representation for general Gaussian $\beta$-ensembles, we refer the reader to Chapter 4 of [AGZ09]. See also a simple proof in [TV12] that works only for GOE and GUE.

In what follows, we establish the representations

$$
\xi_{1 N}=X_{N} / \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{3} \log N}+o_{\mathbf{P}}(1), \quad \xi_{2 N}=N^{2 / 3}\left(Y_{N}-2\right)+o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)
$$

where $X_{N}$ depends only on $a_{i}, b_{i-1}$ with $i \leq N-2 N^{1 / 3} \log ^{3} N$, and $Y_{N}$ depends only on $a_{i}, b_{i-1}$ with $i>N-2 N^{1 / 3} \log ^{3} N$. Since $X_{N}$ and $Y_{N}$ are independent, such representations yield proposition 2.10.

Representation for $\xi_{1 N}$ (log-determinant). In JKOP22], the derivation of the CLT for $\xi_{1 N}$ (theorem[2.6 here) is based on the tridiagonal representation. Let us recall some of the steps of that proof. Equation (18) of JKOP22] shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left|2-\mu_{j}\right|=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log \left|2+N^{-2 / 3} \bar{\sigma}_{N}-\mu_{j}\right|-N^{1 / 3} \bar{\sigma}_{N}+O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\bar{\sigma}_{N}^{2}\right) \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\sigma}_{N}:=(\log \log N)^{3}$. Further, the sum on the right hand side of the above display can be well approximated by a deterministic shift of a linear combination of the independent variables $a_{i}, b_{i}^{2}$.

To be precise, consider $c_{i}=\left(b_{i}^{2}-i\right) / \sqrt{i}$, so that $\mathbf{E} c_{i}=0$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(c_{i}\right)=\alpha$. For $\theta_{N}=1+N^{-2 / 3} \bar{\sigma}_{N} / 2$, define recursively

$$
L_{i}=\xi_{i}+\gamma_{i} L_{i-1} \quad \text { for } i \geq 1
$$

where

$$
\xi_{i}=\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}, \quad \alpha_{i}=\frac{a_{i}}{\sqrt{N} \theta_{N} r_{i}}, \quad \beta_{i}=\sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{i}}{N}} \frac{c_{i-1}}{\theta_{N} r_{i-1}}
$$

with $\beta_{1}:=0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i}=1+\sqrt{1-\frac{i-1}{N \theta_{N}^{2}}}, \quad m_{i}=1-\sqrt{1-\frac{i-1}{N \theta_{N}^{2}}}, \quad \gamma_{i}=\frac{m_{i}}{r_{i}} \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, equations (49), (50), and the last equation of section 4.1.6 of JKOP22] show that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left|2+N^{-2 / 3} \bar{\sigma}_{N}-\mu_{i}\right|=\frac{N}{2}+N^{1 / 3} \bar{\sigma}_{N}-\frac{\alpha-1}{6} \log N-\sum_{i=1}^{N} L_{i}+O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\bar{\sigma}_{N}^{2}\right)
$$

Combining this with (5.20) and recalling the definition of $\xi_{1 N}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1 N}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} L_{i} / \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{3} \log N}+o_{\mathbf{P}}(1) \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we are ready to prove the following.
Lemma 5.1. We have that $\xi_{1 N}=X_{N} / \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{3} \log N}+o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$, where $X_{N}$ depends only on $a_{i}, b_{i-1}$ for $i \leq N-2 N^{1 / 3} \log ^{3} N$.

Proof. Let us rewrite the sum from (5.22) in the following form:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} L_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\xi_{i}+\gamma_{i} \xi_{i-1}+\cdots+\gamma_{i} \ldots \gamma_{2} \xi_{1}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} g_{i+1} \xi_{i}
$$

where $g_{i}=1+\gamma_{i}+\cdots+\gamma_{i} \ldots \gamma_{N}$. Set $m=\left\lfloor N-2 N^{1 / 3} \log ^{3} N\right\rfloor$ and consider $X_{N}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{i+1} \xi_{i}$, so that it only depends on $\left(\xi_{i}\right)_{i \leq m}$, and hence on $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i \leq m},\left(b_{i}\right)_{i \leq m-1}$. Since $\xi_{i}$ are independent and centred,

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} L_{i}-X_{N}\right)^{2}=\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{i>m} g_{i+1} \xi_{i}\right)^{2} \leq\left(\max _{i} \mathbf{E} \xi_{i}^{2}\right) \sum_{i>m} g_{i+1}^{2}=O\left(N^{-1}\right) \sum_{i>m} g_{i+1}^{2}
$$

By Lemma 6 in JKOP22 we have, for $N$ large enough,

$$
g_{i}<\frac{r_{i}}{r_{i}-1} \leq \frac{2}{r_{i}-1}, \quad i=1, \ldots, N
$$

Further, since $\left(r_{i}-1\right)^{-1}$ is an increasing sequence, we have

$$
\sum_{i>m} g_{i+1}^{2}<\sum_{i=m+1}^{N+1} \frac{4}{\left(r_{i+1}-1\right)^{2}}=4 \sum_{i=m+1}^{N+1}\left(1-\theta_{N}^{-2} \frac{i}{N}\right)^{-1}<4 N \int_{\frac{m+1}{N}}^{\frac{N+2}{N}}\left(1-\theta_{N}^{-2} x\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

where we use the inequality $\frac{N+2}{N} \theta_{N}^{-2}<1$, which holds for large enough $N$. Since $m+1>N-2 N^{1 / 3} \log ^{3} N$ and $\theta_{N}=1+N^{-2 / 3} \bar{\sigma}_{N} / 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\frac{m+1}{N}}^{\frac{N+2}{N}}\left(1-\theta_{N}^{-2} x\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} x & \leq \int_{1-2 N^{-2 / 3} \log ^{3} N}^{1+2 N^{-1}}\left(1-\theta_{N}^{-2} x\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\theta_{N}^{2} \log \frac{1-\theta_{N}^{-2}\left(1-2 N^{-2 / 3} \log ^{3} N\right)}{1-\theta_{N}^{-2}\left(1+2 N^{-1}\right)} \\
& =\theta_{N}^{2} \log \frac{2 \log ^{3} N+\bar{\sigma}_{N}(1+o(1))}{\bar{\sigma}_{N}(1+o(1))} \\
& =O(\log \log N)
\end{aligned}
$$

Collecting all together, we get that

$$
\mathbf{E}^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} L_{i}-X_{N}\right)^{2}=O(\sqrt{\log \log N})
$$

Combining this with (5.22) yields the lemma.

Representation for $\xi_{2 N}$ (the largest eigenvalue). Since the entries of the tridiagonal matrix (5.19) become larger towards the bottom right corner, we expect that the largest eigenvalue $\mu_{1}$ does not depend too much on the upper values. ER05] use a heuristic argument and numerical evidence to suggest that the bottom $10 N^{1 / 3} \times 10 N^{1 / 3}$ minor's largest eigenvalue is a good approximation of $\mu_{1}$. For our purpose, it is sufficient to multiply $N^{1 / 3}$ by $2 \log ^{3} N$ instead of 10 . We prove the following lemma.
Lemma 5.2. Let $Y_{N}$ be the largest eigenvalue of the bottom-right minor of $M_{N}$ of size $l>2 N^{1 / 3} \log ^{3} N$. Then for any $K>0$,

$$
\left|\mu_{1}-Y_{N}\right|=O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-K}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $Y_{N}:=\tilde{\mu}_{1}$ be the largest eigenvalue of $\widetilde{M}_{l, N}$, where

$$
\sqrt{N} \widetilde{M}_{l, N}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & & & & & \\
0 & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \\
& \ddots & 0 & 0 & & & \\
& & 0 & a_{N-l+1} & b_{N-l+1} & & \\
& & & b_{N-l+1} & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & & & \ddots & \ddots & b_{N-1} \\
& & & & & b_{N-1} & a_{N}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then $\mu_{1} \geq \tilde{\mu}_{1}$ and it is sufficient to bound the difference $\mu_{1}-\tilde{\mu}_{1}$ from above.
Let $v$ be the normalized principal eigenvector of the original matrix $M_{N}$, so that $v^{\top} M_{N} v=\mu_{1}$, and we also have $\tilde{\mu}_{1} \geq v^{\top} \widetilde{M}_{l, N} v$. We then have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{1}-\tilde{\mu}_{1} & \leq \mu_{1}-v^{\top} \widetilde{M}_{l, N} v=v^{\top}\left(M_{N}-\widetilde{M}_{l, N}\right) v \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{N-l}{N}} v_{: N-l}^{\top} M_{N-l} v_{: N-l}+\frac{2 b_{N-l}}{\sqrt{N}} v_{N-l+1} v_{N-l} \tag{5.23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v_{(: N-l)}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{N-l}\right)^{\top}$ and

$$
\sqrt{N-l} M_{N-l}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1} & b_{1} & & & \\
b_{1} & a_{2} & b_{2} & & \\
& b_{2} & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & b_{N-l-1} \\
& & & b_{N-l-1} & a_{N-l}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that $\left\|M_{N-l}\right\|=O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ and $b_{N-l} / \sqrt{N}=O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$.
A proof of the following auxiliary lemma is given in appendix A.3. The lemma controls the initial $N-2 N^{1 / 3} \log ^{3} N$ components of the principal eigenvector $v$.
Lemma 5.3. Let $v$ be a principal eigenvector of $M_{N}$, standardized to have unit Euclidean norm. Then for any $D>0$

$$
\max _{i \leq N-2 N^{1 / 3} \log ^{3} N}\left|v_{i}\right|=O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-D}\right)
$$

Since $l>2 N^{1 / 3} \log ^{3} N$, we have $\max _{i \leq N-l+1}\left|v_{i}\right|=O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-D}\right)$. Therefore, $\left\|v_{: N-l}\right\|^{2} \leq N O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-2 D}\right)$ and $\max \left\{\left|v_{N-l}\right|,\left|v_{N-l+1}\right|\right\} \leq O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-D}\right)$. Hence, from (5.23),

$$
\mu_{1}-Y_{N} \leq O_{\mathbf{P}}(1) \times O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-2 D+1}\right)+O_{\mathbf{P}}(1) \times O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-D}\right) \leq O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-D+1}\right)
$$

where taking $D=K+5 / 3$ yields lemma 5.2.
This completes the proof of proposition 2.10 for GUE/GOE with $J=0$.

## 6. Extension to Wigner cases

In this section we extend the results that were proven in section for the GUE/GOE cases to subcritically spiked Wigner cases.
6.1. Proof strategy and preliminary results. Proving that a Wigner matrix $W_{N}^{\prime}$ satisfies a certain property as long as a matrix $W_{N}$ from scaled GUE/GOE satisfies this property is often based on the Lindeberg swapping process, where elements of $W_{N}$ are replaced by the elements of $W_{N}^{\prime}$ one by one without losing the property. Typically, one needs to show that any individual swap does not change the expectation $\mathbf{E} Q(M)$ of some smooth function $Q(\cdot)$ of the matrix $M$ participating in the swapping process too much.

In more detail, let $\gamma$ index an ordering of the independent components $\left\{\operatorname{Re} \xi_{i j}, \operatorname{Im} \xi_{i j}\right\}_{i<j}$ and $\left\{\xi_{i i}\right\}$ of $\sqrt{N} W_{N}$. Thus $\gamma$ runs over $N^{2}$ and $N(N+1) / 2$ elements in the Hermitian and symmetric cases respectively. Let $W^{\gamma}$ refer to a matrix in which the elements prior to $\gamma$ come from $W_{N}^{\prime}$ while those at $\gamma$ or later come from $W_{N}$.

At stage $\gamma$ in the swapping process, we can write $W^{(0)}=W^{\gamma}, W^{(1)}=W^{\gamma+1}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{(0)}=W_{0}^{\gamma}+\frac{\xi^{(0)}}{\sqrt{N}} V_{\gamma}, \quad W^{(1)}=W_{0}^{\gamma}+\frac{\xi^{(1)}}{\sqrt{N}} V_{\gamma} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $W_{0}^{\gamma}$ is independent of both $\xi^{(0)}$ and $\xi^{(1)}$. In the symmetric case, $V_{\gamma}$ is one of the elementary matrix $e_{a} e_{a}^{*}$ or $e_{a} e_{b}^{*}+e_{b} e_{a}^{*}$. In the Hermitian case, we add matrices $\mathrm{i} e_{a} e_{b}^{*}-\mathrm{i} e_{b} e_{a}^{*}$. Here $e_{j}$ denotes the $j$-th column of the identity matrix $I_{N}$, and $a, b$ correspond to the row and column of the components of $W_{N}$ and $W_{N}^{\prime}$ being swapped at stage $\gamma$. These components are denoted as $\xi^{(0)} / \sqrt{N}$ and $\xi^{(1)} / \sqrt{N}$ respectively. All matrices $W^{\gamma}$ are Wigner matrices.

