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#### Abstract

We prove that there exist Delone sets in $\mathbb{R}^{d}, d \geq 2$, which cannot be mapped onto the standard lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ by Lipschitz co-uniformly continuous bijections satisfying an asymptotic control on the lower distortion. The impossibility of the unrectifiability crucially uses ideas of Lipschitz regular maps recently introduced by M. Dymond, V. Kaluža and E. Kopecká.


## 1 Introduction

Motivated by problems in many branches of mathematics (e.g. metric embedding theory [13, 16], geometric group theory [11], information theory [9], mathematical physics of quasicrystals [1]), over the last years there has been a lot of activity on Lipschitz embeddings of discrete sets. In this work, we focus on a particular aspect of this wide theory, namely the Lipschitz embeddability of Delone subsets of the Euclidean space into the standard lattice. Recall that a Delone set $\mathcal{D}$ of a metric space $X$ is a subset that is discrete and coarsely dense in a uniform way. This means that there exist positive constants $\sigma, \Sigma$ such that $d(x, y) \geq \sigma$ for all $x \neq y$ in $\mathcal{D}$, and for each $z \in X$ there exists $x \in \mathcal{D}$ for which $d(x, z) \leq \Sigma$.

Furstenberg and, independently, Gromov, asked whether for every Delone subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}, d \geq 2$, there exists a bi-Lipschitz bijection onto $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ (i.e. whether every Delone set in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is bi-Lipschitz rectifiable). Furstenberg was interested in dynamical aspects of this question (see [3] for a broader discussion), while Gromov was motivated by instances of geometric group theory [11]. Their question was answered in the negative by Burago and Kleiner [2] and, independently, by McMullen [14]. However, their results only yield existence of non bi-Lipschitz rectifiable Delone sets. Concrete examples were produced by Cortez and Navas in [4]. These examples can be constructed with supplementary properties of "dynamical type". In particular, they can be built so that they are repetitive, which means that the translation action on the space of Delone sets (endowed with an appropriate Chabauty topology) is minimal. Equivalently, for each $r>0$, there exists $R>0$ such that every pattern that appears in a ball of radius $r$ actually appears in every ball of radius $R$. Besides the mathematical relevance of this property, it is worth mentioning that all known examples of real (physical) quasicrystals lead to repetitive Delone sets.

In order to answer in the negative the Furstenberg-Gromov' question, Burago and Kleiner in [2] and McMullen in [14] show that the existence of a non-rectifiable Delone set is a consequence of the following result of analytical nature: there exists a bounded away from zero continuous function $\rho: I^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $I^{2}:=[-1 / 2,1 / 2]^{2}$, for which the prescribed Jacobian equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Jac}(F)=\rho \quad \text { a.e, } \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no bi-Lipschitz solution $F: I^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$; such densities $\rho: I^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which cannot be realizable as the Jacobian of a bi-Lipschitz map are called non-bi-Lipschitz-realizable. For more details on the prescribed Jacobian equation, we refer for instance to [5, 15, 17]. We point out that "almost all" positive functions $\rho \in L^{\infty}$ can be used to construct non-rectifiable Delone sets, as was shown in [18].

Motivated by a fundamental problem in discrete geometry and information theory (see [9] and the references therein), Dymond, Kaluža and Kopecká recently adapted the Burago-Kleiner / McMullen techniques to answer in the negative a question raised by Feige in [13]. More precisely, in [8], they proved the following remarkable fact:

Given $d \geq 2$, there is no constant $L$ such that, for all $n$, every subset of $n^{d}$ points of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ can be bijectively mapped into $\{1, \ldots, n\}^{d}$ by an L-Lipschitz map.

Their proof relies strongly on the existence of a positive continuous function $\rho: I^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $d \geq 2$, for which the generalized "push-forward equation"

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\#}(\rho \lambda)=\left.\lambda\right|_{F\left(I^{d}\right)}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no Lipschitz (regular) solution $F: I^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$, where $\lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure (for a definition of Lipschitz Regular maps, see Section 3 below); observe that equation (1.2) coincides with (1.1) whenever $F$ is bi-Lipschitz.

### 1.1 Notations and some basics on Lipschitz maps

Throughout this work we will denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the supremum-norm in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, and we denote by $B(x, r)$ the open ball with center $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and radius $r>0$ with this norm; moreover, we write $B(r)$ for the open ball with radius $r>0$ and centred at the origin. Given $\varepsilon>0$ and a bounded set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $B(A, \varepsilon)$ be the $\varepsilon$-neighbourhood of $A$. Given two subsets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we denote by $d(A, B)$ the distance between $A$ and $B$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|$. For two positive numbers $r<R$ and a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we denote by $\operatorname{Ann}(x, r, R)$ be the annulus $\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{d}: r<\|y-x\| \leq R\right\}$. The set $C\left(I^{d}\right)$ will denote the space of realvalued continuous functions defined on the unit square $I^{d}:=[-1 / 2,1 / 2]^{d}$, with the supremum-norm $\|f\|_{\infty}:=\max \left\{|f(x)|: x \in I^{d}\right\}$. Finally, for $[\cdot]$ we denote the integer part of a real number.
We say that a Delone set $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ satisfies the $2 \mathbb{Z}^{2}$-property if $2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z} \times 2 \mathbb{Z}$ are subsets of $\mathcal{D}$. Finally, we say that a subset $R$ of an integer square $T=([i, i+k] \times[j, j+k]) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, where $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfies the $2 \mathbb{Z}^{2}$-property, if $T \cap(2 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$ and $T \cap(\mathbb{Z} \times 2 \mathbb{Z})$ are contained in $R$.

Let $\left(X, d_{1}\right)$ and $\left(Y, d_{2}\right)$ be two metric spaces. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be Lipschitz, if there exists $L>0$ such that for every $x, y \in X$ :

$$
d_{2}(f(x), f(y)) \leq L d_{1}(x, y) .
$$

Given two positive numbers $b \leq L$, we say that $f$ is $(b, L)$-bi-Lipschitz if for every $x, y \in X$ :

$$
b d_{1}(x, y) \leq d_{2}(f(x), f(y)) \leq L d_{1}(x, y) ;
$$

moreover $f$ is called $L$-bi-Lipschitz if $b=1 / L$.
Given an increasing continuous function $\omega:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$, we say that a bijection $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $\omega$-co-uniformly continuous if for every $x \in X$ there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(B(f(x), r)) \subset B(x, \omega(r)) ; \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

a bijection $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called co-uniformly continuous if is $\omega$-co-uniformly continuous for some increasing continuous function $\omega:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ (see [12] for further details). Additionally, we say that a $\omega$-co-uniformly continuous map is of order $o(h(r))$ if $\omega(r)=o(h(r))$ (where $o(\cdot)$ denotes the standard Landau's notation).
Given a differentiable map $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$, the (determinant) Jacobian of $f$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Jac}(f):=\operatorname{det}(D f)$. Recall that by a classical theorem due to Rademacher (see Theorem 3.1.6 in
[10]), every Lipschitz map $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is differentiable almost everywhere. Thus, the expression " $J a c(f)$ a.e" make sense for Lipschitz maps from $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ to itself.

