

# IMPROVED RESOLVENT ESTIMATES FOR CONSTANT-COEFFICIENT ELLIPTIC OPERATORS IN THREE DIMENSIONS

ROBERT SCHIPPA

ABSTRACT. We prove new  $L^p$ - $L^q$ -estimates for solutions to elliptic differential operators with constant coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . We use the estimates for the decay of the Fourier transform of particular surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with vanishing Gaussian curvature due to Erdős–Salmhofer to derive new Fourier restriction–extension estimates. These allow for constructing distributional solutions in  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$  for  $L^p$ -data via limiting absorption by well-known means.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to show new  $L^p$ - $L^q$ -estimates for solutions to elliptic differential equations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Let

$$p(\xi) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3, \\ |\alpha| \leq N}} a_\alpha \xi^\alpha$$

be a multi-variate polynomial in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with real coefficients and suppose that  $a_\alpha \neq 0$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$  with  $|\alpha| = N$ . We consider partial differential operators

$$(1) \quad P(D) = p(-i\nabla_x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} a_\alpha (-i)^{|\alpha|} \partial^\alpha$$

such that for  $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$  we have

$$\mathcal{F}(P(D)u)(\xi) = p(\xi)\hat{u}(\xi).$$

By ellipticity we mean that

$$p_N(\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=N} a_\alpha \xi^\alpha \neq 0$$

for  $\xi \neq 0$ . We assume  $p_N(\xi) > 0$  for the sake of definiteness. In the following we prove existence of solutions  $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$  such that

$$P(D)u = f$$

for  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$  in a certain range of  $p$  and  $q$ , which satisfy the estimate

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

The properties of the vanishing set of  $p(\xi)$  play a key role for constructing solutions: Gutiérrez [8] constructed solutions for  $p(\xi) = |\xi|^2 - 1$ . In most previous works on elliptic operators was assumed that  $\Sigma_0 = \{p(\xi) = 0\}$  is a smooth manifold with non-vanishing Gaussian curvature  $K \neq 0$ . In this case the analysis of Gutiérrez applies. Recently, Castéras–Földes [3] analyzed fourth-order Schrödinger operators (in dimensions  $d \geq 2$ ) with smooth characteristic surface, and estimates depending on the number of non-vanishing principal curvatures were proved. A wider range was covered in [15], where also surfaces with conic singularities were treated. Presently, we consider the effect of vanishing Gaussian curvature

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35J08, 35J30, Secondary: 46E35.

*Key words and phrases.* resolvent estimates, Sobolev embedding, Fourier restriction, Limiting Absorption Principle.

under a transversality assumption, which was described by Erdős–Salmhofer [6]. The idea of constructing solutions is to consider approximates

$$\hat{u}_\delta(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(\xi)}{p(\xi) + i\delta} d\xi$$

for  $\delta \neq 0$  and show uniform bounds

$$(2) \quad \|u_\delta\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

for fixed  $P(D)$ .

Then we shall find distributional limits  $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , which satisfy

$$P(D)u = f \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

and

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

This is referred to as limiting absorption principle. We shall still assume that  $\nabla p(\xi) \neq 0$  for  $\xi \in \Sigma_0$ . This is a generic assumption for polynomials. In this case Sokhotsky's formula yields for solutions as described above

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(\xi)}{p(\xi) \pm i0} d\xi \\ &= \mp \frac{i\pi}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(\xi) \delta_{\Sigma_0}(\xi) d\xi + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} v.p. \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{ix \cdot \xi} \hat{f}(\xi)}{p(\xi)} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

This points out a close connection to Fourier restriction. The most basic  $L^p$ - $L^q$ -results rely on the decay of the Fourier transform of the surface measure. This in term is caused by the curvature of the surface. If  $K \neq 0$ , the estimate

$$|\hat{\mu}_S(\xi)| = \left| \int_S e^{ix \cdot \xi} dx \right| \lesssim \langle \xi \rangle^{-1}$$

is classical (cf. [14, 16]). Corresponding  $L^p$ - $L^q$ -estimates for solutions were proved in [15].

