

THE L_p GAUSS IMAGE PROBLEM

CHUANXI WU, DI WU, AND NI XIANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the L_p Gauss image problem, which is a generalization of the L_p Aleksandrov problem and the Gauss image problem in convex geometry. We obtain the existence result for the L_p Gauss image problem in two cases (i) $p > 0$ or (ii) $p < 0$ with the given even measures.

Keywords: *the L_p Gauss image problem, Surface area measure, Curvature measure, Existence of solution, Blaschke selection theorem.*

MSC 2020: *Primary 35J96, Secondary 52A20.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{K}_0^n denote the set of convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n which contains the origin in its interior. For $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, its support function, h_K , is defined by

$$h_K(x) := \max_{y \in K} \langle y, x \rangle, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1},$$

where $\langle y, x \rangle$ is the standard inner product of x and y in \mathbb{R}^n .

Suppose $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ and $t \geq 0$, the Minkowski combination, $K + tL \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, is given by

$$h_{K+tL} = h_K + th_L;$$

for negative t , $K + tL$ can be defined if $|t|$ is sufficient small. Aleksandrov's variational formula shows that

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} V(K + tL) \right|_{t=0} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_L(x) dS(K, x), \quad \forall L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n,$$

where $V(\cdot)$ denotes the volume functional and $S(K, \cdot)$ is the surface area measure.

A nature extension is L_p Minkowski sum, which was first defined by Firey in case $p \geq 1$. Suppose $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ and $t \geq 0$, the L_p Minkowski combination, $K +_p t \cdot L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, is defined by

$$h_{K+_p t \cdot L}^p = h_K^p + th_L^p.$$

In the early 1900's, $K +_p t \cdot L$ can be defined for negative t if $|t|$ is sufficient small. Lutwak [36] showed the variational formula

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} V(K +_p t \cdot L) \right|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_L^p(x) dS_p(K, x), \quad \forall L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n,$$

where $S_p(K, \cdot)$ is the L_p surface area measure, satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad dS_p(K, \cdot) = h_K^{1-p} dS(K, \cdot).$$

Thus by (1.1), the L_p surface area measure can be defined for any $p \in \mathbb{R}$.

Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, K^* stands for the polar body of K , which is given by

$$(1.2) \quad K^* = \bigcap_{y \in K} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1\}.$$

By combining the concept of L_p Minkowski combination with that of polarity, we obtain another kind of combination, the L_p harmonic combination, $K \hat{+}_p t \cdot L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, is defined by

$$K \hat{+}_p t \cdot L = (K^* +_p t \cdot L^*)^*.$$

Huang, Lutwak, Yang and Zhang [25] considered the entropy functional

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{E}(K) = - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \log h_K(x) dx,$$

and got the variational formula

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(K \hat{+}_p t \cdot L) \right|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \rho_L^{-p}(u) dJ_p(K, u), \quad \forall L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n,$$

where ρ_L is the radial function of L , given by

$$\rho_L(u) := \max\{t > 0 : tu \in L\}, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

They proved the L_p integral curvature $J_p(K, \cdot)$ satisfies

$$(1.4) \quad dJ_p(K, \cdot) = \rho_K^p dJ(K, \cdot),$$

where $J(K, \cdot)$ is the Aleksandrov integral curvature.

For any Borel measurable subset $\omega \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, the radial Gauss image of ω , $\alpha_K(\omega)$, is given by

$$\alpha_K(\omega) = \{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} : \langle \rho_K(u)u, x \rangle = h_K(x) \text{ for some } u \in \omega\}.$$

Then $J(K, \cdot)$ is the spherical Lebesgue measure of the radial Gauss image α_K , that is,

$$(1.5) \quad J(K, \omega) = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\alpha_K(\omega)).$$

Recently, Boroczky, Lutwak, Yang, Zhang and Zhao [5] proposed the Gauss image measure $\lambda(K, \omega)$, which is a generalization of the Aleskandrov integral measure. We state it more precisely below.

Definition 1.1. Let λ be a Borel measure on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , and $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$. Then the Gauss image measure of λ via K is defined by

$$(1.6) \quad \lambda(K, \omega) = \lambda(\alpha_K(\omega)),$$

where ω is a Lebesgue measurable subset of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

Based on (1.3), (1.5) and (1.6), it is nature to consider the functional

$$(1.7) \quad G(K) = - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \log h_K(x) d\lambda(x).$$

And its variational formulas is

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} G(K \hat{+}_p t \cdot L) \right|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \rho_L^{-p}(u) d\lambda_p(K, u), \quad \forall L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n,$$

where $\lambda_p(K, \cdot)$ is the L_p Gauss image measure, satisfying

$$(1.8) \quad d\lambda_p(K, \cdot) = \rho_K^p d\lambda(K, \cdot).$$

It is clear that $\lambda_0(K, \cdot) = \lambda(K, \cdot)$, and (1.8) becomes (1.4) if $\lambda(K, \cdot)$ is $J(K, \cdot)$. Therefore, the L_p Aleksandrov measure and the Gauss image measure are special cases of the L_p Gauss image measure.

The L_p Gauss image problem. *For a fixed $p \in \mathbb{R}$, suppose λ and μ are two Borel measures defined on the Borel measurable subsets of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . What are the necessary and sufficient conditions, on λ and μ , such that there exists a convex body K ,*

$$\mu = \lambda_p(K, \cdot)$$

on the Borel subsets of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} ? And if such a body exists, to what extent is it unique?

