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#### Abstract

Arguably, the most important open problem in the theory of $q$-analogs of designs is the question for the existence of a $q$-analog $D$ of the Fano plane. It is undecided for every single prime power value $q \geq 2$.

A point $P$ is called an $\alpha$-point of $D$ if the derived design of $D$ in $P$ is a geometric spread. In 1996, Simon Thomas has shown that there must always exist at least one non- $\alpha$-point. For the binary case $q=2$, Olof Heden and Papa Sissokho have improved this result in 2016 by showing that the non- $\alpha$-points must form a blocking set with respect to the hyperplanes.

In this article, we show that a hyperplane consisting only of $\alpha$-points implies the existence of a partiton of the symplectic generalized quadrangle $W(q)$ into spreads. As a consequence, the statement of Heden and Sissokho is generalized to all primes $q$ and all even values of $q$.


## 1 Introduction

Due to the connection to network coding, the theory of subspace designs has gained a lot of interest recently. Subspace designs are the $q$-analogs of combinatorial designs and arise by replacing the subset lattice of the finite ambient set $V$ by the subspace lattice of a finite ambient vector space $V$. Arguably the most important open problem in this field is the question for the existence of a $q$-analog of the Fano plane, which is a subspace design with the parameters $2-(7,3,1)_{q}$. This problem has already been stated in 1972 by Ray-Chaudhuri [3, Problem 28]. Despite considerable investigations, its existence remains undecided for every single order $q$ of the base field.

[^0]It has been shown that the smallest instance $q=2$, the binary $q$-analog of a Fano plane, can have at most a single nontrivial automorphism [6, 18]. A $q$-analog of the Fano plane would be the largest possible $[7,4 ; 3]_{q}$ constant dimension subspace code. However, the hitherto best known sizes of such constant dimension subspace codes still leave considerable gaps, namely 333 vs. 381 in the binary case [14 and 6978 vs. 7651 in the ternary case [16]. ${ }^{\text {T }}$

Another approach has been the investigation of the derived designs of a putative $q$ analog $D$ of the Fano plane. A derived design exists for each point $P \in \operatorname{PG}(6, q)$ and is always a $q$-design with the parameters $1-(6,2,1)_{q}$, which is the same as a line spread of $\operatorname{PG}(5, q)$. Following the notation of [13], a point $P$ is called an $\alpha$-point of $D$ if the derived design in $P$ is the geometric spread, which is the most symmetric and natural one among the 131044 isomorphism types [21] of such spreads. For highest possible regularity, one would expect all points to be $\alpha$-points. However, this has been shown to be impossible, so there must always be at least one non- $\alpha$-point of $D[28]$. For the binary case $q=2$, this result has been improved to the statement that each hyperplane contains at least a non- $\alpha$-point Heden-Sissokho-2016-ArsComb124:161-164, In other words, the non- $\alpha$-points of of a binary $q$-analog of the Fano plane form a blocking set with respect to the hyperplanes.

In this article, $\alpha$-points will be investigated for general values of $q$, which will lead to the following theorem.

Theorem 1 Let $D$ be a q-analog of the Fano plane and assume that there exists a hyperplane $H$ such that all points of $H$ are $\alpha$-points of $D$. Then the following equivalent statements hold:
(a) The line set of the symplectic generalized quadrangle $W(q)$ is partitionable into spreads.
(b) The point set of the parabolic quadric $Q(4, q)$ is partitionable into ovoids.

As a consequence, we will get the following generalization of the result of [13].
Theorem 2 Let $D$ be a q-analog of the Fano plane and $q$ be prime or even. Then each hyperplane contains a non- $\alpha$-point. In other words, the non- $\alpha$-points form a blocking set with respect to the hyperplanes.

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout the article, $q \neq 1$ is a prime power and $V$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of finite dimension $v$.