In this note we consider vanishing total curvature under additional transversality assumptions. For constructing solutions as laid out above, we also have to consider level sets  $\Sigma_a = \{p(\xi) = a\}$  for  $|a| \leq \delta_0$ . We recall the assumptions in Erdős–Salmhofer:

Let  $I$  be a compact interval and let  $\mathcal{D} = e^{-1}(I)$ . Suppose that  $\Sigma_a$  is a two-dimensional submanifold for each  $a \in I$ . Let  $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{D})$  and define

$$(3) \quad \hat{\mu}_a(x) = \int_{\Sigma_a} e^{ix \cdot \xi} f(\xi) d\sigma_a(\xi)$$

the Fourier transform of the surface carried measure  $f d\sigma_a$ .

Let  $C_0 = \text{diam}(\mathcal{D})$ ,  $C_1 = \|p\|_{C^5(\mathcal{D})}$ . The following assumptions have to be met:

**Assumption 1:**

$$(4) \quad C_2 = \min_{\xi \in \mathcal{D}} |\nabla p(\xi)| > 0,$$

which means that  $(\Sigma_a)_{a \in I}$  is a regular foliation of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Let  $K : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the Gaussian curvature of the foliation, i.e., for  $\xi \in \Sigma_a \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ ,  $K(\xi)$  denotes the curvature of  $\Sigma_a$  at  $\xi$ .

The crucial assumption is that the vanishing set of the Gaussian curvature is a submanifold, which intersects  $(\Sigma_a)_{a \in I}$  transversally:

**Assumption 2:** Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{\xi \in \mathcal{D} : K(\xi) = 0\}$ . Then

$$C_3 = \min_{\xi \in \mathcal{D}} (|\nabla p(\xi) \times \nabla K(\xi)| : \xi \in \mathcal{C}) > 0.$$

With  $\nabla K$  non-vanishing on  $\mathcal{C}$ , it is a two-dimensional submanifold by the regular value theorem. Since  $p$  and  $K$  are smooth, we find that

$$\Gamma_a = \mathcal{C} \cap \Sigma_a$$

is a finite union of disjoint regular curves on  $\Sigma_a$  for each  $a \in I$ .

Let

$$\xi \mapsto w(\xi) = \frac{\nabla p(\xi) \times \nabla K(\xi)}{|\nabla p(\xi) \times \nabla K(\xi)|}$$

be the unit vectorfield tangent to  $\Gamma_a$ . Denote the normal map  $\nu : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$  by

$$\nu(\xi) = \frac{\nabla p(\xi)}{|\nabla p(\xi)|}.$$

Recall that the Gaussian curvature is given by the Jacobian of the normal map restricted to each surface,  $\nu : \Sigma_a \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ :  $K(\xi) = \det \nu'(\xi)$ .

We further require the following regularity assumption on the Gauss map.

**Assumption 3:** The number of preimages of  $\nu : \Sigma_a \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$  is finite, i.e.,

$$C_4 = \sup_{a \in I} \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2} \text{card}\{p \in \Sigma_a : \nu(p) = \omega\} < \infty.$$

On the curves  $\Gamma_a$ , exactly one of the principal curvatures vanish. We define a (local) unit vectorfield  $Z \in T\Sigma_a$  along  $\Gamma_a$  in the tangent plane of  $\Sigma_a$ .  $Z$  can be extended to a neighbourhood of  $\Gamma_a$  as the direction of the principal curvature that is small and vanishes on  $\Gamma_a$ . We assume that  $Z$  is transversal to  $\Gamma_a$ . Weaker, non-uniform decay estimates were proved in [6] also in the presence of tangential points. To ensure uniform decay, we assume the following:

**Assumption 4:** The set of tangential points

$$\mathcal{T}_a = \{\xi \in \Gamma_a : Z(\xi) \times w(\xi) = 0\},$$

is empty.