When λ is spherical Lebesgue measure, the L_p Gauss image problem is just the L_p Aleksandrov problem, see [1, 2, 3, 25]. The L_0 Gauss image problem is just the Gauss image problem which was first mentioned in [5], and the existence of smooth solution for the Gauss image problem was in [14]. It is necessary to contrast the L_p Gauss image problem with the various Minkowski problems and dual Minkowski problems that have been extensively studied, see [8, 11, 15, 16, 27, 29, 30, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 40, 44, 45] for the L_p -Minkowski problem, [7, 21, 23, 24, 32, 42, 43] for the dual Minkowski problem, [6, 9, 10, 25, 26, 31, 39] for the L_p dual Minkowski problem, [4, 20, 22, 28] for the Orlicz Minkowski problem, [12, 13, 18, 19, 33] for the dual Orlicz Minkowski problem, [17] for the Orlicz Aleskandrov problem.

The existence result for the L_p Gauss image problem when $p > 0$ will be presented in the followong. The idea goes back as for as [25].

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose $p > 0$. If λ and μ are two finite Borel measures on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} which satisfy*

- (1) λ is absolutely continuous with respect to spherical Lebesgue measure;
- (2) $\lambda(A) > 0$ for any nonempty open set $A \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$;
- (3) μ is not concentrated in any closed hemisphere of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

Then there exists a convex body K such that $\mu = \lambda_p(K, \cdot)$.

If the given measures are even, the third condition in Theorem 1.2 is naturally satisfied, and we can get the existence result for $p < 0$.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose $p < 0$. If λ and μ are two finite, even Borel measures on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} which satisfy*

- (1) λ is absolutely continuous with respect to spherical Lebesgue measure;
- (2) $\lambda(A) > 0$ for any nonempty open set $A \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$;
- (3) μ vanishes on great sub-spheres of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

Then there exists an origin-symmetric convex body K such that $\mu = \lambda_p(K, \cdot)$.

In particular, when μ has a density, say f , and λ has a density, say g , the L_p Gauss image problem asks: Under what conditions on the two given functions $f, g : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, does there exist a solution $h : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, that is the support function of a convex body K^* , to the Monge-Ampère equation

$$(1.9) \quad g \left(\frac{\nabla h + hx}{|\nabla h + hx|} \right) \frac{h^{1-p}}{(|\nabla h|^2 + h^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \det(\nabla^2 h + hI) = f(x) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Remark 1.4. The weak solution of the equation (1.9) for $g \equiv 1$ was solved by Huang, Lutwak, Yang and Zhang [25].

It is worth pointing out that if λ and μ have densities, the weak solution of the equation (1.9) can be obtained according to Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.

Remark 1.5. Assume $g > 0$.

- (1) For $p > 0$, if for any hemisphere Θ ,

$$\int_{\Theta} f(x) dx > 0,$$

then the equation (1.9) has a strictly positive solution.

- (2) For $p < 0$, if f and g are even functions, and if

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(x) dx > 0,$$

then the equation (1.9) has a strictly positive even solution.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give some basic knowledge about convex body. In section 3, The L_p Gauss image measure will be given, based on the radial Gauss image. In section 4, the variational formulas will be obtained and in the last section, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 will be proved.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, some notions and facts will be set up, the details can be found in [41].

Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , which means K is a compact, convex subset in \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior. And $\mathcal{K}^n = \{K : K \text{ is a convex body in } \mathbb{R}^n\}$, $\mathcal{K}_e^n = \{K \in \mathcal{K}^n : K \text{ is origin-symmetric}\}$.

Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, recall h_K and ρ_K denote the support function and the radial function of K , respectively,

$$(2.1) \quad h_K(x) := \max_{y \in K} \langle y, x \rangle, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1},$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \rho_K(u) := \max\{t > 0 : tu \in K\}, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Note that

$$(2.3) \quad \partial K = \{\rho_K(u)u : u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\}.$$

By (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), the support function h_K and the radial function ρ_K have the following relationship:

$$h_K(x) = \max_{u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \langle u, x \rangle \rho_K(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1},$$

and

$$\rho_K(u) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \langle u, x \rangle / h_K(x), \quad u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

The definition of polar body, i.e.(1.2), shows that

$$(2.4) \quad \rho_K = 1/h_{K^*}, \quad h_K = 1/\rho_{K^*}.$$

From (2.4), it is clear that

$$(2.5) \quad K^{**} = K.$$

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ be a closed set that is not contained in any closed hemisphere of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . And $h, \rho : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are continuous functions. The Wulff shape determined by h , is denoted by

$$[h] = \bigcap_{x \in \Omega} \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot y \leq h(x)\},$$

and the convex hull $\langle \rho \rangle$ generated by ρ , is denoted by

$$\langle \rho \rangle = \text{conv}\{\rho(u)u : u \in \Omega\}.$$

And a useful fact is that, see [24],

$$(2.6) \quad [h]^* = \langle 1/h \rangle.$$

If h_K is the support function of a convex body K , then

$$[h_K] = K,$$

and if ρ_K is the radial function of a convex body K , then

$$(2.7) \quad \langle \rho_K \rangle = K.$$

Assume $h_t : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a continuous function defined for $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$ by

$$(2.8) \quad \log h_t(x) = \log h(x) + tf(x) + o(t, x),$$

where $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, $\delta > 0$ and $o(t, \cdot) : (-\delta, \delta) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and for $x \in \Omega$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{o(t, x)}{t} = 0$. We shall write $[h_t]$ as $[h, f, t]$. And if h is the support function of a convex body K , write $[h_t]$ as $[K, f, t]$.

