

RATIONAL SOLUTIONS TO THE VARIANTS OF ERDŐS- SELFRIDGE SUPERELLIPTIC CURVES

PRANABESH DAS, SHANTA LAISHRAM, N. SARADHA,
AND DIVYUM SHARMA

ABSTRACT. For the superelliptic curves of the form

$$(x+1)\cdots(x+i-1)(x+i+1)\cdots(x+k)=y^\ell$$

with $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$, $y \neq 0$, $k \geq 3$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $\ell \geq 2$, a prime, Das, Laishram, Saradha and Edis showed that the superelliptic curve has no rational points for $\ell \geq e^{3^k}$. In fact the double exponential bound, obtained in these papers is far from the reality. In this paper, we study the superelliptic curves for small values of k . In particular, we explicitly solve the above equation for $4 \leq k \leq 8$.

1. Introduction

In a remarkable work in 1975, Erdős and Selfridge[12] showed that the Diophantine equation

$$(1) \quad (x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+k)=y^\ell$$

has no solutions in positive integers x, y, k , and ℓ with $k, \ell \geq 2$.

Since their result, several variations of the equation have been considered by many mathematicians and integral solutions have been investigated. The equation (1) has been studied in a more general setting of arithmetic progressions. We refer to the survey articles of Shorey [29] and [30] for various results in this direction.

We state the following conjecture on the generalizations of equation (1) widely attributed to Erdős.

Date: February 7, 2023.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11D61, 11Y50; Secondary 11D41, 14G05.

Key words and phrases. superelliptic curves, rational solutions, ternary forms, Chabauty method.

Conjecture 1. (Erdős) *There is a constant k_0 such that the Diophantine equation*

$$(2) \quad (x+d)(x+2d)\cdots(x+kd) = y^\ell, \gcd(x, d) = 1$$

has no solutions in positive integers x, y, k, d, ℓ with $\ell \geq 2$ and $k \geq k_0$. That is, if the equation has a solution in $x, |y| > 1$, then k is bounded by an absolute constant.

The natural condition $\gcd(x, d) = 1$ is imposed to avoid trivial solutions. We note the equation (2) has infinitely many non-trivial solutions for $(k, \ell) = (3, 2)$. The above conjecture is very difficult and still very much out of the reach. Currently, the Erdős conjecture has been verified unconditionally only subject to a variety of additional assumptions such as d is fixed (Marzalek [17]) or ℓ and $\omega(d)$ (the number of distinct prime divisors of d) both are fixed (Shorey and Tijdeman [31]) or $P(d)$ (the greatest prime factor of d) is fixed and $\ell \geq 3$ (Shorey [27]) or x is fixed and $\ell \geq 7$ (Shorey [28]).

Recently, in an elegant paper, Bennett and Siksek [4] proved a weakened version of Conjecture 1. They proved the following.

Theorem A. (Bennett-Siksek[4]). *There is an effectively computable absolute constant k_0 such that if $k \geq k_0$ is a positive integer, then any solution in integers to equation (2) with prime exponent ℓ satisfies either $y = 0$ or $d = 0$ or $\ell \leq \exp(10k)$.*

In a different direction, rational points on the equation (2) has been studied in the literature. Next, we introduce some notation which will be used throughout the paper.

Let $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $k \geq 2$ be an integer. For any integer $n \geq 1$, let $P(n)$ denote the greatest prime factor of n and take $P(1) = 1$. Further, let $\nu_p(n)$ denote the power of prime p in the prime factorization of n with $\nu_p(1) = 0$. Put

$$\Delta_0 = (x+1)\cdots(x+k)$$

and for $1 \leq i \leq k$, let

$$\Delta_i = (x+1)\cdots(x+i-1)(x+i+1)\cdots(x+k).$$

Bennett and Siksek [3] considered rational solutions of

$$(3) \quad \Delta_0 = y^\ell$$

in x and y with $y \neq 0$ and $\ell \geq 2$, a prime. They showed that if (3) holds, then

$$(4) \quad \ell \leq e^{3^k}.$$

We note that the equation (3) defines a superelliptic curve of genus at least $(\ell - 1)(k - 1)/2$. Since for a fixed pair (k, ℓ) except $(k, \ell) = \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 2)\}$ the superelliptic curve has genus > 1 , hence by a theorem of Falting it follows from Bennett and Siksek [3] that, for $\ell > 4$, the number of rational points on the superelliptic curve (3) is finite. Although, conjecturally the curve (3) has no non-trivial rational points for $\ell \geq 3$. The conjecture is due to Sander [19] and it states that

Conjecture 2 (Sander[19]). *The superelliptic curve (3) has no rational points for $\ell \geq 3$ with $y \neq 0$.*

We are still quite far away from the conjecture. There has been some progress on Conjecture 2, for small values of k . Sander [19] and Lakhal and Sander [15] studied (3) for $k \leq 6$. In [2], Bennett, Bruin, Győry, and Hajdu, solved (3) completely for $k \leq 11$. This was further extended to $k \leq 34$ in [13] by Győry, Hajdu and Pintér.

The above problems can also be considered as a rational analogue to the Schinzel-Tijdeman theorem on integral solutions to the superelliptic equation $f(x) = y^\ell$ where $f(x)$ is a polynomial.

In recent papers, Das, Laishram, Saradha [10] and Edis[11] extended the result of [3] to the equation

$$(5) \quad \Delta_i = y^\ell, 1 \leq i \leq k, y \neq 0.$$

by showing that (4) is valid. See also Saradha[20] when more than one term is omitted in Δ_0 . This double exponential bound is very large and it is desirable to improve the bound. Towards this, Saradha [21] showed that if (3) has a positive rational solution, then either the denominator of the solution is large or $\ell \leq k$.

