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#### Abstract

We prove the existence of exponentially localised breather solutions for lattices of coupled particles with nonlinear nearest neighbour interaction. The second derivative of the associated interaction potentials is bounded by a power-law growth. The existence problem is formulated in terms of a fixed point equation considered in weighted sequence spaces, which is solved by means of Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem.


## I. INTRODUCTION

Non-uniform structures in nonlinear lattice systems, in the form of spatially localised and time-periodically varying states referred to as breather solutions, have attracted considerable interest from the physical but also from the mathematical viewpoint. Representative rigorous results regarding proofs of existence and stability of discrete breathers are provided in [1]-[18]: several methods and techniques were used, such as continuation of (trivially localised) solutions from the anti-integrable of zero couplings for sufficiently weak couplings, center manifold reduction methods, Lyapunov-Schmidt decomposition and continuum approximation techniques, variational approaches, perturbative arguments for the characteristic exponents of the Floquet monodromy matrices, normal form theorems and Nekroroshev-type proofs.

In this work, we present a comparatively concise and to our knowledge, novel approach compared to the aforementioned methods, for the proof of existence of breathers for a class of lattices with nonlinear interaction between its constituents based on Schauder's fixed point theorem [19]. We consider systems with even potentials whose second derivative is bounded by a power-law growth. A prominent example is the $\beta$-Fermi-Pasta-Ulam (FPU) system. Other important examples include the periodic and the bounded soft potential. We use methods from [20]. The approach we present herein, establishes, apart from existence, directly a requested degree of spatial localisation 21], [22], [23], That is, the breathers are supposed to be exponentially localised as it is the case in physically significant applications (see 24], 25] and references therein).

The presentation of the paper is as follows. In section we discuss the model systems and main assumptions on the interaction potential. Section III contains the description and properties of the functional setting essentially involving weighted sequence spaces and the proof of the main result. We think that the whole approach can be of general interest: Firstly, it implements a Fourier series representation of the solutions to establish compactness embedding properties of the considered functional spaces; these can be useful generically for the analysis of lattice dynamical systems (existence and dynamics, see [26],[27] and references therein). Secondly, facilitating the Schauder's fixed point approach in this set-up gives rise to physically relevant and consistent restrictions on the characteristics of the breather solutions, namely, their frequency (natural non-resonant conditions) and their magnitude measured in the suitable weighted norm ("energy" upper-bounds); importantly, the latter exhibits dependence on the localisation degree (as dictated by the relevant weighted norm) and the growth of the nonlinearity for the general class of potentials considered herein (see Theorem III.2 and Remark III.1). Finally, section IV summarizes the main findings and give an outlook to extensions for future studies.

## II. DESCRIPTION OF THE SYSTEM

The system of interacting particles on infinite lattices is given by the following set of coupled equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} q_{n}}{d t^{2}}=-V^{\prime}\left(q_{n}-q_{n-1}\right)+V^{\prime}\left(q_{n+1}-q_{n}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{n}$ is the displacement of a particle from its equilibrium position. The function $V(x)$ is the interaction potential between nearest neighbours and the prime' stands for the derivative with respect to the argument $x$. This system has a Hamiltonian structure related to the energy

$$
H=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{1}{2} p_{n}^{2}+V\left(q_{n}-q_{n-1}\right)\right)
$$

and it is time-reversible with respect to the involution $p \mapsto-p$. In addition to the energy $H=E$, the total momentum $P=\sum_{n} p_{n}$ is conserved too.

Discrete breathers can be characterised as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{n}(t+T) & =q_{n}(t), \quad p_{n}(t+T)=p_{n}(t), n \in \mathbb{Z}  \tag{2.2}\\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \pm \infty} q_{n} & =c_{ \pm}, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \pm \infty} p_{n}=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and the difference $c_{+}-c_{-}$is the lattice deformation induced by the breather. It is not a loss of generality to set $c_{-}=0$. Furthermore, $q_{n}(t)$ has zero time average, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} q_{n}(t) d t=0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The existence theorem will be proved under the following assumption:

- A: The interaction potential $V(x)$ has a global minimum at $x=0$ and is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+W(x) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $W: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ being even, $W(x)=W(-x)$, and $W(0)=W^{\prime}(0)=0$. Further, we assume that $W$ is non-negative and at least twice continuously differentiable and satisfies for some constant $\bar{K}>0$ and $\alpha \geq 0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|W^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \leq \bar{K}|x|^{\alpha}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the parity symmetry of the interaction potential $V$ it can readily be seen that in our case $c_{+}=0$.
Examples of interaction potentials which satisfy condition $\mathbf{A}$ are given by the $\beta$-FPU system with $W(x)=\beta x^{4} / 4$, the periodic potential $W(x)=1-\cos x$ [24] and the soft bounded potential $W(x)=1-\operatorname{sech}^{2} x$, [25], 28], 29].

