

On fractional semidiscrete Dirac operators of Lévy-Leblond type

Nelson Faustino^{1,2}

Abstract. In this paper we introduce a wide class of space-fractional and time-fractional fractional semidiscrete Dirac operators of Lévy-Leblond type on the semidiscrete space-time $h\mathbb{Z}^n \times [0, \infty)$ ($h > 0$), resembling to fractional semidiscrete counterparts of the so-called parabolic Dirac operators. The cornerstone this approach is centered around the study of the null solutions of the underlying space-fractional resp. time-fractional fractional semidiscrete Dirac operators, and on the study of representation of the null solutions for both operators with the aid of the analytic fractional semidiscrete semigroup $\{\exp(-te^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha)\}_{t \geq 0}$ carrying the parameter constraints $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $|\theta| \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$. The results obtained involve the study of Cauchy problems on $h\mathbb{Z}^n \times [0, \infty)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary: 30G35, 34A33, 39A12. Secondary: 35Q41, 35R11, 47D06.

Keywords. fractional semidiscrete Dirac operators, Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, fractional discrete Laplacian.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.1. The State of Art	2
1.2. Main targets	4
1.3. Layout of the paper	6
2. Definitions	7
2.1. Clifford algebra setup	7
2.2. The discrete Fourier analysis background	9
3. Fractional semidiscrete Dirac operators of Lévy-Leblond type	11
3.1. The time-fractional case	11
3.2. Time-fractional case vs. space-fractional case	12
4. Main Results	16
4.1. The analytic semigroup $\{\exp(-te^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha)\}_{t \geq 0}$	16
4.2. Space-fractional vs time-fractional Cauchy problems	17

4.3. Cauchy problems of Lévy-Leblond type	19
5. More on space-fractional semidiscrete Dirac operators	22
Acknowledgments	23
References	23

1. Introduction

1.1. The State of Art

The study of null solutions of Dirac-like operators is known as the heart of several function theories in the context of Clifford algebras. From the knowledge that Dirac-like operators factorize the Laplace operator and its analogues, it is desired to study it algebraically and analytically in order to obtain refinements of well known results in harmonic analysis and to develop applications in the fields of mathematical physics and applied mathematics as well.

In 1967 Lévy-Leblond investigates the factorization of the Schrödinger operator in order to produce non-relativistic analogues of the Dirac operator in the $1 + 3$ -dimensional space carrying any spin (cf. [LL67]). However we have to wait for contemporary times to see how the $(1 + n)$ -dimensional generalization of the Lévy-Leblond type picture, coined as parabolic Dirac type operators, can be used to cover a wide range of applications on the crossroads of function theory and boundary value problems. Between the many notable results regarding this new class of operators, it is worth to stress the importance of the groundbreaking works of Cerejeiras, Kähler & Sommen [CKS05] and Cerejeiras, Sommen & Vieira [CSV07] who proposed the matter of factorize the heat operator and its relatives. Since then, their work motivated many researchers to exploit this framework to several contexts, including higher-dimensional analogues of nonlinear Schrödinger equations [B06, CFV08].

In the last decade the Lévy-Leblond picture had continue to receiving an increasing interest on the formulation of several physical-phase-space formulations of spinning particles through supersymmetric Lie algebraic representations of PDEs (see [AKTT16, A18]) as well as on operational models involving parabolic Dirac operators and their fractional analogues (see e.g. [FV16, FV17, BCBM20, BRS20] and the references therein), to mention a few. Therewith, it is reasonable to say the Lévy-Leblond picture is nowadays well understood.

In parallel, the study of discrete Dirac operators has experienced a rapid increase in hypercomplex analysis during the last fifteen years, strongly influenced by the pioneering papers [FK07, FKS07, BSSV08, RSKS10]. The literature towards this topic, whose physical roots may be found e.g. on the research papers of Kogut & Susskind [KS75] and Rabin [R82] (see also [KK04, S14] and the references therein) is very diverse. To the purpose of this paper we will depict a short overview to motivate our approach.

For the construction of a faithful discretization of the discrete Dirac operator on the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n$, say D_h , whose precise definition will be introduced afterwards, the Clifford algebra of signature of (n, n) may be embodied on the ladder structure of D_h with the aid of the so-called Witt basis $\{\mathbf{e}_j^+, \mathbf{e}_j^- : j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ to resemble the canonical structure of the exterior algebra (cf. [VR16, Chapter 2]).

Originally highlighted in [FKS07] and on the series of papers [BSSV08, RSKS10], such fact was only clarified recently in author's recent paper [F16], through the canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n} \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{C}\ell_{0,n})$ between the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}$ of signature (n, n) and the algebra of endomorphisms acting on the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell_{0,n}$ of signature $(0, n)$.

This in turn allows us to establish a canonical correspondence between the discrete counterpart of the Dirac-Kähler operator $d - d^*$ and the multivector approximation D_h of Dirac operator over $h\mathbb{Z}^n$ (see also [F17]).

In a larger extend, starting from forward/backward discretizations D_h^\pm of the Dirac operator $D = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j \partial_{x_j}$ formely introduced in [FK07], one can make use of the wedge (\wedge) and the dot (\bullet) actions on $\mathcal{C}\ell_{0,n}$ to establish the canonical one-to-one correspondences

$$d \xleftrightarrow{1-1} D_h^- \wedge (\cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad d^* \xleftrightarrow{1-1} D_h^+ \bullet (\cdot)$$

in a way that the discrete Dirac operator D_h yields as a $\mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}$ -valued representation for the multivector counterpart

$$D_h^- \wedge (\cdot) - D_h^+ \bullet (\cdot)$$

of the Dirac-Kähler operator (cf. [F16, subsection 2.3]).




FIGURE 1. The Lévy-Leblond picture on the fractional semidiscrete case.

1.2. Main targets

In this paper we will focus our attention on the time-fractional and space-fractional Dirac operators carrying the parameters $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $\beta \geq 1$ and $|\theta| \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$.

On the construction neatly summarized in FIGURE 1, the notation Δ_h stands for the discrete Laplacian on the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n$ considered in several author's contributions such as [F16, F17]. In addition, the family of fractional discrete operators $(-\Delta_h)^\sigma$ ($0 < \sigma \leq 1$) will be defined in terms of its

Fourier symbol in the streamlines of [LR18, Section 6.] (see also [F19, section 21.4.3]). For our main purposes we will adopt the notation \mathbb{D}_t^β for the so-called Riemann-Liouville (right-sided) fractional derivative (cf. [SKM93, Chapter 2]).

The operator \mathbb{D}_t^β defined as follows:

$$\mathbb{D}_t^\beta \Psi(x, t) = \begin{cases} (-\partial_t)^k \int_t^{+\infty} g_{k-\beta}(s-t) \Psi(x, s) ds & \text{for } k-1 < \beta < k \\ (-\partial_t)^k \Psi(x, t) & \text{for } \beta = k, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $k = \lfloor \beta \rfloor + 1$ ($\lfloor \beta \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of β), is an integro-differential operator for every $\beta \neq k$, involving the higher order time-derivative $(-\partial_t)^k := (-1)^k (\partial_t)^k$, and an integral part corresponding to the convolution between $\Psi(x, t)$ and the Gel'fand-Shilov function $g_\nu : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, defined for $-\nu \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ by

$$g_\nu(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{p^{\nu-1}}{\Gamma(\nu)} & \text{for } p > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } p \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

It should be noted that the Eulerian integral representation involving the Gamma function $\Gamma(\nu)$ (cf. [PBM86, formula 2.3.3. (1), p.322])

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-p\lambda} p^{\nu-1} dp = \Gamma(\nu) \lambda^{-\nu}, \quad \Re(\nu) > 0 \quad \& \quad \Re(\lambda) > 0 \quad (1.3)$$

assures that, for $k-1 < \beta < k$, the function $p \mapsto g_{k-\beta}(p)$ appearing on the definition of \mathbb{D}_t^β defines a probability density function, converging to the Dirac delta function, that is

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow k^-} g_{k-\beta}(p) = \delta(p).$$

The later properties allows us to say that \mathbb{D}_t^β ($k-1 < \beta < k$) provides us a regularization of $(-\partial_t)^k$ in the sense of the topology of the underlying space of tempered distributions.

The motivation to this paper comes from the series of contributions [CGRTV18, LR18, GLM21] focused on the study of Cauchy problems involving fractional discrete Laplacians, and from the interrelationship between space-fractional and time-fractional operators highlighted in [CLRV15] towards superdiffusion equations. Such link has played a crucial role in the theory of PDEs (cf. [KL02, KL12]) and on stochastic calculus as well (cf. [BMN09]). For a survey on both topics, we also refer to the monograph [MS12].

Thus, we are not only interested fractional difference analogues for the parabolic operator of heat type ($\alpha = \beta = 1$, $\theta = 0$ & $h \rightarrow 0$) and of Schrödinger type ($\alpha = \beta = 1$, $\theta = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ & $h \rightarrow 0$) considered in [CKS05, B06, CSV07, AKTT16, A18, BCBM20], neither fractional counterparts of semidiscrete models involving the *semidiscrete heat operator* $\partial_t - \Delta_h = (D_h + \mathfrak{f}\partial_t + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger)^2$ (i.e when $\alpha = \beta = 1$ & $\theta = 0$), already considered in [BBRS14], but also on

the interface between space-fractional and time-fractional in a way that the *fractional discrete Laplacian* $(-\Delta_h)^\alpha$ carrying the parameter $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ turns out be treated as a temporal regularization of order $\beta := \frac{1}{\alpha} \geq 1$ encoded on the time-fractional derivative \mathbb{D}_t^β .