We notice that (5) has solutions for $x = -j; y = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $i \neq j$. We call these solutions as *trivial solutions*.

Our goal in this paper to study the equation (5) for small values of k . It is difficult to solve equation (5) explicitly even for small values k . In this paper, we explicitly find the non-trivial rational points of the curve (5) for $4 \leq k \leq 8$. The ideas used in this paper, in principle may work for larger values of k but the subsequent combinatorial arguments would be very involved for $k \geq 10$.

When $k = 3$, Shen and Cai [26] showed the following result. See [26][Corollaries 1.3 and 1.4].

Let $k = 3$. Then the only non-trivial rational points on (5) with $i = 2$ are given by

$$(x, y) = \begin{cases} \{(-2, -1), (-5, 2), (1, 2)\} & \text{if } \ell = 3, \\ (-2, -1) & \text{if } \ell \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

For $\ell = 2$, we have

$$(x, y) = \left(\frac{3c_1^2 - c_2^2}{c_2^2 - c_1^2}, \frac{2c_1c_2}{c_2^2 - c_1^2} \right)$$

with co-prime integers $c_1 \neq \pm c_2$.

In this paper, we consider (5) for $4 \leq k \leq 8$.

Theorem 1.1. Assume (5) for $4 \leq k \leq 8$ and $(k, \ell, i) \neq (4, 3, 2), (7, 3, 4)$. Then there are no solutions except when

- (i) $(k, \ell, i) = (7, 2, 2), (7, 2, 6)$, in which case the only non-trivial rational solutions are given by

$$(x, y) = \left(\frac{-37}{7}, \pm \frac{720}{7^3} \right), \left(\frac{-19}{7}, \pm \frac{720}{7^3} \right),$$

respectively.

- (ii) $(k, \ell, i) = (5, 2, 2), (5, 2, 4)$ in which case there exist infinitely many solutions corresponding to the non-trivial rational points of the elliptic curve $F : y^2 = x^3 + 8x^2 + 12x$.

In particular, there are no solutions for $\ell > 3$.

When $(k, \ell, i) = (5, 2, 2)$, some of the non-trivial solutions are given by $(x, y) \in$

$$\left\{ (-7, \pm 12), \left(\frac{-11}{3}, \pm \frac{8}{9} \right), \left(\frac{-17}{5}, \pm \frac{24}{25} \right), \left(\frac{-3}{7}, \pm \frac{240}{49} \right), \left(\frac{-119}{23}, \pm \frac{720}{23^2} \right) \right\}.$$

When $(k, \ell, i) = (7, 3, 4)$, our elimination techniques fail. This is due to the fact that all the corresponding elliptic curves we used for elimination are of rank 1 or more. In this case, there exists a non-trivial solution given by

$$(x, y) = \left(\frac{-17}{7}, \frac{120}{7^2} \right)$$

which lead to an obstruction for our elimination techniques. Although, we expect that the given solution is the only rational solution. Additionally, we could not apply the Chabauty arguments of Bruin and Stoll directly since it is not a hyperelliptic curve for the case $(k, \ell, i) =$

(7, 3, 4). It would be interesting to study the rational points separately for the superelliptic curve for

$$(x+1)(x+2)(x+3)(x+5)(x+6)(x+7) = y^3$$

When $(k, \ell) = (4, 3)$, the solutions to (5) will arise from the integral solution to the equation $u^3 + 2v^3 = 3w^3$ in coprime integers u, v, w . These solution can be obtained from the rational points of the curve $U^3 + 2V^3 = 3$. The curve $U^3 + 2V^3 = 3$ has infinitely rational solutions appearing from the bi-rationally equivalent Weierstrass curve $Y^2 = X^3 - 432 \times 6^2$ of rank 1 under the mutual inverse transformations $U = -\frac{24\theta^2 X}{Y-216}$, $V = \frac{Y+216}{Y-216}\theta$ where $\theta = \sqrt[3]{\frac{3}{2}}$. This may lead to infinitely many solutions in co-prime integers u, v, w .

As a prelude to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we show the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose (5) has a rational solution for $4 \leq k \leq 8$. Then $\gcd(k-1, \ell) > 1$.*

In Sections 2 and 3, we give required preliminaries and several lemmas on Fermat equations. In Section 4, we consider the cases of $\ell > 2$ with $\gcd(k-1, \ell) = 1$. In Sections 5-9, we consider the case $\gcd(k-1, \ell) = \ell$ and finally in Section 10, we consider $\ell = 2$ and complete the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.1.

A main task is to form suitable ternary equations of signature (ℓ, ℓ, ℓ) or $(\ell, \ell, 2), \ell > 3$, and apply modularity methods to show that these equations have no non-trivial solution. Although this method is already used in [13], in our case the number of equations to be considered is *many*, since each equation in (5) gives rise to $\left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil - 1$ equations as i varies. Further, one needs to use combinatorial arguments and the distribution of the small primes 2, 3, 5, 7 among the terms in the product Δ_i . Several new ternary equations of the signature (7, 7, 2) are shown to have no non-trivial integral solutions in Lemma 2.9. For $\ell = 3$, we use an old result of Selmer [24]. The case $\ell = 2$ requires several elliptic curves to be shown to have rank 0, and many quartic curves to have no non-trivial solution. Further the signs of the terms in the product Δ_i also play a crucial role.

2. Lemmas on ternary equations

We begin this section with an old result of Selmer [24, Tables 2^a, 4^a, 4^b and 6] on cubic ternary equations $Ax^3 + By^3 + Cz^3 = 0$. We look for non-trivial solutions i.e. with $xyz \neq 0$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let a and b be pairwise co-prime positive integers. Then the equation*

$$x^3 + ay^3 + bz^3 = 0$$

has no solution in non-zero integers x, y, z with $\gcd(x, y, z) = 1$ for

$$ab \in \{3, 4, 5, 10, 18, 25, 36, 45, 60, 100, 150, 225, 300\}.$$

For $ab = 2$, the equation has the solution $(1, 1, -1)$ or $(1, -1, 1)$.

