Classification and purification for the independent quantum channel through quantum error-correction
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The essence of quantum error-correction is to use redundant Hilbert space to identify and correct errors, and the channel fidelity of the quantum channel does not affect which errors can be identified and corrected. Based on this, it is found that quantum error-correction can be used to classify the independent quantum channel into 5 types, and 4 of the 5 types can be purified. It is found in quantum error-correction, the decoherence of quantum state may be related to the degree of identification for the state under quantum noise, and the results of this work confirmed that the degree of purity of quantum channel determines its ability to retain the quantum property of the quantum state, not the fidelity. In this work, the identification of the independent Pauli channels by quantum error-correction is demonstrated.

I. INTRODUCTION

In quantum computation and communication, quantum error-correction (QEC) developed from classic schemes to preserving coherent states from noise and other unexpected interactions. QEC codes are introduced as active error-correction. Another way, passive error-avoiding techniques contain decoherence-free subspaces [6–8] and noiseless subsystem [9–11]. Recently, it has been proven that both the active and passive QEC methods can be unified [12–14]. The main research objects in QEC include quantum noise channel and error-correction protocol [15]. In order to apply QEC in practical situations, the quantum noise channel we considered should be as close to the real physical noise as possible, and the error-correction protocol we adopted had better be robust to most noise channels.

In this work, we consider using the Pauli channel to represent the independent noise channel. Because in recent works, it is found the Pauli channel is the approaching target of the effective channel after QEC [16–21], which makes it relatively easier to analyze the evolution of the noise channel. On the other hand, we expand the range of channel fidelity for the Pauli channel as 0 < p < 1, which is reasonable not only because the real situation exists but also the logic X, Y, Z operations on the logic state will introduce the situation in main system qubit.

Meanwhile, we fix the measurement-free QEC scheme [3, 16, 21], which consists of encoding operation $U$, the noise evolution $A$, and decoding operation $U^{-1}$. The unitary process $U$ represents encoding and its associated process $U^{-1}$ represents decoding with recovery. The number of logic states that can be identified is $2^n$, and the number of errors that can be recovered is at least $2^n$ (because part of errors result in the same logic state), where $n$ is the number of generators of quantum code. The value of channel fidelity does not affect which errors can be identified and corrected, and it just affects the channel fidelity of the effective channel.

Through analog calculation, it is found that QEC not only can be used for noise suppression, but also for classification and purification of the independent quantum channel. The independent quantum channel can be classified into 5 types, and 4 of the 5 types can be purified with QEC. If the dominant role of one channel is similar to the $I$ operator, and this channel can be purified with QEC, it is called an $I$-type channel. Meanwhile, the definitions of $X$-type, $Y$-type, and $Z$-type are similar to the $I$-type channel. On the other hand, the channel that cannot be purified is called the $T$-type (Trivial-type) channel. After concatenated QEC, the effective channels will converge to one of the five types, which is easy to distinguish through quantum process tomography [22–28], this provides a method to trace to the source of the quantum channel.

Based on the analysis of results, there are two methods to realize the logic Pauli operations in the QEC scheme. The one is applying Pauli operations on the main system qubit before encoding, which will introduce correlated noise. The another one is applying logic Pauli operations after encoding or before decoding, which will introduce independent noise. Because of the processes are not ideal, the effects introduced by the two methods are different. It is found that the difference of the two methods can be related to the difference between correlated noise and independent noise in the QEC scheme, which corresponding to the degree of identification of the correlated noise and the independent noise. Furthermore, we consider that the decoherence of quantum state may be related with the degree of identification for the state under quantum noise, and the results of this work confirmed that the degree of purity of quantum channel determines its ability to retain the quantum property of the quantum state, not the fidelity.

