

Zinbiel 2-algebras

Tao Zhang

Abstract The notions of Zinbiel 2-algebras and 2-term Z_∞ -algebras are introduced and studied. It is proved that the category of Zinbiel 2-algebras and the category of 2-term Z_∞ -algebras are equivalent. Relationship between Zinbiel 2-algebras and dendriform 2-algebras are found.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Preliminaries	2
3	Zinbiel 2-algebras and 2-term Z_∞-algebras	3
4	Construction of Zinbiel 2-algebras	8
4.1	Skeletal Zinbiel 2-algebras	8
4.2	Crossed modules of Zinbiel algebras	8
4.3	Crossed module extensions of Zinbiel algebras	9
5	Relation with dendriform 2-algebras	12

1 Introduction

The notion of L_∞ -algebras which generalizes Lie algebras first appeared in deformation theory and then in closed string field theory. The algebraic theory of Lie 2-algebras was studied by Baez and Crans in [1]. It is showed that a Lie 2-algebra can be seen as a categorification of a Lie algebra, where the underling vector space is replaced by 2-vector space and the Jacobi identity is replaced by a nature transformation which satisfies some coherence law. They proved that the category of Lie 2-algebras and the category of 2-term L_∞ -algebras are equivalent.

On the other hand, the study of Zinbiel algebras was initiated by Loday [2]. Under the Koszul duality the operad of Zinbiel algebras is dual to the operad of Leibniz algebras. Now a natural question arise, does there exist a categorification of a Zinbiel algebra? In this paper, we give a positive answer to this question.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B99, 17B55, 55U15

Key words and phrases: Zinbiel 2-algebras, 2-term Z_∞ -algebras.

The key point is that a Zinbiel 2-algebra is actually a Zinbiel algebra in the category of 2-vector spaces, where the condition is replaced by some nature transformation. We also introduced the concept of 2-term Z_∞ -algebras, and proved that the category of Zinbiel 2-algebras and the category of 2-term Z_∞ -algebras are equivalent.

The second aim of this paper is to category the relation between Zinbiel algebras and dendriform algebras. We introduce the notion of Zinbiel 2-algebra, and establish the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{Zinbiel 2-algebras} & \longrightarrow & \text{Dendriform 2-algebras} & \longrightarrow & \text{Ass 2-algebras} \\
\uparrow \text{Cat} & & \uparrow \text{Cat} & & \uparrow \text{Cat} \\
\text{Zinbiel algebras} & \longrightarrow & \text{Dendriform algebras} & \longrightarrow & \text{Ass algebras}
\end{array}$$

The main results and organization of this paper are as follows. In Section 2, we recall some facts about Zinbiel algebras. In Section 3, we introduce the notion of Zinbiel 2-algebras and 2-term Z_∞ -algebras. It is proved that there is an equivalence between the category of Zinbiel 2-algebras and the category of 2-term Z_∞ -algebras. In Section 4, we investigate some special cases of Zinbiel 2-algebras, such as skeletal and strict ones. In Section 5, we found that Zinbiel 2-algebras give rise to dendriform 2-algebras and associative 2-algebras.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will recall some facts and definitions about Zinbiel algebras.

Definition 2.1. *A Zinbiel algebra is a vector space Z together with a multiplication $\cdot : Z \times Z \rightarrow Z$ satisfying the following Zinbiel identity:*

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z) + x \cdot (z \cdot y) \quad (1)$$

for all $x, y, z \in Z$.

Example 2.2. *Let (Z, \cdot) be a Zinbiel algebra with multiplication $\cdot : Z \times Z \rightarrow Z$. Define the symmetrized product by*

$$xy := \frac{1}{2}(x \cdot y + y \cdot x),$$

for all $x, y \in A$. Then under the symmetrized product, Z becomes an associative and commutative algebra.

A homomorphism between two Zinbiel algebras (Z, \cdot) and (Z', \cdot') is a linear map $\varphi : Z \rightarrow Z'$ such that

$$\varphi(x \cdot y) = \varphi(x) \cdot' \varphi(y)$$

for all $x, y \in Z$.

Let Z be a Zinbiel algebra and V is a vector space, a **bimodule** of Z over the vector space V is a pair of bilinear maps $\triangleright : Z \times V \rightarrow V$ and $\triangleleft : V \times Z \rightarrow V$ such that the following conditions hold:

$$(x \cdot y) \triangleright v = x \triangleright (y \triangleright v + v \triangleleft y), \quad (2)$$

$$(v \triangleleft x) \triangleleft y = v \triangleleft (x \cdot y + y \cdot x), \quad (3)$$

$$(x \triangleright v) \triangleleft y = x \triangleright (v \triangleleft y + y \triangleright v), \quad (4)$$

for all $x, y, z \in Z, u \in V$.

Example 2.3. Let Z be a 2-dimension vector space with $\{e_1, e_2\}$ a base. We defined the multiplications by

$$e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_2, \quad e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 \cdot e_1 = 0, \quad e_2 \cdot e_2 = 0.$$

Then (Z, \cdot) is a 2-dimension Zinbiel algebra.

Let Z be a Zinbiel algebra and let V be a Z -bimodule. Recall that the low dimensional cohomology is defined as following, see [4] .