Under the above assumptions, Erdős–Salmhofer [6, Theorem 2.1] proved the following dispersive estimate for the Fourier transform of the surface measure  $\mu_a$ :

$$(5) \quad |\hat{\mu}_a(\xi)| \leq C \langle \xi \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4}}$$

with  $C = C(C_0, \dots, C_4, \|f\|_{C^2(\mathcal{D})})$ . This morally corresponds to a decay from  $\frac{3}{2}$  principal curvatures bounded from below in modulus and thus improves the previous result for one non-vanishing principal curvature (cf. [15, Theorem 1.3]). In this article we record its consequence for solutions to elliptic differential operators. Allowing for tangential points covers generic surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as pointed out in [6]. However, the decay proved in [6] is not uniform in this case anymore. It might be possible to show the same results for a broader class via the estimates due to Ikromov–Müller [9].

In the first step, we derive a Fourier restriction–extension theorem for surfaces  $\Sigma_a$  by following along the lines of the preceding work [15]. We prove strong bounds

$$(6) \quad \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \delta_{\Sigma_a}(\xi) \beta(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

within a pentagonal region. Here  $\beta \in C_c^\infty$  localizes to a suitable neighbourhood of  $\{K = 0\}$  in  $(\Sigma_a)_{a \in [-\delta_0, \delta_0]}$ . Away from  $\{K = 0\}$ , [15, Theorem 1.3] provides better estimates for  $d = 3$ ,  $k = 2$ . On part of the boundary of the pentagonal region, we show weak bounds

$$(7) \quad \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \delta_{\Sigma_a}(\xi) \beta(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi \right\|_{L^{q, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

$$(8) \quad \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \delta_{\Sigma_a}(\xi) \beta(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p, 1}(\mathbb{R}^3)},$$

and lastly, restricted weak bounds

$$(9) \quad \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \delta_{\Sigma_a}(\xi) \beta(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi \right\|_{L^{q, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p, 1}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

at its inner endpoints. We refer to Figure 2 for a diagram. For  $X, Y \in [0, 1]^2$  we write  $[X, Y] = \{Z : \exists \lambda \in [0, 1] : Z = \lambda X + (1 - \lambda)Y\}$  and correspondingly  $(X, Y)$ ,  $(X, Y]$ , etc.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $p : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an elliptic polynomial with  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that for  $\Sigma_a = \{p(\xi) = a\}$ ,  $-\delta_0 \leq a \leq \delta_0$  Assumptions 1-4 are satisfied in a neighbourhood of  $K = 0$  in  $\Sigma_a$ . Then, we find (6) to hold for  $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in [0, 1]^2$  provided that*

$$\frac{1}{p} > \frac{7}{10}, \quad \frac{1}{q} < \frac{3}{10}, \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{4}{7}.$$

Let

$$B = \left(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{9}{70}\right), \quad C = \left(\frac{7}{10}, 0\right), \quad B' = \left(\frac{61}{70}, \frac{3}{10}\right), \quad C' = \left(1, \frac{3}{10}\right) :$$

Furthermore, we find (7) to hold for  $(1/p, 1/q) \in (B', C']$ , (8) for  $(1/p, 1/q) \in (B, C]$ , and (9) for  $(1/p, 1/q) \in \{B, B'\}$ .

In the second step we foliate a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $\Sigma_0$  with level sets of  $p$  to show bounds  $\|A_\delta f\|_{L^q} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}$  for

$$(10) \quad A_\delta f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{ix \cdot \xi} \beta_1(\xi)}{p(\xi) + i\delta} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

independent of  $\delta$ . Here,  $p, q$  are as in Proposition 1.1 and  $|p(\xi)| \leq \delta_0$  for  $\xi \in \text{supp}(\beta_1)$  with  $\Sigma_0 \subseteq \text{supp}(\beta_1)$ . Away from the singular set, estimates for

$$(11) \quad B_\delta f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{ix \cdot \xi} \beta_2(\xi)}{p(\xi) + i\delta} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

with  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 \equiv 1$  follow from Young's inequality and properties of the Bessel potential. The estimate of  $\|B_\delta\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^q}$  depends on the order of the elliptic operator.