The content of the present work is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we process the tomography of the Pauli channel with five error-correction codes: 3-qubit code, 7-qubit Steane code [2], 9-qubit Shor code [1], and the two 5-qubit codes [3, 4]. In Sec. III, we analyze the difference between correlated noise and independent noise in the QEC scheme by discussing
the realization of logic Pauli operations. In Sec. IV, we end this work with some remarks and discussion.

II. COMPUTATIONS

In this section, we study the classification and purification of the independent quantum channel with QEC. Based on the specific performances, the error-correction codes can be divided into two categories. One of them can normally purify the independent quantum channel, which contains 3-qubit code, 7-qubit Steane code, and the 5-qubit code in Ref. [3]. The other category will introduce some fixed logical operations during the purification of the independent quantum channel, which contains 9-qubit Shor code and the 5-qubit code in Ref. [4].

The logic states of 3-qubit code are $|0\rangle_C = |000\rangle$ and $|1\rangle_C = |111\rangle$, and the stabilizer generators are $g_1 = |Z_1 Z_2\rangle$ and $g_2 = |Z_2 Z_3\rangle$. For bit-flip noise channel $\varepsilon = p_1 I + p_2 X$ with $p_1 + p_2 = 1$, the correctable errors usually are set as $I, X, \ldots, X$. After one-level QEC, the effective channel is obtained

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} = (p_1^2 + 3p_2^2 p_3) I + (p_1^3 + 3p_1 p_2^2) X,$$

the symmetry of this formula indicates that the channel is approaching identity operation channel $I (p_1 > \frac{1}{2})$ or bit-flip operation channel $X (p_1 < \frac{1}{2})$ through concatenated QEC.

The 7-qubit Steane code has six stabilizer generators,

$$g_1 = |Z_4 Z_5 Z_6 Z_7\rangle, g_2 = |X_1 X_5 X_6 X_7\rangle, g_3 = |Z_2 Z_3 Z_6 Z_7\rangle, g_4 = |X_2 X_3 X_6 X_7\rangle, g_5 = |Z_1 Z_4 Z_5 Z_7\rangle, g_6 = |X_1 X_3 X_5 X_7\rangle.$$

The logic state $|0\rangle_C$ is generated by applying all combinations from $\{I, g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4\}$ on $|0^{\otimes 7}\rangle$, and the logic state $|1\rangle_C$ is obtained by applying $X^{\otimes 7}$ on $|0^{\otimes 7}\rangle$. For general Pauli channel $\varepsilon = p_1 I + p_2 X + p_3 Y + p_4 Z$ with $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4 = 1$, the set of correctable errors consists of the identity operator $I$, all the weight-one Pauli operators and 42 weight-two Pauli operators. After one-level QEC, the effective channel is obtained

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} = [p_1^5 + 7p_1^6(p_1 + p_3 + p_4) + p_1^5(3p_2 p_3 + 19p_3 p_4 + 20p_2 p_4) + O(p_1)] I + [p_1^5 + 7p_1^6(p_1 + p_3 + p_4) + p_1^5(3p_2 p_3 + 19p_3 p_4 + 20p_2 p_4) + O(p_1)] X + [p_1^5 + 7p_1^6(p_1 + p_2 + p_4) + p_1^5(3p_2 p_3 + 19p_3 p_4 + 20p_2 p_4) + O(p_1)] Y + [p_1^5 + 7p_1^6(p_1 + p_2 + p_3) + p_1^5(3p_2 p_3 + 19p_3 p_4 + 20p_2 p_4) + O(p_1)] Z,$$

the eigenvalues of $I, X, Y, Z$ are affected by the structure of the code and the choice of correctable errors, and $O(p_1)$ is the low-order term of $p_1 (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$. Here, the identity operator $I$ and all the weight-one Pauli operators of correctable errors result in the same coefficient items $p_1^5 + 7p_1^6(1 - p)$ for $I, X, Y, Z$. The eigenvalues of $I, X, Y, Z$ have the same form at the minimum, written as $21(1 - p)^5p^2 + 7(1 - p)^4p^3 + 28(1 - p)^3p^4 + 7(1 - p)p^5 + p^6$. It indicates that when $p$ is above 0.935404, the quantum channel can be purified.

