

Hydrodynamics of ideal fracton fluids

Kevin T. Grosvenor,^{1,2} Carlos Hoyos,³ Francisco Peña-Benitez,^{1,2} and Piotr Surówka^{4,1,2}

¹*Max Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems, 01187 Dresden, Germany*

²*Würzburg-Dresden Cluster of Excellence ct.qmat, Germany*

³*Department of Physics and Instituto de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA)
Universidad de Oviedo, c/ Federico García Lorca 18, ES-33007 Oviedo, Spain*

⁴*Department of Theoretical Physics, Wrocław University of Science and Technology, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland*

Low-energy dynamics of many-body fracton excitations necessary to describe topological defects should be governed by a novel type of hydrodynamic theory. We use a Poisson bracket approach to systematically derive hydrodynamic equations from conservation laws of scalar theories with fracton excitations. We study two classes of theories. In the first class we introduce a general action for a scalar with a shift symmetry linear in the spatial coordinates, while the second class serves as a toy model for disclinations and dislocations propagating along the Burgers vector. We apply our construction to study hydrodynamic fluctuations around equilibrium states and derive the dispersion relations of hydrodynamic modes.

INTRODUCTION

Recent years have seen a dramatic growth of interest in the study of *fracton* phases of matter [1, 2]. In these phases, the motion of the elementary fractonic excitations is spatially restricted. While earlier spin models with such excitations (e.g. [3–7]) describe *gapped* fracton phases, *gapless* phases have also been proposed in the context of certain spin liquids [8–12], dipole-conserving lattice models [13–16] and quantum elasticity [17–27] (see also [28–30], where similar structures appear). From an effective field theory perspective, such gapless phases are of particular interest as they are typically the ones which survive and remain robust at very low energies. The dynamics of such a low-energy regime should be governed by a hydrodynamic theory, which reflects the symmetries and, in consequence, the conservation laws of a fractonic system.

Hydrodynamics offers a phenomenological description of interacting many-body systems. It focuses on a subset of physical quantities that remain conserved at low energies such as the particle number or momentum. Fractonic excitations conserve not only charge but also one or several higher moments of charge. It follows that the low-energy regime is characterized by long thermalization, sub-diffusive behavior of systems without momentum conservation, and a different structure of continuity equations. This has already been noticed in models of a scalar field with fracton excitations that emerge as a generalization of ordinary superfluids [31, 32] or in dissipative diffusive evolution of charges with restricted mobility [33]. Another class of fractonic fluids arises in the dynamics of topological defects [34].

Topological defects arise in many areas of physics and play an important role in, e.g., in superfluids [35], quantum Hall effect [36], liquid crystals [37] and metamaterials [38]. The interpretation of topological defects as fractons is most visible in the language of elastic dualities [39–42] that map elastic displacements to tensor

gauge fields and the defects to charges that source the gauge fields. As was first observed in [10, 11] fractonic charges with restricted mobility naturally source tensor gauge fields. Therefore topological defects can be interpreted as fractons. This interpretation allows one to construct low-energy coarse-grained models of defect dynamics based on the underlying symmetries, which are otherwise difficult to obtain and challenging to study from first principles, even numerically. Such an approach was successfully applied to superfluid vortices [43–50].

In this paper, our interest is in the effective field theory description of fracton fluids. For simplicity, we will only consider non-dissipative fluids and leave the study of additional phenomena, such as dissipation, for future work. We follow the approach of [51–55], starting from a sought-after algebra of symmetries, the corresponding conservation equations, and eventually deriving the hydrodynamic equations of motion for an ideal fluid. We focus on two classes of models: a scalar theory with a shift symmetry linear in the spatial coordinates that extends previously studied models [33, 56, 57] and a chiral scalar theory that has a Burgers-like vector, constraining the movement of charges. While the non-chiral model is analyzed in general dimensions, the proliferation of types of defects in spatial dimensions higher than two leads us to focus the analysis of the chiral model to two spatial dimensions. The chiral theory can be viewed as a toy model to understand the basic physical properties of many-body disclination dynamics. Given these theories we motivate the corresponding hydrodynamic Poisson structure and the derivative expansion in hydrodynamics. The main outcome of our analysis is that the Poisson structure for fracton systems is the same as in conventional fluids, but the constitutive relations change, thus leading to novel types of hydrodynamic theories. We analyze the corresponding hydrodynamic modes in both theories. Our construction paves the way for a systematic study of transport properties in fracton theories.

HYDRODYNAMIC EQUATIONS FROM POISSON BRACKETS

Hydrodynamic equations represent the macroscopic conservation laws for quantities preserved during the time evolution of a physical system. Although conceptually simple, the precise form of these equations can be rather challenging to obtain. The difficulty may be associated with the non-linear terms or the proper inclusion of degrees of freedom in case of systems with multiple conserved quantities. Therefore, in order to circumvent these difficulties, it is convenient to use the Poisson bracket formulation of hydrodynamics (for a review, see [54]). This has been successfully applied to various systems and theories, e.g., to superfluids [51, 52], liquid crystals [58] and more recently the fractional quantum Hall effect [55]. One starts by postulating the Poisson brackets between conserved densities. Such a postulate can be justified a posteriori by computing corresponding commutators in a microscopic model. As an instructive example we present a derivation of the conservation laws for a fluid with Galilean symmetries. The relevant degrees of freedom are the number density $\rho(\mathbf{x})$, momentum density $p_i(\mathbf{x})$, and entropy density $s(\mathbf{x})$. The Poisson brackets for these variables read

$$\{p_i(\mathbf{x}), \rho(\mathbf{y})\} = -\rho(\mathbf{x})\partial_{x^i}\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (1a)$$

$$\{p_i(\mathbf{x}), s(\mathbf{y})\} = -s(\mathbf{x})\partial_{x^i}\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (1b)$$

$$\{p_i(\mathbf{x}), p_j(\mathbf{y})\} = -[p_j(\mathbf{x})\partial_{x^i} + p_i(\mathbf{y})\partial_{x^j}]\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (1c)$$