Given an integrable function $\rho: I^{d} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$, let $\rho \lambda$ be the measure defined by

$$
\rho \lambda(A):=\int_{A} \rho \lambda, \quad \text { for every measurable set } A \subset I^{d} .
$$

For a measurable map $F: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and a measure $\mu$ in $A$, consider the pushforward measure $F_{\#} \mu$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\#} \mu(B):=\mu\left(F^{-1}(B)\right) \quad \text { for every measurable set } B \subset F(A) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.2 Statement of the result

The aim of this work is to prove, following [2] and [8], that if we deal with Lipschitz bijections which are co-uniform with a suitable asymptotic control on the lower distortion, then there still exist Delone sets that fail to be rectifiable.

Main Theorem. For each $d \geq 2$, there exist Delone subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ that admit no Lipschitz co-uniformly continuous bijection with $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$, with co-uniformity of order $o\left(r^{d}\right)$.

This result extends the well-known bi-Lipschitz case in [2, 14, 4]. In particular the Main Theorem implies that there is a Delone set in $\mathbb{R}^{d}, d \geq 2$, which cannot be mapped onto $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ by Lipschitz bijections with a Hölder co-uniformity. Closely related results have been obtained recently in [7], where it is shown that there is a Delone set $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}, d \geq 2$, for which there is no bijection $f: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ which is $\omega$-homogeneous and $\omega$-co-uniformly continuous for a particular modulus of continuity $\omega:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow$ $[0,+\infty)$.

It would be interesting to known if there are Delone sets on $\mathbb{R}^{d}, d \geq 2$, that admit no Lipschitz bijections with the standard lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$, i.e, by avoiding any control in the lower distortion. In addition, since nonrectifiable Delone sets do exist, it would be interesting to know explicit examples of Delone sets that are Lipschitz rectifiable without being bi-Lipschitz rectifiable.

Sketch of the proof. Our proof crucially follows the construction proposed in [2], [8] and [7], with some mild though crucial changes along the way. Our strategy is described below in the 2-dimensional setting:
1.- Let $\rho: I^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive continuous function such that $8 / 9 \leq \min \rho<\max \rho \leq 1$. As in [2], consider a Delone subset $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ satisfying the $2 \mathbb{Z}^{2}$-property and emulating the behaviour of $\rho$ at bigger and bigger scales (see Section 2 for a precise construction).
2.- If there is a Lipschitz bijection $f: \mathcal{D}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, then $f$ must be "regular", which means that the preimage of a ball $B$ under this map cannot contain a $2 \cdot \operatorname{radius}(B)$-separated set with more than a certain prescribed number of elements (see Definition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 below).
3.- By renormalizing $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}$ and $f$, and after passing to the limit, we obtain a Lipschitz limit map $F$ : $I^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ defined on the unit square, which can be also showed to be regular (see Sections 2 and 3 for more details).
4.- By one of the main results in [8], there is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ whose preimage under $F$ is made up of finitely many disjoint open sets restricted to which the limit map is bi-Lipschitz; moreover, the number of these sets is uniformly controlled.
5.- Finally, from the control on the lower distortion, we show that there is a closed ball $\mathcal{Q} \subset F\left(I^{2}\right)$ such that $F$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F_{\#}(\rho \lambda)\right|_{\mathcal{Q}}=\left.\lambda\right|_{\mathcal{Q}} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of that $F$ satisfies equation (1.5) is made by means of a control of the loss of mass in $\mathcal{Q}$ under the renormalization. More precisely, as a consequence of the control of the co-uniformity in (1.3), we prove that the escape of mass in $\mathcal{Q}$ occurs always close to its boundary.

Therefore, if we choose a density map $\rho$ for which there is no a closed ball $\mathcal{Q}$ such that (1.5) has Lipschitz regular solutions, we obtain a Delone set $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}$ which cannot be Lipschitz rectifiable under co-uniformly continuous bijections of order $o\left(r^{2}\right)$. This function $\rho$ must exist as a consequence of Proposition 4.1] below (see Theorem 4.1 in [8]).

The preceding steps can be summarized as follows: every bijection $f: \mathcal{D}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ having certain Lipschitz-regularity (e.g, Lipschitz co-uniformly continuous, bi-Lipschitz) must induce certain type of regularity over the continuous density $\rho$. Thus, the desired bad-behaved Delone set $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}$ can be found by choosing $\rho$ lying outside of this regularity class.

## 2 Constructing a Delone set from an anomalous density.

In this section we explain how to produce a Delone set from a bounded away from zero density, as in [2] and [8] (see also [7]). To simplify computations, notations and figures, we will restrict ourself to the 2-dimensional case; the higher dimensional case follows analogously.

For our purposes, we will consider a continuous density $\rho: I^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $8 / 9 \leq \min \rho<\max \rho \leq$ 1. Let $\left(l_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left(m_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two sequences of even positive integers, where $l_{n}$ is a multiple of $m_{n}$ and such that $l_{n}, m_{n}$ and $\left(l_{n} / m_{n}\right) \longrightarrow+\infty$. Moreover, assume that $l_{n}$ divides $l_{n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$; this last hypothesis will be used later in the proof of the Main Theorem. Let $\left(S_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of disjoint squares with sides parallel to the coordinate axes, vertices having even integer coordinates and with side-length equal to $l_{n}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\left(T_{n, i}\right)_{i=1}^{m_{n}^{2}}$ be a subdivision of $S_{n}$ by $m_{n}^{2}$ squares with sides parallel to the coordinate axes and side-length equal to $l_{n} / m_{n}$ (see Figure 1). Finally, let $\phi_{n}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be an affine linear map sending the square $S_{n}$ onto the unit square $I^{2}$.


We build a Delone set $\mathcal{D}_{\rho} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ which "emulates" the behaviour of $\rho$ as follows: in each square $T_{n, i}$ we put $\left[\int_{T_{n, i}} \rho \circ \phi_{n} d \lambda\right]$ points with integer coordinates in such a way that each $T_{n, i}$ satisfies the $2 \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ property (see Figure 2) and such that $\partial T_{n, i} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{2} \subset \mathcal{D}_{\rho}$; notice that this is possible since $8 / 9 \leq \min \rho<$
$\max \rho \leq 1$. Outside of $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_{n}$, put one point in each integer coordinate. This construction provides a set $\mathcal{D}_{\rho} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ which is actually a Delone set satisfying the $2 \mathbb{Z}^{2}$-property since $8 / 9 \leq \min \rho<\max \rho \leq$ 1.


Figure 2: A possible configuration of $\mathcal{D}_{\rho} \cap T_{n, i}$.

## 3 Rescaling up to the limit and Lipschitz regularity

In this section we show, after renormalization and passing to the limit, that a Lipschitz bijection $f$ : $\mathcal{D}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ induces a Lipschitz regular map from the unit square. We start this section with some basic background and recent results on Lipschitz regular maps. For additional information about these mappings, we refer to [6].

Definition 3.1. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two metric spaces. We say that a Lipschitz map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is Lipschitz regular if there is a constant $C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every ball $B \subset Y$ of radius $r>0$, the set $f^{-1}(B)$ can be covered by at most $C$ balls of radii $C r$. The smallest such $C$ (that works for every $r>0$ ) is called the regularity constant of $f$, and is denoted by $\operatorname{Reg}(f)$.

