arXiv:2105.01020v2 [math.RT] 5 Aug 2021

August 5, 2021

A BI-HAMILTONIAN NATURE OF THE GAUDIN ALGEBRAS

OKSANA YAKIMOVA

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a Lie algebra over a field $\Bbbk$ and $p, \tilde{p} \in \Bbbk[t]$ two different normalised polynomials of degree $n \geqslant 2$. As vector spaces both quotient Lie algebras $\mathfrak{q}[t]/(p)$ and $\mathfrak{q}[t]/(\tilde{p})$ can be identified with $\mathbb{W} = \mathfrak{q}{\cdot}1 \oplus \mathfrak{q}\bar{t} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathfrak{q}\bar{t}^{n-1}$. If $\deg(p - \tilde{p}) \leqslant 1$, then the Lie brackets $[\ ,\ ]_p$, $[\ ,\ ]_{\tilde{p}}$ induced on $\mathbb{W}$ by p and $\tilde{p}$, respectively, are compatible. Making use of the Lenard–Magri scheme, we construct a subalgebra $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}(p, \tilde{p}) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})^{\mathfrak{q}\cdot 1}$ such that $\{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Z}\}_p = \{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Z}\}_{\tilde{p}} = 0$. If $\operatorname{tr.deg} \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})^{\mathfrak{q}} = \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ has the *codim*–2 property, then $\operatorname{tr.deg} \mathcal{Z}$ takes the maximal possible value, which is $\frac{n-1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{q} + \frac{n+1}{2} \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}$. If $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple, then $\mathcal{Z}$ contains the Hamiltonians of a suitably chosen Gaudin model. Furthermore, if p and $\tilde{p}$ do not have common roots, then there is a Gaudin subalgebra $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}^{\oplus n})$ such that $\mathcal{Z} = \operatorname{gr}(\mathcal{C})$, up to a certain identification. In a non-reductive case, we obtain a completely integrable generalisation of Gaudin models.

For a wide class of Lie algebras, which extends the reductive setting, $\mathcal{Z}(p, p + t)$ coincides with the image of the Poisson-commutative algebra $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}, t) = \mathcal{S}(t\mathfrak{q}[t])^{\mathfrak{q}[t^{-1}]}$ under the quotient map $\psi_p \colon \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t]) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$, providing $p(0) \neq 0$.

INTRODUCTION

The ground field $\Bbbk$ is algebraically closed and $\operatorname{char} \Bbbk = 0$. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a Lie algebra defined over $\Bbbk$. Most of the time we assume that $\dim \mathfrak{q} < \infty$. The symmetric algebra $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ of $\mathfrak{q}$ carries the standard Poisson structure. A subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ is said to be *Poisson-commutative* if $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}\} = 0$. Poisson-commutative subalgebras attract a great deal of attention, because of their relationship to integrable systems and, more recently, to geometric representation theory. There are several prominent constructions of Poisson-commutative subalgebras. In this paper, we use two of them.

The first approach is to replace $\mathfrak{q}$ with something larger, for instance, with the current algebra $\mathfrak{q}[t]$. The symmetric algebra $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t])$ contains a large Poisson-commutative subalgebra $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}, t)$, see Section 4. Then, by taking an appropriate quotient, one obtains a Poisson-commutative subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ or of $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}^{\oplus n})$.

The second approach employs *compatible* Poisson brackets on $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ and is widely known as the *Lenard–Magri scheme*. An advantage of this method is a well-developed geometric machinery, see e.g. [GZ, PY20′]. A really nice situation happens if both approaches produce one and the same result.

This work is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) — project number 454900253.

We are interested in $\mathfrak{q}[t]/(p)$, where $p \in \Bbbk[t]$ is a normalised polynomial of degree $n \geqslant 2$. As a vector space $\mathfrak{q}[t]/(p)$ can be identified with $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{W}(\mathfrak{q}, n) = \mathfrak{q}{\cdot}1 \oplus \mathfrak{q}\bar{t} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathfrak{q}\bar{t}^{n-1}$. The Lie structure on it depends on p. We let $[\ ,\ ]_p$ stand for the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{q}[t]/(p)$. If p has pairwise distinct roots, then $(\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_p) \cong \mathfrak{q}^{\oplus n}$. In an opposite case, where $p = t^n$, $\mathfrak{q}[t]/(t^n)$ is a (generalised) *Takiff algebra* modelled on $\mathfrak{q}$. These Lie algebras have been studied since 1971, first in case $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{g}$ is reductive [Ta71, RT, G95, W11, GM] and then for a more general class of $\mathfrak{q}$ [AP, PY20]. For any p, $(\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_p)$ is a direct sum of several Takiff algebras, which may include copies of $\mathfrak{q}$.

Our first observation is that the brackets $[\ ,\ ]_p$ and $[\ ,\ ]_{\tilde{p}}$ on $\mathbb{W}$ are compatible if $p, \tilde{p} \in \Bbbk[t]$ are of degree n and $\deg(p - \tilde{p}) \leqslant 1$. Here we assume that $p \neq \tilde{p}$. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}(p, \tilde{p}) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$ be the Poisson-commutative subalgebra constructed according to the Lenard–Magri scheme. Then $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})^{\mathfrak{q}\cdot 1}$, see Section 3.1. Thereby $\operatorname{tr.deg} \mathcal{Z}$ is bounded by a certain number $\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q}, n)$ related to $\mathfrak{q}$ and n (3·1).

For an arbitrary $\mathfrak{q}$, one cannot say much about $\mathcal{Z}$. We need to select a nice class of Lie algebras. In this selection, the *symmetric invariants* $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})^{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})$ of $\mathfrak{q}$ and properties of $\mathfrak{q}^*$ play a major rôle. The index of $\mathfrak{q}$ is defined by $\operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q} = \min_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{q}^*} \dim \mathfrak{q}_\gamma$, where $\mathfrak{q}_\gamma$ is the stabiliser of γ. Then

$$\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathsf{sing}} = \{\gamma \in \mathfrak{q}^* \mid \dim \mathfrak{q}_\gamma > \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}\}.$$

Suppose that $\operatorname{tr.deg} \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})^{\mathfrak{q}} = \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}$ and $\dim \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathsf{sing}} \leqslant \dim \mathfrak{q} - 2$, then $\operatorname{tr.deg} \mathcal{Z} = \boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q}, n)$, see Theorem 3.7. The symmetric invariants $\mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{\tilde{p}}}$ of $(\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_{\tilde{\tilde{p}}})$ with $\tilde{\tilde{p}} = ap + (1-a)\tilde{p}$ and $a \in \Bbbk$ are building blocks for $\mathcal{Z}$. In order to describe generators of $\mathcal{Z}$, one needs to understand algebras $\mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{\tilde{p}}}$.

If $\mathfrak{g}\langle k\rangle \cong \mathfrak{g}[t]/(t^k)$ is a Takiff algebra modeld on a reductive $\mathfrak{g}$, then $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}\langle k\rangle)$ is a polynomial ring with $k{\cdot}\operatorname{rk}\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{ind}\mathfrak{g}\langle k\rangle$ generators [Ta71, RT]. A similar statement holds for certain non-reductive $\mathfrak{q}$ [AP, PY20]. Our main results for these (very suitable) Lie algebras are listed below.

Suppose that $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})^{\mathfrak{q}} = \Bbbk[F_1, \ldots, F_m]$, where F_i are homogeneous algebraically independent polynomials with $\deg F_i = d_i$, $m = \operatorname{ind}\mathfrak{q}$, and $\sum_{i=1}^m d_i = \boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q}) = \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{q} + \operatorname{ind}\mathfrak{q})$.

- ◇ If $\dim \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathsf{sing}} \leqslant \dim \mathfrak{q} - 2$, then $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}(p, \tilde{p})$ is a polynomial ring with $\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q}, n)$ generators. Furthermore, $\mathcal{Z}$ has a set of algebraically independent generators $\{F_{i,u}\}$ such that each $F_{i,u}$ is a *polarisation* of F_i, cf. Theorem 6.2.
- ◇ If $\dim \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathsf{sing}} \leqslant \dim \mathfrak{q} - 3$, then $\mathcal{Z}$ is a maximal (w.r.t. inclusion) Poisson-commutative subalgebra of $(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W}), \{\ ,\ \}_p)^{\mathfrak{q}\cdot 1}$, see Theorem 6.4.

The assumptions on $\mathfrak{q}$ in [PY20] are slightly weaker than in [AP]. The requirement $\dim \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathsf{sing}} \leqslant \dim \mathfrak{q} - 2$, imposed in [AP], is replaced by a similar condition on the differentials dF_i with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, see Theorem 2.9 for details. Also the sum $\sum_{i=1}^m d_i$ is allowed to be smaller than or equal to $\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q})$. In Sections 3 and 4 of [PY20], one can find examples

of Lie algebras that satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.9, but do not have the *codim*–2 property.

We prove that the assumptions of [PY20, Thm 0.1] imply the identity $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},t)) = \mathcal{Z}(p,p+t)$ for the quotient map $\psi_p\colon \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t]) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$ if $p(0)\neq 0$, see Theorem 6.1. Changing the variable $t\mapsto \varepsilon t+1$, we obtain a new Poisson-commutative subalgebra $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},\varepsilon t+1)$ of $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t])$ (here $\varepsilon\in\Bbbk$). Set $\mathcal{Zv} := \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},\varepsilon t+1)$. Then $\{\mathcal{Zv},\mathcal{Zv}\}=0$. If $\mathfrak{q}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.9, then $\psi_p(\mathcal{Zv}) = \mathcal{Z}(p,p+1)$ for any p, see Theorem 6.5.

Suppose now that $\mathfrak{q}=\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite-dimensional simple (non-Abelian) Lie algebra. Note that the reductive Lie algebras satisfy all assumptions of [AP, PY20] and we have also $\dim \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathsf{sing}} = \dim\mathfrak{g}-3$, cf. [PY20′, Remark 1.1]. Suppose further that p has pairwise distinct nonzero roots $a_1,\dots,a_n$. Then $\mathfrak{g}[t]/(p)\cong\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{g}^{\oplus n}$ and $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}},t))\subset\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{h})$ identifies with the associated graded algebra $\mathrm{gr}(\mathcal{C}(\vec{z}))$ of a well-studied *Gaudin algebra* $\mathcal{C}(\vec{z})\subset\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$. Gaudin algebras were introduced in [FFR]. The purpose of that paper was to present a new method of diagonalisation of Gaudin Hamiltonians. Each Gaudin algebra depends on a vector $\vec{z}=(z_1,\dots,z_n)\in(\Bbbk^\times)^n$. It is commutative and contains the quadratic Gaudin Hamiltonians associated with $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\vec{z}$, see Section 3.2. With its help one shows quantum complete integrability of the corresponding Gaudin model. Due to some change of notation, $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}},t))=\mathcal{Z}(p,p+t)$ identifies with $\mathrm{gr}(\mathcal{C}(\vec{z}))$ for $\vec{z}=(a_1^{-1},\dots,a_n^{-1})$, see Proposition 5.4. Using certain limit constructions, we can conclude that $\mathcal{Z}(p,p+1)=\mathrm{gr}(\mathcal{C}(\vec{a}))$, up to the same identification, for $\vec{a}=(a_1,\dots,a_n)$, see Section 6.1.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{q}$ is a quadratic Lie algebra. Then it is possible to define a Gaudin model related to $\mathfrak{q}^{\oplus n}$ with practically the same formulas as in the reductive case. In Section 4.2, we express these Gaudin models in terms of $(\mathbb{W},[\ ,\ ]_p)$ and show that they are completely integrable if $\mathrm{tr.deg}\,\mathcal{Z}(p,p+t)=\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q},n)$. Some types of Lie algebras, where this applies, are presented in Example 4.17. The setting makes sense also if p has iterated roots. In this way, we obtain new limits of Gaudin models, where the underlying Lie algebra is no longer $\mathfrak{q}^{\oplus n}$, but a direct sum of various Takiff algebras $\mathfrak{q}\langle k\rangle$.

1. Preliminaries on Lie algebras

Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a Lie algebra over $\Bbbk$. The symmetric algebra of $\mathfrak{q}$ over $\Bbbk$ is $\mathbb{N}_0$-graded, i.e., $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})=\bigoplus_{i\geqslant 0}\mathcal{S}^i(\mathfrak{q})$. The standard Lie–Poisson bracket on $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ is defined on $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathfrak{q})=\mathfrak{q}$ by $\{x,y\}:=[x,y]$. It is then extended to higher degrees via the Leibniz rule. Hence $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ has the usual associative-commutative structure and additional Poisson structure. Whenever we refer to *subalgebras* of $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$, we always mean the associative-commutative structure.

The *centre* of the Poisson algebra $(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}),\{\ ,\ \})$ is

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}) := \{H\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}) \mid \{H,F\}=0\ \ \forall F\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})\}. \tag{1·1}$$

Using the Leibniz rule, we obtain that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})$ is a graded Poisson-commutative subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$, which coincides with the algebra of symmetric invariants of $\mathfrak{q}$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}) = \{H \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}) \mid \{H, x\} = 0 \ \ \forall x \in \mathfrak{q}\} =: \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})^{\mathfrak{q}}.$$

In order to avoid confusion, we let $\mathcal{ZU}(\mathfrak{q})$ stand for the centre of the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{q})$. If $\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{q}$ is a Lie subalgebra, then $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})^{\mathfrak{l}} = \{H \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}) \mid \{H, x\} = 0 \ \ \forall x \in \mathfrak{l}\}$.

If $F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ and $V \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ is a subspace, then the *Poisson centraliser of F in V* is the subspace $\{H \in V \mid \{F, H\} = 0\}$.

1.1. **The coadjoint representation.** From now until the end of this section, assume that $\mathfrak{q}$ is finite-dimensional. Set

$$\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q}) = (\dim \mathfrak{q} + \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q})/2.$$

Clearly, $\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q})$ is an integer. If $\mathfrak{q}$ is reductive, then $\operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q} = \operatorname{rk} \mathfrak{q}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q})$ equals the dimension of a Borel subalgebra. If $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ is Poisson-commutative, then $\operatorname{tr.deg} \mathcal{A} \leqslant \boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q})$, see e.g. [Vi90, 0.2].

We identify $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ with the algebra of polynomial functions on the dual space $\mathfrak{q}^*$, and we also write $\Bbbk[\mathfrak{q}^*] = \bigoplus_{i \geqslant 0} \Bbbk[\mathfrak{q}^*]_i$ for it. The set of *regular* elements of $\mathfrak{q}^*$ is

$$\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathsf{reg}} = \{\eta \in \mathfrak{q}^* \mid \dim \mathfrak{q}_\eta = \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}\} = \mathfrak{q}^* \setminus \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathsf{sing}}. \tag{1·2}$$

It is a dense open subset of $\mathfrak{q}^*$. We say that $\mathfrak{q}$ has the *codim–n* property if $\operatorname{codim} \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathsf{sing}} \geqslant n$. The *codim–2* property is going to be most important for us.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{q} = \operatorname{Lie} Q$, where Q is a connected algebraic group. Then Q acts on $\mathfrak{q}^*$ via the coadjoint representation and $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})^{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})^Q = \Bbbk[\mathfrak{q}^*]^Q$. We have also

$$\operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q} = \dim \mathfrak{q} - \max_{\xi \in \mathfrak{q}^*} \dim(Q\xi).$$

Write $\Bbbk(\mathfrak{q}^*)^Q$ for the field of Q-invariant rational functions on $\mathfrak{q}^*$. By the Rosenlicht theorem (see [Sp89, IV.2]), one has $\operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q} = \operatorname{tr.deg} \Bbbk(\mathfrak{q}^*)^Q$. Since the quotient field of $\Bbbk[\mathfrak{q}^*]^Q$ is contained in $\Bbbk(\mathfrak{q}^*)^Q$, we deduce from the Rosenlicht theorem that

$$\operatorname{tr.deg} (\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})^{\mathfrak{q}}) \leqslant \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}. \tag{1·3}$$

For $\gamma \in \mathfrak{q}^*$, let $\hat{\gamma}$ be the skew-symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{q}$ defined by $\hat{\gamma}(\xi, \eta) = \gamma([\xi, \eta])$ for $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{q}$. It follows that $\ker \hat{\gamma} = \mathfrak{q}_\gamma$. The 2-form $\hat{\gamma}$ is related to the *Poisson tensor (bivector)* π of the Lie–Poisson bracket $\{\ ,\ \}$ as follows.

Let dH denote the differential of $H \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}) = \Bbbk[\mathfrak{q}^*]$. Then π is defined by the formula $\pi(dH \wedge dF) = \{H, F\}$ for $H, F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$. Then $\pi(\gamma)(d_\gamma H \wedge d_\gamma F) = \{H, F\}(\gamma)$ and therefore $\hat{\gamma} = \pi(\gamma)$. In this terms, $\operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q} = \dim \mathfrak{q} - \operatorname{rk} \pi$, where $\operatorname{rk} \pi = \max_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{q}^*} \operatorname{rk} \pi(\gamma)$.

For a subalgebra $A \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ and $\gamma \in \mathfrak{q}^*$, set $d_\gamma A = \langle d_\gamma F \mid F \in A \rangle$. By the definition of the Poisson centre $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})$, we have

$$d_\gamma \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}) \subset \ker \pi(\gamma) \tag{1·4}$$

for each $\gamma \in \mathfrak{q}^*$.

1.2. **Contractions and invariants.** We refer to [Lie3, Ch. 7, § 2] for basic facts on contractions of Lie algebras. Let $\Bbbk^\times = \Bbbk \setminus \{0\}$ be the multiplicative group of $\Bbbk$ and $\varphi : \Bbbk^\times \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{q})$, $s \mapsto \varphi_s$, a polynomial representation. That is, the matrix entries of $\varphi_s : \mathfrak{q} \to \mathfrak{q}$ are polynomials in s w.r.t. some (any) basis of $\mathfrak{q}$. Define a new Lie algebra structure on the vector space $\mathfrak{q}$ and the associated Lie–Poisson bracket by

$$[x, y]_{(s)} = \{x, y\}_{(s)} := \varphi_s^{-1}[\varphi_s(x), \varphi_s(y)],\ x, y \in \mathfrak{q},\ s \in \Bbbk^\times. \tag{1·5}$$

The corresponding Lie algebra is denoted by $\mathfrak{q}_{(s)}$. Then $\mathfrak{q}_{(1)} = \mathfrak{q}$ and all these algebras are isomorphic. The induced $\Bbbk^\times$-action on the variety of structure constants is not necessarily polynomial, i.e., $\lim_{s\to 0}[x, y]_{(s)}$ may not exist for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{q}$. Whenever such a limit exists, we obtain a new linear Poisson bracket $\lim_{s\to 0}\{\ ,\ \}_{(s)}$, and thereby a new Lie algebra $\mathfrak{q}_{(0)}$, which is said to be a *contraction* of $\mathfrak{q}$.

The map φ_s, $s \in \Bbbk^\times$, is naturally extended to an invertible transformation of $\mathcal{S}^j(\mathfrak{q})$, which we also denote by φ_s. The resulting graded map $\varphi_s : \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ is nothing but the comorphism associated with $s \in \Bbbk^\times$ and the dual representation $\varphi^* : \Bbbk^\times \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{q}^*)$. Since $\mathcal{S}^j(\mathfrak{q})$ has a basis that consists of $\varphi(\Bbbk^\times)$-eigenvectors, any $F \in \mathcal{S}^j(\mathfrak{q})$ can be written as $F = \sum_{i\geqslant 0} F_i$, where the sum is finite and $\varphi_s(F_i) = s^i F_i \in \mathcal{S}^j(\mathfrak{q})$. Let $F^\bullet$ denote the nonzero component F_i with maximal i.

Proposition 1.1 ([Y14, Lemma 3.3]). *If $F \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})$ and $\mathfrak{q}_{(0)}$ exists, then $F^\bullet \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}_{(0)})$.*

2. Quotients of the current algebra

Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a Lie algebra over $\Bbbk$ and let $\mathfrak{q}[t] = \mathfrak{q} \otimes \Bbbk[t]$ be the associated current algebra, where $[xt^k, yt^m] = [x, y]t^{k+m}$ for $x, y \in \mathfrak{q}$. Let $p \in \Bbbk[t]$ be a normalised polynomial of degree $n \geqslant 1$. Then $\mathfrak{q}[t]/(p) \cong \mathfrak{q} \otimes (\Bbbk[t]/(p))$ is a Lie algebra and as a vector space it is isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{W}(\mathfrak{q}, n) = \mathfrak{q}{\cdot}1 \oplus \mathfrak{q}\bar{t} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathfrak{q}\bar{t}^{n-1},$$

where $\bar{t}$ identifies with $t + (p)$. Let $[\ ,\ ]_p$ be the Lie bracket on $\mathbb{W}$ given by p, i.e., $\mathfrak{q}[t]/(p) \cong (\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_p)$ as a Lie algebra. We identify $\mathfrak{q}$ with $\mathfrak{q}{\cdot}1 \subset \mathbb{W}$. In a particular case $p = t^n$, set $\mathfrak{q}\langle n\rangle = \mathfrak{q}[t]/(t^n)$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{q}\langle n\rangle$ is known as a (generalised) *Takiff algebra* modelled on $\mathfrak{q}$. Note that $\mathfrak{q}\langle 1\rangle \cong \mathfrak{q}$. If $\dim \mathfrak{q} < \infty$, then by [RT, Thm 2.8], we have

$$\operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}\langle n\rangle = n{\cdot}\operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}. \tag{2·1}$$

We identify each $(\mathfrak{q}\bar{t}^k)^*$ with $\mathfrak{q}^*$.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose $p = \prod_{i=1}^u (t - a_i)^{m_i}$, where $a_i \neq a_j$ for $i \neq j$ and $m_i \geqslant 1$ for each $i \leqslant u$. Then $\mathfrak{q}[t]/(p) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^u \mathfrak{q}\langle m_i\rangle$.*

Proof. Since $\Bbbk[t]$ is a principal ideal domain, we have $\Bbbk[t]/(p) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{u} \Bbbk[t]/((t-a_i)^{m_i})$. The isomorphism extends to the tensor product with $\mathfrak{q}$. Finally notice that $\mathfrak{q}[t]/((t-a_i)^{m_i}) \cong \mathfrak{q}[t]/(t^{m_i})$. □

In the following two statements, we assume that $\mathfrak{q}$ is finite-dimensional.

Corollary 2.2 (cf. (2·1)). *For any $p \in \Bbbk[t]$ of degree n, we have* $\operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}[t]/(p) = n \cdot \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}$. □

Lemma 2.3. *For any $p \in \Bbbk[t]$ of degree n, we have* $n \cdot \dim \mathfrak{q} - \dim(\mathfrak{q}[t]/(p))^*_{\mathsf{sing}} = \dim \mathfrak{q} - \dim \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathsf{sing}}$.

Proof. According to [RT, Thm 2.8], $\gamma \in \mathfrak{q}\langle n \rangle^*$ is regular if and only if $\gamma|_{\mathfrak{q}\bar{t}^{n-1}} \in \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathsf{reg}}$. This takes care of the case $p = t^n$. The general case follows in view of Proposition 2.1. □

Example 2.4. Let us consider an important particular case, where $m_i = 1$ for each i. Set $r_i = \dfrac{p}{(t-a_i)} \prod_{j \neq i} (a_i - a_j)^{-1}$. Then $r_i^2 \equiv r_i \pmod p$. This is an explicit application of the Chinese remainder theorem. Each subspace $\mathfrak{q}\bar{r}_i$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{q}[t]/(p)$, isomorphic to $\mathfrak{q}$, and

$$\mathfrak{q}[t]/(p) = \mathfrak{q}\bar{r}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{q}\bar{r}_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathfrak{q}\bar{r}_n. \tag{2·2}$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{g}[t]/(p)$ is semisimple if $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple.