[^1]
### 2.1 The subspace lattice

For simplicity, a subspace $U$ of $V$ of $\operatorname{dimension~}^{\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}}(U)=k$ will be called a $k$-subspace. The set of all $k$-subspaces of $V$ is called the Graßmannian and will be denoted by $\left[\begin{array}{l}V \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q}$. Picking the "best of two worlds", we will prefer the algebraic dimension $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}(U)$ over the geometric dimension $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}(U)-1$, but we will otherwise make heavy use of geometric notions, such as calling the 1 -subspaces of $V$ points, the 2 -subspaces lines, the 3 -subspaces planes, the 4 -subspaces solids and the $(v-1)$-subspaces hyperplanes. In fact, the subspace lattice $\mathcal{L}(V)$ consisting of all subspaces of $V$ ordered by inclusion is nothing else than the finite projective geometry $\mathrm{PG}(v-1, q)=\mathrm{PG}(V) .^{2}$ There are good reasons to consider the subset lattice as a subspace lattice over the unary "field" $\mathbb{F}_{1}$ [11].

The number of all $k$-subspaces of $V$ is given by the Gaussian binomial coefficient

$$
\#\left[\begin{array}{l}
V \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
v \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q}= \begin{cases}\frac{\left(q^{v}-1\right) \cdots\left(q^{v-k+1}-1\right)}{\left(q^{k}-1\right) \cdots(q-1)} & \text { if } k \in\{0, \ldots, v\} ; \\
0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

The Gaussian binomial coefficient $\left[\begin{array}{l}v \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ is also known as the $q$-analog of the number $v$ and will be abbreviated as $[v]_{q}$.

For $S \subseteq \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $U, W \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, we will use the abbreviations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.S\right|_{U} & =\{B \in S \mid U \leq B\}, \\
\left.S\right|^{W} & =\{B \in S \mid B \leq W\} \quad \text { and } \\
\left.S\right|_{U} ^{W} & =\{B \in S \mid U \leq B \leq W\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For a point $P$ in a plane $E$, the set of all lines in $E$ passing through $P$ is known as a line pencil.

The subspace lattice $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is isomorphic to its dual, which arises from $\mathcal{L}(V)$ by reversing the order. Fixing a non-degenerate bilinear form $\beta$ on $V$, a concrete isomorphism is given by $U \mapsto U^{\perp}$, where $U^{\perp}=\{\mathbf{x} \in V \mid \beta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})=0$ for all $\mathbf{u} \in U\}$. When addressing the dual of some geometric object in $\operatorname{PG}(V)$, we mean its (element-wise) image under this map. Up to isomorphism, the image does not depend on the choice of $\beta$.

### 2.2 Subspace designs

Definition 2.1 Let $t, v, k$ be integers with $0 \leq t \leq k \leq v-t$ and $\lambda$ another positive integer. A set $D \subseteq\left[\begin{array}{c}V \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q}$ is called a $t-(v, k, \lambda)_{q}$ subspace design if each $t$-subspace of $V$ is contained in exactly $\lambda$ elements (called blocks) of $D$. In the important case $\lambda=1, D$ is called a $q$-Steiner system.

The earliest reference for subspace designs is [10]. However, the idea is older, since it is stated that "Several people have observed that the concept of a $t$-design can be generalised [...]". They have also been mentioned in a more general context in [12]. The

[^2]first nontrivial subspace designs with $t \geq 2$ has been constructed in [27], and the first nontrivial Steiner system with $t \geq 2$ in [4]. An introduction to the theory of subspace designs can be found at [7], see also [25, Day 4].

Subspace designs are interlinked to the theory of network coding in various ways. To this effect we mention the recently found $q$-analog of the theorem of Assmus and Mattson [9], and that a $t-(v, k, 1)_{q}$ Steiner systems provides a $(v, 2(k-t+1) ; k)_{q}$ constant dimension network code of maximum possible size.

Classical combinatorial designs can be seen as the limit case $q=1$ of subspace designs. Indeed, quite a few statements about combinatorial designs have a generalization to subspace designs, such that the case $q=1$ reproduces the original statement [19, 20, 22, 5 .