A useful equivalent interpretation of this definition is provided by the next lemma. (The proof is straightforward and is left to the reader.)

Lemma 3.2. A Lipschitz map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is Lipschitz regular if and only if there is a constant $C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every ball $B \subset Y$ of radius $r>0$, the set $f^{-1}(B)$ does not contain a $C r$-separated set with more than $C$ elements. If this is the case, then $\operatorname{Reg}(f) \leq C$. Conversely, if $f$ is Lipschitz regular, then $C$ can be taken as being equal to $2 \operatorname{Reg}(f)$.

One of the main results of [8] (namely, Theorem 2.10 therein) is that every Lipschitz regular map defined on a bounded region of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ can be "densely decomposed" into bi-Lipschitz pieces, as stated below.

Theorem 3.3. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a nonempty open set. If $f: \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a Lipschitz regular map, then there exist pairwise disjoint open sets $\left(A_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $U$ such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{n}$ is dense in $\bar{U}$ and, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the map $\left.f\right|_{A_{n}}$ is bi-Lipschitz with lower bi-Lipschitz constant $b=b(\operatorname{Reg}(f))$.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.3] it is showed in [8] that the image by a Lipschitz regular map contains an open set whose preimage is made of a controlled number of open subsets where the map is biLipschitz, with lower bi-Lipschitz constant depending only on the regularity constant. This is stated below, and corresponds to Proposition 2.15 in [8].

Proposition 3.4. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a nonempty open set. If $f: \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a Lipschitz regular map, then there exist a nonempty open set $T \subset f(\bar{U})$, an integer $N \in\{1, \ldots, \operatorname{Reg}(f)\}$, and pairwise disjoint open
sets $W_{1}, \ldots W_{N} \subset \bar{U}$, such that $f^{-1}(T)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} W_{i}$ and, for each $1 \leq i \leq N$, the map $\left.f\right|_{W_{i}}: W_{i} \rightarrow T$ is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism, with lower bi-Lipschitz constant $b=b(\operatorname{Reg}(f))$. Actually, one may take $b=\frac{1}{2 \operatorname{Reg}(f)^{2}}$.

The following result deals with maps defined on discrete sets of points. It asserts that every Lipschitz bijection defined on a Delone set that satisfies the $2 \mathbb{Z}^{2}$-property onto the integer lattice must be Lipschitz regular. Actually, as we will see along the proof, the $2 \mathbb{Z}^{2}$-property may be replaced by any other property ensuring that densities of points in large balls are everywhere bounded from below (away from zero). Given $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, r>0$ and a subset $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, we will denote the set $B(x, r) \cap \mathcal{L}$ by $B_{\mathcal{L}}(x, r)$.

Proposition 3.5. Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ be a Delone set satisfying the $2 \mathbb{Z}^{2}$-property. If $f: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ is an $L$ Lipschitz bijection, then $f$ is Lipschitz regular, with $\operatorname{Reg}(f) \leq \max \left\{2, C(L+1)^{2}\right\}$ for some universal constant $C>0$.
Proof. Let $y \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and $r>0$. Consider $\Gamma \subset f^{-1}(B(y, r))$ a maximal $2 r$-separated set, and write $\Gamma=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots x_{|\Gamma|}\right\}$. Then, by the $L$-Lipschitz condition we have that

$$
f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{|\Gamma|} B_{\mathcal{D}}\left(x_{i}, r\right)\right) \subset B_{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(y, r+r L) .
$$

Observe that, for $i=1, \ldots,|\Gamma|$, the open balls $B\left(x_{i}, r\right)$ are pairwise disjoint. Since $f$ is a bijection we obtain that, for a certain constant $C_{1} \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{|\Gamma|}\left|B_{\mathcal{D}}\left(x_{i}, r\right)\right|=\left|f\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{|\Gamma|} B_{\mathcal{D}}\left(x_{i}, r\right)\right)\right| \leq\left|B_{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}(y, r+r L)\right| \leq C_{1} r^{2}(L+1)^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by the $2 \mathbb{Z}^{2}$-property, the cardinality $\left|B_{\mathcal{D}}\left(x_{i}, r\right)\right|$ is at least $C_{2} r^{2}$ for another universal constant $C_{2}>0$. (The value of $C_{2}$ can be taken as $8 / 9-\varepsilon$ provided $r$ is large enough.) Thus, by (3.1),

$$
C_{2} r^{2}|\Gamma| \leq C_{1} r^{2}(L+1)^{2} .
$$

We hence conclude that $|\Gamma| \leq C_{1}(L+1)^{2} / C_{2}$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.2, $f$ is Lipschitz regular with regularity constant at most $\max \left\{2, C_{1}(L+1)^{2} / C_{2}\right\}$.

From now on, let $\mathcal{P}_{n}:=\mathcal{D}_{\rho} \cap S_{n}$, where $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}$ and $\left(S_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are the Delone set and the sequence of squares given in $\$ 2$, respectively. Let $\phi_{n}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be the homothety defined in Section 2, and define $\mathcal{R}_{n}:=\phi_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n}\right)$.
Assume there is an $L$-Lipschitz bijection $f: \mathcal{D}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2}$. As in [2] and [8], we proceed to normalize $f$ to each square $\mathcal{P}_{n}$, that is, to consider the map $f_{n}: \mathcal{R}_{n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{l_{n}} \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(x):=\frac{1}{l_{n}}\left(f \circ \phi_{n}^{-1}(x)-f \circ \phi_{n}^{-1}\left(\tilde{x}_{n}\right)\right), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{x}_{n} \in \mathcal{R}_{n}$ is some base point. Notice that for each $n \geq 1$ the map $f_{n}$ is Lipschitz regular with $\operatorname{Lip}\left(f_{n}\right) \leq L$ and $\operatorname{Reg}\left(f_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Reg}(f)$. By Kirszbraun's extension theorem) ${ }^{1}$ (see, for instance, Theorem 2.10.43 in [10]), each function $f_{n}$ can be extended to an $L$-Lipschitz map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{f}_{n}: I^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]By the Arzelá-Ascoli's theorem, there exists a subsequence $\left(\widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\left(\widehat{f}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$, converging to an $L$ Lipschitz map $F: I^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$; from now on, the subsequence $\left(\widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ will be just denoted $\left(\widehat{f}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$. As we next show, the Lipschitz regularity is inherited from $f$ to $F$.

Proposition 3.6. The map $F: I^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ built above is Lipschitz regular, with $\operatorname{Reg}(F) \leq 34 \operatorname{Reg}(f)$.
Proof. Let $y \in F\left(I^{2}\right)$ and $r>0$. Consider a maximal $2 \operatorname{Reg}(f) r$-separated set $\Gamma=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{|\Gamma|}\right\}$ contained in $F^{-1}(B(y, r))$. Given

$$
0<\varepsilon<\min \left\{2 \operatorname{Reg}(f) r\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{2+\sqrt{2}}}\right), d\left(\Gamma, \partial F^{-1}(B(y, r))\right)\right\}
$$

by the convergence of $\widehat{f}_{n}$ to $F$, there is a positive integer $n_{0}=n_{0}(\varepsilon)$ such that, for every $n \geq n_{0}$, there exist $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{|\Gamma|} \in \mathcal{R}_{n}$ for which the following hold:

- for every $i=1, \ldots,|\Gamma|$, we have that $\left\|p_{i}-x_{i}\right\|<\varepsilon / 2$,
- the set $\Gamma_{n}:=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{|\Gamma|}\right\}$ is contained in $F^{-1}(B(y, r))$ and,
- $f_{n}\left(\Gamma_{n}\right) \subset B(y, r)$.