We consider $W^{(0)}$ and $W^{(1)}$ as perturbations of $W_{0}^{\gamma}$. Thus, set $W_{t}^{\gamma}=W_{0}^{\gamma}+t N^{-1 / 2} V_{\gamma}$, and introduce $Q_{\gamma}(t)=Q\left(W_{t}^{\gamma}\right)$ for some smooth function $Q(\cdot)$. We first summarize the properties of such a $Q(\cdot)$ that are sufficient to carry out the swapping process.

Definition 6.1. Fix $c_{0}>0$ and set $\|F\|_{c_{0}}=\sup \left\{|F(t)|,|t| \leq N^{c_{0}}\right\}$. Let $\delta_{N} \rightarrow 0$ in such a way that $\delta_{N} \gtrsim N^{-c_{1}}$ for some $c_{1}>0$. Let $Q$ be a function on $N \times N$ Hermitian/symmetric matrices taking values
in $[0,1]$. Let Wigner matrices $W_{N}, W_{N}^{\prime}$ be given and define $Q_{\gamma}(t)=Q\left(W_{t}^{\gamma}\right)$ as above. We say that $Q$ satisfies condition $\mathbf{F}$ or $F\left(\delta_{N}\right)$ if for all $\gamma$ and $1 \leq k \leq 4$ we have w.o.p. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q_{\gamma}^{(k)}\right\|_{c_{0}} \lesssim N^{-\frac{k}{2}} \delta_{N} \tag{F}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we present proposition 20 of JKOP22], which details how condition F is used to control differences in the distributions of Wigner matrices:

Proposition 6.2. Let $W_{N}, W_{N}^{\prime}$ be Wigner matrices whose moments match to third order. Let $c_{0}, c_{1}>0$ be fixed and for each $j=1, \ldots, m$, let $Q_{j}: \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} \rightarrow[0,1]$ satisfy condition $F\left(\delta_{j, N}\right)$. If $Q=\prod_{j=1}^{m} Q_{j}$, then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E} Q\left(W_{N}\right)-\mathbf{E} Q\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right) \lesssim \max _{j=1, \ldots m} \delta_{j, N} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
s_{W_{N}}(z):=\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{tr}\left(W_{N}-z\right)^{-1}
$$

be the Stieltjes transform of the empirical spectral distribution of $W_{N}$. The following result is proposition 24 of [JKOP22], which is the main tool that we will use to show that a function satisfies condition $\mathbf{F}$.

Proposition 6.3. Let $W_{N}$ be a Wigner matrix. Fix $\varepsilon>0$ small and $0<c_{0}<1 / 2$. Let $E, E_{1}, E_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $|E-2| \lesssim N^{-\frac{2}{3}+2 \varepsilon}$ and $\left|E_{i}-2\right| \lesssim N^{-\frac{2}{3}+10 \varepsilon}$, $i=1,2$.

For each of the following statistics, define functions $g: \mathbb{C}^{N \times N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, G: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a sequence $\delta_{N}$ according to the following specifications, in each case for $1 \leq j \leq 4$ :
(1) Log-determinant: with $\gamma_{N}=N^{-2 / 3-\varepsilon, ~}$

$$
g\left(W_{N}\right)=N \int_{\gamma_{N}}^{N^{100}} \operatorname{Im} s_{W_{N}}(E+\mathrm{i} \eta) \mathrm{d} \eta, \quad\left\|G^{(j)}\right\|_{\infty} \leq(\log N)^{-j / 4}, \quad \delta_{N}=(\log N)^{-1 / 4}
$$

(2) Eigenvalue counting: with $\eta=N^{-2 / 3-9 \varepsilon}$,

$$
g\left(W_{N}\right)=\frac{N}{\pi} \int_{E_{1}}^{E_{2}} \operatorname{Im} s_{W_{N}}(y+\mathrm{i} \eta) \mathrm{d} y, \quad\left\|G^{(j)}\right\|_{\infty} \leq(\log N)^{C j}, \quad \delta_{N}=N^{-\frac{1}{3}+O(\varepsilon)}
$$

(3) Inverse moments: with $\eta=N^{-2 / 3-\varepsilon}$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$,

$$
g\left(W_{N}\right)=N^{-\frac{2}{3} l+1} \operatorname{Re} s_{W_{N}}^{(l-1)}(E+\mathrm{i} \eta), \quad\left\|G^{(j)}\right\|_{\infty} \leq(\log N)^{C j}, \quad \delta_{N}=N^{-\frac{1}{3}+O(\varepsilon)}
$$

In each of the cases listed above, the corresponding function $Q=G \circ g$ satisfies condition $F\left(\delta_{N}\right)$.
Our main tool for establishing distributional results about joint convergence is proposition 21 of JKOP22]:

Proposition 6.4. Let $W_{N}, W_{N}^{\prime}$ be Wigner matrices whose off-diagonal moments match up to third order. Let $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{N}=\boldsymbol{\xi}_{N}\left(W_{N}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{N}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{\xi}_{N}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right)$ both be $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ valued random vectors. Suppose that $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{N} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~d}} \boldsymbol{\xi}$, and that each component $\xi_{j}$ of the limit has a continuous distribution function.

Let $\eta_{N} \rightarrow 0$ be given, and suppose that for each $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a function $Q_{j}(\cdot, s)$ satisfying condition $F\left(\delta_{j, N}\right)$ such that for $W=W_{N}, W_{N}^{\prime}$, w.o.p.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{1}\left\{\xi_{N j}(W) \leq s-\eta_{N}\right\} \leq Q_{j}(W, s) \leq \mathbf{1}\left\{\xi_{N j}(W) \leq s+\eta_{N}\right\} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we also have (joint) convergence $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{N}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \boldsymbol{\xi}$.
Throughout the remaining of this section we denote by $W_{N}$ a matrix from scaled GUE $(\alpha=1)$ or scaled GOE $(\alpha=2)$ and by $W_{N}^{\prime}$ the corresponding real ( $\alpha=1$ ) or complex ( $\alpha=2$ ) Wigner matrix, whose off-diagonal moments match scaled GUE/GOE up to third order. In order to complete the proofs we also need to add a sub-critical spike. For fixed $J \in[0,1)$, consider the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{J, N}^{\prime}=W_{N}^{\prime}+J \mathbf{v v}^{*} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{v}$ is arbitrary unit vector from $\mathbb{C}^{N}\left(\right.$ from $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ if $\left.\alpha=2\right)$.

Consistent with notation used in section 5, we denote by $\mu_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{N}$ the eigenvalues of $W_{N}$, by $\mu_{1}^{\prime} \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{N}^{\prime}$ the eigenvalues of $W_{N}^{\prime}$, and by $\lambda_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{N}$ the eigenvalues of $W_{J, N}^{\prime}$. We transfer the properties of $W_{N}^{\prime}$ to $W_{J, N}^{\prime}$ using the Cauchy interlacing theorem

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \mu_{1}^{\prime} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \mu_{2}^{\prime} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{N} \geq \mu_{N}^{\prime}
$$

In addition, we will rely on the stickiness of the top eigenvalues of $W_{N}^{\prime}$ to its deformed counterpart $W_{J, N}^{\prime}$.
Proposition 6.5 (Stickiness of top eigenvalues). Suppose $W_{N}^{\prime}$ is a Wigner matrix whose off-diagonal moments match GUE/GOE up to third order and fix arbitrary $\varepsilon \in(0,1 / 6)$. Let $J \in(0,1)$ and $W_{J, N}^{\prime}=$ $W_{N}^{\prime}+J \mathbf{v v}^{*}$ for a unit vector $\mathbf{v}$. Then, w.o.p.,

$$
\max _{j \leq N^{\varepsilon}}\left|\lambda_{j}-\mu_{j}^{\prime}\right|=O\left(N^{-1+2 \varepsilon}\right)
$$

A proof of this proposition is given in appendix A.4. We remark that [KY13] state the above bound for a constant number of top eigenvalues and a somewhat different definition of Wigner matrices. Our proof reproduces their arguments for up to $N^{\varepsilon}$ eigenvalues under definition 2.1 of a Wigner matrix.
6.2. Proof of lemma 2.7 for Wigner case. Part (i): Let $\zeta_{N j}(W)=N^{2 / 3}\left(\lambda_{j}(W)-2\right)$, where $\lambda_{j}(W)$ denotes the $j$-th largest eigenvalue of matrix $W$. The convergence in distribution of $\zeta_{N}=\zeta_{N}\left(W_{N}\right)$ to $\zeta=\left(T W_{\frac{2}{\alpha}, 1}, \ldots, T W_{\frac{2}{\alpha}, k}\right)$ holds by definition, as discussed in section 5.2. We use proposition 6.4 to carry this over to convergence of $\zeta_{N}^{\prime}=\zeta_{N}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right)$ : the key step is approximation by the Stieltjes functional $g(W, E)$ below, and then use of the derivative bounds in proposition 6.3.

Introducing the rescaling $E(s)=2+N^{-2 / 3} s$, we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{1}\left\{\zeta_{N j}(W)<s\right\}=\mathbf{1}\left\{\mathcal{N}_{W}(E(s), \infty)<j\right\} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{W}(E, \infty)$ denotes the number of eigenvalues of $W$ that fall into the interval $[E, \infty)$. Fix a small positive $\varepsilon$. Let $E_{\infty}=2+2 N^{-2 / 3+\varepsilon}, \eta=N^{-2 / 3-9 \varepsilon}$ and

$$
g(W, E)=\frac{N}{\pi} \int_{E}^{E_{\infty}} \operatorname{Im} s_{W}(y+\mathrm{i} \eta) \mathrm{d} y
$$

Corollary 17.3 of [EY17] says that for Wigner matrices $W,|E-2| \leq N^{-2 / 3+\varepsilon}$ and $\ell=\frac{1}{2} N^{-2 / 3-\varepsilon}$, and with overwhelming probability, we have inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{W}(E+\ell, \infty)-N^{-\varepsilon} \leq g(W, E) \leq \mathcal{N}_{W}(E-\ell, \infty)+N^{-\varepsilon} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

EY17] use a somewhat different definition of Wigner matrices, but we show that (6.6) still holds with definition 2.1 in appendix A.5. Let $G_{j}$ be a smooth decreasing function such that

$$
G_{j}(x)= \begin{cases}0 & x \geq j-1 / 3 \\ 1 & x \leq j-2 / 3\end{cases}
$$

From (6.6) we have w.o.p. for $W=W_{N}$ and $W_{N}^{\prime}$ that

$$
\mathbf{1}\left\{\mathcal{N}_{W}(E+\ell, \infty)<j\right\} \geq G_{j}(g(W, E)) \geq \mathbf{1}\left\{\mathcal{N}_{W}(E-\ell, \infty)<j\right\}
$$

Applying this with $E=E(s)+\ell$ along with (6.5), we obtain

$$
\mathbf{1}\left\{\zeta_{N j}(W)<s+N^{-\varepsilon}\right\} \geq G_{j}(g(W, E(s)+\ell)) \geq \mathbf{1}\left\{\zeta_{N j}(W)<s\right\}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{1}\left\{\zeta_{N j}(W) \leq s-N^{-\varepsilon}\right\} \leq G_{j}(g(W, E(s)+\ell)) \leq \mathbf{1}\left\{\zeta_{N j}(W) \leq s+N^{-\varepsilon}\right\} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $Q_{j}(W, s)=G_{j}(g(W, E(s)+\ell))$, we obtain bounds (6.3).
The functions $Q_{j}(\cdot, s)$ satisfy Proposition 6.3 (2) with $\delta_{j, N}=N^{-1 / 3+O(\varepsilon)}$ and hence also condition F. Consequently the joint convergence for $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{N}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{\xi}_{N}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right)$ follows from Proposition 6.4,

The result follows for subcritically-spiked Wigner matrix $W_{J, N}^{\prime}=W_{N}^{\prime}+J \mathbf{v v}^{*}$ applying proposition 6.5 so that

$$
\left(N^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\lambda_{1}-2\right), \ldots, N^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\lambda_{k}-2\right)\right)=\left(N^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}-2\right), \ldots, N^{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\mu_{N}^{\prime}-2\right)\right)+o_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-1 / 3+2 \varepsilon}\right)
$$

Part (ii) and part (iv): The statement of part (ii) is implied by $N^{2 / 3}\left(\lambda_{1}-2\right)=O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$, which follows from the fact that $N^{2 / 3}\left(\lambda_{1}-2\right) \xrightarrow{d} T W_{1,2 / \alpha}$. Similarly, we have that

$$
N^{2 / 3}\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{d} T W_{1,2 / \alpha}-T W_{2,2 / \alpha}
$$

and the latter is $\Theta_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$, since we already know that $N^{2 / 3}\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{d} T W_{1,2 / \alpha}-T W_{2,2 / \alpha}$, and $\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}=$ $\Theta_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-2 / 3}\right)$ from the proof for the Gaussian ensembles.