Moreover, the parameter condition $|\theta| \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$ encoded on the [fractional semidiscrete] analytic semigroup $\{\exp(-te^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha)\}_{t>0}$ is ubiquitous on space-fractional diffusion models (cf. [MLP01]). Its incorporation on our model problem is seamlessly justified by the constraint $|\theta| = |\arg(te^{i\theta})| < \pi$ carrying the integral representation obtained in [F19, p. 458, eq. (21.35)] for *modified Bessel functions of the first kind* (cf. [LR18, subsection 2.1 & subsection 3.1]). This will be the main novelty of this paper in comparison with the semidiscrete heat semigroup representations considered in the series of author's contributions [F19, F19b, F20].

Up to author's knowledge, the overlap between space-fractional Dirac operators – such as the ones introduced by Bernstein in [B16] – and time-fractional Dirac operators of Riemann-Liouville type – such as the ones considered e.g. by Ferreira & Vieira [FV16] – was not yet addressed so that the idea of connecting fractional order in time and space on this paper shall be seen eventually as another step further to improve e.g. the study of mild solutions for time-fractional Navier-Stokes equations in the superdiffusive case, from a hypercomplex analysis perspective (see e.g. [CP15, ZP17] and the references therein for an overview on the subdiffusive case).

1.3. Layout of the paper

This paper is organized as follows:

- In section 2 we briefly provide some background on Clifford algebras and on *discrete Fourier analysis* required throughout the paper. From the point of view of the theory of pseudo-differential operators, that will allows us sift all the well-known constructions in the space of square-integrable functions to the space of Clifford-valued distributions over the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n$ (see, for instance, [F19, subsection 21.2] & [F19, subsection 2.2.]).
- In section 3 we introduce a time-fractional and a space-fractional variant of the *semidiscrete Dirac operator* $D_h + \mathfrak{f}\partial_t + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger e^{-i\theta}$. Guided by the approaches of Cerejeiras, Kähler & Sommen [CKS05] and Cerejeiras, Sommen & Vieira [CSV07], we introduce the time-fractional regularization of $D_h + \mathfrak{f}\partial_t + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger e^{-i\theta}$ by replacing the time-derivative ∂_t by a time-fractional counterpart $-e^{i\theta(1-\beta)}\mathbb{D}_t^\beta$ ($\beta \geq 1$) mixing the Riemann-Liouville derivative and a unitary term lying on the unit circle \mathbb{S}^1 . For the space-fractional regularization, we consider the space-fractional counterpart $\partial_t(-\Delta_h)^{\alpha-1}$ of ∂_t , involving the fractional discrete operator $(-\Delta_h)^{\alpha-1}$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) instead of ∂_t (case of $\alpha = 1$). With the proof of **Theorem 3.1** & **Theorem 3.4** we will show that the formulations highlighted on FIGURE 1 retain all of the salient features of the null solutions of the

Lévy-Leblond type operator $D + \mathfrak{f}\partial_t + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger e^{-i\theta}$ (limit case $h \rightarrow 0$) considered by several authors (see also the papers of Bernstein [B06], and Bao, Constales, De Bie & Mertens [BCBM20]).

- In section 4 we will show that the null solutions of both fractional semidiscrete operators are indeed interrelated. Starting from the pseudo-differential representation of the fractional semidiscrete analytic semigroup $\{\exp(-te^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha)\}_{t \geq 0}$ in terms of its Fourier symbol, we will provide first, with the proof of **Theorem 4.1**, a bridge result that establishes the correspondence between Cauchy problems of space-fractional and time-fractional type encoded by the set of fractional operators, $e^{-i\theta}\partial_t + (-\Delta_h)^\alpha$ and $-e^{-i\theta}\mathbb{D}_t^\beta - \Delta_h$, respectively. Such result is essentially a wise reformulation of [CLR15, Theorem 3] in terms of Riemann-Liouville (right-sided) fractional derivative. Afterwards, we will prove **Theorem 4.3** – the main contribution of this paper – by combining **Theorem 3.1**, **Theorem 3.4** & **Theorem 4.1**.
- Finally, in section 5, we will briefly discuss an alternative formulation for the *semidiscrete Dirac operator of space-fractional type* that factorizes the space-fractional operator $e^{-i\theta}\partial_t + (-\Delta_h)^\alpha$.

2. Definitions

2.1. Clifford algebra setup

Let $\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n, \mathbf{e}_{n+1}, \mathbf{e}_{n+2}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{2n}, \mathbf{e}_{2n+1}$ be the generators of the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell_{n+1, n+1}$ of signature $(n+1, n+1)$, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_k + \mathbf{e}_k \mathbf{e}_j &= -2\delta_{jk}, & 0 \leq j, k \leq n \\ \mathbf{e}_j \mathbf{e}_{n+k} + \mathbf{e}_{n+k} \mathbf{e}_j &= 0, & 0 \leq j \leq n \ \& \ 1 \leq k \leq n+1 \\ \mathbf{e}_{n+j} \mathbf{e}_{n+k} + \mathbf{e}_{n+k} \mathbf{e}_{n+j} &= 2\delta_{jk}, & 1 \leq j, k \leq n+1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

As it is well-known from the literature (cf. [VR16, Chapter 3]), the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell_{n+1, n+1}$ is a universal algebra of dimension 2^{2n+2} , linear isomorphic to the exterior algebra $\Lambda^*(\mathbb{R}^{n+1, n+1})$ containing the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} , the n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , and the Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1, n+1}$ of signature $(n+1, n+1)$ as proper subspaces.

In particular, the ladder structure of $\mathcal{C}\ell_{n+1, n+1}$ allows us to represent the space-time tuple $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, t)$ of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} through the paravector representation $t + x = t + \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \mathbf{e}_j$ of $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^n$.

Here and elsewhere, the 1-vector representations $x = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \mathbf{e}_j$ and $x \pm h\mathbf{e}_j$ of \mathbb{R}^n will be used to describe the lattice point (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) of $h\mathbb{Z}^n$, and the forward/backward shifts $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_j \pm h, \dots, x_n)$ over $h\mathbb{Z}^n$, respectively. For a sake of readability, one will use throughout the manuscript the tuple notations $(x + h\mathbf{e}_j, t)$ and $(x - h\mathbf{e}_j, t)$ to define shifts over the

semidiscrete space-time lattice

$$h\mathbb{Z}^n \times [0, \infty) := \left\{ (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \frac{x}{h} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \wedge t \geq 0 \right\}.$$

We notice also that the Clifford algebras $\mathcal{C}\ell_{0,n}$, $\mathcal{C}\ell_{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{C}\ell_{1,n+1}$ considered e.g. on the series of papers [CKS05, B06, FV16, FV17, BCBM20] are subalgebras of $\mathcal{C}\ell_{n+1,n+1}$. For our purposes we assume that $\mathcal{C}\ell_{1,1}$ is a Clifford algebra generated by the nilpotents

$$\mathfrak{f} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{e}_{2n+1} + \mathbf{e}_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{f}^\dagger = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{e}_{2n+1} - \mathbf{e}_0). \quad (2.2)$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_j \mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{f} \mathbf{e}_j &= 0, & \mathbf{e}_{n+j} \mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{f} \mathbf{e}_{n+j} &= 0, & (1 \leq j \leq n) \\ \mathbf{e}_j \mathfrak{f}^\dagger + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \mathbf{e}_j &= 0, & \mathbf{e}_{n+j} \mathfrak{f}^\dagger + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \mathbf{e}_{n+j} &= 0, & (1 \leq j \leq n) \\ (\mathfrak{f})^2 &= (\mathfrak{f}^\dagger)^2 = 0, & \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{f}^\dagger + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \mathfrak{f} &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Furthermore, based on decomposition $\mathcal{C}\ell_{n+1,n+1} = \mathcal{C}\ell_{1,1} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}$, we will represent the Clifford-vector-valued functions $(x, t) \mapsto \Psi(x, t)$ with membership in the *complexified Clifford algebra* $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n+1,n+1}$ through the ansatz

$$\Psi(x, t) = \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f} \Psi^{[1]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \Psi^{[2]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \Psi^{[3]}(x, t),$$

whereby $(x, t) \mapsto \Psi^{[m]}(x, t)$ ($m = 0, 1, 2, 3$) are Clifford-vector-valued functions with membership in $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}$.

To introduce in the sequel function spaces and operators underlying to the $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n+1,n+1}$ -valued functions $(x, t) \mapsto \Psi(x, t)$ and its $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}$ -valued components $(x, t) \mapsto \Psi^{[m]}(x, t)$ ($m = 0, 1, 2, 3$), as well as for the $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}$ -valued representations of the discrete Dirac operator D_h in terms of its Fourier multipliers (cf. [F19, subsection 21.2.2] and [F20, subsection 2.3]), one has to consider the \dagger -conjugation operation $\mathbf{a} \mapsto \mathbf{a}^\dagger$ on the *complexified Clifford algebra* $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n+1,n+1}$, defined recursively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b})^\dagger &= \mathbf{b}^\dagger \mathbf{a}^\dagger \\ (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{e}_J)^\dagger &= \overline{a_J} \mathbf{e}_{j_r}^\dagger \dots \mathbf{e}_{j_2}^\dagger \mathbf{e}_{j_1}^\dagger \quad (0 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_r \leq 2n+1) \quad . \quad (2.4) \\ \mathbf{e}_j^\dagger &= -\mathbf{e}_j \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{e}_{n+1+j}^\dagger = \mathbf{e}_{n+1+j} \quad (0 \leq j \leq n). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.4), the \dagger -conjugation identities

$$(\mathfrak{f}^\dagger)^\dagger = \mathfrak{f} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger)^\dagger = \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger$$

involving the nilpotents \mathfrak{f} and \mathfrak{f}^\dagger defined viz eq. (2.2) are then immediate. Also, from (2.4) one readily has that

$$\mathbf{a} \mapsto \|\mathbf{a}\| := \sqrt{\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}}$$

defines a $\|\cdot\|$ -norm endowed by *complexified Clifford algebra* structure $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n+1,n+1}$, since $\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^\dagger$ is a non-negative real number. In case where \mathbf{a} belongs to $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{n+1,n+1}$, the quantity $\|\mathbf{a}\|$ equals to the standard norm of \mathbf{a} on \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} .

