

BOUNDEDNESS OF PRECOMPACT SETS OF METRIC MEASURE SPACES

DAISUKE KAZUKAWA AND TAKUMI YOKOTA

ABSTRACT. We give a detailed proof to Gromov's statement that precompact sets of metric measure spaces are bounded with respect to the box distance and the Lipschitz order.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *metric measure space*, or an *mm-space* for short, is a triple $X = (X, d_X, \mu_X)$, where μ_X is a Borel probability measure on a complete separable metric space (X, d_X) . Among other things, Gromov [G] introduced a distance function \square , called the *box distance*, and a partial order \prec , called the *Lipschitz order*, on the set \mathcal{X} of all (isomorphism classes of) mm-spaces. Our main reference is Shioya's book [S].

For mm-spaces X and Y , we say that X *dominates* Y and write $Y \prec X$ if there exists a 1-Lipschitz map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f_*\mu_X = \mu_Y$, e.g. [S, Definition 2.10].

The purpose of this note is to give a detailed proof of the following statement, which was only sketched in [G].

Theorem 1 (Gromov [G, 3 $\frac{1}{2}$.15.(f)]). *If $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is a precompact set in (\mathcal{X}, \square) , then there exists an mm-space X with $Y \prec X$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$.*

In Theorem 1, the product of spaces in \mathcal{Y} does the job if \mathcal{Y} is a finite set, cf. [S, Proposition 4.57], but we do not know such a simple construction of X in general.

Example 2. Let $K \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and $D > 0$. The set $\mathcal{X}_{\leq N}^D$ of finite mm-spaces with cardinality $\leq N$ and diameter $\leq D$ is a typical example of \square -compact sets, e.g. [S, Theorem 4.27]. The set $\mathcal{M}(K, N, D)$ of closed Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature $\geq K$, dimension $\leq N$, and diameter $\leq D$ is a measured GH precompact and hence \square -precompact, cf. [S, Remarks 4.32, 4.34]. Here and hereafter, GH stands for Gromov–Hausdorff.

We remark that the *pyramid*

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{P}_X := \{Y \in \mathcal{X} : Y \prec X\}$$

associated with an mm-space X is a compact set in (\mathcal{X}, \square) , e.g. [S, Chapter 6], and the converse of Theorem 1 also holds, cf. Corollary 28.

For metric spaces X and Y , we write $Y \prec X$ if there exists a 1-Lipschitz surjection $f : X \rightarrow Y$. The following is a byproduct of this work and a GH analog of Theorem 1.

Proposition 4. *If a set \mathcal{Y} of compact metric spaces is GH-precompact, then there exists a compact metric space X with $Y \prec X$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$.*

After some preparations in Section 2, we present a proof of Theorem 1 in Section 3. Our proof is a slight modification of Gromov's sketch in [G]. In Section 4, we prove Proposition 4.

In our argument, we do not pay careful attention to distinguish an mm-space and an element of \mathcal{X} and consider an element x of a product $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$ of a family $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of sets as a map $x : \Lambda \rightarrow \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$ with $x(\lambda) \in X_\lambda$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Date: May 1, 2021.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 51F30.

Key words and phrases. mm-space, Box distance, Lipschitz order.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some definitions and facts from [S]. A reader who is familiar with it can safely skip this section. We will use the notations in [S] such as

$$U_\varepsilon(A) := \{x \in X : d_X(x, A) < \varepsilon\}$$

for a subset $A \subset X$ of a metric space (X, d_X) and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Definition 5 (e.g. [S, Definition 4.21]). Let X and Y be mm-spaces and $\varepsilon > 0$. We call a Borel map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ an ε -mm-isomorphism if there exists a Borel set $\tilde{X} \subset X$, called a *non-exceptional domain*, with

- (1) $\mu_X(\tilde{X}) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$,
- (2) $|d_X(x, x') - d_Y(f(x), f(x'))| \leq \varepsilon$ for any $x, x' \in \tilde{X}$, and
- (3) $d_P(f_*\mu_X, \mu_Y) \leq \varepsilon$,

where d_P denotes the Prohorov distance between Borel probability measures on (X, d_X) .

The definition of the box distance \square can be found in e.g. [S, Definition 4.4]. We know that (\mathcal{X}, \square) is a complete metric space, e.g. [S, Theorems 4.10, 4.14]. We need the following rather than its precise definition.

Lemma 6 (e.g. [S, Lemma 4.22]). Let X and Y be mm-spaces and $\varepsilon > 0$.

- (1) If there exists an ε -mm-isomorphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$, then $\square(X, Y) \leq 3\varepsilon$.
- (2) If $\square(X, Y) < \varepsilon$, then there exists a 3ε -mm-isomorphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$.

We summarize a lemma in [S] as follows.

Lemma 7 (e.g. [S, Lemma 4.28]). Let $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$. Then \mathcal{Y} is \square -precompact if and only if there exists $\Delta = \Delta(\varepsilon) < \infty$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ such that any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ admits a set \mathcal{K}_Y of Borel sets of Y with

$$\#\mathcal{K}_Y \leq \Delta, \quad \max_{K \in \mathcal{K}_Y} \text{diam } K \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{diam} \bigcup \mathcal{K}_Y \leq \Delta, \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_Y(\bigcup \mathcal{K}_Y) \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Definition 8 ([S, Definitions 6.3, 6.4]). A *pyramid* is a non-empty closed set \mathcal{P} in (\mathcal{X}, \square) with the following properties:

- (1) If $X \in \mathcal{P}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{X}$ satisfy $Y \prec X$, then $Y \in \mathcal{P}$.
- (2) If $X, Y \in \mathcal{P}$, then there exists $Z \in \mathcal{P}$ with $X, Y \prec Z$.

We say that a sequence $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of pyramids *converges weakly* to a pyramid \mathcal{P} if

- (1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \square(X, \mathcal{P}_n) = 0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{P}$ and
- (2) $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \square(Y, \mathcal{P}_n) > 0$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{P}$.

A typical example of pyramids is \mathcal{P}_X associated with an mm-space X in (3). The only fact that we need is that the sequence $\{\mathcal{P}_{X_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of pyramids converges weakly to \mathcal{P}_Y if a sequence $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of mm-spaces converges to an mm-space Y in (\mathcal{X}, \square) , e.g. [S, Propositions 5.5, 6.13].