Part (iii) and part (v): We first derive the corresponding bounds for $W_{N}^{\prime}$, i.e. for the eigenvalues $\mu_{j}^{\prime}$. We use the counting function approximation and it's universality, similar to how we did in the proof of part (i). It follows from eq. (6.6) that w.o.p. for both $W_{N}, W_{N}^{\prime}$ in place of $W$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(W, E+\ell)-N^{-\varepsilon} \leq \mathcal{N}_{W}(E, \infty) \leq g(W, E-\ell)+N^{-\varepsilon} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For part (iii), first take the expectation of the above inequalities. Notice that $\mathcal{N}_{W}(E, \infty) \leq N$ a.s. Similarly, using $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda-y-i \eta)^{-1} \leq \eta^{-1}$, it holds a.s. that $g(E, W) \leq \frac{N}{\pi} \eta^{-1}\left(E^{\infty}-E\right)$, which can be bounded as $O\left(N^{1+O(\varepsilon)}\right)$. Since the complement to a w.o.p. event happens with probability at most $N^{-D}$ for any $D>0$ and large $N$, we conclude that

$$
\mathbf{E} g(W, E+\ell)-N^{-\varepsilon} \leq \mathbf{E} \mathcal{N}_{W}(E, \infty) \leq \mathbf{E} g(W, E-\ell)+N^{-\varepsilon}
$$

Using Propositions 6.2 and 6.3 part(2) applied with $G(x)=x$, we have that

$$
\left|\mathbf{E} g\left(W_{N}, E\right)-\mathbf{E} g\left(W_{N}^{\prime}, E\right)\right| \lesssim N^{-1 / 3+O(\varepsilon)}
$$

Take $E_{x}=2-x N^{-2 / 3}$ and $E_{x+1}=2-(x+1) N^{-2 / 3}$ so that $E_{x}-2 \ell \geq E_{x+1}$ for large $N$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} \mathcal{N}_{W_{N}^{\prime}}\left(E_{x}, \infty\right) & \leq \mathbf{E} g\left(W_{N}^{\prime}, E_{x}-\ell\right)+N^{-\varepsilon} \\
& \leq \mathbf{E} g\left(W_{N}, E_{x}-\ell\right)+N^{-\varepsilon}+O\left(N^{-1 / 3+O(\varepsilon)}\right) \\
& \leq \mathbf{E} \mathcal{N}_{W_{N}}\left(E_{x+1}, \infty\right)+2 N^{-\varepsilon}+O\left(N^{-1 / 3+O(\varepsilon)}\right) \\
& \leq O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that $\mathbf{E} \mathcal{N}_{W_{N}}\left(E_{x+1}, \infty\right)=O(1)$ for the Gaussian case, which is shown in Section 5.2. To extend part (iii) to the spiked case, observe that the values $\#\left\{j: \lambda_{j} \geq 2-x N^{-2 / 3}\right\}$ and $\#\left\{j: \mu_{j}^{\prime} \geq\right.$ $\left.2-x N^{-2 / 3}\right\}$ differ by at most one thanks to the Cauchy interlacing theorem.

For part (v), let us show that there exists $\kappa^{\prime}$ such that if $b_{N} \rightarrow \infty$ so that $b_{N}=O\left(N^{\varepsilon}\right)$ for all $\varepsilon>0$, then a.a.s.

$$
\mathcal{N}_{W^{\prime}}\left(E_{b_{N}}, \infty\right) \geq \kappa^{\prime} b_{N}^{2 / 3}
$$

where $E_{b_{N}}=2-b_{N} N^{-2 / 3}$. From (6.8) we have for $b_{N}=O\left(N^{\varepsilon}\right)$, w.o.p.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{W}\left(E_{b_{N}-N^{-\varepsilon}}, \infty\right)-N^{-\varepsilon} \leq g\left(W, E_{b_{N}-N^{-\varepsilon} / 2}\right) \leq \mathcal{N}_{W}\left(E_{b_{N}}, \infty\right)+N^{-\varepsilon} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we note that $E_{b_{N}}+2 \ell=E_{b_{N}-N^{-\varepsilon}}$ and $E_{b_{N}}+\ell=E_{b_{N}-N^{-\varepsilon / 2}}$. Below we denote for short $g(W)=g\left(W, E_{b_{N}-N^{-\varepsilon} / 2}\right)$.

Let $\mu=5 \kappa^{\prime} / 4$ and $H$ be a smooth decreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
H(x)= \begin{cases}1 & x \leq \mu \\ 0 & x \geq 2 \mu\end{cases}
$$

and define $G(x)=H\left(x / b_{N}^{3 / 2}\right)$, so that $\left\|G^{(j)}\right\|_{\infty} \leq b_{N}^{-3 j / 2}=o(1)$.
We apply Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 6.3 part (2), from where it follows that $\mathbf{E} G\left(g\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq$ $\mathbf{E} G\left(g\left(W_{N}\right)\right)+O\left(N^{-1 / 3+O(\varepsilon)}\right)$.

Using (6.9) in the first and fourth lines below, and setting $\mu=5 \kappa^{\prime} / 4$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left\{\mathcal{N}_{W_{N}^{\prime}}\left(E_{b_{N}}, \infty\right)<\kappa^{\prime} b_{N}^{3 / 2}\right\} & \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{g\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right) / b_{N}^{3 / 2} \leq \mu\right\}+O\left(N^{-D}\right) \\
& \leq \mathbf{E} G\left(g\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right)\right)+O\left(N^{-D}\right) \leq \mathbf{E} G\left(g\left(W_{N}\right)\right)+O\left(\delta_{N}\right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{g\left(W_{N}\right) / b_{N}^{3 / 2} \leq 2 \mu\right\}+O\left(N^{-1 / 3+O(\varepsilon)}\right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\mathcal{N}_{W_{N}}\left(E_{b_{N}-N^{-\varepsilon}}, \infty\right)<\kappa b_{N}^{3 / 2}\right\}+O\left(N^{-1 / 3+O(\varepsilon)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if we set $2 \mu=3 \kappa / 4$ say. The final bound is $o(1)$, according to the Gaussian case applied to $b_{N} \leftarrow b_{N}-N^{-\varepsilon}$, and so if we take $\kappa^{\prime}=4 \mu / 5=3 \kappa / 10$, we obtain the claimed result.

Finally, part (v) extends trivially to the spiked case using Cauchy interlacing theorem, since

$$
\#\left\{j: \lambda_{j}>2-b_{N} N^{-2 / 3}\right\} \geq \#\left\{j: \mu_{j}^{\prime}>2-b_{N} N^{-2 / 3}\right\}
$$

6.3. Proof of lemma 2.8 and eq. 2.3 of lemma 2.9 for Wigner case. Let us first extend lemma 2.8 and eq. 2.3 of lemma 2.9 to the non-spiked Wigner case, with $W_{N}^{\prime}$ in place of $W_{N}$ and $\mu_{j}^{\prime}$ in place of $\mu_{j}$.

First we rewrite (2.2) and (2.3) in terms of Stieltjes transforms. For $l \geq 1$, we have

$$
G^{(l)}(z)=\beta \mathbf{1}(l=1)+s_{W}^{(l-1)}(z)
$$

for $W_{N}$ and $W_{n}^{\prime}$ in place of $W$. Suppose that $|E-2| \lesssim \check{\sigma}_{N} N^{-2 / 3}$, where

$$
\check{\sigma}_{N}:=(\log N)^{O(\log \log N)}
$$

and define

$$
g_{0}(W)=g_{0}(W, E):=N^{-2 l / 3+1} s_{W}^{(l-1)}(E)=(l-1)!N^{-2 l / 3} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\lambda_{j}(W)-E\right)^{-l} .
$$

Here $\lambda_{j}(W)$ denotes the $j$-th largest eigenvalue of $W$. Then (2.2) and (2.3) take the simpler form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}(W, E)=\alpha_{N}+\sigma_{N} Z_{N}, \quad Z_{N}=o_{\mathbf{P}}(1) \text { or } O_{\mathbf{P}}(1) \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the following $\alpha_{N}, \sigma_{N}$ and $Z_{N}$.
In the case of (2.2), we take $E=\hat{\gamma}$. Further, in (6.10) we have $Z_{N}=o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$,

$$
\alpha_{N}=-N^{1 / 3} \mathbf{1}(l=1)+c_{l}|b|^{3-2 l} \log ^{3 / 2-l} N, \quad \sigma_{N}=\log ^{-L} N, \quad L= \begin{cases}1 / 4 & l=1 \\ l-3 / 2 & l \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

with $c_{1}=1, c_{2}=1 / 2$ and with the general form of $c_{l}$ visible in (2.2).
In the case of (2.3), we take $E=2+C N^{-2 / 3}$, and for $l=1,2$ in (6.10) we have

$$
\alpha_{N}=-N^{1 / 3} \mathbf{1}(l=1), \quad \sigma_{N}=1, \quad Z_{N}=O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)
$$

Thus the validity of (6.10) for $W=W_{N}$ drawn from Gaussian ensembles has been already established in sections 5.3 and 5.4. We wish to carry this over to $Z_{N}^{\prime}=\left(g_{0}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right)-\alpha_{N}\right) / \sigma_{N}$ for a Wigner matrix $W_{N}^{\prime}$. To do this, we approximate $g_{0}(W)$ by the Stieltjes functional

$$
g(W)=N^{-2 l / 3+1} \operatorname{Re} s_{W}^{(l-1)}(E+\mathrm{i} \eta)
$$

Lemma 6.6. (Approximation step) Let $W$ be an $N \times N$ Wigner matrix satisfying Assumption $W$ and let $E \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $|E-2| \leq N^{-\frac{2}{3}} \check{\sigma}_{N}$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and define $\eta=N^{-\frac{2}{3}-3 \varepsilon}$.

Then, for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, we have with high probability that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{-2 l / 3+1} s_{W}^{(l-1)}(E)=N^{-2 l / 3+1} \operatorname{Re} s_{W}^{(l-1)}(E+\mathrm{i} \eta)+O\left(N^{-\varepsilon}\right) \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon /(l+1)$. By eigenvalue non-concentration (see proposition 25 of JKOP22]), there then exists a constant $d>0$ such that the event

$$
E_{N}=\left\{\min _{1 \leq j \leq N}\left|\lambda_{j}(W)-E\right| \geq N^{-\frac{2}{3}-\varepsilon_{0}}\right\}
$$

holds with probability at least $1-N^{-d}$. The rest of the argument occurs on the event $E_{N}$.
Now the function $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\left(z-\lambda_{j}(W)\right)^{t}}$ is holomorphic in the open disk $\left\{z:|z-E|<N^{-\frac{2}{3}-\varepsilon_{0}}\right\}$. Since $\varepsilon_{0}<\varepsilon$, the vertical segment $\gamma$ connecting $E$ to $E+i \eta$ lies entirely within this disk, so the fundamental theorem of calculus applies, rendering

$$
\left|\operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\left(E-\lambda_{j}(W)+\mathrm{i} \eta\right)^{l}}-\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\left(E-\lambda_{j}(W)\right)^{l}}\right|=\left|\operatorname{Re} \int_{\gamma} \sum_{j=1}^{N}-\frac{l}{\left(z-\lambda_{j}(W)\right)^{l+1}} \mathrm{~d} z\right|
$$

$$
\leq \operatorname{l\eta } \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\left|E-\lambda_{j}(W)\right|^{l+1}}
$$

By lemma 26 of JKOP22], this is $O\left(N^{-\frac{2}{3}-3 \varepsilon} \cdot N^{\left(\frac{2}{3}+\varepsilon_{0}\right)(l+1)+\varepsilon}\right)=O\left(N^{\frac{2}{3} l-\varepsilon}\right)$ w.o.p. on $E_{N}$, from which the result follows.

