

SIMPLICITY OF HIGHER RANK TRIPLET W -ALGEBRAS

SHOMA SUGIMOTO

ABSTRACT. We show that the higher rank triplet W -algebra $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ is simple for $p \geq h - 1$. Furthermore, we show that the $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -module $W_{\sqrt{p}(Q-\lambda_0)+\lambda_p}$ introduced in [FT] is simple if $\sqrt{p}\lambda_p$ is in the closure of the fundamental alcove, and give the decomposition as a direct sum of simple $\mathbf{W}_{p-h}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules.

1. INTRODUCTION

The *triplet W -algebra* (see, e.g., [AM1]–[AM3], [FGST1]–[FGST3], [NT], [TW]) is one of the most well-known examples of C_2 -cofinite and irrational vertex operator algebra [FB, FHL, Kac], and related to many interesting topics. It has been widely believed that the *higher rank triplet W -algebra* $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ inherits properties of the triplet W -algebra such as simplicity, C_2 -cofiniteness, irrationality, Kazhdan-Lusztig correspondence, etc. However, apart from the triplet W -algebra, very little is known about $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$. The main purpose of this paper is to show the simplicity of $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional simply-laced simple Lie algebra and h be the Coxeter number. For a fixed integer $p \geq h - 1$, let $\sqrt{p}Q$ be the rescaled root lattice of \mathfrak{g} . We consider the simple lattice vertex operator algebra $V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ associated to $\sqrt{p}Q$, and the irreducible $V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -module $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$ corresponding to $\lambda \in \Lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}P/\sqrt{p}Q$ (see [D]). Each $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$ has a natural B -action, and thus, we obtain the homogeneous vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda} = G \times_B V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$ over the flag variety G/B . We define the higher rank triplet W -algebra as $W_{\sqrt{p}Q} = H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q})$ and $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -modules $W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda} = H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda})$ (see [FT]). In the previous article [S] we have shown that $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ is isomorphic to the intersection of the kernels of *narrow screening operators* on $V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$. Denote by ρ and θ the Weyl vector and the highest root of \mathfrak{g} , respectively.

Theorem 1.1. *The vertex operator algebra $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ is simple for any finite dimensional simply-laced simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and any integer $p \geq h - 1$. Moreover, $W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$ is a simple $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -module for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$ (where λ_p is given by (18)),*

Theorem 1.1 has the following application to the representation theory of *affine W -algebras*. Denote by $\mathbf{W}_{p-h}(\mathfrak{g})$ the unique simple quotient of the affine W -algebra $\mathbf{W}^{p-h}(\mathfrak{g})$ at level $p-h$ ([FF]). In [S], for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we gave a natural $G \times \mathbf{W}_{p-h}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module structure on $W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$ and a $G \times \mathbf{W}_{p-h}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module isomorphism

$$(1) \quad W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda} \simeq \bigoplus_{\alpha \in P_+ \cap Q} L(\alpha + \lambda_0) \otimes \mathbf{W}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda) \subseteq V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}.$$

Here P_+ is the set of dominant integral weights, $\lambda_0 \in P_+$ satisfies $\lambda = -\sqrt{p}\lambda_0 + \lambda_p$ and $(\lambda_0, \rho) = 1$, $L(\beta)$ is the simple \mathfrak{g} -module with highest weight β , and each

Date: June 24, 2022.

$W(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda)$ is a certain $W_{p-h}(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule of $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$. For the Weyl module $\hat{V}(\nu + \kappa\Lambda_0)$ induced from $L(\nu)$ and the twisted quantum Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction $H_\mu^0(?)$, denote by $T_{\nu,\mu}^{\kappa+h}$ the $W_\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $H_\mu^0(\hat{V}(\nu + \kappa\Lambda_0))$ (see [ArF, Section 2]). Let $L(\gamma_\mu)$ be the simple $W_{p-h}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module with highest weight γ_μ (see [Ar]).

Theorem 1.2. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional simply-laced simple Lie algebra, $p \geq h-1$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$. Then for any $\alpha \in P_+ \cap Q$, we have*

$$W(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda) \simeq L(\gamma_{-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda - p\rho}) \simeq T_{\sqrt{p}\lambda_p, \alpha + \lambda_0}^p \simeq T_{\alpha + \lambda_0, \sqrt{p}\lambda_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

as $W_{p-h}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules (we used the Feigin-Frenkel duality $W_{p-h}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq W_{\frac{1}{p}-h}(\mathfrak{g})$ ([FF1, FF2, ACL]) in the last isomorphism).

In particular, Theorem 1.2 gives an extension of [ArF, Theorem 2.2, 2.3].

Let us explain the outline of the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 briefly.

First, we prove the isomorphism

$$(2) \quad W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda} \simeq H^{l(w_0)}(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}^*(-2\rho))^* \simeq W_{\sqrt{p}Q-w_0(\lambda)}^*,$$

where w_0 is the longest element in the Weyl group W of \mathfrak{g} and M^* denotes the restricted dual of a $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -module M . The first isomorphism in (2) is the Serre duality, which holds for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$. The second isomorphism is derived using [S, Theorem 4.8] that holds under the condition $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$.

The isomorphism (2) for $\lambda_p = 0$ implies that $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ admits a non-degenerate $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -invariant bilinear form in the sense of [FHL]. Therefore, $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ is simple. In turn, Theorem 1.2 for $\lambda_p = 0$ follows from the quantum Galois theory ([DLM, McR]). In particular, for $\lambda_p = 0$, we find that the character of $W(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda)$ coincides with that of the corresponding simple $W_{p-h}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $L(\gamma_{-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda - p\rho})$ given in [Ar]. We can see that this coincidence of characters is also valid for λ_p satisfying the condition $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$, which proves Theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.1 follows from (2) and Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgements The author wishes to express his gratitude to his supervisor Tomoyuki Arakawa for lots of advices and discussions to improve this paper. He thanks to Thomas Creutzig, Boris Feigin, Ryo Fujita, Naoki Genra, Shigenori Nakatsuka and Ryo Sato for useful comments and discussions. Finally, he appreciates the referees for the thoughtful and constructive feedback. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant number 19J21384.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. \mathcal{O}_X -vertex operator algebra and the Serre duality. In this paper, for a ringed space, the structure sheaf is of \mathbb{C} -algebras.

Definition 2.1. Let R be a \mathbb{C} -algebra and V be an R -module. We call V a *vertex operator algebra over R* if V satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) there exists a set $\{V_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of free R -modules of finite rank such that $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$ and $V_n = 0$ for $n \ll 0$,
- (2) there exist a *vacuum vector* $|0\rangle \in V_0$ and a *conformal vector* $\omega \in V_2$, and an R -module homomorphism $Y_n: V \rightarrow \text{End}_R(V)$, $a \mapsto a_{(n)}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that
 - (a) for any $a \in V_i$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $a_{(n)}|V_j \in \text{Hom}_R(V_j, V_{j+i-n-1})$,

- (b) we have $|0\rangle_{(n)} = \delta_{n,-1} \text{id}_V$. Also for any $a \in V$ and $n \geq -1$, we have $a_{(n)}|0\rangle = \delta_{n,-1}a$,
(c) for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let L_n denote $\omega_{(n+1)}$. Then for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m - n)L_{m+n} + \binom{m+1}{3} \delta_{m+n,0} c'_V \text{id}_V,$$

where $c'_V \in \mathbb{C}$. Also for each $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, V_N is a generalized eigenspace of L_0 with the eigenvalue N , and for any $a \in V$, $N, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $(L_{-1}a)_{(n)} = -na_{(n-1)}$.

- (d) for any $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a, b \in V$, and $m, n, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{r}{i} (a_{(m+i)} b)_{(r+n-i)}|_{V_N} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{i} (-1)^i (a_{(m+r-i)} b_{(n+i)} - (-1)^m b_{(m+n-i)} a_{(r+i)})|_{V_N}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that both hands of above equation are finite sum,

We also define a V -module and V -module homomorphism in obvious ways.

Remark 2.2. In this paper, we will mainly deal with the case where $R = \mathcal{O}_{G/B}(U)$ for some open subset U of a flag variety G/B over \mathbb{C} .

Remark 2.3. In this paper, the case where L_0 acts semisimply only appears.

For \mathbb{C} -algebras R, R' , a \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism $f: R \rightarrow R'$, and a vertex operator algebra V over R , the R' -module $R' \otimes_R V$ has a structure of a vertex operator algebra over R' in an obvious way. When R is obvious, we write \otimes instead of \otimes_R for the tensor product over R . For a vertex operator algebra V over R and a V -module M , denote $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$ and $M = \bigoplus_{\Delta \in \mathbb{C}} M_{\Delta}$ by the conformal grading of V and M , respectively.

Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space. For open subsets U_1, U_2 of X such that $U_1 \subseteq U_2$, an \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{M} and $s \in \mathcal{M}(U_2)$, denote by $r_{U_1, U_2}^{\mathcal{M}}$ (or simply r_{U_1, U_2}) the restriction map from $\mathcal{M}(U_2)$ to $\mathcal{M}(U_1)$, and $s|_{U_1}$ the image $r_{U_1, U_2}^{\mathcal{M}}(s)$ of s . Let us recall that for \mathcal{O}_X -modules \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} , a morphism $\phi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ consists of $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module homomorphisms $\phi(U): \mathcal{M}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(U)$ for each open subset U of X , such that for any open subset U_1 of U_2 , we have $r_{U_1, U_2}^{\mathcal{N}} \circ \phi(U_2) = \phi(U_1) \circ r_{U_1, U_2}^{\mathcal{M}}$. For an \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} and an open subset U of X , we write $\mathcal{F}|_U$ for the restriction of \mathcal{F} to U . Also, denote by $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ the \mathbb{C} -module consisting of all morphisms from \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{N} . Then the \mathcal{O}_X -module $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$, so-called sheaf Hom , is defined by $U \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X|_U}(\mathcal{M}|_U, \mathcal{N}|_U)$.

For a \mathbb{C} -algebra R and a ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) , denote Mod_R and $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ by the categories of R -modules and \mathcal{O}_X -modules, respectively. We regard $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X(X)}$ as a subcategory of $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ by the embedding $M \mapsto \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(X)} M$. Note that these categories are (co)complete abelian.

Definition 2.4. For a ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) , an \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{V} is called an \mathcal{O}_X -vertex operator algebra if

- (1) there exists a set $\{\mathcal{V}_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of locally free of finite rank \mathcal{O}_X -modules such that $\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{V}_n$ as \mathcal{O}_X -modules and $\mathcal{V}_n = 0$ for $n \ll 0$,
- (2) for any open subset $U \subseteq X$, $\mathcal{V}(U)$ is a vertex operator algebra over $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ and $\mathcal{V}_n(U) = \mathcal{V}(U)_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

- (3) for any open subsets $U_1 \subseteq U_2 \subseteq X$, the restriction map $r_{U_1, U_2}: \mathcal{V}(U_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(U_1)$ defines a vertex operator algebra homomorphism.

Definition 2.5. For a ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) and an \mathcal{O}_X -vertex operator algebra \mathcal{V} , an \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{M} is called a \mathcal{V} -module if

- (1) there exists a set $\{\mathcal{M}_\Delta \mid \Delta \in \mathbb{C}\}$ of locally free of finite rank \mathcal{O}_X -modules such that $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{\Delta \in \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}_\Delta$ as \mathcal{O}_X -modules and $\mathcal{M}_{\Delta-n} = 0$ for any $\Delta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \gg 0$,
- (2) for any open subset $U \subset X$, $\mathcal{M}(U)$ is a $\mathcal{V}(U)$ -module,
- (3) for any open subsets $U_1 \subseteq U_2 \subseteq X$, the restriction map $r_{U_1, U_2}^\mathcal{M}: \mathcal{M}(U_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(U_1)$ defines the linear map such that for any $a \in \mathcal{V}(U_2)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r_{U_1, U_2}^\mathcal{M} \circ a_{(n)} = r_{U_1, U_2}(a)_{(n)} \circ r_{U_1, U_2}^\mathcal{M}$.