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In this section, we make some preparations and present a proof of Theorem 1.

Definition 9. Let $C, D \geq 0$. We say that a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between metric spaces X and Y is (C, D) -Lipschitz if it satisfies

$$d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq Cd_X(x, x') + D$$

for any $x, x' \in X$. A $(C, 0)$ -Lipschitz map is simply called a C -Lipschitz map.

Lemma 10 (cf. [S, Lemma 4.19]). *Let X be an mm-space and $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0$. If a set \mathcal{A} of disjoint Borel sets of X and a Borel probability measure ν on X satisfy*

$$\sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \text{diam } A \leq \varepsilon, \quad \mu_X(C) \leq \varepsilon, \quad \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |\mu_X(A) - \nu(A)| \leq \varepsilon',$$

where $C := X \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}$, then $d_P(\mu_X, \nu) \leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon'$.

Proof. Take any Borel set B of X and let $\mathcal{A}' := \{A \in \mathcal{A} : A \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$ and $\bar{\varepsilon} := \varepsilon + \varepsilon'$. Then we have

$$\mu_X(B) \leq \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}'} \mu_X(A) + \mu_X(C) \leq \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}'} \nu(A) + \bar{\varepsilon} \leq \nu(U_{\bar{\varepsilon}}(B)) + \bar{\varepsilon}$$

and obtain $d_P(\mu_X, \nu) \leq \bar{\varepsilon}$. \square

In applying Lemma 10, we note that $1 - \varepsilon \leq \nu(A)/\mu_X(A) \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ implies

$$\sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |\mu_X(A) - \nu(A)| \leq \varepsilon \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \mu_X(A) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Definition 11 (cf. [N]). Let X, Y be mm-spaces, $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$ a subset, and $\varepsilon > 0$.

We write $\mathcal{Y} \prec X$ if $Y \prec X$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$.

We write $Y \prec_{\varepsilon} X$ if there exist a Borel set $\tilde{X} \subset X$, called a *non-exceptional domain*, and a Borel map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with

- (1) $\mu_X(\tilde{X}) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$,
- (2) $d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq d_X(x, x') + \varepsilon$ for any $x, x' \in \tilde{X}$, and
- (3) $d_P(f_*\mu_X, \mu_Y) \leq \varepsilon$.

We write $\mathcal{Y} \prec_{\varepsilon, 0} X$ if the condition $Y \prec_{\varepsilon} X$ holds with $\tilde{X} = X$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$.

Lemma 12. *Let Y be an mm-space and $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a finite set of disjoint Borel sets of Y with*

$$\text{diam } A < \varepsilon, \quad \mu_Y(\partial A) = 0, \quad \text{and } \mu_Y(A) > 0 \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(Y, \mathcal{A}, \varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{X}$ of Y in (\mathcal{X}, \square) for which any $Z \in \mathcal{O}$ admits a Borel map $\Phi : Y \rightarrow Z$ and a finite set \mathcal{A}_Z of disjoint Borel sets of Z with

- (1) $|d_Y(y, y') - d_Z(\Phi(y), \Phi(y'))| < 3\varepsilon$ for any $y, y' \in U$, where $U := \bigcup \mathcal{A}$,
- (2) $\text{diam } A < \varepsilon$, $\mu_Z(A) > 0$, $\Phi^{-1}(A) \cap U \in \mathcal{A}$, and

$$1 - \varepsilon < \frac{\Phi_*\mu_Y(A)}{\mu_Z(A)} < 1 + \varepsilon \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{A}_Z, \text{ and}$$

- (3) $\Phi(U) \subset U_Z$, where $U_Z := \bigcup \mathcal{A}_Z$.

Proof. If such a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}(Y, \mathcal{A}, \varepsilon)$ does not exist, there exists a sequence $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of mm-spaces with $\square(Z_n, Y) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for which Z_n does not admit such \mathcal{A}_{Z_n} . Then there exists an ε_n -mm-isomorphism $\Psi_n : Z_n \rightarrow Y$ with a non-exceptional domain $\tilde{Z}_n \subset Z_n$ for each n with $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by Lemma 6. We define

$$\mathcal{A}_{Z_n} := \{\Psi_n^{-1}(A) \cap \tilde{Z}_n : A \in \mathcal{A}\} \quad \text{and} \quad U_{Z_n} := \bigcup \mathcal{A}_{Z_n}.$$

Note that $\text{diam}(\Psi_n^{-1}(A) \cap \tilde{Z}_n) \leq \text{diam } A + \varepsilon_n < \varepsilon$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and large n .

Since $(\Psi_n)_*\mu_{Z_n}$ weakly converges to μ_Y , the Portmanteau theorem implies

$$(13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{Z_n}(\Psi_n^{-1}(A) \cap \tilde{Z}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Psi_n)_*\mu_{Z_n}(A) = \mu_Y(A) > 0$$

and we choose a point $\text{pt}_{A,n} \in \Psi_n^{-1}(A) \cap \tilde{Z}_n$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$. We also note that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{Z_n}(U_{Z_n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{Z_n}(\Psi_n^{-1}(U)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Psi_n)_*\mu_{Z_n}(U) = \mu_Y(U)$$

and choose some $z_n \in Z_n$ if $\mu_Y(U) = 1$ and $z_n \in Z_n \setminus U_{Z_n}$ if $\mu_Y(U) < 1$.

Define a map $\Phi_n : Y \rightarrow Z_n$ as

$$\Phi_n(y) := \begin{cases} \text{pt}_{A,n} & \text{if } y \in A, \\ z_n & \text{if } y \in Y \setminus U. \end{cases}$$

Then we have $\Phi_n(U) \subset U_{Z_n}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |d_Y(y, y') - d_{Z_n}(\Phi_n(y), \Phi_n(y'))| &= |d_Y(y, y') - d_{Z_n}(\text{pt}_{A,n}, \text{pt}_{A',n})| \\ &\leq |d_Y(y, y') - d_Y(\Psi_n(\text{pt}_{A,n}), \Psi_n(\text{pt}_{A',n}))| + \varepsilon_n \\ &\leq d_Y(y, \Psi_n(\text{pt}_{A,n})) + d_Y(y', \Psi_n(\text{pt}_{A',n})) + \varepsilon_n < 3\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for any $y \in A$ and any $y' \in A'$ with $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$.