The 5-qubit code from Ref. [3] has four stabilizer generators, which are

$$g_1 = |X_1 Z_2 Z_3 X_4\rangle, g_2 = |X_2 Z_3 Z_4 X_5\rangle, g_3 = |X_1 X_2 Z_4 Z_5\rangle, g_4 = |Z_1 X_2 X_4 Z_5\rangle.$$

The logic state $|0\rangle_C$ is generated by applying all combinations from $\{I, g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4\}$ on $|0^{\otimes 5}\rangle$, and the logic state $|1\rangle_C$ is obtained by applying $X^{\otimes 5}$ on $|0^{\otimes 5}\rangle$. For general Pauli channel $\varepsilon = p_1 I + p_2 X + p_3 Y + p_4 Z$ with $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4 = 1$, the set of correctable errors consists of the identity operator $I$, all the weight-one Pauli operators. After one-level QEC, the effective channel is obtained

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} = [p_1^5 + 5p_1^6(p_1 + p_3 + p_4) + 10p_1^7(p_2 p_3 + p_2 p_4) + p_2^2 p_4 + 2p_2 p_3 + p_2^2 p_4 + O(p_1)] I + [p_1^5 + 5p_1^6(p_1 + p_3 + p_4) + 10p_1^7(p_2 p_3 + p_2 p_4) + p_2^2 p_4 + 2p_2 p_3 + p_2^2 p_4 + O(p_1)] X + [p_1^5 + 5p_1^6(p_1 + p_3 + p_4) + 10p_1^7(p_2 p_3 + p_2 p_4) + p_2^2 p_4 + 2p_2 p_3 + p_2^2 p_4 + O(p_1)] Y + [p_1^5 + 5p_1^6(p_1 + p_3 + p_4) + 10p_1^7(p_2 p_3 + p_2 p_4) + p_2^2 p_4 + 2p_2 p_3 + p_2^2 p_4 + O(p_1)] Z,$$

the eigenvalues of $I, X, Y, Z$ are affected by the structure of the code and the choice of correctable errors, and $O(p_1)$ is the low-order term of $p_1 (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$. For the 5-qubit code, there is only one choice of correctable errors, which result in similar coefficient items for $I, X, Y, Z$. The eigenvalues of $I, X, Y, Z$ have the same form at the minimum, written as $5p^4(1 - p) + p^5$. It indicates that when $p$ is above 0.868877, the quantum channel can be purified.

The logic states of 9-qubit Shor code $|0\rangle_C$ is generated by applying all combinations from $\{000, 111\}$, and the logic state $|1\rangle_C$ is obtained by applying $Z^{\otimes 9}$ on $|0\rangle_C$. The eight generators of 9-qubit Shor code are $g_1 = |Z_1 Z_2\rangle, g_2 = |Z_2 Z_3\rangle, g_3 = |Z_4 Z_5\rangle, g_4 = |Z_5 Z_6\rangle, g_5 = |Z_6 Z_7\rangle, g_6 = |Z_7 Z_8\rangle, g_7 = |X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 X_5 X_6\rangle$, and $g_8 = |X_1 X_2 X_4 X_5 X_6 X_8\rangle$. For general Pauli channel $\varepsilon = p_1 I + p_2 X + p_3 Y + p_4 Z$ with $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4 = 1$, the set of correctable errors consists of the identity operator $I$, all the weight-one Pauli operators, and other 228 weight-two to weight-three Pauli operators. After one-level QEC, the effective channel is obtained

