



Utilization of the simulated flue gas on the cultivation of *Chlorella protothecoides*

Cem Özel^a, Muharrem Erdem Boğoçlu^b, Ceren Keçeciler^a, Ecem Kaplan^{a,c} and Sevil Yücel^{a*}

^aFaculty of Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering, Department of Bioengineering, Yıldız Technical University, Turkey

^bFaculty of Mechanical Engineering, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Yıldız Technical University, Turkey

^cFaculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Department of Bioengineering, Uskudar University, Istanbul, Turkey

E-mail: syucel@yildiz.edu.tr, yuce.sevil@gmail.com

Manuscript received online 26 April 2019, revised and accepted 20 July 2019

In recent years, fossil-based fuels have been used to supply the energy needs of the world. Fossil-based fuels induce accumulation of the atmospheric CO₂ which causes global warming. One of CO₂ source is flue gas emission from the power plant. The microalgae have been considered excellent biological materials for reduction CO₂ with ability to photosynthesis. In this study, air, 10% CO₂, 15% CO₂ and simulated flue gas (containing 15% CO₂) feed were used to observe effect CO₂ concentration and flue gas on cell growth and lipid content of *Chlorella protothecoides*. The highest dry cell weight (1,5 g/L) and lipid content (45%) values were obtained with 15% CO₂ feed while the highest growth rate (1,10 μ), biomass productivity (0,125 g/L/day), and lipid weight (0,63 g/g) were observed in 10% CO₂ feed. Cultures fed with flue gas did not inhibited *C. protothecoides* growth and showed similar results with those fed with 15% CO₂ gas in terms of growth rate, dry cell weight, biomass productivity and lipid content. These results showed that *C. protothecoides* has great potential for reducing CO₂ emission from flue gas.

Keywords: *Chlorella protothecoides*, flue gas, CO₂ emission, lipid, microalgae.

Introduction

In the past decades, increasing concentrations of carbon dioxide (CO₂) and other greenhouse gases in the atmosphere lead to global warming¹. CO₂ is one of the main anthropogenic greenhouse gasses. Accumulation of the atmospheric CO₂ result from industry-related consumption such a combustion of fossil-based fuels which are comprising 87% of the total energy consumption to provide World energy demand². One of the sources of CO₂ emission is flue gas which is released power plants. It comprises of more than 7% of total CO₂ emission. Burning of the fossil-based fuels such as coal, oil and natural gas results in the flue gas which emits into the atmosphere¹. It usually consists of the vast percentage of nitrogen, CO₂, water vapour and a small number of air pollutants (in ppm level) such as carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and sulphur oxides (SO_x)³.

In order to diminish CO₂ levels, two major ways can be

applied: the chemical reaction-based and the biological mitigation approaches. The first way has high costly applications, excessively amount of energy consumption, and potential CO₂ leakage over time³. The biological ways are applied through photosynthesis by based on usage of light, an organic substrate such a glucose and an inorganic substrate such a CO₂ (phenomenon is called CO₂ fixation). Besides, photosynthesis not only diminishing CO₂ level in the atmosphere but also promotes biomass biodiesel production³.

Microalgae are microorganisms capable of converting sunlight into chemical energy through photosynthesis like plant do. They grow in wide range of aquatic habitats such as lakes, rivers, oceans, and even wastewater⁴. Microalgae can easily grow and proliferate with some fundamental requirements such as light, temperature, sugar, CO₂, nitrogen. Microalgae were able to grow photoautotrophically, heterotrophically, and mixotrophically. In many studies, photo-

autotrophic growth is used in microalgae cultivation for CO₂ reduction because of low cost and ability to commercialize. Besides, microalgae have much higher growth rate, do not require any fertile land, require less land area than plants. Moreover, microalgae cells accumulate high amount of lipid content with utilization of direct flue gases as inorganic carbon source in form of CO₂^{4,5}.

Microalgae gain tremendous attention for reducing CO₂ in the last years⁶. Especially, species from genus *Chlorella* is most understood species in current research and has been considered promising microorganisms for CO₂ fixation. Numerous studies represent that flue gas could be used as a carbon source for CO₂ fixation and production of microalgae with *Chlorella*, without any adverse effects^{3,6}. In the García-Cubero *et al.* study, *Chlorella vulgaris* has been grown direct flue gas feed. Besides, the amount of lipid and protein are increased with direct flue gas feed³. In the study of Borkenstein *et al.*, it was observed that *Chlorella emersonii* cultivated with flue gas feed grew without any side effects. It even positively affected its growth rate⁷. Microalgae cultivation with flue gas feed is a promising strategy for both reduction of CO₂ and production of the valuable biomass in economical way. As far as we aware, effect of CO₂ and flue gas on cell growth of microalgae *Chlorella protothecoides* has not been studied.