Moreover we have $\mu_Y(\Phi_n^{-1}(\Psi_n^{-1}(A) \cap \tilde{Z}_n)) = \mu_Y(A)$ and Equation (13) implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\Phi_n)_* \mu_Y(\Psi_n^{-1}(A) \cap \tilde{Z}_n)}{\mu_{Z_n}(\Psi_n^{-1}(A) \cap \tilde{Z}_n)} = \frac{\mu_Y(A)}{\mu_Y(A)} = 1$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$. These contradict the assumption and finish the proof. \square

Corollary 14. *Let Y be an mm-space and $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose that \mathcal{A} is as in Lemma 12. Then there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(Y, \mathcal{A}, \varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{X}$ of Y in (\mathcal{X}, \square) with the following properties: If X is an mm-space and $h : X \rightarrow Y$ is a Borel map with $h(X) \subset U := \bigcup \mathcal{A}$, then any $Z \in \mathcal{O}$ admits a Borel map $h_Z : X \rightarrow Z$ and a finite set \mathcal{A}_Z of disjoint Borel sets of Z with*

- (1) $\text{diam } A < \varepsilon$ and $\mu_Z(A) > 0$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_Z$,
- (2) $|d_Y(h(x), h(x')) - d_Z(h_Z(x), h_Z(x'))| < 3\varepsilon$ for any $x, x' \in h^{-1}(U)$,
- (3) $h_Z(X) \subset U_Z$, where $U_Z := \bigcup \mathcal{A}_Z$, and
- (4) there is a bijection $\mathcal{A}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{A}; A \mapsto A_Y$ for which any $A \in \mathcal{A}_Z$ satisfies

$$(h_Z)_* \mu_X(A) = h_* \mu_X(A_Y) \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \varepsilon < \frac{\mu_Y(A_Y)}{\mu_Z(A)} < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(Y, \mathcal{A}, \varepsilon)$ be as in Lemma 12. For $Z \in \mathcal{O}$, let $\Phi : Y \rightarrow Z$ and \mathcal{A}_Z be also as in Lemma 12 and define $h_Z := \Phi \circ h : X \rightarrow Z$.

If $x, x' \in X$ and $y = h(x), y' = h(x') \in U$, we have

$$|d_Y(h(x), h(x')) - d_Z(h_Z(x), h_Z(x'))| = |d_Y(y, y') - d_Z(\Phi(y), \Phi(y'))| < 3\varepsilon.$$

For any $A \in \mathcal{A}_Z$, the set $A_Y := \Phi^{-1}(A) \cap U \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$(h_Z)_* \mu_X(A) = \mu_X(h^{-1}(\Phi^{-1}(A))) = h_* \mu_X(A_Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mu_Y(A_Y)}{\mu_Z(A)} = \frac{\Phi_* \mu_Y(A)}{\mu_Z(A)}.$$

Thus h_Z has the desired properties by Lemma 12. \square

We use the following in the first step of our proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 15. *Let $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$ be a finite set and \mathcal{A}_Y a non-empty finite set of disjoint Borel sets of $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ with $\mu_Y(A) > 0$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_Y$ and $U_Y := \bigcup \mathcal{A}_Y \subset Y$. Then there exist a finite mm-space X and 1-Lipschitz maps $f_Y : X \rightarrow Y$ with*

$$f_Y(X) \subset U_Y \quad \text{and} \quad (f_Y)_* \mu_X(A) = \frac{\mu_Y(A)}{\mu_Y(U_Y)}$$

for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_Y$.

Proof. We choose $\text{pt}_A \in A$ for each $A \in \bigcup_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{A}_Y$ and define $X := \prod_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{A}_Y$,

$$d_X(x, x') := \max_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} d_Y(\text{pt}_{x(Y)}, \text{pt}_{x'(Y)}), \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_X(\{x\}) := \prod_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} \frac{\mu_Y(x(Y))}{\mu_Y(U_Y)}$$

for $x, x' \in X$. Then $X = (X, d_X, \mu_X)$ is a finite mm-space and the map

$$f_Y : X \rightarrow Y, \quad f_Y(x) = \text{pt}_{x(Y)}$$

is 1-Lipschitz and satisfies

$$(f_Y)_* \mu_X(A) = \mu_X(f_Y^{-1}(A)) = \mu_X(f_Y^{-1}(\text{pt}_A)) = \frac{\mu_Y(A)}{\mu_Y(U_Y)}$$

for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_Y$. This finishes the proof. \square

Although we do not use the following in our argument, we record it for possible future applications.

Corollary 16. *If $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is precompact in (\mathcal{X}, \square) and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists a finite mm-space X with $\mathcal{Y} \prec_{\varepsilon, 0} X$.*

Proof. Since any mm-space X satisfies $\mathcal{Y} \prec_{\varepsilon, 0} X$ if $\varepsilon \geq 1$, we may assume that $\varepsilon < 1$. For each $Y \in \overline{\mathcal{Y}}$, we take a finite set \mathcal{A}_Y of disjoint Borel sets of Y with

$$\text{diam } A < \varepsilon, \quad \mu_Y(\partial A) = 0, \quad \mu_Y(A) > 0 \text{ for any } A \in \mathcal{A}_Y \text{ and } \mu_Y(U_Y) > (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1},$$

where $U_Y := \bigcup \mathcal{A}_Y$, cf. [OY, Lemma 42]. Since \mathcal{Y} is \square -precompact, Corollary 14 implies that there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{Y}' \subset \overline{\mathcal{Y}}$ with $\mathcal{Y} \subset \bigcup_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}'} \mathcal{O}(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y, \varepsilon)$ and Lemma 15 states that there exist a finite mm-space X and 1-Lipschitz maps $f_Y : X \rightarrow Y$ with

$$f_Y(X) \subset U_Y \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(f_Y)_* \mu_X(A)}{\mu_Y(A)} = \frac{1}{\mu_Y(U_Y)}$$

for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}'$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_Y$. We note that $\mu_Y(U_Y) > (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} > 1 - \varepsilon$.