By abuse of notation, when \mathcal{M} is an $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(X)} V$ -module for some vertex operator algebra V over $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$, we simply call \mathcal{M} a V -module.

Lemma 2.6. Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space, \mathcal{V} be an \mathcal{O}_X -vertex operator algebra, and \mathcal{M} be a \mathcal{V} -module. Then \mathcal{M} has a structure of $H^0(\mathcal{V})$ -module.

Proof. For any open subset U of X , $s \in H^0(\mathcal{V})$, $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $(f \otimes s)_{(n)}^{\mathcal{V}(U)} = f(s|_U)_{(n)}$. Then the action satisfies the axioms in Definition 2.5. \square

Definition 2.7. Let V be a vertex operator algebra over a \mathbb{C} -algebra R . Then for $a = \sum_{\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}} a_\Delta \in V$, $a_\Delta \in V_\Delta$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the operator $(a_{(n)}^\dagger)^M \in \text{End}_R(M)$ is defined by

$$(a_{(n)}^\dagger)^M = \sum_{\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^\Delta \sum_{m \geq 0} \left(\frac{L_1^m}{m!} a_\Delta \right)_{(-n-m+2\Delta-2)}^M.$$

Lemma 2.8. Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space, \mathcal{V} be an \mathcal{O}_X -vertex operator algebra, \mathcal{M} be a \mathcal{V} -module, and F be a \mathbb{C} -additive functor from a (co)complete abelian subcategory \mathcal{C} of $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ to $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ such that if \mathcal{N} is locally free of finite-rank, then so is $F(\mathcal{N})$.

- (1) If F is covariant, then $F(\mathcal{M})$ has the $H^0(\mathcal{V})$ -module structure as follows: for an open subset $U \subseteq X$, $a \in H^0(\mathcal{V})$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\Delta \in \mathbb{C}$, we have $F(\mathcal{M})_\Delta := F(\mathcal{M}_\Delta)$, $a_{(n)}^{F(\mathcal{M})(U)} := F(a_{(n)}^{\mathcal{M}(U)})$.
- (2) If F is contravariant, then $F(\mathcal{M})$ has the $H^0(\mathcal{V})$ -module structure as follows: for an open subset $U \subseteq X$, $a \in H^0(\mathcal{V})$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\Delta \in \mathbb{C}$, we have $F(\mathcal{M})_\Delta := F(\mathcal{M}_\Delta)$, $a_{(n)}^{F(\mathcal{M})(U)} := F((a_{(n)}^\dagger)^{\mathcal{M}(U)})$.

Proof. It is easily checked that the axioms in Definition 2.5 are satisfied. \square

Corollary 2.9. Let V be a vertex operator algebra over a \mathbb{C} -algebra R , and let M be a V -module. Then

$$M^* = \bigoplus_{\Delta \in \mathbb{C}} M_\Delta^* = \bigoplus_{\Delta \in \mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_R(M_\Delta, R)$$

has the V -module structure defined by

$$(a_{(n)} \phi)(v) = \phi(a_{(n)}^\dagger v),$$

where $a \in V$, $\phi \in M^*$, $v \in M$, and $a_{(n)}^\dagger$ is given in Definition 2.7. We call M^* the restricted dual V -module of M (see [FHL]).

Proof. Let us consider the case where $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$, $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes V$, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes M$, $\mathcal{C} = \operatorname{Mod}_R$, and the contravariant functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is defined by

$$(3) \quad F(M) = M^*, \quad F(f) = ? \circ f$$

for any $M \in \operatorname{Mod}_R$ and R -module homomorphism f . Then by Lemma 2.8, the assertion is proved. \square

Corollary 2.10. *Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a ringed space, \mathcal{V} be an \mathcal{O}_X -vertex operator algebra, \mathcal{M} be a \mathcal{V} -module. Then*

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{M}^* := \bigoplus_{\Delta \in \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}_\Delta^* := \bigoplus_{\Delta \in \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{M}_\Delta, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

has the \mathcal{V} -module structure defined by

$$(5) \quad s_{(n)}\phi(U) = \{\phi(U_0) \circ r_{U_0, U}^{\mathcal{M}}(s)_{(n)}^\dagger \mid U_0 \text{ is an open subset of } U.\},$$

where U is an open subset of X , $s \in \mathcal{V}(U)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^*(U)$. We call \mathcal{M}^* the restricted dual of \mathcal{M} .

Proof. It is easy to check the axioms in Definition 2.5. \square

Theorem 2.11. *Let X be a projective Cohen-Macaulay scheme of pure dimension N over \mathbb{C} , \mathcal{V} be an \mathcal{O}_X -vertex operator algebra, and \mathcal{M} be a \mathcal{V} -module. Then the Serre duality (see, e.g. [H, III, (7.7)])*

$$(6) \quad H^n(\mathcal{M}) = \bigoplus_{\Delta \in \mathbb{C}} H^n(\mathcal{M}_\Delta) \simeq \bigoplus_{\Delta \in \mathbb{C}} H^{N-n}(\mathcal{M}_\Delta^* \otimes \omega_X)^* = H^{N-n}(\mathcal{M}^* \otimes \omega_X)^*$$

provides an $H^0(\mathcal{V})$ -module isomorphism, where ω_X is the dualizing sheaf of X .

Proof. By Lemma 2.8 and Corollary 2.10, \mathcal{M}^* and $\mathcal{M}^* \otimes \omega_X$ are $H^0(\mathcal{V})$ -modules. Moreover, because right derived functors are \mathbb{C} -additive (see [H, III, (1.1 A)]), by Lemma 2.8, $H^n(\mathcal{M})$, $H^{N-n}(\mathcal{M}^* \otimes \omega_X)^*$, $\operatorname{Ext}^n(\mathcal{M}^* \otimes \omega_X, \omega_X)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^n(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M})$ have the $H^0(\mathcal{V})$ -module structures. Because (6) is defined by the isomorphisms [H, III, (6.3), (6.7), (7.6)], it is enough to show that they are $H^0(\mathcal{V})$ -module isomorphisms. Since the isomorphisms [H, III, (6.3), (7.6)] are natural, namely, defined by the natural isomorphisms between the functors, they are $H^0(\mathcal{V})$ -module isomorphisms.

Let us show that [H, III, (6.7)] is the $H^0(\mathcal{V})$ -module isomorphism. For $n \geq 0$, ϕ_n denotes the natural isomorphism between the functors $\operatorname{Ext}^n(\mathcal{M}^* \otimes \omega_X, ?)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^n(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M} \otimes \omega_X^* \otimes ?)$ in [H, III, (6.7)]. For any $s \in H^0(\mathcal{V})$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the \mathcal{O}_X -module endomorphism $s_{(m)}$ on \mathcal{M} induces the natural transformations from $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{M}^* \otimes \omega_X, ?)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M} \otimes \omega_X^* \otimes ?)$ to themselves, respectively. We use the same letter $s_{(m)}$ for these natural transformations. Then, by [H, II, Ex.5.1], we have $s_{(m)} \circ \phi_0 = \phi_0 \circ s_{(m)}$. By the universality of $\operatorname{Ext}^n(\mathcal{M}^* \otimes \omega_X, ?) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}^n(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M} \otimes \omega_X^* \otimes ?)$, we have $s_{(m)} \circ \phi_n = \phi_n \circ s_{(m)}$, where by abuse of notations, these $s_{(m)}$ mean the natural transformations from $\operatorname{Ext}^n(\mathcal{M}^* \otimes \omega_X, ?)$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^n(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M} \otimes \omega_X^* \otimes ?)$ to themselves, respectively, that are uniquely determined by the universality. Thus, [H, III, (6.7)] gives the $H^0(\mathcal{V})$ -module isomorphism

$$(7) \quad \operatorname{Ext}^n(\mathcal{M}^* \otimes \omega_X, \omega_X) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}^n(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M} \otimes \omega_X^* \otimes \omega_X) = \operatorname{Ext}^n(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M})$$

for any $n \geq 0$. \square

Remark 2.12. Let us give (6) more explicitly in the case of $n = 0$. We have the natural perfect pairing (see [H, III, (7.6)])

$$(8) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{M}^* \otimes \omega_X, \omega_X) \times H^N(\mathcal{M}^* \otimes \omega_X) \rightarrow H^N(\omega_X) \simeq \mathbb{C}$$

and the linear isomorphism

$$(9) \quad \phi: H^0(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{M}^* \otimes \omega_X, \omega_X), \quad s \mapsto \phi(s),$$

where for any open subset $U \subseteq X$, $f \in \mathcal{M}^*(U)$ and $x \in \omega_X(U)$, $\phi(s)$ is defined by

$$(10) \quad \phi(s)(U)(f \otimes x) := f(U)(s|_U)x.$$

By Lemma 2.8, we give the $H^0(\mathcal{V})$ -module structure on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{M}^* \otimes \omega_X, \omega_X)$ as follows: for any open subset $U \subseteq X$, $a \in H^0(\mathcal{V})$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(11) \quad (a_{(n)}\phi(s))(U) := \phi(s)(U) \circ a_{(n)}^\dagger|_U.$$

Then (9) is the $H^0(\mathcal{V})$ -module isomorphism because

$$\phi(a_{(n)}s)(U)(f \otimes x) = f(U)(a_{(n)}s|_U)x = (a_{(n)}^\dagger f)(U)(s|_U)x = (a_{(n)}\phi(s))(U)(f \otimes x).$$

Thus, we have

$$(12) \quad R^N\Gamma(\phi(a_{(n)}s)) = R^N\Gamma(\phi(s)) \circ a_{(n)}^\dagger = a_{(n)}R^N\Gamma(\phi(s)),$$

where the $a_{(n)}^\dagger$ in the second term is the $H^0(\mathcal{V})$ -action on $H^N(\mathcal{M})$, and the $a_{(n)}$ in the third term is that on $H^N(\mathcal{M})^*$. Thus, by (8) and (12), the linear isomorphism

$$(13) \quad H^0(\mathcal{M}) \simeq H^N(\mathcal{M}^* \otimes \omega_X)^*, \quad s \mapsto R^N\Gamma(\phi(s))$$

is also the $H^0(\mathcal{V})$ -module isomorphism.