The method of proof is well-known and detailed in [15]; see also [12, 10] and references therein. We shall be brief. It turns out that one can follow along the lines of [15] very closely, substituting  $k = \frac{3}{2}$  non-vanishing principal curvatures. We prove the following:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $p : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an elliptic polynomial of degree  $N \geq 2$ . Let  $1 < p_1, p_2, q < \infty$  and  $f \in L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Suppose that there is  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that Assumptions 1-4 are satisfied for  $(\Sigma_a)_{a \in [-\delta_0, \delta_0]}$ . Then, there is  $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$  satisfying*

$$P(D)u = f$$

in the distributional sense and the estimate

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p_1} \cap L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

holds true provided that

$$\frac{1}{p_1} > \frac{7}{10}, \quad \frac{1}{q} < \frac{3}{10}, \quad \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{4}{7}$$

and for  $N \leq 3$

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{N}{3}, \quad \left(\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \notin \begin{cases} \{(0, \frac{2}{3}), (\frac{1}{3}, 1)\} & \text{for } N = 2, \\ \{(0, 1)\} & \text{for } N = 3. \end{cases}$$

## 2. THE FOURIER RESTRICTION-EXTENSION ESTIMATE

The purpose of this section is to prove Proposition 1.1. We shall follow the argument of [15, Section 4]. In the first step, we localize to a small neighbourhood of the vanishing set  $\{K = 0\}$ , which by assumptions is a two-dimensional manifold in  $\mathcal{D}$ . In the complementary set, by compactness, we can apply [15, Theorem 1.3], which gives uniform  $L^p$ - $L^q$ -estimates in a broader range. Thus, it is enough to suppose that Assumptions 1-4 are valid in a neighbourhood of  $\{K = 0\}$ . The proof follows [15, Section 4] closely. In the first step, by finite decomposition and rotations, we change to parametric representation of  $\Sigma_a = \{(\xi', \psi(\xi')) : \xi' \in B(0, c)\}$ . We show bounds  $T : L^p(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$  for

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \delta(\xi_3 - \psi(\xi')) e^{ix \cdot \xi} \chi(\xi') \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

The following decay estimate, which is (5), is central.

$$\left| \int e^{i(x' \cdot \xi' + x_3 \psi(\xi'))} \beta(\xi') d\xi' \right| \lesssim (1 + |x_3|)^{-\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Applying the  $TT^*$  argument (cf. [17, 7, 11]), we find the following Strichartz estimate:

$$(12) \quad \left\| \int e^{i(x' \cdot \xi' + x_3 \psi(\xi'))} \beta(\xi') \hat{f}(\xi') d\xi' \right\|_{L^{\frac{14}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2_{\xi'}(B(0, c))}.$$

We recall the following lemma to decompose the delta distribution:

**Lemma 2.1** ([4, Lemma 2.1]). *There is a smooth function  $\phi$  satisfying  $\text{supp}(\hat{\phi}) \subseteq \{t : |t| \sim 1\}$  such that for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,*

$$\langle \delta(\xi_3 - \psi(\xi')), f \rangle = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi(2^j(\xi_3 - \psi(\xi'))) \chi(\xi') f(\xi) d\xi.$$

By this, we can write

$$Tf(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi(2^j(\xi_3 - \psi(\xi'))) e^{ix \cdot \xi} \chi(\xi') \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j T_{2^{-j}} f.$$

As pointed out in [4], the contribution of  $j \leq 0$  is easier to estimate.