One example of such a statement is the following [26, Lemma 4.1(1)], see also [19, Lemma 3.6]: If $D$ is a $t-(v, k, \lambda)_{q}$ subspace design, then $D$ is also an $s-\left(v, k, \lambda_{s}\right)_{q}$ subspace design for all $s \in\{0, \ldots, t\}$, where

$$
\lambda_{s}:=\lambda \frac{\left[\begin{array}{c}
v-s \\
t-s
\end{array}\right]_{q}}{\left[\begin{array}{c}
k-s \\
t-s
\end{array}\right]_{q}} .
$$

In particular, the number of blocks in $D$ equals

$$
\# D=\lambda_{0}=\lambda \frac{\left[\begin{array}{c}
v \\
t
\end{array}\right]_{q}}{\left[\begin{array}{l}
k \\
t
\end{array}\right]_{q}}
$$

So, for a design with parameters $t-(v, k, \lambda)_{q}$, the numbers $\lambda_{s}$ necessarily are integers for all $s \in\{0, \ldots, t\}$ (integrality conditions). In this case, the parameter set $t-(v, k, \lambda)_{q}$ is called admissible. It is further called realizable if a $t-(v, k, \lambda)_{q}$ design actually exists. The smallest admissible parameters of a $q$-analog of a Steiner system are $2-(7,3,1)_{q}$, which are the parameters of the $q$-analog of the Fano plane. This explains the significance of the question of its realizability.

The numbers $\lambda_{i}$ can be refined as follows. Let $i, j$ be non-negative integers with $i+j \leq t$ and let $I \in\left[\begin{array}{c}V \\ i\end{array}\right]_{q}$ and $J \in\left[\begin{array}{c}V \\ v-j\end{array}\right]_{q}$. By 26, Lemma 4.1], see also [7, Lemma 5], the number

$$
\lambda_{i, j}:=\left.\# D\right|_{I} ^{J}=\lambda \frac{\left[\begin{array}{c}
v-i-j \\
k-i
\end{array}\right]_{q}}{\left[\begin{array}{c}
v-t \\
k-t
\end{array}\right]_{q}}
$$

only depends on $i$ and $j$, but not on the choice of $I$ and $J$. The numbers $\lambda_{i, j}$ are important parameters of a subspace design. A further generalization is given by the intersection numbers in [20].

A nice way to arrange the numbers $\lambda_{i, j}$ is the following triangle form.


For a $q$-analog of the Fano plane, we get:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{0,0}=q^{8}+q^{6}+q^{5}+q^{4}+q^{3}+x^{2}+1 & \\
\lambda_{0,1}=q^{5}+q^{3}+q^{2}+1
\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{0,2}=q^{2}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence of the numbers $\lambda_{i, j}$, the dual design $D^{\perp}=\left\{B^{\perp} \mid B \in D\right\}$ is a subspace design with the parameters

$$
t-\left(v, v-k, \frac{\left[\begin{array}{c}
v-t \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q}}{\left[\begin{array}{c}
v-t \\
k-t
\end{array}\right]_{q}}\right) .
$$

For a point $P \leq V$, the derived design of $D$ in $P$ is

$$
\operatorname{Der}_{P}(D)=\left\{B / P|B \in D|_{P}\right\} .
$$

By [19], $\operatorname{Der}_{P}(D)$ is a subspace design with the parameters $(t-1)-(v-1, k-1, \lambda)_{q}$. In the case of a $q$-analog of the Fano plane, $\operatorname{Der}_{P}(D)$ has the parameters 1-(6, 2, 1).

### 2.3 Spreads

A 1- $(v, k, 1)_{q}$ Steiner system $\mathcal{S}$ is just a partition of the point set of $V$ into $k$-subspaces. These objects are better known under the name ( $k-1$ )-spread and have been investigated in geometry well before the emergence of subspace designs. A 1-spread is also called line spread.

A set $\mathcal{S}$ of $k$-subspaces is called a partial $(k-1)$-spread if each point is covered by at most one element of $\mathcal{S}$. The points not covered by any element are called holes. A recent survey on partial spreads is found in (17).

The parameters 1- $(v, k, 1)_{q}$ are admissible if and only $v$ is divisible by $k$. In this case, spreads do always exist [24, §VI]. An example can be constructed via field reduction: We consider $V$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{k}}$ and set $\mathcal{S}=\left[\begin{array}{c}V \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q^{k}}$. Switching back to vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, the set $\mathcal{S}$ is a $(k-1)$-spread of $V$, known as the Desarguesian spread.