Chlorella protothecoides has a valuable lipid source that chemical composition is quite similar to vegetable oil⁸. The aim of this study was investigation of CO₂ fixation by photoautotrophic growth of microalgae in different concentrations of CO₂ and simulated flue gas for reducing CO₂ in the atmosphere and produce biomass. The growth kinetics, biomass productivity, lipid contents and lipid amount of *C. protothecoides* were analysed.

Experimental

Materials:

Chlorella protothecoides culture was purchased from Ege Biotechnology Inc. (İzmir, Turkey). All chemicals purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Merck-Germany, were used without further any purification.

Culture conditions of *Chlorella protothecoides*:

In the present study, medium was prepared similar to our previous study⁸. Briefly, NaNO₃ (0.25 g), K₂HPO₄ (0.075 g), KH₂PO₄ (0.175 g), MgSO₄·7H₂O (0.075 g) CaCl₂·2H₂O

(0.025 g), NaCl (0.025 g), and trace metal mixture was used as Bold Basal Medium (BBM) in order to preserve and cultivate *C. protothecoides* cells in 1 L. Trace metal mixture was prepared by using H₃BO₃ (11.42 g), MnCl₂·4H₂O (1.44 g), ZnSO₄·7H₂O (0.25 g), CuSO₄·5H₂O (1.57 g), Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (0.49 g), MoO₃ (0.71 g), EDTA (50.00 g), KOH (31.00 g), FeSO₄·7H₂O (4.98 g) and H₂SO₄ (1 mL). Stock solutions of all chemical components of BBM were prepared and sterilized in autoclave (Systec 2540 EL,) at 121°C for 20 min. The initial pH values of the growth medium were adjusted to between 7.5–8. Microalgae cell cultivation was carried out at 28°C under 1000 lux fluorescence bulb (60 W) illumination with a 12:12 h light:dark regime. The precultures of *C. protothecoides* were grown in 250 mL erlenmeyer flasks containing BBM. To scale-up microalgae cells from preculture solution, they were transferred into 2 L culture bottles with an 20% (v/v) inoculum ratio. Cultures were continuously aerated with a compressor. Microalgal cells were cultivated in laboratory environment with air as a control medium, 10% and 15% CO₂ and simulated flue gas. Air flow rate was adjusted as 1 L/min with a flowmeter. And pH of the cultures was adjusted by pH controller. To see effect of CO₂, culture medium feed with air, 10% and 15% (v/v) CO₂ concentration. Cell growth was maintained for 12 days. To see effect of flue gas, *C. protothecoides* was incubated with simulated flue gas and 15% CO₂. The composition of the simulated flue gas was 75% N₂, 15% CO₂, 10% O₂, 400 ppm SO₂, and 125 ppm NO₂. Flow rate of flue gas was adjusted as 600 ml/min. Cell cultivation was maintained for 6 days.

Harvesting of *C. protothecoides* biomass:

Cell growth was monitored, and cultivation was maintained until cultures reach the stationary phase. After reaching stationary phase cells were harvested by 20 min centrifugation at 4800 rpm and cell pellet was washed three times with distilled water. Biomass was freeze-dried using freeze dryer. Dried biomass was kept at –20°C until further analysis.

Determination of growth kinetics:

Microalgal cell growth was monitored by daily changes in optical density at wavelength of 500 nm (OD₅₀₀) using UV/Vis spectrophotometer (Scinco S-3100, Seoul, Korea) and cell count was performed on the microalgal suspensions using an Olympos CX40 microscope. Microalgal dry cell weight (g/L) was measured three-day intervals. In order to calculate

dry cell weight, 50 mL algae samples were taken and concentrated by filtering through Whatman GF 6 glass fiber filter paper (pore size: 1–3 µm) under vacuum. Cells were washed with pH:4 HCl solution, subsequently dried in pre-weighed petri plates in an oven at 105°C for 2 h. Dry cell weight (%) was determined gravimetrically. The following methods are described by Xia *et al.*⁹. The specific growth rate (μ) is calculated by eq. (1) during the exponential phase. The biomass productivity (g/L/day) is determined using eq. (2).

$$\mu = \left(\ln \frac{X_2}{X_1} \right) \times \frac{1}{t} \quad (1)$$

$$P = \left(\frac{W_e - W_b}{V \times d} \right) \times 100\% \quad (2)$$

where X_1 and X_2 are the biomass at time 1 (t_1) and time 2 (t_2), respectively; W_b and W_e are the harvested cell dry mass at the beginning and end of cultivation, respectively; P is productivities of microalgal biomass; V and d are culture volume (L), and time of culture (d), respectively.

Lipid analysis:

Lipid extraction was performed at the end of exponential phase using the following procedure: 3 ml hexane as a solvent was added to each 0.05 g dried algal biomass since hexane has ability to solve lipid molecules. Then microalgae cells were homogenized using a homogenizer and incubated in a water bath for 24 h at 25°C for lipid extraction. Hexane phase including lipid molecules was separated from cell debris by centrifugation for 20 min at 4000 rpm. Hexane was evaporated at 60°C and lipid content was determined gravimetrically.