Observe that $\Gamma_{n}$ is $(2 \operatorname{Reg}(f) r-\varepsilon)$-separated, since $\Gamma$ is $2 \operatorname{Reg}(f) r$-separated.
We will delete some points in $\Gamma_{n}$ in an appropriate way in order to obtain a set $\Gamma_{n}^{\prime} \subset f_{n}^{-1}(B(y, r))$ that is $2 \operatorname{Reg}(f) r$-separated and such that $\left|\Gamma_{n}^{\prime}\right| \geq|\Gamma| / 17$. By Lemma 3.2, this will imply that

$$
|\Gamma| \leq 17\left|\Gamma_{n}^{\prime}\right| \leq 34 \operatorname{Reg}\left(f_{n}\right)=34 \operatorname{Reg}(f)
$$

hence $F$ is Lipschitz-regular with $\operatorname{Reg}(F) \leq 42 \operatorname{Reg}(f)$.
To build the set $\Gamma_{n}^{\prime}$, we consider the angle

$$
\alpha=\arctan \left(\frac{2 \operatorname{Reg}(f) r-\varepsilon}{2 \operatorname{Reg}(f) r}\right) \geq \arctan \left(\sqrt{\frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{2+\sqrt{2}}}\right)=\frac{\pi}{8}
$$

where the inequality follows from the condition

$$
\varepsilon<2 \operatorname{Reg}(f) r\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{2+\sqrt{2}}}\right)
$$

This is the angle that appears in Figure 3 below. In the area depicted in black, no pair of points in $\Gamma_{n}$ is at distance $>2 \operatorname{Reg}(f) r-\varepsilon$. The same happens in a similar region with angle $\pi / 8$. Since 16 of these polygonal regions cover exactly the anular region between a square of radius $2 \operatorname{Reg}(f) r-\varepsilon$ and another of radius $2 \operatorname{Reg}(f) r$ (with the same center), we deduce -by the pigeonhole principle- that no more than 16 points of $\Gamma_{n}$ in this annular region can be $(2 \operatorname{Reg}(f) r-\epsilon)$-separated.

Now, for each $i \in\{1, \ldots,|\Gamma|\}$, let $\Gamma_{n}^{i}$ be the set of all points $p \in \Gamma_{n}$ such that $2 \operatorname{Reg}(f) r-\varepsilon \leq \| p_{i}-$ $p \| \leq 2 \operatorname{Reg}(f) r$. We have shown that this set contains at most 16 points. We erase those corresponding to $p_{1}$, then those corresponding to the $p_{i}$ with minimal index that survive after the first deletion $(i \geq 2)$, and so on. At the end, we get the subset $\Gamma_{n}^{\prime}$ with the desired properties.


Figure 3: In the figure, $\overline{A C}=2 \operatorname{Reg}(f) r, \overline{B C}=\varepsilon$ and $\overline{C D}=2 \operatorname{Reg}(f) r-\varepsilon$. In the black region there is no a pair of points in $\Gamma_{n}$ at distance $>2 \operatorname{Reg}(f) r-\varepsilon$.

## 4 Proof of the Main Theorem

This section is dedicated to the proof of the Main Theorem. Exploiting the bi-Lipschitz decomposition of Lipschitz regular maps recently introduced by Dymond, Kaluža and Kopecká in [8] together the co-uniformity of $f: \mathcal{D}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, in this section we show that the Lipschitz-rectifiability of $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}$ under co-uniformly continuous bijections of order $o\left(r^{2}\right)$ induces certain regularity over the positive continuous density $\rho: I^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Thus, the existence of a non-Lipschitz-rectifiable Delone set $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}$ will be a consequence of the existence of a continuous function $\rho$ which does not belong to this regularity class.
Let $\left(A_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a basis for the topology of the unit square $I^{2}$. As in [8], let $\mathcal{E}_{C, L, n}$ be the set of positive continuous functions $\rho: I^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which the following holds: there are pairwise disjoint open sets $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{N} \subset I^{2}, Y_{1}=A_{n}$, where $1 \leq N \leq C$, an open set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, and a family of $(b(C), L)$-biLipschitz homeomorphisms $F_{i}: Y_{i} \rightarrow V$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(y)=\left|\operatorname{Jac}\left(F_{1}\right)(y)\right|-\sum_{i=2}^{n} \rho\left(F_{i}^{-1} \circ F_{1}\right)(y)\left|\operatorname{Jac}\left(F_{i}^{-1} \circ F_{1}\right)(y)\right| \quad \text { a.e in } Y_{1} \text {. } \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [8] it is shown that "almost all" positive continuous functions do not have a bi-Lipschitz decomposition as in (4.1). This corresponds to Theorem 4.1 in [8].

Proposition 4.1 (Dymond, Kaluža and Kopecká, 2018). A generic positive function $\rho: I^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ does not belong to $\bigcup_{C, L, n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{E}_{C, L, n}$.

From now on let $\rho$ be a positive continuous function such that $8 / 9 \leq \min \rho<\max \rho \leq 1$ as in Proposition 4.1] and let $\mathcal{D}_{\rho} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ be the corresponding Delone set constructed as in Section 2. Assume that there is an $L$-Lipschitz, $\omega$-co-uniformly continuous bijection $f: \mathcal{D}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ for some increasing continuous function $\omega:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that $\omega(r)=o\left(r^{2}\right)$ and let $F: I^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be the (limit) Lipschitz regular map obtained as in Section 3 By Proposition 3.4 there exist a non-empty open set $W \subset F\left(I^{2}\right)$ and open disjoint subsets $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{N} \subset I^{2}$, where $N \leq \operatorname{Reg}(F)$, such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} V_{i}=$ $F^{-1}(W)$ and, for each $i \leq i \leq N$, the map $\left.F\right|_{V_{i}}: V_{i} \rightarrow W$ is bi-Lipschitz, with lower bi-Lipschitz constant $b=\frac{1}{2 \operatorname{Reg}(F)^{2}}$. Thereupon, the Main Theorem is a consequence of the next proposition.