For any $Z \in \mathcal{O}(Y, \mathcal{A}_Y, \varepsilon)$ with some $Y \in \mathcal{Y}'$, Corollary 14 implies that there exists a map $f_Z : X \rightarrow Z$ with

$$d_Z(f_Z(x), f_Z(x')) \leq d_Y(f_Y(x), f_Y(x')) + 3\varepsilon \leq d_X(x, x') + 3\varepsilon$$

for any $x, x' \in X$ and, if we replace \mathcal{A}_Z with the one in Corollary 14, we have $(1 + \varepsilon)^2 < 1 + 3\varepsilon$,

$$1 - \varepsilon < \frac{(f_Z)_* \mu_X(A)}{\mu_Z(A)} = \frac{(f_Y)_* \mu_X(A_Y)}{\mu_Y(A_Y)} \frac{\mu_Y(A_Y)}{\mu_Z(A)} = \frac{1}{\mu_Y(U_Y)} \frac{\mu_Y(A_Y)}{\mu_Z(A)} < 1 + 3\varepsilon$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_Z$, and $\mu_Z(U_Z) > (1 - \varepsilon)\mu_Y(U_Y) > 1 - 2\varepsilon$.

Then Lemma 10 yields $d_P(\mu_Y, (f_Y)_* \mu_X) \leq 5\varepsilon$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$. Thus $\mathcal{Y} \prec_{5\varepsilon, 0} X$ and this finishes the proof. \square

The following two lemmas are the key technical lemmas in our proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 17. *Let X be a finite mm-space, $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$ a finite subset, and $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0$. Suppose that any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ admits a map $f_Y : X \rightarrow Y$ and finite sets \mathcal{A}_Y and \mathcal{A}'_Y of disjoint Borel sets of Y with*

- (1) $d_Y(f_Y(x), f_Y(x')) \leq d_X(x, x') + \varepsilon$ for any $x, x' \in X$,
- (2) $f_Y(X) \subset U_Y$, where $U_Y := \bigcup \mathcal{A}_Y$,
- (3) $\text{diam } A \leq \varepsilon$ and $(f_Y)_* \mu_X(A) = \mu_Y(A)/\mu_Y(U_Y)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_Y$,
- (4) $\mu_Y(Y \setminus U'_Y) < (\varepsilon'/(1 + \varepsilon')) \min\{\mu_Y(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}_Y\}$, where $U'_Y := \bigcup \mathcal{A}'_Y$,
- (5) $\mu_Y(B) > 0$ for any $B \in \mathcal{A}'_Y$, and
- (6) $B \subset A$ or $A \cap B = \emptyset$ if $A \in \mathcal{A}_Y$ and $B \in \mathcal{A}'_Y$.

Then there exists a finite mm-space X' with $\square(X, X') \leq 9\varepsilon$ for which any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ admits a 1-Lipschitz map $g_Y : X' \rightarrow Y$ with $g_Y(X) \subset U_Y \cap U'_Y$ and

$$(18) \quad 1 \leq \mu_Y(U_Y) \frac{(g_Y)_* \mu_{X'}(B)}{\mu_Y(B)} < 1 + \varepsilon' \text{ for any } B \in \mathcal{A}'_Y \text{ with } B \subset U_Y.$$

Proof. For any $x \in X$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, there exists a unique $A_{Y,x} \in \mathcal{A}_Y$ with $f_Y(x) \in A_{Y,x}$. We put

$$\mathcal{A}'(A) := \{B \in \mathcal{A}'_Y : B \subset A\}$$

for a subset $A \subset Y$ and define

$$X'_x := \prod_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{A}'(A_{Y,x}) \text{ for } x \in X \quad \text{and} \quad X' := \bigsqcup_{x \in X} X'_x.$$

We choose a point $\text{pt}_B \in B$ for each $B \in \bigcup_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{A}'_Y$ and define

$$d_{X'}(\varphi, \varphi') := \max \left\{ \max_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} d_Y(\text{pt}_{\varphi(Y)}, \text{pt}_{\varphi'(Y)}), d_X(x, x') \right\},$$

$$\mu_{X'}(\{\varphi\}) := \mu_X(\{x\}) \frac{\prod_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} \mu_Y(\varphi(Y))}{\prod_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} \mu_Y(\bigcup \mathcal{A}'(A_{Y,x}))}$$

for $\varphi \in X'_x$ and $\varphi' \in X'_{x'}$ with $x, x' \in X$. Then $X' = (X', d_{X'}, \mu_{X'})$ is a finite mm-space, because $\mu_{X'}(X'_x) = \mu_X(\{x\})$ for any $x \in X$ and

$$\mu_{X'}(X') = \sum_{x \in X} \mu_{X'}(X'_x) = \sum_{x \in X} \mu_X(\{x\}) = 1.$$

For any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, the map $g_Y : X' \rightarrow Y$ defined by $g_Y(\varphi) = \text{pt}_{\varphi(Y)}$ is 1-Lipschitz and satisfies $g_Y(X) \subset U_Y \cap U'_Y$.

The natural map $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ defined by $\pi(\varphi) = x$ if $x \in X$ and $\varphi \in X'_x$ satisfies $\pi_* \mu_{X'} = \mu_X$. For any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, $\varphi \in X'_x$, and $\varphi' \in X'_{x'}$ with $x, x' \in X$, we also have

$$d_Y(\text{pt}_{\varphi(Y)}, \text{pt}_{\varphi'(Y)}) \leq d_Y(\text{pt}_{\varphi(Y)}, f_Y(x)) + d_Y(f_Y(x), f_Y(x')) + d_Y(f_Y(x'), \text{pt}_{\varphi'(Y)})$$

$$\leq d_X(x, x') + 3\varepsilon$$

and hence

$$(19) \quad d_X(x, x') \leq d_{X'}(\pi(x), \pi(x')) = d_{X'}(\varphi, \varphi') \leq d_X(x, x') + 3\varepsilon.$$

Thus Lemma 6 yields $\square(X, X') \leq 9\varepsilon$.