with all other Poisson brackets vanishing. In the subsequent analysis we focus on the zero temperature fluid. The energy density in the fluid is a function of hydrodynamic variables $h = h(\rho, \mathbf{p})$. This is a thermodynamic potential, whose differential is given by

$$dh = \mu d\rho + v^i dp_i, \quad (2)$$

where we have introduced the chemical potential μ and fluid velocity \mathbf{v} . Note that we consider a non-stationary fluid configuration. The equations of motion follow from the Poisson brackets of the hydrodynamic variables with the Hamiltonian¹

$$\partial_t \rho = \{\rho, H\} = -\partial_i(\rho v^i), \quad (3a)$$

$$\partial_t p_i = \{p_i, H\} = -\rho \partial_i \mu - \partial_j(v^j p_i) - p_j \partial_i v^j, \quad (3b)$$

where $H = \int d^d x h(\rho, \mathbf{p})$. The momentum equation is not yet in the form of a conservation law. However, using the Legendre transform of pressure $dp = \rho d\mu + p^i dv_i$, we can rewrite it as a momentum flux

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t p_i &= -\partial_k(v^k p_i) - \partial_i p = -\partial_k(v^k p_i + p \delta_i^k) \\ &\equiv -\partial_k T^k{}_i. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

¹ Note that many references use different conventions, which results in a time evolution given by $\{H, \cdot\}$.

As a result, momentum conservation defines the stress tensor. This is a general form of the hydrodynamic equation in a theory that conserves particle number and momenta. It is valid both for non-relativistic and relativistic fluids. In order to derive the final form of Euler's equations for a fluid that respects the Galilean symmetry, we need to impose appropriate constraints. At this point, we can directly supplement the Poisson brackets (1) with a specific Hamiltonian or with a generic one whose form is fixed by the appropriate symmetries and thermodynamics. For example in the Galilean fluid the momentum density is equal to the mass flux of particles

$$p_i = m\rho v_i, \quad (5)$$

where m is the mass of the fluid constituents. Equation (5) can be rewritten as a constraint on the pressure thermodynamic potential

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial v_i} = m v_i \frac{\partial p}{\partial \mu}. \quad (6)$$

with a solution given by $p = p(\mu + \frac{1}{2}mv^2)$. We can interpret this new variable as the chemical potential in the frame moving with the fluid element $\tilde{\mu} = \mu + \frac{1}{2}mv^2$. Using this result we can recover the usual form of Euler's equations. The stress tensor has now a manifestly symmetric form

$$T_{ij} = m\rho v_i v_j + p\delta_{ij} \quad (7)$$

and the energy density is equal to

$$h = \mu\rho + v_i p_i - p = \frac{1}{2}m\rho v^2 + \tilde{\mu}\rho - p, \quad (8)$$

where we can identify the kinetic energy and the internal energy.

As a final step we want to justify our postulate (1) in a microscopic theory. This was first done by Landau in the context of superfluid Helium [51]. We start with a Galilean-invariant Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \psi^* \left(i\partial_t + \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} \right) \psi, \quad (9)$$

where ψ is a complex scalar field. Since we need the commutator between density and momentum current we need to compute the Noether currents for $U(1)$ symmetry and translations. The symmetry transformation is given by

$$\psi(t, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha} \psi(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad (10a)$$

$$\psi^*(t, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha} \psi^*(t, \mathbf{x}). \quad (10b)$$

We promote the parameter α to be a function of space and time and perform a variation of the action with respect to it

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S &= \alpha(t, \mathbf{x}) \left[\partial_t(\psi^*(t, \mathbf{x})\psi(t, \mathbf{x})) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{i}{2m} \left(\nabla^2 \psi^*(t, \mathbf{x})\psi(t, \mathbf{x}) - \psi^*(t, \mathbf{x})\nabla^2 \psi(t, \mathbf{x}) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We can now identify the density

$$\rho(t, \mathbf{x}) = \psi^*(t, \mathbf{x})\psi(t, \mathbf{x}) \quad (12)$$

and the particle current density from which we can compute the momentum density

$$p_i = \frac{i}{2} [\nabla_i \psi^*(t, \mathbf{x})\psi(t, \mathbf{x}) - \psi^*(t, \mathbf{x})\nabla_i \psi(t, \mathbf{x})]. \quad (13)$$

Taking $\{\psi(\mathbf{x}), \psi^*(\mathbf{y})\} = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$, we can derive Eqs. (1). In our analysis of the fracton fluids, we will employ the same procedure by postulating the brackets and justifying them using microscopic models.

MONOPOLE-DIPOLE-MOMENTUM ALGEBRA

Our analysis will be phrased in the language of multipole algebras constructed in [59]. The basic ingredient of this construction is the simultaneous conservation of the fracton monopole charge Q and the dipole moment Q^i , $i = 1, \dots, d$ ranging over the spatial directions. These are defined to be the spatial integrals of their respective densities:

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \int d^d x \rho = 0, \quad (14a)$$

$$\frac{dQ^i}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \int d^d x x^i \rho = 0. \quad (14b)$$

where $\rho(t, \mathbf{x})$ is the fracton charge density.

A sufficient condition to guarantee these conservation laws is a generalized continuity equation of the form

$$\partial_t \rho + \partial_i \partial_j J^{ij} = 0. \quad (15)$$

In addition, we assume that the system is translationally invariant, which implies the conservation of momentum

$$\frac{dP_i}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \int d^d x p_i = 0. \quad (16)$$

The charges Q , Q^i , and P_i naturally act on the system as generators of the symmetry group. Fields Φ in a given representation will transform according to $\delta_\alpha \Phi = \{\Phi, \alpha Q\}$, $\delta_\beta \Phi = \{\Phi, \beta_i Q^i\}$, and $\delta_\gamma \Phi = \{\Phi, \gamma^i P_i\}$, where α , β_i , and γ^i are constant infinitesimal parameters. For example, these symmetries can be represented by a scalar field $\phi(t, \mathbf{x})$ transforming as

$$\delta_\alpha \phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \alpha, \quad (17a)$$

$$\delta_\beta \phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \beta_i x^i, \quad (17b)$$

$$\delta_\gamma \phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \gamma^i \partial_i \phi(t, \mathbf{x}). \quad (17c)$$

The monopole transformation, Q , is nothing but the constant shift symmetry familiar in the context of Nambu-Goldstone bosons. The dipole transformation, Q^i , is just

the spatial part of the linear shift symmetry familiar in the context of Galileons [60]. In general, one can consider the so-called polynomial shift symmetry whose charges are generated by polynomials in the spatial coordinates of any finite degree [61]. An example of such a scenario that has been studied previously is the traceless scalar theory [62], which in addition to the conserved charges Q, Q^i also conserves the second moment $Q^{(2)} = \int d^d x ||\mathbf{x}||^2 \rho$.