2.2. The discrete Fourier analysis background

Let us define by $\mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}) := \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n) \otimes (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n})$ the Schwartz class of $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}$ -valued functions on the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n$, consisting on *rapidly decaying functions* $x \mapsto \Phi(x, t)$ ($t \in [0, \infty)$) defined for any $M \in [0, \infty)$ by the semi-norm condition

$$\sup_{x \in h\mathbb{Z}^n} (1 + \|x\|^2)^M \|\Phi(x, t)\| < \infty,$$

and by $\ell_2(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}) := \ell_2(h\mathbb{Z}^n) \otimes (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n})$ the *right Hilbert module* endowed by the Clifford-valued sesquilinear form

$$\langle \Phi(\cdot, t), \Psi(\cdot, t) \rangle_h = \sum_{x \in h\mathbb{Z}^n} h^n \Phi(x, t)^\dagger \Psi(x, t). \quad (2.5)$$

By exploiting [RT10, Exercise 3.1.7] to the Clifford-valued setting, it is easy to check that the seminorm condition

$$\sup_{x \in h\mathbb{Z}^n} (1 + \|x\|^2)^{-M} \|\Phi(x, t)\| < \infty$$

induces the set of all *continuous linear functionals* with membership in the Schwarz class $\mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n})$ induced by the mapping

$$\Phi(\cdot, t) \mapsto \langle \Phi(\cdot, t), \Psi(\cdot, t) \rangle_h,$$

whereby the family of functions $\Psi(\cdot, t) : h\mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}$ ($t \in [0, \infty)$) belong to the space of $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}$ -valued tempered distributions on the lattice $h\mathbb{Z}^n$, that will be denoted by

$$\mathcal{S}'(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}) := \mathcal{S}'(h\mathbb{Z}^n) \otimes (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}).$$

In particular, the mapping property $\Phi(\cdot, t) \mapsto \langle \Phi(\cdot, t), \Psi(\cdot, t) \rangle_h$ together with density arguments allows us to define, for every $x \mapsto \Phi(x, t)$ with membership in $\ell_2(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n})$, a distribution $\Phi(\cdot, t) \mapsto \langle \Phi(\cdot, t), \Psi(\cdot, t) \rangle_h$ lying to $\mathcal{S}'(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n})$.

Next, we denote by $(-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}]^n$ the n -dimensional *Brioullin zone* representation for the n -torus $\mathbb{R}^n / \frac{2\pi}{h}\mathbb{Z}^n$ (cf. [R82, p. 324]), by

$$L_2\left(\left(-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}\right]^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}\right) := L_2\left(\left(-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}\right]^n\right) \otimes (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n})$$

the $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}$ -Hilbert module endowed by the sesquilinear form

$$\langle \mathbf{f}(\cdot, t), \mathbf{g}(\cdot, t) \rangle_{(-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}]^n} = \int_{(-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}]^n} \mathbf{f}(\xi, t)^\dagger \mathbf{g}(\xi, t) d\xi, \quad (2.6)$$

and by $C^\infty((-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}]^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n})$ the space of $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}$ -valued test functions. The *discrete Fourier transform* defined by

$$(\mathcal{F}_h \Phi)(\xi, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{h^n}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \sum_{x \in h\mathbb{Z}^n} \Phi(x, t) e^{ix \cdot \xi}, & \xi \in (-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}]^n \\ 0, & \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus (-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}]^n \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

yields the isometric isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}_h : \ell_2(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}) \rightarrow L_2\left(\left(-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}\right]^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}\right),$$

whose inverse $(\mathcal{F}_h^{-1}\mathbf{g})(x, t) = \widehat{\mathbf{g}}_h(x, t)$ is provided by the Fourier coefficients

$$\widehat{\mathbf{g}}_h(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{(-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}]^n} (\mathcal{F}_h\mathbf{g})(\xi, t) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} d\xi. \quad (2.8)$$

Moreover, by noticing that the sesquilinear form (2.6) allows us to define a mapping that identifies $C^\infty\left(\left(-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}\right]^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}\right)$ with the dual space $C^\infty\left(\left(-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}\right]^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}\right)'$, the so-called space of $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}$ -valued distributions over $\left(-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}\right]^n$ (cf. [RT10, Exercise 3.1.15.] & [RT10, Definition 3.1.25]), we immediately get that the *Parseval type relation*

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_h\Phi(\cdot, t), \mathbf{g}(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\left(-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}\right]^n} = \langle \Phi(\cdot, t), \widehat{\mathbf{g}}_h(\cdot, t) \rangle_h \quad (2.9)$$

involving the sesquilinear forms (2.5) and (2.6) (cf. [RT10, Definition 3.1.27]) allows us to extend properly (2.7) to the setting of distributions, through the mapping property (cf. [RT10, Definition 3.1.27 & 3.1.28])

$$\mathcal{F}_h : \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}) \rightarrow C^\infty\left(\left(-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}\right]^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}\right)$$

in a way that the Fourier coefficients $x \mapsto \widehat{\mathbf{g}}_h(x, t)$ defined *viz* eq. (2.8) belong to $\mathcal{S}'(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n})$.

In the same order of ideas of [F19, subsection 21.1.3] & [F20, subsection 2.2] (see also [CGRTV17, section 6]), one can also define the *discrete convolution operation* \star_h between the *discrete distribution* $\Psi(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{S}'(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n})$, and the *discrete function* $\Phi(x) \in \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n})$ as follows:

$$(\Psi(\cdot, t) \star_h \Phi)(x) = \sum_{y \in h\mathbb{Z}^n} h^n \Phi(y) \Psi(y - x, t). \quad (2.10)$$

Indeed, from the duality condition

$$\langle \Psi(\cdot, t) \star_h \Phi, \mathbf{g}(\cdot, t) \rangle_h = \langle \Psi(\cdot, t), \widetilde{\Phi} \star_h \mathbf{g}(\cdot, t) \rangle_h, \quad \text{with} \quad \widetilde{\Phi}(x) = [\Phi(-x)]^\dagger$$

that yields straightforwardly from the sequence of identities

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{F}_h[\Psi(\cdot, t) \star_h \Phi], \mathbf{g}(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\left(-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}\right]^n} &= \langle \Psi(\cdot, t) \star_h \Phi, \mathcal{F}_h^{-1}[\mathbf{g}(\cdot, t)] \rangle_h \\ &= \langle \Psi(\cdot, t), \widetilde{\Phi} \star_h \mathcal{F}_h^{-1}[\mathbf{g}(\cdot, t)] \rangle_h \\ &= \left\langle \Psi(\cdot, t), \mathcal{F}_h^{-1}\left(\mathcal{F}_h \widetilde{\Phi} \mathbf{g}(\cdot, t)\right) \right\rangle_h \\ &= \left\langle \mathcal{F}_h \Psi(\cdot, t), \mathcal{F}_h \widetilde{\Phi} \mathbf{g}(\cdot, t) \right\rangle_h \\ &= \langle (\mathcal{F}_h \Psi(\cdot, t)) (\mathcal{F}_h \widetilde{\Phi}), \mathbf{g}(\cdot, t) \rangle_{\left(-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}\right]^n}, \end{aligned}$$

one can say the *discrete convolution operation* (2.10) is well-defined.

3. Fractional semidiscrete Dirac operators of Lévy-Leblond type

3.1. The time-fractional case

Let us now recall the basic setup and results from a series of papers [F16, F17, F19, F19b] and also discuss further aspects of our construction. In the sequel we will use the nilpotents \mathfrak{f} and \mathfrak{f}^\dagger of $\mathcal{Cl}_{1,1}$ to introduce firstly on $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{Cl}_{n+1,n+1}$, with $\mathcal{Cl}_{n+1,n+1} = \mathcal{Cl}_{1,1} \otimes \mathcal{Cl}_{n,n}$, the semidiscrete Dirac type operator

$${}_\theta \mathcal{D}_{h,t} := D_h + \mathfrak{f} \partial_t + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger e^{-i\theta}, \quad (3.1)$$

carrying the discrete Dirac operator

$$\begin{aligned} D_h \Psi(x, t) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_j \frac{\Psi(x + h\mathbf{e}_j, t) - \Psi(x - h\mathbf{e}_j, t)}{2h} + \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_{n+j} \frac{2\Psi(x, t) - \Psi(x + h\mathbf{e}_j, t) - \Psi(x - h\mathbf{e}_j, t)}{2h}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

the time derivative ∂_t and the unitary group parameter $\theta \mapsto e^{-i\theta}$.