Lemma 6.6 implies that $g(W)$ satisfies (6.10) exactly when $g_{0}(W)$ does. So we carry out the Lindeberg swapping with $g(W)$.

Let $\kappa>0$ and $H: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a smooth cutoff function satisfying

$$
H(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \quad|x| \leq \kappa \\ 1 & \text { if } \quad|x| \geq 2 \kappa\end{cases}
$$

Let $G(x)=H\left(\left(x-\alpha_{N}\right) / \sigma_{N}\right)$, so that $\left\|G^{(j)}\right\|_{\infty} \lesssim \sigma_{N}^{-j}$. Propositions 6.3 (3) and 6.2 yield E $G\left(g\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right)\right)=$ $\mathbf{E} G\left(g\left(W_{N}\right)\right)+O\left(\delta_{N}\right)$ with $\delta_{N}=N^{-1 / 3+O(\varepsilon)}$. Write $\check{Z}_{N}=\left(g\left(W_{N}\right)-\alpha_{N}\right) / \sigma_{N}$ and similarly for $\check{Z}_{N}^{\prime}$. We conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}\left(\left|\check{Z}_{N}^{\prime}\right|>2 \kappa\right) & =\mathbf{P}\left(\left|g\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right)-\mu_{N}\right|>2 \kappa \sigma_{N}\right) \\
& \leq \mathbf{E} G\left(g\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq \mathbf{E} G\left(g\left(W_{N}\right)\right)+O\left(\delta_{N}\right) \\
& \leq \mathbf{P}\left(\left|\check{Z}_{N}\right|>\kappa\right)+O\left(\delta_{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar bound holds reversing the roles of $W_{N}$ and $W_{N}^{\prime}$. Consequently $\check{Z}_{N}^{\prime}$ is $o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ or $O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ exactly when $\check{Z}_{N}$ is. From Lemma 6.6] both $\check{Z}_{N}-Z_{N}$ and $\check{Z}_{N}^{\prime}-Z_{N}^{\prime}$ are $O\left(N^{-\varepsilon}\right)$ with high probability. Thus (6.10) carries over to $W_{N}^{\prime}$ and so the validity of (2.2) and (2.3) are established for Wigner matrices without a spike.

We conclude the proof by extending the bounds from non-spiked Wigner matrix $W_{N}^{\prime}$ to the spiked one $W_{J, N}^{\prime}$ as in (6.4). Let us show that (2.2) and (2.3) still hold in this case. Recall that $\lambda_{j}$ denote the eigenvalues of $W_{J, N}^{\prime}$ in the descending order, and $\mu_{j}^{\prime}$ are the eigenvalues of $W_{N}^{\prime}$.

Let $\gamma$ equals to either $\hat{\gamma}$ from (2.2) or $2+C N^{-2 / 3}$ from (2.3). In addition, let $i^{*}$ denotes the index of the nearest to $\gamma$ among the eigenvalues $\mu_{i}^{\prime}$, such that $\mu_{i}^{\prime} \leq \gamma$. Due to the interlacing theorem, we have that $0 \leq \gamma-\lambda_{i} \leq \gamma-\mu_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i>i^{*}$ and $\gamma-\lambda_{i} \leq \gamma-\mu_{i}^{\prime} \leq 0$ for $i<i^{*}$.

Then,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\gamma-\lambda_{i}\right)^{-l} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\gamma-\mu_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-l}-\left(\gamma-\mu_{i^{*}}^{\prime}\right)^{-l}+\left(\gamma-\lambda_{i^{*}}\right)^{-l}
$$

It follows from rigidity (see theorem 2.9 of [BK18]), that for any $\varepsilon>0$ w.o.p.

$$
O\left(N^{-2 / 3} \log N\right)=\left(\frac{i^{*}}{N}\right)^{2 / 3}+O\left(N^{-2 / 3+\varepsilon}\left(i^{*}\right)^{-1 / 3}\right)
$$

which implies $i^{*}=O\left(N^{\varepsilon}\right)$. Therefore, using proposition 6.5 we have that w.o.p. $\left|\lambda_{i^{*}}-\mu_{i^{*}}^{\prime}\right| \lesssim N^{-1+3 \varepsilon}$. Furthermore, by the non-concentration result from proposition 25 of JKOP22] we have, with high probability, $\left|\gamma-\mu_{i^{*}}^{\prime}\right|^{-l} \leq N^{2 l / 3+l \varepsilon}$. Hence we obtain that with high probability

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\gamma-\mu_{i^{*}}^{\prime}\right)^{-l}-\left(\gamma-\lambda_{i^{*}}\right)^{-l} & =\left(\gamma-\mu_{i^{*}}^{\prime}\right)^{-l}\left[1-\left(1+\frac{\mu_{i^{*}}^{\prime}-\lambda_{i^{*}}}{\gamma-\mu_{i^{*}}^{\prime}}\right)^{-l}\right]  \tag{6.12}\\
& =O\left(N^{2 l / 3+l \varepsilon}\right)\left[1-\left(1+O\left(N^{-1 / 3+C \varepsilon}\right)\right)^{-l}\right] \\
& =O\left(N^{(2 l-1) / 3+C \varepsilon}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small, we obtain that for any $L>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\gamma-\lambda_{i}\right)^{-l} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\gamma-\mu_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-l}+o_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{2 l / 3} \log ^{-L} N\right) \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the inequality in the opposite direction, note that with high probability, there exists $C>0$ such that for all $i \leq i^{* *}:=\left[N^{\varepsilon}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma-\mu_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-l}-\left(\gamma-\lambda_{i}\right)^{-l}=O\left(N^{(2 l-1) / 3+C \varepsilon}\right) \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This fact can be established similarly to (6.12). Furthermore, by eigenvalue rigidity w.o.p.

$$
\gamma-\mu_{i^{* *}}^{\prime}=\gamma-\gamma_{i^{* *}}+\gamma_{i^{* *}}-\mu_{i^{* *}}^{\prime} \geq \gamma-\gamma_{i^{* *}}-O\left(N^{-2 / 3-\varepsilon / 3}\right)
$$

where $\gamma_{i^{* *}}$ is the typical location of the $i^{* *}$-th eigenvalue, satisfying

$$
2-\gamma_{i^{* *}} \asymp\left(\frac{i^{* *}}{N}\right)^{2 / 3} \asymp N^{-2 / 3+2 \varepsilon / 3}
$$

Since $\gamma=2+O\left(N^{-2 / 3} \log N\right)$, we obtain w.o.p

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma-\mu_{i^{* *}}^{\prime} \geq N^{-2 / 3+\varepsilon / 2} \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by the interlacing theorem, w.o.p.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma-\lambda_{i+1}\right)^{-l} \leq\left(\gamma-\mu_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-l} \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i \geq i^{* *}$.
Using (6.14)-( (6.16), we have with high probability

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\gamma-\lambda_{i}\right)^{-l} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\gamma-\mu_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-l}-\sum_{i=1}^{i^{* *}}\left[\left(\gamma-\mu_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-l}-\left(\gamma-\lambda_{i}\right)^{-l}\right]+\left(\gamma-\mu_{i^{* *}}\right)^{-l}-\left(\gamma-\mu_{N}\right)^{-l} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\gamma-\mu_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-l}+O\left(N^{(2 l-1) / 3+(C+1) \varepsilon}\right)+O\left(N^{2 l / 3-\varepsilon l / 2}\right)+O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small, we obtain that for any $L>0$,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\gamma-\lambda_{i}\right)^{-l} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\gamma-\mu_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-l}+o_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{2 l / 3} \log ^{-L} N\right)
$$

Combining this with (6.13), we conclude that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\gamma-\lambda_{i}\right)^{-l}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\gamma-\mu_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-l}+o_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{2 l / 3} \log ^{-L} N\right)
$$

Taking $L>\max \left\{\frac{1}{4}, l-\frac{3}{2}\right\}$, we see that the difference of $o_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{2 l / 3} \log ^{-L} N\right)$ between the spiked statistics and non-spiked one is sufficient for (2.2) and (2.3) to hold in the spiked case as well.
6.4. Proof of eq. 2.4 of lemma 2.9, We rely on (2.3): for any fixed $C \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(2-\lambda_{j}\right)^{-1}=1+O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-1 / 3}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(2-C N^{-2 / 3}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{-2}=O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{1 / 3}\right) \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}}-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{1}{2-\lambda_{j}}\right| & =\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{2-\lambda_{1}}{\left(2-\lambda_{j}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}\right)}\right| \\
& \leq\left|2-\lambda_{1}\right|\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{1}{\left(2-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The bounds in eq. (6.17) with $C=0$, along with Tracy-Widom convergence $\left|\lambda_{1}-2\right|=O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-2 / 3}\right)$ (lemma 2.7 part (i)) show that to establish (2.4), it is sufficient to show that $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}}=$ $O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{1 / 3}\right)$.

For this, note that by lemma 2.7 (ii), for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a constant $C$ such that event $\mathcal{E}_{N, \varepsilon}=\left\{\lambda_{1}>2-C N^{-2 / 3}\right\}$ has probability at least $1-\varepsilon$ for large $N$. On this event,

$$
\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j} \geq \begin{cases}2-C N^{-2 / 3}-\lambda_{j} & \text { if } \lambda_{j} \leq 2-C N^{-2 / 3} \\ \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2} & \text { if } \lambda_{j}>2-C N^{-2 / 3}\end{cases}
$$

We have $\chi_{N}(C):=\#\left\{j: \lambda_{1}>2-C N^{-2 / 3}\right\}=O_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ and $\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}=\Theta_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-2 / 3}\right)$ by Lemma 2.7 parts (iii) and (iv) respectively. Using also (6.17) we obtain, on $\mathcal{E}_{N, \varepsilon}$,

$$
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=2}^{N} \frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\left(2-C N^{-2 / 3}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}}+\frac{\chi_{N}(C)}{N\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)^{2}}=O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{1 / 3}\right)
$$

This completes the proof of eq. 2.4 of lemma 2.9.
6.5. Proof of proposition 2.10 for Wigner case. First we consider the case with no spike, i.e. $J=0$ and eigenvalues $\mu_{j}^{\prime}$ of $W_{N}^{\prime}$ in place of eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}$ of $W_{J, N}^{\prime}$. In this case, this is an immediate consequence of proposition 6.4 and previous arguments for the log-determinant in JKOP22 and the largest eigenvalue in lemma 2.7(i). Indeed, in the proof of Proposition 27 of [JKOP22] we show that

$$
\xi_{1 N}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right)=\tau_{N}^{-1}\left(\bar{\mu}_{N}-g_{0}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right)\right)+o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)
$$

where for $\gamma_{N}=N^{-2 / 3-2 \varepsilon}$ with $\varepsilon>0$ small

$$
g_{0}(W)=\int_{\gamma_{N}}^{N^{100}} \operatorname{Im} s_{W}(2+i \eta) \mathrm{d} \eta, \quad \bar{\mu}_{N}=N / 2-\frac{\alpha-1}{6} \log N+N \log \left(N^{100}\right)
$$

It is enough to consider joint convergence of

$$
\tilde{\xi}_{1 N}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right)=\tau_{N}^{-1}\left(\bar{\mu}_{N}-g_{0}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \xi_{2 N}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right)
$$

since $\left(\xi_{1 N}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right), \xi_{2 N}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(\tilde{\xi}_{1 N}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right), \xi_{2 N}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right)\right)+o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$.
In Proposition 6.4 let us set $Q_{1}(W, s)$ and $Q_{2}(W, s)$ as follows. We start from $Q_{2}(W, s)$. Using (6.7), take $Q_{2}(W, s):=G_{1}\left(g(W, E(s) \pm \ell)\right.$. Then, as explained immediately after (6.7), such $Q_{2}(W, s)$ satisfies condition $F\left(\delta_{2, N}\right)$ with $\delta_{2, N}=N^{-1 / 3+O(\varepsilon)}$. Furthermore, (6.7) says that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{1}\left\{\xi_{N 2}(W) \leq s-N^{-\varepsilon}\right\} \leq Q_{2}(W, s) \leq \mathbf{1}\left\{\xi_{N 2}(W) \leq s+N^{-\varepsilon}\right\} \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Turning to $Q_{1}(W, s)$, let $H: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a smooth decreasing function such that

$$
H(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } x \leq-\eta_{N} \\ 0 & \text { if } x \geq \eta_{N}\end{cases}
$$

Define $Q_{1}(W, s)=G_{s}\left(g_{0}(W)\right):=H\left(\tau_{N}^{-1}\left(\bar{\mu}-g_{0}(W)\right)-s\right)$. Then clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{1}\left\{\xi_{N 1}(W) \leq s-\eta_{N}\right\} \leq Q_{1}(W, s) \leq \mathbf{1}\left\{\xi_{N 1}(W) \leq s+\eta_{N}\right\} \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that if we choose $\eta_{N}=(\log N)^{-1 / 4}$, then $\left\|G_{s}^{(j)}\right\|_{\infty} \lesssim\left(\tau_{N} \eta_{N}\right)^{-j} \lesssim(\log N)^{-j / 4}$. Then proposition $6.3(1)$ implies that $Q_{1}(\cdot, s)$ satisfy condition F with $\delta_{1 N}=(\log N)^{-1 / 4}$.