For $\ell = 5$, we use the following lemma due to Kraus (see [2, Proposition 6.1]).

Lemma 2.2. *Let c be a positive integer with $P(c) \leq 5$. If the Diophantine equation*

$$x^5 + y^5 = cz^5$$

has solutions in non-zero co-prime integers x, y and z , then $c = 2$ and $x = y = z = \pm 1$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\ell \geq 3$ and $\alpha \geq 0$ be integers. Then the equation*

$$x^\ell + y^\ell = p^\alpha z^\ell \quad \text{where} \quad p \in \{2, 3\} \text{ and } (\ell, p, \alpha) \neq (3, 3, 2)$$

in relatively prime integers x, y, z with $xyz \neq 0, 1$, has no solution.

The results for $p = 2$ were established by Wiles[32] for $\alpha = 0$, by Darmon and Merel [9] for $\alpha = 1$, and by Ribet [18] for $\alpha > 1$. Let $p = 3$. Suppose $\ell = 3$. The case $\alpha = 0$ is classical. The case $\alpha = 1$ follows from Lemma 2.1. The result for all other cases were proved by Serre [25]. The next lemma is [22, Lemma 13].

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\ell \geq 5$. Let a, b, c be pairwise co-prime positive integers with $abc \in \{2^u 3^v, 2^u 5^v\}$ where u and v are non-negative integers with $u \geq 4$. Then the equation*

$$ax^\ell + by^\ell = cz^\ell$$

has no solution in pairwise co-prime non-zero integers x, y and z .

The following lemma is due to Bennett [1] when $k = 5, \ell \geq 7, P(b) = 3$ and the remaining cases are covered in [2].

Lemma 2.5. *Let m and s be non-zero co-prime integers and $k \in \{3, 4, 5\}$. Then the equation*

$$(m + s)(m + 2s) \cdots (m + ks) = by^\ell$$

has no solutions in non-zero integers b, y, ℓ with $\ell \geq 2$ and $P(b) \leq P_{k,\ell}$ where $P_{k,\ell}$ is given by

k	$\ell = 2$	$\ell = 3$	$\ell = 5$	$\ell \geq 7$
3	—	2	2	2
4	2	3	2	2
5	5	3	3	3.

The next result is a simple consequence of [1], see also [13, Proposition 2.3].

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\ell \geq 7$ be a prime. Then the equation*

$$(m + s)(m + 2s)(m + 4s)(m + 5s) = by^\ell$$

has only the solutions $(m, s, b, y) = (\pm 3, \mp 1, 4, 1)$ in non-zero integers m, s, b, y with $\gcd(m, s) = 1$ and $P(b) \leq 3$.

The next lemma is part of [2, Proposition 3.1].

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\ell \geq 7$ be prime, α, β be non-negative integers and let a, b be co-prime positive integers. Then the following equations have no solution in non-zero co-prime integers (x, y, z) with $xy \neq \pm 1$:*

- (i) $x^\ell + 2^\alpha y^\ell = 3^\beta z^2$, $\alpha \neq 1$.
- (ii) $x^\ell + 2^\alpha y^\ell = 3z^2$ with $p \mid xy$ for $p \in \{5, 7\}$.
- (iii) $x^\ell + y^\ell = cz^2$, $c \in \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 10\}$.
- (iv) $ax^\ell + by^\ell = z^2$, $P(ab) \leq 3$ with $p \mid xy$ for $p \in \{5, 7\}$.
- (v) $ax^\ell + by^\ell = z^2$, $P(ab) \leq 5$ with $7 \mid xy$ and $\ell \geq 11$.

For $\ell = 7$, we need to solve more cases which are not covered by the above lemmas. The equations considered in Lemma 2.8 are of the form $(\ell, \ell, 2)$. A recipe for attaching the required Frey curves and their respective conductors, discriminant has been described in a paper by Bennett and Skinner [5]. The application of modular method for generalized Fermat equation of $(\ell, \ell, 2)$ has also been discussed. We follow the same strategy for equations in Lemma 2.8.

Lemma 2.8. *Let α, β, a, b be non-negative integers with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Then the following equations have no solution in non-zero co-prime integers (x, y, z) with $xy \neq \pm 1$:*

- (i) $ax^7 + by^7 = z^2$, $ab \in \{2^\alpha 3^\beta : \alpha \geq 6\}$.
- (ii) $ax^7 + by^7 = z^2$,
 $ab \in \{2^\alpha 3^\beta 7^\delta : (\alpha, \beta, \delta) \neq (1, \beta, \delta) \text{ for } \beta\delta \geq 1\}, 5 \mid xy$.

(iii) $x^7 + 3^\beta y^7 = 2z^2$, $5 \mid xy$.

has no non-zero integer solution.

Proof. Let $f = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m q^m$ where $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ be a newform of weight 2 with trivial Nebentypus character. We assume that the equation has a non-zero integer solution, we associate a Frey curve E/\mathbb{Q} with corresponding mod ℓ Galois representation

$$\rho_\ell^E : \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$$

on the ℓ -torsion $E[\ell]$ on E . This representation arises from a cuspidal newform f of weight 2 with trivial Nebentypus character of level $N_n(E)$ by [5, Lemma 3.2, 3.3]. We have $n = 7$ in our case, so we simply denote $N_7(E)$ by N .

The respective level of the newforms that are associated to equation (i) are $N \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$ according to [5, Lemma 2.1, 3.2]. This is a contradiction.