The form of the Monopole-Dipole-Momentum algebra (MDMA) is as follows,

$$\{Q, Q\} = \{Q, P_i\} = \{Q, Q^i\} = 0, \quad (18a)$$

$$\{P_i, Q^j\} = \delta_i^j Q. \quad (18b)$$

Notice that momentum and dipole charge are charged with respect to each other. Therefore, a dipole transformation modifies the momentum as $\delta_\beta P_i = \beta_i Q$, which can be written in terms of the densities as follows

$$\delta_\beta p_i = \beta_i \rho. \quad (19)$$

In the next sections we will focus on the hydrodynamic description of systems that are invariant under the whole symmetry group. Then, we apply the Poisson bracket formalism, to write down hydrodynamic equations for fractons. In particular, we will study two different classes of fracton theories. However, the structure of hydrodynamic equations appears to be universal. The Poisson brackets (1) do not change, but the final form of the constitutive relations strongly depends on the Hamiltonian. The main distinguishing feature between the non-chiral and chiral models is that the former will be assumed to be time-reversal invariant whereas in the later case we will allow for the breaking of time-reversal invariance while preserving a combination of time-inversions and a π rotation.

HYDRODYNAMICS OF NON-CHIRAL FRACTONS

We start with models preserving time-reversal invariance. For the present case, we find it convenient to introduce the generalized velocity $V_i = \rho^{-1} p_i$ which transforms as $\delta_\beta V_i = \beta_i$ (see Eq. (19)), and constrain the Hamiltonian to depend on the momentum only via the β -invariant combination $\partial_i V_j$. In fact, notice that a linear shift acts similarly to a Galilean boost, since the generalized velocity is shifted by the ‘boost’ parameter β_i . Therefore, we postulate that the low-energy effective Hamiltonian of the system have the following form

$$H = \int d^d x h(\rho, \partial_i V_j, \partial_i \rho) + \mathcal{O}(\partial^3). \quad (20)$$

Since the independent dynamical variables of the system are ρ and p_i , the variation of the Hamiltonian will have

the form

$$\delta H = \int d^d x (\mu \delta \rho + v^i \delta p_i), \quad (21)$$

where the out-of-equilibrium chemical potentials take the form

$$v^i = -\frac{1}{\rho} \partial_j \frac{\partial h}{\partial (\partial_j V_i)}, \quad (22a)$$

$$\mu = \frac{\partial h}{\partial \rho} - \partial_i \frac{\partial h}{\partial (\partial_i \rho)} - \frac{p_i v^i}{\rho}. \quad (22b)$$

In addition, we postulate the the same Poisson brackets (1) as for ordinary fluids. In fact, in the next section we shall verify for a certain class of theories with linear shift symmetry that our assumptions are correct. We now derive the equations of motion for the conserved densities using $\partial_t S = \{S, H\}$, which are the same as Eqs. (3). However, in the present case ρv^i is a gradient as expected for a fractonic system.

To be more precise, and understand the consequences of this proposal, we consider a time-reversal invariant quadratic Hamiltonian which would capture the dynamics of linear perturbations around the equilibrium state $\rho = \rho_0$, $p_i = 0$. The most general such Hamiltonian density reads

$$h = \frac{\mu_0}{2\rho_0} \rho^2 + \frac{1}{2\rho_0} \mu_1^{ij} \partial_i \rho \partial_j \rho + \frac{\rho_0}{2} v_1^{ijkl} \partial_i V_j \partial_k V_l, \quad (23)$$

with the phenomenological susceptibilities being positive definite in order that the Hamiltonian be bounded from below. Then, we fix the background density $\rho = \rho_0$ and pick the ‘frame’ $p_i = 0$. In particular, the out-of-equilibrium chemical potentials μ and v^i are

$$\mu = \rho_0^{-1} (\mu_0 \rho - \mu_1^{ij} \partial_i \partial_j \rho), \quad (24)$$

$$v^j = -v_1^{ijkl} \partial_i \partial_k V_l, \quad (25)$$

which imply the following set of linearized hydrodynamic equations

$$\partial_t \delta \rho - v_1^{ijkl} \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k \delta p_l = 0, \quad (26)$$

$$\partial_t \delta p_i + \left(\mu_0 - \mu_1^{jk} \partial_j \partial_k \right) \partial_i \delta \rho = 0. \quad (27)$$

After Fourier transforming the system of equations, it is possible to verify the existence of two modes: one of them is non-propagating (at $\omega = 0$)², while the propagating mode has the following dispersion relation

$$\omega^2 = \mu(q) v_1^{ijkl} q_i q_j q_k q_l, \quad (28)$$

where $\mu(q) = \mu_0 + \mu_1^{ij} q_i q_j$. Note that the positivity conditions required of all the susceptibilities appearing in Eq. (23) guarantee that $\mu(q) v_1^{ijkl}$ is positive definite and, therefore, that the mode with dispersion (28) will be a stable propagating mode. Also notice that the antisymmetric components $v_1^{[ij][kl]}$ are only relevant at the non-linear level, since they do not appear in the linearized equations of motion.