2.2. Our setting. Unless otherwise noted, the ground field is the complex number field \mathbb{C} below. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simply-laced simple Lie algebra of rank l , and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ the triangular decomposition, \mathfrak{h} the Cartan subalgebra, $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ the Borel subalgebra, G and B the semisimple, simply-connected, complex algebraic groups corresponding to \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{b} , respectively. We adopt the standard numbering for the simple roots $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ of \mathfrak{g} as in [B] and $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_l\}$ denotes the corresponding fundamental weights. Denote by Δ^\pm the sets of positive roots and negative roots of \mathfrak{g} , respectively. Let Q be the root lattice of \mathfrak{g} , P the weight lattice of \mathfrak{g} , P_+ the set of dominant integral weights of \mathfrak{g} . Denote by (\cdot, \cdot) the invariant form of \mathfrak{g} normalized as $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) = 2$ for any $1 \leq i \leq l$, W the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} generated by the simple reflections $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^l$, (c^{ij}) the inverse matrix to the Cartan matrix of \mathfrak{g} , ρ the half sum of positive roots, θ the highest root of \mathfrak{g} , h the Coxeter number of \mathfrak{g} . We choose the set Λ_0 of representatives of generators of the abelian group P/Q in P_+ as [Kac2], that is, $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_0 \subseteq P_+$ satisfies $(\lambda_0, \theta) = 1$. For $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and the longest element w_0 in W , we use the notation μ' for $-w_0(\mu)$. For $\sigma \in W$, $l(\sigma)$ denotes the length of σ . Let h_1, \dots, h_l be the basis of \mathfrak{h} corresponding to the simple roots by (\cdot, \cdot) . Denote by $L(\beta)$ the simple \mathfrak{g} -module with highest weight β . For $\mu \in P$, $\mathbb{C}(\mu)$ denotes the one-dimensional \mathfrak{b} -module such that the action of \mathfrak{n}_- is trivial and h_i acts as $(\alpha_i, \mu) \mathrm{id}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. For a B -module V and $\mu \in P$, we use the letter $V(\mu)$ for the B -module $V \otimes \mathbb{C}(\mu)$. For a vertex operator algebra V , $\mathcal{U}(V)$ denotes the universal enveloping algebra of V . For a V -module M and $m \in M$, $\mathcal{U}(V)m$ means the V -submodule of M generated by m .

We fix an integer $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Set

$$(14) \quad \Lambda_p = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{s_i}{\sqrt{p}} \omega_i \mid 0 \leq s_i \leq p-1 \right\} \subseteq \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} P_+.$$

For $\mu \in \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} P$, denote by μ_0 and μ_p the elements of P and Λ_p , respectively, such that $\mu = -\sqrt{p}\mu_0 + \mu_p$. Let

$$(15) \quad V_{\sqrt{p}Q} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q} \mathbf{F}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha)$$

be the simple lattice vertex operator algebra associated to the $\sqrt{p}Q$. Here, for $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $\mathbf{F}(\mu)$ denotes the Fock space over the rank l Heisenberg vertex operator algebra $\mathbf{F}(0)$ with highest weight μ .

Remark 2.13. Our notation $\mathbf{F}(\mu)$ corresponds to $\pi_{\kappa+h, \sqrt{\kappa+h}\mu}$ in [ACL, Section 3], where κ is a complex number such that $\kappa \neq -h$. Namely, we have $\mathbf{F}(\mu) \simeq \pi_{\kappa+h, \sqrt{\kappa+h}\mu}$ as $\mathbf{F}(0)$ -modules, where the $\mathbf{F}(0)$ -module structure on $\pi_{\kappa+h, \sqrt{\kappa+h}\mu}$ is given by $(at^{-1}|0\rangle)_{(n)}^{\pi_{\kappa+h, \sqrt{\kappa+h}\mu}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa+h}} at^n$ for $a \in \mathfrak{h}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the vacuum vector $|0\rangle$ of $\mathbf{F}(0)$. In this paper, we mainly consider the cases where $\kappa + h = p$ or $\frac{1}{p}$.

We choose the conformal vector ω of $V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ as

$$(16) \quad \omega = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq l} c^{ij} (\alpha_i)_{(-1)} \alpha_j + Q_0(\rho)_{(-2)} |0\rangle \in \mathbf{F}(0) \subseteq V_{\sqrt{p}Q},$$

where $Q_0 = \sqrt{p} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}$. The central charge c of ω is given by

$$(17) \quad c = l - 12|Q_0\rho|^2 = l - Q_0^2 h \dim \mathfrak{g}.$$

The parameter set Λ of simple $V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -modules is given by

$$(18) \quad \Lambda = \{\lambda = -\sqrt{p}\lambda_0 + \lambda_p \mid \lambda_0 \in \Lambda_0, \lambda_p \in \Lambda_p\}.$$

By [D], the set of $V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -modules

$$(19) \quad \{V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q} \mathbf{F}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q} \mathbf{F}(-\sqrt{p}(\alpha + \lambda_0) + \lambda_p)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$$

provides a complete set of isomorphism classes of simple $V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -modules.

We also define the generalized lattice vertex operator algebra and their modules

$$(20) \quad V_{\sqrt{p}P} = \bigoplus_{\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_0} V_{\sqrt{p}(Q-\lambda_0)}, \quad V_{\sqrt{p}P+\lambda_p} = \bigoplus_{\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_0} V_{\sqrt{p}(Q-\lambda_0)+\lambda_p}$$

in the same manner as $V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ and $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$ (e.g., see [BK, DL, McR]).

For $\alpha \in Q$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $|\alpha + \lambda\rangle$ denotes the lattice point vector in $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$, so that $\mathbf{F}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda) = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{F}(0))|\alpha + \lambda\rangle$. For $\mu \in \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} P$, the conformal weight Δ_μ of $|\mu\rangle$ is given by

$$(21) \quad \Delta_\mu = \frac{1}{2} |\mu - Q_0\rho|^2 + \frac{c-l}{24} = \frac{1}{2} |\mu|^2 - Q_0(\mu, \rho) \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

In particular, for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we have $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda} = \bigoplus_{\Delta \in \mathbb{Q}} (V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda})_\Delta$.

Definition 2.14. For $\sigma \in W$ and $\mu = -\sqrt{p}\mu_0 + \mu_p \in \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}P$, set the following W -action on $\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}P$:

$$(22) \quad \sigma * \mu = -\sqrt{p}\mu_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\sigma \circ \sqrt{p}\mu_p \in \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}P,$$

where $\sigma \circ \mu = \sigma(\mu + \rho) - \rho$ for $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. For $\lambda_p \in \Lambda$ and $\sigma \in W$, set

$$(23) \quad \epsilon_{\lambda_p}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}(\sigma * \lambda_p - (\sigma * \lambda_p)_p) \in P.$$

Lemma 2.15 ([S, Corollary A.4]). *For $\lambda_p \in \Lambda_p$ such that $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$, we have $\epsilon_{\lambda_p}(w_0) = -\rho$.*

Lemma 2.16 ([S, Lemma 3.7]). *Let $w_0 = \sigma_{i_l(w_0)} \dots \sigma_{i_1}$ be a minimal length expression of the longest element w_0 of W . Then for $\lambda_p \in \Lambda_p$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *for any $1 \leq n \leq l(w_0) - 1$, we have $(\epsilon_{\lambda_p}(\sigma_{i_n} \dots \sigma_{i_1}), \alpha_{i_{n+1}}) = 0$,*
- (2) *we have $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$.*

For $1 \leq i \leq l$, $\alpha \in P$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $0 \leq s_i := (\sqrt{p}\lambda_p, \alpha_i) \leq p - 2$, the *narrow screening operator* $S_{i, \lambda_p} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{F}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda), \mathbf{F}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \sigma_i * \lambda))$ is defined by

$$(24) \quad S_{i, \lambda_p} = \int_{[\Gamma_{s_i+1}]} S_i(z_1) \dots S_i(z_{s_i+1}) dz_1 \dots dz_{s_i+1},$$

where $S_i(z) = |-\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\alpha_i\rangle(z)$ (see [CRW, NT, S] for the precise definition of $S_i(z)$), and the cycle $[\Gamma_{s_i+1}]$ is given in [NT, Proposition 2.1]. By [NT, Theorem 2.7, 2.8], we have $S_{i, \lambda_p} \neq 0$. For convenience, we set $S_{i, \lambda_p} = 0$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $s_i = p - 1$.

2.3. $\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)$. For $1 \leq i \leq l$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\mu \in P$, we consider the following operators

$$(25) \quad f_i = |\sqrt{p}\alpha_i\rangle_{(0)},$$

$$(26) \quad h_{i, \lambda_p}(\mu) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}(\alpha_i)_{(0)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}(\alpha_i, \lambda_p + \sqrt{p}\mu) \text{id}$$

acting on $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda} \otimes \mathbb{C}(\mu)$ (f_i is called the *screening operator*). When $\mu = 0$, we use the notation h_{i, λ_p} instead of $h_{i, \lambda_p}(0)$. For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\mu \in P$ and $1 \leq i \leq l$, the operators f_i and $h_{i, \lambda_p}(\mu)$ act on $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda} \otimes \mathbb{C}(\mu)$ as differentials: namely, we have

$$(27) \quad f_i(a_{(n)}v) = (f_i a)_{(n)}v + a_{(n)}f_i v,$$

$$(28) \quad h_{i, \lambda_p}(\mu)(a_{(n)}v) = (h_{i, 0} a)_{(n)}v + a_{(n)}h_{i, \lambda_p}(\mu)v$$

for $a \in V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$, $v \in V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda} \otimes \mathbb{C}(\mu)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is straightforward to show that

$$(29) \quad [f_i, L_n] = [h_{i, \lambda_p}(\mu), L_n] = [S_{i, \lambda_p}, L_n] = 0, \quad [f_i, S_{j, \lambda_p}] = 0$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq l$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ (see [S]). In particular, all f_i , $h_{i, \lambda_p}(\mu)$ and S_{i, λ_p} preserve the conformal grading.

Lemma 2.17 ([FT, S]). *The operators $\{f_i, h_{i, \lambda_p}\}_{i=1}^l$ give rise to an integrable action of \mathfrak{b} on $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$. More generally, the operators $\{f_i, h_{i, \lambda_p}(\mu)\}_{i=1}^l$ give rise to an integrable action of \mathfrak{b} on $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda} \otimes \mathbb{C}(\mu)$ that preserves the conformal grading.*

By Lemma 2.17, we obtain the B -module $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\mu \in P$, let

$$(30) \quad \rho^{V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)}: B \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu))$$

denote the action of B on $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)$ given by Lemma 2.17. By (27) and (28), the B -action $\rho^{V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)}$ defines the automorphisms of $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)$ as $V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -module. Namely, for $b \in B$, we have

$$(31) \quad \rho^{V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)}(b)(a_{(n)}v) = (\rho^{V_{\sqrt{p}Q}}(b)a)_{(n)}\rho^{V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)}(b)v.$$

Lemma 2.18. *For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we have $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}^* \simeq V_{\sqrt{p}Q+w_0*\lambda'}$ as $V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -modules and B -modules.*

Proof. For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, since $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$ is a simple $V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -module, by [FHL, Proposition 5.3.2], $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}^*$ is isomorphic to a simple $V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -module $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda^*}$ for some $\lambda^* \in \Lambda$. We have

$$(32) \quad w_0 * \lambda' = -\sqrt{p}\lambda'_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}(w_0 \circ \sqrt{p}\lambda'_p) = -\sqrt{p}(\lambda'_0 + \rho) + \frac{p-2}{\sqrt{p}}\rho - \lambda_p,$$

and thus,

$$(33) \quad F(\sqrt{p}(\lambda_0 + \rho) + \frac{p-2}{\sqrt{p}}\rho - \lambda_p) \subseteq V_{\sqrt{p}Q+w_0*\lambda'}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$(34) \quad (\alpha_i)_{(0)}^\dagger = -(\alpha_i)_{(0)} + 2Q_0 \mathrm{id}$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq l$, by Corollary 2.9, we have

$$(35) \quad (\alpha_i)_{(0)}|\lambda\rangle^* = (\sqrt{p}(\lambda_0 + \rho) + \frac{p-2}{\sqrt{p}}\rho - \lambda_p, \alpha_i)|\lambda\rangle^*.$$

Thus, by combining (33) with (35), we have $\lambda^* = w_0 * \lambda'$. By definition of the B -action $\rho^{V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)}$, the remaining claim is also proved. \square