For equation (ii), we need only to consider the corresponding Frey curve that will arise from the weight 2 newforms with trivial characters of level

$$N \in \{14, 21, 24, 32, 42, 56, 64, 84, 96, 128, 168, 192, 224, 384, 448, 672, 896, 1344\}.$$

Since $5 \mid xy$, the Frey curve has a multiplicative reduction at 5. Therefore $7 \mid \mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(c_5 \pm 6)$ from [5, Proposition 4.2] as 5 is co-prime to $7N$. We find this to be untrue by checking for all the newforms of the corresponding levels. Hence there is no solution.

For equation (iii), we find that $N \in \{256, 768\}$. Similar to equation (ii), we conclude that $7 \mid \mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(c_5 \pm 6)$. We have

$$c_5 = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 4\sqrt{2}, \pm 4\sqrt{3},$$

for weight 2 newforms of level 256, 768 with trivial Nebentypus character, which is a contradiction. \square

In the next lemma, we follow the paper by Halberstadt and Kraus[14] where strategies to tackle generalized Fermat equation of signature (n, n, n) have been discussed. We refer to [8, Section 15.8.1] for a detailed computation.

Lemma 2.9. *Let A, B, C, X, Y, Z be non-zero integers such that AX, BY, CZ are pairwise co-prime. Suppose that $29, 43 \mid XYZ$. Then the equation*

$$AX^7 + BY^7 = CZ^7$$

has no solutions for $ABC = 2^\alpha 3^\beta 5^\gamma 7^\delta$ for $\alpha \geq 4, \beta\gamma\delta > 0$ and $7 \nmid \beta\gamma\delta$.

Proof. We begin with the assumption that (x, y, z) is a solution to the equation. We use the recipes given by Halberstadt and Kraus[14] to find the suitable Frey curves for the Fermat type equations of signature (ℓ, ℓ, ℓ) . We attach the Frey curve $E : Y^2 = X(X - Ax^7)(X + By^7)$. We write $R = ABC$. From our assumption we have $\text{Rad}_2(R) = 105$. We apply Ribet's level lowering. The Serre conductor of the Frey curve is given by

$$N_7(E) = 2^\alpha \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7, \quad \alpha = 0, 1.$$

Hence $N = N_7(E) = 105, 210$. Let $N = 105$, the dimension of weight 2 newforms of trivial Nebentypus character is 2 and $c_{43} = 4, 4\sqrt{5}$. Since $43 \mid XYZ$, the curve has a multiplicative reduction at 43 and also 43 is co-prime to $7N$, hence

$$\text{trace } \rho_\ell^E(\text{Frob}_p) = \pm(p+1).$$

Therefore the Fourier coefficient c_p satisfies $7 \mid \mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(c_{43} \pm 44)$. A quick computation with possible choices of c_{43} leads to a contradiction.

Now we consider $N = 210$, the dimension of weight 2 newforms of trivial Nebentypus character is 2 and we find that $c_{43} = -4, 8, -12$. This will lead to a contradiction except for the newform corresponding to 210.2.a.c in *The L-functions and Modular Forms Database* [16].

Since $29 \mid XYZ$, using similar argument as above we conclude that $7 \mid (c_{29} \pm 30)$. For the newform 210.2.a.c we have $c_{29} = 6$ but $7 \nmid (6 \pm 30)$. This is a contradiction. \square

3. Preliminaries

We consider (5) with ℓ prime. Write $x = \frac{n}{s}$ and $y = \frac{m}{t}, m \neq 0$ with s, t positive integers and $\gcd(n, s) = \gcd(m, t) = 1$. Then (5) becomes

$$(n+s) \cdots (n+(i-1)s)(n+(i+1)s) \cdots (n+ks) = \frac{s^{k-1}m^\ell}{t^\ell}.$$

Since the left hand side is an integer and $\gcd(n, s) = \gcd(m, t) = 1$, we get $s^{k-1} = t^\ell$. Since ℓ is prime, we have $\gcd(\ell, k-1) = \ell/\ell_0$ with $\ell_0 \in \{1, \ell\}$. Hence there is a positive integer d such that $s = d^{\ell_0}$ and $t = d^{(k-1)\ell_0/\ell}$ and therefore (5) gives rise to the equation

$$(6) \quad (n+d^{\ell_0}) \cdots (n+(i-1)d^{\ell_0})(n+(i+1)d^{\ell_0}) \cdots (n+kd^{\ell_0}) = m^\ell$$

with $\gcd(n, d) = 1$. Thus the problem of finding rational points in (5) converts into finding integral solutions of the equation (6) which is equivalent to finding perfect powers in a product of consecutive terms of an arithmetic progression with one term missing. When $d = 1$, it is

known by the results of Saradha and Shorey [22] and [23] that the only integral solutions of the equation (6) are given by

$$\frac{6!}{5} = 12^2, \quad \frac{10!}{7} = 720^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{4!}{3} = 2^3.$$

Hence we consider $d > 1$. Also we assume that $1 < i < k$ since the case of $i \in \{1, k\}$ is completely solved in [2]. By symmetry, we further assume that $1 < i \leq \frac{k+1}{2}$.