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} = [p_1^9 + 9p_1^8(p_2 + p_3 + p_4) + 9p_1^7(p_2^2 + 2p_2 p_4) + 3p_2^2 + 3p_2^2 + 6p_2 p_3 + 8p_2 p_4 + O(p_1)] I + [p_1^9 + 9p_1^8(p_2 + p_3 + p_4) + 9p_1^7(p_2^2 + 2p_2 p_4) + 3p_2^2 + 3p_2^2 + 6p_2 p_3 + 8p_2 p_4 + O(p_1)] X + [p_1^9 + 9p_1^8(p_2 + p_3 + p_4) + 9p_1^7(p_2^2 + 2p_2 p_4) + 3p_2^2 + 3p_2^2 + 6p_2 p_3 + 8p_2 p_4 + O(p_1)] Y + [p_1^9 + 9p_1^8(p_2 + p_3 + p_4) + 9p_1^7(p_2^2 + 2p_2 p_4) + 3p_2^2 + 3p_2^2 + 6p_2 p_3 + 8p_2 p_4 + O(p_1)] Z,$$

the eigenvalues of $I, X, Y, Z$ are affected by the structure of the code and the choice of correctable errors, and $O(p_1)$ is the
low-order term of \( p_i \), \((i = 1, 2, 3, 4)\). Here, the identity operator \( I \) and all the weight-one Pauli operators of correctable errors result in the same coefficient items \( p^0 + 9p^1(1 - p) \) for \( I, X, Y, Z \). Meanwhile, we notice that the eigenvalue of \( X \) is the main determined by the initial eigenvalue of \( Z \), and the eigenvalue of \( Z \) is main determined by the initial eigenvalue of \( X \), which may result from the structure of the 9-qubit Shor code. The eigenvalues of \( I, X, Y, Z \) have the same form at the minimum, written as \( 27(1 - p)p^2 + 27(1 - p)p^3 + 99(1 - p)p^4 + 27(1 - p)p^5 + 57(1 - p)p^6 + 9(1 - p)p^7 + 9(1 - p)p^8 + p^9 \). It indicates that when \( p \) is above 0.950149, the quantum channel can be purified.

The logic states of 5-qubit code in Ref. [4] can be written as

\[
|0_\mathcal{L}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} [\langle 00000 \rangle - |10111\rangle - |01101\rangle + |11100\rangle + |00011\rangle + |01110\rangle + |10011\rangle + |11001\rangle + |01111\rangle], \\
|1_\mathcal{L}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} [\langle 11111 \rangle - |10100\rangle + |10100\rangle - |00011\rangle + |01110\rangle + |10011\rangle - |11001\rangle - |00111\rangle].
\]

For general Pauli channel \( \varepsilon = p_1 I + p_2 X + p_3 Y + p_4 Z \) with \( p_1 + p_2 + p_3 + p_4 = 1 \), the set of correctable errors consists of the identity operator \( I \), and all the weight-one Pauli operators. After one-level QEC, the effective channel is obtained

\[
\tilde{\varepsilon} = \begin{cases} 
 p_1^5 + p_4^5 + 5p_4^4(p_2 + p_3 + p_4) + p_2^4(p_1 + p_2 + p_3) + O(p_1, p_4)I \\
 + (4p_2^3 + O(p_2))X \\
 + (p_2^5 + p_3^5 + 5p_3^4(p_1 + p_2 + p_4) + p_1^4(p_1 + p_3 + p_4) + O(p_2, p_3)Y \\
 + (4p_1^3 + O(p_2))Z, 
\end{cases}
\]

the eigenvalues of \( I, X, Y, Z \) are affected by the structure of the code and the choice of correctable errors, and \( O(p_1, p_4) \) is the low-order term of \( p_1 \& p_4 \), \( O(p_2, p_3) \) is the low-order term of \( p_2 \& p_3 \). For the 5-qubit code, there is only one choice of correctable errors, which result in similar coefficient items for \( I \& Y \) or \( X \& Z \). Meanwhile, we notice that the eigenvalue of \( I \) is mainly determined by the initial eigenvalues of \( I \& Z \), and the eigenvalue of \( Y \) is mainly determined by the initial eigenvalues of \( Y \& X \). The eigenvalues of \( X \& Z \) are minor terms, which may result from the structure of the 5-qubit code.