Suppose $\rho_t : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a continuous function defined for $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$ by

$$(2.9) \quad \log \rho_t(u) = \log \rho(u) + tg(u) + o(t, u),$$

where $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $o(t, \cdot) : (-\delta, \delta) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and for $u \in \Omega$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{o(t, u)}{t} = 0$. We shall write $\langle \rho_t \rangle$ as $\langle \rho, g, t \rangle$. And if ρ is the radial function of a convex body K , write $\langle \rho_t \rangle$ as $\langle K, g, t \rangle$.

The L_p Minkowski sum is the key role in the L_p Brunn-Minkowski theory. For fixed $p \in \mathbb{R}$, suppose $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ and $a, b \geq 0$, the L_p Minkowski combination, $a \cdot K +_p b \cdot L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, is defined by the Wulff shape

$$a \cdot K +_p b \cdot L = [(ah_K^p + bh_L^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}], \quad p \neq 0,$$

and for $p = 0$,

$$a \cdot K +_0 b \cdot L = [h_K^a h_L^b].$$

The Wulff shape allows us to consider the case a or b is negative, with strictly positive $ah_K^p + bh_L^p$. The L_p harmonic combination, $a \cdot K \hat{+}_p b \cdot L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, is defined by

$$a \cdot K \hat{+}_p b \cdot L = (a \cdot K^* +_p b \cdot L^*)^*.$$

If μ is a fixed non-zero finite Borel measure on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , then we define

$$(2.10) \quad \|f : \mu\|_p = \left(\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p \neq 0,$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \|f : \mu\|_0 = \exp \left(\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \log f d\mu \right), \quad \forall f \in C^+(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}).$$

For any $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $0 < \delta < 1$, $\omega_\delta(x_0)$ and $\omega'_\delta(x_0)$ are defined by

$$(2.12) \quad \omega_\delta(x_0) = \{u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} : \langle u, x_0 \rangle \geq \delta\},$$

$$(2.13) \quad \omega'_\delta(x_0) = \{u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} : |\langle u, x_0 \rangle| \geq \delta\}.$$

It is obvious that

$$\omega'_\delta(x_0) = \omega_\delta(x_0) \cup \omega_\delta(-x_0).$$

And x_0^\perp denotes the hyperplane whose normal is x_0 and the origin $0 \in x_0^\perp$.

Definition 2.1. Suppose $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, their Hausdorff metric is given by

$$d(K, L) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in K} \text{dist}(x, L), \sup_{y \in L} \text{dist}(K, y) \right\}.$$

where $\text{dist}(x, L) = \inf_{y \in L} \text{dist}(x, y)$ and $\text{dist}(x, y)$ is the distance of x and y in \mathbb{R}^n .

We say $K_i \rightarrow K_0$, which means $d(K_i, K_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

It is important to recall the following Lemma in [25].

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $0 < \delta < 1$, K_i is a sequence of convex bodies in \mathcal{K}_0^n , and if for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} h_{K_i}(x_0) = 0,$$

then

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{K_i}(u) = 0, \quad \forall u \in \omega_\delta(x_0).$$

3. L_p GAUSS IMAGE PROBLEM

In this section, we review some of the standard facts on the radial Gauss map α_K and reverse radial Gauss map α_K^* .

Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ and $x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, the supporting hyperplane of K in direction x is given by

$$H_K(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, y \rangle = h_K(x)\},$$

and x is called the normal vector of K at y .

For $\sigma \subset \partial K$, the spherical image of σ , $\nu_K(\sigma) : \partial K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, is defined by

$$\nu_K(\sigma) = \{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} : y \in H_K(x) \text{ for some } y \in \sigma\}.$$

Let $\sigma_K \subset \partial K$ be the set consisting of $y \in \partial K$, for which the set $\nu_K(\{y\})$ contains more than a single element. Define the spherical image map

$$\nu_K : \partial K \setminus \sigma_K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$$

such that $\nu_K(y)$ is the unique element of $\nu_K(\{y\})$.

For $\eta \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, the reverse spherical image of η , $\mathbf{y}_K(\eta) : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \partial K$, is defined by

$$\mathbf{y}_K(\eta) = \{y \in \partial K : y \in H_K(x) \text{ for some } x \in \eta\}.$$

The set η_K is made up of $x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, for which the set $\mathbf{y}_K(\{x\})$ contains more than a single element. Define the reverse spherical image map

$$\mathbf{y}_K : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \eta_K \rightarrow \partial K$$

such that $\mathbf{y}_K(x)$ is the unique element of $\mathbf{y}_K(\{x\})$. It is well known that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\sigma_K) = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\eta_K) = 0$ and ν_K, \mathbf{y}_K are continuous functions, the details can be found in [41].