For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\mu \in P$, we consider the homogeneous vector bundle

$$(36) \quad \mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu) = G \times_B V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)$$

over G/B , where the action of B on G is given by the right multiplication and that on $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)$ is given by $\rho^{V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)}$. The total space $G \times_B V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)$ is given by the quotient space $G \times V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu) / \sim$, where

$$(37) \quad (g, v) \sim (gb^{-1}, \rho^{V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)}(b)v)$$

for $g \in G$, $v \in V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)$ and $b \in B$. By abuse of notations, we use the same notation $\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)$ for the sheaf of sections of (36). When $\mu = 0$, we use the letter $\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$ instead of $\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(0)$, for short. Clearly, for the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(\mu) = G \times_B \mathbb{C}(\mu)$ on G/B , we have $\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu) = \mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mu)$. By (29), $\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)$ is decomposed into the direct sum of locally free of finite rank $\mathcal{O}_{G/B}$ -modules as

$$(38) \quad \mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu) = \bigoplus_{\Delta \in \mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)_\Delta = \bigoplus_{\Delta \in \mathbb{Q}} G \times_B (V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu))_\Delta.$$

Moreover, since the sheaf of sections of $G \times_B \mathbb{C}|0\rangle$ is $\mathcal{O}_{G/B}$ and $\mathbb{C}|0\rangle$ is in the center of $V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$, for any $s \in H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q})$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s_{(n)}$ defines an $\mathcal{O}_{G/B}$ -module homomorphism. Denote by π' for the projection from G to G/B . For any open subset $U \subseteq G/B$, we give the vertex operator algebra $\mathcal{O}_G(\pi'^{-1}(U)) \otimes V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ over

$\mathcal{O}_G(\pi'^{-1}(U))$ defined by $(f_1 \otimes v_1)_{(n)}(f_2 \otimes v_2) = f_1 f_2 \otimes v_{1(n)} v_2$. Then by (31), the orbifold $(\mathcal{O}_G(\pi'^{-1}(U)) \otimes V_{\sqrt{p}Q})^B$ of the B -action defined by

$$(39) \quad b(f(g) \otimes v) = f(gb^{-1}) \otimes \rho^{V_{\sqrt{p}Q}}(b)v$$

on $\mathcal{O}_G(\pi'^{-1}(U)) \otimes V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ is the vertex operator algebra over $(\mathcal{O}_G(\pi'^{-1}(U)))^B = \mathcal{O}_{G/B}(U)$. In the same manner, $(\mathcal{O}_G(\pi'^{-1}(U)) \otimes V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu))^B$ is the $(\mathcal{O}_G(\pi'^{-1}(U)) \otimes V_{\sqrt{p}Q})^B$ -module for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\mu \in P$. Since

$$(40) \quad \mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)(U) = (\mathcal{O}_G(\pi'^{-1}(U)) \otimes V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu))^B$$

and the compatibility between the action of $\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ and the restriction maps of $\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)$ is clear, we obtain the following (here, let us recall Definition 2.4).

Lemma 2.19. *The sheaf of sections $\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{G/B}$ -vertex operator algebra. Moreover, for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\mu \in P$, $\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)$ is a $\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -module.*

Let us define the main object in the present paper.

Definition 2.20. By Lemma 2.19, the sheaf cohomology $H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q})$ is a vertex operator algebra, and we call $H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q})$ the *higher rank triplet W -algebra* associated to $\sqrt{p}Q$.

Corollary 2.21. *For $0 \leq i \leq l(w_0)$, $H^i(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu))$ has a natural $H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q})$ -module and G -module structure. Moreover, the G -action on $H^i(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu))$ gives the automorphisms of $H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q})$ -module.*

Proof. For each $0 \leq i \leq l(w_0)$, we consider the right derived functor $R^i\Gamma$ of the global section functor Γ from $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{G/B}}$ to $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{C}} = \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{G/B}(G/B)} \subseteq \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{G/B}}$. By Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.19, $\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)$ is an $H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q})$ -module. Hence by Lemma 2.8 and (38), $H^i(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu))$ is the $H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q})$ -module and the set of morphisms

$$(41) \quad \{R^i\Gamma(s_{(n)})\}_{s \in H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q}), n \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

defines the $H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q})$ -module structure on $H^i(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu))$. For $g \in G$, we have the isomorphism of sheaves $g_*\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu) \simeq \mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)$ by

$$(42) \quad g: g_*\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)(U), \quad t \mapsto gt$$

for any open subset $U \subseteq G/B$, where $g_*\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)(U) := \mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)(g^{-1}U)$ and for $x \in U$, $(gt)(x) := t(g^{-1}x)$. Then we obtain the G -action on $H^i(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu))$ by $R^i\Gamma(g)$. On the other hands, by definition, we have

$$(43) \quad (gs)_{(n)}g = gs_{(n)}: g_*\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu).$$

By sending these morphisms by $R^i\Gamma$, the remaining claim is proved. \square

By (29), for any subset $I' \subseteq \{1, \dots, l\}$, $\bigcap_{i \in I'} \ker f_i|_{\mathbf{F}(0)}$ and $\bigcap_{i \in I'} \ker S_{i,0}|_{V_{\sqrt{p}Q}}$ are vertex operator full subalgebras of $\mathbf{F}(0)$ and $V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$, respectively (namely, they have the conformal vector ω in (16)).

Definition 2.22. For $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\alpha \in P_+ \cap Q$, set

$$(44) \quad \mathbf{W}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda) := \bigcap_{i=1}^l (\mathcal{U}(\ker f_i|_{\mathbf{F}(0)})| - \sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda) \subseteq \mathbf{F}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda).$$

Then

$$(45) \quad \mathbf{W}(0) = \bigcap_{i=1}^l \ker f_i|_{\mathbf{F}(0)}$$

is a vertex operator full subalgebra of $\mathbf{F}(0)$, and $\mathbf{W}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda)$ are $\mathbf{W}(0)$ -modules.

Lemma 2.23 ([S, Main Theorem (2), Theorem 4.14, Lemma 4.19]). *For any $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we have an embedding*

$$(46) \quad H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}) \hookrightarrow \bigcap_{i=1}^l \ker S_{i,\lambda}|_{V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}}, \quad s \mapsto s(\text{id } B).$$

When $\lambda = 0$, (46) gives the vertex operator algebra isomorphism. Moreover, for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$, (46) gives the $H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q})$ -module isomorphism.

Definition 2.24. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$ denotes the image of $H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda})$ by (46).

Theorem 2.25 ([S]). *For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we have a $G \times \mathbf{W}(0)$ -module isomorphism*

$$(47) \quad H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}) \simeq W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda} \simeq \bigoplus_{\alpha \in P_+ \cap Q} L(\alpha + \lambda_0) \otimes \mathbf{W}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda).$$

Remark 2.26. The lowest conformal weight of $W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$ is Δ_λ in (21), and we have $(W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda})_{\Delta_\lambda} = L(\lambda_0) \otimes \mathbb{C}|\lambda\rangle$.

For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, denote by $\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}^*$ the sheaf of sections of the dual bundle $G \times_B V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}^*$ over G/B , where the B -action on $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}^*$ is given by the contragredient representation $(\rho^{V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}})^*$ of $\rho^{V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}}$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq l$, we have

$$(48) \quad (\rho^{V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}})^*(h_i) = \rho^{\sqrt{p}Q+w_0*\lambda'}(h_i) + \text{id},$$

$$(49) \quad (\rho^{V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}})^*(f_i) = \rho^{\sqrt{p}Q+w_0*\lambda'}(f_i).$$

Here we identify $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}^*$ with $V_{\sqrt{p}Q+w_0*\lambda'}$ by Lemma 2.18, and (48) and (49) follow from (34) and $|\sqrt{p}\alpha_i\rangle_{(0)}^\dagger = -|\sqrt{p}\alpha_i\rangle_{(0)}$, respectively. Thus, we obtain the following.

Lemma 2.27. *For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we have $\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}^*(-2\rho) \simeq \mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+w_0*\lambda'}(-\rho)$ as $\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -modules. Moreover, for $0 \leq i \leq l(w_0)$, we have*

$$H^i(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}^*(-2\rho)) \simeq H^i(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+w_0*\lambda'}(-\rho))$$

as $H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q})$ -modules.

3. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.1 AND THEOREM 1.2

3.1. Proof of (2). By Lemma 2.11 and Lemma 2.19, we obtain the following.

Proposition 3.1. *For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we have $H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}) \simeq H^{l(w_0)}(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}^*(-2\rho))^*$ as $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -modules.*

For $1 \leq i \leq l$, let P_i be the minimal parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to α_i . For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\mu \in P$, we consider the homogeneous vector bundle $P_i \times_B V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)$ over $P_i/B \simeq \mathbb{CP}^1$, and the sheaf of sections (by abuse of notations, we use the same letter). In the same manner above, $P_i \times_B V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{P_i/B}$ -vertex operator algebra, and $P_i \times_B V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)$ is a $P_i \times_B V_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -module. In [S, Lemma 4.10], we proved that $\ker S_{i,0}|_{V_{\sqrt{p}Q}} \simeq H^0(P_i \times_B V_{\sqrt{p}Q})$ as vertex operator algebras. We induce the

$\ker S_{i,0}|_{V_{\sqrt{p}Q}}$ -module and P_i -module structure (and thus, $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -module structure) on $H^n(P_i \times_B V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu))$ in the same manner as Corollary 2.21.

By Lemma 2.8, for $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\mu \in P$, $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the projection π'_i from G/B to G/P_i , we induce the $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -module structure on

$$(50) \quad (R^{p_1}\Gamma \circ R^{p_2}\pi'_{i*})(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu)) = H^{p_1}(G \times_{P_i} H^{p_2}(P_i \times_B V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}(\mu))).$$

Proposition 3.2. *For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$, we have $H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}) \simeq H^{l(w_0)}(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda'}^*(-2\rho))$ as $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -modules.*

Proof. Let us take a minimal expression $w_0 = \sigma_{i_{l(w_0)}} \cdots \sigma_1$ of w_0 . In [S, Theorem 4.8], when $\lambda \in \Lambda$ satisfies the condition Lemma 2.16 (1), we proved that for any $\sigma = \sigma_{i_{n-1}} \cdots \sigma_{i_1}$, $2 \leq n \leq l(w_0)$, we have the $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -module and P_{i_n} -module isomorphism

$$(51) \quad H^1(P_{i_n} \times_B V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\sigma*\lambda}(\epsilon_{\lambda_p}(\sigma))) \simeq H^0(P_{i_n} \times_B V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\sigma_{i_n}\sigma*\lambda}(\epsilon_{\lambda_p}(\sigma_{i_n}\sigma))) \simeq 0,$$

$$(52) \quad H^0(P_{i_n} \times_B V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\sigma*\lambda}(\epsilon_{\lambda_p}(\sigma))) \simeq H^1(P_{i_n} \times_B V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\sigma_{i_n}\sigma*\lambda}(\epsilon_{\lambda_p}(\sigma_{i_n}\sigma))) \not\simeq 0.$$

Then we obtain the $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -module and G -module isomorphism

$$(53) \quad \begin{aligned} & H^{l(\sigma)}(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\sigma*\lambda}(\epsilon_{\lambda_p}(\sigma))) \\ & \simeq H^{l(\sigma)}(G \times_{P_{i_n}} H^0(P_{i_n} \times_B V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\sigma*\lambda}(\epsilon_{\lambda_p}(\sigma)))) \\ & \simeq H^{l(\sigma)}(G \times_{P_{i_n}} H^1(P_{i_n} \times_B V_{\sqrt{p}Q+\sigma_{i_n}\sigma*\lambda}(\epsilon_{\lambda_p}(\sigma_{i_n}\sigma)))) \\ & \simeq H^{l(\sigma)+1}(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\sigma_{i_n}\sigma*\lambda}(\epsilon_{\lambda_p}(\sigma_{i_n}\sigma))), \end{aligned}$$

where the first and third isomorphisms in (53) also follow from (51) and (52), namely, the fact that the Leray spectral sequences have the trivial filtrations (see [S, Theorem 4.8]). By continuing (53) for $1 \leq n \leq l(w_0)$ and using Lemma 2.15 and Lemma 2.27 lastly, we obtain that

$$(54) \quad H^0(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}) \simeq \cdots \simeq H^{l(w_0)}(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+w_0*\lambda}(-\rho)) \simeq H^{l(w_0)}(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda'}^*(-2\rho))$$

as $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -modules and G -modules. \square

Corollary 3.3. *For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$, we have a $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -module isomorphism*

$$(55) \quad W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda} \simeq W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda'}^*.$$

Proof. By [FHL, Proposition 5.3.1], we have

$$(56) \quad H^{l(w_0)}(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda'}^*(-2\rho)) \simeq (H^{l(w_0)}(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda'}(-2\rho))^*)^*$$

as $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -modules. Thus, by Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2, we have

$$W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda} \simeq H^{l(w_0)}(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda'}^*(-2\rho)) \simeq (H^{l(w_0)}(\mathcal{V}_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda'}(-2\rho))^*)^* \simeq W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda'}^*.$$

\square

Remark 3.4. When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, $W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$ is self-dual for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ (see [NT, Proposition 3.12]). In fact, all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ satisfy $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$ and $\lambda = \lambda'$.