Scalar field with linear shift symmetry

In this section we will confirm the Poisson algebra and structure assumed in the hydrodynamic Hamiltonian for the fracton system with the linear shift symmetry. In particular we shall assume theories with Lagrangian of the form

$$L = \int d^d x \mathcal{L}(\partial_t \phi, \partial_i \partial_j \phi). \quad (29)$$

For simplicity and clarity of presentation, we will consider this restricted functional dependence of the Lagrangian on the derivatives of the scalar field. Although *a priori* this theory does allow for chiral modes we impose an additional symmetry requirement that they are absent. The generalization to Lagrangians depending on higher-order spatial derivatives of the scalar field is quite straightforward, but leads only to a proliferation of terms with no significant added insight. Such a form of the Lagrangian ensures that \mathcal{L} by itself is invariant under the linear shift symmetry and not just its spatial integral L . We can allow \mathcal{L} to vary by a total derivative, in which case it can depend on the first spatial derivative $\partial_i \phi$ as long as we insist that $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_i \phi)}$ is itself the gradient of some expression. Again, it is easy to handle adding this extra functional dependence in Eq. (29), but it does not lead to any added insight, at least insofar as the present analysis is concerned. Thus, we choose to restrict to the form (29) (See appendix for the more general case).

After taking variations of the Lagrangian we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta L = & - \int d^d x (\partial_t \pi + \partial_i \partial_j J^{ij}) \delta \phi \\ & + \int d^d x (\partial_t (\pi \delta \phi) + \partial_i (\partial_j J^{ij} \delta \phi - J^{ij} \partial_j \delta \phi)), \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where we have defined

$$\pi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_t \phi)}, \quad J^{ij} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_i \partial_j \phi)}. \quad (31)$$

For simplicity, we assume that the time derivative of the field can be obtained from π by inverting with some functional F

$$\partial_t \phi = F(\pi, \partial_i \partial_j \phi). \quad (32)$$

² In general, we expect that dissipative terms will modify the dispersion relation of the non-propagating mode.

In the next section we will consider the example of a theory where this is not possible. From the variation of the action we can simultaneously read the equations of motion and the Noether currents associated with the constant and linear shift. In fact, the equation of motion reads

$$\partial_t \pi + \partial_i \partial_j J^{ij} = 0, \quad (33)$$

which can be identified with the monopole charge conservation with $\rho = \pi$. In addition, the dipole density defined as $\rho^i = x^i \pi$ is also conserved

$$\partial_t \rho^k + \partial_i J^{ik} = 0, \quad (34)$$

where

$$J^{ik} = x^k \partial_j J^{ij} - J^{ik}. \quad (35)$$

The system is also translationally invariant in time and space, which implies the conservation of energy and momentum. The energy density and flux are

$$h = \pi \partial_t \phi - \mathcal{L}(F, \partial_i \partial_j \phi), \quad (36a)$$

$$T^i_t = (\partial_j J^{ij}) \partial_t \phi - J^{ij} \partial_j \partial_t \phi, \quad (36b)$$

while the momentum density and stress tensor are

$$p_i = T^t_i = -\pi \partial_i \phi, \quad (37a)$$

$$T^j_i = -\partial_k J^{jk} \partial_i \phi + J^{jk} \partial_k \partial_i \phi + \delta_j^i \mathcal{L}(F, \partial_i \partial_j \phi), \quad (37b)$$

They satisfy the usual conservation equations

$$\partial_t h + \partial_i T^i_t = 0, \quad (38a)$$

$$\partial_i p_i + \partial_j T^j_i = 0. \quad (38b)$$

The definition of the momentum and charge densities for this class of systems automatically satisfy the transformation rule (19) and the Hamiltonian density (36a) can be written as a functional of ρ and $\partial_i V_j$

$$h \equiv h(\rho, \partial_i V_j), \quad (39)$$

as expected. Finally, for this class of theories it is not hard to verify that the canonical bracket

$$\{\phi(\mathbf{x}), \pi(\mathbf{y})\} = \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \quad (40)$$

implies the usual algebra (1) used above to derive the hydrodynamic theory.

HYDRODYNAMICS OF CHIRAL FRACTONS

In cases of physical interest, fractons may not only be restricted to move in a subdimensional space, but the direction of motion may be determined as well. An example of this are edge dislocations under an applied stress,

whose direction of motion is determined by the Burgers vector \mathbf{b} and the orientation of the defect. Dual elastic fields couple to the disclination density ρ and current tensor J^{ij} with a conservation equation (15) [20]. In this system, the monopole charge Q and dipole moment Q^i can be identified with the disclination and dislocation charge respectively. In a two-dimensional lattice, the contribution to the current tensor of a dislocation moving with velocity \mathbf{v} takes the form [20]

$$J^{ij} \propto \epsilon^{(ik} b_k v^j). \quad (41)$$

In the absence of vacancies, the current tensor is traceless $J^i_i = 0$ [41, 63], forcing the velocity to be parallel to the Burgers vector $v^i = b^i v_b$.

Let us introduce the unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{b}/\|\mathbf{b}\|$ and define the coordinates parallel and transverse to the Burgers vector $b = \hat{b}_i x^i$, $s = \epsilon^{ij} x_i \hat{b}_j$, as well as the corresponding derivatives

$$\partial_b \equiv \hat{b}^i \partial_i, \quad \partial_s \equiv \epsilon^{ij} \hat{b}_j \partial_i. \quad (42)$$

With these conventions and assuming no vacancies the current is

$$J^{bb} = J^{ss} = 0, \quad J^{bs} = J^{sb} \propto \frac{1}{2} v_b. \quad (43)$$

This structure can be captured by a simple model with a scalar field. The action reads

$$S = \int d^3x \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \partial_s \phi (\partial_t \phi + \partial_b \phi). \quad (44)$$

The equation of motion is

$$\partial_t \partial_s \phi + \partial_b \partial_s \phi = 0. \quad (45)$$

This takes the form of the continuity equation (15) if we identify

$$\rho \propto \partial_s \phi, \quad J^{ss} = J^{bb} = 0, \quad J^{bs} \propto \frac{1}{2} \phi, \quad (46)$$

where the proportionality constants are the same for both ρ and J^{bs} . The energy, momentum and charge densities in the Lagrangian formalism are

$$e = T^t_t = \frac{\delta S}{\delta \partial_t \phi} \partial_t \phi - \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_s \phi \partial_b \phi, \quad (47a)$$

$$k_i = T^t_i = -\frac{\delta S}{\delta \partial_i \phi} \partial_i \phi = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_s \phi \partial_i \phi, \quad (47b)$$

$$n = \frac{\delta S}{\delta \partial_t \phi} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_s \phi. \quad (47c)$$

Therefore, the model captures the structure of Eq. (43) for dislocations of a fixed orientation. Dislocations of different orientations would be described by discrete spatial rotations of the action above.