Lemma 2.5. *Each Lie bracket $[\ ,\ ]_p$ on $\mathbb{W}$ can be contracted to $\ell_0 = [\ ,\ ]_{t^n}$.*

Proof. Set $\varphi_s(x\bar{t}^k) = s^k x \bar{t}^k$ for $s \in \Bbbk^\times$ and $x \in \mathfrak{q}$, define further

$$[\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}]_{p,s} = \varphi_s^{-1}([\varphi_s(\tilde{x}), \varphi_s(\tilde{y})]_p) \quad \text{for} \quad \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{W}.$$

Take $x, y \in \mathfrak{q}$. Then $[x\bar{t}^a, y\bar{t}^b]_{p,s} = [x,y]\bar{t}^{a+b}$ if $a+b < n$ and $[x\bar{t}^a, y\bar{t}^b]_{p,s} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s^{a+b-k} c_k [x,y] \bar{t}^k$ with some $c_k \in \Bbbk$ if $a+b \geqslant n$. Therefore $\lim_{s\to 0} [\ ,\ ]_{p,s} = \ell_0$. □

Following the usual terminology of Poisson geometry, say that two Lie brackets on $\mathbb{W}$ are *compatible* if their sum (or, equivalently, any linear combination of them) is again a Lie bracket; compatible Lie brackets on $\mathbb{W}$ lead to compatible Poisson brackets on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$, see e.g. [DZ, Sect. 1.8.3] or [PY, Sect. 1.1] for details. From now on, assume that $n \geqslant 2$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $p = p_1 \in \Bbbk[t]$ be a normalised polynomial of degree n. Suppose that $p_2 = p_1 + l$, where $l \in \Bbbk[t]$ is a nonzero polynomial of degree at most 1. Then the brackets $[\ ,\ ]_{p_1}$ and $[\ ,\ ]_{p_2}$ are compatible.*

Proof. For $k \leqslant 2n-2$, write $t^k = qp + R$, where $\deg R \leqslant n-1$. Then we have $\deg q \leqslant n-2$ and $\deg ql \leqslant n-1$. Thereby $\deg(t^k - q(p+l)) \leqslant n-1$ as well. In other words, $R - ql$ is the remainder of t^k modulo p_2. If $c_1, c_2 \in \Bbbk$ and $c_1 + c_2 = 1$, then

$$t^k = q(c_1 p_1 + c_2 p_2) + c_1 R + c_2 (R - ql),$$

where $c_1R + c_2(R - ql)$ is the remainder of t^k modulo $c_1p_1 + c_2p_2$. Thus here

$$c_1[\ ,\ ]_{p_1} + c_2[\ ,\ ]_{p_2} = [\ ,\ ]_{p_3} \quad \text{with} \quad p_3 = c_1p_1 + c_2p_2. \tag{2·3}$$

In particular, the (half-)sum of $[\ ,\ ]_{p_1}$ and $[\ ,\ ]_{p_2}$ is again a Lie bracket on $\mathbb{W}$. □

For any $p \in \Bbbk[t]$ of degree n, the restriction of $[\ ,\ ]_p$ to $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}\cdot 1 \subset \mathbb{W}$ is the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{q}$. However, $\ell(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}) = 0$ for a bi-linear operation $\ell = [\ ,\ ]_{p_1} - [\ ,\ ]_{p_2}$, where $p_1, p_2 \in \Bbbk[t]$ are normalised polynomials of degree n. Furthermore, $\ell(\mathfrak{q}\bar{t}^a, \mathfrak{q}\bar{t}^b) = 0$, whenever $a + b < n$.

Example 2.7. Set $[\ ,\ ]_\infty = [\ ,\ ]_{t^n-1} - [\ ,\ ]_{t^n}$. Then $[x\bar{t}^a, y\bar{t}^b]_\infty = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } a+b<n; \\ [x,y]\bar{t}^{a+b-n}, & \text{if } a+b \geqslant n, \end{cases}$ for $x, y \in \mathfrak{q}$.

For $\ell = [\ ,\ ]_{p_1} - [\ ,\ ]_{p_2}$, $s \in \Bbbk^\times$, and $x, y \in \mathbb{W}$ set $\ell_{(s)}(x, y) = \varphi_s^{-1}(\ell(\varphi_s(x), \varphi_s(y))$, where $\varphi_s \colon \mathbb{W} \to \mathbb{W}$ is the same map as in the proof of Lemma 2.5. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$s^k\ell_{(s)}(x, y) = s^{-k}\varphi_s^{-1}(\ell(s^k\varphi_s(x), s^k\varphi_s(y)).$$

If ℓ is a Lie bracket and $\lim_{s\to 0} s^k\ell_{(s)}$ exists, then it is a contraction of ℓ in the sense of [Y14, Sect. 3].

Lemma 2.8. *If $p_2 - p_1 = 1$, then $\lim_{s\to 0} s^{-n}\ell_{(s)} = [\ ,\ ]_\infty$; if $p_2 - p_1 = t + c$ with $c \in \Bbbk$, then $\lim_{s\to 0} s^{1-n}\ell_{(s)} = [\ ,\ ]_{t^n-t} - [\ ,\ ]_{t^n}$.*

Proof. If $a + b < n$ and $x, y \in \mathfrak{q}$, then $\ell(x\bar{t}^a, y\bar{t}^b) = \ell_{(s)}(x\bar{t}^a, y\bar{t}^b) = 0$. Suppose next that $a + b = n + u$ with $u \geqslant 0$. Assume first that $p_2 = p_1 + 1$. Then

$$s^{-n}\ell_{(s)}(x\bar{t}^a, y\bar{t}^b) = [x, y]\bar{t}^u + \sum_{k=0}^{u-1} s^{u-k}c_k[x, y]\bar{t}^k$$

with some $c_k \in \Bbbk$. This case is settled.

Assume now that $p_2 - p_1 = t + c$. Then $s^{1-n}\ell_{(s)}(x\bar{t}^a, y\bar{t}^b) = [x, y]\bar{t}^{u+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{u} s^{u+1-k}c_k[x, y]\bar{t}^k$ with some $c_k \in \Bbbk$. The limit at zero is exactly the difference $[\ ,\ ]_{t^n-t} - [\ ,\ ]_{t^n}$. □

2.1. **Polarisations.** Let $\vec{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d)$ be a d-tuple of integers, such that

$$0 \leqslant k_1 \leqslant k_2 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant k_d < n.$$

Suppose $y_1, \dots, y_d \in \mathfrak{q}$. If we consider the product $Y = \prod_i y_i \in \mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{q})$, then there is no uniquely defined sequence of factors y_i. However, the *$\vec{k}$-polarisation*

$$Y[\vec{k}] := (d!)^{-1}|\mathsf{S}_d{\cdot}\vec{k}| \sum_{\sigma\in\mathsf{S}_d} y_1\bar{t}^{\sigma(k_1)} \dots y_d\bar{t}^{\sigma(k_d)}$$

of Y is well-defined. We extend this notion to all elements of $\mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{q})$ by linearity. For $F \in \mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{q})$, set $\mathrm{Pol}(F) = \left\langle F[\vec{k}] \mid \vec{k} \text{ as above} \right\rangle$. It would be convenient to use also the partition notation for $\vec{k}$, for example, $(1, \dots, 1, 2)$ one can write as $(1^{d-1}, 2)$.

By the construction, if $F \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})$, then $F[\vec{k}] \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})^{\mathfrak{q}}$ for any $\vec{k}$. However, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})^{\mathfrak{q}}$ may contain other $\mathfrak{q}$-invariants, even such invariants that are not polynomials in polarisations of $\mathfrak{q}$-invariants in $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$.

2.2. **Symmetric invariants.** Let $\mathcal{Z}_p \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$ denote the Poisson centre of $(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W}), [\ ,\ ]_p)$, see (1·1) for the definition. As Example 2.4 shows, if the roots of p are pairwise distinct, then $\mathcal{Z}_p \cong \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})^{\otimes n}$. In an opposite case, where $p = t^n$, the symmetric invariants of Takiff algebras $\mathfrak{q}\langle n\rangle$ modelled on **reductive** $\mathfrak{q}$ have been studied in [RT]. The answer is that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}\langle n\rangle) = \mathcal{Z}_{t^n}$ is a polynomial ring in $n{\cdot}\operatorname{rk}\mathfrak{q}$ generators. Similar results are obtained in [AP, PY20] for some non-reductive $\mathfrak{q}$.

An open subset of $\mathfrak{q}^*$ is said to be *big* if its complement does not contain divisors.

Theorem 2.9 ([PY20]). *Suppose that $\Bbbk[\mathfrak{q}^*]^{\mathfrak{q}} = \Bbbk[F_1, \dots, F_m]$ is a graded polynomial ring, where $m = \operatorname{ind}\mathfrak{q}$. Set $\Omega_{\mathfrak{q}^*} = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{q}^* \mid (\mathrm{d}_\xi F_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge (\mathrm{d}_\xi F_m) \neq 0\}$, and assume that $\Omega_{\mathfrak{q}^*}$ is big. For any $n \geqslant 1$, the Takiff algebra $\mathfrak{q}\langle n\rangle$ has the same properties as $\mathfrak{q}$, in particular, $\Bbbk[\mathfrak{q}\langle n\rangle^*]^{\mathfrak{q}\langle n\rangle}$ is a graded polynomial ring of Krull dimension $\operatorname{ind}\mathfrak{q}\langle n\rangle = nm$.*

In the following, we always assume that each F_i is homogeneous.

For $\vec{k}$ and F as defined in Section 2.1, set $|\vec{k}| = \sum_i k_i$ and $F^{[\boldsymbol{j}]} = \sum_{|\vec{k}|=\boldsymbol{j}} F[\vec{k}]$. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.9, the algebraically independent generators of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}\langle n\rangle)$ can be described in the following way, which goes back to [RT],

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}\langle n\rangle) = \Bbbk\Big[F_i^{[\boldsymbol{j}]} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m,\ (n-1)\deg F_i - n < |\boldsymbol{j}| \leqslant (n-1)\deg F_i\Big]\,. \tag{2·4}$$

From this description it is clear that the Poincaré series of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}\langle n\rangle)$ coincides with that of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}^{\oplus n})$.

In view of Proposition 2.1, Theorem 2.9 leads now to the following statement.

Proposition 2.10. *Suppose $\mathfrak{q}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.9. Then any $\mathcal{Z}_p$ is a graded polynomial ring of Krull dimension nm and its Poincaré series coincides with that of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}^{\oplus n})$.* □

Suppose that we have a converging sequence $p_j \in \Bbbk[t]$ with $j \in \mathbb{N}$ of normalised polynomials of degree n and $p = \lim_{j\to\infty} p_j$. Then, by the construction,

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} [\ ,\ ]_{p_j} = [\ ,\ ]_p \quad \text{and, assuming that each } p_j \text{ has distinct roots, } \lim_{j\to\infty} \mathcal{Z}_{p_j} \subset \mathcal{Z}_p, \tag{2·5}$$

where the second limit is taken in the appropriate Grassmannians.

Proposition 2.11. *Suppose $\mathfrak{q}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.9, in particular $\Bbbk[\mathfrak{q}^*]^{\mathfrak{q}} = \Bbbk[F_1, \dots, F_m]$. If $p = \lim_{j\to\infty} p_j$, then $\lim_{j\to\infty} \mathcal{Z}_{p_j} = \mathcal{Z}_p$. Furthermore, any $\mathcal{Z}_p$ has a set of algebraically independent generators $F_{i,\upsilon}$ with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, $1 \leqslant \upsilon \leqslant n$ such that $F_{i,\upsilon} \in \operatorname{Pol}(F_i)$ for all i and υ.*

Proof. According to Proposition 2.10, $\dim(\mathcal{Z}_p \cap \mathcal{S}^d(\mathbb{W}))$ is independent of p. Therefore there is a well defined limit $\lim\limits_{j\to\infty} \mathcal{Z}_{p_j} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$ for any converging sequence of normalised polynomials p_j. In case $\lim\limits_{j\to\infty} p_j = p$, we have $\lim\limits_{j\to\infty} \mathcal{Z}_{p_j} \subset \mathcal{Z}_p$, because $\lim\limits_{j\to\infty}[\ ,\ ]_{p_j} = [\ ,\ ]_p$. Since the Poincaré series of $\lim\limits_{j\to\infty} \mathcal{Z}_{p_j}$ and of $\mathcal{Z}_p$ coinside, $\lim\limits_{j\to\infty} \mathcal{Z}_{p_j} = \mathcal{Z}_p$.

Suppose that a normalised polynomial $\tilde{p} \in \Bbbk[t]$ with $\deg \tilde{p} = n$ has n distinct roots $a_1, \dots, a_n$. Let $\bar{r}_1, \dots, \bar{r}_n$ be the polynomials from Example 2.4. Then

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{p}} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}\bar{r}_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}\bar{r}_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}\bar{r}_\upsilon) = \Bbbk\left[F_1[\bar{r}_\upsilon], \dots, F_m[\bar{r}_\upsilon]\right], \tag{2·6}$$

where $F_i[\bar{r}_\upsilon]$ is obtained from F_i by extending the canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{q} \to \mathfrak{q}\bar{r}_j$ to $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$. Clearly $F_{i,\upsilon} := F_i[\bar{r}_\upsilon] \in \mathrm{Pol}(F_i)$ for all i, υ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{p}}$ is freely generated by $F_{i,\upsilon}$ with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, $1 \leqslant \upsilon \leqslant n$.

In general, $(\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_p)$ is a direct sum of Lie algebras, corresponding to roots of p, see Proposition 2.1 for details. If α is a simple root, then the corresponding summand is of the form $\mathfrak{q}\bar{r}_{(\alpha)}$ with $\bar{r}_{(\alpha)} \in \Bbbk[t]/(p)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}\bar{r}_{(\alpha)})$ is generated by $F_i[\bar{r}_{(\alpha)}] \in \mathrm{Pol}(F_i)$ with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$.

Suppose now that a root α has multiplicity $k \geqslant 2$. Let $\mathfrak{q}\langle k\rangle_{(\alpha)} \cong \mathfrak{q}\langle k\rangle$ be the direct summand of $(\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_p)$ corresponding to α. Then there are $\bar{r}_{(\alpha,0)}, \bar{r}_{(\alpha,1)} \in \Bbbk[t]/(p)$ such that $\bar{r}_{(\alpha,0)}\bar{r}_{(\alpha,1)} = \bar{r}_{(\alpha,1)}$, $\bar{r}^k_{(\alpha,1)} = 0$, $\bar{r}^2_{(\alpha,0)} = \bar{r}_{(\alpha,0)}$, and

$$\mathfrak{q}\langle k\rangle_{(\alpha)} = \mathfrak{q}\bar{r}_{(\alpha,0)} \oplus \mathfrak{q}\bar{r}_{(\alpha,1)} \oplus \mathfrak{q}\bar{r}^2_{(\alpha,1)} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{q}\bar{r}^{k-1}_{(\alpha,1)}.$$

Let $F_i^{[\boldsymbol{j}]} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}\langle k\rangle)$ be given by (2·4) with n replaced by k. Define $\Phi_\alpha : \Bbbk[t]/(t^k) \to \Bbbk[t]/(p)$ by setting $\Phi_\alpha(1) = \bar{r}_{(\alpha,0)}$ and $\Phi_\alpha(\bar{t}^u) = \bar{r}^u_{(\alpha,1)}$ for $1 \leqslant u < k$. The map Φ_α extends to $\mathfrak{q}\langle k\rangle$ and the polynomials $\Phi_\alpha(F_i^{[\boldsymbol{j}]})$ with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, $(k-1)\deg F_i - k < |\boldsymbol{j}| \leqslant (k-1)\deg F_i$ generate $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}\langle k\rangle_{(\alpha)})$.

Since $F_i^{[\boldsymbol{j}]} \in \mathrm{Pol}(F_i)$ for all i and $\boldsymbol{j}$, this holds also for all $\Phi_\alpha(F_i^{[\boldsymbol{j}]})$. The result about generators of $\mathcal{Z}_p$ follows now from Proposition 2.1. □

Remark. Let us consider the contraction $\lim\limits_{s\to 0}[\ ,\ ]_{p,s} \to \ell_0$ presented in Lemma 2.5. For any $F \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_p)$, we have then $\varphi_s^{-1}(F) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_{p,s})$ and $\lim\limits_{s\to 0} \Bbbk\varphi_s^{-1}(F) = \Bbbk F^\bullet$ in terms of Proposition 1.1. Suppose that $\mathfrak{q}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.9. Arguing by induction on $\deg F_i$, one can find a generating set $\{F_{i,u}\} \subset \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_p)$ such that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{W}, \ell_0)$ is freely generated by the components $F^\bullet_{i,u}$.

Example 2.12. For the feature use, we describe generators of $\mathcal{Z}_p$ in case $p = t^n - ct$ with $c \in \Bbbk^\times$. Fix $\alpha \in \Bbbk$ with $\alpha^{n-1} = c$ and let $\zeta \in \Bbbk$ be a primitive $(n-1)$-th root of unity. Then $\bar{r}_1 = \frac{-1}{c}(t^{n-1} - c)$ and

$$\bar{r}_i = \frac{1}{c(n-1)} t(t^{n-2} + \alpha\zeta^i t^{n-3} + \dots + (\alpha\zeta^i)^{n-3}t + (\alpha\zeta^i)^{n-2}) \ \text{ if } \ i \geqslant 2$$

in terms of Example 2.4. For each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}\bar{r}_i) = \{F[\bar{r}_i] \mid F \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q})\}.$$

For $F \in \mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{q})^{\mathfrak{q}}$, one obtains

$$(-c)^d F[\bar{r}_1] = F[(n-1)^d] + \sum_{k=1}^{d} (-c)^k F[0^k, (n-1)^{d-k}]; \tag{2·7}$$

$$c^d (n-1)^d F[\bar{r}_i] = \sum_{\boldsymbol{j}=d}^{d(n-1)} (\alpha\zeta^i)^{d(n-1)-\boldsymbol{j}} \sum_{|\vec{k}|=\boldsymbol{j};\, k_1 \geqslant 1} F[\vec{k}] \quad \text{if } i \geqslant 2. \tag{2·8}$$

3. Poisson-commutative subalgebras

Roughly speaking, a *bi-Hamiltonian system* is a pair of compatible Poisson structures $\{\ ,\ \}', \{\ ,\ \}''$ (or rather a pencil $\{a\{\ ,\ \}' + b\{\ ,\ \}'' \mid a, b \in \Bbbk\}$ spanned by them) [DZ, Sect. 1.8], [GZ]. Let π', π'' be the Poisson tensors of $\{\ ,\ \}', \{\ ,\ \}''$. Then $\pi_{a,b} = a\pi' + b\pi''$ is the Poisson tensors of $a\{\ ,\ \}' + b\{\ ,\ \}''$. For almost all $(a, b) \in \Bbbk^2$, $\operatorname{rk}(a\pi' + b\pi'')$ has one and the same (maximal) value, let it be $\boldsymbol{r}$, and we say that $a\{\ ,\ \}' + b\{\ ,\ \}''$ is *regular* (or that (a, b) is a *regular* point) if $\operatorname{rk}(a\pi' + b\pi'') = \boldsymbol{r}$. The Poisson centres of regular structures in the pencil generate a subalgebra, which is Poisson-commutative w.r.t. all Poisson brackets in the pencil, see e.g. [GZ, Sect. 10] or [PY, Sect. 2] for an explanation of this phenomenon.

Let $L = \langle\{\ ,\ \}', \{\ ,\ \}''\rangle_{\Bbbk}$ be a pencil of compatible polynomial Poisson structures on the affine space $\mathbb{A}^N$, i.e., each $a\{\ ,\ \}' + b\{\ ,\ \}''$ is a Poisson bracket on the polynomial ring $\Bbbk[\mathbb{A}^N]$. Let $\mathbb{A}^N_{(a,b),\mathsf{sing}}$ be the singular set of $a\{\ ,\ \}' + b\{\ ,\ \}''$, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{A}^N_{(a,b),\mathsf{sing}} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{A}^N \mid \operatorname{rk} \pi_{a,b}(\xi) < \operatorname{rk} \pi_{a,b}\}.$$

Set further $\mathbb{A}^N_{L,\mathsf{sing}} = \bigcup_{(a,b)} \mathbb{A}^N_{(a,b),\mathsf{sing}}$ and $\mathbb{A}^N_{L,\mathsf{reg}} = \mathbb{A}^N \setminus \mathbb{A}^N_{L,\mathsf{sing}}$. Since $c\pi_{a,b} = \pi_{ca,cb}$ for any c in $\Bbbk^\times$, the Zariski closed subset $\mathbb{A}^N_{(a,b),\mathsf{sing}}$ depends on $(a : b) \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and not on its representative (a, b). Also the numbers $\operatorname{rk} \pi_v$ and $\operatorname{rk} \pi_v(\xi)$ with $v \in \mathbb{P}^1$, $\xi \in \mathbb{A}^N$ are well-defined. Consider now

$$\mathbb{X} = \{(\xi, v) \mid v \in \mathbb{P}^1, \xi \in \mathbb{A}^N_{v,\mathsf{sing}}\} \subset \mathbb{A}^N \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Lemma 3.1. (i) *If* $\dim \mathbb{A}^N_{v,\mathsf{sing}} \leqslant N-2$ *for generic* $v \in \mathbb{P}^1$, *then* $\mathbb{A}^N_{L,\mathsf{reg}}$ *contains a non-empty open subset of* $\mathbb{A}^N$.
(ii) *If* $\dim \mathbb{A}^N_{v,\mathsf{sing}} \leqslant N-3$ *for generic* $v \in \mathbb{P}^1$ *and* $\dim \mathbb{A}^N_{v,\mathsf{sing}} \leqslant N-2$ *for all* $v \in \mathbb{P}^1$, *then we have* $\dim \overline{\mathbb{A}^N_{L,\mathsf{sing}}} \leqslant N-2$.

Proof. (i) Let $\mathrm{pr}_1 : \mathbb{A}^N \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{A}^N$, $\mathrm{pr}_2 : \mathbb{A}^N \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the projections on the first and the second factors. Let $\mathbb{X}_i \subset \overline{\mathbb{X}}$ be an irreducible component. Then either $\overline{\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbb{X}_i)} = \mathbb{P}^1$ or $\mathrm{pr}_2(\mathbb{X}_i)$ is a point, say v_i. In the latter case, $\mathbb{X}_i \cap \mathbb{A}^N_{v_i,\mathsf{sing}} \times \{v_i\}$ is a dense subset of $\mathbb{X}_i$. Hence $\mathbb{X}_i \subset \mathbb{A}^N_{v_i,\mathsf{sing}} \times \{v_i\}$ and $\dim \mathbb{X}_i \leqslant N-1$, because $\mathbb{A}^N_{v_i,\mathsf{sing}}$ is a proper closed subset of $\mathbb{A}^N$.

In the former case,

$$\mathbb{X}_i \cap \{(\xi, v) \in \mathbb{A}^N \times \mathbb{P}^1 \mid \operatorname{rk} \pi_v = \boldsymbol{r} = \max_{a,b} \operatorname{rk} \pi_{a,b},\ \operatorname{rk} \pi_v(\xi) < \boldsymbol{r}\}$$

is a dense subset of $\mathbb{X}_i$. In particular, $\mathbb{X}_i$ is contained in the closed subset

$$\{(\xi, v) \in \mathbb{A}^N \times \mathbb{P}^1 \mid \operatorname{rk} \pi_v(\xi) < \boldsymbol{r}\}.$$

By the fibre dimension theorem, $\dim \mathbb{X}_i \leqslant N-1$. By definition, $\mathbb{A}^N_{L,\mathsf{sing}} = \mathrm{pr}_1(\mathbb{X}) \subset \mathrm{pr}_1(\overline{\mathbb{X}})$ and hence $\dim \overline{\mathbb{A}^N_{L,\mathsf{sing}}} \leqslant N-1$ as well.