From the factorization property $(D_h)^2 = -\Delta_h$ involving the *discrete Laplacian* (cf. [F16, Proposition 2.1])

$$\Delta_h \Psi(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\Psi(x + h\mathbf{e}_j, t) + \Psi(x - h\mathbf{e}_j, t) - 2\Psi(x, t)}{h^2}, \quad (3.3)$$

and from the graded anti-commuting relations (2.3), we can easily prove that

$$({}_\theta \mathcal{D}_{h,t})^2 = e^{-i\theta} \partial_t - \Delta_h.$$

We notice that the square $({}_\theta \mathcal{D}_{h,t})^2$ of (3.1) is, up to the unitary term $e^{-i\theta}$, the semidiscrete heat operator considered in [BBS14].

Accordingly to [FV16, FV17, BRS20], a time-fractional counterpart of (3.1) can be easily obtained by replacing the time-derivative by a fractional analogue. In particular, based on the Riemann-Liouville derivative (1.1) we introduce the time-fractional regularization of ${}_\theta \mathcal{D}_{h,t}$, defined for $\beta \geq 1$ by the *time-fractional semidiscrete operator*

$${}_\theta \mathbb{D}_{h,t}^\beta := D_h - \mathfrak{f} e^{i\theta(1-\beta)} \mathbb{D}_t^\beta + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger e^{-i\theta} \quad (3.4)$$

Thereby, one can easily obtain the factorization property

$$\left({}_\theta \mathbb{D}_{h,t}^\beta \right)^2 = -e^{-i\theta\beta} \mathbb{D}_t^\beta - \Delta_h$$

for (3.4) in terms of the *time-fractional semidiscrete operator* $-e^{-i\theta\beta} \mathbb{D}_t^\beta - \Delta_h$.

3.2. Time-fractional case vs. space-fractional case

By taking into account the *discrete Fourier transform* (2.7), one can obtain an equivalent formulation of ${}_{\theta}\mathbb{D}_{h,t}^{\beta}$ resp. $-e^{-i\theta\beta}\partial_t - \Delta_h$ in terms of the Fourier multipliers (cf. [F19b, Section 3.3])

$$\begin{aligned} d_h(\xi)^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{4}{h^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{h\xi_j}{2}\right) \\ \mathbf{z}_h(\xi) &= \sum_{j=1}^n -ie_j \frac{\sin(h\xi_j)}{h} + \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{e}_{n+j} \frac{1 - \cos(h\xi_j)}{h} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

of $\mathcal{F}_h \circ (-\Delta_h) \circ \mathcal{F}_h^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_h \circ D_h \circ \mathcal{F}_h^{-1}$. Here, we emphasize that the Fourier multiplier formulation lead to the following mapping properties (cf. [F19, subsection 21.2.2])

$$\begin{aligned} D_h &: \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n,n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n,n}) \\ -\Delta_h &: \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n,n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n,n}) \end{aligned}$$

in a way that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_h \left[\left(-e^{-i\theta\beta} \mathbb{D}_t^{\beta} - \Delta_h \right) \Psi(x, t) \right] &= \left(-e^{-i\theta\beta} \mathbb{D}_t^{\beta} + d_h(\xi)^2 \right) \mathcal{F}_h \Psi(\xi, t), \\ \mathcal{F}_h \left[{}_{\theta}\mathbb{D}_{h,t}^{\beta} \Psi(x, t) \right] &= \left(\mathbf{z}_h(\xi) - \mathfrak{f}e^{i\theta(1-\beta)} \mathbb{D}_t^{\beta} + \mathfrak{f}^{\dagger} e^{-i\theta} \right) \mathcal{F}_h \Psi(\xi, t). \end{aligned}$$

Thereby, by mimecking [CSV07, Theorem 4.1 & Corollary 4.2] the following theorem yields straightforwardly at the level of the Schwarz class $\mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n,n})$.

Theorem 3.1. *For every $t \in [0, \infty)$ let us assume that let us assume that the components $\Psi^{[m]}(x, t)$ ($m = 0, 1, 2, 3$) of the $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n+1, n+1}$ -valued function*

$$\Psi(x, t) = \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}\Psi^{[1]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}^{\dagger}\Psi^{[2]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^{\dagger}\Psi^{[3]}(x, t)$$

satisfies the set of conditions ($m = 0, 1, 2, 3$)

$$\Psi^{[m]}(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n,n}) \quad \& \quad \mathbb{D}_t^{\beta} \Psi^{[m]}(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n,n}).$$

Then, for every $\beta \geq 1$ the function $\Psi(x, t)$ is a null solution of ${}_{\theta}\mathbb{D}_{h,t}^{\beta}$ (see eq. (3.4)) if, and only if

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{D}_t^{\beta} \Psi^{[m]}(x, t) = -e^{i\theta\beta} \Delta_h \Psi^{[m]}(x, t) \quad \text{for } m = 0, 2 \\ \Psi^{[1]}(x, t) = -e^{i\theta} D_h \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) \\ \Psi^{[3]}(x, t) = e^{i\theta} D_h \Psi^{[2]}(x, t) - \Psi^{[0]}(x, t). \end{array} \right. \quad (3.6)$$

Remark 3.2. Under the conditions of **Theorem 3.1** one can say that the $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n,n}$ -valued components $\Psi^{[0]}(x, t)$ and $\Psi^{[2]}(x, t)$ of $\Psi(x, t)$ are null solutions of the *time-fractional semidiscrete operator* $-e^{-i\theta\beta} \mathbb{D}_t^{\beta} - \Delta_h$.

In the space-fractional case one has to consider the *discrete Fourier transform* \mathcal{F}_h and its inverse \mathcal{F}_h^{-1} , defined viz eq. (2.8), to introduce a space-fractional regularization of the semidiscrete Dirac ${}_{\theta}\mathcal{D}_{h,t}$. Namely, with the aid of the fractional discrete operator $(-\Delta_h)^\sigma = \mathcal{F}_h^{-1} \circ (d_h(\xi)^2)^\sigma \circ \mathcal{F}_h$:

$$(-\Delta_h)^\sigma \Psi(x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{(-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}]^n} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\sigma \mathcal{F}_h \Psi(\xi, t) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} d\xi, \quad (3.7)$$

one can define

$${}_{\theta}\mathcal{D}_{h,t}^\alpha := D_h + \mathfrak{f} \partial_t (-\Delta_h)^{1-\alpha} + e^{-i\theta} \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \quad (3.8)$$

as the space-fractional regularization underlying to (3.1).

We note already that the factorization property

$$({}_{\theta}\mathcal{D}_{h,t}^\alpha)^2 = e^{-i\theta} \partial_t (-\Delta_h)^{1-\alpha} - \Delta_h$$

is rather immediate from the set of identities

$$(\mathbf{z}_h(\xi) + (d_h(\xi)^2)^{1-\alpha} \mathfrak{f} \partial_t + e^{-i\theta} \mathfrak{f}^\dagger)^2 = (d_h(\xi)^2)^{1-\alpha} e^{-i\theta} \partial_t + d_h(\xi)^2$$

involving the Fourier multipliers of

$$\mathcal{F}_h \circ {}_{\theta}\mathcal{D}_{h,t}^\alpha \circ \mathcal{F}_h^{-1} \quad \& \quad \mathcal{F}_h \circ (e^{-i\theta} \partial_t (-\Delta_h)^{1-\alpha} - \Delta_h) \circ \mathcal{F}_h^{-1}.$$

Regarding the definition of $(-\Delta_h)^\sigma$ we would like to stress the tangible connection between eq. (3.7) and the Bochner's definition (cf. [CGRTV17, Section 6]). Indeed, from the fact that the Fourier symbol $e^{-td_h(\xi)^2}$ belongs to $C^\infty((-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}]^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n,n})$ one can moreover show that $(-\Delta_h)^\sigma$ admits, for every of $0 < \sigma < 1$, a *semidiscrete heat semigroup representation* in terms of $\{e^{s\Delta_h}\}_{s \geq 0}$. Namely, a wise adaptation of the proof of [LR18, Lemma 6.5.] (see also [CGRTV18, Section 2.]):

$$(d_h(\xi)^2)^\sigma = \int_0^\infty g_{-\sigma}(s) \left(e^{-sd_h(\xi)^2} - 1 \right) ds, \quad g_{-\sigma}(s) = \frac{s^{-1-\sigma}}{\Gamma(-\sigma)} \quad [\text{see eq. (1.2)}]$$

allows us to guarantee that $(d_h(\xi)^2)^\sigma$ also belongs to $C^\infty((-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}]^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n,n})$ and moreover that, $(-\Delta_h)^\sigma$ represented as follows:

$$(-\Delta_h)^\sigma = \begin{cases} \int_0^\infty g_{-\sigma}(s) (e^{s\Delta_h} - I) ds & , \quad 0 < \sigma < 1 \\ -\Delta_h & , \quad \sigma = 1 \end{cases}$$

satisfies the mapping property

$$(-\Delta_h)^\sigma : \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n,n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n,n}).$$

Thus, the space-fractional regularization ${}_{\theta}\mathcal{D}_{h,t}^\alpha$ of ${}_{\theta}\mathcal{D}_{h,t}$ defined viz eq. (3.8) is well defined. The foregoing relation permits us to derive the following integro-differential-difference representations involving the *space-fractional semidiscrete operators* ${}_{\theta}\mathcal{D}_{h,t}^\alpha$ and $e^{-i\theta} \partial_t (-\Delta_h)^{1-\alpha} - \Delta_h$, respectively:

Lemma 3.3. For every $t \in [0, \infty)$ let us assume that the components $\Psi^{[m]}(x, t)$ ($m = 0, 1, 2, 3$) of the $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n+1, n+1}$ -valued function

$$\Psi(x, t) = \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}\Psi^{[1]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger\Psi^{[2]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger\Psi^{[3]}(x, t)$$

satisfy the set of conditions

$$\Psi^{[m]}(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n, n}) \quad \& \quad \partial_t \Psi^{[m]}(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n, n}).$$