Results and discussion

In this study, the effects of different CO₂ concentrations and simulated flue gas feed on the growth *C. protothecoides* were investigated at the constant temperature, pH and light intensity. Growth kinetics, biomass productivity, and lipid content of *C. protothecoides* were determined.

Effect of the different concentration CO₂:

C. protothecoides was cultivated with air and two different concentration CO₂ feed. Fig. 1 shows the comparison of *C. protothecoides* feed with air (control), 10% and 15% CO₂ concentration during a 12-day trial period. The maximum cell density was reached after 12 days. The results have been




Fig. 1. Optical density values of *C. protothecoides* with control and different concentrations CO₂ feed.

quite evidence for the effect of CO₂ on the growth of *C. protothecoides*. The results indicate that *C. protothecoides* culture feed with 15% CO₂ at the end of the 12th day reached the highest density with an OD₅₀₀ of 3.42 value. 10% CO₂ concentration OD₅₀₀ value is also higher than air. These data suggest that using a high level of CO₂ increases obviously *C. protothecoides* biomass concentration. It has been observed that the highest dry cell weight reached with 10% CO₂ level (Fig. 2). The highest biomass productivity and the specific growth rate were found 0.125 g/L/day and 1,10 µ, at 10% CO₂ concentration, respectively (Table 1). In the study of Hanagata *et al.*, *Scenedesmus sp.* and *Chlorella sp.*, for the two organisms, the biomass productivity was observed






Fig. 2. Dry cell weight (g/L) of *C. protothecoides* with control and different concentrations CO₂ feed.

Table 1. Growth kinetics and lipid amount of *C. protothecoides* in different feed

Sample	Dry cell weight (g/L)	Biomass productivity (g/L/day)	Specific growth rate (μ)	Lipid weight (g lipid/g biomass)	Lipid content (%)
Air (Control)	0,84	0,07	0,77	0,31	38
10% CO ₂	1,5	0,125	1,10	0,63	42
15% CO ₂	1,2	0,1	0,84	0,54	45

0.15 g/L/day and 0.18 g/L/day at 10% and 45% CO₂ concentrations, respectively¹⁰. In our study, it was observed that *C. protothecoides* had high tolerance to CO₂ and positively affected dry cell weight and biomass productivity. It can be successful in large-scale production due to its high biomass productivity.

Microalgae resist to adapt to stresses and altering conditions in their environment. Lipids can act as signal components, structural components of the plasma membranes of the cell¹¹. Vargas *et al.* reported that stress conditions increase the amount of lipid. Increasing the concentration of CO₂ in some species may affect the accumulation of lipid as it may cause lower pH¹¹. Sun *et al.* reported that increasing concentration of CO₂ enhance lipid accumulation by using *Chlorella sorokiniana*¹⁴. In our study, 15% CO₂ resulted higher lipid content than 10% CO₂ (Fig. 3a). It has been seen that increased lipid content increases with increasing CO₂, which is in parallel with the study of the Xia *et al.* on the *C. Sorokiniana* species⁹. The highest level of lipid amount (0,63 g/L) was reached at 10% CO₂ (Fig. 3b). Even if it seems conflict, this result comes from high dry cell weight of 10% CO₂.

**Fig. 3.** Lipid weight (a) and content (b) of *C. protothecoides* at different CO₂ levels.**Fig. 4.** Optical density values of *C. protothecoides* fed by flue gas and 15% CO₂ in 6-day interval.

Effect of the simulated flue gas:

Flue gas injection to the culture mediums cause the rise of temperature, and also flue gas includes of SO_x and NO_x compounds which are toxic for microalgae cells¹³. Microalgae culture that was feed with flue gas reached the stationary phase after 5th day whereas, feed with 15% CO₂ reached the stationary phase after 6 days and its cell count was found similar (Fig. 5). Flue gas and 15% CO₂ feed show very similar dry cell weight values (Table 2). These results implicate that growth of *C. protothecoides* was not inhibited by flue gas and could tolerate 125 ppm NO₂ and 400 ppm SO₂.