Proposition 4.2. For $\rho, f, F$ and $W$ as in the previous paragraph, let $\mathcal{Q} \subset W$ be a closed ball centred at a point $y_{m} \in\left(1 / l_{m}\right) \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, for some positive integer $m$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F_{\#}(\rho \lambda)\right|_{\mathcal{Q}}=\left.\lambda\right|_{\mathcal{Q}} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Main Theorem from Proposition 4.2 For every $i=1, \ldots, N$ write $F_{i}:=\left.F\right|_{V_{i}}$ and let $n$ be a natural number such that $A_{n} \subset V_{1} \cap F^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})$; besides, for each $i=2, \ldots, N$ denote by $A_{i, n}:=$ $F^{-1}\left(F\left(A_{n}\right)\right) \cap V_{i}$ and $A_{1, n}:=A_{n}$. From the equation (4.2) we have that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{A_{i, n}} \rho d \lambda=\lambda\left(F\left(A_{n}\right)\right)
$$

By a change of variable and the Euclidean Area formula for bi-Lipschitz maps, the previous equation can be rewritten as

$$
\int_{A_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \rho\left(F_{i}^{-1} \circ F_{1}\right)\left|\operatorname{Jac}\left(F_{i}^{-1} \circ F_{1}\right)\right| d \lambda=\int_{A_{n}}\left|\operatorname{Jac}\left(F_{1}\right)\right| d \lambda,
$$

which is equivalent to the equation

$$
\rho(y)=\left|J a c\left(F_{1}\right)(y)\right|-\sum_{i=2}^{n} \rho\left(F_{i}^{-1} \circ F_{1}\right)(y)\left|\operatorname{Jac}\left(F_{i}^{-1} \circ F_{1}\right)(y)\right| \quad \text { a.e in } A_{n} .
$$

Thus, we conclude that $\rho \in \mathcal{E}_{C, L, n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $C=1 / 2 \operatorname{Reg}(F)^{2}$, which contradicts the choice of $\rho$. Therefore, $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}$ cannot be mapped onto $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ by Lipschitz bijections, as announced.

### 4.1 Mass-loss control under renormalization

In what follows we prove Proposition 4.2, Let $\mathcal{Q} \subset W$ be a closed ball such that $d(\mathcal{Q}, \partial W)>0$ and define $\mathcal{H}_{i}:=F^{-1}(\mathcal{Q}) \cap V_{i}$ (recall that we consider "balls" for the sup-norm in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ ) and $\mathcal{H}:=\cup_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{H}_{i}$; in addition, choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that the closure of the $\varepsilon$-neighbourhood $B(\mathcal{Q}, \varepsilon)$ of $\mathcal{Q}$ is contained in $W$. We start by showing that from a large-enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the points in $\mathcal{R}_{n} \cap \mathcal{H}$ are mapped under $f_{n}$ into $B(\mathcal{Q}, \varepsilon)$, and that $f_{n}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q} \cap\left(1 / l_{n}\right) \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$ is completely contained in the $\varepsilon$-neighbourhood of $\mathcal{H}$ (with $f_{n}$ defined as in (3.2)); nevertheless, there may exist some points in $\mathcal{Q} \cap\left(1 / l_{n}\right) \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ which do not have a pre-image under $f_{n}$, producing loss of mass under the renormalization (see Figure 4 ).


Figure 4: The set $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{R}_{n}$ is mapped under $f_{n}$ into $B(\mathcal{Q}, \varepsilon)$ and $f_{n}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q} \cap\left(1 / l_{n}\right) \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$ is contained in the $\varepsilon$-neighbourhood of $\mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 4.3. Given $0<\varepsilon<\min \left\{d\left(\mathcal{H}, \partial F^{-1}(W)\right) ; d(\mathcal{Q}, \partial W) ; \min _{i<j} d\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}, \mathcal{H}_{j}\right)\right\}$, there is a positive integer $n_{0}=n_{0}(\varepsilon)$ such that for every $n \geq n_{0}$ the following holds:
i) $f_{n}\left(\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{R}_{n}\right)$ is contained in $B(\mathcal{Q}, \varepsilon)$;
ii) $f_{n}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q} \cap\left(1 / l_{n}\right) \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \subset B(\mathcal{H}, \varepsilon)$.

Proof. Assume that i) does not hold. Then there must exist an increasing sequence of integers $k_{n}$ and a sequence of points $x_{n} \in \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{R}_{k_{n}}$ such that $f_{k_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)$ does not belong to $B(\mathcal{Q}, \varepsilon)$. From the compactness of $\mathcal{H}$ and after passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ converges to a point $x \in \mathcal{H}$. By the uniform convergence of $\widehat{f}_{n}$ to $F$ (recall that $\widehat{f}_{n}$ was defined in (3.3)), we have that $f_{k_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right) \longrightarrow F(x)$. Since $B(\mathcal{Q}, \varepsilon)$ is an open set, $F(x)$ cannot belong to $B(\mathcal{Q}, \varepsilon)$. However, this contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{H} \subset F^{-1}(B(\mathcal{Q}, \varepsilon))$.

To prove ii) we proceed also by contradiction. Suppose that there exist an increasing sequence of positive integers $\left(i_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a sequence of points $\left(u_{i_{n}}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for every $n \geq 1$ we have that $u_{i_{n}}$ belongs to $f_{i_{n}}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q} \cap\left(1 / l_{i_{n}}\right) \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \backslash B(\mathcal{H}, \varepsilon)$. Observe that $\left(u_{i_{n}}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges, up to a subsequence, to an element $u \in I^{2} \backslash B(\mathcal{H}, \varepsilon)$. On the other hand, by the compactness of $\mathcal{Q}$ and since $\widehat{f}_{n}$ converges uniformly to $F$, we have that $f_{i_{n}}\left(u_{i_{n}}\right)$ converges to $F(u) \in \mathcal{Q}$. However this would imply that $u \in \mathcal{H}$, which is impossible since $u \in I^{2} \backslash B(\mathcal{H}, \varepsilon)$.