Then, for every $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $|\theta| \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$, the componentwise action

$$\theta \mathcal{D}_{h, t}^\alpha \Psi(x, t) = D_h \Psi(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}\partial_t(-\Delta_h)^{1-\alpha}\Psi(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger e^{-i\theta}\Psi(x, t)$$

admits the integro-differential-difference representation

$$\begin{cases} D_h \Psi(x, t) + \int_0^\infty g_{\alpha-1}(s) (e^{s\Delta_h} - I) \mathfrak{f}\partial_t \Psi(x, t) ds + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger e^{-i\theta}\Psi(x, t), & 0 < \alpha < 1 \\ D_h \Psi(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}\partial_t \Psi(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger e^{-i\theta}\partial_t \Psi(x, t), & \alpha = 1 \end{cases}$$

whereas

$$\begin{cases} \int_0^\infty g_{\alpha-1}(s) (e^{s\Delta_h} - I) e^{-i\theta}\partial_t \Psi(x, t) ds - \Delta_h \Psi(x, t) & , \quad 0 < \alpha < 1 \\ e^{-i\theta}\partial_t \Psi(x, t) - \Delta_h \Psi(x, t) & , \quad \alpha = 1 \end{cases}$$

stands for the integro-differential-difference representation of

$$e^{-i\theta}\partial_t(-\Delta_h)^{1-\alpha}\Psi(x, t) - \Delta_h \Psi(x, t) = \theta \mathcal{D}_{h, t}^\alpha (\theta \mathcal{D}_{h, t}^\alpha \Psi(x, t)).$$

Similarly to **Theorem 3.1** one can also obtain the following the characterization for the *space-fractional semidiscrete operator* $\theta \mathcal{D}_{h, t}^\alpha$ defined viz eq. (3.8). Although the definition of $\theta \mathcal{D}_{h, t}^\alpha$ relies essentially on the replacement

$$-e^{i\pi\theta(1-\beta)} \mathbb{D}_t^\beta \longrightarrow \partial_t(-\Delta_h)^{1-\alpha}$$

on the right-hand side of (3.4), its proof involves a lot of technicalities far beyond the Schwarz class $\mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n, n})$.

Theorem 3.4. For every $t \in [0, \infty)$ let us assume that the components $\Psi^{[m]}(x, t)$ ($m = 0, 1, 2, 3$) of the $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n+1, n+1}$ -valued function

$$\Psi(x, t) = \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}\Psi^{[1]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger\Psi^{[2]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger\Psi^{[3]}(x, t),$$

satisfy the set of conditions

$$\Psi^{[m]}(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n, n}) \quad \& \quad \partial_t \Psi^{[m]}(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}l_{n, n}).$$

Then, for every $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $|\theta| \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$, the function $\Psi(x, t)$ is a null solution of $\theta \mathcal{D}_{h, t}^\alpha$ (see eq. (3.8)) if, and only if

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \Psi^{[m]}(x, t) = -e^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha \Psi^{[m]}(x, t) & , \quad m = 0, 2 \\ \Psi^{[1]}(x, t) = -e^{i\theta} D_h \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) \\ \Psi^{[3]}(x, t) = e^{i\theta} D_h \Psi^{[2]}(x, t) - \Psi^{[0]}(x, t). \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. First, we recall that from (3.2) and (2.2), it is rather immediate that the discrete Dirac operator D_h and the Witt basis $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^\dagger$ of $C\ell_{1,1}$ satisfy the set of relations

$$\mathfrak{f} D_h = -D_h \mathfrak{f}, \quad \mathfrak{f}^\dagger D_h = -D_h \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \quad \& \quad D_h \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger = \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger D_h.$$

Then, by letting act the operator (3.8) on $\Psi(x, t)$, one gets by a straightforwardly computation based on the aforementioned relations that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \mathcal{D}_{h,t}^\alpha \Psi(x, t) &= (D_h + \mathfrak{f} \partial_t (-\Delta_h)^{1-\alpha} + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger e^{-i\theta}) \Psi(x, t) \\ &= D_h \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) - \mathfrak{f} D_h \Psi^{[1]}(x, t) \\ &\quad - \mathfrak{f}^\dagger D_h \Psi^{[2]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger D_h \Psi^{[3]}(x, t) \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{f} \partial_t (-\Delta_h)^{1-\alpha} \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger \partial_t (-\Delta_h)^{1-\alpha} \Psi^{[2]}(x, t) \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \left(e^{-i\theta} \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) + e^{-i\theta} \Psi^{[3]}(x, t) \right) \\ &\quad + (1 - \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger) e^{-i\theta} \Psi^{[1]}(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

By rearranging the above identity, one gets the above ansatz written as a linear combination in terms of $1, \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^\dagger$ and $\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger$. Namely, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \mathcal{D}_{h,t}^\alpha \Psi(x, t) &= \left(D_h \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) + e^{-i\theta} \Psi^{[1]}(x, t) \right) \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{f} \left(\partial_t (-\Delta_h)^{1-\alpha} \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) - D_h \Psi^{[1]}(x, t) \right) \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \left(-D_h \Psi^{[2]}(x, t) + e^{-i\theta} \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) + e^{-i\theta} \Psi^{[3]}(x, t) \right) \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger \left(\partial_t (-\Delta_h)^{1-\alpha} \Psi^{[2]}(x, t) + D_h \Psi^{[3]}(x, t) - e^{-i\theta} \Psi^{[1]}(x, t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, simply the observation that

$$(-\Delta_h)^{\alpha-1} = \mathcal{F}_h^{-1} \circ (d_h(\xi)^2)^{\alpha-1} \circ \mathcal{F}_h$$

is the inverse of $(-\Delta_h)^{1-\alpha} = \mathcal{F}_h^{-1} \circ (d_h(\xi)^2)^{1-\alpha} \circ \mathcal{F}_h$ that yields from definition (3.7), allows us to conclude that $\Psi(x, t)$ solves equation $\theta \mathcal{D}_{h,t}^\alpha \Psi(x, t) = 0$ if, and only if

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Psi^{[1]}(x, t) = -e^{i\theta} D_h \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) \quad (\text{eq. 1}) \\ \partial_t \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) = (-\Delta_h)^{\alpha-1} D_h \Psi^{[1]}(x, t) \quad (\text{eq. f}) \\ \Psi^{[3]}(x, t) = e^{i\theta} D_h \Psi^{[2]}(x, t) - \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) \quad (\text{eq. f}^\dagger) \\ \partial_t \Psi^{[2]}(x, t) = -(-\Delta_h)^{\alpha-1} D_h \Psi^{[3]}(x, t) + e^{-i\theta} (-\Delta_h)^{\alpha-1} \Psi^{[1]}(x, t). \quad (\text{eq. ff}^\dagger) \end{array} \right. \quad (3.10)$$

Next, using the fact that the discrete Dirac operator D_h (see eq. (3.2)) satisfies the square property $(D_h)^2 = -\Delta_h$ (cf. [F16, Proposition 2.1]) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) &= -e^{i\theta} (-\Delta_h)^{\alpha-1} (D_h)^2 \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) \\ &= -e^{i\theta} (-\Delta_h)^\alpha \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) \end{aligned}$$

after substituting (eq. 1) on the right-hand side of (eq. f).

In the same order of ideas, by substituting and (eq. 1) & (eq. f[†]) on the right-hand side of (eq. ff[†]), we end up with

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_t \Psi^{[2]}(x, t) &= -(-\Delta_h)^{\alpha-1} D_h \left(e^{i\theta} D_h \Psi^{[2]}(x, t) - \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) \right) \\
 &+ e^{-i\theta} (-\Delta_h)^{\alpha-1} \left(-e^{i\theta} D_h \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) \right) \\
 &= -e^{i\theta} (-\Delta_h)^{\alpha-1} (D_h)^2 \Psi^{[2]}(x, t) \\
 &= -e^{i\theta} (-\Delta_h)^\alpha \Psi^{[2]}(x, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have shown that the coupled system of equations (3.10) is equivalent to (3.9). \square

Remark 3.5. Under the conditions of **Theorem 3.4** one can say that the $\mathbb{C} \otimes Cl_{n,n}$ -valued components $\Psi^{[0]}(x, t)$ and $\Psi^{[2]}(x, t)$ of $\Psi(x, t)$ are null solutions of the *space-fractional semidiscrete operator* $e^{-i\theta} \partial_t + (-\Delta_h)^\alpha$.

4. Main Results

4.1. The analytic semigroup $\{\exp(-te^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha)\}_{t \geq 0}$

In this section we will show that the action of the [fractional semidiscrete] analytic semigroup $\{\exp(-te^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha)\}_{t \geq 0}$, carrying the parameters $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $|\theta| \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$, allows us to establish a correspondence between the solution representation of two seemingly distinct Cauchy problems, involving the space-fractional and time-fractional semidiscrete Dirac operators studied previously in Section 3.