Convergence of the Gaussian versions $\left(\xi_{1 N}, \xi_{2 N}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { d }} \mathcal{N}(0,1) \times T W_{2 / \alpha}$ has been established in section 5.5. Hence, the conclusion for $\left(\xi_{1 N}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right), \xi_{2 N}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ follows now from equations (6.18), (6.19) and proposition 6.4.

As for the spiked case, eq. (95) of JKOP22] shows that $\xi_{1 N}\left(W_{J, N}^{\prime}\right)=\xi_{1 N}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right)+o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$ for a fixed $J \in(0,1)$. Moreover, thanks to the stickiness property of Proposition 6.5, we also have $\xi_{2 N}\left(W_{J, N}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\xi_{2 N}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}\right)+o_{\mathbf{P}}(1)$. Therefore, the limiting distribution of $\left(\xi_{1 N}\left(W_{J, N}^{\prime}\right), \xi_{2 N}\left(W_{J, N}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ does not change as long as the spike is sub-critical.

## Appendix A. Technical appendix

A.1. Remarks on contour representation (2.1). We refer to the proof given in BL16, Lemma 1.3]. The two cases $\alpha=1,2$ may be obtained together by a suitable rephrasing. Indeed, write normalized measure on $S^{n-1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\|x\|=1\right\}$ as $d \Omega /\left|S^{n-1}\right|$. By diagonalizing $W_{J, N}$ and changing variables, the real and complex partition functions are respectively

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{I}_{2, J, N}=\frac{1}{\left|S^{N-1}\right|} \int_{S^{N-1}} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{2} N \beta \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i} x_{i}^{2}\right\} d \Omega \\
& \mathcal{I}_{1, J, N}=\frac{1}{\left|S^{2 N-1}\right|} \int_{S^{2 N-1}} \exp \left\{N \beta \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_{i}\left(x_{2 i-1}^{2}+x_{2 i}^{2}\right)\right\} d \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Replacing $\beta, N, \lambda$ in BL16 Lemma 1.3 by placeholders $\check{\beta}, n, \mu$, and noting their equations (4.2), (4.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\left|S^{n-1}\right|} \int_{S^{n-1}} e^{n \check{\beta} \sum_{1}^{n} \mu_{i} x_{i}^{2}} d \Omega=C_{n} \int_{\gamma-\mathrm{i} \infty}^{\gamma+\mathrm{i} \infty} e^{\frac{n}{2} G(z)} d z, \quad \gamma>\mu_{1} \\
& G(z)=2 \check{\beta} z-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{1}^{n} \log \left(z-\mu_{i}\right), \quad C_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \frac{\Gamma(n / 2)}{(n \check{\beta})^{n / 2-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

To recover our (2.1), set $\check{\beta}=\beta / 2$. In the real case, put $n=N$ so that $n \check{\beta}=N \beta / 2$. In the complex case, set $n=2 N$ (so that $n \check{\beta}=N \beta)$ and $\mu_{2 i-1}=\mu_{2 i}=\lambda_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$.

Remark: from this it seems that the exponent in the right side of the display before [BL16, (4.11)] should read $N G_{H}(z)$ and not $\frac{N}{2} G_{H}(z)$.
A.2. Check of (5.3). This follows from results of Tracy and Widom on representation and scaling of the GUE kernel. In the notation of TW96], $S_{N}(x, y)$ denotes the kernel of the GUE scaled to have bulk $[-\sqrt{2 N}, \sqrt{2 N}]$. The kernel is expressed in terms of Hermite functions $\varphi_{k}(x)=\left(\sqrt{\sqrt{\pi} 2^{k} k!}\right)^{-1} e^{-x^{2} / 2} H_{k}(x)$, with $H_{k}(x)$ being the Hermite polynomials w.r.t. to the weight $e^{-x^{2}}$. Let $\tau_{N}=2^{-1 / 2} N^{-1 / 6}$. TW96] note that in the scaling

$$
\phi_{\tau}(s)=(N / 2)^{1 / 4} \varphi_{N}\left(\sqrt{2 N}+\tau_{N} s\right) \quad \psi_{\tau}(s)=(N / 2)^{1 / 4} \varphi_{N-1}\left(\sqrt{2 N}+\tau_{N} s\right)
$$

the classical Plancherel-Rotach asymptotics for Hermite polynomials, e.g. [Sze67, eq. (8.22.14)], yields convergence of $N^{-1 / 6} \phi_{\tau}(s)$ and $N^{-1 / 6} \psi_{\tau}(s)$ to the Airy function $\mathrm{Ai}(s)$, and of most relevance here, with estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{-1 / 6} \phi_{\tau}(s), \quad N^{-1 / 6} \psi_{\tau}(s)=O\left(e^{-s}\right) \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $N$ and for $s$ bounded below, see also Olv74, p. 403]. TW96] also give an integral representation of $S_{N}$, which in the scaling $S_{\tau}(s, t)=\tau_{N} S_{N}\left(\sqrt{2 N}+\tau_{N} s, \sqrt{2 N}+\tau_{N} t\right)$ becomes

$$
S_{\tau}(s, s)=N^{-1 / 3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi_{\tau}(s+u) \psi_{\tau}(s+u) \mathrm{d} u \leq C(\gamma) e^{-2 s}
$$

for $s \geq \gamma$ in view of (A.1). In our notation, with $x=2+N^{-2 / 3} s$, we have $\sqrt{N / 2} x=\sqrt{2 N}+\tau_{N} s$ and so

$$
\rho_{N}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 N}} S_{N}(\sqrt{N / 2} x, \sqrt{N / 2} x)=\frac{1}{\tau_{N} \sqrt{2 N}} S_{\tau}(s, s)
$$

Noting that $\tau_{N} \sqrt{2 N}=N^{1 / 3}$, we recover (5.3).
A.3. Proof of lemma 5.3, Recall the tridiagonal form of the matrix $M_{N}$ (5.19). Denote $a_{i} / \sqrt{N}$ and $b_{i} / \sqrt{N}$ as $\tilde{a}_{i}$ and $\tilde{b}_{i}$, respectively. Since the main eigenvector $v$ satisfies $M_{N} v=\lambda_{1} v$, we have

$$
v_{1}\left(\tilde{a}_{1}-\lambda_{1}\right)+\tilde{b}_{1} v_{2}=0, \quad v_{i-1} \tilde{b}_{i-1}+v_{i}\left(\tilde{a}_{i}-\lambda_{1}\right)+v_{i+1} \tilde{b}_{i}=0
$$

for all $i=2, \ldots, N-1$. On the event $\left\{\tilde{b}_{i}>0, i=1, \ldots, N-1\right\}$, which happens with probability 1 , we have $v_{1} \neq 0$, since otherwise all $v_{i}=0$. Hence, we can consider a re-normalization with $v_{1}=1$ (so that the statement of lemma 5.3 should be re-formulated with $v_{i} /\|v\|$ replacing $v_{i}$ ). We have then

$$
v_{2}=\frac{\lambda_{1}-\tilde{a}_{1}}{\tilde{b}_{1}}, \quad v_{i+1}=\frac{\lambda_{1}-\tilde{a}_{i}}{\tilde{b}_{i}} v_{i}-\frac{\tilde{b}_{i-1}}{\tilde{b}_{i}} v_{i-1}, \quad i \geq 2
$$

It will be convenient to reformulate the recursion in terms of new variables. Set,

$$
u_{i}=\frac{v_{i}}{\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \tilde{r}_{j} \tilde{b}_{j}^{-1}}, \quad \tilde{r}_{j}=1+\sqrt{1-\frac{j-1}{N}}
$$

Notice that $\tilde{r}_{j}$ can be thought of as a special case of $r_{j}$ (see definition (5.21)) with $\theta_{N}=1$. Since $\tilde{r}_{1}=2$, we have

$$
u_{1}=1, \quad u_{2}=\frac{\frac{\lambda_{1}-\tilde{a}_{1}}{\tilde{b}_{1}}}{\tilde{r}_{1} \tilde{b}_{1}^{-1}}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}-\frac{\tilde{a}_{1}}{2}=1+O_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

and for $i=2, \ldots, N-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i+1}=\frac{\lambda_{1}-\tilde{a}_{i}}{\tilde{r}_{i}} u_{i}-\frac{\tilde{b}_{i-1}^{2}}{\tilde{r}_{i-1} \tilde{r}_{i}} u_{i-1} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next lemma we control the fluctuations of ratios $u_{i+1} / u_{i}$. Denote, $R_{i}=u_{i+1} / u_{i}-1$.
Lemma A.1. We have

$$
\max _{i \leq N-N^{1 / 3} \log ^{3} N}\left|R_{i}\right|=o_{\mathbf{P}}\left(N^{-1 / 3}\right) .
$$

The proof of this bound repeats one step in the proof of the log-determinant CLT from [JKOP22]. For the sake of completeness, we reproduce it in section A.3.1 below. We are now ready to finish the proof of lemma 5.3 .

We will show our bound on the following event,

$$
\mathcal{E}=\left\{\max _{i \leq N-N^{1 / 3} \log ^{3} N}\left|R_{i}\right|=o\left(N^{-1 / 3}\right), \quad \max _{i \leq N} \tilde{b}_{i} \leq 1+2 \sqrt{\frac{\log N}{N}}\right\}
$$

where the bound on $\max \tilde{b}_{i}$ holds a.a.s. due to the concentration of chi-squared variables BLM13, theorem 2.3]. Namely, we show that on the event $\mathcal{E}$ for any $D>0$,

$$
\max _{i \leq N-2 N^{1 / 3} \log ^{3} N}\left|\frac{v_{i}}{v_{i+k}}\right|=O\left(N^{-D}\right), \quad k=\left\lceil N^{1 / 3}\right\rceil
$$

which immediately yields the statement of lemma 5.3 by noting that $\left|v_{i}\right| /\|v\| \leq\left|v_{i} / v_{i+k}\right|$.
First, we have that $\frac{u_{i}}{u_{i+k}}=\prod_{j=i}^{i+k-1}\left(1+R_{j}\right)^{-1}=1+o(1)$ for all $i \leq N-2 N^{1 / 3} \log ^{3} N$. Then, we have a bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{v_{i}}{v_{i+k}}\right|=\left|\frac{u_{i}}{u_{i+k}}\right| \prod_{j=i}^{i+k-1} \frac{b_{j}}{\tilde{r}_{j}} \leq(1+o(1)) \prod_{j=i}^{i+k-1} \frac{1+2 \sqrt{\frac{\log N}{N}}}{\tilde{r}_{j}} . \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each $j$ in the range $[i, i+k-1]$ satisfies $j \leq N-N^{1 / 3} \log ^{3} N$, where we have a lower-bound

$$
\tilde{r}_{j}=1+\sqrt{1-\frac{j-1}{N}} \geq 1+\sqrt{N^{-2 / 3} \log ^{3} N}=1+N^{-1 / 3} \log ^{3 / 2} N
$$