The cochain complex is

$$C^n(Z, V) = \text{Hom}_K(Z^{\otimes n}, V)$$

For $1 \leq i \leq 3$, the coboundary operator

$$d^i : C^i(Z, V) \rightarrow C^{i+1}(Z, V)$$

is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (d^1 \omega)(x, y) &= x \triangleright \omega(y) - \omega(x \cdot y) + \omega(x) \triangleleft y, \\ (d^2 \omega)(x, y, z) &= x \triangleright (\omega(y, z) + \omega(z, y)) - \omega(x \cdot y, z) + \omega(x, y \cdot z + z \cdot y) - \omega(x, y) \triangleleft z, \\ (d^3 \omega)(x, y, z, t) &= x \triangleright \{\omega(y, z, t) - \omega(z, t, y) + \omega(z, y, t) - \omega(t, z, y)\} \\ &\quad - \omega(x \cdot y, z, t) + \omega(x, y \cdot z + z \cdot y, t) \\ &\quad - \omega(x, y, z \cdot t + t \cdot z) + \omega(x, y, z) \triangleleft t. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check directly that $d^{i+1}d^i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, and so $(C^*(Z, V), d)$, $1 \leq * \leq 4$, is a cochain complex, which is usually abbreviated to $C^*(Z, V)$. For $n = 2, 3$, we get the cohomology group $\mathbf{H}^n(Z, V) = Z^n(Z, V)/B^n(Z, V)$ where $Z^n(Z, V)$ is the space of cocycles and $B^n(Z, V)$ is the space of coboundaries.

3 Zinbiel 2-algebras and 2-term Z_∞ -algebras

In this section, we introduced the concept of Zinbiel 2-algebras and 2-term Z_∞ -algebras. It is proved that the category of Zinbiel 2-algebras and the category of 2-term Z_∞ -algebras are equivalent.

Recall that we denote the category of vector spaces by **Vect**. A **2-vector space** is a category in **Vect**. Thus, a 2-vector space \mathcal{V} is a category with a vector space of objects \mathcal{V}_0 and a vector space of morphisms \mathcal{V}_1 , such that the source and target maps $s, t: \mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_0$, the identity-assigning map $i: \mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1$, and the composition map $\cdot: \mathcal{V}_1 \times_{\mathcal{V}_0} \mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1$ are all linear maps. We write a morphism f from source x to target y by $f: x \rightarrow y$, i.e. $s(f) = x$ and $t(f) = y$. We also write $i(x)$ as 1_x .

It is well known that 2-vector spaces are in one-to-one correspondence with 2-term complexes of vector spaces. A 2-term complex of vector spaces is a pair of vector space with a differential between them: $\mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{C}_0$. The correspondence is given as follows. Given a 2-vector space \mathcal{V} , then $\text{Ker}(s) \xrightarrow{t} \mathcal{V}_0$ is a 2-term complex. Conversely, any 2-term complex of vector spaces $\mathcal{V}_1 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{V}_0$ gives rise to a 2-vector space of which the set of objects is \mathcal{C}_0 , the set of morphisms is $\mathcal{C}_0 \oplus \mathcal{C}_1$, the source map s is given by $s(x, h) = x$, and the target map t is given by $t(x, h) = x + dh$, where $x \in \mathcal{V}_0$, $h \in \mathcal{V}_1$. The 2-vector space associated to the 2-term complex of vector spaces is denoted by $\mathcal{V}_1 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{V}_0$ by \mathcal{V} :

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{V}_1 := \mathcal{C}_0 \oplus \mathcal{C}_1 \\ \begin{array}{c} s \downarrow \quad \downarrow t \\ \mathcal{V}_0 := \mathcal{C}_0. \end{array} \end{array} \quad (5)$$

Definition 3.1. A **Zinbiel 2-algebra** consists of a 2-vector space \mathcal{Z} equipped with a bilinear functor, $\cdot : \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ and a natural isomorphism, the **Zinbielator**,

$$J_{x,y,z} : (x \cdot y) \cdot z \rightarrow x \cdot (y \cdot z) + x \cdot (z \cdot y),$$

such that the following **Zinbielator identity** is satisfied

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(x \cdot J_{y,z,t} + x \cdot J_{z,y,t} + 1 + 1 \right) \left(J_{x,y,z,t} + J_{x,z,y,t} \right) J_{x,y,z} \cdot t \\ &= \left(1 + 1 + x \cdot J_{z,t,y} + x \cdot J_{t,z,y} \right) \left(J_{x,y,z,t} + J_{x,y,t,z} \right) J_{x,y,z,t} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In terms of a commutative diagram, we see that it relates two ways of using the Zinbielator to rewrite the expression $((x \cdot y) \cdot z) \cdot t$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & ((x \cdot y) \cdot z) \cdot t & \\ \swarrow J_{x,y,z,t} & & \searrow J_{x,y,z,t} \\ x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot t) + (x \cdot (z \cdot y)) \cdot t & & (x \cdot y) \cdot (z \cdot t) + (x \cdot y) \cdot (t \cdot z) \\ \downarrow J_{x,y,z,t} + J_{x,z,y,t} & & \downarrow J_{x,y,z,t} + J_{x,y,t,z} \\ x \cdot ((y \cdot z) \cdot t) + x \cdot ((z \cdot y) \cdot t) & & x \cdot (y \cdot (z \cdot t)) + x \cdot (y \cdot (t \cdot z)) \\ + x \cdot (t \cdot (y \cdot z)) + x \cdot (t \cdot (z \cdot y)) & & + x \cdot ((z \cdot t) \cdot y) + x \cdot ((t \cdot z) \cdot y) \\ \swarrow x \cdot J_{y,z,t} + x \cdot J_{z,y,t} + 1 + 1 & & \swarrow 1 + 1 + x \cdot J_{z,t,y} + x \cdot J_{t,z,y} \\ & P & \end{array}$$

where P is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P = & x \cdot (y \cdot (z \cdot t)) + x \cdot (y \cdot (t \cdot z)) \\ & + x \cdot (z \cdot (y \cdot t)) + x \cdot (z \cdot (t \cdot y)) \\ & + x \cdot (t \cdot (y \cdot z)) + x \cdot (t \cdot (z \cdot y)). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.2. Given Zinbiel 2-algebras (\mathcal{Z}, \cdot) and (\mathcal{Z}', \cdot') , a Zinbiel 2-algebra homomorphism $F : \mathcal{Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}'$ consists of:

- a functor (F_0, F_1) from the underlying 2-vector space of \mathcal{Z} to that of \mathcal{Z}' .
- a natural transformation

$$F_2(x, y) : F_0(x) \cdot' F_0(y) \longrightarrow F_0(x \cdot y)$$

such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(F_0(x) \cdot' F_0(y)) \cdot' F_0(z) & \xrightarrow{F_2(x, y)} & F_0(x \cdot y) \cdot' F_0(z) \\
\downarrow J_{F_0(x), F_0(y), F_0(z)} & & \downarrow F_2(x \cdot y, z) \\
F_0(x) \cdot' (F_0(y) \cdot' F_0(z)) + F_0(x) \cdot' (F_0(z) \cdot' F_0(y)) & & F_0((x \cdot y) \cdot z) \\
\downarrow F_2(x, y) + F_2(z, y) & & \downarrow F_1 J_{x, y, z} \\
F_0(x) \cdot' F_0(y \cdot z) + F_0(x) \cdot' F_0(z \cdot y) & \xrightarrow{F_2(x \cdot y, z) + F_2(y, x \cdot z)} & F_0(x \cdot (y \cdot z)) + F_0(x \cdot (z \cdot y)).
\end{array}$$

The identity homomorphism $\text{id}_{\mathcal{Z}} : \mathcal{Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ has the identity functor as its underlying functor, together with an identity natural transformation as $(\text{id}_{\mathcal{Z}})_2$. Let \mathcal{Z} , \mathcal{Z}' and \mathcal{Z}'' be Zinbiel 2-algebras, the composition of a pair of Zinbiel 2-algebra morphisms $F : \mathcal{Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}'$ and $G : \mathcal{Z}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}''$, which we denote by $G \cdot F$, is given by letting the functor $((G \cdot F)_0, (G \cdot F)_1)$ be the usual composition of (G_0, G_1) and (F_0, F_1) , and letting $(G \cdot F)_2$ be the following composite:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(G_0 \cdot F_0(x)) \cdot'' (G_0 \cdot F_0(y)) & & \\
\downarrow G_2(F_0(x), F_0(y)) & \searrow (G \cdot F)_2(x, y) & \\
G_0(F_0(x) \cdot' F_0(y)) & & G_0 \cdot F_0(x \cdot y). \\
& \nearrow G_1(F_2(x, y)) &
\end{array}$$

It is easy to see that there is a category **Z2Alg** with Zinbiel 2-algebras as objects and Zinbiel 2-algebra homomorphisms as morphisms.

Definition 3.3. A 2-term Z_{∞} -algebra $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_0 \oplus \mathcal{V}_1$ is a complex consisting of the following data:

- two vector spaces \mathcal{V}_0 and \mathcal{V}_1 together with a linear map $l_1 = d : \mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_0$,
- a bilinear map $l_2 : \mathcal{V}_i \times \mathcal{V}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{i+j}$, where $0 \leq i + j \leq 1$,
- a trilinear map $l_3 : \mathcal{V}_0 \times \mathcal{V}_0 \times \mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1$.

These maps satisfy the following conditions: for all $x, y, z, t \in \mathcal{V}_0$ and $h, k \in \mathcal{V}_1$,

(a) $l_2(h, k) = 0$,

(b1) $d(l_2(x, h)) = l_2(x, dh)$,

- (b2) $d(l_2(h, x)) = l_2(dh, x)$,
- (c) $l_2(dh, k) = l_2(h, dk)$,
- (d) $d(l_3(x, y, z)) = l_2(x, l_2(y, z)) + l_2(x, l_2(z, y)) - l_2(l_2(x, y), z)$,
- (e1) $l_3(x, y, dh) = l_2(x, l_2(y, h)) - l_2(x, l_2(y, h)) - l_2(x, l_2(h, y))$,
- (e2) $l_3(x, dh, z) = l_2(l_2(x, h), z) - l_2(x, l_2(h, z)) - l_2(x, l_2(z, h))$,
- (e3) $l_3(dh, y, z) = l_2(l_2(h, y), z) - l_2(h, l_2(y, z)) - l_2(h, l_2(z, y))$,
- (f) $l_2(x, l_3(y, z, t)) + l_2(x, l_3(z, y, t)) + l_3(x, l_2(y, z) + l_2(z, y), t) + l_2(l_3(x, y, z), t) - l_2(x, l_3(z, t, y)) - l_2(x, l_3(t, z, y)) - l_3(x, y, l_2(z, t) + l_2(t, z)) - l_3(x \cdot y, z, t) = 0$.

Definition 3.4. Let $(\mathcal{V}; d, l_2, l_3)$ and $(\mathcal{V}'; d', l'_2, l'_3)$ be two 2-term Z_∞ -algebras. A Z_∞ -homomorphism f from \mathcal{V} to \mathcal{V}' consists of linear maps $f_0 : \mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'_0$, $f_1 : \mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'_1$ and $f_2 : \mathcal{V}_0 \times \mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'_1$, such that the following equalities hold for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{V}_0, a \in \mathcal{V}_1$,

- (i) $f_0 d = d' f_1$,
- (ii) $f_0 l_2(x, y) - l'_2(f_0(x), f_0(y)) = d' f_2(x, y)$,
- (iii) $f_1 l_2(x, a) - l'_2 f_0(x), f_1(a) = f_2(x, da)$,
- (iv) $f_1(l_3(x, y, z)) - l'_3(f_0(x), f_0(y), f_0(z)) = f_2(x, l_2(y, z)) - f_2(l_2(x, y), z) - f_2(y, l_2(x, z)) + l'_2(f_0(x), f_2(y, z)) - l'_2(f_2(x, y), f_0(z)) - l'_2(f_0(y), f_2(x, z))$.

If $f_2 = 0$, the Z_∞ -homomorphism f is called a strict Z_∞ -homomorphism.