(ii) In this case, we have $\dim \mathbb{X}_i \leqslant N-2$ for each irreducible component of $\overline{\mathbb{X}}$ and hence $\dim \overline{\mathbb{A}^N_{L,\mathsf{sing}}} \leqslant \dim \overline{\mathbb{X}} \leqslant N-2$. □

Let $\mathcal{P}(\xi) = \{\pi_{a,b}(\xi) \mid a, b \in \Bbbk\}$ with $\xi \in \mathbb{A}^N$ be a pencil of skew-symmetric 2-forms on $T^*_\xi \mathbb{A}^N$, which is spanned by $\pi'(\xi)$ and $\pi''(\xi)$. A 2-form in this pencil is said to be *regular* if $\operatorname{rk} \pi_{a,b}(\xi) = \boldsymbol{r}$. Otherwise, it is *singular*. Set

$$V(\xi) = \sum_{a,b:\, \operatorname{rk} \pi_{a,b}(\xi) = \boldsymbol{r}} \ker \pi_{a,b}(\xi),$$

$\boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{b}(L) = \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{r} + (N - \boldsymbol{r})$.

Proposition 3.2 ([PY20′, Proposition 1.5]). *If $\xi \in \mathbb{A}^N$ and $\operatorname{rk} \pi_v(\xi) = \boldsymbol{r}$ for some $v \in \mathbb{P}^1$, then*

(i) $\dim V(\xi) = \boldsymbol{b}$ *if and only if all nonzero 2-forms in $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ are regular;*
(ii) *if $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ contains a singular line, say $\Bbbk\omega$, then* $\dim V(\xi) \leqslant N - \boldsymbol{r} + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{rk} \omega$,
(iii) *furthermore,* $\dim V(\xi) = N - \boldsymbol{r} + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{rk} \omega$ *if and only if*
- *$\Bbbk\omega$ is the unique singular line in $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$, and*
- $\operatorname{rk}(\pi(\xi)|_{\mathfrak{v}}) = \dim \mathfrak{v} - (N - \boldsymbol{r})$ *for $\mathfrak{v} = \ker \omega$ and any $\pi(\xi) \in \mathcal{P}(\xi) \setminus \Bbbk\omega$.*

3.1. **Subalgebras $\mathcal{Z}(p, p+l)$.** From now on, assume that $[\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}] \neq 0$ and $\dim \mathfrak{q} < \infty$. Let $\{\ ,\ \}_p$ be the Poisson bracket on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$ corresponding to $[\ ,\ ]_p$. Fix two different normalised polynomials $p_1, p_2 \in \Bbbk[t]$ of degree $n \geqslant 2$ such that $\deg(p_1 - p_2) \leqslant 1$. Set $L(p_1, p_2) := \langle \{\ ,\ \}_{p_1}, \{\ ,\ \}_{p_2} \rangle$. By Proposition 2.6,

$$\{\ ,\ \}_{a,b} := a\{\ ,\ \}_{p_1} + b\{\ ,\ \}_{p_2} \in L(p_1, p_2)$$

is a Poisson bracket on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$ for all $a, b \in \Bbbk$. Therefore $L(p_1, p_2)$ is a pencil of compatible polynomial Poisson structures on $\mathbb{W}^* \cong \mathbb{A}^N$. Here $N = n \cdot \dim \mathfrak{q}$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_{a,b} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$ denote the Poisson centre of $(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W}), \{\ ,\ \}_{a,b})$ and let $\pi_{a,b}$ be the Poisson tensor of $\{\ ,\ \}_{a,b}$. If $a+b=1$, then $\{\ ,\ \}_{a,b} = \{\ ,\ \}_{ap_1+bp_2}$. Corollary 2.2 implies now that $\operatorname{rk} \pi_{a,b} = n(\dim \mathfrak{q} - \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q})$ if $a \neq -b$. Hence (a, b) is a regular point, whenever $a \neq -b$.

Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}(p_1, p_2) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$ be the subalgebra generated by $\mathcal{Z}_{a,b}$ with (a, b) being regular. Then $\{\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Z}\}_{a,b} = 0$ for all a, b according to the general method outlined at the beginning of Section 3. If $c \in \Bbbk^\times$, then $\mathcal{Z}_{a,b} = \mathcal{Z}_{ca,cb}$ for all $a, b \in \Bbbk$. We have $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathcal{Z}_{1,-1}$. Since $[\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}]_{p_1} \neq 0$, $(1, -1)$ is not a regular point. Thus $\mathcal{Z} = \mathsf{alg}\langle \mathcal{Z}_{a,b} \mid a + b = 1 \rangle$. The transcendence degree of

$\mathcal{Z}$ depends on the properties of $\mathfrak{q}$ and of $\ell = \{\ ,\ \}_{1,-1}$. As we have already observed, $\mathfrak{q}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W}), [\ ,\ ]_{ap_1+bp_2})$, whenever $a+b=1$, cf. (2·3). Thereby $\mathcal{Z}_{a,1-a} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})^{\mathfrak{q}}$ for each $a \in \Bbbk$. Hence also $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})^{\mathfrak{q}}$ and we have

$$\text{(3·1)} \qquad \operatorname{tr.deg} \mathcal{Z} \leqslant \boldsymbol{b}(\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_{p_1}) - \boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q}) + \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q} = (n-1)\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q}) + \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q} =: \boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q}, n)$$

according to [MY, Prop. 1.1] (for the computation of $\boldsymbol{b}(\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_{p_1})$, we have used Corollary 2.2).

In case $(p_1 - p_2) \in \Bbbk^\times$, we have $L(p_1, p_2) = L(p_1, p_1 + 1)$. If $\deg l = 1$, then $L(p_1, p_2) = L(p_1, p_1 + t + c)$ for some $c \in \Bbbk$. By a change of variable, $t \mapsto t + c$, we can pass to the pencil $L(p, p + t)$. This will change the initial polynomial p_1. However, if we consider a statement that is valid for all p, then in the proof we may safely assume that l is either 1 or t.

Remark 3.3. If $l = 1$, then clearly almost all polynomials $p+\alpha \in \{ap+(1-a)(p+1) \mid a \in \Bbbk\}$ have distinct roots. This is also true for $\tilde{p} \in \{ap + (1-a)(p+t) \mid a \in \Bbbk\}$, although may not be obvious. A brief explanation is that the resultant $\operatorname{Res}(\tilde{p}, \partial_t \tilde{p})$, where $\tilde{p} = p + \alpha t$, is a polynomial in α of degree n with the leading coefficient $(1-n)^{n-1}$, hence it is nonzero for almost all $\alpha \in \Bbbk$. Another explanation involves the homomorphism $\varphi_s : \Bbbk[t] \to \Bbbk[t]$ such that $\varphi_s(t) = st$ with $s \in \Bbbk^\times$. This map extends to $\mathfrak{q}[t]$ and is defined on $\mathbb{W}$ as well. We have already used it in Section 2.

According to Lemmas 2.5, 2.8, the two-dimensional space $L(p_1, p_2)$ can be contracted to $L(t^n, t^n - t)$ if $\deg l = 1$ and to $L(t^n, t^n - 1)$ if $\deg l = 0$.

Proposition 3.4. *For any $F \in \mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{q})^{\mathfrak{q}}$, we have* $\dim(\operatorname{Pol}(F) \cap \mathcal{Z}(p_1, p_2)) \geqslant d(n-1) + 1$.

Proof. We have no restriction on p_1, therefore there is no harm in assuming that either $l = t$ or $l = 1$. For $d = 0$, the statement is obvious, thereby suppose that $d \geqslant 1$.

Suppose first that $l = t$. Consider $p_{s,k} = s^n \varphi_s^{-1}(p) + kt$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant dn$. Here $\lim\limits_{s\to 0} p_{s,k} = t^n + kt$. There is a non-empty open subset $U \subset \Bbbk$ such that $0 \in U$ and any $p_{s,k}$ has distinct roots for each $s \in U$. Until the end of the proof, assume that $s \in U$. Let $\bar{r}_{i,s,k}$ and $\bar{r}_{i,k}$ be polynomials from Example 2.4 associated with $p_{s,k}$ and with $t^n + kt$, respectively. The numbering is chosen in such a way that $\lim\limits_{s\to 0} \bar{r}_{i,s,k} = \bar{r}_{i,k}$ for all i and k. Then clearly $\lim\limits_{s\to 0} F[\bar{r}_{i,s,k}] = F[\bar{r}_{i,k}]$. Using (2·7), (2·8), we conclude that

$$\dim \langle F[\bar{r}_{i,k}] \mid 1 \leqslant k \leqslant dn \rangle = d(n-1) + 1.$$

Thereby there is at least one $s \in U \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\dim \langle F[\bar{r}_{i.s,k}] \mid 1 \leqslant k \leqslant dn \rangle \geqslant d(n-1)+1$. By definition, $s^n \varphi_s^{-1}(p) + kt = s^n \varphi_s^{-1}(p + ks^{1-n}t)$. Hence $\varphi_s(F[\bar{r}_{i,s,k}]) \in \mathcal{Z}(p, p+t)$ for all i and k. Since φ_s is an isomorphism, we are done in this case.

The case $l = 1$ can be treated similarly. Instead of the polynomials $t^n + kt$, one works with $t^n + k$. Here $\langle F[\bar{r}_{i,k}] \mid 1 \leqslant k \leqslant dn\rangle = \big\langle F^{[\boldsymbol{j}]} \mid 0 \leqslant \boldsymbol{j} \leqslant d(n-1)\big\rangle$ and this space has dimension $d(n-1)+1$ as well. □

Example 3.5 (A curiosity). Suppose that $n = 2$. Here any two Lie brackets $[\ ,\ ]_{p_1}$ and $[\ ,\ ]_{p_2}$ are compatible. Assume that $p_1 \neq p_2$. For any $F \in \mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{q})$, we have $\dim \mathrm{Pol}(F) = d+1$. If $F \in \mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{q})^{\mathfrak{q}}$, then $\mathrm{Pol}(F) \subset \mathcal{Z}(p_1,p_2)$ by Proposition 3.4. Suppose that $\mathfrak{q}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.9, in particular, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}) = \Bbbk[F_1,\dots,F_m]$. Then

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}(p_1,p_2) = \mathsf{alg}\langle \mathrm{Pol}(F_i) \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m\rangle$$

by Proposition 2.11. This $\mathcal{Z}$ is independent of the choice of (p_1,p_2) and arises from a tri-Hamiltonian system $(\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_{t^2}, [\ ,\ ]_{t^2+1}, [\ ,\ ]_{t^2+t})$.

Another feature of the case $n = 2$ is directly related to *Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebras*, see e.g. [Vi90] for a definition. For $\gamma \in \mathfrak{q}^*$, consider the homomorphism of commutative algebras $\varrho_\gamma \colon \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W}) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ with $\varrho_\gamma(x{\cdot}1 + y{\cdot}\bar{t}) = x + \gamma(y)$ (hier $x,y \in \mathfrak{q}$). Then $\varrho_\gamma(\mathcal{Z}(p_1,p_2))$ contains the Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_\gamma \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ associated with γ. If $\mathfrak{q}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.9, then $\varrho_\gamma(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathcal{F}_\gamma$.

Lemma 3.6. *For $\ell = [\ ,\ ]_{p_1} - [\ ,\ ]_{p_2}$, we have* $\mathrm{ind}(\mathbb{W},\ell) = \dim \mathfrak{q} + (n{-}1)\mathrm{ind}\,\mathfrak{q}$.

Proof. First we consider the particular cases of $[\ ,\ ]_{t^n-1} - [\ ,\ ]_{t^n}$ and $[\ ,\ ]_{t^n-t} - [\ ,\ ]_{t^n}$. If $\ell = [\ ,\ ]_{t^n-1} - [\ ,\ ]_{t^n}$, then $(\mathbb{W},\ell)$ is an $\mathbb{N}$-graded Lie algebra, isomorphic to $(\tilde{t}\mathfrak{q}[\tilde{t}])/(\tilde{t}^{n+1})$ and to the nilpotent radical of $\mathfrak{q}\langle n{+}1\rangle$, see Example 2.7. Take $\xi \in \mathbb{W}^*$ such that $\bar{\xi} := \xi|_{\mathfrak{q}\cdot 1} \in \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathsf{reg}}$. Then

$$\ker \pi_{1,-1}(\xi) = \mathfrak{q}_{\bar{\xi}}\bar{t}^{n-1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathfrak{q}_{\bar{\xi}}\bar{t} \oplus \mathfrak{q}{\cdot}1 \tag{3·2}$$

and $\dim \ker \pi_{1,-1}(\xi) = (n{-}1)\mathrm{ind}\,\mathfrak{q} + \dim \mathfrak{q}$. Thereby $\mathrm{ind}(\mathbb{W},\ell) \leqslant (n{-}1)\mathrm{ind}\,\mathfrak{q} + \dim \mathfrak{q}$ in this case.

Suppose now that $\ell = [\ ,\ ]_{t^n-t} - [\ ,\ ]_{t^n}$. Then $(\mathbb{W},\ell) \cong \mathfrak{q}\langle n{-}1\rangle \oplus \mathfrak{q}^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Here $\mathrm{ind}(\mathbb{W},\ell) = \mathrm{ind}\,\mathfrak{q}\langle n{-}1\rangle + \mathrm{ind}\,\mathfrak{q}^{\mathrm{ab}} = (n{-}1)\mathrm{ind}\,\mathfrak{q} + \dim \mathfrak{q}$ by [RT].

According to Lemma 2.8, a general ℓ can be contracted to either $[\ ,\ ]_{t^n-1} - [\ ,\ ]_{t^n}$ or $[\ ,\ ]_{t^n-t} - [\ ,\ ]_{t^n}$. Under this procedure, the index cannot decrease, therefore always $\mathrm{ind}(\mathbb{W},\ell) \leqslant \dim \mathfrak{q} + (n{-}1)\mathrm{ind}\,\mathfrak{q}$.

Take now $\xi \in \mathbb{W}^*$ such that $\mathrm{rk}\,\pi_{1,0}(\xi) = n(\dim \mathfrak{q} - \mathrm{ind}\,\mathfrak{q})$ and $\bar{\xi} \in \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathsf{reg}}$. Then $\mathrm{rk}\,\pi_{a,b}(\xi) = n(\dim \mathfrak{q} - \mathrm{ind}\,\mathfrak{q})$ for all pairs (a,b) from a non-empty open subset of $\Bbbk^2$. Note that $\ker \pi_{a,b}(\xi)$ depends only on $v = (a:b) \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and not on its representative. There is a sequence of points $v(k) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{(1:-1)\}$ such that $\dim \ker \pi_{v(k)}(\xi) = n{\cdot}\mathrm{ind}\,\mathfrak{q}$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty} v(k) = (1:-1)$. Set $\mathfrak{v} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \ker \pi_{v(k)}(\xi)$. Then $\dim \mathfrak{v} = n{\cdot}\mathrm{ind}\,\mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathfrak{v} \subset \ker \pi_{1,-1}(\xi)$. Furthermore, since $\pi_{a,1-a)}(\xi)|_{\mathbb{W}\times\mathfrak{q}} = \pi_{1,0}(\xi)|_{\mathbb{W}\times\mathfrak{q}}$ for each $a \in \Bbbk$, we have $\pi_{1,0}(\xi)(\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{q}) = 0$. Thereby $\mathfrak{v} \cap \mathfrak{q}$ is contained in the stabiliser $\mathfrak{q}_{\bar{\xi}}$ of $\bar{\xi}$ and hence $\dim(\mathfrak{v}\cap\mathfrak{q}) \leqslant \mathrm{ind}\,\mathfrak{q}$. Since $\ell(\mathfrak{q},\mathbb{W}) = 0$, we have

$\dim \ker \pi_{1,-1}(\xi) \geqslant \dim \mathfrak{q} + (\dim \mathfrak{v} - \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}) = \dim \mathfrak{q} + (n-1)\operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}$ and this inequality holds for generic points $\xi \in \mathbb{W}^*$. Thus $\operatorname{ind}(\mathbb{W}, \ell) \geqslant \dim \mathfrak{q} + (n-1)\operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}$. □

In the proof of Lemma 3.6, we have seen that for generic $\xi \in \mathbb{W}^*$,

$$\ker \pi_{1,-1}(\xi) = \mathfrak{q} + \mathfrak{v}, \ \text{ where } \ \pi_{1,0}(\xi)(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{v}) = 0, \ \dim \mathfrak{v} = n{\cdot}\operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}, \ \dim(\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{v}) = \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}. \tag{3·3}$$

In the above sentence, generic means that $\xi \in \mathbb{W}^*_{(1,0),\mathsf{reg}} \cap \mathbb{W}^*_{(1,-1),\mathsf{reg}}$ and $\bar{\xi} \in \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathsf{reg}}$.

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose that* $\operatorname{tr.deg} \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})^{\mathfrak{q}} = \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}$ *and that* $\mathfrak{q}$ *has the codim–2 property. Then* $\operatorname{tr.deg} \mathcal{Z}(p, p+l) = \boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q}, n)$ *for any* $p \in \Bbbk[t]$ *of degree* n *and any nonzero* $l \in \Bbbk[t]$ *with* $\deg l \leqslant 1$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, each Lie algebra $(\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_{\tilde{p}})$ with $\deg \tilde{p} = n$ also has the *codim–2* property. Then by Lemma 3.1(i), $\mathbb{W}^*_{L,\mathsf{reg}}$ contains a non-empty open subset of $\mathbb{W}^*$ for any $L = L(p, p+l)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that p has distinct roots, cf. Remark 3.3. Since $\operatorname{tr.deg} \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})^{\mathfrak{q}} = \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}$, there is another non-empty open subset $U_{\mathsf{inv}} \subset \mathbb{W}^*$ such that $\dim d_\gamma \mathcal{Z}_p = n{\cdot}\operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}$ for each $\gamma \in U_{\mathsf{inv}}$.

Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{W}^*_{L,\mathsf{reg}} \cap U_{\mathsf{inf}}$ be such that $\bar{\gamma} := \gamma|_{\mathfrak{q}\cdot 1} \in \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathsf{reg}}$. Consider the pencil

$$\mathcal{P}(\gamma) = \{\pi_{a,b}(\gamma) \mid a, b \in \Bbbk\}$$

corresponding to L. If $a \neq -b$, then $\pi_{a,b}(\gamma)$ is a regular form. For the singular line $\Bbbk\pi_{1,-1}(\gamma)$, we have $\operatorname{rk} \pi_{1,-1}(\gamma) = (n-1)(\dim \mathfrak{q} - \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q})$ by Lemma 3.6. Furthermore, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{v}} := \ker \pi_{1,-1}(\gamma) = \mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{v}_0$, where $\pi_{1,0}(\gamma)(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{v}_0) = 0$, see (3·3). Next we compute $\operatorname{rk}(\pi_{1,0}(\gamma)|_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{v}}})$. Since $\bar{\gamma} \in \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathsf{reg}}$, the rank in question is larger than or equal to $\dim \mathfrak{q} - \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}$; also

$$\operatorname{rk}(\pi_{1,0}(\gamma)|_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{v}}}) \leqslant \dim \widetilde{\mathfrak{v}} - n{\cdot}\operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q} = \dim \mathfrak{q} - \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q} \tag{3·4}$$

by [PY, Appendix]. Thus, we have the equality here. By Proposition 3.2,

$$\dim V(\gamma) = n{\cdot}\operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q} + \frac{n-1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{q} - \operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{q}) = \boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q}, n) \tag{3·5}$$

for $V(\gamma) = \sum_{a \in \Bbbk} \ker \pi_{a,1-a}(\gamma)$.

Since $\gamma \in U_{\mathsf{inv}}$ and in view of (1·4), we have $d_\gamma \mathcal{Z}_p = \ker \pi_{1,0}(\gamma)$. For almost all $\alpha \in \Bbbk$, the polynomial $p + \alpha l$ has distinct roots, cf. Remark 3.3. In other words,

$$U_{\mathsf{rt}} = \{\alpha \in \Bbbk \mid (\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_{p+\alpha l}) \cong \mathfrak{q}^{\oplus n}\}$$

is a non-empty open subset of $\Bbbk$. If $\alpha \in U_{\mathsf{rt}}$, then the Poisson centre $\mathcal{Z}_{p+\alpha p}$ depends on α continuously, cf. (2·5). Thereby $d_\gamma \mathcal{Z}_{a,1-a} = \ker \pi_{a,1-a}(\gamma)$ for almost all $a \in \Bbbk$, i.e., there is a non-empty open subset $\Omega \subset \Bbbk$ such that $d_\gamma \mathcal{Z}_{a,1-a} = \ker \pi_{a,1-a}(\gamma)$ for each $a \in \Omega$. Set $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}(p, p+l)$. Now

$$d_\gamma \mathcal{Z} = \sum_{a \in \Bbbk} d_\gamma \mathcal{Z}_{a,1-a} \supset \sum_{a \in \Omega} d_\gamma \mathcal{Z}_{a,1-a} = \sum_{a \in \Omega} \ker \pi_{a,1-a}(\gamma) = \sum_{a \in \Bbbk} \ker \pi_{a,1-a}(\gamma) = V(\gamma),$$

because $\sum_{a \in \Omega} \ker \pi_{a,1-a}(\gamma) = \sum_{a \in \Bbbk} \ker \pi_{a,1-a}(\gamma)$ by [PY, Appendix]. Thus $\operatorname{tr.deg} \mathcal{Z} \geqslant \dim V(\gamma) = \boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q}, n)$. Since also $\operatorname{tr.deg} \mathcal{Z} \leqslant \boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q}, n)$ by (3·1), the result follows. □

3.2. **Gaudin Hamiltonians.** Suppose that $\mathfrak{q}=\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple. Let $\{x_i \mid 1\leqslant i\leqslant \dim\mathfrak{g}\}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ that is orthonormal w.r.t. the Killing form κ. Set $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{g}^{\oplus n}\cong\mathfrak{g}[t]/(t^n-1)$ and let $x_i^{(k)}\in\mathfrak{h}$ be a copy of x_i belonging to the k-th copy of $\mathfrak{g}$. Choose a vector $\vec{z}=(z_1,\dots,z_n)\in\mathbb{k}^n$ such that $z_j\neq z_k$ for $j\neq k$ and consider the quadratic elements

$$\mathcal{H}_k=\sum_{j\neq k}\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\dim\mathfrak{g}}x_i^{(k)}x_i^{(j)}}{z_k-z_j},\quad 1\leqslant k\leqslant n\,, \tag{3·6}$$

called *Gaudin Hamiltonians*. They can be regarded as elements of either $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes n}\cong\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ or $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{h})$. Note that $\sum_{k=1}^n\mathcal{H}_k=0$.

For $k\neq s$, we have

$$[\mathcal{H}_k,\mathcal{H}_s]=\sum_{\nu\neq k,s}\left(\frac{1}{(z_k-z_\nu)(z_s-z_\nu)}\sum_{i,j}x_i^{(k)}x_j^{(s)}[x_i,x_j]^{(\nu)}+\right.$$
$$\left.+\frac{1}{(z_k-z_s)(z_s-z_\nu)}\sum_{i,j}x_i^{(k)}x_j^{(\nu)}[x_i,x_j]^{(s)}+\frac{1}{(z_k-z_\nu)(z_s-z_k)}\sum_{i,j}x_i^{(\nu)}x_j^{(s)}[x_i,x_j]^{(k)}\right).$$

Next $\sum\limits_{i,j}x_i^{(k)}x_j^{(s)}[x_i,x_j]^{(\nu)}=\sum\limits_{i,j,\upsilon}\kappa([x_i,x_j],x_\upsilon)x_i^{(k)}x_j^{(s)}x_\upsilon^{(\nu)}=-\sum\limits_{i,j,\upsilon}\kappa([x_i,x_\upsilon],x_j)x_i^{(k)}x_\upsilon^{(\nu)}x_j^{(s)}$. Thereby $[\mathcal{H}_k,\mathcal{H}_s]$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\nu\neq k,s}\left(\frac{1}{(z_k-z_\nu)(z_s-z_\nu)}-\frac{1}{(z_k-z_s)}\left(\frac{1}{z_s-z_\nu}-\frac{1}{z_k-z_\nu}\right)\right)\sum_{i,j,\upsilon}\kappa([x_i,x_j],x_\upsilon)x_i^{(k)}x_j^{(s)}x_\upsilon^{(\nu)}=$$
$$=\sum_{\nu\neq k,s}\left(\frac{1}{(z_k-z_\nu)(z_s-z_\nu)}-\frac{1}{(z_s-z_\nu)(z_k-z_\nu)}\right)\sum_{i,j,\upsilon}\kappa([x_i,x_j],x_\upsilon)x_i^{(k)}x_j^{(s)}x_\upsilon^{(\nu)}=0.$$

As we have just seen, $[\mathcal{H}_k,\mathcal{H}_j]=0$ for any j,k. Therefore the Gaudin Hamiltonians also Poisson-commute with each other. Higher Gaudin Hamiltonians are elements of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes n}$ that commute with all $\mathcal{H}_k$.