If $W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda'}^* \simeq W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$ as $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -modules, then by [FHL, Section 5.3], there exists a non-degenerate bilinear form

$$(57) \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda'} \times W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

such that for any $a \in W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$, $u \in W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda'}$, $v \in W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$(58) \quad \langle a_{(n)}u, v \rangle = \langle u, a_{(n)}^\dagger v \rangle.$$

Namely, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a *non-degenerate $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -invariant bilinear map*. In particular, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is non-degenerate on $(W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda'})_\Delta \times (W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda})_\Delta$ for each $\Delta \in \mathbb{Q}$, and we have $\langle (W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda'})_\Delta, (W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda})_{\Delta'} \rangle = 0$ if $\Delta \neq \Delta'$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let us fix an integer $p \geq 2$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If $W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda} \simeq W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda'}^*$ as $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -modules and $W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda'}$ is generated by its lowest conformal weight vectors (see Remark 2.26) as $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -module, then for any nonzero $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -submodule $S \subseteq W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$, we have $S \cap (W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda})_{\Delta_\lambda} \neq \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let $S \subseteq W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$ be a nonzero $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -submodule in $W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$. We take a nonzero vector $v = \sum v_\Delta \in S$, where $v_\Delta \in (W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda})_\Delta$. Let Δ' be the conformal weight such that $v_{\Delta'} \neq 0$ and $\Delta' \geq \Delta$ for all Δ such that $v_\Delta \neq 0$. Then by the non-degeneracy of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $(W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda'})_\Delta \times (W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda})_\Delta$, there exists an element

$$(59) \quad a = \sum (a_{i_1})_{(-n_1)} \cdots (a_{i_m})_{(-n_m)} (x \otimes |\lambda'\rangle) \in (W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda'})_\Delta,$$

where $x \in L(\lambda_0)$ and $\sum (a_{i_1})_{(-n_1)} \cdots (a_{i_m})_{(-n_m)} \in \mathcal{U}(W_{\sqrt{p}Q})$, such that

$$(60) \quad 0 \neq \langle a, v_{\Delta'} \rangle = \langle x \otimes |\lambda'\rangle, \sum (a_{i_m})_{(-n_m)}^\dagger \cdots (a_{i_1})_{(-n_1)}^\dagger v_{\Delta'} \rangle.$$

Since $W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda} = \bigoplus_{\Delta \geq \Delta_\lambda} (W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda})_\Delta$ and $\Delta_{\lambda'} = \Delta_\lambda$, we obtain that

$$\sum (a_{i_m})_{(-n_m)}^\dagger \cdots (a_{i_1})_{(-n_1)}^\dagger v = \sum (a_{i_m})_{(-n_m)}^\dagger \cdots (a_{i_1})_{(-n_1)}^\dagger v_{\Delta'} \in L(\lambda_0) \otimes |\lambda\rangle$$

is nonzero. Thus, S contains a nonzero element of $L(\lambda_0) \otimes |\lambda\rangle = (W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda})_{\Delta_\lambda}$. \square

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $\lambda_p = 0$. We introduce a generalized vertex operator algebra

$$(61) \quad W_{\sqrt{p}P} := \bigcap_{i=1}^l \ker S_{i,0}|_{V_{\sqrt{p}P}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_0} W_{\sqrt{p}(Q-\lambda_0)} \simeq H^0(G \times_B V_{\sqrt{p}P}),$$

and for $\lambda_p \in \Lambda_p$, the $W_{\sqrt{p}P}$ -modules

$$(62) \quad W_{\sqrt{p}P+\lambda_p} := \bigoplus_{\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_0} W_{\sqrt{p}(Q-\lambda_0)+\lambda_p} \simeq H^0(G \times_B V_{\sqrt{p}P+\lambda}).$$

In the same manner as Corollary 3.3, we obtain the following:

Theorem 3.6. *We have the $W_{\sqrt{p}P}$ -module isomorphism*

$$(63) \quad W_{\sqrt{p}P+\lambda_p} \simeq W_{\sqrt{p}P+\lambda_p'}^*.$$

Corollary 3.7. *For $p \geq h-1$, $W_{\sqrt{p}P}$ is simple.*

Proof. The condition $p \geq h-1$ is equivalent to $(\rho, \theta) \leq p$, namely, $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$ for the case $\lambda_p = 0$. By Theorem 3.6, $W_{\sqrt{p}P}$ is self-dual, and thus, has a non-degenerate $W_{\sqrt{p}P}$ -invariant bilinear form $W_{\sqrt{p}P} \times W_{\sqrt{p}P} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Since $W_{\sqrt{p}P}$ is generated by the vacuum vector $|0\rangle$, which is the unique eigenvector of the (lowest) conformal weight 0 in $W_{\sqrt{p}P}$, in the same manner as Lemma 3.5, $W_{\sqrt{p}P}$ is simple. \square

From now on, κ and κ' mean complex numbers such that $\kappa \neq -h$, $\kappa' \neq -h$, and $\kappa' = \frac{1}{\kappa+h} - h$. Denote by $\mathbf{W}^\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathbf{W}_\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$ the *affine W -algebra* [FF] of level κ and the unique simple quotient, respectively. Then we have the *Feigin-Frenkel duality* [FF1, FF2, ACL]

$$(64) \quad \mathbf{W}^\kappa(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathbf{W}^{\kappa'}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

From now on, we use the letters $k = p - h$ and $k' = \frac{1}{p} - h$.

Theorem 3.8. *For $p \geq h - 1$ and $\lambda_p = 0$, Theorem 1.1 is true and $\mathbf{W}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda)$ is a simple $\mathbf{W}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ -module for any $\alpha \in P_+ \cap Q$.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.7, $W_{\sqrt{p}P}$ is simple. By applying [McR, Theorem 3.2] to $W_{\sqrt{p}P}$, for any $\alpha \in P_+ \cap Q$ and $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_0$, we obtain that $\mathbf{W}(-\sqrt{p}(\alpha + \lambda_0))$ is simple as $\mathbf{W}(0)$ -module. In particular, $\mathbf{W}(0)$ is simple. On the other hand, by [S, Theorem 1.2], we have $\mathbf{W}(0) \simeq \mathbf{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ for $p \geq h - 1$. Thus, for the case where $\lambda_p = 0$, $\mathbf{W}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda)$ is simple as $\mathbf{W}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. Finally, by applying [McR, Proposition 2.26] to $W_{\sqrt{p}P}$, we obtain that $W_{\sqrt{p}(Q-\lambda_0)}$ is simple as $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -module for each $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_0$. \square

By combining (64) with the simplicity of $\mathbf{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathbf{W}(0)$ in Theorem 3.8, for $p \geq h - 1$, we obtain that

$$(65) \quad \mathbf{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathbf{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathbf{W}^{k'}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathbf{W}_{k'}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let us recall the notations in [Kac2, Chapter 6]. In this paper, we consider the affine Lie algebras of type $A_l^{(1)}$, $D_l^{(1)}$, $E_6^{(1)}$, $E_7^{(1)}$, $E_8^{(1)}$. For an affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ corresponding to the finite-dimensional simply-laced simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the Cartan subalgebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ and its dual $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ are decomposed as $\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus (\mathbb{C}K + \mathbb{C}d)$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}^* = \mathfrak{h}^* \oplus (\mathbb{C}\delta + \mathbb{C}\Lambda_0)$, respectively (there would be no risk of confusion with $\Lambda_0 \subseteq P_+$ in Section 2.2). For $\mu \in \hat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$, we use the letter $\bar{\mu} \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ for the classical part of μ . By this notation, we have the decomposition

$$(66) \quad \mu = \bar{\mu} + \langle \mu, K \rangle \Lambda_0 + (\mu, \Lambda_0) \delta.$$

The affine Weyl group \hat{W} of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is given by $\hat{W} = W \ltimes Q$ (see [Kac2, Proposition 6.5]). Here, the action

$$(67) \quad \hat{W} = W \ltimes Q \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}^*), \quad (\sigma, \beta) \mapsto \sigma t_\beta$$

is defined by the following: for $\mu \in \hat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$, we have

$$(68) \quad \sigma t_\beta(\mu) = \sigma(\bar{\mu} + \langle \mu, K \rangle \beta) + \langle \mu, K \rangle \Lambda_0 + ((\mu, \Lambda_0) - \beta) - \frac{1}{2}|\beta|^2 \langle \mu, K \rangle \delta.$$

We also define the \circ action of \hat{W} on $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ by

$$(69) \quad \sigma t_\beta \circ \mu = \sigma t_\beta(\mu + \rho + h\Lambda_0) - (\rho + h\Lambda_0).$$

By [Kac2, Proposition 6.3], the set of positive real roots of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is given by

$$(70) \quad \hat{\Delta}_{\mathrm{re}}^+ = \{\bar{\gamma} + n\delta \mid \bar{\gamma} \in \Delta^\pm, n > 0\} \cup \Delta^+,$$

where Δ^+ and Δ^- are sets of positive and negative roots of \mathfrak{g} , respectively. Set

$$(71) \quad \hat{C}^+ = \{\mu \in \hat{\mathfrak{h}}^* \mid (\gamma, \mu + \rho + h\Lambda_0) \geq 0 \text{ for any } \gamma \in \hat{\Delta}_{\mathrm{re}}^+\}.$$

By calculation, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.9. *For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\sigma \in W$ and $\beta \in Q$, we have*

$$(72) \quad \sigma t_\beta \circ (-p(\alpha + \lambda_0 + \rho) + \sqrt{p}\lambda_p + k\Lambda_0) \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}^+$$

if and only if

$$(73) \quad 0 \leq (\sigma^{-1}(\bar{\gamma}), p(\beta - (\alpha + \lambda_0 + \rho)) + \sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho) \leq p \text{ for any } \bar{\gamma} \in \Delta^+.$$

When $\lambda \in \Lambda$ satisfies $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$, a pair (σ, β) satisfying (73) is given by the following.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $\alpha \in P_+ \cap Q$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$. Then there exist $\omega_{\lambda_0} \in \Lambda_0$ and $\sigma_{\lambda_0} \in W$ such that $\beta_{\lambda_0} = \alpha + \lambda_0 + \rho - \omega_{\lambda_0} \in Q$ and*

$$(74) \quad \sigma_{\lambda_0}^{-1}(\Delta^+) \cap \Delta^\pm = \{\pm \bar{\gamma} \in \Delta^\pm \mid (\bar{\gamma}, \omega_{\lambda_0}) = \frac{1 \mp 1}{2}\}.$$

Moreover, the pair $(\sigma_{\lambda_0}, \beta_{\lambda_0})$ satisfies (73) for λ .