We observe that any prime which divides at most one term in the product on the left hand side of (6) can occur only to an ℓ -th power. If a prime p divides both $n + jd^{\ell_0}$ and $n + rd^{\ell_0}$, where $r, j \neq i$, $r \neq j$, then p divides $(j - r)d^{\ell_0}$. If p divides d , then p has to divide n , which is not possible as $\gcd(n, d) = 1$. Hence p must divide $(j - r)$, implying that $p < k$. Hence we can write each term as

$$n + jd^{\ell_0} = a_j x_j^{\ell}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k, j \neq i$$

with $P(a_j) < k$ and every prime dividing a_j occurs in another a_r with $r \neq i, j$. From $\gcd(n, d) = 1$, we observe that

$$\gcd(a_j, d) = 1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k, j \neq i.$$

Also for $\ell \geq 3$, a_j 's are taken as positive, by merging the negative sign in x_j , if necessary. When $\ell = 2$, then we will consider a_j 's with necessary signs. Further, since $3 \leq k \leq 8$, we write

$$a_j = 2^{\alpha_j} 3^{\beta_j} 5^{\gamma_j} 7^{\delta_j}$$

with integers $0 \leq \alpha_j, \beta_j, \gamma_j, \delta_j < \ell$. Note that by (6),

$$\sum \alpha_i = \sum \beta_i = \sum \gamma_i = \sum \delta_i \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}.$$

For a prime $2 \leq p \leq k$ with $p \nmid d$, let j_p be the least j with $1 \leq j \leq k, j \neq i$ such that

$$\nu_p(n + j_p d^{\ell_0}) \geq \nu_p(n + j d^{\ell_0}) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq j \leq k, j \neq i.$$

Then for $j \neq j_p$, we get from $n + j d^{\ell_0} - (n + j_p d^{\ell_0}) = (j - j_p) d^{\ell_0}$ that

$$\nu_p(n + j d^{\ell_0}) \leq \nu_p(j - j_p) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq j \leq k, j \neq i, j_p.$$

and equality holds when $\nu_p(n + j d^{\ell_0}) \neq \nu_p(n + j_p d^{\ell_0})$. Further we observe that for $k/2 < p < k$, either $p \nmid a_j$ for any j or there is a $1 \leq j < j + p \leq k$ with either

$$(\nu_p(a_j) = 1, \quad \nu_p(a_{j+p}) = \ell - 1) \quad \text{or} \quad (\nu_p(a_j) = \ell - 1, \quad \nu_p(a_{j+p}) = 1).$$

As in [2], we will form ternary equations of the shape

$$(7) \quad ax^{\ell} + by^{\ell} + cz^{\ell} = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad 1 \leq a \leq b \leq c \quad \text{and} \quad \gcd(a, b, c) = 1.$$

or of the shape

$$(8) \quad ax^\ell + by^\ell = cz^2 \quad \text{with } a, b, c \geq 1 \text{ and } \gcd(a, b, c) = 1.$$

This is achieved by considering the following four identities.

Let $1 \leq p \neq q \leq k$. Then

$$a_q x_q^\ell - a_p x_p^\ell = (n + qd^{\ell_0}) - (n + pd^{\ell_0}) = (q - p)d^{\ell_0}$$

gives rise to (7) when $\ell_0 = \ell$.

Let p, q, r be three distinct integers with $1 \leq p, q, r \leq k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (r - q)a_p x_p^\ell + (p - r)a_q x_q^\ell + (q - p)a_r x_r^\ell \\ &= (r - q)(n + pd^{\ell_0}) + (p - r)(n + qd^{\ell_0}) + (q - p)(n + rd^{\ell_0}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

gives rise to (7).

Let p, q, r, t be four distinct integers with $1 \leq p, q, r, t \leq k$ with $p + q = r + t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad & a_p a_q (x_p x_q)^\ell - a_r a_s (x_r x_s)^\ell \\ &= (n + pd^{\ell_0})(n + qd^{\ell_0}) - (n + rd^{\ell_0})(n + td^{\ell_0}) = (pq - rt)d^{2\ell_0} \end{aligned}$$

gives rise to (8). When $\ell_0 = \ell$, then (9) gives rise to (7).

We shall denote these equations by $[p, q]$, $[p, q, r]$, $[p, q, r, t]$. It will be clear from the usage whether we consider $[p, q, r, t]$ as having signature (ℓ, ℓ, ℓ) or $(\ell, \ell, 2)$.

4. $k \geq 4, \ell > 2$ and $\gcd(\ell, k - 1) = 1$

Throughout this section, we shall assume that $4 \leq k \leq 8, \ell > 2$ and $\gcd(\ell, k - 1) = 1$ so that $\ell_0 = \ell$. With these assumptions we rewrite the equation (6) as

$$(10) \quad (n + d^\ell) \cdots (n + (i - 1)d^\ell)(n + (i + 1)d^\ell) \cdots (n + kd^\ell) = m^\ell$$

with $\gcd(n, d) = 1$ and $1 < i \leq \frac{k+1}{2}$ so that (10) does not hold thereby proving Theorem 1.2 for $\ell \geq 3$. Our strategy is to form a ternary equation as in (7) or (8) which will yield no solution. The following lemma is very useful.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $1 \leq j \leq k, j \neq i$ be satisfies one of the following:*

- (i) $P(a_j a_{j+2s}) \leq 2$ for some $s \geq 0$
- (ii) $P(a_j a_{j+3s}) \leq 3, 2 \nmid a_j a_{j+3s}$ for some $s = 0, 1$
- (iii) $P(a_j a_{j+3t}) = 3, \nu_3(a_j) = \nu_3(a_{j+3t}) = 1$ with $t \in \{1, 2\}$
- (iv) $P(a_j a_{j+t} a_{j+2t}) \leq 3$ for some positive integer $t = 2^s$ with $s \geq 0$
- (v) $P(a_j a_{j+t} a_{j+2t}) = 3$ for some positive integer $t = 3 \cdot 2^s$ with $s \geq 0$.

Then (10) has no solution.