Let $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$ and $g : \mathcal{V}' \rightarrow \mathcal{V}''$ be two Z_∞ -homomorphisms, then their composition $g \cdot f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}''$ is a Z_∞ -homomorphism defined as $(g \cdot f)_0 = g_0 \cdot f_0$, $(g \cdot f)_1 = g_1 \cdot f_1$ and

$$(g \cdot f)_2(x, y) = g_2(f_0(x), f_0(y)) + g_1(f_2(x, y)).$$

The identity Z_∞ -homomorphism $1_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ has the identity chain map together with $(1_{\mathcal{V}})_2 = 0$. There is a category $\mathbf{2Term}Z_\infty$ with 2-term Z_∞ -algebras as objects and Z_∞ -homomorphisms as morphisms.

Now we establish the equivalence between the category of Zinbiel 2-algebras and 2-term Z_∞ -algebras.

Theorem 3.5. The categories $\mathbf{2Term}Z_\infty$ and $\mathbf{Z2Alg}$ are equivalent.

Proof. We give a sketch of the proof. First, we show how to construct a Zinbiel 2-algebra from a 2-term Z_∞ -algebra.

Let $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}_1 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{V}_0, l_2, l_3)$ be a 2-term Z_∞ -algebra, we introduce a bilinear functor on the 2-vector space $\mathcal{Z} = (\mathcal{V}_0 \oplus \mathcal{V}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{V}_0)$ given by (5), that is \mathcal{Z} has vector spaces of objects and morphisms $\mathcal{Z}_0 = \mathcal{V}_0$, $\mathcal{Z}_1 = \mathcal{V}_0 \oplus \mathcal{V}_1$ and a morphisms $f : x \rightarrow y$ in \mathcal{Z}_1 by $f = (x, h)$ where homogenous elements $x \in \mathcal{V}_0$ and $h \in \mathcal{V}_1$ have the same degree. The source, target, and identity-assigning maps in L are given by

$$s(f) = s(x, h) = x,$$

$$\begin{aligned} t(f) &= t(x, h) = x + dh, \\ i(x) &= (x, 0), \end{aligned}$$

and we have $t(f) - s(f) = dh$.

Now we define product on \mathcal{Z} by

$$(x, h) \cdot (y, k) = l_2(x, y) + l_2(x, k) + l_2(h, y) + l_2(dh, k).$$

It is straightforward to see that it is a bilinear functor.

Now we define the Zinbielator as following

$$J_{x,y,z} := ((x \cdot y) \cdot z, l_3(x, y, z)).$$

Then by Condition (g), we have $J_{x,y,z}$ is a morphism from source $(x \cdot y) \cdot z$ to target $x \cdot (y \cdot z) + x \cdot (z \cdot y)$. One show that $J_{x,y,z}$ is natural isomorphism and satisfying Zinbielator identity (6) in Definition 3.1. Thus from a 2-term Z_∞ -algebra, we can obtain a Zinbiel 2-algebra.

For any Z_∞ -homomorphism $f = (f_0, f_1, f_2)$ from \mathcal{V} to \mathcal{V}' , we construct a Zinbiel 2-algebra morphism $F = T(f)$ from $L = T(\mathcal{V})$ to $L' = T(\mathcal{V}')$ as follows:

Let $F_0 = f_0$, $F_1 = f_0 \oplus f_1$, and F_2 be given by

$$F_2(x, y) = (f_0(x) \cdot f_0(y), f_2(x, y)).$$

Then $F_2(x, y)$ is a bilinear natural isomorphism from $F_0(x) \cdot F_0(y)$ to $F_0(x \cdot y)$, and $F = (F_0, F_1, F_2)$ is a Zinbiel 2-algebra homomorphism from \mathcal{Z} to \mathcal{Z}' . One can also deduce that T preserves the identity Z_∞ -homomorphisms and the composition of Z_∞ -homomorphisms. Thus, T constructed above is a functor from $\mathbf{2TermZ}_\infty$ to $\mathbf{Z2Alg}$.

Conversely, given a Zinbiel 2-algebra \mathcal{Z} , we define l_2 and l_3 on the 2-term complex $\mathcal{Z}_1 \supseteq \ker(s) = \mathcal{V}_1 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{Z}_0$ by

- $dh = t(h)$ for $h \in \mathcal{V}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_1$.
- $l_2(x, y) = x \cdot y$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{Z}_0$.
- $l_2(x, h) = [1_x, h]$ for $x \in \mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{Z}_0$ and $h \in \mathcal{V}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_1$.
- $l_2(h, k) = 0$ for $h, k \in \mathcal{V}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_1$.
- $l_3(x, y, z) = p_1 J_{x,y,z}$ for $x, y, z \in \mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{Z}_0$, where $p_1 : \mathcal{Z}_1 = \mathcal{V}_0 \oplus \mathcal{V}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_1$ is the projection.

Then one can verify that $(\mathcal{V}_1 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{V}_0, l_2, l_3)$ is a 2-term Z_∞ -algebra.

Let $F = (F_0, F_1, F_2) : \mathcal{Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}'$ be a Zinbiel 2-algebra homomorphism, and $S(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathcal{V}$, $S(\mathcal{Z}') = \mathcal{V}'$. Define $S(F) = f = (f_0, f_1, f_2)$ as follows. Let $f_0 = F_0$, $f_1 = F_1|_{\mathcal{V}_1 = \ker(s)}$ and define f_2 by

$$f_2(x, y) = F_2(x, y) - i(s(F_2(x, y))).$$

It is not hard to deduce that f is a 2-term Z_∞ -algebra homomorphism. Furthermore, S also preserves the identity morphisms and the composition of morphisms. Thus, S is a functor from $\mathbf{Z2Alg}$ to $\mathbf{2TermZ}_\infty$.

We left it to the reader to show that there are natural isomorphisms $\alpha : T \cdot S \Longrightarrow 1_{\mathbf{Z2Alg}}$ and $\beta : S \cdot T \Longrightarrow 1_{\mathbf{2TermZ}_\infty}$. \square

4 Construction of Zinbiel 2-algebras

In this section, special cases and concrete examples of Zinbiel 2-algebras are given. This include Zinbiel algebras with 3-cocycles, crossed module of Zinbiel algebras.