The contribution of  $j \geq 0$ , i.e., close to the singularity, is estimated by Strichartz and kernel estimates:

**Lemma 2.2** (cf. [15, Lemma 4.3]). *Let  $q \geq \frac{14}{3}$ . Then, we find the following estimate to hold:*

$$\|T_{2^j} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

This estimate does not admit summation. For this purpose, we interpolate with the kernel estimate:

**Lemma 2.3** (cf. [15, Lemma 4.4]). *Let*

$$K_{\delta}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \beta(\xi') \phi\left(\frac{\xi_3 - \psi(\xi')}{\delta}\right) d\xi.$$

*Then  $K_{\delta}$  is supported in  $\{(x', x_3) : |x_3| \sim \delta^{-1}\}$ , and we find the following estimates to hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} |K_{\delta}(x)| &\lesssim_N \delta^N (1 + \delta|x|)^{-N}, \text{ if } |x'| \geq c|x_3|, \\ |K_{\delta}(x)| &\lesssim \delta^{\frac{7}{4}}, \text{ if } |x'| \leq c|x_3|. \end{aligned}$$

The last ingredient to show (restricted) weak endpoint estimates is Bourgain's summation argument (cf. [1, 2] and [13, Lemma 2.3] for an elementary proof):




FIGURE 1. Pentagonal region, within which strong  $L^p$ - $L^q$ -Fourier restriction extension estimates hold.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$ ,  $1 \leq p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q_1, q_2 < \infty$ . For every  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $T_j$  be a linear operator, which satisfies*

$$\|T_j(f)\|_{q_1} \leq M_1 2^{\varepsilon_1 j} \|f\|_{p_1}$$

$$\|T_j(f)\|_{q_2} \leq M_2 2^{-\varepsilon_2 j} \|f\|_{p_2}.$$

*Then, for  $\theta, q$  and  $p_i$  defined by  $\theta = \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{\theta}{q_1} + \frac{1-\theta}{q_2}$  and  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{\theta}{p_1} + \frac{1-\theta}{p_2}$ , the following hold:*

$$(13) \quad \left\| \sum_j T_j(f) \right\|_{q, \infty} \leq C M_1^\theta M_2^{1-\theta} \|f\|_{p, 1},$$

$$(14) \quad \left\| \sum_j T_j(f) \right\|_q \leq C M_1^\theta M_2^{1-\theta} \|f\|_{p, 1} \text{ if } q_1 = q_2 = q,$$

$$(15) \quad \left\| \sum_j T_j(f) \right\|_{q, \infty} \leq C M_1^\theta M_2^{1-\theta} \|f\|_p \text{ if } p_1 = p_2.$$

We interpolate the bounds

$$2^j \|T_{2^{-j}} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad \frac{14}{3} \leq q \leq \infty,$$

and

$$2^j \|T_{2^{-j}} f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{3j}{4}} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

as above together with duality to find restricted weak endpoint bounds

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{q, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p, 1}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

for  $(1/p, 1/q) \in \{B, B'\}$ , weak bounds

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{q, \infty}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p}, \quad \|Tf\|_{L^q} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p, 1}}$$

for  $(1/p, 1/q) \in (B', C']$ , respectively,  $(1/p, 1/q) \in (B, C]$ , and strong bounds in the interior of the pentagon  $\text{conv}(A, B, C, C', B')$  with  $A = (1, 0)$ ,

$$B = \left(\frac{7}{10}, \frac{9}{70}\right), \quad C = \left(\frac{7}{10}, 0\right), \quad B' = \left(\frac{61}{70}, \frac{3}{10}\right), \quad C' = \left(1, \frac{3}{10}\right) :$$

Real interpolation of the weak bounds at  $B$  and  $B'$  gives strong bounds on  $(B, B')$ . This finishes the proof of Proposition 1.1.  $\square$