Before we proceed, it is important to recall some facts regarding the formulation of $\{\exp(-te^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha)\}_{t \geq 0}$ as an analytic semigroup encoded by the product of *modified Bessel functions of the first kind* along the same lines of [F19, Subsection 21.4.2]. First, notice that in case of $\alpha = 1$, $\{\exp(te^{i\theta} \Delta_h)\}_{t \geq 0}$ corresponds to an analytic extension of the *semidiscrete heat semigroup*, already treated in [LR18, Section 6] (see **Remark 13**). In concrete, from the *discrete convolution formula* (2.10) there holds

$$\exp(te^{i\theta} \Delta_h) \Phi(x) = \sum_{y \in h\mathbb{Z}^n} h^n \Phi(y) K(x - y, te^{i\theta}), \quad (4.1)$$

with

$$K(x, te^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{Q_h} e^{-te^{i\theta} d_h(\xi)^2} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} d\xi. \quad (4.2)$$

Moreover, the closed formula (cf. [F19, p. 458, eq. (21.35)])

$$K(x, te^{i\theta}) = \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{h^n} e^{-\frac{2nt e^{i\theta}}{h^2}} I_{\frac{x_1}{h}} \left(\frac{2te^{i\theta}}{h^2} \right) I_{\frac{x_2}{h}} \left(\frac{2te^{i\theta}}{h^2} \right) \dots I_{\frac{x_n}{h}} \left(\frac{2te^{i\theta}}{h^2} \right),$$

involving the product of *modified Bessel functions of the first kind* (cf. [PBM86, p. 456, 2.5.40 (3)])

$$I_k(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{z \cos(\omega)} e^{-ik\omega} d\omega, \quad |\arg(z)| < \pi. \quad (4.3)$$

results from the identity associated to the Fourier multipliers $d_h(\xi)^2$ (see eq. (3.5)):

$$d_h(\xi)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{2}{h^2} (1 - \cos(h\xi_j)),$$

and from the change of variables $\xi_j = \frac{\omega_j}{h}$ ($-\pi < \omega_j \leq \pi$) on (4.2).

Because of $|\arg(z)| = |\theta|$ for $z = te^{i\theta}$ one can moreover say that $K(x, te^{i\theta})$, described as above, is well defined for every $|\theta| \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$ ($\alpha = 1$). This conclusion is rather immediate from the integral representation (4.3).

We can moreover extend the above analysis to $\{\exp(-te^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha)\}_{t \geq 0}$ in case of $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $|\theta| \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$. At this stage, it is interesting to see that the Laplace identity

$$e^{-s^\alpha} = \int_0^\infty e^{-rs} L_\alpha^{-\alpha}(r) dr, \quad \Re(s) > 0, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1 \quad (4.4)$$

involving the *Lévy stable distribution* $L_\alpha^{-\alpha}(r)$ (cf. [MLP01, Section 4]) provides us to establish a bridge between

$$\{\exp(-te^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha)\}_{t \geq 0} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \exp\left(te^{\frac{i\theta}{\alpha}} \Delta_h\right) \right\}_{t \geq 0},$$

respectively.

Indeed, for $s = te^{i\theta}(d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha$ ($t > 0$ is assumed to fix the constraint $\Re(s) > 0$) one can see from the change of variable $r \rightarrow rt^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ on the right-hand side of (4.4) that the underlying Fourier symbols $e^{-te^{i\theta}(d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha}$ and $e^{-te^{\frac{i\theta}{\alpha}} d_h(\xi)^2}$, respectively, are linked by the integral formula

$$e^{-te^{i\theta}(d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha} = \int_0^\infty e^{-re^{\frac{i\theta}{\alpha}} d_h(\xi)^2} f_{\alpha,\theta}(r) du, \quad f_{\alpha,\theta}(r) = t^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} L_\alpha^{-\alpha}\left(rt^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right).$$

The above representation is useful in several applications on the crossroads of fractional calculus and stochastics, but we will not need to apply it in concrete throughout the present paper. For the interested reader, we refer e.g. to [MS12, Chapter 3 & Chapter 6].

4.2. Space-fractional vs time-fractional Cauchy problems

For the remainder part of this paper we will restrict ourselves to the analysis of $\{\exp(-te^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha)\}_{t \geq 0}$ in terms of its symbol $e^{-te^{i\theta}(d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha}$. First of all, we will start to show that the technique used in [CLRV15] to prove **Theorem 3** can be generalized to the fractional semidiscrete analytic semigroup $\{\exp(-te^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\Phi_0 \in \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes C\ell_{n,n})$ be given. Then, for every $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $|\theta| \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$, the function*

$$\Phi(x, t) = \exp(-te^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha)\Phi_0(x)$$

solves the following two Cauchy problems:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \Phi(x, t) = -e^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha \Phi(x, t) & \text{for } (x, t) \in h\mathbb{Z}^n \times [0, \infty) \\ \Phi(x, 0) = \Phi_0(x) & \text{for } x \in h\mathbb{Z}^n \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{D}_t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \Phi(x, t) = -e^{\frac{i\theta}{\alpha}} \Delta_h \Phi(x, t) & \text{for } (x, t) \in h\mathbb{Z}^n \times [0, \infty) \\ \Phi(x, 0) = \Phi_0(x) & \text{for } x \in h\mathbb{Z}^n \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. By letting act the discrete Fourier transform \mathcal{F}_h , we obtain an equivalent formulation for the Cauchy problem on the momentum space $Q_h \times [0, \infty)$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t [\mathcal{F}_h \Phi(\xi, t)] = -e^{i\theta} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha \mathcal{F}_h \Phi(\xi, t) & \text{for } (\xi, t) \in Q_h \times [0, \infty) \\ \mathcal{F}_h \Phi(\xi, 0) = \mathcal{F}_h \Phi_0(\xi) & \text{for } \xi \in Q_h \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

so that

$$\mathcal{F}_h \Phi(\xi, t) = e^{-te^{i\theta} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha} \mathcal{F}_h \Phi_0(\xi) \quad , (\xi, t) \in Q_h \times [0, \infty) \quad (4.8)$$

solves (4.7), and whence,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x, t) &= \exp(-te^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha)\Phi_0(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{Q_h} e^{-te^{i\theta} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha} \mathcal{F}_h \Phi_0(\xi) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} d\xi \end{aligned}$$

solves (4.5).

Thus, in order to show that $\Phi(x, t)$ is also a solution of the Cauchy problem (4.6), it suffices to show that (4.8) solves

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{D}_t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} [\mathcal{F}_h \Phi(\xi, t)] = e^{\frac{i\theta}{\alpha}} d_h(\xi)^2 \mathcal{F}_h \Phi(\xi, t) & \text{for } (\xi, t) \in Q_h \times [0, \infty) \\ \mathcal{F}_h \Phi(\xi, 0) = \mathcal{F}_h \Phi_0(\xi) & \text{for } \xi \in Q_h. \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

or equivalently, that

$$\mathbb{D}_t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left[e^{-te^{i\theta} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha} \right] = e^{\frac{i\theta}{\alpha}} d_h(\xi)^2 e^{-te^{i\theta} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha}$$

holds for every $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $|\theta| \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$.

To do so, recall that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ one has the derivation rule

$$(-\partial_t)^k \left[e^{-te^{i\theta} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha} \right] = e^{i\theta k} (d_h(\xi)^2)^{\alpha k} e^{-te^{i\theta} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha}. \quad (4.10)$$

In case of $\alpha = \frac{1}{k}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$), it readily follows that $\mathbb{D}_t^{\frac{1}{k}}$ equals to $(-\partial_t)^k$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}_t^{\frac{1}{k}} \left[e^{-te^{i\theta} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha} \right] &= (-\partial_t)^k \left[e^{-te^{i\theta} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha} \right] \\ &= e^{\frac{i\theta}{\alpha} d_h(\xi)^2} e^{-te^{i\theta} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, set $k = \lfloor \frac{1}{\alpha} \rfloor + 1$. Owing the fact that $0 < \alpha < 1$, the constraint $|\theta| \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$ assures that the constant $\lambda = e^{i\theta} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha$ satisfies the condition

$$\Re(\lambda) = \cos(\theta) (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha > 0.$$

Thus, from the Laplace identity (1.3) we get that

$$\int_0^\infty g_{k-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(p) e^{-pe^{i\theta} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha} dp = e^{-i\theta(k-\frac{1}{\alpha})} (d_h(\xi)^2)^{-\alpha(k-\frac{1}{\alpha})},$$

whereby $g_{k-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(p)$ stands for the Gel'fand-Shilov function (see eq.(1.2)).

Then, the eq. (4.10) together with the previous integral formula give rise to the sequence of identities


$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}_t^{\frac{1}{k}} \left[e^{-te^{i\theta} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha} \right] &= (-\partial_t)^k \int_t^\infty g_{k-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s-t) e^{-se^{i\theta} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha} ds \\ &= (-\partial_t)^k \int_0^\infty g_{k-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(p) e^{-(t+p)e^{i\theta} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha} dp \\ &= (-\partial_t)^k \left[e^{-te^{i\theta} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha} \right] \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty g_{k-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(p) e^{-pe^{i\theta} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha} dp \\ &= e^{i\theta k} (d_h(\xi)^2)^{\alpha k} e^{-te^{i\theta} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha} \\ &\quad \times e^{-i\theta(k-\frac{1}{\alpha})} (d_h(\xi)^2)^{-\alpha(k-\frac{1}{\alpha})} \\ &= e^{\frac{i\theta}{\alpha} d_h(\xi)^2} e^{-te^{i\theta} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

concluding the proof of (4.9). \square

Remark 4.2. Essentially, we have shown in **Theorem 4.1** that the analytic semigroup $\{\exp(-te^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha)\}_{t \geq 0}$, carrying the parameters $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $|\theta| \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$, generates simultaneously solutions for Cauchy problems induced by the fractional semidiscrete operators $e^{-i\theta}\partial_t + (-\Delta_h)^\alpha$ and $-e^{-\frac{i\theta}{\alpha}\theta}\mathbb{D}_t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} - \Delta_h$, respectively.