The solutions of the system obtained when linearising equations (2.1) around the equilibrium $q_{n}=0$, are superpositions of plane wave solutions (phonons)

$$
q_{n}(t)=\exp (i(k n-\omega t))
$$

with frequencies

$$
\omega^{2}(k)=4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right), \quad k \in[-\pi, \pi] .
$$

These (extended) states disperse. Therefore, the frequency $\Omega$ of a localised time-periodic solution must satisfy the non-resonance condition $\Omega \neq|\omega(k)| / m$ for any integer $m \geq 1$. This requires $\Omega^{2}>4$ as a necessary condition for the existence of localised time-periodic solutions of system (2.1).

For the forthcoming analysis, it is convenient to pass to relative variables defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{n}=q_{n}-q_{n-1},  \tag{2.7}\\
& p_{n}=y_{n}-y_{n+1}, \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where the original variables satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|p_{n}\right|<\infty, \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|q_{n}-q_{n-1}\right|<\infty \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|y_{n}-y_{n+1}\right|<\infty, \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|x_{n}\right|<\infty \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.3), it follows that $\lim _{n \rightarrow-\infty} y_{n}=0$ so that the change of variables (2.7)-(2.8) is invertible. That is, for given $\left\{\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, one gets the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}=y_{n}-y_{n+1}, \quad q_{n}=\sum_{l=-\infty}^{n} x_{l} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transformation (2.7)-(2.8) is symplectic, because

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n} d p_{n} \wedge d q_{n}=\sum_{n}\left(d y_{n} \wedge d q_{n-1}+d y_{n} \wedge d x_{n}-d y_{n+1} \wedge d q_{n}\right)=\sum_{n} d y_{n} \wedge d x_{n} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(y_{n}-y_{n+1}\right)^{2}+V\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

one derives the canonical equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}_{n}=2 y_{n}-y_{n+1}-y_{n-1}, \quad \dot{y}_{n}=-V^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}_{n}=V^{\prime}\left(x_{n+1}\right)+V^{\prime}\left(x_{n-1}\right)-2 V^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (2.12) the symbol $\wedge$ denotes the standard wedge product. The relations (2.2)-(2.4), satisfied by breather solutions of the original system (2.1), when passing to the new variables, read as

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n}(t+T) & =x_{n}(t), y_{n}(t+T)=y_{n}(t), n \in \mathbb{Z} \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \pm \infty} x_{n} & =0, \lim _{n \rightarrow \pm \infty} y_{n}=0 \\
\int_{0}^{T} x_{n}(t) d t & =0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

## III. EXISTENCE OF EXPONENTIALLY LOCALISED BREATHERS

In the following we prove the existence of localised periodic solutions of system (2.1) on the infinite one-dimensional lattice. To this end, some appropriate function spaces are introduced on which the original problem is presented as a fixed point problem for a corresponding operator. Utilising Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem, we establish the existence of exponentially localised solutions, extending the approach followed in [20], to a suitable functional spacessetup.

To establish the required spatial localisation of the solutions, we introduce suitable weighted function spaces. First we consider the exponentially weighted Hilbert space of square-summable sequences, $l_{w}^{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{w}^{2}=\left\{u_{n} \in \mathbb{R}:\left.\left|u \|_{l_{w}^{2}}^{2}:=\sum_{n} w_{n}\right| u_{n}\right|^{2}\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $w_{n}=\exp (\lambda|n|)$ and $\lambda \geq 0$. Then, we denote by

$$
X_{0}=\left\{u \in L_{p e r}^{2}\left([0, T] ; l_{w}^{2}\right): \int_{0}^{T} u_{n}(t) d t=0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