Proof. Let us fix $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$. First we prove the assertion in the case of $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) < p$. By [KT, Lemma 2.10], there exist $\sigma \in W$ and $\beta = \alpha + \lambda_0 + \rho - \sum_{i=1}^l n_i \omega_i \in Q$ satisfying (73) for λ (where $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$). Set

$$(75) \quad I_\pm = \{i \mid \pm \alpha_i \in \sigma^{-1}(\Delta^+) \cap \Delta^\pm\}.$$

Clearly, we have $I := \{1, \dots, l\} = I_+ \sqcup I_-$. For $x \in \Delta$, if there exists, we write $\bar{\gamma}_x$ for the positive root such that $\sigma^{-1}(\bar{\gamma}_x) = x$. For each $i \in I_+$, by considering the case $\bar{\gamma} = \bar{\gamma}_{\alpha_i}$ in (73), we have

$$(76) \quad 0 \leq (\alpha_i, -p \sum_{j \in I} n_j \omega_j + \sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho) = -pn_i + (\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \alpha_i) \leq p.$$

By (76) and the assumption $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) < p$, we have $n_i = 0$ for any $i \in I_+$. Similarly, for each $i \in I_-$, by considering the case $\bar{\gamma} = \bar{\gamma}_{-\alpha_i}$ in (73), we have $n_i = 1$ for any $i \in I_-$. Thus, we obtain that

$$(77) \quad \beta = \alpha + \lambda_0 + \rho - \sum_{i \in I_-} \omega_i.$$

Let us note that one of $\bar{\gamma}_\theta$ or $\bar{\gamma}_{-\theta}$ always exists. If there exists $\bar{\gamma}_\theta$, then by considering the case $\bar{\gamma} = \bar{\gamma}_\theta$ in (73) with the assumption $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) < p$, we have $(\theta, \sum_{i \in I_-} \omega_i) = 0$. Since $\theta \geq \alpha_i$ for any $i \in I$, we obtain that $I_- = \emptyset$. Thus, we have $\beta = \alpha + \lambda_0 + \rho$ (namely, $\omega_{\lambda_0} = 0$) and $\sigma_{\lambda_0} := \sigma = \text{id}$, and they satisfy (74).

On the other hand, if there exists $\bar{\gamma}_{-\theta}$, similarly we obtain that $I_- = \{i\}$ for some $i \in I$ such that $(\omega_i, \theta) = 1$. In particular, $\omega_i \in \Lambda_0$. Thus, for $\omega_{\lambda_0} := \omega_i$ and $\sigma_{\lambda_0} := \sigma$, it is easy to check that they satisfy (74) by (73).

Finally, let us extend the results above to the case of $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$. Since ω_{λ_0} and σ_{λ_0} do not depend on the choice of λ_p and they satisfy (74), by applying (74) to (73), we obtain the assertion. \square

Remark 3.11. It is easy to check that $\omega_{\lambda_0} \in \Lambda_0$ and $\sigma_{\lambda_0} \in W$ in Lemma 3.10 satisfy (73) if and only if $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$.

Let us recall notations in [Ar]. For an affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mu \in \hat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$, denote by $\hat{L}(\mu)$ and $\hat{M}(\mu)$ the corresponding irreducible highest weight module and Verma module of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with highest weight $\mu \in \hat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$. On the other hand, for $\bar{\mu} \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let $\gamma_{\bar{\mu}}: Z(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the evaluation at the Verma module $M(\bar{\mu})$ of \mathfrak{g} with highest weight $\bar{\mu}$, where $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ is the center of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. We write $\mathbf{L}_\kappa(\gamma_{\bar{\mu}})$ and $\mathbf{M}_\kappa(\gamma_{\bar{\mu}})$ for

the corresponding irreducible highest weight module and Verma module of $\mathbf{W}^\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$, respectively. Then $\mathbf{L}_\kappa(\gamma_{\bar{\mu}})$ is the simple quotient of $\mathbf{M}_\kappa(\gamma_{\bar{\mu}})$ (see [Ar, Section 5.3]). When $\kappa = k$ or k' , we will denote $\mathbf{L}_\kappa(\gamma_{\bar{\mu}})$ and $\mathbf{M}_\kappa(\gamma_{\bar{\mu}})$ simply by $\mathbf{L}(\gamma_{\bar{\mu}})$ and $\mathbf{M}(\gamma_{\bar{\mu}})$, respectively. By [ArF, Lemma 4.2], we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.12. *Under the identification (64), for $\nu, \mu \in P$, we have*

$$(78) \quad \mathbf{L}_\kappa(\gamma_{\nu-(\kappa+h)(\mu+\rho)}) \simeq \mathbf{L}_{\kappa'}(\gamma_{\mu-(\kappa'+h)(\nu+\rho)}).$$

For a $\mathbf{W}^\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$ -module M , we write $[M]$ for the element corresponding to M in the Grothendieck group of the category of $\mathbf{W}^\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. By combining Lemma 3.10 with the main results of [Ar] and [KT], we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.13. *For $\alpha \in P_+ \cap Q$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$, we have*

$$(79) \quad [\mathbf{L}(\gamma_{-p(\alpha+\lambda_0+\rho)+\sqrt{p}\lambda_p})] = \sum_{y \geq y_{\alpha, \lambda_0}} a_{y, y_{\alpha, \lambda_0}} [\mathbf{M}(\gamma_{y \circ \mu_\lambda})],$$

where \geq in the sum is the Bruhat order, σ_{λ_0} and ω_{λ_0} are defined in Lemma 3.10,

$$(80) \quad y_{\alpha, \lambda_0} = t_{\omega_{\lambda_0} - (\alpha + \lambda_0 + \rho)} \sigma_{\lambda_0}^{-1} \in \hat{W},$$

$$(81) \quad a_{y, y_{\alpha, \lambda_0}} = (-1)^{l(y) - l(y_{\alpha, \lambda_0})} Q_{y, y_{\alpha, \lambda_0}}(1),$$

$$(82) \quad \mu_\lambda = \sigma_{\lambda_0}(-p\omega_{\lambda_0} + \sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho) - \rho + k\Lambda_0 \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}^+,$$

and $Q_{y', y}(z)$ is the inverse Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial. In particular, we have

$$(83) \quad \text{tr}_{\mathbf{L}(\gamma_{-p(\alpha+\lambda_0+\rho)+\sqrt{p}\lambda_p})} q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} = \sum_{y \geq y_{\alpha, \lambda_0}} a_{y, y_{\alpha, \lambda_0}} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2p}|\overline{y \circ \mu_\lambda} + \rho|^2}}{\eta(q)^l}.$$

For a vertex operator algebra V and a V -module M , let M_{top} be the sum of nonzero M_Δ such that $M_{\Delta-n} = 0$ for all $n > 0$. Then M_{top} has the $\text{Zhu}(V)$ -module structure, where $\text{Zhu}(V)$ is the *Zhu algebra* [Z] of V (see [Ar, Section 3.12]). For $\mu \in P$, we have $\mathbf{L}(\gamma_\mu)_{\text{top}} \simeq \mathbb{C}_{\gamma_\mu}$, where $\text{Zhu}(\mathbf{W}_k(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq Z(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on \mathbb{C}_{γ_μ} by γ_μ .

Lemma 3.14. *For $\alpha \in P_+ \cap Q$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we have*

$$(84) \quad \mathbf{W}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda)_{\text{top}} \simeq \mathbb{C}_{\gamma_{-p(\alpha+\lambda_0+\rho)+\sqrt{p}\lambda_p}}$$

as $\text{Zhu}(\mathbf{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}))$ -modules. In particular, for $p \geq h-1$, we have

$$(85) \quad \mathbf{W}(-\sqrt{p}(\alpha + \lambda_0)) \simeq \mathbf{L}(\gamma_{-p(\alpha+\lambda_0+\rho)}).$$

Proof. By Remark 2.13 and the embedding $\mathbf{W}^\kappa(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}(0)$, for $\nu, \mu \in P$, we have

$$(86) \quad \mathbf{F}\left(\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{\kappa+h}} - \sqrt{\kappa+h}\mu\right)_{\text{top}} \simeq (\pi_{\kappa+h, \nu-(\kappa+h)\mu})_{\text{top}} \simeq \mathbb{C}_{\gamma_{\kappa+h, \nu-(\kappa+h)(\mu+\rho)}}$$

as $\text{Zhu}(\mathbf{W}_\kappa(\mathfrak{g}))$ -modules, where the second isomorphism follows from the proof of [ACL, Proposition 6.2] and the footnote in [ACL, Section 6]. In particular, when $\kappa = k$, $\nu = \sqrt{p}\lambda_p$, and $\mu = \alpha + \lambda_0$, we have

$$(87) \quad \mathbf{F}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda)_{\text{top}} \simeq \mathbb{C}_{\gamma_{-p(\alpha+\lambda_0+\rho)+\sqrt{p}\lambda_p}}.$$

By Definition 2.22 of $\mathbf{W}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda)$ and the facts that

$$(88) \quad \mathbf{F}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda) = \bigoplus_{\Delta \in \Delta - \sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathbf{F}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda)_\Delta$$

and $\mathbf{F}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda)_{\Delta_{-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda}} = \mathbb{C}[-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda]$, we have

$$(89) \quad \mathbf{W}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda)_{\text{top}} = \mathbb{C}[-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda] = \mathbf{F}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda)_{\text{top}}.$$

Thus, by (87) and (89), we obtain (84). The last assertion follows from (84), [Ar, Theorem 5.3.1], and Theorem 3.8. \square

Let us recall the following result in [S].

Theorem 3.15 ([ArF, S]). *For any $\alpha \in P_+ \cap Q$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$, we have the character formula*

$$(90) \quad \text{tr}_{\mathbf{W}(-\sqrt{p}\alpha + \lambda)} q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} = \sum_{\sigma \in W} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}|\sqrt{p}\sigma(\alpha + \lambda_0 + \rho) - \lambda_p - \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\rho|^2}}{\eta(q)^l},$$

where $\eta(q)$ is the Dedekind eta function. Furthermore, (90) coincides with the characters of the $\mathbf{W}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules $\mathbf{T}_{\sqrt{p}\lambda_p, \alpha + \lambda_0}^p$ and $\mathbf{T}_{\alpha + \lambda_0, \sqrt{p}\lambda_p}^{\frac{1}{p}}$ defined in [ArF], where $\mathbf{T}_{\nu, \mu}^{\kappa+h} = H_\mu^0(\hat{V}(\nu + \kappa\Lambda_0))$, $\hat{V}(\nu + \kappa\Lambda_0)$ is the Weyl module over $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ of level κ induced from $L(\nu)$, and $H_\mu^0(?)$ is the functor in [ArF, Section 2.1].

When $\mu = 0$, the functor $H_\mu^0(?)$ is the “+” reduction $H_+^0(?)$ in [Ar, Section 6.4].