Proof. In case of (i), we form $[j, j + 2^s]$ and this has no solution by Lemma 2.3 with $p = 2$. In case of (ii), we form $[j, j + 3^s]$ and this has no solution by Lemma 2.3 with $p = 3$. In case of (iii), we form $[j, j + 3t]$ and the resulting equation $ax^\ell + by^\ell + cz^\ell = 0$ satisfy $P(abc) \leq 2$ and this has no solution by Lemma 2.3 with $p = 2$. In the case (iv), we have either

$$3 \nmid a_j a_{j+t} \quad \text{or} \quad 3 \nmid a_j a_{j+2t} \quad \text{or} \quad 3 \nmid a_{j+t} a_{j+2t}.$$

Any of this gives rise to a case of the form (i) since $P(a_j a_{j+t} a_{j+2t}) \leq 3$ and hence there is no solution by Lemma 2.3 with $p = 2$. Consider the case (v). Since $P(a_j a_{j+t} a_{j+2t}) = 3$, we have $3 \nmid d$ and each of $n + jd^\ell$, $n + (j+t)d^\ell$ and $n + (j+2t)d^\ell$ is divisible by 3. Since $t = 3 \cdot 2^s$, we see that 9 divides at most one of these three terms. Let $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$ with $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ be such that $3 \mid (n + (j + \varepsilon_1 t)d^\ell)$ and $3 \mid (n + (j + \varepsilon_2 t)d^\ell)$. Then

$$3 \mid a_{j+\varepsilon_1 t} \quad \text{and} \quad 3 \mid a_{j+\varepsilon_2 t}.$$

Now $[j + \varepsilon_1 t, j + \varepsilon_2 t]$, after cancellation of common factors gives rise to an equation as in Lemma 2.3 with $p = 2$ and hence has no solution. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Equation (10) has no solution whenever d is even.*

Proof. Suppose $2 \mid d$. Then $2 \nmid a_j$ for any j with $1 \leq j \leq k, j \neq i$. Let $k = 4$. We have $P(a_1 a_4) \leq 3$ and Lemma 4.1 (ii) with $(j, s) = (1, 1)$ implies that (10) has no solution. For $k \in \{5, 6\}$ and $k \in \{7, 8\}$ with $i \neq 4$, we get $p \nmid a_4 a_5$ for $p \in \{5, 7\}$. Since $2 \nmid a_4 a_5$, Lemma 4.1 (ii) with $(j, s) = (4, 0)$ implies that (10) has no solution. Let $k \in \{7, 8\}$ and $i = 4$. We have $P(a_2 a_3) \leq 3$ and $P(a_5 a_6) \leq 3$ according as $5 \nmid a_2 a_3$ and $5 \mid a_2 a_3$, respectively. Now the assertion follows by Lemma 4.1 (ii) with $(j, s) = (2, 0), (5, 0)$, respectively. \square

From now on we shall assume that $2 \nmid d$. For $k \geq 5$, observe that 2 divides at least two a_i 's since $2 \nmid d$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let the assumptions of this section hold.*

(i) *Equation $[2, 7, 1, 8]$ implies that $\ell \leq 5$ whenever*

$$5 \mid a_2 a_7 \quad \text{or} \quad 7 \mid a_1 a_8 \quad \text{and} \quad 5 \nmid a_1 a_8.$$

If also

$$(a) \quad 3 \nmid a_1 a_2 a_7 a_8, \quad \text{then} \quad 4 \mid a_2 a_7 \quad \text{or} \quad 4 \mid a_1 a_8$$

$$(b) \quad 3 \mid a_2 a_5 a_8, \quad \text{then} \quad (\beta_2, \beta_8) \neq (1, 1).$$

(ii) *Equation $[2, 7, 1, 8]$ implies that $\ell \leq 7$ if $35 \mid a_1 a_8$.*

Proof. Note that

$$(11) \quad 2 \mid \gcd(a_2 a_7, a_1 a_8).$$

Hence $[2, 7, 1, 8]$ reduces to

$$Ax^\ell + By^\ell = 3d^{2\ell} \text{ with } P(AB) \leq 3$$

and

$$5 \mid xy \text{ if } 5 \mid a_2 a_7 \text{ or } 7 \mid xy \text{ if } 7 \mid a_1 a_8 \text{ and } 5 \nmid a_1 a_8.$$

By Lemma 2.7(iv) we get that $\ell \leq 5$ except perhaps when $P(AB) = 2$ which is the case when $3 \nmid a_1 a_2 a_7 a_8$. In this case by (11) the equation reduces to

$$x^\ell + 2^\alpha y^\ell = 3d^{2\ell}, 5 \mid xy, \alpha \geq 0$$

which by Lemma 2.7(ii) gives $\ell \leq 5$. This proves the first assertion of (i). When $\alpha = 0$, by Lemma 2.3, equation (10) has no solution. Thus we may assume that $\alpha \geq 1$ which implies that $4 \mid a_2 a_7$ or $4 \mid a_1 a_8$. This proves (a). Suppose $3 \mid a_2 a_5 a_8$ and $(\beta_2, \beta_8) = (1, 1)$. Then $[2, 7, 1, 8]$ reduces to $x^\ell + 2^\alpha y^\ell = z^\ell$ which is excluded by Lemma 2.3 and this proves (b).

(ii) Here $[2, 7, 1, 8]$ reduces to $Ax^\ell + By^\ell = 3d^{2\ell}$ with $P(AB) \leq 5, 7 \mid xy$ and hence the result follows from 2.7 (v). \square

We consider different values of k .

4.1. **Let** $k = 4$. Then $i = 2, P(a_j) \leq 3$ and $\ell \geq 5$ since $\gcd(\ell, 3) = 1$. Also

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \beta_1 + \beta_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}.$$

Hence we have the following cases.