4.1 Skeletal Zinbiel 2-algebras

A 2-term Z_∞ -algebra is called **skeletal** if $d = 0$. In this case, from conditions (a) and (g), we have \mathcal{V}_0 is a Zinbiel algebra. Conditions (b) and (h) imply that \mathcal{V}_1 is a representation of \mathcal{V}_0 by the action defined by $x \triangleright h := l_2(x, h)$, $h \triangleleft x := l_2(h, x)$. Now condition (i) can be described in terms of a 3-cocycle condition in the Zinbiel algebra cohomology of \mathcal{V}_0 with values in \mathcal{V}_1 .

Proposition 4.1. *Skeletal 2-term Z_∞ -algebras are in one-to-one correspondence with quadruples (Z, V, l_3) where Z is a Zinbiel algebra, V is a bimodule of Z and l_3 is a 3-cocycle on Z with values in V .*

4.2 Crossed modules of Zinbiel algebras

Another kind of 2-term Z_∞ -algebra is called **strict** if $l_3 = 0$. This kind of Zinbiel 2-algebras can be described in terms of crossed modules of Zinbiel algebras.

Definition 4.2. *Let (Z, \cdot_Z) and (H, \cdot_H) be two Zinbiel algebras. A crossed module of Zinbiel algebras is a homomorphism of Zinbiel algebras $\varphi : H \rightarrow Z$ together with an action of Z on H , denoted by $\triangleright : Z \times H \rightarrow H$, $(x, h) \mapsto x \triangleright h$ and $\triangleleft : H \times Z \rightarrow H$, $(h, x) \mapsto h \triangleleft x$, such that*

$$\varphi(x \triangleright h) = x \cdot_Z \varphi(h), \quad \varphi(h \triangleleft x) = \varphi(h) \cdot_Z x, \quad \varphi(h) \triangleright k = h \cdot_H k = h \triangleleft \varphi(k),$$

for all $h, k \in H, x \in Z$.

Proposition 4.3. *There is an one-to-one correspondence between strict 2-term Z_∞ -algebras and crossed modules of Zinbiel algebras.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V}_1 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{V}_0$ be a 2-term Z_∞ -algebra with $l_3 = 0$. We construct Zinbiel algebras on $Z = \mathcal{V}_0$ and $H = \mathcal{V}_1$ as follows. The product on Z and H are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot_H k &:= l_2(dh, k) = l_2(h, dk), \quad \forall h, k \in H = \mathcal{V}_1; \\ x \cdot_Z y &:= l_2(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in Z = \mathcal{V}_0. \end{aligned}$$

By conditions in Definition 3.3, it is easy to see that \cdot_Z satisfies the Zinbiel identity, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (h \cdot_H k) \cdot_H g - h \cdot_H (k \cdot_H g) - h \cdot_H (g \cdot_H k) \\ &= l_2(dh, k) \cdot_H g - h \cdot_H l_2(k, dg) - h \cdot_H l_2(dg, k) \\ &= l_2(l_2(dh, k), dg) - l_2(l_2(dh, l_2(k, dg))) - l_2(l_2(dh, l_2(dg, k))) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (H, \cdot_H) is a Zinbiel algebra. Now let $\varphi = d$, then we have

$$\varphi(h \cdot_H k) = d(l_2(dh, k)) = l_2(dh, dk) = \varphi(h) \cdot_Z \varphi(k),$$

which implies that φ is a homomorphism of Zinbiel algebras from H to Z .

Now define the action map of $Z \times H \rightarrow H$ by

$$x \triangleright h := l_2(x, h), \quad h \triangleleft x := l_2(h, x) \in H,$$

then it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x \triangleright h) &= d(l_2(x, h)) = l_2(x, dh) = x \cdot_Z \varphi(h), \\ \varphi(h \triangleleft x) &= d(l_2(h, x)) = l_2(dh, x) = \varphi(h) \cdot_Z x, \\ \varphi(h) \cdot k &= l_2(dh, k) = h \cdot_H k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain a crossed module of Zinbiel algebras.

Conversely, a crossed module of Zinbiel algebras gives rise to a 2-term Z_∞ -algebra with $d = \varphi$, $\mathcal{V}_0 = Z$ and $\mathcal{V}_1 = H$, where the brackets are given by

$$\begin{aligned} l_2(x, y) &:= x \cdot_Z y; \\ l_2(x, h) &:= x \triangleright h, \quad l_2(h, x) := h \triangleleft x; \\ l_2(h, k) &:= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The crossed module conditions give various conditions for 2-term Z_∞ -algebras with $l_3 = 0$. □

4.3 Crossed module extensions of Zinbiel algebras

In this section we will study crossed module extensions of Zinbiel algebras and show that they are related to the some elements of cohomology group.

Definition 4.4. *Let Z be a Zinbiel algebra and M be a bimodule of Z . A crossed module extension of Z by M is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{i} V \xrightarrow{\partial} S \xrightarrow{\pi} Z \longrightarrow 0 \quad (7)$$

such that $\partial : V \rightarrow S$ is a crossed module and $M \cong \text{Ker } \partial$, $T \cong \text{coKer } \partial$.

Definition 4.5. *Two crossed module extensions of Zinbiel algebras $\partial : V \rightarrow S$ and $\partial' : V' \rightarrow S'$ are equivalent, if there exists a Zinbiel algebras homomorphism $\alpha : V \rightarrow V'$ and $\beta : S \rightarrow S'$ such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{i} & V & \xrightarrow{\partial} & S & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Z & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{i'} & V' & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & S' & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & Z & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array} \quad (8)$$

The set of equivalent classes of extensions of Z by M is denoted by $\mathbf{CExt}(Z, M)$.