From now on, for each natural number $n$ we consider $f_{n}$ as a map from $\phi_{n}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\rho}\right)$ to $\left(1 / l_{n}\right) \mathbb{Z}^{2}$; then the extension of the restriction of $f_{n}$ over $\mathcal{R}_{n}$, namely $\widehat{f_{n} \mid \mathcal{R}_{n}}$, converges uniformly to $F$. Consider $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\frac{1}{k}<\min \left\{\frac{d\left(\mathcal{H}, \partial F^{-1}(W)\right)}{2 \operatorname{Reg}(F)^{2}+1}, \min _{i<j} d\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}, \mathcal{H}_{j}\right), d(\mathcal{Q}, \partial W)\right\} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every non-negative integer $j$, let $\mathcal{Q}_{k, j}$ be the set points $y \in B(\mathcal{Q}, 1 / k)$ for which $d(y, \partial B(\mathcal{Q}, 1 / k))>$ $j L / l_{n}$; notice that $\mathcal{Q}_{k, j}$ can be empty for a sufficiently large positive integer $j$. The key result to prove Proposition 4.2 is given by Lemma 4.7 below, which claims that for sufficiently large positive integers $k, n$, there is an annulus $A_{k, n}$ centred at the origin and with external radius equal to the diameter of $f_{n}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, 0}\right)$, such that $f_{n}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q} \cap\left(1 / l_{n}\right) \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \cap A_{k, n}$ is mapped under $f_{n}$ into a neighbourhood of $\partial B(\mathcal{Q}, 1 / k)$. Concretely, Lemma 4.7 is a consequence of the fact that points in the pre-image under $f_{n}$ of a closed ball $B$ contained in $W$ which are distant from the origin, must be mapped by $f_{n}$ "close" to the boundary of $B$; this last fact (Lemma 4.4 below) was inspired by the proof of Lemma 6 in [4] and relies strongly on the geometry of $\mathcal{D}_{\rho}$, namely, on the $2 \mathbb{Z}^{2}$-property.
For every $j \geq 0$ such that $\mathcal{Q}_{k, j} \neq \emptyset$, let $x_{k, j}^{(n)}$ be a point in $f_{n}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, j}\right)$ with the property that $\left\|x_{k, j}^{(n)}\right\|$ is maximal. Let $i(n):=\max \left\{i \in \mathbb{N}: \mathcal{Q}_{k, i} \neq \emptyset\right\}$, i.e, $i(n)$ satisfies that the side-length of $\mathcal{Q}_{k, i(n)}$ is less or equal than $2 L / l_{n}$. We claim that the distance from $f\left(x_{k, j}^{(n)}\right)$ to $\partial \mathcal{Q}_{k, j}$ cannot be larger than $L / l_{n}$.
Lemma 4.4. For every $j<i(n)$ there holds $d\left(f_{n}\left(x_{k, j}^{(n)}\right), \partial \mathcal{Q}_{k, j}\right) \leq L / l_{n}$.
Proof. Indeed, if $d\left(f_{n}\left(x_{k, j}^{(n)}\right), \partial \mathcal{Q}_{k, j}\right)>L / l_{n}$, then $B\left(f_{n}\left(x_{k, j}^{(n)}\right), L / l_{n}\right)$ is strictly contained in $\mathcal{Q}_{k, j}$. On the other hand, the $2 \mathbb{Z}^{2}$-property implies that at least one of the points $x_{k, j}^{(n)} \pm e_{1, n}, x_{k, j}^{(n)} \pm e_{2, n}, x_{k, j}^{(n)} \pm$ $e_{1, n} \pm e_{2, n}$ (where $e_{1}=\left(1 / l_{n}, 0\right)$ and $e_{2}=\left(0,1 / l_{n}\right)$ ) belongs to $\phi_{n}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\rho}\right)$ and lies at distance from the origin larger than that $\left\|x_{k, j}^{(n)}\right\|$; see Figure 5 for a picture of this situation when $x_{k, j}^{(n)}$ lies to the left-hand side of the square $B\left(\left\|x_{k, j}^{(n)}\right\|\right)$. Then, from the $L$-Lipschitz condition, this point is mapped by $f_{n}$ into $B\left(f\left(x_{k, j}^{(n)}\right), L / l_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{Q}_{k, j}$, contradicting the choice of $x_{k, j}^{(n)}$.

Lemma 4.5. For every positive integer $j<i(n)$ there holds $\left\|x_{k, j}^{(n)}\right\|<\left\|x_{k, j-1}^{(n)}\right\|$. In particular the set $\operatorname{Ann}\left((0,0),\left\|x_{k, j}^{(n)}\right\|,\left\|x_{k, j-1}^{(n)}\right\|\right)$ is non-empty.
Proof. We proceed by contradiction. By construction of $x_{k, j}^{(n)}$, it is sufficient to consider the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{k, j}^{(n)}\right\|=\left\|x_{k, j-1}^{(n)}\right\| . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 5: A possible configuration of $\phi_{n}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\rho}\right)$ around $x_{k, j}^{(n)}$.

In this case by Lemma 4.4, the preceding equality $\sqrt[(4.4)]{ }$ and the definition of $x_{k, j}^{(n)}$, we get that

$$
d\left(f_{n}\left(x_{k, j-1}^{(n)}\right), \partial \mathcal{Q}_{k, j-1}\right) \leq L / l_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad d\left(f_{n}\left(x_{k, j-1}^{(n)}\right), \partial \mathcal{Q}_{k, j}\right) \leq L / l_{n}
$$

This implies that $f_{n}\left(x_{k, j-1}^{(n)}\right) \in \partial \mathcal{Q}_{k, j-1} \cap \mathcal{Q}_{k, j-1}$, which is impossible since $\mathcal{Q}_{k, j-1}$ is an open set.
Now we shall prove that $x_{k, i(n)}^{(n)}$ belongs to $I^{2}$ for a sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Lemma 4.6. Given $k$ as in (4.3), there must exist a positive integer $n_{k}$ depending on $k$ such that for every $n \geq n_{k}$ the point $x_{k, i(n)}^{(n)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}$.
Proof. By the bi-Lipschitz decomposition of $F$, there is a large-enough $n_{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \geq n_{k}$ there holds

$$
d\left(\partial F^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, i(n)}\right), \partial \mathcal{H}\right)>\frac{1}{k}
$$

Hence the claim follows by applying part ii) of Lemma4.3 to $\mathcal{Q}_{k, i\left(n_{k}\right)}, \varepsilon=1 / k$ and by observing that $\mathcal{Q}_{k, i(n)} \subset \mathcal{Q}_{k, i\left(n_{k}\right)}$ for every $n \geq n_{k}$.

Lemma 4.7. For every $0 \leq j \leq i(n)$, the set $\operatorname{Ann}\left((0,0),\left\|x_{k, j}^{(n)}\right\|,\left\|x_{k, j-1}^{(n)}\right\|\right) \cap f_{n}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, 0}\right)$ is mapped into $\mathcal{Q}_{k, j-1} \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{k, j}$ under $f_{n}$.

Proof. From the maximality of $\left\|x_{k, 1}^{(n)}\right\|$, we get

$$
\operatorname{Ann}\left((0,0),\left\|x_{k, 1}^{(n)}\right\|,\left\|x_{k, 0}^{(n)}\right\|\right) \cap f_{n}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, 0}\right) \subset \mathcal{Q}_{k, 0} \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{k, 1}
$$

Otherwise, there must exists $u \in f_{n}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, 0}\right)$ with $\|u\|>\left\|x_{k, 1}^{(n)}\right\|$ such that $f_{n}(u) \in \mathcal{Q}_{k, 1}$, contradicting the choice of $x_{k, 1}^{(n)} \in f_{n}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, 1}\right)$. Analogously, for every non-negative integer $j$ such that $\mathcal{Q}_{k, j} \neq \emptyset$ there holds:

$$
\operatorname{Ann}\left((0,0),\left\|x_{k, j}^{(n)}\right\|,\left\|x_{k, j-1}^{(n)}\right\|\right) \cap f_{n}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, j-1}\right) \subset \mathcal{Q}_{k, j-1} \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{k, j} .
$$

In particular, from Lemma 4.7 we have that there are no points in $\operatorname{Ann}\left((0,0),\left\|x_{k, j}^{(n)}\right\|,\left\|x_{k, j-1}^{(n)}\right\|\right) \cap$ $f_{n}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, j-1}\right)$ which are sent into $\mathcal{Q}_{k, 0} \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{k, j-1}$.
Henceforth, we consider the points $x_{k, j}:=x_{k, j}^{(n)}$ where the $x_{k, j}^{(n)}$,s are the points as in the previous lemmas. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $j(n)$ be the minimum positive integer with the property that $x_{k, j} \in I^{2}$, which in fact must exists as a consequence of Lemma4.6.

Remark 4.8. If $\mathcal{Q}$ is centred at a point $y_{m} \in\left(1 / l_{m}\right) \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, then from Lemma 4.7 we conclude that for $a$ sufficiently large positive integer $n$, the pre-image of the center of $\mathcal{Q}$ under $f_{n}$, namely $f_{n}^{-1}\left(y_{m}\right)$, belongs to $I^{2}$.