Specific growth rate and biomass productivity of culture which is feed with 15% CO₂ was slightly higher than those observed with flue gas feed (Table 2). It can be said that flue gas did not inhibited growth rate. That may be due to the drop of medium pH because of NO_x and SO₂ content of flue gas. When CO₂ is dissolved in water aqueous CO₂, carbonic acid (H₂CO₃), bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻), carbonate (CO₃²⁻) ions, which affect the pH of medium, are formed. With the addition of flue gas in the culture media, depending on the pH, tem-

Table 2. Growth kinetics and lipid amount of *C. protothecoides* in 15% CO₂ and simulated flue gas feed

Sample	Dry cell weight (g/L)	Biomass productivity (g/L/day)	Specific growth rate (μ)	Lipid content (%)	Lipid weight (g/g)
15% CO ₂	0,65	0,108	0,71	45	0,54
Flue gas	0,62	0,103	0,65	44	0,27





Fig. 5. Specific growth rate of *C. protothecoides* fed by 15% CO₂ and flue gas.

perature and pressure of solution the following reaction takes place:



On the other hand, during cell growth microalgae cells utilize CO₂ as carbon source. Thereby, equilibrium shifts towards reactants and pH of the medium is increased.

In the present study, effect of flue gas on lipid weight and lipid content of *C. protothecoides* were also investigated and represented in Fig. 6. It was seen that lipid amount of microalgae cells cultured with 15% CO₂ was about two-fold of lipid amount produced by the culture feed with flue gas. On the other hand, lipid content (%) was almost same for 15% CO₂ (45%) and simulated flue gas (44%) feed. The results suggest that flue gas can be utilized as an alternative carbon source for biomass and lipid production of *C. protothecoides*. Thus, flue gas emission to the atmosphere may be reduced along with microalgae biomass production which could huge potential energy source such a biodiesel.




Fig. 6. Lipid weight (a) and content (b) of *C. protothecoides* fed by 15% CO₂ and flue gas feed.

Conclusions

C. protothecoides was cultured in the air as a control, 10%, 15% CO₂ and flue gas (15% CO₂). Growth kinetics, lipid content and weight were investigated. Increasing of CO₂ level have an incontrovertible positive effect on dry cell weight lipid content, and lipid weight of *C. protothecoides*. Results supported that *C. protothecoides* can tolerate the flue gas as well as a similar growth rate with CO₂. This means that direct flue gas can be used as a carbon source. All these results have shown that *C. protothecoides* can be a potential source in reducing CO₂ emissions. Lipid recovered from *C. protothecoides* with flue gas feed can a promising candidate for further biodiesel applications because of low costs and positive environmental effect.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Yildiz Technical University Projects Office for the financial support through an extensive research project (Project No. 2015-07-04-KAP-03).

References

1. (a) J. Davidson, P. Freund and A. Smith, "Putting Carbon Back in the Ground", IEA, 2001, pp. 1-30; (b) D. Aaron and C. Tsouris, *Sep. Sci. Technol.*, 2005, **40**, 321.
2. (a) B. Petroleum, "BP Statistical Review of World Energy", 2012; (b) K. Kumar, C. N. Dasgupta, B. Nayak, P. Lindblad and D. Das, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2011, **102**, 4945.
3. (a) P. Kandimalla, S. Desi and H. Vurimindi, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 2016, **23**, 9345; (b) R. García-Cubero, J. Moreno-Fernández and M. García-González, *Waste Biomass. Valor.*, 2018, **9**, 2015; (c) B. Wang, Y. Li, N. Wu and C. Lan, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2008, **79**, 707.
4. (a) M. Khan, J. Shin and J. Kim, *Microb. Cell. Fact.*, 2018, **17(36)**; (b) Y. Chisti, *Biotechnol. Adv.*, 2007, **25**, 294.
5. A. M. Kunjapur and R. B. Eldridge, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2010, **49**, 3516.
6. (a) J. Doucha, F. Straka and K. Lívanský, *Appl. Phycol.*, 2005, **17**, 403; (b) K. Kadam, *Energy Convers. Manag.*, 1997, **38**, 505; (c) R. Ramanan, K. Kannan, A. Deshkar, R. Yadav and T. Chakrabarti, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2010, **101(8)**, 2616.
7. C. Borkenstein, J. Knobloch, H. Frühwirth and M. Schagerl, *J. Appl. Phycol.*, 2011, **23**, 131.
8. S. Yucel, P. Terzioglu, M. E. Bogoclu and M. Celikkol, *Sigma J. Eng. & Nat. Sci.*, 2016, **34**, 183.
9. J. Xia, S. Gong, X. Jin, M. Wan and Z. Nie, *J. Cent. South. Univ.*, 2013, **20**, 730.
10. N. Hanagata, T. Takeuchi, Y. Fukuju, D. J. Barnes and I. Karube, *Phytochemistry*, 1992, **31**, 3345.
11. L. Barsanti, and P. Gualtieri, "Algae: Anatomy, Biochemistry, and Biotechnology", CRC Press, 2005, Chap. 7, 267-309.
12. Z. Sun, X. Dou, J. Wu, B. He, Y. Wang and Y. F. Chen, *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2016, **32**, 9.
13. H. Matsumoto, A. Hamasaki, N. Sioji and Y. Ikuta, *J. Chem. Eng. Jpn.*, 1997, **30**, 620.