Given a crossed module $\partial : V \rightarrow S$, we consider $Z = \text{coKer}(\partial)$ and $M = \text{Ker}(\partial)$ in the category of vector spaces. The Zinbiel algebra structure of S induces a Zinbiel algebra structure on Z and the Z -bimodule structure on V induces a Z -bimodule structure on M . Thus we obtained a crossed module extension.

The following Theorem 4.6 shows that crossed module extensions are naturally associated with the elements of third cohomology group.

Theorem 4.6. *Let Z be a Zinbiel algebra and M be a bimodule of Z . Then there is a canonical map:*

$$\xi : \mathbf{CExt}(Z, M) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^3(Z, M).$$

Proof. We define $\xi : \mathbf{CExt}(Z, M) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^3(Z, M)$ as follows. Given a crossed module extension of T by M and choose linear sections $s : Z \rightarrow S$ such that $\pi s = 1$ and $q : \text{Im}(\partial) \rightarrow V, \partial q = 1$. For $x, y \in Z$, we have

$$\pi(s(x) \cdot s(y) - s(x \cdot y)) = \pi s(x) \cdot \pi s(y) - \pi s(x \cdot y) = x \cdot y - x \cdot y = 0$$

and thus $s(x) \cdot s(y) - s(x \cdot y) \in \text{Im}(\partial)$. Take $g(x, y) = q(s(x) \cdot s(y) - s(x \cdot y)) \in V$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta_{\mathcal{E}}(x, y, z) \\ &= x \triangleright (g(y, z) + g(z, y)) - g(x \cdot y, z) + g(x, y \cdot z + z \cdot y) - g(x, y) \triangleleft z \\ &= s(x) \cdot (g(y, z) + g(z, y)) - g(x \cdot y, z) + g(x, y \cdot z + z \cdot y) - g(x, y) \cdot s(z). \end{aligned}$$

Since ∂ is a map of crossed modules, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial(\theta_{\mathcal{E}}(x, y, z)) \\ &= \partial\{x \triangleright (g(y, z) + g(z, y)) - g(x \cdot y, z) + g(x, y \cdot z + z \cdot y) - g(x, y) \triangleleft z\} \\ &= s(x) \cdot (s(y) \cdot s(z) - s(y \cdot z) + (s(z) \cdot s(y) - s(z \cdot y)) \\ & \quad - (s(x \cdot y) \cdot s(z) + s((x \cdot y) \cdot z) \\ & \quad + s(x) \cdot s(y \cdot z + z \cdot y) - s(x \cdot (y \cdot z + z \cdot y)) \\ & \quad - (s(x) \cdot s(y)) \cdot s(z) + s(x \cdot y) \cdot s(z) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\theta_{\mathcal{E}}(x, y, z) \in M = \text{ker}(\partial)$ and the map $\theta_{\mathcal{E}} : Z^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow M$ is well defined. One can check that $d^3(\theta_{\mathcal{E}}) = 0$. Thus we have defined a linear map $\theta_{\mathcal{E}} : Z^{\otimes 3} \rightarrow M$ which is a cocycle with respect to the coboundary operator d^3 . We define $\xi : \mathbf{CExt}(Z, M) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^3(Z, M)$ by taking $\xi(\mathcal{E})$ to be the class of $\theta_{\mathcal{E}}$ in $\mathbf{H}^3(Z, M)$.

Next, we have to check that the class of $\theta_{\mathcal{E}}$ in $\mathbf{H}^3(Z, M)$ does not depend on the sections s and q . We show first that the class of $\theta_{\mathcal{E}}$ does not depend on the section s . Suppose $\bar{s} : Z \rightarrow S$ is another section of π and let $\bar{\theta}_{\mathcal{E}}$ be the map defined using \bar{s} instead of s . Since s and \bar{s} are both sections of π there exists a linear map $h : Z \rightarrow V$ with $s - \bar{s} = \partial h$. Observe that

$$s(x) \cdot g(y, z) - \bar{s}(x) \cdot \bar{g}(y, z)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (s(x) - \bar{s}(x)) \cdot g(y, z) + \bar{s}(x) \cdot (g - \bar{g})(y, z) \\
&= \partial h(x) \cdot q(s(y) \cdot s(z) - s(y \cdot z)) + \bar{s}(x) \cdot (g - \bar{g})(y, z) \\
&= h(x) \cdot (s(y) \cdot s(z) - s(y \cdot z)) + \bar{s}(x) \cdot (g - \bar{g})(y, z).
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\theta_{\mathcal{E}} - \bar{\theta}_{\mathcal{E}})(x, y, z) \\
&= h(x) \cdot (s(y) \cdot s(z) - s(y \cdot z)) + \bar{s}(x) \cdot (g - \bar{g})(y, z) \\
&\quad + h(x) \cdot (s(z) \cdot s(y) - s(z \cdot y)) + \bar{s}(x) \cdot (g - \bar{g})(z, y) \\
&\quad - (g - \bar{g})(x \cdot y, z) + (g - \bar{g})(x, y \cdot z + z \cdot y) \\
&\quad - (s(x) \cdot s(y) - s(x \cdot y)) \cdot h(z) - (g - \bar{g})(x, y) \cdot \bar{s}(z)
\end{aligned}$$

where $g(x, y) = q(s(x) \cdot s(y) - s(x \cdot y))$ and $\bar{g}(x, y) = q(\bar{s}(x) \cdot \bar{s}(y) - \bar{s}(x \cdot y))$. We define a map $b : Z^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow V$ as follows.

$$b(x, y) = s(x) \cdot h(y) - h(x \cdot y) + h(x) \cdot s(y) - h(x) \cdot \partial h(y)$$

Then a easy calculation shows that $\partial b = \partial(g - \bar{g})$, thus $(g - \bar{g} - b)$ is a map from $Z^{\otimes 2}$ to M . Now we can replace $(g - \bar{g})$ by b without changing the equality in $\mathbf{H}^3(Z, M)$ since the difference is the coboundary $d^2(g - \bar{g} - b)$. After replacing $(g - \bar{g})$ by b , since $\partial : V \rightarrow A$ is a crossed module, we obtain the equality $(\theta_{\mathcal{E}} - \bar{\theta}_{\mathcal{E}})(x, y, z) = 0$ in $\mathbf{H}^3(Z, M)$. This proves that the class of $\theta_{\mathcal{E}}$ does not depend on the section s .