It remains to plug this bound into eq. (A.3), so we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{v_{i}}{v_{i+k}}\right| & \leq(1+o(1))\left(\frac{1+2 \sqrt{N^{-1} \log N}}{1+N^{-1 / 3} \log ^{3 / 2} N}\right)^{k}=(1+o(1))\left(1-N^{-1 / 3} \log ^{3 / 2} N+o\left(N^{-1 / 3}\right)\right)^{k} \\
& =e^{-\log ^{3 / 2} N+o(1)}=N^{-\log ^{1 / 2} N+o(1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is smaller than any $N^{-D}$ for large enough $N$. This completes the proof of lemma 5.3.
A.3.1. Proof of Lemma A.1. Let $\tilde{c}_{i}=c_{i} / \sqrt{N}=\left(b_{i}^{2}-i\right) / \sqrt{N i}$. We rewrite the recurrence (A.2) as follows,

$$
R_{i}=-1+\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\tilde{r}_{i}}-\frac{\tilde{a}_{i}}{\tilde{r}_{i}}-\frac{i-1}{N \tilde{r}_{i-1} \tilde{r}_{i}} \frac{1}{1+R_{i-1}}-\frac{\sqrt{\frac{i-1}{N}}}{\tilde{r}_{i-1} \tilde{r}_{i}} \frac{\tilde{c}_{i-1}}{1+R_{i-1}}
$$

Denoting $\tilde{m}_{i}=1-\sqrt{1-(i-1) / N}$ we have $\tilde{m}_{i}=2-\tilde{r}_{i}$ and $\tilde{m}_{i} \tilde{r}_{i}=\frac{i-1}{N}$. Using $\frac{1}{1+R_{i}}=1-R_{i}+\frac{R_{i}^{2}}{1+R_{i}}$, we have a linear expansion

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{i} & =-1+\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\tilde{r}_{i}}-\frac{\tilde{a}_{i}}{\tilde{r}_{i}}-\frac{\tilde{m}_{i}}{\tilde{r}_{i-1}}\left(1-R_{i-1}\right)-\frac{\tilde{m}_{i}}{\tilde{r}_{i-1}} \frac{R_{i-1}^{2}}{1+R_{i-1}}-\frac{\sqrt{\frac{i-1}{N}}}{\tilde{r}_{i-1} \tilde{r}_{i}} \frac{\tilde{c}_{i-1}}{1+R_{i-1}} \\
& =\frac{-\tilde{r}_{i}+\lambda_{1}-\frac{\tilde{r}_{i}}{\tilde{r}_{i-1}} \tilde{m}_{i}}{\tilde{r}_{i}}+\frac{\tilde{m}_{i}}{\tilde{r}_{i-1}} R_{i-1}+\left(-\frac{\tilde{a}_{i}}{\tilde{r}_{i}}-\frac{\sqrt{\frac{i-1}{N}} \tilde{c}_{i-1}}{\tilde{r}_{i-1} \tilde{r}_{i}}\right) \\
& +\left[-\frac{\tilde{m}_{i}}{\tilde{r}_{i-1}} \frac{R_{i-1}^{2}}{1+R_{i-1}}+\frac{\sqrt{\frac{i-1}{N}} \tilde{c}_{i-1}}{\tilde{r}_{i-1} \tilde{r}_{i}} \frac{R_{i-1}}{1+R_{i-1}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We can simplify the above expression as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}=\tilde{\delta}_{i}+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} R_{i-1}+\tilde{\xi}_{i}+\tilde{R}_{i-1}^{(1)} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we introduce the notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\gamma}_{i} & =\frac{\tilde{m}_{i}}{\tilde{r}_{i-1}}, \quad \tilde{\delta}_{i}=\frac{\lambda_{1}-2}{\tilde{r}_{i}}-\frac{\tilde{r}_{i}-\tilde{r}_{i-1}}{\tilde{r}_{i} \tilde{r}_{i-1}} \tilde{m}_{i}, \quad \tilde{\xi}_{i}=-\frac{\tilde{a}_{i}}{\tilde{r}_{i}}-\sqrt{\frac{i-1}{N}} \frac{\tilde{c}_{i-1}}{\tilde{r}_{i-1} \tilde{r}_{i}}, \\
\tilde{R}_{i-1}^{(1)} & =-\frac{\tilde{m}_{i}}{\tilde{r}_{i-1}} \frac{R_{i-1}^{2}}{1+R_{i-1}}+\sqrt{\frac{i-1}{N}} \frac{\tilde{c}_{i-1}}{\tilde{r}_{i-1} \tilde{r}_{i}} \frac{R_{i-1}}{1+R_{i-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We iteratively unpack the recurrence equation (A.4) to get

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{i}= & \tilde{\delta}_{i}+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} R_{i-1}+\tilde{\xi}_{i}+\tilde{R}_{i-1}^{(1)} \\
= & \tilde{\delta}_{i}+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \tilde{\delta}_{i-1}+\tilde{\xi}_{i}+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \tilde{\xi}_{i-1}+\tilde{R}_{i-1}^{(1)}+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \tilde{R}_{i-2}^{(1)} \\
= & \ldots \\
= & \tilde{\delta}_{i}+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \tilde{\delta}_{i-1}+\cdots+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \ldots \tilde{\gamma}_{3} \tilde{\delta}_{2}  \tag{A.5}\\
& +\tilde{\xi}_{i}+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \tilde{\xi}_{i-1}+\cdots+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \ldots \tilde{\gamma}_{3} \tilde{\xi}_{2} \\
& +\tilde{R}_{i-1}^{(1)}+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \tilde{R}_{i-2}^{(1)}+\cdots+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \ldots \tilde{\gamma}_{3} \tilde{R}_{1}^{(1)} \\
& +\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \ldots \tilde{\gamma}_{2} R_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\tilde{\gamma}_{i}<1$ is an increasing sequence, we have

$$
1+\tilde{\gamma}_{i}+\cdots+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \ldots \tilde{\gamma}_{3} \leq \frac{1}{1-\tilde{\gamma}_{i}}=\frac{\tilde{r}_{i-1}}{\tilde{r}_{i-1}-\tilde{m}_{i}}<\frac{2}{1-\tilde{r}_{i}}
$$

Similarly to lemma 10 in JKOP22], we see that $\tilde{\xi}_{i}+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \tilde{\xi}_{i-1}+\cdots+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \ldots \tilde{\gamma}_{3} \tilde{\xi}_{2}$ are sub-gamma random variables, which implies that for some constant $K_{1}>0$, with probability at least $1-1 / N$, uniformly over $i=2, \ldots, N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{\xi}_{i}+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \tilde{\xi}_{i-1}+\cdots+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \ldots \tilde{\gamma}_{3} \tilde{\xi}_{2}\right| \leq K_{1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log N}{N\left(\tilde{r}_{i}-1\right)}}+\frac{\log N}{N}\right) \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have

$$
\left|\tilde{\delta}_{i}\right| \leq\left|\lambda_{1}-2\right|+\left(\sqrt{1-\frac{i-2}{N}}-\sqrt{1-\frac{i-1}{N}}\right)\left(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{i-1}{N}}\right)
$$

$$
\leq\left|\lambda_{1}-2\right|+\frac{1}{N} \frac{\frac{i-1}{N}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{i-1}{N}}}
$$

The function $\frac{x}{\sqrt{1-x}}$ has the derivative $\frac{2-x}{2(1-x)^{3 / 2}}$ and therefore is increasing on $(0,1)$. Thus, we have for all $i \leq N-N^{1 / 3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{\delta}_{i}\right| \leq\left|2-\lambda_{1}\right|+N^{-2 / 3} \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, since $\tilde{c}_{i}$ is a centered and scaled $\chi^{2}$ random variable, we have for some constant $K_{2}>0$ with probability at least $1-1 / N$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{i}\left|\tilde{c}_{i}\right| \leq K_{2} \frac{\log N}{\sqrt{N}} \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, $R_{1}=\frac{\lambda_{1}-2}{2}-\frac{\tilde{a}_{1}}{2}$, hence for some $K_{3}>0$ with probability at least $1-1 / N$, we have for all $i$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\gamma_{i} \ldots \gamma_{2} R_{1}\right| \leq K_{3} \frac{\log N}{\sqrt{N}} \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, by the Tracy-Widom law (e.g., theorem 4.5.42 in [AGZ09]) we have that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there is $C_{\varepsilon}$ such that for large enough $N$,

$$
\mathbf{P}\left(\left|\lambda_{1}-2\right|>C_{\varepsilon} N^{-2 / 3}\right) \leq \varepsilon
$$

Now, consider the event

$$
\mathcal{E}(\varepsilon)=\left\{\left|\lambda_{1}-2\right| \leq C_{\varepsilon / 2} N^{-2 / 3} \text { and (A.6), (A.8), (A.9) hold }\right\}
$$

so that for large enough $N, \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}(\varepsilon)) \geq 1-\varepsilon$. We will show by induction that on this event, for all $i \leq N-N^{1 / 3} \log ^{3} N$,

$$
\left|R_{i}\right| \leq \frac{N^{-1 / 3}}{\log ^{1 / 5} N}
$$

The base holds due to (A.9). Suppose that $\max _{j \leq i-1}\left|R_{i}\right| \leq \frac{N^{-1 / 3}}{\log ^{1 / 5} N}$, which is at most $1 / 2$ for large enough $N$. Then, by (A.8) we have for $j \leq i-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\tilde{R}_{j}^{(1)}\right| & \leq 2 \frac{N^{-2 / 3}}{\log ^{2 / 5} N}+\frac{2 K_{2} \log N}{\sqrt{N}} \times \frac{N^{-1 / 3}}{\log ^{1 / 5} N}=\frac{N^{-2 / 3}}{\log ^{2 / 5} N}\left(2+2 K_{2} \frac{\log ^{6 / 5} N}{N^{1 / 6}}\right) \\
& \leq 3 \frac{N^{-2 / 3}}{\log ^{2 / 5} N}
\end{aligned}
$$

for large enough $N$. Further, for all $i \leq N-N^{1 / 3} \log ^{3} N$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\tilde{r}_{i}-1} \leq \frac{N^{1 / 3}}{\log ^{3 / 2} N}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\tilde{R}_{i-1}^{(1)}+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \tilde{R}_{i-2}^{(1)}+\cdots+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \ldots \tilde{\gamma}_{3} \tilde{R}_{1}^{(1)}\right| & \leq \frac{2}{\tilde{r}_{i}-1} \times 3 N^{-2 / 3} \log ^{-2 / 5} N \\
& \leq \frac{6}{\log ^{3 / 2} N} \frac{N^{-1 / 3}}{\log ^{1 / 5} N}
\end{aligned}
$$

By (A.7) and $\left|\lambda_{1}-2\right| \leq N^{-2 / 3} \log ^{2 / 3} N$ we have for all $i=3, \ldots, N$,

$$
\tilde{\delta}_{i}+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \tilde{\delta}_{i-1}+\cdots+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \ldots \tilde{\gamma}_{3} \tilde{\delta}_{2} \leq \frac{4}{\tilde{r}_{i}-1} N^{-2 / 3} \log ^{2 / 3} N \leq \frac{4}{\log ^{19 / 30} N} \frac{N^{-1 / 3}}{\log ^{1 / 5} N}
$$

Finally, from (A.6) we get for all $i=1, \ldots, N-N^{1 / 3} \log ^{3} N$,

$$
\left|\tilde{\xi}_{i}+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \tilde{\xi}_{i-1}+\cdots+\tilde{\gamma}_{i} \ldots \tilde{\gamma}_{3} \tilde{\xi}_{2}\right| \leq K_{1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log N}{N\left(\tilde{r}_{i}-1\right)}}+\frac{\log N}{N}\right) \leq 2 K_{1} \frac{N^{-1 / 3}}{\log ^{1 / 4} N}
$$

From decomposition (A.5), we therefore obtain for large enough $N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R_{i}\right| & \leq \frac{N^{-1 / 3}}{\log ^{1 / 5} N}\left(\frac{4}{\log ^{19 / 30} N}+\frac{2 K_{1}}{\log ^{1 / 20} N}+\frac{6}{\log ^{3 / 2} N}+K_{3} \frac{\log ^{6 / 5} N}{N^{1 / 6}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{N^{-1 / 3}}{\log ^{1 / 5} N}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the induction step, and the lemma follows.
A.4. Proof of proposition 6.5. The proof is a direct consequence of the following isotropic local law and isotropic delocalization results due to Knowles and Yin [KY13]:

Proposition A.2. Let $W_{N}^{\prime}$ be a Wigner matrix satisfying assumption $W$. Let $R(z)=\left(W_{N}^{\prime}-z I\right)^{-1}$ and, for any $\tau>0$, let

$$
\mathbf{S}(\tau)=\left\{z:=E+\mathrm{i} \eta:|E|<\tau^{-1}, N^{-1+\tau} \leq \eta \leq \tau^{-1}\right\}
$$

We have:
(i) (isotropic local law) Fix $\tau>0$. Then for each $\varepsilon>0$, we have w.o.p.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}^{*} R(z) \mathbf{w}=m_{S C}(z) \mathbf{v}^{*} \mathbf{w}+O\left(N^{\varepsilon} \Psi(z)\right), \quad \Psi(z):=\sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{Im} m_{S C}(z)}{N \eta}}+\frac{1}{N \eta} \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $z \in \mathbf{S}(\tau)$ and for any two deterministic vectors $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ of unit Euclidean length in $\mathbb{C}^{N}$.
(ii) (isotropic delocalization) Let $\mathbf{u}^{(j)}$ be the $j$-th principal normalized eigenvector of $W_{N}^{\prime}$. Then, for each $\varepsilon>0$, we have w.o.p.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{j}\left|\mathbf{v}^{*} \mathbf{u}^{(j)}\right|^{2}=O\left(N^{\varepsilon-1}\right) \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for normalized deterministic vectors $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^{N}$.
Knowles and Yin's isotropic local law and isotropic delocalization results require matching second moments on the diagonal of $W_{N}^{\prime}$. They also use a slightly different definition of Wigner matrices from ours. A modification of their proof, accommodating our setting, is given in section B. 3 of [JKOP22].