Suppose $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, the radial map of K , $r_K : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \partial K$, is defined by

$$r_K(u) = \rho_K(u)u,$$

its reverse map $r_K^{-1} : \partial K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is given by

$$r_K^{-1}(y) = \frac{y}{|y|}.$$

With the above preparation, we can define the radial Gauss image and reverse radial Gauss image. Specifically, let ω and η be subsets of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , the radial Gauss image of ω , $\alpha_K(\omega)$, is defined by

$$\alpha_K(\omega) = \{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} : \rho_K(u)u \in H_K(x) \text{ for some } u \in \omega\};$$

and the reverse radial Gauss image of η , $\alpha_K^*(\eta)$, is defined by

$$\alpha_K^*(\eta) = \{u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} : \rho_K(u)u \in H_K(x) \text{ for some } x \in \eta\}.$$

Assume $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, the radial Gauss map of K , $\alpha_K : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \omega_K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, is given by

$$\alpha_K = \nu_K(r_K),$$

where $\omega_K = r_K^{-1}(\sigma_K)$, and the reverse radial Gauss map of K , $\alpha_K^* : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \eta_K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, is given by

$$\alpha_K^* = r_K^{-1}(y_K).$$

It was shown in [24] that the reverse radial Gauss image of K and the radial Gauss image of K^* are identical, that is

$$\alpha_{K^*} = \alpha_K^*.$$

And the definitions of α_K and α_K^* yield that

$$\alpha_{tK} = \alpha_K, \quad \alpha_{tK}^* = \alpha_K^*, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Recall the Gauss image measure $\lambda(K, \cdot)$ of λ via K is defined by

$$\lambda(K, \omega) = \lambda(\alpha_K(\omega)).$$

When λ is a Borel measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to the spherical Lebesgue measure, the Gauss image measure is a Borel measure. The integral representation of $\lambda(K, \cdot)$ can be found in [5].

Lemma 3.1. *Assume λ is a Borel measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to spherical Lebesgue measure and $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, then*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(u) d\lambda(K, u) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(\alpha_K^*(x)) d\lambda(x), \quad \forall f \in C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}).$$

Proof. Note that for any $\omega \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \omega_K$,

$$\alpha_K^*(x) \in \omega \Leftrightarrow x \in \alpha_K(\omega),$$

which implies that

$$(3.2) \quad \chi_\omega(\alpha_K^*(x)) = \chi_{\alpha_K(\omega)}(x).$$

We first show (3.1) holds for simple function

$$\varphi = \sum_i c_i \chi_{\omega_i},$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and χ_{ω_i} is the characteristic function of Borel subset $\omega_i \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

Since λ is absolutely continuous with respect to spherical Lebesgue measure, (3.2) shows that

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \chi_\omega(\alpha_K^*(x)) d\lambda(x) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \chi_{\alpha_K(\omega)}(x) d\lambda(x),$$

then (1.6) and (3.3) mean

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi(u) d\lambda(K, u) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_i c_i \chi_{\omega_i}(u) d\lambda(K, u) \\ &= \sum_i c_i \lambda(K, \omega_i) \\ &= \sum_i c_i \lambda(\alpha_K(\omega_i)) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_i c_i \chi_{\alpha_K(\omega_i)}(x) d\lambda(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_i c_i \chi_\omega(\alpha_K^*(x)) d\lambda(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi(\alpha_K^*(x)) d\lambda(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let $f : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function, then there exists a sequence of simple functions $\{\varphi_k\}$ such that $\varphi_k \rightarrow f$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By the dominated convergence theorem, it holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(u) d\lambda(K, u) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(\alpha_K^*(x)) d\lambda(x).$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Inspired by [25], we define the L_p Gauss image measure $\lambda_p(K, \cdot)$ of $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$: fixed $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda_p(K, \cdot)$ is a Borel measure and satisfies

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(u) d\lambda_p(K, u) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(\alpha_K^*(x)) \rho_K^p(\alpha_K^*(x)) d\lambda(x), \quad \forall f \in C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}).$$

By (3.1) and (3.4), it is easy to see

$$d\lambda_p(K, \cdot) = \rho_K^p d\lambda(K, \cdot).$$

It is worth to point out the Gauss image measure as a functional from \mathcal{K}_0^n to the space of Borel measures on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} is weakly convergent with respect to the Hausdorff metric, see Lemma 3.4 in [5].

Lemma 3.2. *Assume λ is a Borel measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to spherical Lebesgue measure, and $K_0, K_1, \dots \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ such that $K_i \rightarrow K_0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, then $\lambda(K_i, \cdot) \rightarrow \lambda(K_0, \cdot)$.*

4. VARIATIONAL FORMULAS FOR ENTROPY OF CONVEX BODIES

In this section, variational formulas for the general entropy of convex bodies will be given. Recall the general entropy

$$G(K) = - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \log h_K(x) d\lambda(x),$$

and the dual general entropy, defined by

$$(4.1) \quad E(K) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \log \rho_K(u) d\lambda(u),$$

it is clear that for any $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$,

$$(4.2) \quad E(K^*) = G(K).$$

As will be shown, the following Lemma in [24] turns out to be a critical property.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ be a closed set that is not contained in any closed hemisphere of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . If ρ_t is a logarithmic family of convex hulls of $\langle \rho_0, g, t \rangle$, then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log h_{\langle \rho_t \rangle}(x) - \log h_{\langle \rho_0 \rangle}(x)}{t} = g(\alpha_{\langle \rho_0 \rangle}^*(x)), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \omega_{\langle \rho_0 \rangle}.$$

Furthermore, there exist $\delta > 0$ and $M > 0$, such that

$$|\log h_{\langle \rho_t \rangle}(x) - \log h_{\langle \rho_0 \rangle}(x)| \leq M|t|, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times (-\delta, \delta).$$

It is sufficient to make the following Lemma together with Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume λ is a Borel measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to spherical Lebesgue measure. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ and $f, g : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two continuous functions, if ρ_t is given by (2.9), then*

$$(4.3) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} G(\langle \rho_t \rangle) \right|_{t=0} = - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} g(u) d\lambda(K, u),$$

and if h_t is given by (2.8), then

$$(4.4) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} E([h_t]) \right|_{t=0} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(x) d\lambda(K^*, x).$$