At last, consider two equivalent crossed module extensions

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{i} & V & \xrightarrow{\partial} & S & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Z & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \parallel & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{i'} & V' & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & S' & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & Z & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array} \tag{9}$$

Let $s : Z \rightarrow A$ and $q : \text{Im}(\partial) \rightarrow V$ be sections of π and ∂ and let $s' : Z \rightarrow A'$ and $q' : \text{Im}(\partial') \rightarrow V'$ be sections of π' and ∂' . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\theta_{\mathcal{E}}(x, y, z) \\
&= s(x) \cdot (g(y, z) + g(z, y)) - g(x \cdot y, z) + g(x, y \cdot z + z \cdot y) - g(x, y) \cdot s(z) \\
&= s(x) \cdot q(s(y) \cdot s(z) - s(y \cdot z)) + s(x) \cdot q(s(z) \cdot s(y) - s(z \cdot y)) \\
&\quad - q(s(x \cdot y) \cdot s(z) - s((x \cdot y) \cdot z)) \\
&\quad + q(s(x) \cdot s(y \cdot z + z \cdot y) - s(x \cdot (y \cdot z + z \cdot y))) \\
&\quad - q(s(x) \cdot s(y) - s(x \cdot y)) \cdot s(z).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\pi' \beta s = 1$ then βs is another section for π' and therefore we can now replace s' by βs and we obtain the following equality in $\mathbf{H}^3(Z, M)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\theta_{\mathcal{E}} - \theta_{\mathcal{E}'})(x, y, z) \\
&= \beta s(x) ((\alpha q - q' \beta)(s(y) \cdot s(z) - s(y \cdot z))) \\
&\quad + \beta s(x) ((\alpha q - q' \beta)(s(z) \cdot s(y) - s(z \cdot y))) \\
&\quad - (\alpha q - q' \beta)(s(x \cdot y) \cdot s(z) - s((x \cdot y) \cdot z)) \\
&\quad + (\alpha q - q' \beta)(s(x) \cdot s(y \cdot z + z \cdot y) - s(x \cdot (y \cdot z + z \cdot y))) \\
&\quad - (\alpha q - q' \beta)(s(x) \cdot s(y) - s(x \cdot y)) \cdot \beta s(z)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(\theta_{\mathcal{E}} - \theta_{\mathcal{E}'})(x, y, z) = d^2\phi(x, y, z)$, where $\phi : \otimes^2 Z \rightarrow M$ is defined by

$$\phi(x, y) = (\alpha q - q' \beta)(s(x) \cdot s(y) - s(x \cdot y)).$$

This proves that $\theta_{\mathcal{E}} = \theta_{\mathcal{E}'}$ in $\mathbf{H}^3(Z, M)$ and that the class of $\theta_{\mathcal{E}}$ in $\mathbf{H}^3(Z, M)$ does not depend on the sections s and q . Therefore the map $\xi : \mathbf{CExt}(Z, M) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^3(Z, M)$ is well defined. \square

5 Relation with dendriform 2-algebras

Definition 5.1. A 2-term A_{∞} -algebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1$ is a complex consisting of the following data:

- two vector spaces \mathcal{A}_0 and \mathcal{A}_1 together with a linear map $m_1 = d : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0$,
- a bilinear map $m_2 : \mathcal{A}_i \times \mathcal{A}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{i+j}$, where $0 \leq i + j \leq 1$,
- a trilinear map $m_3 : \mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1$.

These maps satisfy the following conditions: for all $x, y, z, t \in \mathcal{A}_0$ and $h, k \in \mathcal{A}_1$,

$$(a) \quad m_2(h, k) = 0,$$

$$(b1) \quad d(m_2(x, h)) = m_2(x, dh),$$

$$(b2) \quad d(m_2(h, x)) = m_2(dh, x),$$

$$(c) \quad m_2(dh, k) = m_2(h, dk),$$

$$(d) \quad d(m_3(x, y, z)) = m_2(x, m_2(y, z)) - m_2(m_2(x, y), z),$$

$$(e1) \quad m_3(x, y, dh) = m_2(x, m_2(y, h)) - m_2(m_2(x, y), h),$$

$$(e2) \quad m_3(x, dh, z) = m_2(m_2(x, h), z) - m_2(x, m_2(h, z)),$$

$$(e2) \quad m_3(dh, y, z) = m_2(m_2(h, y), z) - m_2(h, m_2(y, z)),$$

$$(f) \quad m_2(x, m_3(y, z, t)) - m_3(m_2(x, y), z, t) + m_3(x, m_2(y, z), t) - m_3(y, z, m_2(x, t)) + m_2(m_3(x, y, z), t) = 0.$$

A 2-term A_{∞} -algebra is called 2-term C_{∞} -algebras if m_2 and m_3 are commutative maps.