This model actually has a much larger symmetry than the one implied by the monopole, dipole and second moment conservation. The action transforms by a total derivative under the transformations

$$\phi \rightarrow \phi + f(s) + g(t, b), \quad (48)$$

which are also symmetries of the equation of motion. This implies that there is an infinite set of conserved charges

$$Q(f, g) = \int d^2x (f(s) + g(t, b)) n. \quad (49)$$

This model will also have reduced conformal symmetry as those studied in [64]. The s -independent transformation leaves q and k_s invariant but changes the momentum k_b as follows

$$\delta k_b = -n \partial_b g(t, b). \quad (50)$$

Moving on to the Hamiltonian formalism, the canonical momentum conjugate to the scalar field is

$$\pi = \frac{\delta S}{\delta \partial_t \phi} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_s \phi. \quad (51)$$

This relation actually imposes a constraint on phase space, that has to be treated with some care. We will work in the extended phase space parametrized by canonically conjugate variables (ϕ, π) and impose the constraint *after* evaluating the Poisson brackets as is standard in these cases. We will use the symbol $=$ for results in the extended space and \approx for results after imposing the constraint.

In the Hamiltonian formalism, the corresponding Hamiltonian and momentum densities differ from Eqs. (47) by terms proportional to the constraint, that vanish when evaluated on the physical constrained phase space

$$h = e + \lambda_t \left(\pi - \frac{1}{2} \partial_s \phi \right), \quad (52a)$$

$$p_i = k_i + \lambda_i \left(\pi - \frac{1}{2} \partial_s \phi \right), \quad (52b)$$

$$\rho = n + \lambda \left(\pi - \frac{1}{2} \partial_s \phi \right). \quad (52c)$$

The Lagrange multipliers are fixed by the condition that the charges act as the generators of time and space translations and shifts of the scalar on the physical space. The Hamiltonian, momentum and charge are

$$H = \int d^2x h, \quad P_i = \int d^2x p_i, \quad Q = \int d^2x \rho. \quad (53)$$

Then,

$$\{\phi, H\} \approx \lambda_t, \quad \{\phi, P_i\} \approx \lambda_i, \quad \{\phi, Q\} \approx \lambda. \quad (54)$$

Which fixes $\lambda_t = \partial_t \phi$, $\lambda_i = -\partial_i \phi$ and $\lambda = 1$. In this case the densities h , p_i and ρ in the extended phase space take the usual form for an ordinary scalar, so they lead to the same Poisson brackets and hydrodynamic equations in the extended space. Imposing the constraint fixes the density and the momentum in the direction transverse to the Burgers vector in terms of the same quantity

$$\rho \approx \frac{1}{2} \partial_s \phi, \quad p_s \approx -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_s \phi)^2. \quad (55)$$

This can be interpreted as an equation of state for the fractonic fluid relating the momentum and the disclination density

$$p_s = -2\rho^2. \quad (56)$$

In this case the hydrodynamic equation for p_s becomes a constraint.

Solving for the spatial derivatives of ϕ and the conjugate momentum, the Hamiltonian is

$$h = p_b + \frac{(\lambda_t - \lambda_b)}{4\lambda^2} \left(\lambda_s + 4\lambda\rho + \text{sign}(\lambda_s) \sqrt{\lambda_s^2 + 8\lambda(\lambda_s\rho - \lambda p_s)} \right). \quad (57)$$

We will take this as the Hamiltonian for a hydrodynamic theory. For $\lambda_s \neq 0$ one finds that, imposing the conditions,

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial \lambda_\mu} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial \lambda} = 0, \quad (58)$$

fixes

$$p_s = -2\rho^2, \quad \lambda_s = -2\lambda\rho. \quad (59)$$

These are the same conditions we have in the microscopic model.

Then, identifying

$$\mu = \frac{\partial h}{\partial \rho}, \quad v^a = \frac{\partial h}{\partial p_a}, \quad (60)$$

subject to the previous conditions, one gets

$$\lambda_t = \lambda_b + \frac{\lambda}{2} \mu, \quad v^s = \frac{\mu}{4\rho}, \quad v^b = 1. \quad (61)$$

And, evaluating the Hamiltonian,

$$h = p_b. \quad (62)$$

Thus the energy equals the momentum in the direction of the Burgers vector. Note that we should impose the conditions *after* deriving the equations.

The hydrodynamic equation for p_s becomes proportional to the equation for ρ , but is missing a term depending on the chemical potential. We are left with two independent equations and a constraint on μ :

$$\partial_t \rho + \partial_b \rho = 0, \quad \partial_s \mu = 0, \quad (63a)$$

$$\partial_t p_b + \partial_b \left(p_b + \frac{1}{2} \mu \rho \right) + \partial_s \left(p_b \frac{\mu}{4\rho} \right) = 0. \quad (63b)$$

The equation for ρ coincides with the equation of motion in the microscopic model. Note that μ is not a function of the density or the momenta, rather its role is to ensure that the symmetry (50) is realized in the hydrodynamic equations, as we will see presently.