The eigenvalues of \( I \& Y \) have the same form at the minimum, written as \( 5(1 - p)p^2 + p^5 \) (the initial channels are \( I \)-type and \( Y \)-type) or \( 2(1 - p)^2p^2 + 2(1 - p)p^3 + (1 - p)p^4 + p^5 \) (the initial channels are \( X \)-type and \( Z \)-type).

III. ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

The results indicate that QEC can be used to classify and purify the independent quantum channel, and this conclusion is valid for the common error-correction codes. There is different purification threshold for different cases, and the specific effect of purification is depending on the structure of the code. For the 7-qubit Steane code and the 5-qubit code [3], the purification threshold is 0.935404 and 0.868877, respectively. For the 9-qubit Shor code, the purification threshold is 0.950149, and we should notice that the eigenvalue of \( X \) and \( Z \) will exchange after QEC. For the three codes above, the purification threshold is fixed, because the channel type can be exchanged through logic \( X, Y, Z \) operations. Meanwhile, the quantum state under the noise channel can be purified and changed to an \( I \)-type and \( Y \)-type channel can be purified and changed to the \( I \)-type channel, and the amplitude damping channel can be purified and changed to a \( Y \)-type channel.

The one other is applying logic Pauli operations after encoding or before decoding, which will introduce independent noise,

\[
\tilde{P}_S = Tr_A [U^\dagger \Lambda [\hat{P}(U_{PA} \otimes \rho_S)]]]. 
\]

The other one is applying Pauli operations on the main system qubit before encoding, which will introduce correlated noise,

\[
\tilde{P}_S = Tr_A [U^\dagger \Lambda [\hat{P}(U_{PA} \otimes \rho_S)]]].
\]
Here, $\hat{P}$ is the logic Pauli operator, $S$ represents the main system qubit, $A$ represents the assisted qubits, $U$ and $U^\dagger$ are the encoding and decoding unitary operators, and $A$ represents the noise evolution. If the independent Pauli operators were ideal, and the fidelity of the independent noise is $f$, the fidelity of the logic Pauli operator is $F_f$. When the independent Pauli operators are not ideal, and set the fidelity is $q$, the fidelity of the logic Pauli operator from Eq. (6) is

$$F_{\hat{P}_i} \approx q \ast F_f.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

And the fidelity of the logic Pauli operator from Eq. (7) is

$$F_{\hat{P}_q} < q \ast F_f, f \& q are \ discrete,$$

$$F_{\hat{P}_q} \geq q \ast F_f, f \& q are \ adjacent.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Based on Eq. (8) and (9), we can choose a more appropriate method to implement the logic Pauli operator according to the actual situation. Meanwhile, we note that applying logic Pauli operations after encoding or before decoding have no influence on the fidelity of the logic Pauli operator in Eq. (9).

IV. REMARKS AND DISCUSSION

In previous works, QEC is one method for noise suppression, and is also used in fault-tolerant computation [29, 30]. Based on this work, QEC can be used to classify and purify the independent quantum channel, which provides a method to trace the source of the quantum channel according to the effective channel. At the same time as purification for the quantum channel, 9-qubit Shor code and 5-qubit code [4] also realize some fixed logic gates. Specifically, the 9-qubit Shor code will exchange the weight of $X$&$Z$-items in the quantum channel. The 5-qubit code [4] will suppress the weight of $X$&$Z$ in the quantum channel, meanwhile, promotes the weight of $I$&$Y$ in the quantum channel, which results in no $X$-type or $Z$-type quantum channel can exist after QEC with this code. From this work, we have a more clear cognition for QEC. For the unknown independent channel, QEC is not only one method to improve the fidelity, but also one method for identification, classification, and purification.