Definition 5.2. A 2-term Dend $_{\infty}$ -algebra $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_0 \oplus \mathcal{D}_1$ consists of the following data

- a chain complex $\mu_1 = d : \mathcal{D}_1 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{D}_0$,
- bilinear maps $\mu_2 : \mathbb{K}[C_2] \otimes (\mathcal{D}_i \otimes \mathcal{D}_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{i+j}$,
- a trilinear maps $\mu_3 : \mathbb{K}[C_3] \otimes (\mathcal{D}_0 \otimes \mathcal{D}_0 \otimes \mathcal{D}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1$

such that the following identities are hold:

- (i) $\mu_2([r]; m, n) = 0$,
 - (ii) $d\mu_2([r]; a, m) = \mu_2([r]; a, dm)$,
 - (iii) $d\mu_2([r]; m, a) = \mu_2([r]; dm, a)$,
 - (iv) $\mu_2([r]; dm, n) = \mu_2([r]; m, dn)$,
 - (v) $(\mu_2 \circ_1 \mu_2 - \mu_2 \circ_2 \mu_2)([s]; a, b, c) = d\mu_3([s]; a, b, c)$,
 - (vi1) $(\mu_2 \circ_1 \mu_2 - \mu_2 \circ_2 \mu_2)([s]; a, b, m) = \mu_3([s]; a, b, dm)$,
 - (vi2) $(\mu_2 \circ_1 \mu_2 - \mu_2 \circ_2 \mu_2)([s]; a, m, c) = \mu_3([s]; a, dm, c)$,
 - (vi3) $(\mu_2 \circ_1 \mu_2 - \mu_2 \circ_2 \mu_2)([s]; m, b, c) = \mu_3([s]; dm, b, c)$,
 - (vii) $(\mu_3 \circ_1 \mu_2 - \mu_3 \circ_2 \mu_2 + \mu_3 \circ_3 \mu_2)([t]; a, b, c, e) = (\mu_2 \circ_1 \mu_3 + \mu_2 \circ_2 \mu_3)([t]; a, b, c, e)$,
- for all $a, b, c, e \in \mathcal{A}_0$; $m, n \in \mathcal{A}_1$; $[r] \in C_2$; $[s] \in C_3$ and $[t] \in C_4$.

In the above definition, we define two maps $\mu_2 : \mathbb{K}[C_2] \otimes (A_i \otimes A_j) \rightarrow A_{i+j}$ by

$$\mu_2([r]; a, m) = \begin{cases} a \prec m & \text{if } [r] = [1] \\ a \succ m & \text{if } [r] = [2] \end{cases}$$

for $a \in A_i, m \in A_j$. Other maps related to $[s] \in C_3$ and $[t] \in C_4$ are defined similarly. For details, see [3].

Proposition 5.3. *From a 2-term Z_∞ -algebra $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_0 \oplus \mathcal{V}_1$, we can obtain a 2-term C_∞ -algebras by*

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= l_1, \\ m_2(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2}(l_2(x, y) + l_2(y, x)), \\ m_2(x, h) &= \frac{1}{2}(l_2(x, h) + l_2(h, x)), \\ m_3(x, y, z) &= \frac{1}{6}(l_3(x, y, z) + l_3(y, z, x) \\ &\quad + l_3(z, x, y) + l_3(y, x, z) + l_3(z, y, x) + l_3(x, z, y)). \end{aligned}$$

This 2-term C_∞ -algebras is called a symmetrization of the Zinbiel 2-algebra \mathcal{V} .

Proposition 5.4. *From a 2-term Z_∞ -algebra $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_0 \oplus \mathcal{V}_1$, we can obtain a 2-term Dend_∞ -algebras by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 &= l_1, \\ \mu_2([1], x, y) &= l_2(x, y), \quad \mu_2([2], x, y) = l_2(y, x), \\ \mu_2([1], x, h) &= l_2(x, h), \quad \mu_2([2], x, h) = l_2(h, x), \\ \mu_3([1], x, y, z) &= l_3(x, y, z), \quad \mu_3([2], x, y, z) = l_3(y, z, x) \\ \mu_3([3], x, y, z) &= l_3(z, x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{A} be an associate 2-algebra. A Rota-Baxter operator on it is given by linear maps $R_0 : \mathcal{A}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_0$ and $R_1 : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1$ satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} m_2(R_0(x_1), R_0(x_2)) &= R_0(m_2(x_1, R_0(x_2)) + m_2(R_0(x_1), x_2)), \\ m_2(R_1(x_1), R_1(h)) &= R_0(m_2(x_1, R_1(h)) + m_2(R_0(x_1), h)), \\ m_2(R_1(h), R_0(x_1)) &= R_0(m_2(h, R_0(x_1)) + m_2(R_1(h), x_1)), \\ m_3(R_0(x_1), R_0(x_2), R_0(x_3)) &= R_0(m_3(x_1, R_0(x_2), R_0(x_3)) \\ &\quad + m_3(R_0(x_1), x_2, R_0(x_3)) + m_3(R_0(x_1), R_0(x_2), x_3)). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be an 2-term C_∞ -algebras and (R_0, R_1) be a Rota-Baxter operator on it. Then \mathcal{A} is a 2-term Z_∞ -algebra, where*

$$\begin{aligned} l_2(x_1, x_2) &= m_2(x_1, R_0(x_2)) + m_2(R_0(x_1), x_2), \\ l_2(x_1, h) &= m_2(x_1, R_0(h)) + m_2(R_0(x_1), h), \\ l_2(h, x_1) &= m_2(h, R_0(x_1)) + m_2(R_1(h), x_1), \\ l_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= m_3(x_1, R_0(x_2), R_0(x_3)) \\ &\quad + m_3(R_0(x_1), x_2, R_0(x_3)) + m_3(R_0(x_1), R_0(x_2), x_3). \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements

Caution! This is a primary edition, something should be modified in the future.

References

- [1] J. C. Baez and A. S. Crans, *Higher-Dimensional Algebra VI: Lie 2-Algebras*, Theory Appl. Categ. 12(2004), 492–528.
- [2] J.-L. Loday, Cup product for Leibniz cohomology and dual Leibniz algebras, Math. Scand. 77 (1995), 189–196.
- [3] A. Das, Cohomology and deformations of dendriform algebras, and Dend_∞ -algebras, arXiv:1903.11802.
- [4] D. Yau, Deformation of dual Leibniz algebra morphisms, Comm. Algebra, 35(2007), 1369–1378.

College of Mathematics and Information Science,
Henan Normal University, Xinxiang 453007, P. R. China;
E-mail address: zhangtao@htu.edu.cn