As explained in [KY13], the isotropic local law can be strengthened "outside the spectrum" as follows (a proof is almost identical to the proof of theorem 2.3 of KY13], so we omit it):

Proposition A.3. Fix $\Sigma \geq 3$ and let $z=E+\mathrm{i} \eta$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, any

$$
E \in\left[-\Sigma,-2-N^{-2 / 3+\varepsilon}\right] \cup\left[2+N^{-2 / 3+\varepsilon}, \Sigma\right]
$$

any $\eta \in(0, \Sigma]$, and any deterministic vectors $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ of unit Euclidean length in $\mathbb{C}^{N}$ we have w.o.p.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}^{*} R(z) \mathbf{w}=m_{S C}(z) \mathbf{v}^{*} \mathbf{w}+O\left(N^{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{Im} m_{S C}(z)}{N \eta}}\right) \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove proposition 6.5, we copy part of the argument of KY13, theorem 6.3], with tweaks in order to replace $\zeta$-high probability statements by stochastic domination, and to extend the method to the top $k$ eigenvalues $\left\{\lambda_{j}\right\}_{1}^{k}$ in place of $\lambda_{1}$. Recall that $\lambda$ is the eigenvalue of $W_{J, N}^{\prime}$ iff $\operatorname{det}\left(W_{J, N}^{\prime}-\lambda\right)=0$. For $\lambda \notin\left\{\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mu_{N}^{\prime}\right\}$,

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(W_{J, N}^{\prime}-\lambda\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}-\lambda+J \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^{*}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}-\lambda\right)\left(1+J \mathbf{v}^{*}\left(W_{N}^{\prime}-\lambda\right)^{-1} \mathbf{v}\right)
$$

Hence $\lambda \in\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right\}$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{v}^{*} R(\lambda) \mathbf{v}=-1 / J$.
Fix $\varepsilon>0$ and let $x=2+N^{-2 / 3+\varepsilon}$. By KY13, lemma 3.2],

$$
\frac{\operatorname{Im} m_{S C}(x+\mathrm{i} \eta)}{\eta} \asymp\left(N^{-2 / 3+\varepsilon}+\eta\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

Therefore, from the isotropic law outside the spectrum A.12), we have w.o.p. that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}^{*} R(x) \mathbf{v}=m_{S C}(x)+O\left(N^{-1 / 3+3 \varepsilon / 4}\right) \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

while from semicircle law estimates [KY13, (3.3)] we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+m_{S C}(x) \asymp N^{-1 / 3+\varepsilon / 2}, \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together yield $1+\mathbf{v}^{*} R(x) \mathbf{v} \geq 1-1 / J$ for sufficiently large $N$. Since $y \rightarrow \mathbf{v}^{*} R(y) \mathbf{v}$ is increasing in $\left(\mu_{1}^{\prime}, \infty\right)$ and $\mu_{1}^{\prime} \leq x$ w.o.p., it follows that $\mu_{1}^{\prime} \leq \lambda_{1} \leq x$.

Suppose that $j \leq N^{\varepsilon}$ and let $q=2 N^{\varepsilon}$, and let us split the projected resolvent into 'edge' and 'bulk' components:

$$
\mathbf{v}^{*} R(\lambda) \mathbf{v}=\left(\sum_{\alpha \leq q}+\sum_{\alpha>q}\right) \frac{\left|v_{\alpha}\right|^{2}}{\mu_{\alpha}^{\prime}-\lambda}=R_{\mathbf{v v}}^{\mathrm{e}}(\lambda)+R_{\mathbf{v v}}^{\mathrm{b}}(\lambda)
$$

We first show that the bulk part satisfies $R_{\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{b}}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) \approx-1$. To do so, we compare it to $\mathbf{v}^{*} R(x) \mathbf{v} \approx-1$ and show that the bulk components $R_{\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)$ and $R_{\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}}^{\mathrm{b}}(x)$ are close. Indeed, w.o.p.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|R_{\mathbf{v v}}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)-R_{\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}}^{\mathrm{b}}(x)\right| & \leq C N^{-2 / 3+\varepsilon} \sum_{\alpha>q} \frac{\left|v_{\alpha}\right|^{2}}{\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{\prime}-\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}} \\
& \leq C N^{-2 / 3+2 \varepsilon}\left[\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{2^{k} N^{-1}}{\left(2^{2 k / 3} N^{-2 / 3}\right)^{2}}+1\right] \\
& \leq C N^{-1 / 3+2 \varepsilon} \tag{A.15}
\end{align*}
$$

In the first inequality, we used $\lambda_{j}-\mu_{\alpha}^{\prime} \leq x-\mu_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ and $\left|\lambda_{j}-x\right| \leq N^{-2 / 3+\varepsilon}$ w.o.p., where the latter fact follows from the eigenvalue rigidity (theorem 2.9 of [BK18]) and the interlacing theorem. In the second we estimated the contribution of the eigenvalues $\alpha \leq N / 2$ using the dyadic decomposition into the sets

$$
U_{k}:=\left\{\alpha \in[q, N / 2]: 2^{k} \leq \alpha \leq 2^{k+1}\right\}
$$

combined with eigenvalue rigidity and the delocalization estimate (A.11).
From eigenvalue rigidity and the estimate of the typical eigenvalue location

$$
2-\gamma_{\alpha} \asymp(\alpha / N)^{2 / 3} \quad \text { for } \quad \alpha \leq N / 2
$$

we have for $\alpha \leq q$ that $x-\mu_{\alpha}^{\prime} \asymp N^{-2 / 3}\left(N^{\varepsilon}+\alpha^{2 / 3}+\alpha^{-1 / 3}\right) \asymp N^{-2 / 3+\varepsilon}$, and so using also delocalization, we have w.o.p.

$$
\left|R_{\mathbf{v v}}^{\mathrm{e}}(x)\right| \leq \sum_{\alpha \leq q} \frac{\left|v_{\alpha}\right|^{2}}{\left|x-\mu_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right|} \lesssim \frac{N^{\varepsilon}}{N} \frac{q}{N^{-2 / 3+\varepsilon}}=2 N^{-1 / 3+\varepsilon}
$$

Combining (A.15) with the previous display and then with A.13) and (A.14), we get

$$
R_{\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)=R_{\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}}^{\mathrm{b}}(x)+O\left(N^{-1 / 3+2 \varepsilon}\right)=\mathbf{v}^{*} R(x) \mathbf{v}+O\left(N^{-1 / 3+2 \varepsilon}\right)=-1+O\left(N^{-1 / 3+2 \varepsilon}\right)
$$

Consequently,

$$
R_{\mathbf{v v}}^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)=-1 / J-R_{\mathbf{v v}}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)=1-1 / J+O\left(N^{-1 / 3+2 \varepsilon}\right)
$$

Since $\mu_{j}^{\prime} \leq \lambda_{j}<\mu_{j-1}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ with $\left.\lambda_{0}^{\prime}=+\infty\right)$,

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}-\mu_{j}^{\prime}} \sum_{\alpha=j}^{q}\left|v_{\alpha}\right|^{2} \geq \sum_{\alpha=j}^{q} \frac{\left|v_{\alpha}\right|^{2}}{\lambda_{j}-\mu_{\alpha}^{\prime}} \geq-R_{\mathbf{v v}}^{\mathrm{e}}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{J}-1+O\left(N^{-1 / 3+2 \varepsilon}\right)
$$

Since delocalization implies $\sum_{j}^{q}\left|v_{\alpha}\right|^{2} \leq q N^{-1+\varepsilon} \leq 2 N^{-1+2 \varepsilon}$, we find that w.o.p.

$$
\lambda_{j}-\mu_{j}^{\prime} \lesssim \frac{J}{1-J} N^{-1+2 \varepsilon}
$$

from which the result follows.
A.5. Proof of equation (6.6). Equation (6.6) follows from lemma 17.3 of EY17] under a somewhat different definition of Wigner matrices used in that book. Here we prove (6.6), along the lines of the proof of lemma 17.3 (that, in turn, is based on the proof of lemma 6.1 in [EYY12]), accommodating our definition 2.1.

Recall that $E_{\infty}=2+2 N^{-2 / 3+\varepsilon}$. Define $\chi_{E}(x):=\mathbf{1}_{\left[E, E_{\infty}\right]}(x)$ and note that $\mathcal{N}_{W}\left(E, E_{\infty}\right)=\operatorname{tr} \chi_{E}(W)$, where the left hand side denotes the number of eigenvalues of $W$ in $\left[E, E_{\infty}\right]$. Now, our definition of Wigner matrices coincides with that of BK18]. By theorem 2.9 (rigidity of eigenvalues) of that paper, we have w.o.p.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{W}(E, \infty)=\mathcal{N}_{W}\left(E, E_{\infty}\right)=\operatorname{tr} \chi_{E}(W) \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a Wigner matrix $W$.
Next, let us approximate $\operatorname{tr} \chi_{E}(W)$ by its smoothed version $\operatorname{tr}\left[\chi_{E} \star \theta_{\eta}\right](W)$, where $\theta_{\eta}(x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\eta}{x^{2}+\eta^{2}}$. Notice

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left[\chi_{E} \star \theta_{\eta}\right](W)=\frac{N}{\pi} \int_{E}^{E_{\infty}} \operatorname{Im} s_{W}(y+\mathrm{i} \eta) \mathrm{d} y=g(W, E) \tag{A.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $d=d(x):=|x-E|+\eta, d_{\infty}=d_{\infty}(x):=\left|x-E_{\infty}\right|+\eta, \ell_{1}:=N^{-2 / 3-3 \varepsilon}$, and $\eta=N^{-2 / 3-9 \varepsilon}$. Then elementary calculations yield (see discussion in EYY12] between equations (6.9) and (6.11)), for any $E$ such that $|E-2| \leq \frac{3}{2} N^{-2 / 3+\varepsilon}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{tr} \chi_{E}(W)-\operatorname{tr}\left[\chi_{E} \star \theta_{\eta}\right](W)\right| \leq C\left(\operatorname{tr} f(W)+\frac{\eta}{\ell_{1}} \mathcal{N}\left(E, E_{\infty}\right)+\mathcal{N}\left(E-\ell_{1}, E+\ell_{1}\right)+\mathcal{N}\left(E_{\infty}-\ell_{1}, \infty\right)\right) \tag{A.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C>0$, where

$$
f(x):=\frac{\eta\left(E_{\infty}-E\right)}{d_{\infty}(x) d(x)} \mathbf{1}\left\{x \leq E-\ell_{1}\right\}
$$

Now note that if $y$ is such that

$$
N \int_{y}^{2} p_{S C}(x) \mathrm{d} x=N^{2 \varepsilon}
$$

then $2-y \gtrsim N^{-2 / 3+4 \varepsilon / 3}>2-E$. Therefore, the eigenvalue rigidity (theorem 2.9 of [BK18]) yields