Finally, we have

$$\mu_Y(A) - \mu_Y(\bigcup \mathcal{A}'(A)) = \mu_Y(A \setminus U'_Y) \leq \mu_Y(Y \setminus U'_Y) < \frac{\varepsilon'}{1 + \varepsilon'} \mu_Y(A),$$

and

$$(g_Y)_* \mu_{X'}(B) = \mu_{X'}(g_Y^{-1}(B)) = \mu_X(f_Y^{-1}(A)) \frac{\mu_Y(B)}{\mu_Y(\bigcup \mathcal{A}'(A))} = \frac{\mu_Y(A)}{\mu_Y(U_Y)} \frac{\mu_Y(B)}{\mu_Y(\bigcup \mathcal{A}'(A))}$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_Y$ and $B \in \mathcal{A}'(A)$. Thus Inequality (18) follows. This completes the proof of Lemma 17. \square

Lemma 20. *Let X be a finite mm-space, $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$ a finite subset, and $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0$ with $\varepsilon < 1$. Suppose that any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ admits a map $g_Y : X \rightarrow Y$ and a finite set \mathcal{A}_Y of disjoint Borel sets of Y with*

- (1) $g_Y(X) \subset U_Y$, where $U_Y := \bigcup \mathcal{A}_Y$,
- (2) $d_Y(g_Y(x), g_Y(x')) \leq d_X(x, x') + \varepsilon'$ for any $x, x' \in X$, and
- (3) $\mu_Y(A) > 0$ and $(g_Y)_* \mu_X(A) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \mu_Y(A)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_Y$.

Then there exists a finite mm-space X' with $\square(X, X') \leq 3\varepsilon$ for which any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ admits a map $f_Y : X' \rightarrow Y$ with

- (4) $f_Y(X') \subset U_Y$,
- (5) $d_Y(f_Y(x), f_Y(x')) \leq d_{X'}(x, x') + \varepsilon'$ for any $x, x' \in X'$, and
- (6) $(f_Y)_*\mu_{X'}(A) = \mu_Y(A)/\mu_Y(U_Y)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_Y$.

Proof. We fix $x_0 \in X$ and choose some $\text{pt}_A \in A$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}_Y$ with

$$g_Y(x_0) \in Y', \text{ where } Y' := \{\text{pt}_A : A \in \mathcal{A}_Y\} \text{ for any } Y \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

Then we define a probability measure $\mu_{Y'}$ on Y' by

$$\mu_{Y'}(\{\text{pt}_A\}) := \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\mu_Y(A)}{\mu_Y(U_Y)} - (1 - \varepsilon)(g_Y)_*\mu_X(A) \right)$$

for $A \in \mathcal{A}_Y$ and note that

$$\mu_{Y'}(\{\text{pt}_A\}) \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(1 - (1 - \varepsilon)(1 + \varepsilon))\mu_Y(A) = \varepsilon\mu_Y(A) > 0$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_Y$ and $\mu_{Y'}(Y') = 1$. Thus $(Y', d_Y, \mu_{Y'})$ is a finite mm-space.

We equip $X'' := \prod_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} Y'$ with the ℓ_∞ -product distance $d_{X''}$ and define

$$d_{X'}(x, x') = d_{X'}(x', x) := \begin{cases} d_Z(x, x') & \text{if } x, x' \in Z = X \text{ or } X'', \\ d_X(x, x_0) + \text{diam } X'' & \text{if } x \in X \text{ and } x' \in X''. \end{cases}$$

Then we define a probability measure $\mu_{X'}$ on $X' := X \sqcup X''$ by

$$\mu_{X'}|_X = (1 - \varepsilon)\mu_X \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{X'}|_{X''} = \varepsilon \bigotimes_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} \mu_{Y'}$$

to make X' an mm-space.

The inclusion $\iota : X \hookrightarrow X'$ is an ε -mm-isomorphism because it is isometric,

$$\iota_*\mu_X(B) = \mu_X(B \cap X) \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\mu_X(B \cap X) + \varepsilon \leq \mu_{X'}(B) + \varepsilon$$

for any Borel set $B \subset X'$, and hence $d_P(\iota_*\mu_X, \mu_{X'}) \leq \varepsilon$. Thus Lemma 6 yields $\square(X, X') \leq 3\varepsilon$.

We fix $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and define a map $f = f_Y : X' \rightarrow Y$ by $f|_X = g_Y$ and $f|_{X''} = \text{pr}_{Y'}$, where $\text{pr}_{Y'} : X'' \rightarrow Y'$ is the projection. In order to check Condition (5), we only have to verify it for $x \in X$ and $x' \in X''$ and actually have

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(f(x), f(x')) &= d_Y(g_Y(x), \text{pr}_{Y'}(x')) \\ &\leq d_Y(g_Y(x), g_Y(x_0)) + d_Y(g_Y(x_0), \text{pr}_{Y'}(x')) \\ &\leq d_X(x, x_0) + \varepsilon' + \text{diam } X'' = d_{X'}(x, x') + \varepsilon'. \end{aligned}$$

We also have $f(X') \subset U_Y$ and

$$f_*\mu_{X'}(A) = (1 - \varepsilon)(g_Y)_*\mu_X(A) + \varepsilon\mu_{Y'}(\text{pr}_{Y'}^{-1}(A)) = \frac{\mu_Y(A)}{\mu_Y(U_Y)}$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_Y$. This completes the proof of Lemma 20. \square

We use the following in the final step of our proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 21 (cf. [S, Lemma 4.39]). *Let $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive numbers with $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If sequences $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{Y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of mm-spaces \square -converge to mm-spaces X and Y respectively and $Y_n \prec_{\varepsilon_n} X_n$ for any n , then $Y \prec X$.*

While Lemma 21 follows from Lemma 6 and [S, Lemma 4.39], cf. [N], it also follows from Proposition 23 below.

Definition 22. For pyramids \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} and $\varepsilon > 0$, we write $\mathcal{Q} \prec_\varepsilon \mathcal{P}$ if any $Y \in \mathcal{Q}$ admits $X \in \mathcal{P}$ with $Y \prec_\varepsilon X$.

Proposition 23. Let $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive numbers with $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If sequences $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{\mathcal{Q}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of pyramids converge weakly to pyramids \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} respectively and $\mathcal{Q}_n \prec_{\varepsilon_n} \mathcal{P}_n$ for any n , then $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{P}$.

To prove Proposition 23, we use the following.

Lemma 24 ([K, Lemma 4.6]). If X and Y are mm-spaces with $Y \prec_\varepsilon X$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists an mm-space Z with $Z \prec X$ and $\square(Y, Z) \leq 4\varepsilon$.