the space of $T$-periodic square integrable functions in time with zero time average, with values in $l_{w}^{2}$. Evidently, $X_{0}$ is a closed convex subspace of $L_{p e r}^{2}\left([0, T] ; l_{w}^{2}\right)$. We also consider the Sobolev space

$$
X_{2}=\left\{u \in H_{p e r}^{2}\left([0, T] ; l_{w}^{2}\right)\right\}
$$

containing $T$-periodic functions of time assuming values in $l_{w}^{2}$ which, together with their weak derivatives up to second order are in $X_{0}$. The above spaces are endowed with the following norms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{X_{0}}^{2} & =\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T}\|u(t)\|_{l_{w}^{2}}^{2} d t \\
\|u\|_{X_{2}}^{2} & =\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\|u(t)\|_{l_{w}^{2}}^{2}+\|D u(t)\|_{l_{w}{ }^{2}}^{2}+\left\|D^{2} u(t)\right\|_{l_{w}^{2}}^{2}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

For an element $u \in X_{2}$, we may consider the Fourier-series expansion of $u_{n}(t)$ with respect to time $t$ and space variable $n$, determined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{n}(t)=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}} \hat{u}_{n, m} \exp (i \Omega m t), t \in[0, T], \quad \hat{u}_{n, m}=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} u_{n}(t) \exp (-i \Omega m t) d t  \tag{3.2}\\
& \hat{u}_{n, m}=\overline{\hat{u}}_{n,-m} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{n}(t) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \tilde{u}_{k}(t) \exp (i k n) d k, \quad \tilde{u}_{k}(t)=\sum_{n} u_{n}(t) \exp (-i k n)  \tag{3.4}\\
\tilde{u}_{k} & =\overline{\tilde{u}}_{-k} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, using (3.2)-(3.5), we may have the representation of $u$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}(t)=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \hat{\tilde{u}}_{k, m} \exp (i k n) d k \exp (i \Omega m t) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following compactness lemma will be particularly useful for our approach.
Lemma III. $1 X_{2}$ is compactly embedded in $X_{0}$ (denoted as $X_{2} \Subset X_{0}$ ).
Proof: The continuous embedding $X_{2} \subseteq X_{0}$ is clear due to the inequality

$$
\|u\|_{X_{0}} \leq\|u\|_{X_{2}}
$$

Now, in order to show the compactness of the embedding, let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{2}$ be a bounded sequence, i.e. $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{X_{2}} \leq$ $M<\infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there exists a subsequence (not relabeled) $u_{n} \rightarrow u \in X_{2}$ weakly. The continuous embedding $X_{2} \subseteq X_{0}$ implies the weak convergence $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X_{0}$. For the strong convergence $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $X_{0}$, considering the difference $\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{X_{0}}^{2}$, we have the estimate:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{X_{0}}^{2} & =\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|u_{n}(t)-u(t)\right\|_{l_{w}^{2}}^{2} d t \\
& =\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} w_{k}\left|u_{n}^{k}(t)-u^{k}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
& =\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{|k| \leq K} w_{k}\left|u_{n}^{k}(t)-u^{k}(t)\right|^{2} d t+\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{|k|>K} w_{k}\left|u_{n}^{k}(t)-u^{k}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
& =\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{|k| \leq K} w_{k}\left|u_{n}^{k}(t)-u^{k}(t)\right|^{2} d t+\sum_{|k|>K} w_{k} \sum_{m \neq 0}\left|\hat{u}_{n, m}^{k}-\hat{u}_{m}^{k}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{|k| \leq K} w_{k}\left|u_{n}^{k}(t)-u^{k}(t)\right|^{2} d t+\sum_{|k|>K} w_{k} \sum_{m \neq 0}\left|\hat{u}_{n, m}^{k}\right|^{2}+\sum_{|k|>K} w_{k} \sum_{m \neq 0}\left|\hat{u}_{m}^{k}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{|k| \leq K} w_{k}\left|u_{n}^{k}(t)-u^{k}(t)\right|^{2} d t+\sum_{|k|>K} w_{k}\left|u_{n}^{k}\right|^{2} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{m^{4}}+\sum_{|k|>K} w_{k}\left|u^{k}\right|^{2} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{1}{m^{4}} \\
& =\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{|k| \leq K} w_{k}\left|u_{n}^{k}(t)-u^{k}(t)\right|^{2} d t+2 \zeta(4)\left(\sum_{|k|>K} w_{k}\left|u_{n}^{k}\right|^{2}+\sum_{|k|>K} w_{k}\left|u^{k}\right|^{2}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\zeta(s)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-s}$ is the Riemann zeta function, $\left(u_{n}^{k}\right)_{k \in I} \in l_{w}^{2},\left(u^{k}\right)_{k \in I} \in l_{w}^{2}, I=(-K, \ldots, 0, \ldots K)$. We also used that since $u \in H_{p e r}^{2}[0, T] \Rightarrow\left|\hat{u}_{m}\right| \leq C /|m|^{2}$. Then for sufficiently large $K$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \zeta(4)\left(\sum_{|k|>K} w_{k}\left|v_{n}^{k}\right|^{2}+\sum_{|k|>K} w_{k}\left|v^{k}\right|^{2}\right) \leq \frac{2 \epsilon}{3} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exploiting that weak and strong convergence coincide in finite-dimensional spaces, by choosing $n$ sufficiently large, we get for the first term on the right-hand side of (3.7), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{|k| \leq K} w_{k}\left|u_{n}^{k}(t)-u^{k}(t)\right|^{2} d t \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.8) and (3.9) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{X_{0}}^{2} \leq \epsilon \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the proof is finished.
Let us also recall the two versions of the Schauder's fixed point Theorem [19].
Theorem III. 1 1. (First version): Let $G$ be a closed bounded convex subset of a Banach space $X$. Assume that $f: G \mapsto G$ is compact. Then, $f$ has at least one fixed point in $G$.
2. (Second version): Let $G$ be a closed convex subset of a Banach space $X$ and $f$ a continuous map of $G$ into a compact subset of $G$. Then, $f$ has at least one fixed point.