By the construction, each $\mathcal{H}_k$ is an invariant of the diagonal copy of $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e., of $\Delta\mathfrak{g}\subset\mathfrak{h}$. In [FFR], an astonishing discovery was made: *there is a large commutative algebra $\mathcal{C}\subset\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})^{\Delta\mathfrak{g}}$ that contains all $\mathcal{H}_k$.* Our goal is to reveal a bi-Hamiltonian nature of $\mathrm{gr}(\mathcal{C})\subset\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{h})$.

4. The loop algebra and quadratic elements

Set $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},t^{-1})=\mathcal{S}(t^{-1}\mathfrak{q}[t^{-1}])^{\mathfrak{q}[t]}$, where $\mathcal{S}(t^{-1}\mathfrak{q}[t^{-1}])$ is regarded as the quotient of $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t,t^{-1}])$ by the ideal $(\mathfrak{q}[t])$. In the down to earth terms, $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},t^{-1})$ consists of the elements $Y\in\mathcal{S}(t^{-1}\mathfrak{q}[t^{-1}])$ such that $\{xt^k,Y\}\in\mathfrak{q}[t]\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t,t^{-1}])$ for each $x\in\mathfrak{q}$ and each $k\geqslant 0$.

Proposition 4.1. *We have* $\{\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},t^{-1}),\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},t^{-1})\}=0$.

Proof. Suppose that $F_1,F_2\in\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},t^{-1})$. There is $N\geqslant 1$ such that

$$F_1,F_2\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}t^{-N}\oplus\mathfrak{q}t^{1-N}\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathfrak{q}t^{-1}).$$

Set $n=2N$. Then $\{F_1,F_2\}=0$ if and only if we images $\bar{F}_1$, $\bar{F}_2$ of F_1,F_2 in $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t^{-1}])/(t^{-n}-1)$ Poisson-commute. Next we identify $\overline{t^{1-n}}$ with $\bar{t}$. This leads to the identification

$$\mathfrak{q}[t^{-1}]/(t^{-n}-1)\cong(\mathbb{W},[\ ,\ ]_{t^n-1}).$$

By the construction, $\bar{F}_1,\bar{F}_2\in\mathcal{Z}_{t^n}\subset\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$. The brackets $\{\ ,\ \}_{t^n-1}$ and $\{\ ,\ \}_{t^n}$ are compatible, furthermore $\{\ ,\ \}_{t^n}$ is a regular Poisson structure in the pencil $L(t^n-1,t^n)$. Therefore $\{\mathcal{Z}_{t^n},\mathcal{Z}_{t^n}\}_{t^n-1}=0$ and the result follows. □

The evaluation at $t=1$ defines an isomorphism $\mathsf{Ev}_1\colon\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}t^{-1})\to\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$ of $\mathfrak{q}$-modules. For $F\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})$, set $F[t^{-1}]:=\mathsf{Ev}_1^{-1}(F)\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}t^{-1})$. If $F\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})^{\mathfrak{q}}$, then $F[t^{-1}]\in\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},t^{-1})$. Here is another well-known statement: ∂_t acts on $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},t^{-1})$. Let us present a brief explanation. First of all, note that ∂_t acts on the ideal $\mathfrak{q}[t]\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t,t^{-1}])$, since $\partial_t x=0$ if $x\in\mathfrak{q}$. Suppose that $H\in\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},t^{-1})$. Then, for $a\geqslant 0$ and $x\in\mathfrak{q}$, we have

$$\{xt^a,\partial_t H\}=\partial_t(\{xt^a,H\})-a\{xt^{a-1},H\}=\partial_t 0=0 \tag{4·1}$$

in the quotient $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t,t^{-1}])/(\mathfrak{q}[t])$.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{q}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.9, in particular, $\Bbbk[\mathfrak{q}^*]^{\mathfrak{q}}=\Bbbk[F_1,\dots,F_m]$. Then $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},t^{-1})$ is a polynomial ring generated by $\partial_t^k F_i[t^{-1}]$ with $1\leqslant i\leqslant m$ and $k\geqslant 0$.*

Proof. Set $\mathbb{W}_{-N}=\mathfrak{q}t^{-N}\oplus\mathfrak{q}t^{1-N}\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathfrak{q}t^{-1}\subset\mathfrak{q}[t,t^{-1}]/\mathfrak{q}[t]$. Then $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},t^{-1})=\varinjlim\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W}_{-N})^{\mathfrak{q}[t]}$ has a direct limit structure and each $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W}_{-N})^{\mathfrak{q}[t]}\cong\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t]/(t^N))^{\mathfrak{q}[t]}$ is a polynomial ring by Theorem 2.9. Furthermore, the explicit generators of $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t]/(t^N))^{\mathfrak{q}[t]}$ given in (2·4), see also [PY20, Sect. 2], are images of $\frac{(-1)^k}{k!}\partial_t^k F_i[t^{-1}]$ with $1\leqslant i\leqslant m$ and $0\leqslant k<N$ under the canonical isomorphism $\mathbb{W}_{-N}\xrightarrow{\cong}\mathfrak{q}[t]/(t^N)$ of $\mathfrak{q}[t]$-modules. □

For any $r\in\Bbbk[t,t^{-1}]$, let $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},r)\subset\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t,t^{-1}])$ be the subalgebra obtained from $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},t^{-1})$ by the substitute $t\mapsto r$. If $r\in\Bbbk[t]$, then clearly $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},r)\subset\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t])$. Let $p\in\Bbbk[t]$ be the same as in Section 2, let further $\psi_p:\mathfrak{q}[t]\to\mathfrak{q}[t]/(p)$ be the quotient map, which we extend to $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t])$. If $p(0)\neq 0$, then ψ_p extends to $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t,t^{-1}])$.

Let $\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}\subset\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t])$ be the subalgebra generated by the lowest t-components of elements $F\in\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},t+1)$. An alternative description is $\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},\varepsilon t+1)$. It follows easily from any of these two descriptions that $\{\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v},\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}\}=0$.

Remark 4.3. (i) By the construction, $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})^{\mathfrak{q}}\subset\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}$. It is quite probable that $\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}$ coincides with $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},[0]):=\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t])^{\mathfrak{q}[t^{-1}]}$, where $\mathfrak{q}[t]$ is regarded as a quotient of $\mathfrak{q}[t,t^{-1}]$. If $\mathfrak{q}=\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple, then the identity holds, see Proposition 5.6.

(ii) There are compatible Poisson brackets on $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t])$, the usual one $\{\ ,\ \}$ and $\{\ ,\ \}_{(1)}$, for which $\{xt^a,yt^b\}_{(1)}=[x,y]t^{a+b+1}$ if $x,y\in\mathfrak{q}$. They help to understand $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}},[0])$ [IR, Sect. 4]. Applying the map ψ_p, we obtain two compatible brackets on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$: $\{\ ,\ \}_p$ and $\{\ ,\ \}_{p,(1)}$,

where $\{x\bar{t}^a, x\vec{t}^b\}_{p,(1)} = [x,y](t^{a+b+1} + (p))$ if $x, y \in \mathfrak{q}$. However, for this pair, the special rôle of $\mathfrak{q}\cdot 1 \subset \mathbb{W}$ disappears and the Poisson-commutative subalgebra arising from $\big\langle \{\ ,\ \}_p, \{\ ,\ \}_{p,(1)} \big\rangle$ equals $\mathcal{Z}_p$.

Let us consider $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}, t)$, replace $F[t^{-1}] \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}t^{-1})^{\mathfrak{q}}$ with $F[t] \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}t)^{\mathfrak{q}}$ and ∂_t with $\tau = t^2\partial_t$. Then $\tau^k(F[t]) \in \mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}, t)$ for all $k \geqslant 0$.

Lemma 4.4. *The subspace* $\big\langle \psi_p(\tau^k(F[t])) \mid k \geqslant 0 \big\rangle$ *lies in* $\mathrm{Pol}(F)$ *and*

$$\dim \big\langle \psi_p(\tau^k(F[t])) \mid k \geqslant 0 \big\rangle \geqslant d(n-1)+1$$

if $F \in \mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{q})$ *and* $C := p(0) \neq 0$.

Proof. The task is to show that each $\psi_p(\tau^k(F[t]))$ is a linear combination of polarisations $F[\vec{k}] \in \mathcal{S}^d(\mathbb{W})$. For example, $\psi_p(F[t]) = F[(1,\dots,1)]$ and $\psi_p(\tau(F[t])) = F[(1,\dots,1,2)]$, if $n > 2$. Furthermore, $\psi_p(\tau^2(F[t])) = 2F[(1,\dots,1,3)] + 2F[(1,\dots,1,2,2)]$, if $n > 3$. The general formula is more complicated, since it involves the coefficients of p. However, if $Y = y_1 \dots y_d \in \mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{q})$, then $\tau^k(Y[t])$ is a linear combination of elements

$$Y[\vec{k}, t] = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathsf{S}_d} y_1 t^{\sigma(k_1)} \dots y_d t^{\sigma(k_d)}, \tag{4·2}$$

where $\vec{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $1 \leqslant k_1 \leqslant k_2 \leqslant \dots \leqslant k_d$. Here $\psi_p(Y[\vec{k}, t]) \in \mathrm{Pol}(Y)$ and hence the inclusion $\big\langle \psi_p(\tau^k(F[t])) \mid k \geqslant 0 \big\rangle \subset \mathrm{Pol}(F)$ takes place.

On $K[0, n-1] := \{\vec{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \mid 0 \leqslant k_1 \leqslant k_2 \leqslant \dots \leqslant k_d < n\}$, we will define a total order. First to each $\vec{k} \in K[0, n-1]$ we associate a partition $\underline{\boldsymbol{k}} \in K[1, n]$ by replacing each $k_i = 0$ with n. For example, if $\vec{k} = (0, 0, 1, \dots, 1)$, then $\underline{\boldsymbol{k}} = (1, \dots, 1, n, n)$. Next we say that $\vec{k} \prec \vec{k}'$ if $\underline{\boldsymbol{k}} \prec \underline{\boldsymbol{k}'}$ in the right lexicographic order. Then the largest component of $\psi(\tau^k(F[t]))$ is equal to $k!C^dF[(0,\dots,0)]$ if $k = d(n-1)$ and to $k!C^uF[(\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{u \text{ times}}, \underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{d-u-1}, 1+z)]$ if $k = u(n-1)+z$ with $0 \leqslant z < n-1$ and $0 \leqslant u \leqslant d-1$. These components are clearly linearly independent and there are $d(n-1)+1$ of them. Thus indeed $\dim \big\langle \psi_p(\tau^k(F[t])) \mid k \geqslant 0 \big\rangle \geqslant d(n-1)+1$. □

Conjecture 4.5. *For any normalised* $p \in \Bbbk[t]$ *of degree* n*, we have*
(i) $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}, t)) = \mathcal{Z}(p, p+t)$ *if* $p(0) \neq 0$,
(ii) $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}, l)) = \mathcal{Z}(p, p+l)$ *for any* $l \in \Bbbk[t]$ *of degree* 1 *that does not divide* p,
(iii) $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}) = \mathcal{Z}(p, p+1)$.

Proposition 4.6. (1) *Part* (ii) *of Conjecture 4.5 follows from* (i).
(2) *If* $\mathfrak{q}$ *satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.9, then* $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathcal{Z}(p, p+\varepsilon t+1) = \mathcal{Z}(p, p+1)$ *for any* p.

Proof. (1) We obtain the implication (i) ⇒ (ii) by the change of variable $t \mapsto l$. The polynomial p from $\mathcal{Z}(p, p+l)$ is replaced by $\tilde{p}$ such that $\tilde{p}(l) = p$ and the condition $l \nmid p$ becomes $\tilde{p}(0) \neq 0$.

(2) Clearly $\lim\limits_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathcal{Z}(p,p+\varepsilon t+1)\subset\mathcal{Z}(p,p+1)$. If $\mathfrak{q}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.9, then $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathcal{Z}_{p+\alpha(\varepsilon t+1)}=\mathcal{Z}_{p+\alpha}$ for any fixed $\alpha\in\Bbbk$, see Proposition 2.11. Hence in this case $\lim\limits_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathcal{Z}(p,p+\varepsilon t+1)=\mathcal{Z}(p,p+1)$. □

In Section 6, we show that parts (i) and (iii) of Conjecture 4.5 hold for reductive Lie algebras and, more generally, for Lie algebras satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 2.9. As a preparation to that argument, we need to work out some facts about invariants of degree two.

4.1. **Quadratic Lie algebras.** Suppose that $\mathfrak{q}=\operatorname{Lie}Q$, where Q is an algebraic group, and that $(\ ,\ )$ is a non-degenerate Q-invariant scalar product on $\mathfrak{q}$. Let $\{x_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{q}$, i.e., $(x_i,x_j)=\delta_{i,j}$. For $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$, set $\boldsymbol{H}[a,b]=\sum_{i=1}^{\dim\mathfrak{q}}x_it^ax_it^b\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t,t^{-1}])$. Clearly, each $\boldsymbol{H}[a,b]$ is a $\mathfrak{q}$-invariant. Set further $\boldsymbol{H}=\boldsymbol{H}[1,1]$.

For $a,b,c\in\mathbb{Z}$, set $\mathcal{X}[a,b,c]:=\sum_{i,j,v}([x_i,x_j],x_v)x_it^ax_jt^bx_vt^c\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t,t^{-1}])$. We have seen such sums in Section 3.2. Note that $\mathcal{X}[a,b,c]=-\mathcal{X}[b,a,c]=\mathcal{X}[b,c,a]$. By a straightforward calculation,

$$\{\boldsymbol{H}[a,b],\boldsymbol{H}[c,d]\}=\mathcal{X}[b,d,a{+}c]+\mathcal{X}[b,c,a{+}d]+\mathcal{X}[a,d,b{+}c]+\mathcal{X}[a,c,b{+}d]. \tag{4·3}$$

Whenever a,b,c are pairwise distinct, we have $\mathcal{X}[a,b,c]\neq 0$, since $[\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{q}]\neq 0$.

For $0\leqslant a,b<n$, let $\boldsymbol{h}[a,b]=\sum_{i=1}^{\dim\mathfrak{q}}x_i\bar{t}^ax_i\bar{t}^b\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$ be the image of $\boldsymbol{H}[a,b]$ under the map ψ_p. Set further $\boldsymbol{h}=\boldsymbol{h}[1,1]$ and $\boldsymbol{h}[\bar{r}_k,\bar{r}_s]=\sum_{i=1}^{\dim\mathfrak{q}}x_i\bar{r}_kx_i\bar{r}_s$ for $\bar{r}_k,\bar{r}_s\in\Bbbk[t]/(p)$.

Let $\mathcal{P}^{(\,,\,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},p}$ be the Poisson centraliser of $\boldsymbol{h}$ w.r.t. the bracket $\{\ ,\ \}_p$ in the span of

$$\{\boldsymbol{h}[a,b]\mid 0\leqslant a,b<n\}.$$

Lemma 4.7. *We have* $\mathcal{P}^{(\,,\,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},t^n}=\mathcal{Z}(t^n{+}t,t^n)\cap\langle\boldsymbol{h}[a,b]\mid 0\leqslant a,b<n\rangle$ *and* $\dim\mathcal{P}^{(\,,\,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},t^n}=2n-1$, *furthermore* $\dim\mathcal{P}^{(\,,\,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},p}\leqslant 2n-1$ *for any* p *of degree* n.

Proof. From computations presented in Section 2.2, see in particular (2·7) and (2·8), one deduces that $V(\boldsymbol{h}):=\mathcal{Z}(t^n{+}t,t^n)\cap\langle\boldsymbol{h}[a,b]\mid 0\leqslant a,b<n\rangle$ is the span of

$$\{\boldsymbol{h}[0,0],\ \boldsymbol{h}[0,n{-}1],\ \boldsymbol{h},\ \sum_{1\leqslant a\leqslant b;\ a+b=k}\boldsymbol{h}[a,b]\mid 3\leqslant k\leqslant 2n{-}2\}.$$

In particular, $\dim V(\boldsymbol{h})=2n{-}1$. Since the algebra $\mathcal{Z}(t^n{+}t,t^n)$ is Poisson-commutative, we have the inclusion $V(\boldsymbol{h})\subset\mathcal{P}^{(\,,\,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},t^n}$ and hence $\dim\mathcal{P}^{(\,,\,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},t^n}\geqslant 2n{-}1$.

Next we show that $\dim\mathcal{P}^{(\,,\,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},t^n}\leqslant 2n{-}1$. Since $\deg_t\{\boldsymbol{h},F\}_{t^n}=\deg_tF+1$ for any F in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$, the Poisson centraliser $\mathcal{P}^{(\,,\,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},t^n}$ is a homogeneous in t subspace. For a homogeneous in t element $F\in\mathcal{P}^{(\,,\,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},t^n}$, let $C\boldsymbol{h}[a,b]$ be its nonzero summand with $b\geqslant a$ and with the largest difference $b{-}a$. By (4·3), $\{\boldsymbol{h},F\}_{t^n}$ is a linear combination of $\psi_{t^n}(\mathcal{X}[1,\alpha,\beta{+}1])$ with $\alpha,\beta\geqslant 0$. The largest difference $(\beta+1)-\alpha$ that can occur here is $b+1-a$ and the corresponding summand of $\{\boldsymbol{h},F\}_{t^n}$ is either $C\psi_{t^n}(\mathcal{X}[1,a,b{+}1])$, if $a\neq b$, or $2C\psi_{t^n}(\mathcal{X}[1,a,a{+}1])$, if $a=b$. Since $\{\boldsymbol{h},F\}_{t^n}=0$, we must have $\psi_{t^n}(\mathcal{X}[1,a,b+1])=0$. This implies that either $b=n{-}1$

or $a=1$ or $a=b=0$. Altogether we have $n+(n-2)+1=2n-1$ possibilities for (a,b). The inequality is settled for $p=t^n$.

With the help of the linear maps φ_s with $s\in\Bbbk^\times$, any Lie bracket $[\ ,\ ]_p$ can be contracted to $[\ ,\ ]_{t^n}$, see Lemma 2.5. We have $\varphi_s(\boldsymbol{h}[a,b])=s^{a+b}\boldsymbol{h}[a,b]$ for any a,b. Thereby $\mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},\tilde{p}}=\varphi_s^{-1}(\mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},p})$ if $\tilde{p}=s^n\varphi_s^{-1}(p)$ and $\dim\mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},\tilde{p}}=\dim\mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},p}$ in this case. Furthermore, $\lim_{s\to 0}\varphi_s^{-1}(\mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},p})\subset\mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},t^n}$ and thus $\dim\mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},p}\leqslant\dim\mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},t^n}=2n-1$. □

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h}[0,1],p}$ stand for the Poisson centraliser of $\boldsymbol{h}[0,1]$ w.r.t. the bracket $\{\ ,\ \}_p$ in the span of $\{\boldsymbol{h}[a,b]\mid 0\leqslant a,b<n\}$. Then $\dim\mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h}[0,1],p}\leqslant 2n-1$.*

Proof. Our argument here is very similar to the one used in the case of $\boldsymbol{h}$. For a homogeneous in t element $F\in\mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h}[0,1],t^n}$, let $C\boldsymbol{h}[a,b]$ be its nonzero summand with $b\geqslant a$ and with the largest difference $b-a$. Then $\psi_{t^n}(\mathcal{X}[0,a,b+1])=0$, which means that either $b=n-1$ or $a=0$. Altogether we have $n+(n-1)=2n-1$ possibilities for (a,b).

The inequality $\dim\mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h}[0,1],p}\leqslant\dim\mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h}[0,1],t^n}$ holds by the same reason as in the proof of Lemma 4.7. □

Lemma 4.9. *For any $\xi t^c\in\mathfrak{q}[t,t^{-1}]$ with $\xi\in\mathfrak{q}$, we have*

$$\{\boldsymbol{H}[a,b],\xi t^c\}=\sum_{j,i}(\xi,[x_j,x_i])(x_jt^{a+c}x_it^b+x_jt^{b+c}x_it^a).$$

Proof. By definition, $\{\boldsymbol{H}[a,b],\xi t^c\}=\sum_i(\{x_i,\xi\}t^{a+c}x_it^b+\{x_i,\xi\}t^{b+c}x_it^a)$. Furthermore

$$\sum_i\{x_i,\xi\}t^{a+c}x_it^b=\sum_{i,j}([x_i,\xi],x_j)x_jt^{a+c}x_it^b=\sum_{j,i}(\xi,[x_j,x_i])x_jt^{a+c}x_it^b.$$

Since the summand $\sum_i\{x_i,\xi\}t^{b+c}x_it^a$ decomposes similarly, the result follows. □

For $\xi\in\mathfrak{q}$ and $\tilde{a},\tilde{b}\geqslant 0$ set,

$$Y_\xi[\tilde{a},\tilde{b}]=\sum_{j,i}(\xi,[x_j,x_i])x_jt^{\tilde{a}}x_it^{\tilde{b}}\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t]).$$

Note that $Y_\xi[\tilde{a},\tilde{b}]=-Y_\xi[\tilde{b},\tilde{a}]$, in particular, $Y_\xi[\tilde{a},\tilde{a}]=0$. By Lemma 4.9, we have

$$\{\boldsymbol{H}[\tilde{a},\tilde{b}],\xi t\}=Y_\xi[\tilde{a}+1,\tilde{b}]+Y_\xi[\tilde{b}+1,\tilde{a}].$$

Assuming that $0\leqslant a,b<n$, set $\bar{Y}_\xi[a,b]=\psi_p(Y_\xi[a,b])\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$. If $(\xi,[\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{q}])=0$, then each $Y_\xi[\tilde{a},\tilde{b}]$ is zero. If $(\xi,[\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{q}])\neq 0$, then $\{\bar{Y}_\xi[a,b]\mid 0\leqslant a<b<n\}$ is a set of linearly independent elements.

Lemma 4.10. *Suppose $p=t^n-(c_{n-1}t^{n-1}+\ldots+c_1t+c_0)$. For $3\leqslant k\leqslant n$, set*

$$X_k=\boldsymbol{h}[k-1,2]+\boldsymbol{h}[k-2,3]+\ldots+\boldsymbol{h}[a+1,a]\ \text{ if }\ k=2a\ \text{ is even};$$

$$X_k=\boldsymbol{h}[k-1,2]+\boldsymbol{h}[k-2,3]+\ldots+\boldsymbol{h}[a+1,a-1]+\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{h}[a,a]\ \text{ if }\ k=2a-1\ \text{ is odd.}$$

Then $X:=c_0\boldsymbol{h}[1,0]+\frac{1}{2}c_1\boldsymbol{h}-\left(\sum_{k=3}^{n-1}c_kX_k\right)+X_n$ belongs to $\mathcal{Z}_p$.