Expanding to linear order around constant background values (ρ_0, μ_0) for the density and chemical potential, we get the set of equations

$$\partial_t \delta \rho + \partial_b \delta \rho = 0, \quad \partial_s \delta \mu = 0, \quad (64a)$$

$$\partial_t \delta p_b + \partial_b \left(\delta p_b + \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 \delta \rho + \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 \delta \mu \right) + \frac{\mu_0}{4\rho_0} \partial_s \delta p_b = 0. \quad (64b)$$

The solutions for the density and chemical potential should take the form

$$\delta \rho = \delta \bar{\rho}(b-t, s) + \rho_0 \alpha(b-t), \quad \delta \mu = \delta \mu(t, b), \quad (65)$$

where $\partial_s \delta \bar{\rho} \neq 0$. We have to distinguish between the contributions to the chemical potential that depend on $b-t$ and the remainder. We will split the chemical potential as follows

$$\delta \mu = \mu_0 \beta(b-t) + (\partial_t + \partial_b) \varphi(t, b). \quad (66)$$

In the momentum we can separate a part that will give a contribution independent of the coordinate s to the conservation equation from another part that can in principle have an arbitrary dependence on s :

$$\delta p_b = \delta \bar{p}_b(t, b, s) + \rho_0 [\psi(t, b) + \rho_0 (s - s_0) \gamma(b-t)]. \quad (67)$$

We are left with the equations

$$(\partial_t + \partial_b) \delta \bar{p}_b + \frac{\mu_0}{2} \partial_b \delta \bar{\rho} + \frac{\mu_0}{4\rho_0} \partial_s \delta \bar{p}_b = 0, \quad (68a)$$

$$\rho_0 (\partial_t + \partial_b) \left(\psi + \frac{1}{2} \partial_b \varphi \right) + \frac{\mu_0 \rho_0}{2} \left(\partial_b \alpha + \partial_b \beta + \frac{1}{2} \gamma \right) = 0. \quad (68b)$$

The solutions are

$$\gamma = -2\partial_b \alpha - 2\partial_b \beta, \quad \psi = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_b \varphi, \quad (69a)$$

$$\delta \bar{\rho} = \partial_s \delta \bar{J}, \quad \delta \bar{J} = \delta \bar{J}(b-t, s), \quad (69b)$$

$$\delta \bar{p}_b = -2\rho_0 \partial_b \delta \bar{J} + \delta p_b^h. \quad (69c)$$

The homogeneous term δp_b^h can be expanded in plane waves propagating with speed unity in the b direction and speed $c_s = \mu_0/(4\rho_0) = v_0^*$ in the s direction

$$\delta p_b^h = \int \frac{d^2 q}{(2\pi)^2} \tilde{p}_b(q) e^{iq_s(s-c_s t) + iq_b(b-t)}. \quad (70)$$

We can identify $\delta \bar{J}$ as part of the current, with an additional contribution parametrized by α

$$\delta J = \delta \bar{J} + \rho_0 (s - s_0) \alpha. \quad (71)$$

The solution for the chemical potential (66) confirms its part in the realization of the symmetries. Comparing with the symmetry transformation of p_b (50) generated by $g(t, b)$ (the constraint has already been imposed at this point and so p_b and k_b are identified), we see that φ and ψ correspond to said transformation, with a condition $(\partial_t + \partial_b)g(t, b) \neq 0$.

Thus, there are three types of modes propagating momentum p_b : the ones associated to the current δJ , the ones appearing in the homogeneous contribution δp_b^h and the mode β . This last mode can be absorbed in the homogeneous part when $\mu_0 \rightarrow 0$ so that $c_s \rightarrow 0$.

DISCUSSION

We have derived hydrodynamic equations and constitutive relations for ideal fluids with a dipole symmetry. Our derivation utilizes representative microscopic scalar models and the Poisson brackets between densities of conserved charges, following the classical approach pioneered by Landau. We have considered two types of models, one that we dub “non-chiral fracton” and a second one “chiral fracton” that contains a vector that can be identified with the Burgers vector in the fracton description of disclinations and dislocations. In the former we introduce two spatial derivatives per field, so that there is a shift symmetry linear in the spatial coordinates, and allow terms with an arbitrary number of fields. In the chiral fracton model, there is just one derivative per field and is truncated to quadratic order. Both models produce the same hydrodynamic equations when written in terms of the densities but with distinct constitutive relations.

In both cases the particle number current is a total derivative either by construction in the non-chiral model (22a), or on-shell in the chiral fracton model (69), in such a way that the dipole moment of the charge is conserved in both. We have studied the spectrum of fluctuations around a state with fixed monopole density. In the non-chiral model there are modes with a dispersion relation $\omega^2 \sim q^4$ (28), where q^4 stands for a general quartic polynomial of the momenta, with coefficients determined by derivatives of the energy density with respect to the gradient of the generalized velocity $V_i = \rho^{-1} p_i$. In the chiral fracton model there are chiral modes propagating in the direction of the Burgers vector as well as a mode that also propagates energy and momentum in the transverse direction (70) with a velocity proportional to the chemical potential.

In [33] charge diffusion was studied for a model sitting within the non-chiral systems we considered. However, they did not consider momentum conservation. A complete finite-temperature hydrodynamic theory should account for both charge and momentum conservation, as we have done in this paper. But, the theory should also in-

clude dissipative effects, which is an aspect that we leave for future studies. Nonetheless, a peculiarity of systems with MDMA symmetry is the presence of a non-ballistic propagating mode and a diffusive one with dispersion relation $\omega \sim -iq^4$ [33], contrary to ordinary hydrodynamics where sound waves are ballistic and have a quadratic dispersion relation for diffusive modes.

On the other hand, defect dynamics has important ramifications in crystals, amorphous solids, liquid crystals and active matter [65, 66]. Although the continuous description of defects as a gauge theory has a long history, a symmetry-based construction of defect hydrodynamics beyond vortices is still lacking and various phenomenological equations have been proposed for specific systems (see e.g. [67–74]). In order to fill this gap, we have proposed a Poisson bracket derivation of hydrodynamic equations given two main ingredients that are important in modelling macroscopic systems of defects. The first ingredient is invariance under MDMA symmetry, the second ingredient is the existence of a Burgers vector. These requirements can be viewed as basic guiding principles for hydrodynamic theories of defects as can be justified using the dual fracton picture. A model displaying the MDMA symmetry and a Burgers vector is the chiral fracton theory of a scalar field. Interpreting the model as a description of lattice defects, the chiral propagation of the disclination density has a simple visualization. In any symmetric region of finite area there is a finite number of disclinations determined by the integration of the constant charge density in the region. The dipole moment on the other hand vanishes, indicating a net zero charge of dislocations. However, as the dislocation density is not identically zero, there is a nonzero amount of dislocation pairs. While immobile in isolation, disclinations can move by absorbing or emitting dislocation pairs [71, 75–78], in which case their motion is along the Burgers vector of the dislocations. This matches nicely with the behavior of the solutions we obtain in the chiral fracton model. Thus the results of this paper provide basic building blocks to construct more realistic models and ultimately study transport properties of defects.