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(E, E_{\infty}\right) \leq N^{2 \varepsilon} \text { w.o.p. }
$$

The same rigidity result implies that

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(E_{\infty}-\ell_{1}, \infty\right)=0 \text { w.o.p. }
$$

Using the latter two displays in A.18), we obtain w.o.p.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{tr} \chi_{E}(W)-\operatorname{tr}\left[\chi_{E} \star \theta_{\eta}\right](W)\right| \leq C\left(\operatorname{tr} f(W)+\mathcal{N}\left(E-\ell_{1}, E+\ell_{1}\right)+N^{-4 \varepsilon}\right) \tag{A.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $W$ is a Wigner matrix.
Next, the arguments of EYY12] which lead them to their equation (6.17) yield in our case

$$
\operatorname{tr} f(W) \leq C N \eta\left(E_{\infty}-E\right) \int \frac{1}{y^{2}+\ell_{1}^{2}} \operatorname{Im} s_{W}\left(E-y+\mathrm{i} \ell_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} y
$$

On the other hand, by the local law for Wigner matrices (theorem 2.6 of [BK18]),

$$
\operatorname{Im} s_{W}\left(E-y+\mathrm{i} \ell_{1}\right) \leq \operatorname{Im} m_{S C}\left(E-y+\mathrm{i} \ell_{1}\right)+\frac{N^{\varepsilon} / 2}{N \ell_{1}}
$$

w.o.p. uniformly for $|E-y|$ bounded by any large constant. Since w.o.p.

$$
\sup _{|y|>10}\left|s_{W}\left(E-y+\mathrm{i} \ell_{1}\right)\right|=O(1) \quad \text { and } \quad \sup _{|y|>10}\left|m_{S C}\left(E-y+\mathrm{i} \ell_{1}\right)\right|=O(1)
$$

while $N \eta\left(E_{\infty}-E\right)<N^{-1 / 3}$ for sufficiently large $N$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} f(W) \leq C N \eta\left(E_{\infty}-E\right) \int \frac{1}{y^{2}+\ell_{1}^{2}}\left[\operatorname{Im} m_{S C}\left(E-y+\mathrm{i} \ell_{1}\right)+\frac{N^{\varepsilon / 2}}{N \ell_{1}}\right] \mathrm{d} y+C N^{-1 / 3} \tag{A.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

w.o.p.

Clearly,

$$
\int \frac{1}{y^{2}+\ell_{1}^{2}} \frac{1}{\ell_{1}} \mathrm{~d} y \leq \frac{C}{\ell_{1}^{2}}
$$

Using this in A.20, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{tr} f(W) \leq C N \eta\left(E_{\infty}-E\right) \int \frac{1}{y^{2}+\ell_{1}^{2}} \operatorname{Im} m_{S C}\left(E-y+\mathrm{i} \ell_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} y+C N^{-3 \varepsilon / 2}
$$

The arguments of the proof of lemma 6.1 in [EYY12] imply that the first term on the right hand side of the latter inequality is bounded by $C N^{-2 \varepsilon}$. Hence overall,

$$
\operatorname{tr} f(W) \leq C N^{-3 \varepsilon / 2}
$$

w.o.p. Recalling A.19), we obtain, w.o.p.

$$
\left|\operatorname{tr} \chi_{E}(W)-\operatorname{tr}\left[\chi_{E} \star \theta_{\eta}\right](W)\right| \leq C\left(N^{-3 \varepsilon / 2}+\mathcal{N}\left(E-\ell_{1}, E+\ell_{1}\right)\right)
$$

Next, let $\ell=\frac{1}{2} N^{-2 / 3-\varepsilon}$. Similarly to the proof of corollary 6.2 in EYY12], we obtain, for any $E$ such that $|E-2| \leq N^{-2 / 3+\varepsilon}$ w.o.p.

$$
\operatorname{tr} \chi_{E}(W) \leq \operatorname{tr} \chi_{E-\ell} \star \theta_{\eta}(W)+C N^{-3 \varepsilon / 2}+C \frac{\ell_{1}}{\ell} \mathcal{N}(E-2 \ell, E+\ell)
$$

From the semicircle law on small scales (theorem 2.8 in BK18]), we obtain, w.o.p.

$$
\mathcal{N}(E-2 \ell, E+\ell) \leq N \int_{E-2 \ell}^{E+\ell} p_{S C}(x) \mathrm{d} x+N^{\varepsilon / 2}
$$

Directly evaluating the integral (recalling that $E$ is in the vicinity of 2 ), we obtain

$$
\int_{E-2 \ell}^{E+\ell} p_{S C}(x) \mathrm{d} x \leq C \ell \sqrt{N^{-2 / 3+\varepsilon}} \leq C N^{-1-\varepsilon / 2}
$$

This taken with $\ell_{1} / \ell=2 N^{-2 \varepsilon}$ yield

$$
\frac{\ell_{1}}{\ell} \mathcal{N}(E-2 \ell, E+\ell) \leq C N^{-3 \varepsilon / 2}
$$

and hence, w.o.p.

$$
\operatorname{tr} \chi_{E}(W) \leq \operatorname{tr} \chi_{E-\ell} \star \theta_{\eta}(W)+C N^{-3 \varepsilon / 2}
$$

which, for sufficiently large $N$, implies a cruder inequality

$$
\operatorname{tr} \chi_{E}(W) \leq \operatorname{tr} \chi_{E-\ell} \star \theta_{\eta}(W)+N^{-\varepsilon}
$$

A lower bound can be established similarly. The bounds and equations (A.16), (A.17) yield equation (6.6).

## References

[AGZ09] G. W. Anderson, A. Guionnet, and O. Zeitouni. An Introduction to Random Matrices. Cambridge university press, 2009. DOI: 10.1017/CB09780511801334.
[BK18] F. Benaych-George and A. Knowles. "Lectures on the local semicircle law for Wigner matrices". In: (2018). arXiv: arXiv:1601.04055v4
[BL16] J. Baik and J. O. Lee. "Fluctuations of the Free Energy of the Spherical Sherrington-Kirkpatrick Model". In: Journal of Statistical Physics 165.2 (2016), pp. 185-224. Doi: 10.1007/s10955-016-1610-0. arXiv: 1505.07349 .
[BL17] J. Baik and J. O. Lee. "Fluctuations of the Free Energy of the Spherical Sherrington-Kirkpatrick Model with Ferromagnetic Interaction". In: Annales Henri Poincare 18.6 (2017), pp. 18671917. DOI: $10.1007 / \mathrm{s} 00023-017-0562-5$ arXiv: 1607.01089 .
[BLM13] S. Boucheron, G. Lugosi, and P. Massart. Concentration inequalities: A nonasymptotic theory of independence. Oxford University Press, 2013.
[BLW18] J. Baik, O. J. Lee, and H. Wu. "Ferromagnetic to Paramagnetic Transition in Spherical Spin Glass". In: Journal of Statistical Physics 173 (2018), pp. 1484-1522. DOI:10.1007/s10955-018-2150-6. arXiv: 1805.05630 v 1 .
[BV13] A. Bloemendal and B. Virág. "Limits of spiked random matrices I". In: Probability Theory and Related Fields 156.3-4 (Aug. 2013), pp. 795-825. Doi: 10.1007/s00440-012-0443-2.
[BW99] E. L. Basor and H. Widom. "Determinants of Airy operators and applications to random matrices". In: Journal of Statistical Physics 96.1-2 (1999), pp. 1-20.
[Die05] M. Dieng. "Distribution functions for edge eigenvalues in orthogonal and symplectic ensembles: Painlevé representations". In: Int. Math. Res. Not. 37 (2005), pp. 2263-2287. Doi: 10.1155/IMRN. 2005.2263
[ER05] A. Edelman and N. R. Rao. "Random matrix theory". In: Acta numerica 14 (2005), p. 233.
[EW13] A. Edelman and Y. Wang. "Random Matrix Theory and Its Innovative Applications". In: Advances in Applied Mathematics, Modeling, and Computational Science. Mathematical Sciences Research Institute publications. Vol. 66. Springer, 2013, pp. 91-116.
[EY17] L. Erdős and H.-T. Yau. A dynamical approach to random matrix theory. Vol. 28. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017, pp. ix+226.
[EYY12] L. Erdős, H.-T. Yau, and J. Yin. "Rigidity of eigenvalues of generalized Wigner matrices". In: Advances in Mathematics 229 (2012), pp. 1435-1515.
[FR01] P. J. Forrester and E. M. Rains. "Inter-relationships between orthogonal, unitary and symplectic matrix ensembles". In: Random Matrix Models and Their Applications. Mathematical Sciences Research Institute publications. Vol. 40. Cambridge University Press, 2001, pp. 171207.
[GT05] F. Götze and A. Tikhomirov. "The rate of convergence for spectra of GUE and LUE matrix ensembles". In: Central European Journal of Mathematics 3.4 (2005), pp. 666-704. DOI: 10.2478/bf02475626.
[Gus05] J. Gustavsson. "Gaussian fluctuations of eigenvalues in the GUE". In: Annales de l'institut Henri Poincare (B) Probability and Statistics 41.2 (2005), pp. 151-178. DOI:10.1016/j.anihpb.2004.04.002. arXiv: math/0401076.
[JKOP21] I. M. Johnstone, Y. Klochkov, A. Onatski, and D. Pavlyshyn. Spin glass to paramagnetic transition in Spherical Sherrington-Kirkpatrick model with ferromagnetic interaction. 2021. eprint: $\operatorname{arXiv}: 2104.07629$,
[JKOP22] I. M. Johnstone, Y. Klochkov, A. Onatski, and D. Pavlyshyn. An edge CLT for the log determinant of Gaussian ensembles. 2022. arXiv: 2011.13723v2.
[JO20] I. M. Johnstone and A. Onatski. "Testing in high-dimensional spiked models". In: Annals of Statistics 48.3 (2020), pp. 1231-1254. arXiv: 1509.07269 ,
[KTJ76] J. M. Kosterlitz, D. J. Thouless, and R. C. Jones. "Spherical model of a spin-glass". In: Physical Review Letters 36.20 (1976), pp. 1217-1220. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett. 36.1217 .
[KY13] A. Knowles and J. Yin. "The Isotropic Semicircle Law and Deformation of Wigner Matrices". In: Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics LXVI (2013), pp. 1663-1749.
[Lan20] B. Landon. "Free energy fluctuations of the 2-spin spherical SK model at critical temperature". In: (2020). arXiv: arXiv:2010.06691v1.
[Lan22] B. Landon. "Free energy fluctuations of the two-spin spherical SK model at critical temperature". In: J. Math. Phys. 63.3 (2022), Paper No. 033301, 22. DOI: 10.1063/5.0054298,
[LP20] G. Lambert and E. Paquette. "Strong approximation of Gaussian $\beta$-ensemble characteristic polynomials: the edge regime and the stochastic Airy function". In: (2020). arXiv: arXiv:2009.05003.
[Olv74] F. W. J. Olver. Asymptotics and Special Functions. Academic Press, 1974.
[Ona14] A. Onatski. "Detection of weak signals in high-dimensional complex-valued data". In: Random Matrices: Theory and Application 3.1 (2014), pp. 1-33. DOI: 10.1142/S2010326314500014.
[Sze67] G. Szegő. Orthogonal Polynomials, 3rd edition. American Mathematical Society, 1967.
[Tal06] M. Talagrand. "Free energy of the spherical mean field model". In: Probability Theory and Related Fields 134 (2006), pp. 339-382.
[TV12] T. Tao and V. Vu. "A central limit theorem for the determinant of a Wigner matrix". In: Advances in Mathematics 231.1 (2012), pp. 74-101. arXiv: arXiv:1111.6300v3.
[TW94] C. A. Tracy and H. Widom. "Level-Spacing Distributions and the Airy Kernel". In: Communications in Mathematical Physics 159 (1994), pp. 151-174.
[TW96] C. A. Tracy and H. Widom. "On orthogonal and symplectic matrix ensembles". In: Communications in Mathematical Physics 177 (1996), pp. 727-754.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In these papers, the parameterization is slightly different from ours, so that in their case, the critical threshold is $\beta=1 / 2$ instead of $\beta=1$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The expression $f^{r}(x)$ is short for $(f(x))^{r}$, while $f^{(r)}(x)$ denotes $r$ th order derivative.