Proof. First we show that $\{X, \xi\bar{t}\}_p = 0$ if $\xi \in \mathfrak{q}$. If $3 \leqslant k < n$, then $\{X_k, \xi\bar{t}\}_p = \bar{Y}_\xi[k, 2]$. Furthermore, $\{X_n, \xi\bar{t}\}_p = c_0\bar{Y}_\xi[0, 2] + c_1\bar{Y}_\xi[1, 2] + c_3\bar{Y}_\xi[3, 2] + \ldots + c_{n-1}\bar{Y}_\xi[n-1, 2]$. Summing up,

$$\{X, \xi\bar{t}\}_p = c_0\bar{Y}_\xi[2, 0] + c_1\bar{Y}_\xi[2, 1] - (c_3\bar{Y}_\xi[3, 2] + \ldots + c_{n-1}\bar{Y}_\xi[n-1, 2]) + \\ + c_0\bar{Y}_\xi[0, 2] + c_1\bar{Y}_\xi[1, 2] + c_3\bar{Y}_\xi[3, 2] + \ldots + c_{n-1}\bar{Y}_\xi[n-1, 2] = 0.$$

Thus $\{\mathfrak{q} \oplus \mathfrak{q}\bar{t}, X\}_p = 0$. If $[\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}] = \mathfrak{q}$, then $\mathfrak{q}\bar{t}^{k+1} = [\mathfrak{q}\bar{t}, \mathfrak{q}\bar{t}^k]_p$ as long as $k < n - 1$. Hence we have $X \in \mathcal{Z}_p$ in that particular case. This covers semisimple Lie algebras.

Suppose that $2 \leqslant k < n$ and p are fixed. Then $\{\xi\bar{t}^k, X\}_p = \sum_{a<b} C_{a,b}\bar{Y}_\xi[a, b]$, where the coefficients $C_{a,b} \in \Bbbk$ depend only on a and b; they are independent of ξ and $\mathfrak{q}$. In case $\mathfrak{q}$ is semisimple and $\xi \neq 0$, we must have $C_{a,b} = 0$ for all $0 \leqslant a < b \leqslant n - 1$. Hence $\{\xi\bar{t}^k, X\}_p = 0$ for any $\mathfrak{q}$ and any $\xi \in \mathfrak{q}$. □

Proposition 4.11. *For any $p \in \Bbbk[t]$ as above, we have $\boldsymbol{h} \in \mathcal{Z}_p + \mathcal{Z}_{p+t} \subset \mathcal{Z}(p, p + t)$.*

Proof. Write $p = t^n - (c_{n-1}t^{n-1} + \ldots + c_1t + c_0)$. Let $X \in \mathcal{Z}_p$ be the same as in Lemma 4.10. By a similar argument, $X - \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{h} \in \mathcal{Z}_{p+t}$. Hence $\boldsymbol{h} \in \mathcal{Z}_p + \mathcal{Z}_{p+t}$. □

4.2. **Complete integrability of non-reductive Gaudin models.** Suppose $p = \prod_{i=1}^n (x-a_i)$, where the roots a_i are distinct and nonzero. Let $\bar{r}_k$ be the same as in (2·2). Then

$$\boldsymbol{h} = 2\left(\sum_{k<i} a_k a_i \boldsymbol{h}[\bar{r}_k, \bar{r}_i]\right) + \sum_k a_k^2 \boldsymbol{h}[\bar{r}_k, \bar{r}_k]$$

and here $\boldsymbol{h}[\bar{r}_k, \bar{r}_k] \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}[t]/(p))$ for each k. Recall that we identify $\mathfrak{q}[t]/(p)$ with $\mathfrak{q}^{\oplus n}$.

Let $\mathcal{H}_k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}^{\oplus n})$ be defined by (3·6) with $\mathfrak{q}$ in place of $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e., $\mathcal{H}_k = \sum_{j\neq k} \frac{1}{z_k - z_j}\boldsymbol{h}[\bar{r}_k, \bar{r}_j]$. For distinct i, j, k, set

$$\bar{\mathcal{X}}[\bar{r}_i, \bar{r}_j, \bar{r}_k] := \sum_{\iota,\nu,\upsilon} ([x_\iota, x_\nu], x_\upsilon) x_\iota \bar{r}_i x_\nu \bar{r}_j x_\upsilon \bar{r}_k.$$

Since $\mathfrak{q}\bar{r}_i$, $\mathfrak{q}\bar{r}_j$, $\mathfrak{q}\bar{r}_k$ pairwise commute, we can regard $\bar{\mathcal{X}}[\bar{r}_i, \bar{r}_j, \bar{r}_k]$ as an element of either $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$ or $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_p)$. The same applies to all $\mathcal{H}_i$. By the same calculation as we performed in Section 3.2,

$$[\mathcal{H}_k, \mathcal{H}_i] = \sum_{j\neq k,i} \left(\frac{1}{(z_k - z_j)(z_i - z_j)} - \frac{1}{(z_k - z_i)(z_i - z_j)} - \frac{1}{(z_k - z_j)(z_i - z_k)}\right) \bar{\mathcal{X}}[\bar{r}_k, \bar{r}_i, \bar{r}_j] = 0$$

for $k \neq i$. Suppose now that $z_i = a_i^{-1}$ for each i, then $\mathcal{H}_k = \sum_{j\neq k} \frac{-a_j a_k}{a_k - a_j}\boldsymbol{h}[\bar{r}_k, \bar{r}_j]$. Furthermore,

$$\boldsymbol{h} = -2\left(\sum_k a_k \mathcal{H}_k\right) + \sum_k a_k^2 \boldsymbol{h}[\bar{r}_k, \bar{r}_k]. \tag{4·4}$$

Theorem 4.12. (i) *We have* $\dim \mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},p} = 2n-1$ *for any* p *of degree* n.
(ii) *If* $p = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(x-a_i)$ *with* $a_i \neq a_j$ *for* $i \neq j$, $p(0) \neq 0$, *and the Hamiltonians* $\mathcal{H}_k$ *are associated with* $\vec{z} = (a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_n^{-1})$, *then* $\mathcal{H}_k \in \psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}, t))$ *and* $\mathcal{H}_k \in \mathcal{Z}(p, p+t)$ *for each* k.

Proof. By Proposition 4.11, $\boldsymbol{h} \in \mathcal{Z}(p, p+t)$ for any p of degree n. Hence

$$\mathcal{Z}(p,p+t) \cap \mathrm{Pol}(F) \subset \mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},p} \quad \text{for} \quad F = \sum_i x_i^2.$$

By Proposition 3.4, $\dim \mathcal{Z}(p,p+t) \cap \mathrm{Pol}(F) \geqslant 2n-1$. This leads to $\dim \mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},p} \geqslant 2n-1$. Now part (i) follows from Lemma 4.7. We have also $\mathcal{Z}(p,p+t) \cap \mathrm{Pol}(F) = \mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},p}$.
(ii) By the construction, $\boldsymbol{H} \in \mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}, t)$. Hence $\boldsymbol{h} \in \psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}, t))$ for any p. Set

$$\hat{V}_p(\boldsymbol{h}) := \psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}, t)) \cap \langle \boldsymbol{h}[a,b] \mid 0 \leqslant a, b < n \rangle .$$

We are assuming that $p(0) \neq 0$. Therefore $\dim \hat{V}_p(\boldsymbol{h}) \geqslant 2n-1$ by Lemma 4.4. Since $\hat{V}_p(\boldsymbol{h}) \subset \mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},p}$, we have $\hat{V}_p(\boldsymbol{h}) = \mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},p}$. From (4·4) we deduce that $\mathcal{H}_k \in \mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},p}$ for each k. Thus $\mathcal{H}_k \in \mathcal{Z}(p,p+t)$ and $\mathcal{H}_k \in \psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}, t))$. □

For any p, the subspace $\hat{V}_p(\boldsymbol{h})$ contains the following linearly independent elements:

$$\boldsymbol{h} \text{ and the sums } \psi_p(\tau^{k-2}(\boldsymbol{H})) = (k-2)! \sum_{1 \leqslant a,b;\, a+b=k} \boldsymbol{h}[a,b] \text{ with } 3 \leqslant k \leqslant n. \tag{4·5}$$

In case p has distinct roots, there are $\bar{r}_i = r_i + (p)$ with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ defined in Example 2.4, and $\boldsymbol{h}[\bar{r}_i, \bar{r}_i] \in \mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},p}$ for each i, since $\boldsymbol{h}[\bar{r}_i, \bar{r}_i] \in \mathcal{Z}_p$. Write $r_i = c_{i,n-1}t^{n-1} + \ldots + c_{i,1}t + c_{i,0}$ and assume that $p(0) \neq 0$. Then any $c_{i,0} = r_i(0) \neq 0$ and

$$\boldsymbol{h}[\bar{r}_i, \bar{r}_i] = r_i(0)(c_{i,0}\boldsymbol{h}[0,0] + 2c_{i,1}\boldsymbol{h}[0,1] + \ldots + 2c_{i,n-1}\boldsymbol{h}[0,n-1]) + \\ (\text{summands } C_{a,b}\boldsymbol{h}[a,b] \text{ with } 1 \leqslant a \leqslant b).$$

Since the polynomials $\bar{r}_1, \dots, \bar{r}_n$ are linearly independent, we conclude that the elements listed in (4·5) together with $\boldsymbol{h}[\bar{r}_i, \bar{r}_i]$, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, form a basis of $\hat{V}_p(\boldsymbol{h}) = \mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},p}$. Clearly $\{\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_{n-1}, \boldsymbol{h}[\bar{r}_i, \bar{r}_i] \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\}$ is another basis of $\mathcal{P}^{(,)}_{\boldsymbol{h},p}$. Our discussion leads to the following statement.

($\mathcal{G}\boldsymbol{h}$) If p has nonzero distinct roots, then the Gaudin model $(\mathfrak{q}^{\oplus n}, [\ ,\ ], \mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_n)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}_p := (\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_p, \boldsymbol{h}, \psi_p(\tau(\boldsymbol{H})), \dots, \psi_p(\tau^{n-2}(\boldsymbol{H})))$.

Next we would like to understand the quadratic part of $\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{w}$. Since $\boldsymbol{H}[1,1]$ and $\boldsymbol{H}[1,2]$ are elements of $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}, t)$, we have

$$\varepsilon^2\boldsymbol{H}[1,1] + 2\varepsilon\boldsymbol{H}[0,1] + \boldsymbol{H}[0,0] \in \mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}, \varepsilon t + 1) \text{ and} \\ \varepsilon^3\boldsymbol{H}[2,1] + \varepsilon^2\boldsymbol{H}[2,0] + 2\varepsilon^2\boldsymbol{H}[1,1] + 3\varepsilon\boldsymbol{H}[0,1] + \boldsymbol{H}[0,0] \in \mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}, \varepsilon t + 1). \tag{4·6}$$

Hence $\boldsymbol{H}[0,1] \in \mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}$. This implies $\{\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}, \boldsymbol{H}[0,1]\} = 0$. For $\boldsymbol{j} \geqslant 2$, set $\boldsymbol{H}^{[\boldsymbol{j}]} = \sum_{a,b\geqslant 1,\, a+b=\boldsymbol{j}} \boldsymbol{H}[a,b]$; then for $\boldsymbol{j} \geqslant 0$, set $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{[\boldsymbol{j}]} = \sum_{a,b\geqslant 0,\, a+b=\boldsymbol{j}} \boldsymbol{H}[a,b]$. Let $\Psi_\varepsilon \colon \mathfrak{q}[t] \to \mathfrak{q}[t]$ with $\varepsilon \in \Bbbk$ be defined by

$$\Psi_\varepsilon(xt^k) = x(\varepsilon t + 1)^k$$

for $x \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $k \geqslant 0$. Set further $\Psi = \Psi_1$. We extend this maps to $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t])$. Finally let $\Psi(F)_\bullet$ stand for the lowest t-component of $\Psi(F)$ with $F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t])$. In this terms, $\boldsymbol{H}^{[2]} = \boldsymbol{H}[1,1]$, $\boldsymbol{H}^{[3]} = 2\boldsymbol{H}[1,2]$, and $\Psi(\boldsymbol{H}[1,1])_\bullet = \boldsymbol{H}[0,0]$, $\Psi(\boldsymbol{H}[1,2] - \boldsymbol{H}[1,1])_\bullet = \boldsymbol{H}[0,1]$.

Lemma 4.13. *Let $\mathcal{P}^{(\cdot,\cdot)}_{\boldsymbol{H}[0,1]}$ be the Poisson centraliser of $\boldsymbol{H}[0,1]$ in the span of $\{\boldsymbol{H}[a,b] \mid a,b \geqslant 0\}$. Then $\mathcal{P}^{(\cdot,\cdot)}_{\boldsymbol{H}[0,1]} = \left\langle \widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{[\boldsymbol{j}]} \mid \boldsymbol{j} \geqslant 0 \right\rangle$.*

Proof. Using (4·3), one sees that $\{\boldsymbol{H}[0,1], \boldsymbol{H}[a,b]\} = \mathcal{X}[a+1,0,b] + \mathcal{X}[b+1,0,a]$ and checks readily that $\left\langle \widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{[\boldsymbol{j}]} \mid \boldsymbol{j} \geqslant 0 \right\rangle \subset \mathcal{P}^{(\cdot,\cdot)}_{\boldsymbol{H}[0,1]}$.

The subspace $\mathcal{P}^{(\cdot,\cdot)}_{\boldsymbol{H}[0,1]}$ is spanned by homogeneous in t elements. Let $F \in \mathcal{P}^{(\cdot,\cdot)}_{\boldsymbol{H}[0,1]}$ be homogeneous in t. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\boldsymbol{H}[a,b]$ with $b \geqslant a$ is a summand of F with the largest b. Now $\mathcal{X}[1+b,0,a]$ is a summand of $\{\boldsymbol{H}[0,1], F\}$ with the largest difference $b+1-a$. Hence $\mathcal{X}[1+b,0,a] = 0$. Since $b+1 > a$ and $b+1 > 0$, this is possible if and only if $a = 0$. Thus $F \in \Bbbk\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{[\boldsymbol{j}]}$ with $\boldsymbol{j} = \deg_t F$. □

Lemma 4.14. *For any $\boldsymbol{j} \geqslant 2$, there are $c_{\boldsymbol{j}-1}, \dots, c_2 \in \Bbbk$ such that $\Psi(\boldsymbol{H}^{[\boldsymbol{j}]} - \sum_{u=2}^{\boldsymbol{j}-1} c_u \boldsymbol{H}^{[u]})_\bullet = C\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{[\boldsymbol{j}-2]}$ with $C \in \Bbbk^\times$.*

Proof. For $\boldsymbol{j} = 2$ and $\boldsymbol{j} = 3$, we have checked the statement, see (4·6). Therefore suppose that $\boldsymbol{j} \geqslant 4$. Suppose further that the statements holds for all u such that $\boldsymbol{j} > u \geqslant 2$. Then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \left\langle \Psi_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{H}^{[u]}) \mid 2 \leqslant u < \boldsymbol{j} \right\rangle = \left\langle \widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{[u]} \mid 0 \leqslant u \leqslant \boldsymbol{j} - 3 \right\rangle.$$

Thereby there are $c_{\boldsymbol{j}-1}, \dots, c_2 \in \Bbbk$ such that $\deg_t \Upsilon \geqslant \boldsymbol{j} - 2$ for $\Upsilon := \Psi(\boldsymbol{H}^{[\boldsymbol{j}]} - \sum_{u=2}^{\boldsymbol{j}-1} c_u \boldsymbol{H}^{[u]})_\bullet$. Our goal is to prove that $\deg_t \Upsilon = \boldsymbol{j} - 2$.

Set $k := \deg_t \Upsilon$. Since $\{\boldsymbol{H}[0,1], \Upsilon\} = 0$, we have $\Upsilon \in \Bbbk\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{[k]}$ by Lemma 4.13. Since Υ is nonzero, it must have a nonzero summand proportional to $\boldsymbol{H}[0,k]$. Thereby $k \leqslant \boldsymbol{j} - 1$. Assume that $k = \boldsymbol{j} - 1$.

If $u > b > 0$, then the coefficient of $\boldsymbol{H}[0,b]$ in $\Psi(\boldsymbol{H}^{[u]})$ is equal to

$$2\sum_{i=1}^{u-b} \binom{u-i}{b} = 2\left(\underbrace{1 + \binom{b+1}{b}}_{\binom{b+2}{b+1}} + \binom{b+2}{b} + \ldots + \binom{u-1}{b} \right) = 2\binom{u}{b+1}. \tag{4·7}$$

The coefficient of $\boldsymbol{H}[0,0]$ in $\Psi(\boldsymbol{H}^{[u]})$ is equal to $u-1$. Thereby we must have

$$(-1, c_{\boldsymbol{j}-1}, c_{\boldsymbol{j}-2}, \dots, c_2)A = 0$$

for

$$A = A_{\boldsymbol{j}} = \begin{pmatrix} \binom{\boldsymbol{j}}{\boldsymbol{j}-1} & \binom{\boldsymbol{j}}{\boldsymbol{j}-2} & \binom{\boldsymbol{j}}{\boldsymbol{j}-3} & \dots & \binom{\boldsymbol{j}}{2} & \boldsymbol{j}-1 \\ 1 & \binom{\boldsymbol{j}-1}{\boldsymbol{j}-2} & \binom{\boldsymbol{j}-1}{\boldsymbol{j}-3} & \dots & \binom{\boldsymbol{j}-1}{2} & \boldsymbol{j}-2 \\ 0 & 1 & \binom{\boldsymbol{j}-2}{\boldsymbol{j}-3} & \dots & \binom{\boldsymbol{j}-2}{2} & \boldsymbol{j}-3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & \binom{\boldsymbol{j}-3}{2} & \boldsymbol{j}-4 \\ \vdots & . & . & . & . & \vdots \\ 0 & . & . & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & . & . & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Elementary manipulations with lines of A show that $\det(A) = \det(A_{\boldsymbol{j}-1})$. Since $A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 6 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, we have $\det(A_{\boldsymbol{j}}) = \begin{vmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1$ for all $\boldsymbol{j} \geqslant 4$. This is a contradiction and hence $\deg_t \Upsilon = \boldsymbol{j} - 2$. Since $\Upsilon \neq 0$, indeed $\Upsilon = C\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{[\boldsymbol{j}-2]}$ with $C \in \Bbbk^\times$. □

Theorem 4.15. *If $p = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - a_i)$ with $a_i \neq a_j$ for $i \neq j$, and the Hamiltonians $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k$ are associated with $\vec{z} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k \in \psi_p(\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v})$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_k \in \mathcal{Z}(p, p+1)$ for each k.*

Proof. Let X be the same as in Lemma 4.10. Then $X \in \mathcal{Z}_p$ and $X - \boldsymbol{h}[0,1] \in \mathcal{Z}_{p+1}$. Thereby $\boldsymbol{h}[0,1] \in \mathcal{Z}(p, p+1)$. Hence

$$\mathcal{Z}(p, p+1) \cap \mathrm{Pol}(F) \subset \mathcal{P}^{(\cdot,\cdot)}_{\boldsymbol{h}[0,1],p} \quad \text{for} \quad F = \sum_i x_i^2.$$

By Proposition 3.4, $\dim \mathcal{Z}(p, p+1) \cap \mathrm{Pol}(F) \geqslant 2n-1$. This leads to $\dim \mathcal{P}^{(\cdot,\cdot)}_{\boldsymbol{h}[0,1],p} = 2n-1$ in view of Lemma 4.8; and to the equality $\mathcal{Z}(p, p+1) \cap \mathrm{Pol}(F) = \mathcal{P}^{(\cdot,\cdot)}_{\boldsymbol{h}[0,1],p}$. According to (4·6), $\boldsymbol{H}[0,1] \in \mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}$ and hence $\boldsymbol{h}[0,1] \in \psi_p(\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v})$ for any p. Set

$$\hat{V}_p(\boldsymbol{h}[0,1]) := \psi_p(\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}) \cap \langle \boldsymbol{h}[a,b] \mid 0 \leqslant a, b < n \rangle .$$

Using Lemma 4.14, we show that $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v})$ contains the sums

$$\left(\sum_{0 \leqslant a,b \leqslant n-1,\ a+b=k} \boldsymbol{h}[a,b] \right) + (\text{ terms with lower } \bar{t}\text{-degree})$$

with $0 \leqslant k \leqslant 2(n-1)$. Thereby $\dim \hat{V}_p(\boldsymbol{h}[0,1]) \geqslant 2n-1$. Since $\hat{V}_p(\boldsymbol{h}[0,1]) \subset \mathcal{P}^{(\cdot,\cdot)}_{\boldsymbol{h}[0,1],p}$, this implies the identity $\hat{V}_p(\boldsymbol{h}[0,1]) = \mathcal{P}^{(\cdot,\cdot)}_{\boldsymbol{h}[0,1],p}$. Next

$$\boldsymbol{h}[0,1] = \left(\sum_{i<j} (a_i + a_j) \boldsymbol{h}[\bar{r}_i, \bar{r}_j] \right) + \sum_i a_i \boldsymbol{h}[\bar{r}_i, \bar{r}_i] = \left(\sum_i a_i^2 \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i \right) + \sum_i a_i \boldsymbol{h}[\bar{r}_i, \bar{r}_i].$$

From this we deduce that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i \in \mathcal{P}^{(\cdot,\cdot)}_{\boldsymbol{h}[0,1],p}$ for each i. Thus $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i \in \mathcal{Z}(p,p{+}1)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i \in \psi_p(\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v})$. □

Making use of the fact that $\mathcal{P}^{(\cdot,\cdot)}_{\boldsymbol{h}[0,1],p} = \psi_p(\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}) \cap \mathrm{Pol}(F)$, where $F = \sum_i x_i^2$, is a homogeneous in $\bar{t}$ subspace, it is easy to see that $F^{[\boldsymbol{j}]} \in \mathcal{P}^{(\cdot,\cdot)}_{\boldsymbol{h}[0,1],p}$ if $1 \leqslant \boldsymbol{j} < n$. These elements are linearly independent. In case $\boldsymbol{j} = 1$, we obtain $F^{[1]} = 2\boldsymbol{h}[0,1]$. Suppose that $\xi \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $[\xi, \mathfrak{q}] \neq 0$. A straightforward calculation shows that $\{F^{[\boldsymbol{j}]}, \xi\bar{t}\}_p = 2\psi_p(Y_\xi[\boldsymbol{j}{+}1, 0])$. Thereby $\langle F^{[\boldsymbol{j}]} \mid 1 \leqslant \boldsymbol{j} < n\rangle \cap \mathcal{Z}_p \neq \{0\}$ only if $\psi_p(Y_\xi[n,0])$ does not have nonzero summands proportional to $\bar{Y}_\xi[1,0]$, i.e., only if $(\partial_t p)(0) = 0$. In all other cases, $\mathcal{P}^{(\cdot,\cdot)}_{\boldsymbol{h}[0,1],p}$ has a basis

$$\{\boldsymbol{h}[0,1],\ F^{[\boldsymbol{j}]},\ \boldsymbol{h}[\bar{r}_i, \bar{r}_i] \mid 2 \leqslant \boldsymbol{j} < n,\ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\}.$$

It also has a basis

$$\{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{n-1}, \boldsymbol{h}[\bar{r}_1, \bar{r}_1], \dots, \boldsymbol{h}[\bar{r}_n, \bar{r}_n]\}$$

Thus the following statement is true.

($\mathcal{G}\mathfrak{v}$) If a polynomial p has distinct roots and $(\partial_t p)(0) \neq 0$, then the Hamiltonian system $(\mathfrak{q}^{\oplus n}, [\ ,\ ], \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{G}\mathfrak{v}_p := \big(\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_p, \boldsymbol{h}[0,1], F^{[\boldsymbol{j}]} \mid 2 \leqslant \boldsymbol{j} \leqslant n{-}1\big)$ with $F = \sum_i x_i^2$.

Theorem 4.16. *Suppose that* $\mathfrak{q}$ *has the* codim–2 *property and* $\mathrm{tr.deg}\, \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathrm{ind}\, \mathfrak{q}$. *Then both systems,* $\mathcal{G}_p$ *and* $\mathcal{G}\mathfrak{v}_p$, *are completely integrable for any* p.