A $(k-1)$-spread $\mathcal{S}$ is called geometric or normal if for two distinct blocks $B, B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}$, the set $\left.\mathcal{S}\right|^{B+B^{\prime}}$ is always a $(k-1)$-spread of $B+B^{\prime}$. In other words, $\mathcal{S}$ is geometric if every $2 k$-subspace of $V$ contains either 0,1 or $\frac{[2 k]_{q}}{[k]_{q}}=q^{k}+1$ blocks of $\mathcal{S}$. It is not hard to see that the Desarguesian spread is geometric. In fact, it follows from [2, Theorem 2] that a $(k-1)$-spread is geometric if and only if it is isomorphic to a Desarguesian spreads.

The derived designs of a $q$-analog of the Fano plane $D$ are line spreads in $\operatorname{PG}(5,2)$. These spreads have been classified in [21] into 131044 isomorphism types. The most symmetric one among these spreads is the Desarguesian spread. Following the notation of [13], a point $P$ is called an $\alpha$-point of the $q$-analog of the Fano plane $D$ if the derived design in $P$ is the geometric spread.

### 2.4 Generalized quadrangles

Definition 2.2 A generalized quadrangle is an incidence structure $Q=(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, I)$ with a non-empty set of points $\mathcal{P}$, a non-empty set of lines $\mathcal{L}$, and an incidence relation $I \subseteq \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{L}$ such hat
(i) Two distinct points are incident with at most a line.
(ii) Two distinct lines are incident with at most one point.
(iii) For each non-incident point-line-pair $(P, L)$ there is a unique incident point-linepair $\left(P^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ with $P I L^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime} I L$.

Generalized quadrangles have been introduced in the more general setting of generalized polygons in [29], as a tool in the theory of finite groups.

A generalized quadrangle $Q=(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, I)$ is called degenerate if there is a point $P$ such that each point of $Q$ is incident with a line through $P$. If each line of $Q$ is incident with $t+1$ points, and each point is incident with $s+1$ lines, we say that $Q$ is of order $(s, t)$. The dual $Q^{\perp}$ arises from $Q$ by interchanging the role of the points and the lines. It is again a generalized quadrangle. Clearly, $\left(Q^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}=Q$, and $Q$ is of order $(s, t)$ if and only if $Q^{\perp}$ is of order $(t, s)$.

Furthermore, $Q$ is said to be projective if it is embeddable in some Desarguesian projective geometry. This means that there is a Desarguesian projective geometry with point set $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$, line set $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$, and point-line incidence relation $I^{\prime}$ such that $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{P}^{\prime}, \mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ and for all $(P, L) \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{L}$ we have $P I L$ if and only if $P^{\prime} I^{\prime} L^{\prime}$. The non-degenerate finite projective generalized quadrangles have been classified in [8, Th. 1], see also [23, 4.4.8.]. These are exactly the so-called classical generalized quadrangles which are associated to a quadratic form or a symplectic or Hermitean polarity on the ambient geometry, see [23, 3.1.1.].
In this article, two of these classical generalized quadrangles will appear.
(i) The symplectic generalized quadrangle $W(q)$ [23, 3.1.1 (iii)], consisting of the set of points of $\operatorname{PG}(3, q)$ together with the totally isotropic lines with respect to a symplectic polarity. Taking the geometry as $\mathrm{PG}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{4}\right)$, the symplectic polarity can be represented by the alternating bilinear form $\beta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=x_{1} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{1}+x_{3} y_{4}-x_{4} y_{3}$. The configuration of the lines $\mathcal{L}$ in $\operatorname{PG}(3, q)$ is also known as a (general) linear complex of lines, see [23, 3.1.1 (iii)] or [15, Th. 15.2.13]. Under the Klein correspondence, $\mathcal{L}$ is a non-tangent hyperplane section of the Klein quadric.
(ii) The second one is the parabolic quadric $Q(4, q)$, whose points $\mathcal{P}$ are the zeroes of a parabolic quadratic form in $\operatorname{PG}(4, q)$, and whose lines are all the lines contained in $\mathcal{P}$. Taking the geometry as $\mathrm{PG}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{5}\right)$, the parabolic quadratic form can be represented by $q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=x_{1} x_{2}+x_{3} x_{4}+x_{5}^{2}$.