Acknowledgements. K.T.G and F. P.-B acknowledge financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy through the Würzburg-Dresden Cluster of Excellence on Complexity and Topology in Quantum Matter - ct.qmat (EXC 2147, project-id 390858490). K.T.G. also acknowledges the support of the Hallwachs-Röntgen Postdoc Program of ct.qmat. C.H. has been partially supported by the Spanish *Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades* through the grant PGC2018-096894-B-100. P.S. was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through the Leibniz Program, the cluster of excellence ct.qmat (EXC 2147, project-id 39085490) and the National Science Centre Sonata Bis grant 2019/34/E/ST3/00405.

Appendix: More general Lagrangians

Suppose we allow for the more general dependence of the Lagrangian density (29) of the form

$$L = \int d^d x \mathcal{L}(\partial_t \phi, \partial_i \phi, \partial_i \partial_j \phi, \dots), \quad (72)$$

where \dots stands for higher spatial derivatives of ϕ . Let us define the variational quantities

$$\pi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_t \phi)}, \quad (73a)$$

$$\pi^{i_1 \dots i_n} = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_n} \phi)}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (73b)$$

For simplicity we introduce the notation $\vec{i}_n = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ to be an n -tuple of indices and

$$\pi^{\vec{i}_n} = \pi^{i_1 \dots i_n}, \quad \partial_{\vec{i}_n}^n = \partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_n}. \quad (74)$$

Indices can be concatenated, $\pi^{i \vec{j}_n} = \pi^{i j_1 \dots j_n}$, and similarly for the partial derivatives, $\partial_{i \vec{j}_n}^{n+1} = \partial_i \partial_{j_1} \dots \partial_{j_n}$.

Then, as discussed earlier, for this theory to be invariant under the linear shift, we require that

$$\pi^i = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_i \phi)} = \partial^i \chi, \quad (75)$$

for some functional χ of ϕ and its derivatives.

With this in place, the only difference between this case and the simpler case considered in the main text is that some expressions become more complicated. The density ρ is still defined to be $\rho = \pi$. However, the expression for J^{ij} in (31) is modified to

$$J^{ij} = \chi \delta^{ij} + \sum_{n \geq 0} \partial_{\vec{k}_n}^n \pi^{ij \vec{k}_n}. \quad (76)$$

This reduces to the simpler case studied in the main text when we set $\chi = 0$ and $\pi^{\vec{i}_n} = 0$ for $n \geq 3$.

The Hamiltonian and momentum densities are unchanged,

$$h = \pi \partial_t \phi - \mathcal{L}, \quad (77a)$$

$$p_i = -\pi \partial_i \phi, \quad (77b)$$

but the corresponding spatial currents (36b) and (37b) are modified to

$$\begin{aligned} T^i_t &= (\partial_j J^{ij}) \partial_t \phi + (\chi \delta^{ij} - J^{ij}) \partial_j \partial_t \phi \\ &\quad + \sum_{m \geq 2} \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^m \partial_{\vec{k}_n}^m \pi^{i \vec{j}_m \vec{k}_n} \partial_{\vec{j}_m} \partial_t \phi, \end{aligned} \quad (78a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T^j_i &= -(\partial_k J^{jk}) \partial_i \phi + (J^{jk} - \chi \delta^{jk}) \partial_k \partial_i \phi + \delta_i^j \mathcal{L} \\ &\quad - \sum_{m \geq 2} \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^m \partial_{\vec{k}_n}^m \pi^{j \vec{\ell}_m \vec{k}_n} \partial_{\vec{\ell}_m}^m \partial_i \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (78b)$$

The equation of motion (33), continuity equations (34) and current relations (35) associated with the constant and linear shift symmetries, and the energy-momentum conservation equations (38) associated with time- and space-translation invariance all still hold in precisely the same form.