Proof. The Hamiltonians of $\mathcal{G}_p$ are contained in $\mathcal{P}^{(\cdot,\cdot)}_{\boldsymbol{h},p} \subset \mathcal{Z}(p, p{+}t)$. The Hamiltonians of $\mathcal{G}\mathfrak{v}_p$ are contained in $\mathcal{P}^{(\cdot,\cdot)}_{\boldsymbol{h}[0,1],p} \subset \mathcal{Z}(p, p{+}1)$. Both algebras, $\mathcal{Z}(p, p{+}t)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(p, p{+}1)$, are Poisson-commutative. They consists of $\mathfrak{q}$-invariants and have transcendence degree $\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q}, n)$, see Theorem 3.7. In view of (3·1), one may already say that the systems are "completely integrable".

Our conditions on $\mathfrak{q}$ imply that there is a (reasonably nice) Poisson-commutative subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q}{\cdot}1)$ such that $\mathrm{tr.deg}\, \mathcal{A} = \boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q})$ [B91]. Then $\mathsf{alg}\langle \mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{A}\rangle$ with $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}(p, p+t)$ or $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}(p, p+1)$ is still Poisson-commutative. One can show that $\mathrm{tr.deg}\, \mathsf{alg}\langle \mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{A}\rangle = n\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q})$, cf. [PY, Sect. 6.2].

The most classical notion of an integrable system requires the underlying space to be a symplectic manifold (or variety). In case of $\mathfrak{q}^*$, one takes the coadjoint orbits. Since $\mathfrak{q} = \mathrm{Lie}\, Q$ is an algebraic Lie algebra, each $(\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_p)$ is algebraic as well, i.e., $(\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_p) = \mathrm{Lie}\, Q^{\times n,p}$. If p has distinct roots, then $Q^{\times n,p} \cong Q^n$.

The restriction of $\langle \mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{A}\rangle$ to a generic coadjoint orbit $Q^{\times n,p}{\cdot}\gamma \subset \mathbb{W}^*$ has trancendence degree $\frac{1}{2}\dim(Q^{\times n,p}{\cdot}\gamma)$, see e.g. [PY20′, Lemma 1.2]. This means that (the restrictions of) $\mathcal{G}_p$ and $\mathcal{G}\mathfrak{v}_p$ are completely integrable on $Q^{\times n,p}{\cdot}\gamma$. □

Example 4.17. A Takiff Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}\langle k\rangle$ modelled on reductive $\mathfrak{g}$ is a quadratic Lie algebra and, as we already know, it has all nice properties. Another example is provided by centralisres $\mathfrak{q}=\mathfrak{g}_e$ of rectangular nilpotent elements $e\in\mathfrak{g}$, where either

- $\diamond$ $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sp}_{2m}$ and e has $2a$ Jordan blocks of odd size b (i.e., $ab=m$) or
- $\diamond$ $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{so}_{2m}$ and e has $2a$ Jordan blocks of odd size b (i.e., $ab=m$) or
- $\diamond$ $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{so}_{2m+1}$ and e has a Jordan blocks of odd size b (i.e., $ab=2m+1$).

In all these cases, $\mathfrak{g}_e$ is a quadratic Lie algebra and has the *codim*–2 property, furthermore, $\mathrm{tr.deg}\,\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}_e)^{\mathfrak{g}_e}=\mathrm{ind}\,\mathfrak{g}_e=\mathrm{rk}\,\mathfrak{g}$.

5. Gaudin subalgebras: the semisimple case

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be semisimple. The enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$ contains a large commutative subalgebra, the *Feigin–Frenkel centre* $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})=\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}},t)$, the *FF-centre* for short. Historically, the FF-centre was constructed as a subalgebra $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}},t^{-1})\subset\mathcal{U}(t^{-1}\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}])$ [FF]. But it is more convenient for us to switch the variable $t^{-1}\mapsto t$. Then the original differential operator $-\partial_t$ involved in the construction of Feigin and Frenkel has to be replaced with $\tau=t^2\partial_t$. A description of $\mathrm{gr}(\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}},t^{-1}))$ is obtained in [FF], in our notation $\mathrm{gr}(\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))=\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}},t)\subset\mathcal{S}(t\mathfrak{g}[t])$. Because of the change of variable, a slight modification in the description of the *Gaudin subalgebra* introduced in [FFR] is needed as well.

Let $\Delta\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])\cong\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$ be the diagonal of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])^{\otimes n}$. Set $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{g}^{\oplus n}$. For $x\in\mathfrak{g}$ and $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$, let $x^{(i)}\in\mathfrak{h}$ be a copy of x belonging to the i-th copy of $\mathfrak{g}$. Any vector $\vec{a}=(a_1,\dots,a_n)\in\Bbbk^n$ defines a natural homomorphism $\rho_{\vec{a}}\colon\Delta\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])\to\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes n}$, where

$$\rho_{\vec{a}}(xt^k)=a_1^kx^{(1)}+a_2^kx^{(2)}+\ldots+a_n^kx^{(n)}\in\mathfrak{g}\oplus\mathfrak{g}\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathfrak{g}\ \text{ for }\ x\in\mathfrak{g}.$$

Let $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{G}(\vec{a})$ be the image of $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ under $\rho_{\vec{a}}$. If $a_j\neq0$ for each j and $a_j\neq a_k$ for $j\neq k$, then $\mathcal{G}$ contains the Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}_k$ associated with $\vec{z}=(a_1^{-1},\dots,a_n^{-1})$ [FFR], see Section 3.2 for the Definition of $\mathcal{H}_k$. In this case, $[\mathcal{G}(\vec{a}),\mathcal{H}_k]=0$ for each k. One also has $\mathcal{G}\subset\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})^{\Delta\mathfrak{g}}$ for any $\vec{a}$ by the construction. Let us say that $\mathcal{G}(\vec{a})$ is a *Gaudin subalgebra (or algebra)* if $\vec{a}\in(\Bbbk^\times)^n$ and $a_j\neq a_k$ for $j\neq k$. The Feigin–Frenkel centre $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a homogeneous in t algebra [FF]. Thereby $\mathcal{G}(\vec{a})=\mathcal{G}(c\vec{a})$ for any $c\in\Bbbk^\times$.

Several other facts about $\mathcal{G}(\vec{a})$ are known. For instance, $\mathcal{G}(\vec{a})$ is a polynomial ring with $\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{g},n)$ generators and $\mathcal{ZU}(\mathfrak{g}\cdot1)\subset\mathcal{G}(\vec{a})$, see [CFR, Prop. 1].

Proposition 5.1. *Assuming that $a_j\neq a_k$ for $j\neq k$, set $p=\prod_{j=1}^n(t-a_j)$ and identify $\mathfrak{h}$ with $\mathfrak{g}[t]/(p)\cong(\mathbb{W},[\ ,\ ]_p)$ using* (2·2)*. Then the Gaudin subalgebra $\mathcal{G}(\vec{a})$ identifies with the image of $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ in the quotient $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])/(p)\cong\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t]/(p))$.*

Proof. In the notation of (2·2), the image of xt^k in $\mathfrak{g}[t]/(p)$ is equal to

$$a_1^kx\bar{r}_1+a_2^kx\bar{r}_2+\ldots+a_n^kx\bar{r}_n.$$

This is the image of $\rho_{\vec{a}}(xt^k)$ under the isomorphism $\mathfrak{h}\to\mathfrak{g}[t]/(p)$ with $x^{(i)}\mapsto x\bar{r}_i$. □

In the future, we regard $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}\cdot 1 \subset \mathbb{W}$ as the diagonal copy of $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathfrak{h}$. Let us state a few standard facts, valid for all reductive Lie algebras, $\operatorname{ind}\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{rk}\mathfrak{g}$; $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) = \Bbbk[F_1, \dots, F_m]$, where $m = \operatorname{rk}\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{tr.deg}\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ and each F_i is homogeneous; the subset $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ defined in Theorem 2.9 coincides with $\mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathsf{reg}}$ and $\dim \mathfrak{g}^*_{\mathsf{sing}} \leqslant \dim\mathfrak{g} - 3$, see e.g. [K63], [PY, Sect 2], [PY20']. By [FF], $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is freely generated by elements $\tau^k(S_i)$, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$ and $k \geqslant 0$, such that $\operatorname{gr}(S_i) = F_i[t]$ for each i.

Suppose that both $\vec{a}$ and $\vec{b}$ have nonzero pairwise distinct entries. Let p and $\bar{r}_i = r_i + (p)$ be the same as in (2·2). Set $r = \sum_i b_i r_i$. Let $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, r) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$ be the subalgebra obtained from $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ by the substitute $t^k \mapsto r^k$. It is still a commutative subalgebra.

Proposition 5.2. *The Gaudin algebra $\mathcal{G}(\vec{b})$ identifies with the image of $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, r)$ in the quotient $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t]/(p))$.*

Proof. By the construction, the image of xr^k in $\mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{g}[t]/(p)$ equals

$$b_1^k x^{(1)} + b_2^k x^{(2)} + \ldots + b_n^k x^{(n)} = \rho_{\vec{b}}(xt^k)$$

for each $k \geqslant 0$. □

Example 5.3. Suppose $n = 2$, then $\mathcal{G}(a_1, a_2) = \mathcal{G}(\frac{a_1}{1-a_1 c}, \frac{a_2}{1-a_2 c})$ if c belongs to $\Bbbk \setminus \{a_1^{-1}, a_2^{-1}\}$, see [CFR, Prop. 1]. Hence $\mathcal{G}(\vec{a}) = \mathcal{G}(\frac{2a_1a_2}{a_2-a_1}, \frac{2a_1a_2}{a_1-a_2}) = \mathcal{G}(1, -1)$ if $a_1 \neq a_2$ and $a_1, a_2 \in \Bbbk^\times$. Therefore $\psi_p(\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, r)) = \psi_p(\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$, whenever p has distinct nonzero roots and $r = \alpha t + c$ (with $\alpha \in \Bbbk^\times$, $c \in \Bbbk$) does not divide p.

Set $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\vec{a}) = \operatorname{gr}(\mathcal{G}(\vec{a})) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{h})$.

Proposition 5.4. *Suppose that $p = \prod_i (x - a_i)$, where $a_i \neq a_j$ for $i \neq j$, and $p(0) \neq 0$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\vec{a}) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{h})$ identifies with $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, t)) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$. Furthermore, the associated graded algebra $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\vec{b}) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{h})$ of the Gaudin algebra $\mathcal{G}(\vec{b})$ identifies with $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, r))$, where $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, r) = \operatorname{gr}(\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, r))$ and $r = \sum_i b_i r_i$.*

Proof. The maps gr and ψ_p almost commute. If we have $\psi_p(\operatorname{gr}(\Xi)) \neq 0$ for $\Xi \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$, then $\psi_p(\operatorname{gr}(\Xi)) = \operatorname{gr}(\psi_p(\Xi))$. Thereby $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, t))$ is contained in $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\vec{a})$, up to our usual identification, see Proposition 5.1.

Set $d_i = \deg F_i$. Suppose that $p(0) \neq 0$. Then

$$\dim \left\langle \psi_p \circ \tau^k(F_i[t]) \mid 0 \leqslant k \leqslant d_i(n-1) \right\rangle \geqslant d_i(n-1) + 1,$$

see the proof of Lemma 4.4. It follows from results of Section 6, see Theorems 6.1, 6.2, that $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, t))$ is freely generated by $\psi_p \circ \tau^k(F_i[t])$ with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$ and $0 \leqslant k \leqslant d_i(n-1)$. Furthermore, $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, t))$ is a maximal (w.r.t. inclusion) Poisson-commutative subalgebra of $(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W}), \{\ ,\ \}_p)^{\mathfrak{g}}$ by Theorems 6.1, 6.4. Since $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\vec{a}) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{h})^{\mathfrak{g}}$, we obtain $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, t)) = \overline{\mathcal{G}}(\vec{a})$.

The inclusion $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, r)) \subset \overline{\mathcal{G}}(\vec{b})$ follows from Proposition 5.2. The change of variable $t \mapsto r$ leads to an isomorphism $\psi_{\tilde{p}}(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, t)) \cong \psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, r))$, where $\tilde{p} = \prod_{i=1}^n (t - b_i)$. Since

$\tilde{p}(0) \neq 0$, the subalgebra $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, r))$ is a polynomial ring and its Poincaré series coincides with that of $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, t)) = \overline{\mathcal{G}}(\vec{a})$ and of any Gaudin algebra. Hence $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, r)) = \overline{\mathcal{G}}(\vec{b})$. □

As a corollary of Proposition 5.4, we obtain the following two facts, which are not entirely new,

(5·1)

$\mathcal{G}(\vec{a})$ is freely generated by the elements $\psi_p \circ \tau^k(S_i)$, s.t. $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, $0 \leqslant k \leqslant d_i(n-1)$;

(5·2) $\mathcal{G}(\vec{a})$ is a maximal commutative subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})^{\mathfrak{g}}$,

cf. [CFR, Prop. 1], [PY21, Sect. 7].

Example 5.5. In case $p = t^n - 1$ and $r = t^{n-1}$, we have $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, r)) = \mathcal{Z}(p, t^n) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$, see [PY21].

The image $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, t)) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$ definitely depends on p. The statement of Conjecture 4.5(i) implies that $\psi_{p+t}(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, t)) = \psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, t))$. It is difficult to interpret this equality in terms of $\mathfrak{g}^{\oplus n}$, since our fixed isomorphism $(\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_p) \cong \mathfrak{g}^{\oplus n}$ depends on p. In the enveloping algebra, we have $\psi_p(\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_p)$ and there is no sense in comparing $\psi_p(\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$ with $\psi_{p+t}(\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$. However, we may consider $\psi_p(\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, r)) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{W}, [\ ,\ ]_p)$ for any $r \in \Bbbk[t]$.

5.1. **Subalgebra $\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$.** By a remarkable result of L. Rybnikov [R08], $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the centraliser in $\mathcal{U}(t\mathfrak{g}[t])$ of $\boldsymbol{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} (x_i t)^2$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, t)$ is the Poisson centraliser of $\boldsymbol{H}$ in $\mathcal{S}(t\mathfrak{g}[t])$. By [IR, Prop. 4.9.], $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, [0]) = \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}[t])^{\mathfrak{g}[t^{-1}]}$ is the Poisson centraliser of $\boldsymbol{H}[0,1]$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}[t])^{\mathfrak{g}}$. This recent result helps us to understand $\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}$.

Let $\vec{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_d)$ be a tuple of non-negative integers. For $Y = y_1 \dots y_d \in \mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{g})$, let $Y[\vec{k}, t] \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$ be the $\vec{k}$-polasiration of Y defined in the same way as in Section 2.1, but with t in place of $\bar{t}$. The notation extends to all elements of $\mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{g})$. For $F \in \mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{g})$, set $\mathrm{Pol}(F, t) = \left\langle F[\vec{k}, t] \mid \vec{k} \right\rangle$.

Proposition 5.6. *For a semismple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, we have $\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v} = \mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, [0])$.*

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \varepsilon t + 1)$. By (4·6), we have $\boldsymbol{H}[0,1] \in \mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}$. Combining the facts that $\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}[t])^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is Poisson-commutative and that $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, [0])$ is the Poisson centraliser of $\boldsymbol{H}[0,1]$ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}[t])^{\mathfrak{g}}$ [IR, Prop. 4.9.], we obtain $\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v} \subset \mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, [0])$.

Let $\mathcal{V}\colon t\mathfrak{g}[t] \to \mathfrak{g}[t]$ be the linear map given by $\mathcal{V}(xt^k) = xt^{k-1}$ for $x \in \mathfrak{q}$ und $k \geqslant 1$. We extend it to a homomorphism from $\mathcal{S}(t\mathfrak{g}[t])$ to $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$. The algebra $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, t)$ is generated by $\tau^k(F_j[t])$ with $1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$ and $k \geqslant 0$; the algebra $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, [0])$ is generated by $\mathcal{V} \circ \tau^k(F_j[t])$ with $1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$ and $k \geqslant 0$, cf. Theorem 4.2.

Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.14, we will show that for any j and k, there are elements $c_{k-1}, \dots, c_0 \in \Bbbk$ such that

(5·3) $$\Psi(\tau^k(F_j[t]) - c_{k-1}\tau^{k-1}(F_j[t]) - \dots - c_0 F_j[t])_\bullet = C\mathcal{V} \circ \tau^k(F_j[t])$$

with $C \in \Bbbk^\times$. Let j be fixed. It is convenient to replace each $\tau^k(F_j[t])$ with $\boldsymbol{F}_k = \frac{1}{k!}\tau^k(F_j[t])$. If $k = 0$, then the statement is obvious, $\Psi(\boldsymbol{F}_0)_\bullet = \mathscr{V}(\boldsymbol{F}_0)$. Therefore assume that $k \geqslant 1$ and that for all $u < k$ the statement is proven.

For any $F \in \mathrm{Pol}(F_j, t) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, t)$, we have $\Psi(F)_\bullet \in \mathrm{Pol}(F_j, t) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, [0])$. Since the field $\Bbbk$ is algebraically closed, $|\Bbbk| = \infty$ and we can define an evaluation map $\mathsf{Ev}_{\vec{c}}\colon \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}[t]) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g})$, where $xt^\nu \mapsto xc_\nu$ if $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\nu \geqslant 0$, such that $c_u \neq c_i$ for $i \neq u$. Clearly $\mathsf{Ev}_{\vec{c}}(\mathrm{Pol}(F_j, t)) = \Bbbk F_j$. It is also clear that for a nonzero $F \in \mathrm{Pol}(F_j, t)$, one can find a suitable vector $\vec{c}$ such that $\mathsf{Ev}_{\vec{c}}(F) \neq 0$. Since the polynomials $F_1, \dots, F_m$ are algebraically independent, the existence of $\mathsf{Ev}_{\vec{c}}$ leads to

$$\mathrm{Pol}(F_j, t) \cap \Bbbk[\mathscr{V}\circ\tau^k(F_i[t]) \mid i \neq j,\ k \geqslant 0] = \{0\}. \tag{5·4}$$

The element $\Psi(F)_\bullet$ with $F \in \mathrm{Pol}(F_j, t) \cap \mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, t)$ is a t-polarisation of F_j and it is t-homogeneous. Therefore $\Psi(F)_\bullet = C\mathscr{V}\circ\tau^k(F_j[t])$ for some $k \geqslant 0$ and $C \in \Bbbk$.

By the inductive hypothesis, $\mathscr{V}\circ\tau^u(F_j[t]) \in \mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}$ for $u \leqslant k-1$. Thereby there are $c_i \in \Bbbk$ with $i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ such that $\deg_t \Upsilon \leqslant k$ for $\Upsilon = \Psi(\boldsymbol{F}_k - c_{k-1}\boldsymbol{F}_{k-1} - \ldots - c_0\boldsymbol{F}_0)_\bullet$. Our goal is to show that $\deg_t \Upsilon = k$. Assume that this is not the case. Set $d = \deg F_j$. The coefficient of $F_j[(b, 0, \dots, 0), t]$ with $0 < b \leqslant u+1$ in $\boldsymbol{F}_u$ is equal to

$$\sum_{i=0}^{u-b+1} \binom{b+i}{b}\binom{u-b-i+d-1}{d-2} = \binom{u+d}{b+d-1}.$$

The coefficient of $F_j = F_j[(0, \dots, 0), t]$ in $\boldsymbol{F}_u$ is equal to $\binom{u+d-1}{d-1}$. Thereby we must have

$$(-1, c_{k-1}, c_{k-2}, \dots, c_0)A = 0$$

for

$$A = A_{k,d} = \begin{pmatrix} \binom{k+d}{1} & \binom{k+d}{2} & \binom{k+d}{3} & \dots & \binom{k+d}{k} & \binom{k+d-1}{k} \\ 1 & \binom{k+d-1}{1} & \binom{k+d-1}{2} & \dots & \binom{k+d-1}{k-1} & \binom{k+d-2}{k-1} \\ 0 & 1 & \binom{k+d-2}{1} & \dots & \binom{k+d-2}{k-2} & \binom{k+d-3}{k-2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & \binom{k+d-3}{k-3} & \binom{k+d-4}{k-3} \\ \vdots & . & . & . & . & \vdots \\ 0 & . & . & 1 & d{+}1 & d \\ 0 & . & . & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Subtracting the last column from the but-last one, we see that $\det(A_{k,d}) = \det(A_{k-1,d+1})$. Since $A_{1,d'} = \begin{pmatrix} d'{+}1 & d' \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for any $d' \geqslant 1$, we have $\det(A_{k,d}) = 1$ for all $k \geqslant 1$ and $d \geqslant 1$. This is a contradiction and hence indeed $\deg_t \Upsilon = k$.

This proves that all $\mathscr{V}\circ\tau^k(F_j[t])$ are elements of $\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}$. Thus $\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v} = \mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, [0])$. □

Consider now $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}} = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \varepsilon t + 1) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])^{\mathfrak{g}}$. This is clearly a commutative algebra. If we regard $\boldsymbol{H}[0, 1]$ as an element of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$, then $\boldsymbol{H}[0, 1] \in \widetilde{\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}}$. Thereby $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}} \subset \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, [0])$,

where $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}},[0])$ is a slight modification of the Feigin–Frenkel centre and the unique quantisation of $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}},[0])$, see [IR, Sect. 5]. Recall that $\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}},t)=\Bbbk[\tau^k(S_j)\mid 1\leqslant j\leqslant m,\ k\geqslant 0]$, where $\mathrm{gr}(S_j)=F_j[t]$ and each $S_{j,k}=\tau^k(S_j)$ is a homogeneous in t element [FF]. Quite similarly

$$\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}},[0])=\Bbbk[\widetilde{S}_{j,k}\mid 1\leqslant j\leqslant m,\ k\geqslant 0],$$

where $\mathrm{gr}(\widetilde{S}_{j,k})=\mathscr{V}\!\circ\tau^k(F_j[t])$ and each $\widetilde{S}_{j,k}$ is a homogeneous in t element of t-degree k. Note that the maps Ψ_ε and $\Psi=\Psi_1$ extend from $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$.

Proposition 5.7. *We have* $\mathrm{gr}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}})=\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}$.

Proof. As we have already observed, $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}}\subset\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}},[0])$. Thereby $\mathrm{gr}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}})\subset\mathrm{gr}(\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}},[0]))=\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}$. The task is to show that each $\mathscr{V}\!\circ\tau^k(F_j[t])$ is an element of $\mathrm{gr}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}})$.

There is no harm in assuming that $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple. We choose the numbering of basic symmetric invariants of $\mathfrak{g}$ in such a way that $\deg F_1\leqslant\deg F_2\leqslant\ldots\leqslant\deg F_m$. Then $\deg F_1=2$ and $F_1=\sum_i x_i^2$ up to a nonzero scalar. Each $\tau^k(F_1[t])=k!\boldsymbol{H}^{[k+2]}$ can be regarded as an element of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$ and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\Big\langle\Psi_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{H}^{[j]},\Psi_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{H}^{[j-1]}),\ldots,\Psi_\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{H}^{[2]})\Big\rangle=\Big\langle\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{[u]}\mid 0\leqslant u\leqslant \boldsymbol{j}-2\Big\rangle\subset\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$$

for all $\boldsymbol{j}\geqslant 2$, see Lemma 4.14. This proves that $\mathscr{V}\!\circ\tau^k(F_1[t])\in\mathrm{gr}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}})$ for all $k\geqslant 0$. Suppose that the same holds for all $u<j$ and consider F_j. Set $d=\deg F_j$.