It remains to prove the variational formulas applying the Lemma 4.2 in this section.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume λ is a Borel measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to spherical Lebesgue measure and $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$. Thus for $p \neq 0$,*

$$(4.5) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} G(K \hat{+}_p t \cdot L) \right|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \rho_L^{-p}(u) d\lambda_p(K, u),$$

and for $p = 0$,

$$(4.6) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} G(K \hat{+}_0 t \cdot L) \right|_{t=0} = - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \log \rho_L(u) d\lambda(K, u).$$

Proof. For $p \neq 0$, let

$$h_t = (h_K^p + t h_L^p)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and choose $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\log h_t = \log h_K + \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{h_L}{h_K} \right)^p t + o_p(t, \cdot),$$

where $o_p : (-\delta, \delta) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{o_p(t, x)}{t} = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Choosing

$$f = \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{h_L}{h_K} \right)^p,$$

we have

$$K +_p t \cdot L = [h_t] = [K, f, t].$$

Then (4.4) amounts to the fact that

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} E(K +_p t \cdot L) \right|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left(\frac{h_L}{h_K} \right)^p(x) d\lambda(K^*, x).$$

Replace K, L by K^*, L^* yields

$$(4.7) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} E(K^* +_p t \cdot L^*) \right|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left(\frac{h_{L^*}}{h_{K^*}} \right)^p(u) d\lambda(K^{**}, u).$$

Based on (2.4), (2.5) and (4.7), we can assert that

$$(4.8) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} E(K^* +_p t \cdot L^*) \right|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left(\frac{\rho_K}{\rho_L} \right)^p(u) d\lambda(K, u).$$

Thus (4.5) can be obtained by (1.8), (4.2) and (4.8).

We now turn to the case $p = 0$. Set $h_t = h_K h_L^t$, thus we get

$$\log h_t = \log h_K + t \log h_L.$$

Since the proof for the case $p = 0$ is similar in spirit to the case $p \neq 0$, we omit the details here. \square

5. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS TO THE L_p GAUSS IMAGE PROBLEM

For given Borel measures λ and μ , and $p \neq 0$, define the functional $\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p} : C^+(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for any $f \in C^+(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$,

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(f) &= -\frac{1}{|\lambda|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \log h_{\langle f \rangle}(x) d\lambda(x) - \frac{1}{p} \log\left(\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f^{-p}(u) d\mu(u)\right) \\ &= G(\langle f \rangle) / |\lambda| + \log \|f : \mu\|_{-p}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(f)$ is homogeneous of degree 0, that is

$$(5.2) \quad \Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(tf) = \Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(f), \quad \forall t > 0, f \in C^+(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$$

The maximization problem is:

$$(5.3) \quad \sup\{\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(f) : f \in C^+(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})\}.$$

The following Lemma shows that the solution of maximization problem (5.3) must be radial function of a convex body K .

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose $p \neq 0$, then a convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ is a solution of the maximization problem*

$$\sup\{\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(\rho_K) : K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n\}$$

if and only if ρ_K is a solution of the maximization problem

$$\sup\{\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(f) : f \in C^+(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})\}.$$

Proof. The convex hull is given by

$$\langle f \rangle = \text{conv}\{f(u)u : u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\}, \quad \forall f \in C^+(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}),$$

then it is easily seen that

$$\rho_{\langle f \rangle} \geq f,$$

which implies that

$$(5.4) \quad \|\rho_{\langle f \rangle} : \mu\|_{-p} \geq \|f : \mu\|_{-p}.$$

By (2.7), that is

$$\langle \rho_{\langle f \rangle} \rangle = \langle f \rangle,$$

hence

$$(5.5) \quad G(\langle \rho_{\langle f \rangle} \rangle) = G(\langle f \rangle).$$

Applying (5.4) and (5.5) we conclude that

$$\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(f) \leq \Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(\rho_{\langle f \rangle}).$$

□

We have divided the proof into a sequence of Lemmas.

5.1. The proof of Theorem 1.2.

In this subsection, we deal with the case $p > 0$.

Lemma 5.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, there exists a convex body $K_0 \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ such that*

$$\sup\{\Phi_{\lambda,\mu,p}(\rho_K) : K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n\} = \Phi_{\lambda,\mu,p}(\rho_{K_0}).$$

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{K} = \{K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n : \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_K^p d\mu = |\lambda|\}.$$

Define the function $\varphi : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for $x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$,

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (\langle x, u \rangle)_+^p d\mu(u).$$

Assume φ attains its minimum at some vectors x_μ , thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (\langle x, u \rangle)_+^p d\mu(u) \geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (\langle x_\mu, u \rangle)_+^p d\mu(u) > 0,$$

the strict inequality holds because μ is not concentrated in any closed hemisphere of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

Now we claim that \mathcal{K} is bounded. In fact, for any $K \in \mathcal{K}$. Assume ρ_K attains its maximum at some $x_K \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, by the definition of the support function, it is clear that

$$\rho_K(x_K)(\langle x_K, u \rangle)_+ \leq h_K(u), \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Then

$$\rho_K^p(x_K) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (\langle x_K, u \rangle)_+^p d\mu(u) \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_K^p(u) d\mu(u) = |\lambda|,$$

which implies that

$$\rho_K(x_K) \leq |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (\langle x_\mu, u \rangle)_+^p d\mu(u) \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} = c(\lambda, \mu, p).$$

Therefore, \mathcal{K} is bounded.