Both $W(q)$ and $Q(4, q)$ are of order $(q, q)$. By [23, 3.2.1] they are duals of each other, meaning that $W(q)^{\top} \cong Q(4, q)$.

Let $Q=(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, I)$ be a generalized quadrangle. As in projective geometries, a set $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ is called a spread of $Q$ if each point of $Q$ is incident with a unique line in $\mathcal{S}$. Dually, a set $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ is called an ovoid of $Q$ if each line of $Q$ is incident with a unique point in $\mathcal{O}$. Clearly, the spreads of $Q$ bijectively correspond to the ovoids of $Q^{\perp}$. This already shows the equivalence of Part (a) and (b) in Theorem 1.

## 3 Proof of the theorems

For the remainder of the article, we fix $v=7$ and assume that $D \subseteq\left[\begin{array}{c}V \\ 7\end{array}\right]_{q}$ is a $q$-analog of the Fano plane. The numbers $\lambda_{i, j}$ are defined as in Section 2.2 .

By the design property, the intersection dimension of two distinct blocks $B, B^{\prime} \in D$ is either 0 or 1 . So by the dimension formula, $\operatorname{dim}\left(B+B^{\prime}\right) \in\{5,6\}$. Therefore two distinct blocks contained in a common 5 -space always intersect in a point. Moreover, a solid $S$ of $V$ contains either a single block of no block at all. We will call $S$ a rich solid in the former case and a poor solid in the latter.

Remark 3.1 By [20, Remark 4.2], the poor solids form a dual 2-(7, $\left.3, q^{4}\right)_{q}$ subspace design. By the above discussion, the $\lambda_{0,2}=q^{2}+1$ blocks in any 5 -subspace $F$ form dual partial spread in $F$. The poor solids contained in $F$ are exactly the holes of that partial spread.

We will call a 5 -subspace $F$ such that all the $\lambda_{0,2}=q^{2}+1$ blocks in $F$ pass through a common point $P$ a $\beta$-flat with focal point $P$.

Lemma 3.2 The focal point of a $\beta$-flat is uniquely determined.
Proof. Assume that $P \neq Q$ are focal points of a $\beta$-flat $F$. Then all $\lambda_{0,2}=q^{2}+1>1$ blocks in $F$ pass through the line $P+Q$, contradicting the Steiner system property.

Lemma 3.3 Let $H$ be a hyperplane and $P$ a point in $H$. Then $P$ is the focal point of at most one $\beta$-flat in $H$.

Proof. There are $\lambda_{1,1}=q^{2}+1$ blocks in $H$ passing through $P$. For any $\beta$-flat $F<H$ with focal point $P$, all these blocks are contained in $F$.

Now assume that there are two such $\beta$-flats $F \neq F^{\prime}$. Then the $q^{2}+1>1$ blocks in $\left.D\right|_{P} ^{H}$ are contained in $F \cap F^{\prime}$. This is a contradiction, since $\operatorname{dim}\left(F \cap F^{\prime}\right) \leq 4$ and any solid contains at most a single block.

Lemma 3.4 Let $F \in\left[\begin{array}{c}V \\ 5\end{array}\right]_{q}$ be a $\beta$-flat with focal point $P$.
(a) Each point $Q \neq P$ is covered by a unique block in $F$.
(In other words: $\left.D\right|^{F} / P$ is a line spread of $F / P \cong \mathrm{PG}(3, q)$ )
(b) A solid $S$ of $F$ is poor if and only if it does not contain $P$.
(c) For all poor solids $S$ of $F$, the set $\left\{B \cap S|B \in D|^{F}\right\}$ is a line spread of $S$.