Proof of Proposition 23. Let $Y \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then a sequence $\{Y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of mm-spaces with $Y_n \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ \square -converges to Y . By assumption, there exists $X_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ with $Y_n \prec_{\varepsilon_n} X_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 24, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an mm-space Z_n with $Z_n \prec X_n$ and $\square(Y_n, Z_n) \leq 4\varepsilon_n$. Note that $Z_n \in \mathcal{P}_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ \square -converges to Y . Thus the weak convergence of $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ implies $Y \in \mathcal{P}$. This means that $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{P}$. \square

Corollary 25 (cf. [S, Proposition 2.11]). If pyramids \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} satisfy $\mathcal{P} \prec_\varepsilon \mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{Q} \prec_\varepsilon \mathcal{P}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, then $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{Q}$.

Proof. We have $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{P}$ by Proposition 23 and hence $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{Q}$. \square

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. We suppose that $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is precompact in (\mathcal{X}, \square) and fix a decreasing sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of positive numbers $\varepsilon_n > 0$ with $\varepsilon_1 < 1$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varepsilon_n < \infty$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we take a finite set $\mathcal{A}_{Y,n}$ of Borel sets of $Y \in \overline{\mathcal{Y}}$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{Y}_n \subset \overline{\mathcal{Y}}$ with

- (1) $\text{diam } A < \varepsilon_n$, $\mu_Y(A) > 0$, and $\mu_Y(\partial A) = 0$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_{Y,n}$,
- (2) $\mathcal{O}_{Y,n} := \mathcal{O}(Y, \mathcal{A}_{Y,n}, \varepsilon_n)$ is as in Corollary 14 for $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_n$ and $\bigcup_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}_n} \mathcal{O}_{Y,n} = \mathcal{Y}$,
- (3) $\mathcal{A}_{Z,n}$ is as in Corollary 14 if $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_n$ and $Z \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,n}$,
- (4) $B \subset A$ or $A \cap B = \emptyset$ if $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_{n+1}$, $A \in \mathcal{A}_{Y,n}$, and $B \in \mathcal{A}_{Y,n+1}$,
- (5) $\mu_Y(Y \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}_{Y,n+1}) < (\varepsilon_{n+1}/(1 + \varepsilon_{n+1})) \min\{\mu_Y(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}_{Y,n}\}$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_{n+1}$,
- (6) $\mathcal{Y}_n \subset \mathcal{Y}_{n+1}$, and $\mathcal{Y} \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{Y}_n$,

cf. [OY, Lemma 42].

We note that $U_{Y,n} := \bigcup \mathcal{A}_{Y,n} \subset Y$ satisfies $\mu_Y(U_{Y,n}) > (1 + \varepsilon_n)^{-1} > 1 - \varepsilon_n$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_n$.

Claim 26. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a finite mm-space X_n with $\square(X_n, X_{n+1}) \leq 48\varepsilon_n$ and $(1, 3\varepsilon_n)$ -Lipschitz maps $f_{Y,n} : X_n \rightarrow Y$ in the sense of Definition 9 satisfying

$$(27) \quad f_{Y,n}(X_n) \subset U_{Y,n} \quad \text{and} \quad (f_{Y,n})_* \mu_{X_n}(A) = \frac{\mu_Y(A)}{\mu_Y(U_{Y,n})}$$

for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_{n+1}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_{Y,n}$.

Proof. We start by using Lemma 15 to obtain a finite mm-space X_1 and 1-Lipschitz maps $f_{Y,1} : X_1 \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (27) with $n = 1$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_2$. Then we suppose that we have a finite mm-space X_k and $(1, 3\varepsilon_k)$ -Lipschitz maps $f_{Y,k} : X_k \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (27) with $n = k \in \mathbb{N}$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_{k+1}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_{Y,k}$.

We use Lemma 17 to obtain a finite mm-space X'_k with $\square(X_k, X'_k) \leq 27\varepsilon_k$ and 1-Lipschitz maps $g_{Y,k} : X'_k \rightarrow Y$ with

$$g_{Y,k}(X'_k) \subset U_{Y,k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_Y(U_{Y,k}) \frac{(g_{Y,k})_* \mu_{X'_k}(B)}{\mu_Y(B)} < 1 + \varepsilon_{k+1}$$

for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_{k+1}$ and $B \in \mathcal{A}_{Y,k+1}$.

Then we use Corollary 14 to obtain $(1, 3\varepsilon_{k+1})$ -Lipschitz maps $g_{Z,k} : X'_k \rightarrow Z$ with

$$g_{Z,k}(X'_k) \subset U_{Z,k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(g_{Z,k})_* \mu_{X'_k}(B)}{\mu_Z(B)} < (1 + \varepsilon_k)^3 < 1 + 7\varepsilon_k$$

for any $Z \in \overline{\mathcal{Y}} \setminus \mathcal{Y}_{k+1}$ and $B \in \mathcal{A}_{Z,k+1}$.

Finally, we use Lemma 20 to obtain a finite mm-space X_{k+1} with $\square(X'_k, X_{k+1}) \leq 21\varepsilon_k$ and $(1, 3\varepsilon_{k+1})$ -Lipschitz maps $f_{Y,k+1} : X_{k+1} \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (27) with $n = k + 1$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_{k+2}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_{Y,k+1}$.

Then

$$\square(X_k, X_{k+1}) \leq \square(X_k, X'_k) + \square(X'_k, X_{k+1}) \leq 48\varepsilon_k$$

and Claim 26 is verified. \square

We obtained a sequence $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of mm-spaces and maps $f_{Y,n} : X_n \rightarrow Y$ as in Claim 26. Lemma 10 yields $\mathcal{Y}_{n+1} \prec_{3\varepsilon_n,0} X_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \square(X_n, X_{n+1}) < \infty$, the sequence $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is Cauchy and converges to some mm-space X in (\mathcal{X}, \square) . Then $\mathcal{Y} \prec X$ by Lemma 21. Now our proof of Theorem 1 is complete. \square

Corollary 28. *For any subset $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$, the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exists an mm-space X with $\mathcal{Y} \prec X$.*
- (2) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an mm-space X with $Y \prec_\varepsilon X$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$.*
- (3) *\mathcal{Y} is \square -precompact.*

Proof. The implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial and we proved the implication (3) \Rightarrow (1) in Theorem 1. We shall prove the implication (2) \Rightarrow (3).