By (5.2), $\Phi_{\lambda,\mu,p}(\rho_K)$ is homogeneous of degree 0, it is feasible to choose a maximizing sequence K_i for $\Phi_{\lambda,\mu,p}(\rho_K)$ such that $K_i^* \in \mathcal{K}$. By Blaschke selection theorem, the sequence K_i^* has a subsequence, still denoted by K_i^* , such that $K_i^* \rightarrow K_0^*$ for some K_0^* .

We prove $K_0^* \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ by contradiction. Or we can assume $K_0^* \subset u_0^\perp$ for some $u_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, then

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} h_{K_i^*}(u_0) = h_{K_0^*}(u_0) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.2, there exists $0 < \delta < 1$ such that

$$(5.6) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{K_i^*}(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall x \in \omega_\delta(u_0).$$

Then

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} G(K_i) &= E(K_i^*) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \log \rho_{K_i^*}(x) d\lambda(x) \\ &\leq \int_{\omega_\delta(u_0)} \log \rho_{K_i^*}(x) d\lambda(x) + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \omega_\delta(u_0)} \log c(\lambda, \mu, p) d\lambda(x) \\ &\leq \int_{\omega_\delta(u_0)} \log \rho_{K_i^*}(x) d\lambda(x) + \log c(\lambda, \mu, p) \lambda(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \omega_\delta(u_0)). \end{aligned}$$

From (5.6), (5.7) and the condition $\lambda(\omega_\delta(u_0))$ is positive, it follows that

$$G(K_i) \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty,$$

thus

$$\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(\rho_{K_i}) \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

But it is easy to know that for $r = (|\lambda|/|\mu|)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, $rB \in \mathcal{K}$, and

$$\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(K_i) > \Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(rB) = \Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(B) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Then $K_0^* \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$, the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, if K is a solution of the maximization problem*

$$(5.8) \quad \sup\{\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(\rho_K) : K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n\}$$

under the restriction

$$(5.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \rho_K^{-p} d\mu = |\lambda|,$$

then

$$\mu = \lambda_p(K, \cdot).$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.1 and K is a maximizer of (5.8),

$$\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(\rho_K) = \sup\{\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(\rho_L) : L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n\} = \sup\{\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(f) : f \in C^+(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})\}.$$

Define

$$(5.10) \quad \rho_t = \rho_K e^{tg}, \quad \forall g \in C^+(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}),$$

then $t = 0$ must be the maximum point of $\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(\rho_t)$, that is

$$(5.11) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} \Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(\rho_t) \right|_{t=0} = 0.$$

By (5.10),

$$\log \rho_t = \log \rho_K + tg,$$

then Lemma 4.2 shows that

$$(5.12) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} G(\langle \rho_t \rangle) \right|_{t=0} = - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} g(u) d\lambda(K, u).$$

For $|s| < 1$, the inequality

$$(5.13) \quad |e^s - 1 - s| \leq es^2$$

holds. Choosing $s = -ptg(u)$, (5.13) shows that for $|t| < \frac{1}{|p| \max_{u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} g(u)}$,

$$|e^{-ptg(u)} - 1 + ptg(u)| \leq ep^2 g^2(u) |t|^2, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1},$$

which implies

$$\left| \frac{e^{-tpg(u)} - 1}{t} + pg(u) \right| \leq ep^2 g^2(u) |t|, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho_t^{-p}(u) - \rho_0^{-p}(u)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-tpg(u)} - 1}{t} \rho_K^{-p}(u) = -pg(u) \rho_K^{-p}(u), \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

By (5.9), we get

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \lambda \left. \frac{d}{dt} \log \|\rho_t : \mu\|_{-p} \right|_{t=0} \right. &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \rho_K^{-p}(u) d\mu(u) \cdot \left. \frac{d}{dt} \log \|\rho_t : \mu\|_{-p} \right|_{t=0} \\ &= -\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho_t^{-p}(u) - \rho_0^{-p}(u)}{t} d\mu(u) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \rho_K^{-p}(u) g(u) d\mu(u). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (5.11), (5.12), (5.14) and the definition of $\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}$ show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \rho_K^{-p}(u) g(u) d\mu(u) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} g(u) d\lambda(K, u).$$

Thus $\rho_K(u)^{-p} d\mu(u) = d\lambda(K, u)$, which completes the proof in view of (1.8). \square

The proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 5.2, there exists a convex body $K_0 \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ such that

$$\sup\{\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(\rho_K) : K \in \mathcal{K}_0^n\} = \Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(\rho_{K_0}).$$

By Lemma 5.3, K_0 satisfies that

$$\mu = \lambda_p(K_0, \cdot).$$

5.2. The proof of Theorem 1.3.

This subsection is intended to provide a detailed proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 5.4. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3, there exists an origin-symmetric convex body K_0 such that*

$$\sup\{\Phi_{\lambda,\mu,p}(\rho_K) : K \in \mathcal{K}_e^n\} = \Phi_{\lambda,\mu,p}(\rho_{K_0}).$$

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{K} = \{K \in \mathcal{K}_e^n : \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \log h_K(x) d\lambda(x) = 0\}.$$

Since $\Phi_{\lambda,\mu,p}$ is homogeneous of degree 0, the maximization problem is equivalent to

$$\sup\{\log \|\rho_K : \mu\|_{-p} : K \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

Now we claim that \mathcal{K} is bounded. In fact, for any $K \in \mathcal{K}$. Assume ρ_K attains its maximum at some $u_K \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, by the definition of the support function and $K \in \mathcal{K}_e^n$, it is clear that

$$\rho_K(u_K) |\langle u_K, x \rangle| \leq h_K(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1},$$

which implies

$$\rho_K(u_K) |\lambda| + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \log |\langle u_K, x \rangle| d\lambda(x) \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \log h_K(x) d\lambda(x) = 0.$$

Then

$$\rho_K(u_K) \leq -|\lambda|^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \log |\langle u_K, x \rangle| d\lambda(x) = R.$$

Therefore, \mathcal{K} is bounded.