(i)	$2 \mid (n + 4d^\ell), 3 \nmid (n + 4d^\ell)$	$a_4 = 1, 2 \nmid a_3$	$a_3 a_4 = 3^s, s \geq 0$
(ii)	$2 \mid (n + 4d^\ell), 3 \mid (n + 4d^\ell)$	$a_3 = 1, 2 \nmid a_4$	$a_3 a_4 = 3^s, s \geq 0$
(iii)	$2 \nmid (n + 4d^\ell), \alpha_1 = \ell - 1$	$a_4 = 3^\beta$	$[1, 4]$
(iv)	$2 \nmid (n + 4d^\ell), \alpha_3 = \ell - 1$	$a_4 = 3^\beta$	$[3, 4]$

The cases (i) and (ii) are excluded by Lemma 4.1 (ii). The cases (iii) and (iv) are excluded by Lemma 2.4 with $(a, b, c) = (-a_1, a_4, 3)$ or $(-a_3, a_4, 1)$ since $\ell \geq 5$.

4.2. **Let** $k = 5$. Then $\ell \geq 3$ and $i \in \{2, 3\}$. Since $5 \mid (n + jd^\ell)$ for at most one j , for each $j \neq i$, we have $\gamma_j = 0$ and hence $P(a_j) \leq 3$. If $i = 2$, then Lemma 4.1 (iv) with $j = 3, t = 1$ gives the assertion. Hence we suppose that

$$i = 3.$$

By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\ell \leq 5.$$

By considering Lemma 4.1 (i) with $j = 4, s = 0$, we may assume that

$$3|a_4a_5.$$

We have

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_5 \equiv \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}.$$

Equation $[1, 5, 2, 4]$ gives rise to (7) with $abc = 3^s$, $s = 0, 1$ which is excluded by Lemma (2.3) with $p = 3$.

4.3. Let $k = 6$. Then $\ell \geq 3, \ell \neq 5$ and $i \in \{2, 3\}$. Also $P(a_j) \leq 5$. If $5 \nmid a_j$ for any j , then Lemma 4.1 (iv) with $j = 4, t = 1$ gives the assertion. Thus $5|a_j$ for some j implying

$$5|a_1, a_6$$

with $(\gamma_1, \gamma_6) \in \{(1, \ell - 1), (\ell - 1, 1)\}$ and $5 \nmid a_j$ for $j \neq 1, 6$. If $i = 2$, then Lemma 4.1 (iv) with $j = 3, t = 1$ gives the assertion. So we suppose that

$$i = 3.$$

Further from Lemma 4.1 (i) with $j = 4, s = 0$, we may assume that

$$3|a_4a_5.$$

Suppose $3|a_5$. Then $\nu_3(a_2a_5) = \ell$ and $3 \nmid a_1a_6$. Considering $[2, 5, 1, 6]$, we have from

$$(12) \quad a_2a_5(x_2x_5)^\ell - a_1a_6(x_1x_6)^\ell = 4d^{2\ell} = 4(d^2)^\ell,$$

an equation $ax^\ell + by^\ell = (d^2)^\ell = z^\ell$ with $P(ab) \leq 2$. This is excluded by Lemma 2.3 with $p = 2$. Hence

$$3|a_4.$$

Then $3 \nmid a_2a_5a_6$ and $(\beta_1, \beta_4) \in \{(1, \ell - 1), (\ell - 1, 1)\}$. Also note that $\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6 \equiv \alpha_1 + \alpha_5 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

We first let $\ell = 3$. In Table 1 we list the cases depending on different choices of j_2 and the choices of p, q to form the equation $[p, q]$. The equations in the last column of Table 1 are excluded by Lemma 2.1. Thus we can consider

$$\ell \geq 7.$$

We have $\alpha_{j_2} \geq \ell - 3$. Let $j_2 = 4$. Then $a_5 = 1$, $P(a_4) \leq 3$ and $\alpha_4 \geq \ell - 3 \geq 4$. This gives equation $[4, 5]$ in the form $x^\ell + y^\ell = a_4z^\ell$ and this is not possible by Lemma 2.4. Let $j_2 \in \{1, 2, 5, 6\}$. We consider $[2, 5, 1, 6]$. We obtain from (12) the equation $ax^\ell + by^\ell = (d^2)^\ell = z^\ell$ with $P(ab) \leq 3$ and $\nu_2(ab) \geq (\ell - 3) - 2$. If $\ell \geq 11$, we get a contradiction by Lemma 2.4. Thus

$$\ell = 7.$$

j_2	Cases	$[p, q]$	Equation
1	$a_4 = 9, a_2 = 1$	$[2, 4]$	$x^3 + 2y^3 + 9z^3 = 0$
1	$a_4 = 3, a_2 = 1$ $a_1 \in \{3^2 \cdot 5, 3^2 \cdot 5^2\}$	$[1, 2]$	$x^3 + y^3 + cz^3 = 0$ $c \in \{45, 225\}$
2	$a_2 = a_5 = 1$	$[2, 5]$	$x^3 + y^3 + 3z^3 = 0$
4	$a_2 = 2, a_4 = 6$	$[2, 4]$	$x^3 + y^3 + 3z^3 = 0$
4	$a_2 = 2, a_4 = 18$	$[4, 5]$	$x^3 + y^3 + 18z^3 = 0$
5	$a_6 = 5, a_5 = 2$	$[5, 6]$	$x^3 + 2y^3 + 5z^3 = 0$
5	$a_6 = 5^2, a_2 = 1$	$[2, 6]$	$x^3 + 4y^3 + 25z^3 = 0$
6	$a_5 = 1, a_6 \in \{5, 5^2\}$	$[5, 6]$	$x^3 + y^3 + cz^3 = 0$ $c \in \{5, 25\}$

TABLE 1. $(k, \ell, i) = (6, 3, 3)$

We rewrite the equation as $ax^\ell + by^\ell = (d^\ell)^2 = z^2$ with $P(ab) \leq 3$. Also $\nu_2(ab) \leq (\ell - 2) - 2 = 3$. By Lemma 2.7 (iv), we get a contradiction.