Clearly $\mathrm{gr}(\Psi(S_j)_\bullet)=F_j=\mathscr{V}\!\circ\tau^0(F_j[t])$. Consider

$$\Upsilon=\Psi(\Xi)_\bullet\ \text{ with }\ \Xi=\tau^k(S_j)-c_{k-1}\tau^{k-1}(S_j)-\ldots-c_1\tau(S_j)-c_0S_j,$$

where $c_{k-1},\ldots,c_0\in\Bbbk$. We have $\Upsilon\in\mathcal{U}_d(\mathfrak{g}[t])\cap\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}},[0])$. Assume that $\deg\mathrm{gr}(\Upsilon)<d$. Then we can write $\mathrm{gr}(\Upsilon)\in\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}},[0])$ as a polynomial in elements $\mathscr{V}\!\circ\tau^\nu(F_i[t])$ with $i<j$ and $\nu\geqslant 0$. Since these elements belong to $\mathrm{gr}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}})$, there is $S\in\mathcal{U}_{d-1}(\mathfrak{g}[t])\cap\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}}$ such that $\deg_t S\leqslant d+k$ and

$$\deg_t\Upsilon'>\deg_t\Upsilon\quad\text{or}\quad\deg\mathrm{gr}(\Upsilon')<\deg\mathrm{gr}(\Upsilon)\quad\text{for}\quad\Upsilon'=\Psi(\Xi-S)_\bullet.$$

If $\deg\mathrm{gr}(\Upsilon')<d$, we modify S and produce a new element Υ', decreasing the degree of $\mathrm{gr}(\Upsilon')$ or increasing the t-degree of Υ'. On the one hand, the degree of $\mathrm{gr}(\Upsilon')$ cannot decrease infinitely. On the other hand, always $\deg_t\Upsilon'\leqslant d+k$.

Thus, there is $S\in\mathcal{U}_{d-1}(\mathfrak{g}[t])\cap\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}}$ such that $\deg\mathrm{gr}(\Upsilon')=d$ and hence

$$\mathrm{gr}(\Upsilon')=\Psi(\tau^k(F_j[t])-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}c_i\tau^i(F_j[t])_\bullet\,.$$

For a suitable choice of $c_0,\ldots,c_{k-1}$, the lowest t-component $\Psi\big(\tau^k(F_j[t])-\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}c_i\tau^i(F_j[t])\big)_\bullet$ is equal to $\mathscr{V}\!\cdot\tau^k(F_j[t])$ up to a nonzero scalar, see the proof of Proposition 5.6 and in particular (5·3). Hence $\mathscr{V}\!\cdot\tau^k(F_j[t])$ belongs to $\mathrm{gr}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{v}})$. The result follows by induction on j. $\square$

5.2. **Computations with Poisson brackets.** A direct generalisations to $\mathcal{G}(\vec{a})$ and $\overline{\mathcal{G}}(\vec{a})$ of the description as a centraliser of a single element is not possible. The quadratic elements $\boldsymbol{h}=\sum_{i=1}^{\dim\mathfrak{g}}(x_i\bar{t})^2$ and $\boldsymbol{h}[0,1]$ commute with $\mathfrak{g}\cdot 1\subset\mathfrak{h}$. Thereby we will consider the Poisson centraliser of $\boldsymbol{h}$ in the subspace spanned by the polarisations of basic $\mathfrak{g}$-invariants.

Suppose that $\hat{Y}=\hat{y}_1\dots\hat{y}_d\in\mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{g}[t])$ and $\hat{y}_j=y_jt^{k_j}$ with $y_j\in\mathfrak{g}$. Then

$$P_{\hat{Y}}:=\{\boldsymbol{H},\hat{Y}\}=2\sum_{j=1,i=1}^{j=d,i=\dim\mathfrak{g}}[x_it,\hat{y}_j]x_it\frac{\hat{Y}}{\hat{y}_j}=2\sum_{j,i,u}\kappa([x_u,x_i],y_j)x_ut^{1+k_j}x_it\frac{\hat{Y}}{\hat{y}_j}\,.$$

Recall that $\{\mathfrak{g},\boldsymbol{H}\}=0$. Hence

$$P_{\hat{Y}}=2\sum_{i,u;\,j:\,k_j\neq 0}\kappa([x_u,x_i],y_j)x_ut^{1+k_j}x_it\frac{\hat{Y}}{\hat{y}_j}\,. \tag{5·5}$$

The Killing form κ extends to a non-degenerate $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant scalar product $(\ ,\ )$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$. We will assume that $(\mathfrak{g}t^a,\mathfrak{g}t^b)=0$ for $a\neq b$, that $(xt^a,yt^a)=\kappa(x,y)$ for $x,y\in\mathfrak{g}$, and that

$$(\xi_1\dots\xi_{\boldsymbol{k}},\eta_1\dots\eta_{\boldsymbol{d}})=\delta_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{d}}\sum_{\sigma\in\mathtt{S}_{\boldsymbol{k}}}\kappa(\xi_1,\eta_{\sigma(1)})\dots\kappa(\xi_{\boldsymbol{k}},\eta_{\sigma(\boldsymbol{k})})$$

if $\xi_j,\eta_j\in\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$, $\boldsymbol{d}\geqslant\boldsymbol{k}$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a monomial basis of $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$ consisting of the elements $\hat{v}_1\dots\hat{v}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$, where $\hat{v}_j=v_jt^{\nu_j}$ and $v_j\in\{x_i\}$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is an orthogonal, but not an orthonormal basis. For instance, if $\Xi=x_1^{\gamma_1}\dots x_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\gamma_{\boldsymbol{k}}}$, then $(\Xi,\Xi)=\gamma_1!\dots\gamma_{\boldsymbol{k}}!$. Next we present several constructions from [Y19, Sect. 3.3].

Let $\vec{\alpha}=(\alpha_0,\dots,\alpha_M)$ be a tuple of non-negative integers such that $\sum_i\alpha_i=d{+}1$. Set $\mathcal{S}^{\vec{\alpha}}(\mathfrak{g}[t]):=\prod_{j=0}^M\mathcal{S}^{\alpha_j}(\mathfrak{g}t^j)\subset\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$ and $\mathcal{B}(\vec{\alpha}):=\mathcal{B}\cap\mathcal{S}^{\vec{\alpha}}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$. Fix different $i,j\in\{0,\dots,M\}$ such that $\alpha_j,\alpha_i\neq 0$. Assume that a monomial $\mathbb{V}=\hat{v}_1\dots\hat{v}_{d+1}\in\mathcal{B}(\vec{\alpha})$ with $\hat{v}_k=v_kt^{\nu_k}$ is written in such a way that $\nu_k=i$ for $1\leqslant k\leqslant\alpha_i$ and $\nu_k=j$ for $\alpha_i<k\leqslant\alpha_i+\alpha_j$. Finally suppose that $F\in\mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{g})$. In this notation, set

$$\mathscr{F}[\vec{\alpha},i,j]:=\sum_{\mathbb{V}\in\mathcal{B}(\vec{\alpha})}A(\mathbb{V})\mathbb{V}\quad\text{with}$$

$$A(\hat{v}_1\dots\hat{v}_{d+1})=\big(\mathbb{V},\mathbb{V}\big)^{-1}\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant k\leqslant\alpha_i,\\ \alpha_i<q\leqslant\alpha_i+\alpha_j}}(F,[v_k,v_q]\prod_{u\neq q,k}v_u)\in\Bbbk. \tag{5·6}$$

If $\alpha_i=0$ or $\alpha_j=0$, then we set $\mathscr{F}[\vec{\alpha},i,j]=0$. Clearly $\mathscr{F}[\vec{\alpha},i,j]=-\mathscr{F}[\vec{\alpha},j,i]$. Certain Poisson brackets $\{\boldsymbol{H}[a,b],\hat{Y}\}$ can be expressed in terms of $\mathscr{F}[\vec{\alpha},i,j]$.

Proposition 5.8 (cf. [Y19, Prop. 3.10])**.** *If $Y=y_1\dots y_d\in\mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{g})$, then*

$$\frac{-1}{2}P_{Y[\vec{k},t]}=\frac{1}{2}\{Y[\vec{k},t],\boldsymbol{H}\}$$

equals the sum of $\mathscr{Y}[\vec{\alpha},1,j]$ over all tuples $\vec{\alpha}$ as above and $2\leqslant j\leqslant M$ with $\alpha_j\neq 0$ such that the multi-sets $\{0^{\alpha_0},1^{\alpha_1},\dots,(j{-}1)^{\alpha_{j-1}+1},j^{\alpha_j-1},\dots,M^{\alpha_M}\}$ and $\{1,k_1,\dots,k_d\}$ coinside. □

Let $F \in \mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and i be fixed. By [Y19, Prop. 3.9], we have

$$\sum_{j\neq i} \mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, i, j] = 0 \tag{5·7}$$

for each $\vec{\alpha}$. Set $\tilde{M}(\vec{\alpha}) := |\{j \mid 0 \leqslant j \leqslant M,\ \alpha_j \neq 0\}| - 1$.

Lemma 5.9. *Let us fix $\vec{\alpha}$ and $0 \leqslant i \leqslant M$ such that $\alpha_i \neq 0$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_d$, where $d+1 = \sum_{i=0}^{M} \alpha_i$, and that $F(\xi) = \det(\xi)$ for $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^* \cong \mathfrak{sl}_d$. Then $\dim \langle \mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, i, j] \mid j \neq i\rangle = \tilde{M}(\vec{\alpha}) - 1$.*

Proof. If $\alpha_k = 0$, then $\mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, i, k] = 0$, if $j = i$, then also $\mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, i, j] = 0$. Thereby we have at most $\tilde{M} = \tilde{M}(\vec{\alpha})$ nonzero Elements $\mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, i, j]$. They satisfy (5·7), because $F \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$. Thus $\dim \langle \mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, i, j] \mid j \neq i\rangle \leqslant \tilde{M} - 1$. We need the equality here. If $\tilde{M} = 1$, there is nothing to prove. Therefore suppose that $\tilde{M} \geqslant 2$. Then also $d \geqslant 2$.

Assume that $\dim \langle \mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, i, j] \mid j \neq i\rangle < \tilde{M} - 1$. Then there are $j \neq k$ such that $j, k \neq i$, $\alpha_k, \alpha_j \neq 0$ and a relation $\sum_{q=0}^{M} C_q \mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, i, q] = 0$ with $C_j = 1$, $C_k = 0$.

Now we work in the basis $\{E_{\nu\upsilon}, E_{\nu\nu} - E_{(\nu+1)(\nu+1)}\}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_d$ and pick out a suitable summand of $\mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, i, j]$. To this end we construct a certain monomial $\hat{Y} \in \mathcal{S}^{\vec{\alpha}}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$. Set $\hat{y}_1 = (E_{11} - E_{22})t^i$, $\hat{y}_2 = E_{12}t^j$, and $\hat{y}_3 = E_{21}t^k$, let the factors $\hat{y}_u$ with $3 < u \leqslant d+1$ be elements of $\mathfrak{h}[t]$, where $\mathfrak{h} = \langle E_{11} - E_{uu} \mid 2 \leqslant u \leqslant d\rangle$. Assume further that $(E_{12}E_{21}y_4 \dots y_{d+1}, F) \neq 0$. A suitable choice is $y_{u+1} = E_{(u-1)(u-1)} - E_{uu}$ for $u \geqslant 3$. The monomial $\hat{Y} = \hat{y}_1 \dots \hat{y}_{d+1}$ appears with a non-zero coefficient in $\mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, i, j]$ and in $\mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, i, k]$, but in no $\mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, i, q]$ with $q \neq j, k$. Thus $C_j = 0$. This contradiction finishes the proof. □

It is quite probable that Lemma 5.9 holds for all invariants $F \in \mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{g})$, also for $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{sl}_N$.

Suppose now that the entries of $\vec{k}$ satisfy $0 \leqslant k_i \leqslant n-1$. In Section 2.1, we have defined $\mathrm{Pol}(F) = \left\langle F[\vec{k}] \mid 0 \leqslant k_i \leqslant n-1 \right\rangle \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})^{\mathfrak{q}}$ for $\mathfrak{q}$. Now we use this object in case $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{g}$. It is more convenient to rewrite $\vec{k}$ in a multi-set form $\vec{k} = (0^{\upsilon_0}, 1^{\upsilon_1}, \dots, (n-1)^{\upsilon_{n-1}})$ and set $\vec{\upsilon}(\vec{k}) = \vec{\upsilon} = (\upsilon_0, \dots \upsilon_{n-1})$. On $\{\vec{\upsilon}\}$, we use the left lexicographic order.

Recall that $\ell_0 = [\ ,\ ]_{t^n}$ is a Lie bracket on $\mathbb{W}$. We use the same symbol for the Poisson bracket $\{\ ,\ \}_{t^n}$ on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$.

Theorem 5.10. *Let $F \in \mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{g})$ be such that $\dim \langle \mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, i, j] \mid i$ is fixed and $j \neq i\rangle = \tilde{M}(\vec{\alpha}) - 1$ whenever $\alpha_i \neq 0$. Then $\dim \mathcal{P} \leqslant (n-1)d + 1$ for $\mathcal{P} = \{f \in \mathrm{Pol}(F) \mid \ell_0(f, \boldsymbol{h}) = 0\}$.*

Proof. Each element $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{P}$ is a linear combination $\sum_{\vec{k}} C_{\vec{k}} F[\vec{k}]$ with $C_{\vec{k}} \in \Bbbk$. Replacing each summand $C_{\vec{k}} F[\vec{k}]$ with $C_{\vec{k}} F[\vec{k}, t]$, we obtain $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}[t])$ with the property $\bar{f} = f + (p)$. Let $\bar{f}_\diamond$ be the minimal w.r.t. the left lexicographic order on $\{\vec{\upsilon}\}$ nonzero component of $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{P}$. Without loss of generality assume that $\bar{f}_\diamond = F[\vec{k}']$ for some $\vec{k}'$. The task is to prove that there are at most $(n-1)d + 1$ possibilities for such $\vec{k}'$.

By Proposition 5.8, $\frac{1}{2}\{F[\vec{k}, t], \boldsymbol{H}\}$ is a sum of $\mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, 1, j]$ over some $\vec{\alpha}$ depending on $\vec{k}$ and over $j \geqslant 2$ with $\alpha_j \neq 0$. If j is fixed and $\vec{k} = (0^{\upsilon_0}, 1^{\upsilon_1}, \dots, (n-1)^{\upsilon_{n-1}})$, then $\alpha_1 = \upsilon_1 + 1$,

$\alpha_{j-1} = \upsilon_{j-1} - 1$, $\alpha_j = \upsilon_j + 1$, and $\alpha_i = \upsilon_i$ for all other i. If $\vec{v}(\vec{k}) > \vec{v}(\vec{k}')$ and $j \geqslant j'$, then $\vec{\alpha} > \vec{\alpha}'$ for $\vec{\alpha}', \vec{\alpha}$ obtained by the above recipe from $(\vec{k}', j')$ and $(\vec{k}, j)$, respectively.

Let us consider $\vec{v} = \vec{v}(\vec{k}')$. Suppose first that $\upsilon_0 > 0$. In case $\upsilon_0 = d$, we have an element of $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g}{\cdot}1)$, which Poisson-commutes with $\boldsymbol{h}$. This is a possibility for $\bar{f}_\diamond$. If $\upsilon_0 < d$, then there is $j \geqslant 2$ such that $2\mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, 1, j]$ is a summand of $\{F[\vec{k}', t], \boldsymbol{H}\}$. The term $\mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, 1, j]$ cannot be produced by any other component of f, because $\vec{\alpha}$ and j define $\vec{k}$ uniquely. We have $\alpha_0 = \upsilon_0 \neq 0$ and the term $\mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, 1, 0]$ does not appear in $\{f, \boldsymbol{H}\}$. Our assumptions on F imply that there is no way to annihilate $2\mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, 1, j]$ in $\{f, \boldsymbol{H}\}$, thereby $\psi_{t^n}(\mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, 1, j]) = 0$. This is possible only if $j = n$. Therefore we must have $\upsilon_{n-1} = d - \upsilon_0$. Altogether in case $\upsilon_0 > 0$, we obtain d possibilities for $\vec{k}'$. This finishes the proof for $n = 2$. From now on, assume that $n \geqslant 3$.

Suppose now that $\upsilon_0 = 0$. If $\upsilon_1 \neq 0$, then $\ell_0(\bar{f}_\diamond, \boldsymbol{h})$ has a summand $2\psi_{t^n}(\mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, 1, 2])$ with $\vec{\alpha} = (0, \upsilon_1, \upsilon_2 + 1, \upsilon_3, \dots, \upsilon_{n-1})$. No other component of f can produce this $\vec{\alpha}$, since the smallest possible value of j is 2. Thus $\mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, 1, 2]$ must be zero, which means that $\vec{\alpha}$ has only two nonzero components. These components are α_1 and α_2. Thereby $\upsilon_2 = d - \upsilon_1$. This gives us another d possibilities for $\bar{f}_\diamond$.

Suppose next that $\upsilon_0 = \ldots = \upsilon_{i-1} = 0$ for $i > 1$ and $\upsilon_i \neq 0$. If $i = n - 1$, then we have just one possibility for $\bar{f}_\diamond$. Assume that $i \neq n - 1$. Then $\ell_0(\bar{f}_\diamond, \boldsymbol{h})$ has a summand $2\psi_{t^n}(\mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, 1, i{+}1])$ with $\vec{\alpha} = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, \upsilon_i - 1, \upsilon_{i+1} + 1, \upsilon_{i+2}, \dots, \upsilon_{n-1})$. This $\vec{\alpha}$ can be seen also in $\mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, 1, i]$, which comes from another component of f, providing $\upsilon_i \geqslant 2$. However, no further $\mathfrak{F}[\vec{\alpha}, 1, j]$ comes into question. Our assumptions on F imply that in case $\upsilon_i = 1$, $\vec{\alpha}$ has at most two nonzero components: α_1, α_{i+1}; and in case $\upsilon_i > 1$, at most three nonzero components: $\alpha_1, \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}$. Anyway we must have $\upsilon_{i+1} = d - \upsilon_i$.

Summing up, we have $d(n-1) + 1$ possibilities for $\vec{k}'$. □

With the help of linear maps φ_s with $s \in \Bbbk^\times$, any Lie bracket $[\ ,\ ]_p$ can be contracted to $[\ ,\ ]_{t^n}$, see Lemma 2.5, and we have $\varphi_s(\boldsymbol{h}) = s^2\boldsymbol{h}$. Thereby any $\{f \in \mathrm{Pol}(F) \mid \{f, \boldsymbol{h}\}_p = 0\}$ can be contracted to $\mathcal{P}$. Using this line of argument, see also the proof of Lemma 4.7, we obtain the next statement.

Corollary 5.11. *If $F \in \mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{g})^\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 5.10, then for any p of degree n, we have* $\dim\{f \in \mathrm{Pol}(F) \mid \{f, \boldsymbol{h}\}_p = 0\} \leqslant (n-1)d + 1$. □

6. Independence principles and their applications

Consider the polynomial rings

$$\mathcal{R} = \Bbbk[y\bar{t}^k \mid n{-}1 \geqslant k \geqslant 0,\ y \in \mathfrak{q}] \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \Bbbk[yt^k \mid k \geqslant 0,\ y \in \mathfrak{q}],$$

where $\mathfrak{q}$ is a vector space. Both rings are left $\Bbbk[t]$-modules with $t{\cdot}yt^k = tyt^k = yt^{k+1}$ and $t{\cdot}y\bar{t}^k = ty\bar{t}^k = y\bar{t}^{k+1}$. Our examples of $\mathcal{R}, \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ are $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W}), \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q}[t])$. Any normalised polynomial

$p \in \Bbbk[t]$ of degree n defines the quotient map $\psi_p : \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \to \mathcal{R}$. Fix $Y = y_1 \dots y_d \in \mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{q})$ of degree d, choose $l \in \Bbbk[t]$ such that $0 \leqslant \deg l \leqslant 1$, and set

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(p, p+l) = \{ap + (1-a)(p+l) \mid a \in \Bbbk\}.$$

Set further $Y[t] = y_1 t \dots y_d t$ and $Y[\bar{r}] = y_1 \bar{r} \dots y_d \bar{r}$ for $\bar{r} \in \Bbbk[t]/(p)$.

We remark that all statements of this section are obviously true for $d = 0$ and $d = 1$ and there is no need to consider these two instances.

Take any $\tilde{p} \in \mathcal{L}$. We have $\Bbbk[t]/(\tilde{p}) = \bigoplus_\alpha \mathfrak{m}_\alpha$, where the sum is taken over distinct roots of $\tilde{p}$. If α is a simple root, then $\mathfrak{m}_\alpha = \Bbbk \bar{r}_\alpha$ with $r_\alpha^2 - r_\alpha \in (\tilde{p})$. If a root α has multiplicity $k \geqslant 2$, then

$$\mathfrak{m}_\alpha = \Bbbk \bar{r}_{(\alpha,0)} \oplus \Bbbk \bar{r}_{(\alpha,1)} \oplus \Bbbk \bar{r}_{(\alpha,1)}^2 \oplus \dots \oplus \Bbbk \bar{r}_{(\alpha,1)}^{k-1},$$

where $\bar{r}_{(\alpha,0)} \bar{r}_{(\alpha,1)} = \bar{r}_{(\alpha,1)}$, $\bar{r}_{(\alpha,1)}^k = 0$, and $\bar{r}_{(\alpha,0)}^2 = \bar{r}_{(\alpha,0)}$. In that case we define the linear map $\Phi_\alpha : \Bbbk[t] \to \mathfrak{m}_\alpha$ by setting

$$\Phi_\alpha(t^k) = \bar{r}_{(\alpha,0)},$$
$$\Phi_\alpha(t^u) = \bar{r}_{(\alpha,1)}^{k-u} \text{ for } 1 \leqslant u < k,$$
$$\Phi_\alpha(t^u) = 0 \text{ for } u = 0 \text{ and for } u > k.$$

The map Φ_α extends to $\mathcal{R}$. Recall that $\tau = t^2 \partial_t$.

For each $\tilde{p} \in \mathcal{L}$, we define a subset $\mathbb{S}[Y, \tilde{p}] = \bigcup_\alpha \mathbb{S}[Y, \tilde{p}]_\alpha$, where

- $\mathbb{S}[Y, \tilde{p}]_\alpha = Y[\bar{r}_\alpha]$ if α is a simple root,
- $\mathbb{S}[Y, \tilde{p}]_\alpha = \{\Phi_\alpha(\tau^u(Y[t])) \mid 0 \leqslant u < k\}$ if the multiplicity of α is $k \geqslant 2$.

In any case, $|\mathbb{S}[Y, \tilde{p}]| = n$. Set next $V_{Y,\mathcal{L}} = \langle \mathbb{S}[Y, \tilde{p}] \mid \tilde{p} \in \mathcal{L} \rangle$. Each element of $\mathbb{S}[Y, \tilde{p}]_\alpha$ is a linear combination $\sum_{\vec{k}} C_{\vec{k},\tilde{p},\alpha} Y[\vec{k}]$ and clearly

(lp1) the coefficients $C_{\vec{k},\tilde{p},\alpha} \in \Bbbk$ do not depend on Y.

Thus also $\dim V_{Y,\mathcal{L}}$ is independent of Y. Extending our new notation from monomials to polynomials by linearity, we may state that $\dim V_{F,\mathcal{L}}$ is independent of $F \in \mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{q}) \setminus \{0\}$. According to Proposition 3.4, $\dim V_{Y,\mathcal{L}} \geqslant d(n-1)+1$. If $F(\xi) = \det(\xi)$ for $\xi \in \mathfrak{q}^*$, in case $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{sl}_d$, then $\dim V_{F,\mathcal{L}} \leqslant d(n-1)+1$ by Corollary 5.11 and Lemma 5.9. Thus always

$$\dim V_{Y,\mathcal{L}} = d(n-1)+1. \tag{6·1}$$

We are interested also in $\tilde{V}_{Y,p} = \langle \psi_p(\tau^k(Y[t])) \mid k \geqslant 0 \rangle$. Each element $\psi_p(\tau^u(Y[t]))$ is a linear combination $\sum_{\vec{k}} \tilde{C}_{\vec{k},p,u} Y[\vec{k}]$ and

(lp2) the coefficients $\tilde{C}_{\vec{k},p,u} \in \Bbbk$ do not depend on Y.