By (5.2), $\Phi_{\lambda,\mu,p}(\rho_K)$ is homogeneous of degree 0, it is feasible to choose a maximizing sequence K_i for $\Phi_{\lambda,\mu,p}(\rho_K)$ such that $K_i \in \mathcal{K}$. By Blaschke selection theorem, the sequence K_i has a subsequence, still denoted by K_i , such that $K_i \rightarrow K_0$ for some K_0 .

We prove $K_0 \in \mathcal{K}_e^n$ by contradiction. Otherwise, assume $K_0 \subset x_0^\perp$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, then

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} h_{K_i}(x_0) = h_{K_0}(x_0) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.2, there exists $0 < \delta < 1$ such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{K_i}(u) \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall u \in \omega'_\delta(x_0).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \rho_{K_i}^{-p}(u) d\mu(u) &= \int_{\omega'_\delta(x_0)} \rho_{K_i}^{-p}(u) d\mu(u) + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \omega'_\delta(x_0)} \rho_{K_i}^{-p}(u) d\mu(u) \\ &\leq \int_{\omega'_\delta(x_0)} \rho_{K_i}^{-p}(u) d\mu(u) + R^{-p} \mu(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \omega'_\delta(x_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Since μ vanishes on any great sub-sphere of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , then $\mu(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap x_0^\perp) = 0$. Choose $1 > \delta_1 > \delta_2 > \cdots > \delta_j \rightarrow 0$, it is clear that

$$\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \omega'_{\delta_1}(x_0) \supset \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \omega'_{\delta_2}(x_0) \supset \cdots,$$

with

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} (\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \omega'_{\delta_j}(x_0)) = \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap x_0^\perp.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \omega'_{\delta_j}(x_0)) = \mu(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap x_0^\perp) = 0.$$

For any given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer j_0 , such that

$$R^{-p} \mu(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \omega'_{\delta_{j_0}}(x_0)) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Since $\rho_{K_i} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $\omega'_{\delta_{j_0}}(x_0)$ and μ is a finite measure, there exists a positive integer N , such that

$$\int_{\omega'_{\delta_{j_0}}(x_0)} \rho_{K_i}^{-p}(u) d\mu(u) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \forall i > N.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \rho_{K_i}^{-p}(u) d\mu(u) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

So

$$\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(\rho_{K_i}) = \log \|\rho_{K_i} : \mu\|_{-p} \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

But K_i is a maximizing sequence for $\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}$, then for sufficiently large i , one can see

$$\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(\rho_{K_i}) > \Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(\rho_B) = 0.$$

That is a contradiction. Then $K \in \mathcal{K}_e^n$, the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 5.5. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3, if K is a solution of the maximization problem*

$$\sup\{\Phi_{\lambda, \mu, p}(\rho_K) : K \in \mathcal{K}_e^n\}$$

under the restriction

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \rho_K^{-p} d\mu = |\lambda|,$$

then

$$\mu = \lambda_p(K, \cdot).$$

Proof. The proof of this Lemma is the same as that of Lemma 5.3. \square

The proof of Theorem 1.3. By Lemma 5.4, there exists a convex body $K_0 \in \mathcal{K}_e^n$ such that

$$\sup\{\Phi_{\lambda,\mu,p}(\rho_K) : K \in \mathcal{K}_e^n\} = \Phi_{\lambda,\mu,p}(\rho_{K_0}).$$

By Lemma 5.5, K_0 satisfies that

$$\mu = \lambda_p(K_0, \cdot).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aleksandrov, *Existence and uniqueness of a convex surface with a given integral curvature*, C. R. (Dokl.) Acad. Sci. URSS (NS) **35** (1942), 131-134.
- [2] ———, *Convex Polyhedra*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin (2005).
- [3] J. Bertrand, *Prescription of Gauss curvature using optimal mass transport*, Geom. Dedicata **183** (2016), 81-99.
- [4] G. Bian, K. Böröczky and A. Colesanti, *The Orlicz version of the L_p Minkowski problem for $-n < p < 0$* , Adv. in Appl. Math., **111** (2019), 101937.
- [5] K. Böröczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, G. Zhang and Y. Zhao, *The Gauss image problem*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. LXXIII, (2020), 1406–1452.
- [6] K. Böröczky and F. Fodor, *The L_p dual Minkowski problem for $p > 1$ and $q > 0$* , J. Differential Equations, **266** (2019), 7980–8033.
- [7] K. Böröczky, M. Henk and H. Pollehn, *Subspace concentration of dual curvature measures of symmetric convex bodies*, J. Differential Geom., **109** (2018), 411–429.
- [8] K. Böröczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, *The logarithmic Minkowski problem*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **26** (2013), 831–852.
- [9] C. Chen, Y. Huang and Y. Zhao, *Smooth solutions to the L_p dual Minkowski problem*, Math. Ann., **373** (2019), 953–976.
- [10] H. Chen, S. Chen and Q. Li, *Variations of a class of Monge-Ampere type functionals and their applications*. Accepted by Anal. PDE.
- [11] S. Chen, Q. Li and G. Zhu, *The logarithmic Minkowski problem for non-symmetric measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **371** (2019), 2623–2641.
- [12] L. Chen, Y. Liu, J. Lu and N. Xiang, *Existence of smooth even solutions to the dual Orlicz-Minkowski problem*, arXiv:2005.02639.
- [13] L. Chen, Q. Tu, D. Wu and N. Xiang, *Anisotropic Gauss curvature flows and their associated Dual Orlicz-Minkowski problems*, to appear in Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics.
- [14] L. Chen, D. Wu and N. Xiang, *Smooth solutions to the Gauss image problem*, arXiv:2012.11367.
- [15] K. Chou and X. Wang, *A logarithmic Gauss curvature flow and the Minkowski problem*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, **17** (2000), 733–751.
- [16] ———, *The L_p -Minkowski problem and the Minkowski problem in centroaffine geometry*, Adv. Math., **205** (2006), 33–83.
- [17] Y. Feng and B. He, *The Orlicz Aleksandrov problem for Orlicz integral curvature*, Int. Math. Res. Notices, 7 (2021), 5492–5519.
- [18] R. Gardner, D. Hug, W. Weil, S. Xing and D. Ye, *General volumes in the Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory and a related Minkowski problem I*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **58** (2019), Paper No. 12, 35.