4.3. Cauchy problems of Lévy-Leblond type

After the meaningful construction obtained previously in **Theorem 4.1**, we have now gathered the main ingredients to prove the main result of this section. On FIGURE 2 is neatly summarized the proof of **Theorem 4.3**, whose starting point relies heavily on **Theorem 3.1** & **Theorem 3.4**.

FIGURE 2. Schematic proof of **Theorem 4.3**.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\Phi_0^{[0]}, \Phi_0^{[2]} \in \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n})$ be given, and set

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_0(x) &= \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \mathfrak{f} \Phi_0^{[0]}(x) + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \Phi_0^{[2]}(x), \\ \Phi(x, t) &= \exp(-te^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha)\Phi_0(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for every $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $|\theta| \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$, the function

$$\Psi(x, t) = \Phi(x, t) - \mathfrak{f} e^{i\theta} D_h \Phi(x, t) \quad (4.11)$$

solves the following two Cauchy problems of Lévy-Leblond type:

$$\begin{cases} (D_h + \mathfrak{f} \partial_t (-\Delta_h)^{1-\alpha} + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger e^{-i\theta}) \Psi(x, t) = 0 & \text{for } (x, t) \in h\mathbb{Z}^n \times [0, \infty) \\ \Psi(x, 0) = \Phi_0(x) - \mathfrak{f} e^{i\theta} D_h \Phi_0(x) & \text{for } x \in h\mathbb{Z}^n, \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \left(D_h - \mathfrak{f} e^{i\theta(1-\frac{1}{\alpha})} \mathbb{D}_t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger e^{-i\theta} \right) \Psi(x, t) = 0 & \text{for } (x, t) \in h\mathbb{Z}^n \times [0, \infty) \\ \Psi(x, 0) = \Phi_0(x) - \mathfrak{f} e^{i\theta} D_h \Phi_0(x) & \text{for } x \in h\mathbb{Z}^n. \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

Proof. Under the assumption that $\Phi_0^{[0]}, \Phi_0^{[2]} \in \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n})$, **Theorem 4.1** asserts that the components

$$\Psi^{[m]}(x, t) = \exp(-te^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha) \Phi_0^{[m]}(x) \quad (m = 0, 2)$$

of

$$\Phi(x, t) = \exp(-te^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha) \Phi_0(x), \quad \text{with} \quad \Phi_0(x) = \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \mathfrak{f} \Phi_0^{[0]}(x) + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \Phi_0^{[2]}(x)$$

are solutions of the following set of Cauchy problems ($m = 0, 2$) in case of $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $|\theta| \leq \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \Psi^{[m]}(x, t) = -e^{i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^\alpha \Psi^{[m]}(x, t) & \text{for } (x, t) \in h\mathbb{Z}^n \times [0, \infty) \\ \Psi_0^{[m]}(x, 0) = \Phi_0^{[m]}(x) & \text{for } x \in h\mathbb{Z}^n \end{cases} \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{D}_t^\beta \Psi^{[m]}(x, t) = -e^{\frac{i\theta}{\alpha}} \Delta_h \Psi^{[m]}(x, t) & \text{for } (x, t) \in h\mathbb{Z}^n \times [0, \infty) \\ \Psi_0^{[m]}(x, 0) = \Phi_0^{[m]}(x) & \text{for } x \in h\mathbb{Z}^n \end{cases} \quad (4.15)$$

Then, from **Theorem 3.4** and **Theorem 3.1** one has that the function

$$\Psi(x, t) = \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f} \Psi^{[1]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \Psi^{[2]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \Psi^{[3]}(x, t),$$

is simultaneously a solution of the Cauchy problems (4.12) and (4.13), respectively, if and only if the components $\Psi^{[m]}(x, t)$ ($m = 1, 3$) of $\Psi(x, t)$ are uniquely determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^{[1]}(x, t) &= -e^{i\theta} D_h \Psi^{[0]}(x, t), \\ \Psi^{[3]}(x, t) &= e^{i\theta} D_h \Psi^{[2]}(x, t) - \Psi^{[0]}(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from the set of properties

$$\mathfrak{f} D_h = -D_h \mathfrak{f}, \quad \mathfrak{f}^\dagger D_h = -D_h \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \quad \& \quad D_h \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{f}^\dagger = \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{f}^\dagger D_h$$

that yield from the combination of (3.2) and (2.2), it readily follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(x, t) &= \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \Psi^{[2]}(x, t) - \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) \\ &\quad + e^{i\theta} D_h \left[\mathfrak{f} \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \Psi^{[2]}(x, t) \right], \end{aligned}$$

whereas from the set of the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x, t) &= \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \Psi^{[2]}(x, t) - \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) \\ -\mathfrak{f} e^{i\theta} D_h \Phi(x, t) &= e^{i\theta} D_h \left[\mathfrak{f} \Psi^{[0]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \Psi^{[2]}(x, t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

we recognize that $\Psi(x, t)$ may be represented through the compact formula (4.11). \square

5. More on space-fractional semidiscrete Dirac operators

With the proof of **Theorem 4.3** it was established an intriguing correspondence between the null solutions of time-fractional resp. space-fractional analogues of the semidiscrete Dirac operator, treated on subsection 3.1 & subsection 3.2 and the null solutions of the time-fractional resp. space-fractional regularizations of the semidiscrete Dirac operator (3.1).

At this stage we would like to emphasize that contrary to the time-fractional regularization (3.4), the corresponding space-fractional regularization (3.8) pf (3.1) does not factorize the space-fractional semidiscrete operator $e^{-i\theta}\partial_t + (-\Delta_h)^\alpha$, as expected, although the factorization of ${}_\theta\mathbb{D}_{h,t}^\alpha$ and ${}_\theta\mathcal{D}_{h,t}^\alpha$ was never required on the proof of main results of this paper.

To circumvent this gap one can introduce the alternative space-fractional semidiscrete variant

$${}_\theta\mathbf{D}_{h,t}^\alpha := D_h(-\Delta_h)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} + \mathfrak{f}\partial_t(-\Delta_h)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger e^{-i\theta}(-\Delta_h)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} \quad (5.1)$$

of ${}_\theta\mathcal{D}_{h,t} = D_h + \mathfrak{f}\partial_t + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger e^{-i\theta}$, involving the space-fractional operator $(-\Delta_h)^\sigma$ and its inverse $(-\Delta_h)^{-\sigma}$ ($\sigma = \frac{1-\alpha}{2}$).

Hereby, the discrete fractional operator $D_h(-\Delta_h)^{-\sigma}$ stands for the hypercomplex extension of the fractional Riesz type transform considered in [CGRTV17, Section 6] (see also [F19, subsection 21.4.3]).

To do so, let us now turn our attention to the fractional integral operator $(-\Delta_h)^{-\sigma}$. From the fact that the Fourier multiplier $(d_h(\xi)^2)^{-\sigma}$ of $\mathcal{F}_h \circ (-\Delta_h)^{-\sigma} \circ \mathcal{F}_h^{-1}$ admits, for $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$, the following Eulerian Laplace integral representation

$$(d_h(\xi)^2)^{-\sigma} = \int_0^\infty e^{-pd_h(\xi)^2} g_\sigma(p) dp, \quad g_\sigma(p) = \frac{p^{\sigma-1}}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \quad [\text{see eq. (1.2)}]$$

one can guarantee that the mapping property

$$(-\Delta_h)^{-\sigma} : \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(h\mathbb{Z}^n; \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{n,n})$$

carrying the inverse of $(-\Delta_h)^\sigma$, fulfils for every $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$.

As a consequence, the reformulation of $(-\Delta_h)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}}$ and $(-\Delta_h)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}$ in terms of the discrete convolution property (2.10):

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta_h)^\sigma \Psi(x, t) &= (\Psi(\cdot, t) \star_h \delta_{h,\sigma})(x) \\ &:= \sum_{y \in h\mathbb{Z}^n} h^n \delta_{h,\sigma}(x-y) \Psi(y, t), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\delta_{h,\sigma}(x-y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{(-\frac{\pi}{h}, \frac{\pi}{h}]^n} (d_h(\xi)^2)^\sigma e^{-i(x-y)\cdot\xi} d\xi$$

is well defined for every $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$. In case of $\sigma \rightarrow 0^+$ one has that $\delta_{h,\sigma}(x-y)$ converges to the so-called *discrete delta function* on $h\mathbb{Z}^n$. That is,

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0^+} \delta_{h,\sigma}(x-y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{h^n}, & \text{if } x = y \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}.$$

From the spectral representation $\mathcal{F}_h \circ \theta \mathbf{D}_{h,t}^\alpha \circ \mathcal{F}_h^{-1}$ in terms of its multiplier there holds that the operators (5.1) and $\theta \mathcal{D}_{h,t}^\alpha$ (see eq. (3.8)) are interrelated by the formula

$$\theta \mathbf{D}_{h,t}^\alpha = \theta \mathcal{D}_{h,t}^\alpha (-\Delta_h)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}.$$

Noteworthy, we obtain the factorization property

$$(\theta \mathbf{D}_{h,t}^\alpha)^2 = e^{-i\theta} \partial_t + (-\Delta_h)^\alpha.$$

The construction, depicted as above, will eventually be used in a forthcoming paper, bearing in mind a possible exploitation of the techniques used e.g. in [CKS05, CFV08] to obtain hypercomplex formulations of boundary value problems as well as possible applications on the crossroads of PDEs and stochastics (see e.g. the models considered e.g. in [KL12, CP15, ZP17]). Thus, the reason for including this extra results in the present paper is mainly for a preliminary motivation for forthcoming papers.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by The Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications (CIDMA) through the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT), references UIDB/04106/2020 and UIDP/04106/2020.