Remark 3.16. By [ArF, Section 4.3], for $\nu, \mu \in P_+$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\kappa \neq -h$, we have $\text{tr}_{\mathbf{T}_{\nu, \mu}^{\kappa+h}} q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} = \text{tr}_{\mathbf{T}_{\mu, \nu}^{\kappa'+h}} q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}}$.

Lemma 3.17. *For any $\alpha \in P_+ \cap Q$ and $p \geq h$, we have*

$$(91) \quad [\mathbf{T}_{\alpha + \lambda_0, 0}^{\frac{1}{p}}] = \sum_{\sigma \in W} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} [M(\gamma_{\overline{y_\sigma \circ \mu - \sqrt{p}\lambda_0}})],$$

where $y_\sigma = t_{\sigma(\omega_{\lambda_0}) - (\alpha + \lambda_0 + \rho)} \sigma \sigma_{\lambda_0}^{-1} \in \hat{W}$.

Proof. As in [ArF], we have the contragredient BGG resolution $C^\bullet(\mu)$ of $L(\mu)$ and the induced reduction $\hat{C}^\bullet(\mu)$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module of level κ' such that

$$(92) \quad C^j(\mu) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\sigma \in W \\ l(\sigma)=j}} M(\sigma \circ \mu)^*,$$

$$(93) \quad \hat{C}^j(\mu) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\sigma \in W \\ l(\sigma)=j}} \hat{M}(\sigma \circ \mu + \kappa'\Lambda_0)^*,$$

where $M(\xi)^*$ is the contragredient Verma module over \mathfrak{g} with highest weight $\xi \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, and $\hat{M}(\xi + \kappa'\Lambda_0)^*$ is the corresponding induced $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module of level κ' . Let us consider the case where $\kappa = k$ and $\mu = \alpha + \lambda_0$. Because $p \geq h$, $\alpha + \lambda_0 + k'\Lambda_0$ satisfies the condition [Ar, (385)]. By [Ar, Theorem 9.1.3], the “+” reduction $H_+^0(?)$ is the exact functor from $\mathcal{O}_{k'}$ (see [Ar, Section 6.1]) to the full subcategory of the category of graded $\mathbf{W}_{k'}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules consisting of admissible $\mathbf{W}_{k'}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. Thus, by sending the induced BGG resolution $\hat{C}^\bullet(\alpha + \lambda_0)$ by $H_+^0(?)$, we obtain the resolution $H_+^0(\hat{C}^\bullet(\alpha + \lambda_0))$ of $\mathbf{T}_{\alpha + \lambda_0, 0}^{\frac{1}{p}} = H_+^0(\hat{V}(\alpha + \lambda_0 + k'\Lambda_0))$. Since $[H_+^0(\hat{M}(\sigma \circ (\alpha + \lambda_0) + k'\Lambda_0)^*)] = [M(\gamma_{\overline{y_\sigma \circ \mu - \sqrt{p}\lambda_0}})]$, we obtain the assertion. \square

From now on, for q -series $A(q), B(q) \in q^x \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$, $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, the notion $A(q) \geq B(q)$ means that $A(q) - B(q) \in q^x \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[[q]]$.

Remark 3.18. For a vertex operator algebra V , a V -module M and a V -submodule N of M , we have $\mathrm{tr}_M q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} \geq \mathrm{tr}_N q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}}$ and $\mathrm{tr}_M q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} \geq \mathrm{tr}_{M/N} q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}}$, and the equal signs hold if and only if $M = N$ and $N = 0$, respectively.

Lemma 3.19. *For $\nu, \mu \in P_+$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\kappa \neq -h$, if*

$$(94) \quad \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{T}_{\nu, \mu}^{\kappa+h}} q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{L}(\gamma_{\nu - (\kappa+h)(\mu+\rho)})} q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}},$$

then $\mathbf{T}_{\nu, \mu}^{\kappa+h} \simeq \mathbf{L}_\kappa(\gamma_{\nu - (\kappa+h)(\mu+\rho)}) \simeq \mathbf{L}_{\kappa'}(\gamma_{\mu - (\kappa'+h)(\nu+\rho)}) \simeq \mathbf{T}_{\mu, \nu}^{\kappa'+h}$ as $\mathbf{W}^\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules.

Proof. By Lemma 3.12 and Remark 3.16, it is enough to show that $\mathbf{T}_{\nu, \mu}^{\kappa+h} \simeq \mathbf{L}_\kappa(\gamma_{\nu - (\kappa+h)(\mu+\rho)})$. By combining the injective homomorphism $\hat{V}(\nu + \kappa\Lambda_0) \hookrightarrow \hat{M}(\nu + \kappa\Lambda_0)^*$ in the BGG resolution (93) with the canonical homomorphism $\hat{M}(\nu + \kappa\Lambda_0)^* \rightarrow \hat{W}(\nu + \kappa\Lambda_0)$, where $\hat{W}(\nu + \kappa\Lambda_0)$ is the Wakimoto module of highest weight ν and level κ (see [ArF, Section 3]), we obtain the $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module homomorphism

$$(95) \quad \phi: \hat{V}(\nu + \kappa\Lambda_0) \rightarrow \hat{W}(\nu + \kappa\Lambda_0),$$

which is isomorphic on the eigenspaces with lowest conformal weights (see [ArF, Proposition 3.4]). In particular, ϕ sends the highest weight vector v_ν of $\hat{V}(\nu + \kappa\Lambda_0)$ to the highest weight vector v'_ν of $\hat{W}(\nu + \kappa\Lambda_0)$. Denote by $[v_\nu] \in H_\mu^0(\hat{V}(\nu + \kappa\Lambda_0)) = \mathbf{T}_{\nu, \mu}^{\kappa+h}$ and $[v'_\nu] \in H_\mu^0(\hat{W}(\nu + \kappa\Lambda_0))$ the equivalence classes of $v_\nu \otimes 1_\mu$ and $v'_\nu \otimes 1_\mu$, respectively (see the definition of $H_\mu^0(?)$ in [ArF, Section 2.1]). Then, clearly we have $H_\mu^0(\phi)([v_\nu]) = [v'_\nu]$. On the other hand, by [ArF, Lemma 3.2] and Remark 2.13, we obtain the $\mathbf{W}^\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$ -module isomorphism

$$(96) \quad \phi': H_\mu^0(\hat{W}(\nu + \kappa\Lambda_0)) \simeq \pi_{\kappa+h, \nu - (\kappa+h)\mu} \simeq \mathbf{F}\left(\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{\kappa+h}} - \sqrt{\kappa+h}\mu\right),$$

which sends $[v'_\nu]$ to the highest weight vector $|\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{\kappa+h}} - \sqrt{\kappa+h}\mu\rangle$ of $\mathbf{F}(\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{\kappa+h}} - \sqrt{\kappa+h}\mu)$. Thus, the $\mathbf{W}^\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$ -module homomorphism $\phi'' = \phi' \circ H_\mu^0(\phi)$ sends $[v_\nu]$ to $|\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{\kappa+h}} - \sqrt{\kappa+h}\mu\rangle$, and we have

$$(97) \quad \mathbf{T}_{\nu, \mu}^{\kappa+h} / \ker \phi'' \simeq \mathrm{Im} \phi'' \supseteq \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{W}^\kappa(\mathfrak{g})) \left| \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{\kappa+h}} - \sqrt{\kappa+h}\mu \right\rangle.$$

By combining (86) and (97) with Remark 3.18, we have

$$(98) \quad \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{T}_{\nu, \mu}^{\kappa+h}} q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} \geq \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{T}_{\nu, \mu}^{\kappa+h} / \ker \phi''} q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}} \geq \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbf{L}(\gamma_{\nu - (\kappa+h)(\mu+\rho)})} q^{L_0 - \frac{c}{24}}.$$

The assertion follows from the assumption (94), (98), and Remark 3.18. \square

Remark 3.20. When $\kappa = \kappa'$, $p \geq h$, $\nu = \alpha + \lambda_0$, and $\mu = 0$, Lemma 3.19 follows from [Ar, Theorem 9.1.4] and the exactness of $H_+^0(?)$ in the proof of Lemma 3.17.

Theorem 3.21. *Theorem 1.2 is true.*

Proof. If $\lambda_p = 0$, then the assertion follows from Lemma 3.14, Theorem 3.15, and Lemma 3.19. In particular, when $p = h - 1$, we have $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$ if and only if $\lambda_p = 0$, and thus the claim is proved.

Let us consider the case $p \geq h$. By combining Lemma 3.13 and Lemma 3.17 with Theorem 1.2 for the case of $\lambda_p = 0$, we have

$$(99) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in W} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} [\mathbf{M}(\gamma_{\overline{y\sigma\mu - \sqrt{p}\lambda_0}})] = \sum_{y \geq y_{\alpha, \lambda_0}} a_{y, y_{\alpha, \lambda_0}} [\mathbf{M}(\gamma_{\overline{y\sigma\mu - \sqrt{p}\lambda_0}})].$$

Let us recall the fact that

$$(100) \quad [M(\gamma_{\overline{y \circ \mu_\lambda}})] = [M(\gamma_{\overline{y' \circ \mu_\lambda}})] \Leftrightarrow y' \in Wy$$

for $y, y' \in \hat{W}$. Set the equivalence relation on \hat{W} by $y \sim y'$ when $y' \in Wy$. Then by (99), for any $y \in \hat{W}/\sim$, we have

$$(101) \quad \sum_{\substack{y' \geq y_{\alpha, \lambda_0} \\ y' \sim y}} a_{y', y_{\alpha, \lambda_0}} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} & y = y_\sigma \text{ for some } \sigma \in W, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By applying (101) to (83), we have

$$(102) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{tr}_{L(\gamma_{-p(\alpha+\lambda_0+\rho)}+\sqrt{p}\lambda_p)} q^{L_0-\frac{c}{24}} &= \sum_{y \geq y_{\alpha, \lambda_0}} a_{y, y_{\alpha, \lambda_0}} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2p}|\overline{y \circ \mu_\lambda}+\rho|^2}}{\eta(q)^l} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{y \in \hat{W}/\sim \\ y \geq y_{\alpha, \lambda_0}}} \left(\sum_{\substack{y' \geq y_{\alpha, \lambda_0} \\ y' \sim y}} a_{y', y_{\alpha, \lambda_0}} \right) \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2p}|\overline{y \circ \mu_\lambda}+\rho|^2}}{\eta(q)^l} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in W} (-1)^{l(\sigma)} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2p}|\overline{y_\sigma \circ \mu_\lambda}+\rho|^2}}{\eta(q)^l} \\ &= \text{tr}_{W(-\sqrt{p}\alpha+\lambda)} q^{L_0-\frac{c}{24}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $W(-\sqrt{p}\alpha+\lambda)' = \mathcal{U}(W_k(\mathfrak{g})|-\sqrt{p}\alpha+\lambda)$ be the $W_k(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule of $W(-\sqrt{p}\alpha+\lambda)$. Since $W(-\sqrt{p}\alpha+\lambda)'$ is a highest weight $W_k(\mathfrak{g})$ -module and

$$(103) \quad W(-\sqrt{p}\alpha+\lambda)'_{\text{top}} = W(-\sqrt{p}\alpha+\lambda)_{\text{top}} \simeq \mathbb{C}_{\gamma_{-p(\alpha+\lambda_0+\rho)}+\sqrt{p}\lambda_p}$$