Notice that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying (4.3), the point $x_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)}$ belongs to $B\left(\mathcal{H},\left(2 \operatorname{Reg}(F)^{2}+1\right) / k\right)$ (where $n_{k}$ is the positive integer given in the proof of Lemma4.6), and hence the sequence $\left(x_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ converges (under a subsequence) to an element $x_{l i m} \in \mathcal{H}$. In addition, the sequence of balls $\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ converges in the Hausdorff distance (under a subsequence) to a closed ball $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{Q}$. We use this fact to prove the next claim.

Lemma 4.9. The set $\mathcal{H}$ is contained in $\bar{B}\left(\left\|x_{\text {lim }}\right\|\right)$. In particular $x_{\text {lim }}$ belongs to $\partial \mathcal{H}$.
Proof. Suppose there is a point $u \in \operatorname{int}\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right) \backslash \bar{B}\left(\left\|x_{\text {lim }}\right\|\right)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq N$. Thereupon there must exist a positive number $\alpha$ such that $\bar{B}(u, \alpha)$ is contained $\operatorname{int}\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right) \backslash \bar{B}\left(\left\|x_{l i m}\right\|\right)$. Thus, from Lemma4.7 and by the definition of $j\left(n_{k}\right)$, there is a sufficiently large $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_{n_{k}}\left(\bar{B}(u, \alpha) \cap \mathcal{R}_{n_{k}}\right)$ is contained in $\mathcal{Q}_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)-1} \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)}$ for every $k \geq k_{0}$. Hence, after passing to the limit, we obtain that $F(\bar{B}(u, \alpha)) \subset \partial \mathcal{M}$, i.e, $F$ maps a set with positive Lebesgue measure into a set with zero-Lebesgue measure, which is impossible since $\left.F\right|_{\mathcal{H}_{i}}$ is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Thus, $\operatorname{int}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \bar{B}\left(\left\|x_{\text {lim }}\right\|\right)$ and since each connected component of $\mathcal{H}$ is homeomorphic to a closed ball, we conclude that $\mathcal{H} \subset$ $\bar{B}\left(\left\|x_{\text {lim }}\right\|\right)$.

### 4.2 Proof of Proposition 3.2

In order to prove Proposition 4.2 we need to estimate the cardinality of the set of points in $\mathcal{Q} \cap\left(1 / l_{n}\right) \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ which have no pre-image under $f_{n}: \mathcal{R}_{n} \rightarrow\left(1 / l_{n}\right) \mathbb{Z}^{2}$. To treat these points, we require the following result which corresponds to a slightly different version of Lemma 3.1 in [7] and whose proof is analogous.

Lemma 4.10. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a closed set which is the image of $I^{d}$ under a bi-Lipschitz map and let $\underline{g}: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be a homeomorphism and $h: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be continuous. Then $h(U) \Delta g(U)$ is contained in $\bar{B}\left(\partial g(U),\|g-h\|_{\infty}\right)$, where $\Delta$ denotes the symmetric difference.

Before showing Proposition 4.2, we introduce some terminology. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the normalized counting measures $\mu_{n}$ and $\nu_{n}$ defined by

$$
\mu_{n}(A):=\frac{\left|A \cap \mathcal{R}_{n}\right|}{l_{n}^{2}}, \quad \nu_{n}(C):=\frac{\left|C \cap \frac{1}{l_{n}} \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right|}{l_{n}^{2}}
$$

Notice that $\left(\left.\nu_{n}\right|_{F\left(I^{2}\right)}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to the Lebesgue measure in $F\left(I^{2}\right)$. Moreover, it can be shown that $\mu_{n}$ converges weakly to the measure $\rho \lambda$ in $I^{2}$; the proof of these facts follows the very same lines as Claims 5.3.1 and 5.3.2 in [8].

Lemma 4.11. The sequences of measures $\left(\mu_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left(\nu_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge weakly to $\rho \lambda$ and $\lambda$, respectively. In particular, $\left(\widehat{f}_{n}\right)_{\#}\left(\mu_{n}\right)$ converges weakly to $F_{\#}(\rho \lambda)$.

Now we are in the position to prove Proposition 4.2. We prove this by showing that the loss of mass in $\mathcal{Q}$ only happens close to its boundary. Recall that $\mathcal{Q}$ is centred at a point $y=y_{m} \in\left(1 / l_{m}\right) \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$; since $\left(l_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfy that $l_{n}$ divides to $l_{n+1}$, then $x \in\left(1 / l_{n}\right) \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ for every $n \geq m$.

Proof of Proposition 4.2 To verify that $\left.F_{\#}(\rho \lambda)\right|_{\mathcal{Q}}=\left.\lambda\right|_{\mathcal{Q}}$, by Lemma 4.11 it is sufficient to show that the sequence $\left(\left.\hat{f}_{n_{k}}\right|_{F^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})}\right)_{\#} \mu_{n_{k}}$ converges weakly to $\left.\lambda\right|_{\mathcal{Q}}$. We proceed in a similar way as in the proof of Lemma 3.4 in [7], but with some variations along our demonstration. By definition of weak convergence of measures it is sufficient to prove that for a given function $\varphi \in C_{0}\left(I^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathcal{Q}} \varphi d \nu_{n_{k}}-\int_{\widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\left(F^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})\right)} \varphi d\left(\left.\widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\right|_{F^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})}\right)_{\#} \mu_{n_{k}}\right| \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

tends to 0 when $k$ goes to $+\infty$. Observe that by the triangle inequality, the expression (4.5) can be bounded from above by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underbrace{\left|\int_{\mathcal{Q}} \varphi d \nu_{n_{k}}-\int_{\widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\left(F^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})\right)} \varphi d \nu_{n_{k}}\right|}_{=T_{1, n_{k}}}+\underbrace{\left|\int_{\widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\left(F^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})\right)} \varphi d \nu_{n_{k}}-\int_{\widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\left(F^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})\right)} \varphi d\left(\left.\widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\right|_{F^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})}\right)_{\#} \mu_{n_{k}}\right|}_{=T_{2, n_{k}}} ; \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we denote by $T_{1, n_{k}}$ and $T_{2, n_{k}}$ the first and the second term in (4.6), respectively.
Notice that $T_{1, n_{k}}$ is at most $\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \nu_{n_{k}}\left(\mathcal{Q} \Delta \hat{f}_{n_{k}}\left(F^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})\right)\right)$. To show $T_{1, n_{k}} \longrightarrow 0$, observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q} \Delta \widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\left(F^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})\right) & \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} F\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right) \Delta \widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right) \\
& \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \bar{B}\left(\partial F\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right),\left\|F-\widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\right\|_{\infty}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the second step we use Lemma4.10 for $U=\mathcal{H}_{i}$. Thus, by using the weak convergence of $\nu_{n_{k}}$ to $\lambda$, it follows that