Thus also $\dim \tilde{V}_{Y,p}$ is independent of Y and, more generally, $\dim \tilde{V}_{F,p}$ is independent of $F \in \mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{q}) \setminus \{0\}$. According to Lemma 4.4, $\dim \tilde{V}_{Y,p} \geqslant d(n-1)+1$ if $p(0) \neq 0$. If we take again F as the function $\xi \mapsto \det(\xi)$ with $\xi \in \mathfrak{sl}_d^*$, then $\dim \tilde{V}_{F,p} \leqslant d(n-1)+1$ by Corollary 5.11 and Lemma 5.9. Thus

$$\dim \tilde{V}_{Y,p} = d(n-1)+1 \text{ for any } p \text{ with } p(0) \neq 0. \tag{6·2}$$

Furthermore, if $p(0)\neq 0$, $\mathfrak{q}=\mathfrak{sl}_d$, and F is the same as above, then $\tilde{V}_{F,p}$ and $V_{F,\mathcal{L}}$ coincide with the Poisson centraliser of $\boldsymbol{h}$ in $\mathrm{Pol}(F)$ w.r.t. $\{\ ,\ \}_p$; in particular, for such polynomials p, we have $\tilde{V}_{F,p}=V_{F,\mathcal{L}}$. Thus, by the independence principles (Ip1), (Ip2),

$$\tilde{V}_{Y,p}=V_{Y,\mathcal{L}}\quad\text{whenever}\ \ p(0)\neq 0. \tag{6·3}$$

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{q}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.9 and that $p(0)\neq 0$. Then $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},t))=\mathcal{Z}(p,p+t)$.*

Proof. We have $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})^{\mathfrak{q}}=\Bbbk[F_1,\dots,F_m]$, where $m=\operatorname{ind}\mathfrak{q}$. Set $\mathcal{L}=\{p+\alpha t\ \mid \alpha\in\Bbbk\}$. By Proposition 2.11, each $\mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{p}}$ with $\tilde{p}\in\mathcal{L}$ has a set of (algebraically independent) generators $F_{i,u}\in\mathrm{Pol}(F_i)$. Extending our new notation from monomials to polynomials, we may state that $\mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{p}}$ is generated by $\bigcup_{i=1}^m\mathbb{S}[F_i,\tilde{p}]$. This implies that $\mathcal{Z}(p,p+t)$ is generated by $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m V_{F_i,\mathcal{L}}$.

By Theorem 4.2, $\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},t)$ is generated by $\tau^k(F_i[t])$ with $k\geqslant 0$ and $1\leqslant i\leqslant m$. Therefore $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},t))=\mathsf{alg}\langle\tilde{V}_{F_i,p}\mid 1\leqslant i\leqslant m\rangle$. Since $p(0)\neq 0$, we have $\tilde{V}_{F_i,p}=V_{F_i,\mathcal{L}}$ for each i by (6·3). This finishes the proof. □

Suppose that $\mathfrak{q}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.9 and has the *codim*–2 property. Then, in particular, the set $\Omega_{\mathfrak{q}^*}$ defined in that theorem is a big open subset of $\mathfrak{q}^*$. Furthermore, $\Omega_{\mathfrak{q}^*}=\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathsf{reg}}$ by a generalisation of the *Kostant regularity criterion* [K63, Thm 9] and $\sum_i\deg F_i=\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q})$, see [P07, Thm 1.2], [Y14, Lemma 2.1], [AP].

Theorem 6.2. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{q}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.9 and has the codim–2 property. Then $\mathcal{Z}(p,p+l)$ is a polynomial ring with $\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q},n)$ generators.*

Proof. We have $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{q})^{\mathfrak{q}}=\Bbbk[F_1,\dots,F_m]$, where each F_i is homogeneous and $\deg F_i=d_i$. According to Theorem 3.7, $\mathrm{tr.deg}\,\mathcal{Z}(p,p+l)=\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q},n)=(n-1)\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q})+m$.

Set $\mathcal{L}=\{ap+(1-a)(p+l)\mid a\in\Bbbk\}$. Then $\mathcal{Z}(p,p+l)=\mathsf{alg}\langle V_{F_i,\mathcal{L}}\mid 1\leqslant i\leqslant m\rangle$. According to (6·1), $\dim V_{F_i,\mathcal{L}}=d_i(n-1)+1$. This means that $\mathcal{Z}(p,p+l)$ has at most

$$\sum_{i=1}^m(d_i(n-1)+1)=m+(n-1)\sum_{i=1}^m d_i=m+(n-1)\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q})$$

generators. Thereby these generators have to be algebraically independent. □

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{q}$ has the codim–3 property. Then the Lie algebra $(\mathbb{W},\ell)$ with $\ell=[\ ,\ ]_p-[\ ,\ ]_{p+l}$ also has the codim–3 property.*

Proof. The Lie bracket ℓ can be contracted either to $\ell_\infty:=[\ ,\ ]_{t^n-1}-[\ ,\ ]_{t^n}$ or to the bracket $\ell':=[\ ,\ ]_{t^n-t}-[\ ,\ ]_{t^n}$, depending on l, see Lemma 2.8. In any case,

$$\operatorname{ind}(\mathbb{W},\ell)=\operatorname{ind}(\mathbb{W},\ell_\infty)=\operatorname{ind}(\mathbb{W},\ell')=\dim\mathfrak{q}+(n-1)\operatorname{ind}\mathfrak{q}$$

by Lemma 3.6. The Lie algebra $(\mathbb{W},\ell')$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{q}\langle n-1\rangle\oplus\mathfrak{q}^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Hence it has the *codim*–3 property by Lemma 2.3. If $\xi\in\mathbb{W}^*$ and $\bar{\xi}=\xi|_{\mathfrak{q}\cdot 1}\in\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathsf{reg}}$, then $\xi\in\mathbb{W}^*_{\ell_\infty,\mathsf{reg}}$ by (3·2). Thereby $(\mathbb{W},\ell_\infty)$ also has the *codim*–3 property.

Recall that $\ell_{(s)}(x,y)=\varphi_s^{-1}(\ell(\varphi_s(x),\varphi_s(y))$ for $s\in\Bbbk^\times$ and any $x,y\in\mathbb{W}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{(s)}$ be the structure matrix of the Lie bracket $s^k\ell_{(s)}$, where $k=-n+\deg l$. Then

$$\operatorname{rk}\mathcal{M}_{(s)}=(n-1)(\dim\mathfrak{q}-\operatorname{ind}\mathfrak{q})$$

for any nonzero s. Set $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}=\lim_{s\to 0}\mathcal{M}_{(s)}$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is the structure matrix of $\lim_{s\to 0}s^k\ell_{(s)}$, which is either ℓ_∞ or ℓ'. Anyway $\operatorname{rk}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}=\operatorname{rk}\mathcal{M}$. The maximal nonzero minors of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ are limits of maximal nonzero minors of $\mathcal{M}_{(s)}$. Therefore the Lie algebra $(\mathbb{W},\ell_{(s)})$ has the *codim*–3 property for at least one $s\in\Bbbk^\times$. Since φ_s is an isomorphism of Lie algebras, we obtain $\dim\mathbb{W}^*_{\ell,\mathsf{sing}}\leqslant\dim\mathbb{W}-3$, cf. [Y17, (4.1)]. □

Theorem 6.4. *Suppose that $\mathfrak{q}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.9 and has the codim–3 property. Then $\mathcal{Z}(p,p+l)$ is a maximal (w.r.t. inclusion) Poisson-commutative subalgebra of $(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W}),\{\ ,\ \}_p)^{\mathfrak{q}}$.*

Proof. According to Theorem 6.2, $\mathcal{Z}=\mathcal{Z}(p,p+l)$ is freely generated by homogeneous polynomials $H_1,\dots,H_{\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q},n)}$. Thus $\boldsymbol{d}_\gamma\mathcal{Z}(p,p+l)=\langle \boldsymbol{d}_\gamma H_i\mid 1\leqslant i\leqslant\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q},n)\rangle$ for any $\gamma\in\mathbb{W}^*$. Set $L=\langle\{\ ,\ \}_p,\{\ ,\ \}_{p+l}\rangle$. Since $\mathfrak{q}$ has the *codim*–3 property, $\dim\mathbb{W}^*_{v,\mathsf{sing}}\leqslant\dim\mathbb{W}-3$ for any $v\in\mathbb{P}^1$ different from $(1:-1)$, see Lemma 2.3. Lemma 6.3 extends this inequality to $v=(1:-1)$. Now $\mathbb{W}^*_{L,\mathsf{reg}}$ is a big open subset of $\mathbb{W}^*$ by Lemma 3.1. Furthermore,

$$U=\mathbb{W}^*_{L,\mathsf{reg}}\cap\{\xi\in\mathbb{W}^*\mid\bar{\xi}=\xi|_{\mathfrak{q}\cdot 1}\in\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathsf{reg}}\}$$

is also a big open subset.

Take any $\xi\in U$. Then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{v}}:=\ker\pi_{1,-1}(\xi)=\mathfrak{q}\oplus\mathfrak{v}_0$, where $\pi_{1,0}(\xi)(\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{v}_0)=0$, see (3·3). Next $\operatorname{rk}(\pi_{1,0}(\xi)|_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{v}}})=\dim\mathfrak{q}-\operatorname{ind}\mathfrak{q}$ and $\dim V(\xi)=\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q},n)$ for $V(\xi)=\sum_{a\in\Bbbk}\ker\pi_{a,1-a}(\xi)$, see (3·4), (3·5).

For any Takiff Lie algebra $\mathfrak{q}\langle k\rangle$, the set $\Omega_{\mathfrak{q}\langle k\rangle^*}$ is a big open subset of $\mathfrak{q}\langle k\rangle^*$, which coincides with $\mathfrak{q}\langle k\rangle^*_{\mathsf{reg}}$, see [PY20, Thm 2.2(ii)] and [Y14, Sect. 2]. This implies the equality $\boldsymbol{d}_\xi\mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{p}}=\ker\pi_{\tilde{p}}(\xi)$ for the Poisson tensor $\pi_{\tilde{p}}$ of any $\tilde{p}\in L$. Thereby $\boldsymbol{d}_\xi\mathcal{Z}=V(\xi)$ and $\dim\boldsymbol{d}_\xi\mathcal{Z}=\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q},n)$.

Thus, the differentials dH_i of the algebraically independent generators $H_i\in\mathcal{Z}$ are linearly independent on a big open subset. Then by [PPY, Theorem 1.1], $\mathcal{Z}$ is an algebraically closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{Z}\subset\mathcal{A}\subset\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W})^{\mathfrak{q}}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is Poisson-commutative. Then $\operatorname{tr.deg}\mathcal{A}\leqslant\boldsymbol{b}(\mathfrak{q},n)$ by [MY, Prop. 1.1], see also (3·1). Thereby $\mathcal{Z}\subset\mathcal{A}$ is an algebraic extension and we must have $\mathcal{Z}=\mathcal{A}$. □

6.1. **The case of $\mathcal{Z}_\mathfrak{v}$.** It is also possible to formulate an independence principle for $\mathcal{Z}_\mathfrak{v}$. If $F\in\mathcal{S}^d(\mathfrak{q})$, then $\Psi(F[t])=\sum_{\vec{k}}C_{\vec{k}}F[\vec{k},t]$, where the coefficients $C_{\vec{k}}\in\mathbb{Z}$ do not depend on F. Repeating the proof of Proposition 5.6, we obtain the following statement. If $\mathfrak{q}$ satisfies

the assumptions of Theorem 2.9, then

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{v}} = \mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}, [0]) = \Bbbk[\mathscr{V}\circ\tau^k(F_j[t]) \mid 1 \leqslant j \leqslant m,\ k \geqslant 0]. \tag{6·4}$$

Theorem 6.5. (i) *If* $\mathfrak{q}$ *satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.9, then* $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{v}}) = \mathcal{Z}(p, p+1)$.
(ii) *If* $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{g}$ *is semisimple, then* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \psi_p(\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \varepsilon t + 1)) = \psi_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{v}}})$.

Proof. (i) We have

$$\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{v}}) = \psi_p(\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}, \varepsilon t + 1)) \subset \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}, \varepsilon t+1)),$$

where $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}, \varepsilon t+1)) = \mathcal{Z}(p, p+\varepsilon t+1)$ for almost all $\varepsilon \in \Bbbk^\times$, see Theorem 6.1 and Proposition 4.6. By the same proposition, $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathcal{Z}(p, p+\varepsilon t+1) = \mathcal{Z}(p, p+1)$. Thus $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{v}}) \subset \mathcal{Z}(p, p+1)$. Furthermore, we have

$$\mathcal{Z}(p, p+1) = \mathsf{alg}\langle V_{F_j,\mathcal{L}} \mid 1 \leqslant j \leqslant m\rangle$$

with $\mathcal{L} = \{ap + (1-a)(p+1) \mid a \in \Bbbk\}$. By (6·1), $\dim V_{F_j,\mathcal{L}} = d_j(n-1) + 1$.

Next $\tilde{\boldsymbol{f}}_{j,k} := \psi_p\circ\mathscr{V}\circ\tau^k(F_j[t]) \in \mathrm{Pol}(F_j) \cap \mathcal{Z}(p, p+1)$ for all j and k. Since the polynomials $F_1, \dots, F_m$ are algebraically independent,

$$\mathrm{Pol}(F_j) \cap \mathsf{alg}\langle V_{F_i,\mathcal{L}} \mid i \neq j\rangle = \{0\},$$

cf. (5·4). Thus $\tilde{\boldsymbol{f}}_{j,k} \in V_{F_j,\mathcal{L}}$. The highest $\bar{t}$-component of $\tilde{\boldsymbol{f}}_{j,k}$ is $F_j^{[k]}$ if $k \leqslant d(n-1)$. These components are clearly linearly independent. Hence $\dim \left\langle \tilde{\boldsymbol{f}}_{j,k} \mid k \geqslant 0 \right\rangle \geqslant d_j(n-1)+1$ and $V_{F_j,\mathcal{L}} \subset \psi_p(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{v}})$. The inclusion holds for each j, thereby $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{v}}) = \mathcal{Z}(p, p+1)$.

(ii) Clearly $\psi_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{v}}}) = \psi_p(\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \varepsilon t + 1)) \subset \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \psi_p(\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \varepsilon t + 1))$. Thereby it suffices to prove the equality $\mathrm{gr}(\psi_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{v}}})) = \mathrm{gr}(\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \psi_p(\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \varepsilon t + 1)))$. We have

$$\mathcal{Z}(p, p+1) \overset{\text{part (i)}}{=} \psi_p(\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{v}}) \overset{\text{Prop. 5.7}}{=} \psi_p(\mathrm{gr}(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{v}}})) \subset \mathrm{gr}(\psi_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{v}}})) \subset \mathrm{gr}\Big(\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \psi_p(\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \varepsilon t + 1))\Big) =: \mathcal{A}.$$

By Theorem 6.4, $\mathcal{Z}(p, p+1)$ is a maximal (w.r.t. inclusion) Poisson-commutative subalgebra of $(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W}), \{\ ,\ \}_p)^{\mathfrak{g}}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is also Poisson-commutative and $\mathcal{A} \subset (\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{W}), \{\ ,\ \}_p)^{\mathfrak{g}}$, there is the equality $\mathcal{Z}(p, p+1) = \mathcal{A}$. □

As we know from Section 5, $\psi_p(\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}, \varepsilon t + 1)$ is the Gaudin algebra $\mathcal{G}(\varepsilon a_1 + 1, \dots, \varepsilon a_n + 1)$ with $\prod_{i=1}^n (t - a_i) = p$. Hence Theorem 6.5(ii) states that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon a_1 + 1, \dots, \varepsilon a_n + 1) = \psi_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{v}}}).$$

Furthermore, $\mathrm{gr}(\psi_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{v}}})) = \mathcal{Z}(p, p+1)$. Since the centre of $\mathfrak{g}$ is trivial and $\psi_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{v}}}) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{h})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}^{\oplus n}$, the algebra $\psi_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathfrak{v}}})$ contains the Gaudin Hamiltonians associated with $\vec{a}$, cf. Theorem 4.15. Reverting notation to the original setting of [FFR], we obtain $\mathcal{G}(\vec{a}) =$

$\mathcal{C}(a_1^{-1},\dots,a_n^{-1})$ and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathcal{C}\left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon a_1},\dots,\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon a_n}\right)=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathcal{C}(1-a_1\varepsilon+\sum_{j\geqslant 2}(-a_1\varepsilon)^j,\dots,1-a_n\varepsilon+\sum_{j\geqslant 2}(-a_n\varepsilon)^j)\overset{\text{[CFR]}}{=}$$
$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathcal{C}(-a_1\varepsilon+\sum_{j\geqslant 2}(-a_1\varepsilon)^j,\dots,-a_n\varepsilon+\sum_{j\geqslant 2}(-a_n\varepsilon)^j)=$$
$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathcal{C}(a_1+\sum_{j\geqslant 2}a_1^j(-\varepsilon)^{j-1},\dots,a_n+\sum_{j\geqslant 2}(a_n)^j(-\varepsilon)^{j-1})=\mathcal{C}(\vec{a}),$$

where for the last equality we have used the maximality of $\mathcal{C}(\vec{a})$. Thus in the semisimple case, we have $\psi_p(\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{w}})=\psi_p(\mathfrak{z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}},t^{-1}))$ and $\mathcal{Z}(p,p+1)=\mathrm{gr}(\mathcal{C}(\vec{a}))=\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}\mathfrak{w})$.

6.2. **A few words in conclusion.**

Remark. (i) In order to extend our results to all Lie algebras, not necessary satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 2.9, one needs a better understanding of the interplay between $\psi_p(\mathcal{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}},t))$ and $\mathcal{Z}(p,p+t)$.

(ii) An interesting question is, whether $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{q},t)$ has a quantisation. In order to extend the method of Feigin and Frenkel [FF], one has to assume that $\mathfrak{q}$ is quadratic. We note that for the centralisers $\mathfrak{g}_\gamma$ with $\gamma\in\mathfrak{g}$, the problem is settled, affirmatively, in [AP], although $\mathfrak{g}_\gamma$ is not always a quadratic Lie algebra.

REFERENCES

[AP] T. ARAKAWA and A. PREMET, Quantizing Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebras for centralizers via affine W-algebras, *Труды Моск. Матем. Общества*, **78** (2017), вып. 2, с. 261–281 (= *Trans. Mosc. Math. Soc.*, **78** (2017), 217–234).

[B91] A. BOLSINOV, Commutative families of functions related to consistent Poisson brackets, *Acta Appl. Math.*, **24**, no. 3 (1991), 253–274.

[CFR] A. CHERVOV, G. FALQUI, and L. RYBNIKOV, Limits of Gaudin algebras, quantization of bending flows, Jucys–Murphy elements and Gelfand–Tsetlin bases, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **91** (2010), no. 2, 129–150.

[DZ] J.-P. DUFOUR and N.T. ZUNG, "Poisson structures and their normal forms". Progress in Mathematics, **242**. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2005.

[FF] B. FEIGIN and E. FRENKEL, Affine Kac–Moody algebras at the critical level and Gelfand–Dikii algebras, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* A**7**, *Suppl*. 1A (1992), 197–215.

[FFR] B. FEIGIN, E. FRENKEL, and N. RESHETIKHIN, Gaudin model, Bethe Ansatz and critical level, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **166** (1994), no. 1, 27–62.

[GZ] I.M. GELFAND and I.S. ZAKHAREVICH, Webs, Lenard schemes, and the local geometry of bi-Hamiltonian Toda and Lax structures, *Selecta Math., New Ser.*, **6** (2000), 131–183.

[G95] F. GEOFFRIAU, Homorphisme de Harish-Chandra pour les algèbre de Takiff généralisées, *J. Algebra* **171** (1995), 444–456.

[GM] J. GREENSTEIN and V. MAZORCHUK, Koszul duality for semidirect products and generalized Takiff algebras, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **20** (2017), no. 3, 675–694.

[IR] A. ILIN and L. RYBNIKOV, On classical limits of Bethe subalgebras in Yangians, *Transformation groups*, **26** (2021), 537–564, **10.1007/s00031-021-09648-x**.

[K63] B. KOSTANT, Lie group representations on polynomial rings, *Amer. J. Math.*, **85** (1963), 327–404.

[MY] A. MOLEV and O. YAKIMOVA, Quantisation and nilpotent limits of Mishchenko–Fomenko subalgebras, *Represent. Theory*, **23** (2019), 350–378.

[P07] D. PANYUSHEV, On the coadjoint representation of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-contractions of reductive Lie algebras, *Adv. Math.*, **213** (2007), no. 1, 380–404.

[PPY] D. PANYUSHEV, A. PREMET, and O. YAKIMOVA, On symmetric invariants of centralisers in reductive Lie algebras, *J. Algebra*, **313** (2007), 343–391.

[PY12] D. I. PANYUSHEV and O. S. YAKIMOVA, A remarkable contraction of semisimple Lie algebras, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **62** no. 6 (2012), 2053–2068.

[PY20] D. I. PANYUSHEV and O. S. YAKIMOVA, Takiff algebras with polynomial rings of symmetric invariants, *Transformation Groups*, **25** (2020), 609–624.

[PY20′] D. I. PANYUSHEV and O. S. YAKIMOVA, Poisson-commutative subalgebras and complete integrability on non-regular coadjoint orbits and flag varieties, *Math. Zeitschrift*, **295** (2020), 101–127.

[PY] D. PANYUSHEV and O. YAKIMOVA, Poisson-commutative subalgebras of $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with involutions, *Intern. Math. Res. Notices*, to appear; **10.1093/imrn/rnaa041**, arXiv:1809.00350.

[PY21] D. PANYUSHEV and O. YAKIMOVA, Periodic automorphisms, compatible Poisson brackets, and Gaudin subalgebras, *Transformation groups*, **26** (2021), 641–670, **10.1007/s00031-021-09650-3**.

[RT] M. RAÏS and P. TAUVEL, Indice et polynômes invariants pour certaines algèbres de Lie, *J. reine angew. Math.*, **425** (1992), 123–140.

[R08] L. G. RYBNIKOV, Uniqueness of higher Gaudin Hamiltonians, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **61** (2008), 247–252.

[Sp89] T.A. SPRINGER, Aktionen reduktiver Gruppen auf Varietäten, in: "Algebraische Transformationsgruppen und Invariantentheorie", DMV-Seminar, Bd. **13**, Basel–Boston–Berlin: Birkhäuser 1989, pp. 3–39.

[Ta71] S. J. TAKIFF, Rings of invariant polynomials for a class of Lie algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **160** (1971), 249–262.

[Vi90] Э.Б. ВИНБЕРГ, О некоторых коммутативных подалгебрах универсальной обертывающей алгебры, *Изв. АН СССР. Сер. Матем.* **54**, № 1 (1990), 3–25 (Russian). English translation: E.B. VINBERG, On certain commutative subalgebras of a universal enveloping algebra, *Math. USSR-Izv.* **36** (1991), 1–22.

[Lie3] Э.Б. ВИНБЕРГ, В.В. ГОРБАЦЕВИЧ, А.Л. ОНИЩИК, "*Группы и алгебры Ли - 3*", Соврем. пробл. математики. Фундам. направл., т. 41. Москва: ВИНИТИ 1990 (Russian). English translation: V.V. GORBATSEVICH, A.L. ONISHCHIK and E.B. VINBERG, "Lie Groups and Lie Algebras III" (Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 41) Berlin: Springer 1994.

[W11] B. J. WILSON, Highest-weight theory for truncated current Lie algebras, *J. Algebra*, **336** (2011), 1–27.

[Y14] O. YAKIMOVA, One-parameter contractions of Lie–Poisson brackets, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, **16** (2014), 387–407.

[Y17] O. YAKIMOVA, Symmetric invariants of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-contractions and other semi-direct products, *Intern. Math. Res. Notices*, (2017) 2017 (6): 1674–1716.

[Y19] O. YAKIMOVA, Symmetrisation and the Feigin–Frenkel centre, arXiv:1910.10204.

(O. Yakimova) INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, FRIEDRICH-SCHILLER-UNIVERSITÄT JENA, 07737 JENA, DEUTSCHLAND