Proof. Part (a): As the blocks in $\left.D\right|^{F}$ intersect each other only in the point $P$, the number of points in $\left[\begin{array}{c}F \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q} \backslash\{P\}$ covered by these blocks is $\left(q^{2}+1\right)\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}3 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}-1\right)=q^{4}+q^{3}+$ $q^{2}+q=\left[\begin{array}{c}5 \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}-1$. Therefore, all points in $F$ different from $P$ are covered by a single block in $D_{F}$.

Part (b) The number of solids in $F$ containing one of the $q^{2}+1$ blocks in $F$ is $\left(q^{2}+1\right) \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}5-3 \\ 4-3\end{array}\right]_{q}=\left(q^{2}+1\right)(q+1)=q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1.3$ These solids are rich. Moreover, the $q^{4}$ solids in $F$ not containing $P$ do not contain a block, so they are poor. As $q^{4}+\left(q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1\right)=\left[\begin{array}{l}5 \\ 4\end{array}\right]_{q}$ is already the total number of solids in $F$, the poor solids in $F$ are precisely those not containing $P$.

Part (c); Let $S$ be a poor solid of $F$. For every block $B$ in $F$ we have $\operatorname{dim}(B \cap S) \leq 2$ as $S$ is poor, and moreover $\operatorname{dim}(B \cap S) \geq \operatorname{dim}(B)+\operatorname{dim}(S)-\operatorname{dim}(F)=3+4-5=2$ by the dimension formula. So for all blocks $B$ in $F$ we get that $B+S=F$ and $B \cap S$ is a line. By parts (a) and (b), every point of the poor solid $S$ is contained in a unique block in $F$. Hence $\{B \cap S \mid B \in D$ and $B+S=F\}$ is a line spread of $S$.

Lemma 3.5 Let $B, B^{\prime}$ be two distinct blocks which intersect in an $\alpha$-point $P$. Then $P$ is the focal point of the $\beta$-flat $B+B^{\prime}$.

Proof. Since $P=B \cap B^{\prime}$ is a point, $F=B+B^{\prime}$ is a 5 -subspace. Since $P$ is an $\alpha$-point, we have that $\left\{B^{\prime \prime} / P\left|B^{\prime \prime} \in D\right|_{P}^{F}\right\}$ is a line spread of $F / P \cong \mathbb{F}_{q}^{4}$. Such a line spread contains $\frac{[4] q}{[2] q}=q^{2}+1$ lines, so $F$ contains $q^{2}+1$ blocks passing through $P$. However, the total number of blocks contained in $F$ is only $\lambda_{0,2}=q^{2}+1$, so $F$ is a $\beta$-flat with focal point $P$.

Lemma 3.6 Let $F$ be a 5 -subspace such that all points of $F$ are $\alpha$-points. Then $F$ is a $\beta$-flat.

Proof. The 5 -subspace $F$ contains $\lambda_{0,2}=q^{2}+1>1$ blocks. Let $B, B^{\prime}$ be two distinct blocks in $F$. Then $P=B \cap B^{\prime}$ is a point and $F=B+B^{\prime}$. By the precondition, $P$ is an $\alpha$-point, so by Lemma 3.5, $P$ is the focal point of the $\beta$-flat $F$.

Remark 3.7 The statement of Lemma 3.6 is still true if $F$ contains a single non- $\alpha$-point $Q$. Then either all blocks contained in $F$ pass through $Q$, or there are two distinct blocks $B, B^{\prime}$ in $F$ such that $P=B \cap B^{\prime} \neq Q$. In the latter case, all blocks pass through the $\alpha$-point $P$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.6.

Lemma 3.8 Let $H$ be a hyperplane and $P$ an $\alpha$-point contained in $H$. Then $H$ contains a unique $\beta$-flat whose focal point is $P$.

Proof. There are $\lambda_{1,1}=q^{2}+1>1$ blocks in $H$ containing $P$. Let $B,\left.B^{\prime} \in D\right|_{P} ^{H}$. Then $P=B \cap B^{\prime}$. By Lemma 3.5, the $\alpha$-point $P$ is the focal point of the $\beta$-flat $F=B+B^{\prime}$. By Lemma 3.3, the $\beta$-flat $F$ is unique.