### 3. $L^p$ - $L^q$ -ESTIMATES FOR SOLUTIONS TO ELLIPTIC DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2 relying on Proposition 1.1. The argument parallels [15, Section 5.2] very closely, to avoid repetition we shall be brief. Let  $A_\delta$  and  $B_\delta$  be as in (10) and (11). We start with the more difficult estimate of  $A_\delta$ . We show boundedness of  $A_\delta : L^p(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$  independently of  $\delta$  with  $p, q$  as in Proposition 1.1. For this it is enough to show restricted weak type bounds

$$\|A_\delta\|_{L^{q_0, \infty}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p_0, 1}}$$

for  $(1/p_0, 1/q_0) = (61/70, 3/10)$  and the bounds

$$\|A_\delta f\|_{L^q} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p, 1}}$$

for  $(1/p, 1/q) \in ((61/70, 3/10), (1, 3/10)]$  as strong bounds for  $A_\delta$  with  $p, q$  as in Proposition 1.1 are recovered by interpolation and duality. As  $\nabla p(\xi) \neq 0$  for  $\xi \in \text{supp}(\beta_1)$  by construction, we can change to generalized polar coordinates. Let  $\xi = \xi(p, q)$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are complementary coordinates.

Write

$$A_\delta f(x) = \int \frac{e^{ix \cdot \xi} \beta_1(\xi)}{p(\xi) + i\delta} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi = \int dp \int dq \frac{e^{ix \cdot \xi(p, q)} \beta(\xi(p, q)) h(p, q) \hat{f}(\xi(p, q))}{p + i\delta},$$

where  $h$  denotes the Jacobian. We can suppose that  $|\partial^\alpha h| \lesssim_\alpha 1$  choosing  $\text{supp}(\beta)$  small enough. The expression is estimated as in [15, Subsection 5.2] by suitable decompositions in Fourier space and crucially depending on the Fourier restriction estimates for Proposition 1.1; see [12] for  $p(\xi) = |\xi|^\alpha$ . We write

$$\frac{1}{p(\xi) + i\delta} = \frac{p(\xi)}{p^2(\xi) + \delta^2} - i \frac{\delta}{p^2(\xi) + \delta^2} = \Re(\xi) - i\Im(\xi).$$

As in [15],  $\Im(D)$  is estimated by Minkowski's inequality and Fourier restriction–extension estimates, in the present context from Proposition 1.1. The only difference in the estimate of  $\Re(D)$  is that [15, Lemma 5.1] is applied for  $k = \frac{3}{2}$  according to the dispersive estimate (5). For details we refer to [15, Section 4]. This finishes the proof of the estimate for  $A_\delta$ .

For the estimate of  $B_\delta$ , we carry out a further decomposition in Fourier space: By ellipticity, there is  $R \geq 1$  such that

$$|p(\xi)| \gtrsim |\xi|^N$$

provided that  $|\xi| \geq R$ . Let  $\beta_2(\xi) = \beta_{21}(\xi) + \beta_{22}(\xi)$  with  $\beta_{21}, \beta_{22} \in C^\infty$  and  $\beta_{22}(\xi) = 0$  for  $|\xi| \leq R$ ,  $\beta_{22}(\xi) = 1$  for  $|\xi| \geq 2R$ .

We can estimate

$$\|B_\delta(\beta_{21}(D)f)\|_{L^q} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p}$$

for any  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$  by Young's inequality uniform in  $\delta$ . This gives no additional assumptions on  $p$  and  $q$ . We estimate the contribution of  $\beta_{22}$  by properties of the Bessel kernel (cf. [5, Theorem 30])

$$\|B_\delta(\beta_{22}(D)f)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\beta_{22}(D)f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

for  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  and  $0 \leq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{N}{3}$  with the endpoints excluded for  $N \leq 3$ . For  $N \geq 4$  this estimate holds true for  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ . This corresponds to the second assumption on  $p$  and  $q$  in Theorem 1.2. Lastly, we give the standard argument for constructing solutions: For  $\delta > 0$ , consider the approximate solutions  $u_\delta \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$