$$
\nu_{n_{k}}\left(\mathcal{Q} \Delta \widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\left(F^{-1}(\mathcal{Q})\right)\right) \leq \nu_{n_{k}}\left(\bar{B}\left(\partial \mathcal{Q},\left\|F-\widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\right\|_{\infty}\right)\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Therefore, the first term in 4.6 converges to 0.
To prove that $T_{2, n_{k}}$ tends to 0 we firstly note that this expression can be bounded from above by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\|\varphi\|_{\infty}}{l_{n_{k}}^{2}}\left|A_{n_{k}}\right| \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{n}:=\widehat{f_{n}}(\mathcal{H}) \cap \frac{1}{l_{n}} \mathbb{Z}^{2} \backslash f_{n}(\mathcal{H})$. Observe that $\widehat{f}_{n_{k}}(\mathcal{H})$ is contained in $B\left(\mathcal{Q},\left\|F-\widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\right\|_{\infty}\right)$ and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n_{k}} \subset\left(B\left(\mathcal{Q},\left\|F-\widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\right\|_{\infty}\right) \cap \frac{1}{l_{n_{k}}} \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \backslash f_{n_{k}}\left(\mathcal{H} \cap B\left(\left\|x_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)}\right\|\right)\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the set $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{R}_{n_{k}} \cap B\left(\left\|x_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)}\right\|\right)$ is non-empty for a large-enough positive integer $k$, as a consequence of Lemmas 4.7 and 4.9. Thus by the injectivity of $f_{n_{k}}$ and by the part i) of Lemma 4.3 which says that $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{R}_{n_{k}}$ is contained in $f_{n_{k}}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, 0}\right) \cap I^{2}$ for a sufficiently large $k$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n_{k}}\left(\mathcal{H} \cap B\left(\left\|x_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)}\right\|\right)\right)=f_{n_{k}}\left(f_{n_{k}}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, 0}\right) \cap B\left(\left\|x_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)}\right\|\right)\right) \backslash f_{n_{k}}\left(f_{n_{k}}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, 0}\right) \cap B\left(\left\|x_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)}\right\|\right) \backslash \mathcal{H}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(recall that by the choice of $k$ in (4.3), the set $f_{n_{k}}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, 0}\right) \cap \mathcal{R}_{n_{k}}$ is contained $F^{-1}(W)$ ). Thus, from (4.8), (4.9) and Lemma 4.7, after taking cardinality and dividing by $l_{n_{k}}^{2}$ we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\left|A_{n_{k}}\right|}{l_{n_{k}}^{2}} \leq & \frac{1}{l_{n_{k}}^{2}}\left|f_{n_{k}}\left(f_{n_{k}}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, 0}\right) \cap B\left(\| x_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)}| |\right) \backslash \mathcal{H}\right)\right|+\nu_{n_{k}}\left(B\left(\mathcal{Q},\left\|F-\widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\right\|_{\infty}\right) \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{k, 0}\right)  \tag{4.10}\\
& +\nu_{n_{k}}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, 0} \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $B\left(\mathcal{Q},\left\|F-\widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\right\|_{\infty}\right) \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{k, 0}$ can be empty or not. By Lemma4.3] and from the choice of $k$ in (4.3), the first term in the right-hand side of (4.10) can be estimated as below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{l_{n_{k}}^{2}}\left|f_{n_{k}}\left(f_{n_{k}}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, 0}\right) \cap B\left(\| x_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)}| |\right) \backslash \mathcal{H}\right)\right| & =\frac{1}{l_{n_{k}}^{2}}\left|f_{n_{k}}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, 0}\right) \cap B\left(\| x_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)}| |\right) \cap \mathcal{R}_{n_{k}} \backslash \mathcal{H}\right| \\
& \leq \mu_{n_{k}}\left(B\left(\partial \mathcal{H}, \frac{1}{k}\right)\right) \\
& \longrightarrow \rho \lambda(\partial \mathcal{H})=0
\end{aligned}
$$

If $B\left(\mathcal{Q},\left\|F-\widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\right\|_{\infty}\right) \subset \mathcal{Q}_{k, 0}$, then the second term in (4.10) is zero. In the other possible case, i.e if $\mathcal{Q}_{k, 0} \subset B\left(\mathcal{Q},\left\|F-\widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\right\|_{\infty}\right)$, we get

$$
\nu_{n_{k}}\left(B\left(\mathcal{Q},\left\|F-\widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\right\|_{\infty}\right) \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{k, 0}\right) \leq \nu_{n_{k}}\left(B\left(\mathcal{Q},\left\|F-\widehat{f}_{n_{k}}\right\|_{\infty}+\frac{1}{k}\right)\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Finally, to estimate the most delicate term in (4.10), we observe that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{n_{k}}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, 0} \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)}\right) & \leq \lambda\left(B\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, 0} \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)}, \frac{1}{l_{n_{k}}}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{j\left(n_{k}\right)} \lambda\left(\mathcal{Q}_{k, j-1} \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{k, j}\right)+\lambda\left(B\left(\partial \mathcal{Q}_{k, 0}, \frac{1}{l_{n_{k}}}\right)\right)+\lambda\left(B\left(\partial \mathcal{Q}_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)}, \frac{1}{l_{n_{k}}}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{L}{l_{n_{k}}}\left(2 l_{\mathcal{Q}}+\frac{2}{k}-\frac{L}{l_{n_{k}}}\right) j\left(n_{k}\right)+\lambda\left(B\left(\partial \mathcal{Q}_{k, 0}, \frac{1}{l_{n_{k}}}\right)\right) \\
& +\lambda\left(B\left(\partial \mathcal{Q}_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)}, \frac{1}{l_{n_{k}}}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the bound $l_{\mathcal{Q}}$ denotes the side-length of $\mathcal{Q}$. On one hand, it is direct that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda\left(B\left(\partial \mathcal{Q}_{k, 0}, \frac{1}{l_{n_{k}}}\right)\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda\left(B\left(\partial \mathcal{Q}_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)}, \frac{1}{l_{n_{k}}}\right)\right)=0
$$

On the other hand, by the construction of $x_{k, j\left(n_{k}\right)}$ and by Lemma 4.6 we have that $j\left(n_{k}\right) \leq\left\|x_{k, 0}\right\|$. Moreover, by Remark 4.8 and since $f$ is $\omega$-co-uniformly continuous, there holds that

$$
\left\|x_{k, 0}\right\| \leq \frac{\omega\left(\left(\frac{l_{\varrho}}{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right) l_{n_{k}}\right)}{l_{n_{k}}}+1
$$

Hence, since the co-uniformity is of order $o\left(r^{2}\right)$, we have that

$$
\frac{L}{l_{n_{k}}}\left(2 l_{\mathcal{Q}}+\frac{2}{k}-\frac{L}{l_{n_{k}}}\right) j\left(n_{k}\right) \leq L\left(2 l_{\mathcal{Q}}+\frac{2}{k}-\frac{L}{l_{n_{k}}}\right)\left(\frac{\omega\left(\left(\frac{l_{\mathcal{Q}}}{2}+\frac{1}{k}\right) l_{n_{k}}\right)}{l_{n_{k}}^{2}}+\frac{1}{l_{n_{k}}}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Thus (4.7) converges to 0 and therefore $T_{2, n_{k}} \longrightarrow 0$ when $k \rightarrow \infty$, as desired.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Actually, we do not really need to keep the same Lipschitz constant $L$ for the extension map, but just another (larger) constant that depends only on $L$, and a weaker form of Kirszbraum's theorem proving this is much easier to establish.