For $\varepsilon > 0$, we let X be as in (2) and take a finite set \mathcal{K} of Borel sets of X with

$$\max_{K \in \mathcal{K}} \text{diam } K \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_X\left(\bigcup \mathcal{K}\right) \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

By assumption, any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ admits a Borel map $f_Y : X \rightarrow Y$ and a Borel set $\tilde{X}_Y \subset X$ with

- (1) $\mu_X(\tilde{X}_Y) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$,
- (2) $d_Y(f_Y(x), f_Y(x')) \leq d_X(x, x') + \varepsilon$ for any $x, x' \in \tilde{X}_Y$, and
- (3) $d_P((f_Y)_* \mu_X, \mu_Y) \leq \varepsilon$.

We note that the set $\mathcal{K}_Y := \{U_\varepsilon(f_Y(K \cap \tilde{X}_Y)) : K \in \mathcal{K}\}$ satisfies

$$\#\mathcal{K}_Y \leq \#\mathcal{K}, \quad \text{diam } K < 4\varepsilon, \quad \text{diam} \bigcup \mathcal{K}_Y < \text{diam} \bigcup \mathcal{K} + 3\varepsilon < \infty,$$

and

$$\mu_Y\left(\bigcup \mathcal{K}_Y\right) = \mu_Y(U_\varepsilon(f_Y(U_Y))) \geq (f_Y)_* \mu_X(\overline{f_Y(U_Y)}) - \varepsilon \geq \mu_X(U_Y) - \varepsilon \geq 1 - 3\varepsilon$$

for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}_Y$, where $U_Y := \bigcup \mathcal{K} \cap \tilde{X}_Y$. Therefore Lemma 7 implies that \mathcal{Y} is \square -precompact and this finishes the proof. \square

4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4

In this section, we make some preparations and prove Proposition 4. The facts which we need here also are found in [S] and the references therein.

Definition 29 (e.g. [S, Definition 3.10]). For metric spaces X and Y and $\varepsilon > 0$, we call a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ an ε -isometry if it satisfies

- (1) $|d_Y(f(x), f(x')) - d_X(x, x')| \leq \varepsilon$ for any $x, x' \in X$ and
- (2) $d_Y(y, f(X)) \leq \varepsilon$ for any $y \in Y$.

We know that the Gromov–Hausdorff distance d_{GH} is complete on the set of all (isometry classes of) compact metric spaces, e.g. [S, Lemma 3.9]. We need the following rather than its precise definition.

Lemma 30 (e.g. [S, Lemma 3.11]). *Let X and Y be compact metric spaces and $\varepsilon > 0$.*

- (1) *If $d_{GH}(X, Y) < \varepsilon$, then there exists a 2ε -isometry $f : X \rightarrow Y$.*
- (2) *If there exists an ε -isometry $f : X \rightarrow Y$, then $d_{GH}(X, Y) < 2\varepsilon$.*

Definition 31. Let X be a compact metric space and $\varepsilon > 0$.

For a compact metric space Y , we write $Y \prec_\varepsilon X$ if there exists a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with

- (1) $d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq d_X(x, x') + \varepsilon$ for any $x, x' \in X$ and
- (2) $d_Y(y, f(X)) \leq \varepsilon$ for any $y \in Y$.

For a family \mathcal{Y} of compact metric spaces, we write $\mathcal{Y} \prec_\varepsilon X$ if $Y \prec_\varepsilon X$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$.

The following is an analog of Lemma 17.

Lemma 32. *Let \mathcal{Y} be a GH-precompact family of compact metric spaces and $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0$. If a finite metric space X satisfies $\mathcal{Y} \prec_\varepsilon X$, then there exists a finite metric space X' with $d_{GH}(X, X') < 6\varepsilon$ and $\mathcal{Y} \prec_{\varepsilon'} X'$.*

Proof. Since there is nothing to prove if $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'$, we may assume that $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$. We suppose that $\mathcal{Y} \prec_\varepsilon X$ and fix a map $f_Y : X \rightarrow Y$ as in Definition 31 and a finite subset $N_Y \subset Y$ with $U_{\varepsilon'}(N_Y) = Y$ for each $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$. Since \mathcal{Y} is GH-precompact, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{Y}' \subset \mathcal{Y}$ with $d_{GH}(Y, \mathcal{Y}') < \varepsilon'$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$.

For each $x \in X$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}'$, we put

$$N_Y(x) := \{y \in N_Y : d(f_Y(x), y) \leq \varepsilon\} \neq \emptyset.$$

We define

$$X'_x := \prod_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}'} N_Y(x) \text{ for } x \in X, \quad X' := \bigsqcup_{x \in X} X'_x,$$

and

$$d_{X'}(\varphi, \varphi') := \max \left\{ \max_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}'} d_Y(\varphi(Y), \varphi'(Y)), d_X(x, x') \right\}$$

for $\varphi \in X'_x$ and $\varphi' \in X'_{x'}$ with $x, x' \in X$. Then $(X', d_{X'})$ is a finite metric space.

The natural surjection $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ defined by $\pi(\varphi) = x$ if $x \in X$ and $\varphi \in X'_x$ satisfy

$$d_X(x, x') \leq d_Y(\varphi(Y), \varphi'(Y)) \leq d_Y(f_Y(x), f_Y(x')) + 2\varepsilon \leq d_X(x, x') + 3\varepsilon$$

and Inequality (19) for any $\varphi \in X'_x$ and $\varphi' \in X'_{x'}$ with $x, x' \in X$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}'$. This means that π is a 3ε -isometry and Lemma 30 yields $d_{GH}(X, X') < 6\varepsilon$.

We fix $Y \in \mathcal{Y}'$ and consider the map

$$g : X' \rightarrow Y, \quad g(\varphi) = \varphi(Y).$$

Then we have

$$d_Y(g(\varphi), g(\varphi')) = d_Y(\varphi(Y), \varphi'(Y)) \leq d_{X'}(\varphi, \varphi')$$

for any $\varphi, \varphi' \in X'$. If $y \in Y$, there exist $y' \in N_Y$ with $d_Y(y, y') < \varepsilon'$ and $x \in X$ with $d_Y(y', f_Y(x)) \leq \varepsilon$. This means that $y' \in N_Y(x)$ and there exists $\varphi \in X'_x \subset X'$ with $g(\varphi) = y'$ and hence

$$d_Y(y, g(X')) \leq d_Y(y, y') < \varepsilon'.$$

These imply that $\mathcal{Y}' \prec_{\varepsilon'} X'$.