References

- [AKTT16] Aizawa, N., Kuznetsova, Z., Tanaka, H., & Toppan, F. (2016). $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie symmetries of the Lévy-Leblond equations. *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics*, 2016(12), 123A01. [DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptw176>]
- [A18] Aizawa, N. (2018). *Generalization of superalgebras to color superalgebras and their representations*. *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras*, 28(1), 28. [DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptw176>]
- [BBS14] Baaske, F., Bernstein, S., De Ridder, H., & Sommen, F. (2014). *On solutions of a discretized heat equation in discrete Clifford analysis*. *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, 20(2), 271-295. [DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10236198.2013.831407>]
- [BRS20] Baleanu, D., Restrepo, J. E., & Suragan, D. *A class of time-fractional Dirac type operators*. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 143, 110590. [DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110590>]

- [BCBM20] Bao, S., Constales, D., De Bie, H., & Mertens, T. (2020). *Solutions for the Lévy-Leblond or parabolic Dirac equation and its generalizations*. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 61(1), 011509.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5135503>]
- [BMN09] Baeumer, B., Meerschaert, M., & Nane, E. (2009). *Brownian subordinators and fractional Cauchy problems*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 361(7), 3915-3930.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-09-04678-9>]
- [BSSV08] Brackx, F., De Schepper, H., Sommen, F., & Van de Voorde, L. (2008). *Discrete Clifford analysis: a germ of function theory*. In Hypercomplex Analysis (pp. 37-53). Birkhäuser Basel.
[DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7643-9893-4_3]
- [B06] Bernstein, S. (2006). *Factorization of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation and applications*. Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, 51(5-6), 429-452.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17476930500481400>]
- [B16] Bernstein, S. (2016). *A fractional dirac operator*. In Noncommutative Analysis, Operator Theory and Applications (pp. 27-41). Birkhäuser, Cham.
[DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-29116-1_2]
- [CKS05] Cerejeiras, P., Kähler, U., & Sommen, F. (2005). *Parabolic Dirac operators and the Navier–Stokes equations over time-varying domains*. Mathematical methods in the applied sciences, 28(14), 1715-1724.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.634>]
- [CSV07] Cerejeiras, P., Sommen, F., & Vieira, N. (2007). *Fischer decomposition and special solutions for the parabolic Dirac operator*. Mathematical methods in the applied sciences, 30(9), 1057-1069.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.825>]
- [CFV08] Cerejeiras, P., Faustino, N., & Vieira, N. (2008). *Numerical Clifford analysis for nonlinear Schrödinger problem*. Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations: An International Journal, 24(4), 1181-1202.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/num.20312>]
- [CLRV15] Ciaurri, Ó., Lizama, C., Roncal, L., & Varona, J. L. (2015). *On a connection between the discrete fractional Laplacian and superdiffusion*. Applied Mathematics Letters, 49, 119-125.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2015.05.007>]
- [CGRTV17] Ciaurri, Ó., Gillespie, T. A., Roncal, L., Torrea, J. L., & Varona, J. L. (2017). *Harmonic analysis associated with a discrete Laplacian*. Journal d'Analyse Mathématique, 132(1), 109-131.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11854-017-0015-6>]
- [CGRTV18] Ciaurri, O., Roncal, L., Stinga, P. R., Torrea, J. L., & Varona, J. L. (2018). *Nonlocal discrete diffusion equations and the fractional discrete Laplacian, regularity and applications*. Advances in Mathematics, 330, 688-738.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2018.03.023>]
- [CP15] de Carvalho-Neto, P. M., & Planas, G. (2015). *Mild solutions to the time fractional Navier–Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^N* . Journal of Differential Equations, 259(7), 2948-2980.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2015.04.008>]

- [RSKS10] De Ridder, H., De Schepper, H., Kähler, U., & Sommen, F. (2010). *Discrete function theory based on skew Weyl relations*. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 138(9), 3241-3256.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-2010-10480-X>]
- [FK07] Faustino, N., & Kaehler, U. (2007). *Fischer decomposition for difference Dirac operators*. Advances in applied Clifford algebras, 17(1), 37-58.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00006-006-0016-5>]
- [FKS07] Faustino, N., Kähler, U., & Sommen, F. (2007). *Discrete Dirac operators in Clifford analysis*. Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras, 17(3), 451-467.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00006-007-0041-z>]
- [F16] Faustino, N., *Solutions for the Klein-Gordon and Dirac equations on the lattice based on Chebyshev polynomials*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, (2016) 10 : 379-399.
[DOI: [10.1007/s11785-015-0476-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-015-0476-5)]
- [F17] Rodrigues Faustino, N. J. (2017). *A conformal group approach to the Dirac-Kähler system on the lattice*. Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 40(11), 4118-4127.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.4291>]
- [F19] Faustino, N. (2019). *Relativistic Wave Equations on the lattice: an operational perspective*. In Topics in Clifford Analysis (pp. 439-469). Birkhäuser, Cham.
[DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23854-4_21]
- [F19b] Faustino, N. (2019). *A note on the discrete Cauchy-Kovalevskaya extension*. Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 42(4), 1312-1320.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.5452>]
- [F20] Faustino, N. (2020). *Time-Changed Dirac-Fokker-Planck Equations on the Lattice*. Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications, 26, 1-31.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00041-020-09754-6>]
- [FV16] Ferreira, M., & Vieira, N. (2016). *Eigenfunctions and fundamental solutions of the fractional Laplace and Dirac operators: the Riemann-Liouville case*. Complex Analysis and Operator Theory, 10(5), 1081-1100.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11785-015-0529-9>]
- [FV17] Ferreira, M., & Vieira, N. (2017). *Fundamental solutions of the time fractional diffusion-wave and parabolic Dirac operators*. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 447(1), 329-353.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.08.052>]
- [LR18] Lizama, C., & Roncal, L. (2018). *Hölder-Lebesgue regularity and almost periodicity for semidiscrete equations with a fractional Laplacian*. Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems-A, 38(3), 1365.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2018056>]
- [GLM21] González-Camus, J., Lizama, C., & Miana, P. J. (2021). *Fundamental solutions for semidiscrete evolution equations via Banach algebras*. Advances in Difference Equations, 2021(1), 1-32.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-03206-7>]
- [KK04] Kanamori, I., & Kawamoto, N. (2004). *Dirac-Kaehler fermion from Clifford product with noncommutative differential form on a lattice*. International Journal of Modern Physics A, 19(05), 695-736.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0217751X04017628>]

- [KS75] Kogut, J., & Susskind, L. (1975). *Hamiltonian formulation of Wilson's lattice gauge theories*. Physical Review D, 11(2), 395.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.11.395>]
- [KL02] Kulish, V. V., & Lage, J. L. (2002). *Application of fractional calculus to fluid mechanics*. J. Fluids Eng., 124(3), 803-806.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.1478062>]
- [KL12] Keyantuo, V., & Lizama, C. (2012). On a connection between powers of operators and fractional Cauchy problems. Journal of Evolution Equations, 12(2), 245-265.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00028-011-0131-1>]
- [LL67] Lévy-Leblond, J. M. (1967). *Nonrelativistic particles and wave equations*. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 6(4), 286-311.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01646020>]
- [MLP01] Mainardi, F., Luchko, Y., & Pagnini, G. (2001). *The fundamental solution of the space-time fractional diffusion equation*. Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis, 4(2), 153-192.
[arXiv preprint cond-mat/0702419]
- [MS12] Meerschaert, M. M., & Sikorskii, A. (2012). *Stochastic Models for Fractional Calculus*. In Stochastic Models for Fractional Calculus. De Gruyter.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110258165>]
- [PBM86] Prudnikov, A. P., Brychkov, Y. A., & Marichev, O. I. (1986). *Integrals and series, Volume 1: Elementary functions*. Gordon & Breach Sci. Publ., New York.
- [R82] Rabin, J. M. (1982). *Homology theory of lattice fermion doubling*. Nuclear Physics B, 201(2), 315-332.
[DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(82\)90434-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(82)90434-5)]
- [RT10] Ruzhansky, M., & Turunen, V. (2010). *Pseudo-differential operators and symmetries: background analysis and advanced topics (Vol. 2)*. Springer Science & Business Media.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7643-8514-9>]
- [SKM93] Samko, S. G., Kilbas & A. A., Marichev, O. I. (1993). *Fractional Integral and Derivatives: Theory and Applications*. Gordon and Breach
[ISBN: 2881249640]
- [S14] Sushch, V. (2014). *A discrete model of the Dirac-Kähler equation*. Reports on Mathematical Physics, 73(1), 109-125.
[DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4877\(14\)60035-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4877(14)60035-5)]
- [VR16] Vaz Jr, J., & da Rocha Jr, R. (2016). *An introduction to Clifford algebras and spinors*. Oxford University Press.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198782926.001.0001>]
- [ZP17] Zhou, Y., & Peng, L. (2017). *On the time-fractional Navier-Stokes equations*. Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 73(6), 874-891.
[DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2016.03.026>]

Nelson Faustino^{1,2}

(1) Faculty of Economics

University of Coimbra

Av. Dias da Silva, 165

3004-512 Coimbra

Portugal

e-mail: nelson@fe.uc.pt

(2) Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications (CIDMA)

University of Aveiro

3810-193 Aveiro

Portugal

e-mail: nelson.faustino@ymail.com

Submitted: October 25, 2021.