With the preparations above, we may proceed to the statement and proof of the main result.
Theorem III. 2 Let condition A hold and suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{2}>4 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exist nonzero sequences $x \equiv\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in H^{2}\left([0, T] ; l^{2}\right)$ with zero time average $\int_{0}^{T} x_{n}(t) d t=0$ and $\|x\|_{X_{0}} \leq$ $R$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \leq\left(\frac{\Omega^{2}-4}{\bar{K}\left(1+\Omega^{2}\right) \sqrt{2(1+\cosh (\lambda))}}\right)^{1 / \alpha} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

These solutions are exponentially localised time-periodic solutions of system (2.15) with period $T=\frac{2 \pi}{\Omega}$.
Proof: We shall provide two alternatives of the proof by implementing the two versions of the Schauder's fixed point Theorem III.1. For this purpose, it is convenient to rewrite Eq. (2.15) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}_{n}-\left[x_{n+1}-2 x_{n}+x_{n-1}\right]=W^{\prime}\left(x_{n+1}\right)+W^{\prime}\left(x_{n-1}\right)-2 W^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, only the right-hand side of (3.13) features terms nonlinear in $x$. We shall express (3.13) as a fixed point equation in $x$.
$I .1^{\text {st }}$ version of the proof: We relate the left-hand side of (3.13) to the linear mapping: $M: X_{2} \rightarrow X_{0}$ :

$$
M\left(u_{n}\right)=\ddot{u}_{n}-\left[u_{n+1}-2 u_{n}+u_{n-1}\right] .
$$

Then, applying the operator $M$ to the Fourier elements $\exp (i k n) \exp (i \Omega m t)$ in the representation (3.6), we get that

$$
M \exp (i k n) \exp (i \Omega m t)=\nu_{m}(k) \exp (i k n) \exp (i \Omega m t)
$$

where

$$
\nu_{m}(k)=-\Omega^{2} m^{2}+4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right), m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}, k \in[0,2 \pi]
$$