$$\hat{u}_\delta(\xi) = \frac{\hat{f}(\xi)}{p(\xi) + i\delta}.$$

By the above, we have uniform bounds

$$\|u_\delta\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

By the Banach–Alaoglu–Bourbaki theorem, we find a weak limit  $u_\delta \rightarrow u$ , which satisfies the same bound. We observe that

$$P(D)u_\delta = f - i \frac{\delta}{P(D) + i\delta} f.$$

Since

$$\left\| \frac{\delta}{P(D) + i\delta} f \right\|_{L^q} \lesssim \delta \|f\|_{L^{p_1} \cap L^{p_2}},$$

we find that  $P(D)u_\delta \rightarrow f$  in  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Since  $P(D)u_\delta \rightarrow P(D)u$  in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , this shows that

$$P(D)u = f$$

in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) Project-ID 258734477 – SFB 1173. I would like to thank Rainer Mandel for discussions on related topics and Jean–Claude Cuenin for pointing out an error in a previous version.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Jean Bourgain. Estimations de certaines fonctions maximales. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 301(10):499–502, 1985.
- [2] Anthony Carbery, Andreas Seeger, Stephen Wainger, and James Wright. Classes of singular integral operators along variable lines. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 9(4):583–605, 1999.
- [3] Jean-Baptiste Castéras and Juraĵ Földes. Existence of traveling waves for a fourth order Schrödinger equation with mixed dispersion in the Helmholtz regime. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2103.11440, March 2021.
- [4] Yonggeun Cho, Youngcheol Kim, Sanghyuk Lee, and Yongsun Shim. Sharp  $L^p$ - $L^q$  estimates for Bochner-Riesz operators of negative index in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . *J. Funct. Anal.*, 218(1):150–167, 2005.
- [5] Lucrezia Cossetti and Rainer Mandel. A limiting absorption principle for Helmholtz systems and time-harmonic isotropic Maxwell’s equations. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2009.05087, September 2020.
- [6] László Erdős and Manfred Salmhofer. Decay of the Fourier transform of surfaces with vanishing curvature. *Math. Z.*, 257(2):261–294, 2007.
- [7] J. Ginibre and G. Velo. On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. I. The Cauchy problem, general case. *J. Functional Analysis*, 32(1):1–32, 1979.
- [8] Susana Gutiérrez. Non trivial  $L^q$  solutions to the Ginzburg-Landau equation. *Math. Ann.*, 328(1-2):1–25, 2004.
- [9] Isroil A. Ikromov and Detlef Müller. Uniform estimates for the Fourier transform of surface carried measures in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and an application to Fourier restriction. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 17(6):1292–1332, 2011.
- [10] Eunhee Jeong, Yehyun Kwon, and Sanghyuk Lee. Uniform Sobolev inequalities for second order non-elliptic differential operators. *Adv. Math.*, 302:323–350, 2016.
- [11] Markus Keel and Terence Tao. Endpoint Strichartz estimates. *Amer. J. Math.*, 120(5):955–980, 1998.
- [12] Yehyun Kwon and Sanghyuk Lee. Sharp resolvent estimates outside of the uniform boundedness range. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 374(3):1417–1467, 2020.
- [13] Sanghyuk Lee. Some sharp bounds for the cone multiplier of negative order in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 35(3):373–390, 2003.
- [14] Walter Littman. Fourier transforms of surface-carried measures and differentiability of surface averages. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 69:766–770, 1963.
- [15] Rainer Mandel and Robert Schippa. Time-harmonic solutions for Maxwell’s equations in anisotropic media and Bochner-Riesz estimates for non-elliptic surfaces. *arXiv preprint*.
- [16] Elias M. Stein. *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, volume 43 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III.
- [17] Peter A. Tomas. A restriction theorem for the Fourier transform. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 81:477–478, 1975.