(see Lemma 3.14), we have

$$(104) \quad W(-\sqrt{p}\alpha+\lambda)' / W(-\sqrt{p}\alpha+\lambda)'_{\text{max}} \simeq L(\gamma_{-p(\alpha+\lambda_0+\rho)}+\sqrt{p}\lambda_p),$$

where $W(-\sqrt{p}\alpha+\lambda)'_{\text{max}}$ is the maximal proper $W_k(\mathfrak{g})$ -submodule of $W(-\sqrt{p}\alpha+\lambda)'$. By Remark 3.18 and (102), (104), we have

$$(105) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{tr}_{W(-\sqrt{p}\alpha+\lambda)} q^{L_0-\frac{c}{24}} &\geq \text{tr}_{W(-\sqrt{p}\alpha+\lambda)'} q^{L_0-\frac{c}{24}} \\ &\geq \text{tr}_{L(\gamma_{-p(\alpha+\lambda_0+\rho)}+\sqrt{p}\lambda_p)} q^{L_0-\frac{c}{24}} \\ &= \text{tr}_{W(-\sqrt{p}\alpha+\lambda)} q^{L_0-\frac{c}{24}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Remark 3.18 and (104), (105), we have

$$(106) \quad W(-\sqrt{p}\alpha+\lambda) = W(-\sqrt{p}\alpha+\lambda)' \simeq L(\gamma_{-p(\alpha+\lambda_0+\rho)}+\sqrt{p}\lambda_p).$$

The remaining assertion follows from Theorem 3.15, Lemma 3.19, and (106). \square

3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.1. For $\beta \in P_+$, denote by v_β the highest weight vector of $L(\beta)$. For $\alpha \in P_+ \cap Q$, $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda_0$ and $A_{\alpha+\lambda_0} \in \mathbb{C}v_{\alpha+\lambda_0} \otimes W(-\sqrt{p}\alpha+\lambda)$, we use the notation

$$(107) \quad x \otimes A_{\alpha+\lambda_0} = \sum f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_n} A_{\alpha+\lambda_0},$$

where $x = \sum f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_n} v_{\alpha+\lambda_0} \in L(\alpha+\lambda_0)$ and f_{i_j} in the right hand side of (107) are the screening operators (25).

Lemma 3.22. *Let us take $\nu_p \in \Lambda_p$ such that $W_{\sqrt{p}P+\nu_p} \simeq W_{\sqrt{p}P+\nu'_p}^*$ as $W_{\sqrt{p}P}$ -modules. Let us also assume that for any $\beta \in P_+$, $\mathbf{W}(-\sqrt{p}\beta + \nu_p)$ and $\mathbf{W}(-\sqrt{p}\beta + \nu'_p)$ are generated by $|\sqrt{p}\beta + \nu_p\rangle$ and $|\sqrt{p}\beta + \nu'_p\rangle$ as $\mathbf{W}(0)$ -modules, respectively. Then $W_{\sqrt{p}P+\nu_p}$ is simple as $W_{\sqrt{p}P}$ -module. Moreover, for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda_p = \nu_p$ and $W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda} \simeq W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda'}^*$ as $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -modules, $W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$ is the simple $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -module.*

Proof. Because $\mathbf{W}(-\sqrt{p}\beta + \nu_p) = \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{W}(0))|\sqrt{p}\beta + \nu_p\rangle$ and

$$(108) \quad f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_n} |\sqrt{p}\beta + \nu_p\rangle = (f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_n} |\sqrt{p}\beta\rangle)_{(\Delta_{-\sqrt{p}\beta} + \Delta_{\nu_p} - \Delta_{-\sqrt{p}\beta + \nu_p} - 1)} |\nu_p\rangle$$

(see (27)), $W_{\sqrt{p}P+\nu_p}$ is generated by $|\nu_p\rangle$ as $W_{\sqrt{p}P}$ -module. Similarly, $W_{\sqrt{p}P+\nu'_p}$ is generated by $|\nu'_p\rangle$ as $W_{\sqrt{p}P}$ -module. By ($W_{\sqrt{p}P}$ -version of) Lemma 3.5, $W_{\sqrt{p}P+\nu_p}$ and $W_{\sqrt{p}P+\nu'_p}$ are simple $W_{\sqrt{p}P}$ -modules.

Let us take $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda_p = \nu_p$, and let S be a nonzero $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -submodule in $W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda}$. In the same manner as above, $W_{\sqrt{p}Q+\lambda'}$ is generated by $L(\lambda'_0) \otimes |\lambda'\rangle$ as $W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ -module. Then by Lemma 3.5, S contains a nonzero element $y \otimes |\lambda\rangle \in L(\lambda_0) \otimes |\lambda\rangle$. Since $W_{\sqrt{p}P+\nu_p}$ is simple as $W_{\sqrt{p}P}$ -module, by [DM, Corollary 4.2], for any $x \in L(\lambda_0)$, there exist elements $\{a_{n,x} \in W_{\sqrt{p}P}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that

$$(109) \quad x \otimes |\lambda\rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_{n,x})_{(n)} y \otimes |\lambda\rangle$$

However, since $x, y \in L(\lambda_0)$, we can assume that $a_{n,x} \in V_{\sqrt{p}Q} \cap W_{\sqrt{p}P} = W_{\sqrt{p}Q}$ for any $x \in L(\lambda_0)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, we have

$$(110) \quad L(\lambda_0) \otimes |\lambda\rangle \in \mathcal{U}(W_{\sqrt{p}Q})(y \otimes |\lambda\rangle) \subseteq S$$

and the claim is proved. \square

Remark 3.23. [DM, Proposition 4.1, Corollary 4.2] are claims about not generalized vertex operator algebras, but vertex operator algebras. However, it is easily checked that their proofs hold for generalized vertex operator algebras as well.

Theorem 3.24. *Theorem 1.1 is true.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.2, Corollary 3.3 and Theorem 3.6, all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $(\sqrt{p}\lambda_p + \rho, \theta) \leq p$ satisfy the assumptions in Lemma 3.22. Thus, the claim is proved. \square

REFERENCES

- [ACL] T. Arakawa, T. Creutzig and A. R. Linshaw, W-algebras as coset vertex algebras, *Inv. Math.* 218 (2019) 145-195.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-019-00884-3>
- [AM1] D. Adamovic and A. Milas. On the triplet vertex algebra $\mathscr{W}(p)$, *Advances in Mathematics* 217 (2008), 2664-2699.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2007.11.012>.
- [AM2] D. Adamovic and A. Milas. The N=1 triplet vertex operator superalgebras, *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 288 (2009), 225-270.
<https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2008.087>.
- [AM3] D. Adamovic and A. Milas. The structure of Zhu's algebras for certain W-algebras, *Advances in Mathematics*, 227 (2011) 2425-2456.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2011.05.007>.
- [Ar] T. Arakawa. Representation theory of \mathcal{W} -algebras. *Inv. Math.*, 169(2007), no.2, 219-320.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-007-0046-1>.

- [ArF] T. Arakawa, E. Frenkel. Quantum Langlands duality of representations of W -algebras, *Compos. Math.* Volume 155, Issue 12, December 2019, 2235-2262.
<https://doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X19007553>.
- [B] N. Bourbaki. *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras*. Chapter 4-6, *Elements of Mathematics* (Berlin), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002, Translated from the 1968 French original by Andrew Pressly. MR 1890629.
- [BK] B. Bakalov, V. Kac. Generalized Vertex Algebras. *arXiv:math/0602072v1*.
- [CRW] T. Creutzig, D. Ridout, S. Wood. Coset Construction of Logarithmic $(1, p)$ Models. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, May(2014), Vol.104, 553-583.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11005-014-0680-7>.
- [D] C. Dong. Vertex algebras associated with even lattices, *J. Algebra* 161 (1993) 245-265.
<https://doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1993.1217>.
- [DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators, *Progress in Math.*, Vol. 112, Birkhauser, Boston, 1993.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0353-7>
- [DLM] C. Dong, H Li, G. Mason. Compact automorphism groups of vertex operator algebras, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (1996), 913-921.
<https://doi.org/10.1155/S1073792896000566>.
- [DM] C. Dong, G. Mason. On quantum Galois theory, *Duke Math.J.*, Volume 86, Number 2 (1997), 305-321.
<https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-97-08609-9>.
- [FB] E. Frenkel, D. Ben-Zvi. *Vertex algebras and algebraic curves*, volume 88 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. AMS, Providence, RI, 2001.
<https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/surv/088>.
- [FF] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel. Quantization of the Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction. *Phys. Lett. B*, 246(1-2): 75-81, 1990.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(90\)91310-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(90)91310-8).
- [FF1] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel. Duality in W -algebras. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (6):75-82, 1991.
<https://doi.org/10.1155/S1073792891000119>.
- [FF2] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel. Integrals of motion and quantum groups. In *Integrable systems and quantum groups* (Montecatini Terme, 1993), volume 1620 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 349-418. Springer, Berlin, 1996.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0094794>.
- [FGST1] B. L. Feigin, A.M. Gainutdinov, A. M. Semikhatov, and I. Yu Tipunin. Kazhdan-Lusztig correspondence for the representation category of the triplet W -algebra in logarithmic CFT. (Russian) *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.* 148 (2006), no. 3, 398-427.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11232-006-0113-6>.
- [FGST2] B. L. Feigin, A.M. Gainutdinov, A. M. Semikhatov, and I. Yu Tipunin. Logarithmic extensions of minimal models: characters and modular transformations. *Nuclear Phys. B* 757 (2006), 303-343.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2006.09.019>.
- [FGST3] B. L. Feigin, A. M. Gainutdinov, A. M. Semikhatov, and I. Yu Tipunin. Modular group representations and fusion in logarithmic conformal field theories and in the quantum group center. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 265 (2006), 47-93.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-006-1551-6>.
- [FT] B. L. Feigin, I. Yu. Tipunin. Logarithmic CFTs connected with simple Lie algebras, *arXiv:1002.5047*.
- [FHL] I. Frenkel, Y. Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky. On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 104, (1993).
<https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/memo/0494>.
- [H] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*. *Grad. Texts in Math.* 52, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [Kac] V. G. Kac. *Vertex Algebras for Beginners*. Second edition. *University Lecture Series*, 10. *American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI* 1998.
<https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/ulect/010>.
- [Kac2] V. G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*. Cambridge University Press, 1990.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511626234>.

- [KT] M. Kashiwara, T. Tanisaki. Characters of irreducible modules with non-critical highest weights over affine Lie algebras, *Representations and quantizations* (Shanghai, 1998), 275-296, China High. Educ. Press, Beijing, 2000.
- [McR] R. McRae, On the tensor structure of modules for compact orbifold vertex operator algebras, *Math. Z.* 296 (2020), no. 1-2, 409-452.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-019-02445-z>.
- [NT] K. Nagatomo, A. Tsuchiya. The Triplet Vertex Operator Algebra $W(p)$ and the Restricted Quantum Group at Root of Unity. *Adv. Stud. in Pure Math., Exploring new Structures and Natural Constructions in Mathematical Physics*, *Amer. Math. Soc.* 61 (2011), 1-49.
- [S] S. Sugimoto. On the Feigin-Tipunin conjecture, *Selecta Math.* Vol. 27, 86 (2021).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00029-021-00662-1>.
- [TW] A. Tsuchiya. S. Wood. On the extended W -algebra of type \mathfrak{sl}_2 at positive rational level, *International Mathematics Research Notices*, Vol.2015, 14, 5357-5435.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnu090>.
- [Z] Y. Zhu. Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 9 (1996), 1, 237-302.

KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, FUKUOKA 819-0386 JAPAN

Email address: `sugimoto.shoma.657@m.kyushu-u.ac.jp`