[^3]Now we fix a hyperplane $H$ of $V$ and assume that all its points are $\alpha$-points.
By Lemma 3.6, every 5 -subspace $F$ is a $\beta$-flat. We denote its unique focal point by $\alpha(F)$. Moreover by Lemma 3.8, each point $P$ of $H$ is the focal point of a unique $\beta$-flat $F$ in $H$. We will denote this $\beta$-flat by $\beta(P)$. Clearly, the mappings

$$
\alpha:\left[\begin{array}{c}
H \\
5
\end{array}\right]_{q} \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}
H \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta:\left[\begin{array}{c}
H \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{q} \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}
H \\
5
\end{array}\right]_{q}
$$

are inverse to each other. So they provide a bijective correspondence between the points and the 5 -subspaces of $V$.

Lemma 3.9 Let $B$ be a block in $H$.
(a) For all points $P$ of $B, B \leq \beta(P)$.
(b) For all 5-subspaces $F$ in $H$ containing $B, \alpha(F) \leq B$.

Proof. For part (a), let $P$ be a point on $B$. There are $\lambda_{1,1}=q^{2}+1$ blocks in $H$ passing through $P$, which equals the number $\lambda_{0,2}$ of blocks in $\beta(P)$ (which all pass through $P$ ). Therefore, $B \leq \beta(P)$.

For part (b), let $F$ be a 5 -subspace containing $B$. All blocks in $F$ pass through its focal point $\alpha(F)$.

By Lemma (b), each 5 -subspace and a fortiori the hyperplane $H$ contains a poor solid $S$. This poor solid $S$ is fixed for the remainder of this article.

The set of $\left[\begin{array}{c}6-4 \\ 5-4\end{array}\right]_{q}=q+1$ intermediate 5 -subspaces $F$ with $S<F<H$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}$. For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, the set $\mathcal{L}_{F}:=\left\{B \cap S \mid B \in D\right.$ and $\left.\left.B \in D\right|^{F}\right\}$ is a line spread of $S$ by Lemma 3.4|(c).

Lemma 3.10 The line spreads $\mathcal{L}_{F}$ with $F \in \mathcal{F}$ are pairwise disjoint.
Proof. Let $L \in \mathcal{L}_{F} \cap \mathcal{L}_{F^{\prime}}$ with $F, F^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $L=B \cap S=B^{\prime} \cap S$ with $B \in \mathcal{L}_{F}$ and $B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}_{F^{\prime}}$. So $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ are two blocks passing through the same line $L$. The Steiner system property gives $B=B^{\prime}$. Hence $F=B+S=B^{\prime}+S=F^{\prime}$.

Now let $\mathcal{L}=\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{L}_{F}$.
Lemma 3.11 The set $\mathcal{L}$ consists of $q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1$ lines of $S$ and is partitionable into $q+1$ line spreads of $S$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.10, the sets $\mathcal{L}_{F}$ are pairwise disjoint, so $\mathcal{L}$ is a set of $\left.\# \mathcal{F} \cdot \# D\right|^{F}=$ $(q+1)\left(q^{2}+1\right)=q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1$ lines in $S$ which admits a partition into the $q+1$ line spreads $\mathcal{L}_{F}$ with $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 3.12 For each point $P$ of $S,\left.\mathcal{L}\right|_{P}$ is a line pencil in the plane $E_{P}=\beta(P) \cap S$.

Proof. Let $P$ be a point in $S$.
By Lemma $3.4(\mathrm{~b})$, the poor solid $S$ is not contained in the 5 -subspace $\beta(P)$. Therefore, $\operatorname{dim}(\beta(P) \cap S) \leq 3$. On the other hand, as both $S$ and $\beta(P)$ are contained in $H$, we have $\operatorname{dim}(\beta(P)+S) \leq \operatorname{dim}(H)=6$ and therefore by the dimension formula $\operatorname{dim}(\beta(P) \cap S)=$ $\operatorname{dim}(\beta(P))+\operatorname{dim}(S)-\operatorname{dim}(\beta(P)+S) \geq 3$. Hence $E_{P}=\beta(P) \cap S$ is a plane.