As by assumption (3.11) $\Omega^{2}>4$, it is guaranteed that $\nu_{m}(k) \neq 0$, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$ and for all $k \in[0,2 \pi]$, the mapping $M$ possesses an inverse $M^{-1}$, obeying $M^{-1} \exp (i(\Omega m t+k n))=\left(1 / \nu_{m}(k)\right) \exp (i(\Omega m t+k n))$. For the norm
of the linear operator $M^{-1}: X_{0} \rightarrow X_{2}$ one derives the upper bound:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|M^{-1}\right\|_{X_{0}, X_{2}}^{2} & =\sup _{\|u\|_{X_{0}}=1}\left\|M^{-1} u\right\|_{X_{2}}^{2} \\
& =\sup _{\|u\|_{X_{0}}=1} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T}\left[\left\|M^{-1} u(t)\right\|_{l_{w}^{2}}^{2}+\left\|D M^{-1} u(t)\right\|_{l_{w}^{2}}^{2}+\left\|D^{2} M^{-1} u(t)\right\|_{l_{w}^{2}}^{2}\right] d t \\
& =\sup _{\|u\|_{X_{0}}=1} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} w_{n}\left(\left|\sum_{m^{\prime}} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\hat{\tilde{u}}_{k, m}}{\nu_{m}(k)} \exp (i k n) \exp (i \Omega m t) d k\right|^{2}\right. \\
& +\left|\sum_{m^{\prime}} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{i \Omega m \hat{\tilde{u}}_{k, m}}{\nu_{m}(k)} \exp (i k n) \exp (i \Omega m t) d k\right|^{2} \\
& \left.+\left|\sum_{m^{\prime}} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{(i \Omega m)^{2} \hat{\tilde{u}}_{k, m}}{\nu_{m}(k)} \exp (i k n) \exp (i \Omega m t) d k\right|^{2}\right) d t \\
& \leq \sup _{m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}} \sup _{k \in[0,2 \pi]} \frac{1+(\Omega m)^{2}+(\Omega m)^{4}}{\left|\nu_{m}(k)\right|^{2}} \\
& \cdot \sup _{\|u\|_{x_{0}}=1} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} w_{n}\left|\sum_{m^{\prime}} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \hat{\tilde{u}}_{k, m} \exp (i k n) \exp (i \Omega m t) d k\right|^{2} d t \\
& \leq \frac{1+\Omega^{2}+\Omega^{4}}{\left(\Omega^{2}-4\right)^{2}} \sup _{\|u\|_{X_{0}}=1}\|u\|_{X_{0}}^{2}=\frac{1+\Omega^{2}+\Omega^{4}}{\left(\Omega^{2}-4\right)^{2}} \leq \frac{\left(1+\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(\Omega^{2}-4\right)^{2}}<\infty \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

verifying the boundedness of $M^{-1}$ and we used the notation $\sum_{l^{\prime}}=\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}}$.
In order to deal with the nonlinear terms, we attribute to the right-hand side of (3.13) the nonlinear operator $N: X_{0} \rightarrow X_{0}$, as

$$
(N(u))_{n}=W^{\prime}\left(u_{n+1}\right)+W^{\prime}\left(u_{n-1}\right)-2 W^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)
$$

To establish that the operator $N$ is continuous on $X_{0}$, we prove that $N$ is Frechet differentiable at any $u$, with bounded derivative. We observe that

$$
N^{\prime}(u): h \in X_{0} \mapsto N^{\prime}(u)[h]=W^{\prime \prime}(u) h \in X_{0}
$$

where $\left(W^{\prime \prime}(u)\right)_{n}=W^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{n+1}\right)+W^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{n-1}\right)-2 W^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{n}\right)$. Therefore, we derive the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|N^{\prime}(u)[h]\right\|_{X_{0}}^{2} & =\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} w_{n}\left|\left(W^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{n+1}(t)\right)+W^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{n-1}(t)\right)-2 W^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{n}(t)\right)\right) h_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
& \leq \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} w_{n} \bar{K}^{2}\left(\left|u_{n+1}(t)\right|^{2 \alpha}+\left|u_{n-1}(t)\right|^{2 \alpha}+2\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2 \alpha}\right)\left|h_{n}(t)\right|^{2} d t \\
& \leq 4 \bar{K}^{2} \sup _{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \max _{t \in[0, T]}\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2 \alpha}\|h\|_{X_{0}}^{2}=M^{2}\|h\|_{X_{0}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M^{2}=4 \bar{K}^{2} \sup _{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \max _{t \in[0, T]}\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2 \alpha}$. That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|N^{\prime}(u)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(X_{0}, X_{0}\right)} \leq M \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