-
- [1] R. M. Nandkishore and M. Hermele, Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics **10**, 295 (2019).
- [2] M. Pretko, X. Chen, and Y. You, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **35**, 2030003 (2020).
- [3] C. Chamon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 040402 (2005).
- [4] J. Haah, Phys. Rev. A **83**, 042330 (2011).
- [5] S. Bravyi, B. Leemhuis, and B. M. Terhal, Annals of Physics **326**, 839 (2011).
- [6] S. Vijay, J. Haah, and L. Fu, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 235157 (2016).
- [7] D. J. Williamson, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 155128 (2016).
- [8] C. Xu, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 224433 (2006).
- [9] C. Xu and P. Hořava, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 104033 (2010).
- [10] M. Pretko, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 115139 (2017).
- [11] M. Pretko, Phys. Rev. B **96**, 035119 (2017).
- [12] Y. You, Z. Bi, and M. Pretko, Phys. Rev. Research **2**, 013162 (2020).
- [13] S. Pai, M. Pretko, and R. M. Nandkishore, Phys. Rev. X **9**, 021003 (2019).
- [14] J. Feldmeier, P. Sala, G. De Tomasi, F. Pollmann, and M. Knap, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 245303 (2020).
- [15] A. Morningstar, V. Khemani, and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B **101**, 214205 (2020).
- [16] J. Iaconis, A. Lucas, and R. Nandkishore, Phys. Rev. E **103**, 022142 (2021).
- [17] M. Pretko and L. Radzihovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 235301 (2018).
- [18] A. Gromov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 076403 (2019).
- [19] A. Kumar and A. C. Potter, Phys. Rev. B **100**, 045119 (2019).
- [20] M. Pretko and L. Radzihovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 195301 (2018).
- [21] M. Pretko, Z. Zhai, and L. Radzihovsky, Phys. Rev. B **100**, 134113 (2019).
- [22] Z. Zhai and L. Radzihovsky, Phys. Rev. B **100**, 094105 (2019).
- [23] A. Gromov and P. Surówka, SciPost Phys. **8**, 065 (2020).
- [24] D. X. Nguyen, A. Gromov, and S. Moroz, SciPost Phys. **9**, 076 (2020).
- [25] M. Fruchart and V. Vitelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 248001 (2020).
- [26] N. Manoj, R. Moessner, and V. B. Shenoy, (2020), arXiv:2011.11401 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [27] P. Surówka, (2021), arXiv:2101.12234 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [28] A. Paramekanti, L. Balents, and M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 054526 (2002).
- [29] A. W. Sandvik, S. Daul, R. R. P. Singh, and D. J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 247201 (2002).
- [30] V. Rousseau, G. G. Batrouni, and R. T. Scalettar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 110404 (2004).
- [31] J.-K. Yuan, S. A. Chen, and P. Ye 10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.023267.
- [32] S. A. Chen, J.-K. Yuan, and P. Ye, Phys. Rev. Research **3**, 013226 (2021).
- [33] A. Gromov, A. Lucas, and R. M. Nandkishore, Phys. Rev. Research **2**, 033124 (2020).
- [34] D. Aasen, D. Bulmash, A. Prem, K. Slagle, and D. J. Williamson, Phys. Rev. Res. **2**, 043165 (2020).
- [35] E. B. Sonin, *Dynamics of Quantised Vortices in Superfluids*. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016).
- [36] Z. F. Ezawa, *Quantum Hall effects: recent theoretical and experimental developments* (World Scientific, 2013).
- [37] A. M. Turner, V. Vitelli, and D. R. Nelson, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 1301 (2010).
- [38] K. Bertoldi, V. Vitelli, J. Christensen, and M. van Hecke, Nature Reviews Materials **2**, 10.1038/natrevmats.2017.66 (2017).
- [39] H. Kleinert, Physics Letters A **97**, 51 (1983).
- [40] J. Zaanen, Z. Nussinov, and S. I. Mukhin, Annals of Physics **310**, 181 (2004).
- [41] V. Cvetkovic, Z. Nussinov, and J. Zaanen, Philosophical Magazine **86**, 2995–3020 (2006).
- [42] A. J. Beekman, J. Nissinen, K. Wu, K. Liu, R.-J. Slager, Z. Nussinov, V. Cvetkovic, and J. Zaanen, Physics Reports **683**, 1 (2017).
- [43] P. Wiegmann and A. G. Abanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 034501 (2014).
- [44] H. Watanabe and H. Murayama, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 181601 (2013).
- [45] A. Lucas and P. Surówka, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 053617 (2014).
- [46] X. Yu and A. S. Bradley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 185301 (2017).
- [47] S. Moroz, C. Hoyos, C. Benzoni, and D. T. Son, SciPost Phys. **5**, 039 (2018).
- [48] A. Bogatskiy, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **52**, 475501 (2019).
- [49] P. Wiegmann, Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics **129**, 642 (2019).
- [50] D. Doshi and A. Gromov, Communications Physics **4**, 10.1038/s42005-021-00540-4 (2021).
- [51] L. Landau, Phys. Rev. **60**, 356 (1941).
- [52] I. Dzyaloshinskii and G. Volovick, Annals of Physics **125**, 67 (1980).
- [53] P. J. Morrison and J. M. Greene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 790 (1980).
- [54] V. E. Zakharov and E. A. Kuznetsov, Physics-Uspekhi **40**, 1087 (1997).
- [55] D. T. Son, (2019), arXiv:1907.07187 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [56] A. Gromov, Phys. Rev. X **9**, 031035 (2019).
- [57] N. Seiberg and S.-H. Shao, SciPost Phys. **10**, 027 (2021).
- [58] H. Stark and T. C. Lubensky, Phys. Rev. E **72**, 051714 (2005).
- [59] A. Gromov, Phys. Rev. X **9**, 031035 (2019).
- [60] A. Nicolis, R. Rattazzi, and E. Trincherini, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 064036 (2009).
- [61] T. Griffin, K. T. Grosvenor, P. Hořava, and Z. Yan, Commun. Math. Phys. **340**, 985 (2015).
- [62] M. Pretko, Phys. Rev. B **96**, 035119 (2017).
- [63] B. I. Halperin and D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **41**, 121 (1978).
- [64] A. Karch and A. Raz, JHEP **04**, 182, arXiv:2009.12308 [hep-th].
- [65] M. Kleman and J. Friedel, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 61 (2008).
- [66] S. Shankar, A. Souslov, M. J. Bowick, M. C. Marchetti, and V. Vitelli, (2020), arXiv:2010.00364 [cond-mat.soft].
- [67] T. Mura, Philosophical Magazine **8**, 843 (1963).

- [68] A. M. Kosevich, *Soviet Physics Uspekhi* **7**, 837 (1965).
- [69] B. Julia and G. Toulouse, *Journal de Physique Lettres* **40**, 395 (1979).
- [70] A. Acharya, *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids* **49**, 761 (2001).
- [71] C. Fressengeas, V. Taupin, and L. Capolungo, *International Journal of Solids and Structures* **48**, 3499 (2011).
- [72] G. Tóth, C. Denniston, and J. M. Yeomans, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 105504 (2002).
- [73] X. Tang and J. V. Selinger, *Soft Matter* **15**, 587 (2019).
- [74] A. Acharya, (2021), arXiv:2104.12568 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci].
- [75] W. F. Harris and L. E. Scriven, *Journal of Applied Physics* **42**, 3309 (1971).
- [76] R. deWit, *Journal of Applied Physics* **42**, 3304 (1971).
- [77] M. Gutkin, I. Ovid'ko, and N. Skiba, *Materials Science and Engineering: A* **339**, 73 (2003).
- [78] R. C. Desai and R. Kapral, *Dynamics of Self-Organized and Self-Assembled Structures* (Cambridge University Press, 2009).