- [19] R. Gardner, D. Hug, S. Xing and D. Ye, *General volumes in the Orlicz-Brunn-Minkowski theory and a related Minkowski problem II*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **59** (2020), Paper No. 15, 33.
- [20] C. Haberl, E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, *The even Orlicz Minkowski problem*, Adv. Math., **224** (2010), 2485–2510.
- [21] M. Henk and H. Pollehn, *Necessary subspace concentration conditions for the even dual Minkowski problem*, Adv. Math., **323** (2018), 114–141.
- [22] Q. Huang and B. He, *On the Orlicz Minkowski problem for polytopes*, Discrete Comput. Geom., **48** (2012), 281–297.
- [23] Y. Huang and Y. Jiang, *Variational characterization for the planar dual Minkowski problem*, J. Funct. Anal., **277** (2019), 2209–2236.
- [24] Y. Huang, E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, *Geometric measures in the dual Brunn-Minkowski theory and their associated Minkowski problems*, Acta Math., **216** (2016), 325–388.
- [25] ———, *The L_p -Aleksandrov problem for L_p -integral curvature*, J. Differential Geom., **110** (2018), 1–29.
- [26] Y. Huang and Y. Zhao, *On the L_p dual Minkowski problem*, Adv. Math., **332** (2018), 57–84.
- [27] D. Hug, E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, *On the L_p Minkowski problem for polytopes*, Discrete Comput. Geom., **33** (2005), 699–715.
- [28] H. Jian and J. Lu, *Existence of solutions to the Orlicz-Minkowski problem*, Adv. Math., **344** (2019), 262–288.
- [29] H. Jian, J. Lu and X. Wang, *A priori estimates and existence of solutions to the prescribed centroaffine curvature problem*, J. Funct. Anal., **274** (2018), 826–862.
- [30] H. Jian, J. Lu and G. Zhu, *Mirror symmetric solutions to the centro-affine Minkowski problem*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **55** (2016), Paper No. 41.
- [31] Q. Li, J. Liu and J. Lu, *Non-uniqueness of solutions to the L_p dual Minkowski problem*. Preprint.
- [32] Q. Li, W. Sheng and X. Wang, *Flow by Gauss curvature to the Aleksandrov and dual Minkowski problems*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), **22** (2020), 893–923.
- [33] Y. Liu and J. Lu, *A flow method for the dual Orlicz-Minkowski problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **373** (2020), 5833–5853.
- [34] J. Lu, *Nonexistence of maximizers for the functional of the centroaffine Minkowski problem*, Sci. China Math., **61** (2018), 511–516.
- [35] ———, *A remark on rotationally symmetric solutions to the centroaffine Minkowski problem*, J. Differential Equations, **266** (2019), 4394–4431.
- [36] J. Lu and X. Wang, *Rotationally symmetric solutions to the L_p -Minkowski problem*, J. Differential Equations, **254** (2013), 983–1005.
- [37] E. Lutwak, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. I. Mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem*, J. Differential Geom., **38** (1993), 131–150.
- [38] E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, *On the L_p -Minkowski problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **356** (2004), 4359–4370.
- [39] ———, *L_p dual curvature measures*, Adv. Math., **329** (2018), 85–132.
- [40] A. Stancu, *The discrete planar L_0 -Minkowski problem*, Adv. Math., **167** (2002), 160–174.
- [41] R. Schneider, *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory. Second expanded edition. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*, 151. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [42] Y. Zhao, *The dual Minkowski problem for negative indices*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **56** (2017), Paper No. 18.
- [43] ———, *Existence of solutions to the even dual Minkowski problem*, J. Differential Geom., **110** (2018), 543–572.

- [44] G. Zhu, *The logarithmic Minkowski problem for polytopes*, Adv. Math., **262** (2014), 909–931.
- [45] ———, *The centro-affine Minkowski problem for polytopes*, J. Differential Geom., **101** (2015), 159–174.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, HUBEI UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430062, P.R. CHINA

Email address: cxwu@hubu.edu.cn

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, HUBEI UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430062, P.R. CHINA

Email address: wudi19950106@126.com

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, HUBEI UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430062, P.R. CHINA

Email address: nixiang@hubu.edu.cn