Then $\mathcal{Y} \prec_{5\varepsilon'} X'$ by Lemma 30. This completes the proof of Lemma 32. \square

The following is an analog of Lemma 21, cf. [S, Lemma 5.33].

Lemma 33. *Let $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive numbers with $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If sequences $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{Y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of compact metric spaces GH-converge to compact metric spaces X and Y respectively and $Y_n \prec_{\varepsilon_n} X_n$ for any n , then $Y \prec X$.*

Proof. Let $f_n : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ be as in Definition 31 with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n$. Lemma 30 states that there exist δ_n -isometries $\Phi_n : X \rightarrow X_n$ and $\Psi_n : Y_n \rightarrow Y$ with $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We put $g_n := \Psi_n \circ f_n \circ \Phi_n : X \rightarrow Y$ and take countable dense sets $D \subset X$ and $E \subset Y$ and $x_{y,n} \in X$ with

$$d_Y(g_n(x_{y,n}), y) \leq 2\varepsilon_n + 4\delta_n \text{ for each } y \in E \text{ and } n.$$

By a diagonal argument, we take a subsequence $\{g_{n(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ for which $\{g_{n(k)}(x)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ converges to some $y_x \in Y$ for any $x \in D$ and $\{x_{y,n(k)}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ converges to some $x_y \in X$ for any $y \in E$. Then there exists a unique 1-Lipschitz map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f(x) = y_x$ for any $x \in D$.

If $y \in Y$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and k is large enough, there exists $x \in D$ with $d_X(x_y, x) < \varepsilon$ and

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(f(x_y), y) &\leq d_Y(f(x_y), f(x)) + d_Y(f(x), g_{n(k)}(x)) \\ &\quad + d_Y(g_{n(k)}(x), g_{n(k)}(x_{y,n(k)})) + d_Y(g_{n(k)}(x_{y,n(k)}), y) \\ &< 2d_X(x_y, x) + d_X(x_y, x_{y,n(k)}) + \varepsilon < 4\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $f(x_y) = y$ and f is surjective. This finishes the proof. \square

Now we are ready to prove Proposition 4.

Proof of Proposition 4. We suppose that \mathcal{Y} is a GH-precompact family of compact metric spaces and fix a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of positive numbers $\varepsilon_n > 0$ with $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varepsilon_n < \infty$. Any compact metric space X_0 satisfies $\mathcal{Y} \prec_{\varepsilon_0} X_0$ with $\varepsilon_0 := \sup_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} \text{diam } Y < \infty$. We use Lemma 32 to find compact metric spaces X_n with $\mathcal{Y} \prec_{\varepsilon_n} X_n$ and $d_{GH}(X_n, X_{n+1}) < 6\varepsilon_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is Cauchy, it GH-converges to some compact metric space X . Then $\mathcal{Y} \prec X$ by Lemma 33 and this finishes the proof. \square

The following is an analog of Corollary 28.

Corollary 34. *For any family \mathcal{Y} of compact metric spaces, the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exists a compact metric space X with $\mathcal{Y} \prec X$.*
- (2) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact metric space X with $\mathcal{Y} \prec_\varepsilon X$.*
- (3) *\mathcal{Y} is GH-precompact.*

Proof. The implications (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial and we proved the implication (3) \Rightarrow (1) in Proposition 4. We shall prove the implication (2) \Rightarrow (3).

For $\varepsilon > 0$, we let X be as in (2) and take a finite subset $N \subset X$ with

$$d_X(x, x') > \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad d_X(x'', N) \leq \varepsilon$$

for any $x, x' \in N$ with $x \neq x'$ and any $x'' \in X$. By assumption, any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ admits a map $f_Y : X \rightarrow Y$ as in Definition 31 and we put $N_Y := f_Y(N) \subset Y$. Then we have

$$\text{diam } Y \leq \text{diam } X + 3\varepsilon, \quad \#N_Y \leq \#N < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad d_Y(y, N_Y) \leq 2\varepsilon$$

for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $y \in Y$. Therefore \mathcal{Y} is GH-precompact by e.g. [S, Lemma 3.12] and this finishes the proof. \square

Remark 35. We wonder if there is any relation between Theorem 1 and Proposition 4.

A compact metric space $\mathcal{L}_1(X)$ is associated with an mm-space X , e.g. [S, Definition 4.43]. If $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is a \square -precompact set, then $\{\mathcal{L}_1(Y) : Y \in \mathcal{Y}\}$ is GH-precompact, cf. [S, Proposition 5.5, Lemma 7.7].

A pyramid \mathcal{P} is said to be *concentrated* if $\{\mathcal{L}_1(X) : X \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is GH-precompact, e.g. [S, Definition 7.9]. If $X_n := S^1 \times \cdots \times S^n$ is the product of the unit spheres S^n in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , then

$\overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_{X_n}}$ is a concentrated pyramid which is not \square -precompact, e.g. [S, Corollary 7.10], cf. [OY, Remark 13].

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Professor Takashi Shioya for his comments. The first author was partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI (No.20J00147) and the second author was partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI (No.18K03298).

REFERENCES

- [G] M. Gromov, Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces. Progress in Mathematics, 152. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1999.
- [K] D. Kazukawa, Convergence of metric transformed spaces. arXiv:2008.04038.
- [N] H. Nakajima, Isoperimetric inequality on a metric measure space and Lipschitz order with an additive error. arXiv:1902.07424.
- [OY] R. Ozawa and T. Yokota, Stability of RCD condition under concentration topology. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 58 (2019), no. 4, 151.
- [S] T. Shioya, Metric measure geometry. Gromov's theory of convergence and concentration of metrics and measures. IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, 25. EMS Publishing House, Zürich, 2016.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, TOYONAKA, OSAKA 560-0043, JAPAN

Email address: `d-kazukawa@cr.math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp`

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, SENDAI 980-8578, JAPAN

Email address: `takumiy@tohoku.ac.jp`