implying the (uniform) boundedness of the differential. Let us now use as the closed convex subset $Y_{0}$ of $X_{0}$, its closed ball centered at 0 of radius $R$,

$$
Y_{0}=\left\{u \in X_{0} \quad: \quad\|u\|_{X_{0}} \leq R\right\}
$$

For the range of $N$ on $Y_{0}$, we get the bound

$$
\begin{align*}
\|N(u)\|_{X_{0}}^{2} & =\int_{0}^{T} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} w_{n}\left|W^{\prime}\left(u_{n+1}(t)\right)+W^{\prime}\left(u_{n-1}(t)\right)-2 W^{\prime}\left(u_{n}(t)\right)\right|^{2} d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} w_{n}\left(\left|W^{\prime}\left(u_{n+1}(t)\right)\right|^{2}+\left|W^{\prime}\left(u_{n-1}(t)\right)\right|^{2}+2\left|W^{\prime}\left(u_{n}(t)\right)\right|^{2}\right) d t \\
& \leq \bar{K}^{2} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(\frac{w_{n}}{w_{n+1}} w_{n+1}\left|u_{n+1}(t)\right|^{2(\alpha+1)}+\frac{w_{n}}{w_{n-1}} w_{n-1}\left|u_{n-1}(t)\right|^{2(\alpha+1)}+2 w_{n}\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{2(\alpha+1)}\right) d t \\
& \leq 2 \bar{K}^{2}(1+\cosh (\lambda))\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_{0}}^{2(\alpha+1)} \leq 2 \bar{K}^{2}(1+\cosh (\lambda))\|u\|_{X_{0}}^{2(\alpha+1)} \\
& \leq 2 \bar{K}^{2}(1+\cosh (\lambda)) R^{2(\alpha+1)}, \quad \forall u \in Y_{0} \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{X}_{0}=\left\{u \in L_{p e r}^{2}\left([0, T] ; l_{w}^{2(\alpha+1)}\right): \int_{0}^{T} u_{n}(t) d t=0, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ and we used $X_{0} \subset \tilde{X}_{0}$.
In order to apply Theorem III.1 the final step is to express the problem (3.13) as a fixed point equation in terms of a mapping $Y_{0} \rightarrow Y_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=M^{-1} \circ N(x) \equiv S(x) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $S$ is continuous on $X_{0}$, as the relations (3.14) and (3.15) establish that its constituents $M^{-1}$ and $N$ are continuous. Next, we show that $S\left(Y_{0}\right) \subseteq Y_{0}$. Using (3.14) and (3.16), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|S(x)\|_{X_{0}} & =\left\|M^{-1}(N(x))\right\|_{X^{0}} \leq\left\|M^{-1}\right\|_{X_{0}, X_{2}} \cdot\|N(x)\|_{X_{0}} \\
& \leq \sqrt{2(1+\cosh (\lambda)} \bar{K} \frac{1+\Omega^{2}}{\Omega^{2}-4} R^{\alpha+1} \leq R, \quad \forall x \in Y^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

assuring by assumption (3.12), that indeed

$$
S\left(Y_{0}\right) \subseteq Y_{0}
$$

As $M^{-1}$ maps $X_{0}$ to $X_{2} \Subset X_{0}$, one has $S\left(Y_{0}\right) \subseteq Y_{0} \cap X_{2}$. Therefore the map $S=M^{-1} \circ N$, viewed as a map $S: Y_{0} \subseteq X_{0} \mapsto Y_{0} \subseteq X_{0}$, is compact. The first version of Schauder's fixed point theorem implies then that the fixed point equation $x=S(x)$ has at least one solution.
$I I .2^{\text {nd }}$ version of the proof: For the application of the second version of Schauder's fixed point theorem, we verify that the range of $S$ is contained in a compact subset of $Y_{0}$. Representing $N(u) \in Y_{0}$ in terms of its spatial and temporal Fourier-transforms as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(N(u))_{n}(t)=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \hat{\tilde{N}}_{k, m} \exp (i k n) d k \exp (i \Omega m t) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Fourier coefficients of $M^{-1}(N(u))$ fulfill for all $u \in Y_{0}$, for all $k \in[0,2 \pi]$, and for all $m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{\hat{\tilde{N}}_{k, m}}{-\Omega^{2} m^{2}+4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\hat{\tilde{N}}_{k, m}\right|^{2} d k}{m^{4}\left(\Omega^{2}-4\right)^{2}} \\
= & \frac{\|N(u)\|_{X_{0,0}}^{2}}{m^{4}\left(\Omega^{2}-4\right)^{2}} \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X_{0,0}$ is determined by

$$
X_{0,0}=\left\{u \in L_{p e r}^{2}\left([0, T] ; l^{2}\right) \mid \int_{0}^{T} u_{n}(t) d t=0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