Let $\left.L \in \mathcal{L}\right|_{P}$. Then there is a block $\left.B \in D\right|^{H}$ with $B \cap S=L$. By Lemma 3.9(a), $B \leq \beta(P)$. So $L=B \cap S \leq \beta(P) \cap S=E_{P}$. As the disjoint union of $q+1$ line spreads of $S, \mathcal{L}$ contains $q+1$ lines passing through $P$. Therefore, these lines form a line pencil in $E_{P}$ through $P$.

Lemma 3.13 The incidence structure $\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}\left.\left[\begin{array}{c}S \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}, \mathcal{L}, \subseteq\right) \text { is a projective generalized quadrangle }\end{array}\right.\right.$ of order $(s, t)=(q, q)$.

Proof. Clearly, every line in $\mathcal{L}$ contains $q+1$ points in $S$. By Lemma 3.11, through every point in $S$ there pass $q+1$ lines in $\mathcal{L}$. Now let $P$ be a point in $S$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$ not containing $P$.

By Lemma 3.10, there is a unique $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with $L \in \mathcal{L}_{F}$, and there is a line $L^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{L}_{F}$ passing through $P$. By Lemma 3.12, $L^{\prime \prime}<E_{P}$, so we get $L \nless E_{P}$ as otherwise $L$ and $L^{\prime \prime}$ would be distinct intersecting lines in the spread $\mathcal{L}_{F}$. Moreover, $L$ and $E_{P}$ are both contained in $S$, so they cannot have trivial intersection. Therefore $L \cap E_{P}$ is a point.

Now let $P^{\prime} \in\left[\begin{array}{c}S \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}$ and $L^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}$ with $L \cap L^{\prime}=P^{\prime}$ and $P+P^{\prime}=L^{\prime}$. Then $L^{\prime}$ is a line through $P$, so $L^{\prime}<E_{P}$. So necessarily $P^{\prime}=E_{P} \cap L$ and $L^{\prime}=P+P^{\prime}$, showing that $P^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime}$ are unique.

By Lemma 3.12 indeed $L^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}$, as $P+P^{\prime}$ is a line in $E_{P}$ containing $P$. This shows that $P^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime}$ do always exist and therefore, the incidence structure $\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}S \\ 1\end{array}\right], \mathcal{L}\right)$ is a generalized quadrangle of order $(q, q)$.
Lemma $3.14\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}S \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}, \mathcal{L}\right)$ is isomorphic to $W(q)$.
Proof. By Lemma 3.13 we know that $Q=\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}S \\ 1\end{array}\right]_{q}, \mathcal{L}, \subseteq\right)$ is a finite generalized quadrangle of order $(s, t)=(q, q)$ embedded in $\operatorname{PG}(S)$. By the classification in [8, Theorem 1] (see also [23, p. 4.4.8]), we know that $Q$ is a finite classical generalized quadrangle which are listed in [23, p. 3.1.2]. Comparing the orders and the dimension of the ambient geometry, the only possibility for $Q$ is the symplectic generalized quadrangle $W(q)$.

Now we can proof our main result.
Proof of Theorem 1. Part (a) is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.14 and Lemma 3.11. The equivalence of Part (a) and (b) has already been discussed in Section 2.4.

Theorem 2 is now a direct consequence.
Proof of Theorem 2. We show that the statement in Theorem $1 \|(\mathrm{b})$ is not satisfied.
For $q$ prime, the only ovoids of $Q(4, q)$ are the elliptic quadrics $Q^{-}(3, q)$ [1, Cor. 1]. As any two such quadrics have nontrivial intersection, there is no partition of $Q(4, q)$ into ovoids.

For $q$ even, $Q(4, q)$ does not admit a partition into ovoids by [23, 3.4.1 (i)].
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The $[7,4 ; 3]_{3}$ code of size 6977 in $[16$ can be extended trivially by a further codeword.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ In established symbols like $\mathrm{PG}(v-1, q)$, the geometric dimension $v-1$ is not altered.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ Remember that a solid cannot contain 2 blocks.