Since $l_{w}^{2} \subset l^{2}$ and the inequality $\|u\|_{l^{2}} \leq\|u\|_{l_{w}^{2}}$ holds, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\hat{\tilde{N}}_{k, m}}{-\Omega^{2} m^{2}+4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{2 \bar{K}^{2}(1+\cosh (\lambda)) R^{2(\alpha+1)}}{m^{4}\left(\Omega^{2}-4\right)^{2}} \leq\left(\frac{R}{m^{2}\left(1+\Omega^{2}\right)}\right)^{2} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we conclude that $S$ maps $Y_{0}$ into the subset

$$
Y_{0, c}=\left\{u=\{u\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in Y_{0}, \left.u_{n}(t)=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \hat{\tilde{u}}_{k, m} \exp (i k n) d k \exp (i \Omega m t)| | \hat{\tilde{u}}_{k, m} \right\rvert\, \leq \frac{R}{m^{2}\left(1+\Omega^{2}\right)}\right\}
$$

which is compact in $Y_{0}$. That is, the operator $S$ maps closed convex subsets $Y_{0} \subset X_{0} \subset L_{p e r}^{2}\left((0, T) ; l^{2}\right)$ into compact subsets $Y_{0, c}$ of $Y_{0}$. The second version of Schauder's fixed point theorem implies then that the fixed point equation $u=S(u)$ has at least one solution.

We conclude the proof with the following details: According to the (invertible) transformation (2.7)-(2.8), breather solutions to system (2.15) represent breather solutions to the original system (2.1), satisfying the relations (2.2)-(2.3).

Moreover, by the hypothesis (3.11) the values of the frequency of oscillations $\Omega$ lie above the upper edge of the continuous (phonon) spectrum determined by 2. Thus the corresponding solutions have to be anharmonic which necessitates nonzero nonlinearity. Only the latter facilitates amplitude-depending tuning of the frequency of oscillations so that the breather frequency $\Omega$ lies outside of the phonon spectrum. Thus, it must hold that $\|N(u)\|_{X_{0}} \not \equiv 0$, which, by condition $\mathbf{A}$, is satisfied if and only if $u \not \equiv 0$. That is, the fixed point equation (3.17) possesses a nonzero solution and the proof is finished.

Remark III. 1 1. Since the obtained time-periodic $H^{2}$ fixed-point-solutions are by Sobolev embeddings $C^{1}$ in time and since the operator $x \mapsto W^{\prime}(x)$ maps $C^{1}$ into itself, one concludes from Eq. (3.13) that $\ddot{x} \in C^{1}$ are classical solutions.
2. The localised solutions on the infinite lattice $\mathbb{Z}$ are represented by (infinite) square-summable sequences, i.e. exponential decay of the solutions for $|n| \rightarrow \infty$ takes place in the sense of the exponentially weighted $l^{2}$ norm. Notably, for weight function $w_{n} \equiv 1$, i.e. $\lambda=0$, our proof establishes the existence of general localised patterns (e.g. multi-site breathers).
3. From (3.12) we infer that the amplitude of the breathers go to zero as their frequency approaches the upper edge of the phonn band, i.e. $\Omega \rightarrow 2^{+}$.

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have proved the existence of non-trivial, exponentially localised breather solutions for general systems of nonlinearly coupled particles with even interaction potentials, on the infinite lattice $\mathbb{Z}$. The existence problem has been reformulated as a fixed point equation (involving the relevant linear and nonlinear operators associated to the system), on weighted sequence spaces. This fixed point problem has been solved via the Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem. Future extensions may consider systems with other physically important classes of interaction potentials, Discrete Nonlinear Schrödinger models and different degrees of localisation such as algebraic, which can be relevant for the existence of discrete rational solutions [30], 31]. Such investigations are in progress and will be documented elsewhere.
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