4.4. **Let** $k = 7$. We have $\ell \geq 5$ and $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. Also $P(a_j) \leq 7$. Since $7|(n + jd^\ell)$ for at most one j , we have $\delta_j = 0$ for each j . Hence $P(a_j) \leq 5$ for each j . If $5 \nmid a_j$ for any j , then Lemma 4.1 (iv) with $j = 5, t = 1$ gives the assertion. Thus $5|a_j$ for some j implying

$5|a_1, 5|a_6$ with $(\gamma_1, \gamma_6) \in \{(1, \ell - 1), (\ell - 1, 1)\}$ and $5 \nmid a_j$ for $j \neq 1, 6$

or

$5|a_2, 5|a_7$ with $(\gamma_2, \gamma_7) \in \{(1, \ell - 1), (\ell - 1, 1)\}$ and $5 \nmid a_j$ for $j \neq 2, 7$.

First we take $5|a_2$ and $5|a_7$. Then $i = 3, 4$ and Lemma 4.1 (iv) with $j = 4, t = 1$ when $i = 3$ and with $j = 1, t = 2$ when $i = 4$ shows that there is no solution.

Secondly we take $5|a_1$ and $5|a_6$. If $i \in \{2, 4\}$, then Lemma 4.1 (iv) with $j = 3, t = 1$ when $i = 2$ and with $j = 3, t = 2$ when $i = 4$ shows that there is no solution. Therefore we suppose that

$$i = 3.$$

Further from Lemma 4.1 (i) with $j = 4, s = 0$, we may assume that

$$3|a_4a_5.$$

Let $3|a_5$. Then $\nu_3(a_2a_5) = \ell$ and $3 \nmid a_1a_6$. Considering $[2, 5, 1, 6]$, we have (7) with $abc = 2^s$, $s \geq 0$ which is excluded by Lemma (2.3) with $p = 2$. Thus we assume that

$$3|a_4.$$

Then $3|a_1a_4a_7$ and $3 \nmid a_2a_5a_6$. If $\beta_1 = \beta_7 = 1$, then Lemma 4.1 (iii) with $j = 1, t = 2$ gives a contradiction. Hence

$$(\beta_1, \beta_4, \beta_7) \in \{(1, 1, \ell - 2), (\ell - 2, 1, 1)\}.$$

Let $j_2 \in \{1, 2, 5, 6\}$. Then $\alpha_{j_2} \geq \ell - 3$. Then $[2, 5, 1, 6]$ gives the necessary contradiction as in the case $k = 6, \ell > 7$. Thus we need to consider

$$\ell \in \{5, 7\}.$$

First let $\ell = 5$. Then

$$\alpha_{j_2} = \alpha_{j_2+4} = 2 \text{ if } j_2 \in \{1, 2\}$$

and

$$\alpha_{j_2} = \alpha_{j_2-4} = 2 \text{ if } j_2 \in \{5, 6\}.$$

Also

$$\beta_1 = 1 \text{ or } 3.$$

From (12), we get an equation of the form $x^5 + y^5 = 3^\alpha z^5, \alpha = 1, 3$ which is not possible by Lemma 2.3 with $p = 3$. Next let $\ell = 7$. Then from $\alpha_{j_2} \geq 7 - 3 = 4, \beta_1 = 1, 3 \nmid a_2a_5a_6$ and equation (12), we obtain equations as in Lemma 2.7 (iv) which have no solution.

Let $j_2 \in \{4, 7\}$. Then $\alpha_{j_2} \geq \ell - 2$. We consider $[4, 5, 2, 7]$ which is an equation of the form $ax^\ell + by^\ell = (d^2)^\ell$ with $P(ab) \leq 3$ and $\nu(ab) \geq \ell - 3$. By Lemma 2.4, we may therefore suppose that

$$\ell = 5.$$

Then $a_5 = 1$ if $j_2 = 4$ and $a_2 = 1$ if $j_2 = 7$. Since $P(a_1a_4) \leq 5$, we consider $[4, 5]$ if $j_2 = 4$ and $[1, 2]$ if $j_2 = 7$. We obtain equations of the form $x^5 + y^5 = a_4z^5$ and $x^5 + y^5 = a_1z^5$, respectively which are excluded by Lemma 2.2. This completes the case for $k = 7$.

4.5. Let $k = 8$. We have

$$\ell \geq 3, \ell \neq 7, i \in \{2, 3, 4\} \text{ and } P(a_j) \leq 7.$$

Suppose $P(a_j) \leq 3$ for each j . Then Lemma 4.1 (iv) with $j = 5, t = 1$ gives the assertion. Thus either $5|a_j$ for some j or $7|a_j$ for some j . Further if 5 does not divide any a_j , then at least two of a_5, a_6, a_7 have $P(a_i) \leq 2$ and this is excluded by Lemma 4.1 (i). Hence we may assume that

$$5|a_j, 5|a_{j+5} \text{ for some } j \in \{1, 2, 3\} \text{ and } (\gamma_j, \gamma_{j+5}) \in \{(1, \ell - 1), (\ell - 1, 1)\}.$$

Let

$$5|a_3a_8.$$

Then $i \in \{2, 4\}$ and $P(a_5 a_6 a_7) \leq 3$. Hence the assertion follows by Lemma 4.1 (iv) with $j = 5, t = 1$.

Next let

$$5|a_2 a_7.$$

Then $i \in \{3, 4\}$. Let $i = 3$. Then $P(a_4 a_5 a_6) \leq 3$ and the assertion follows by Lemma 4.1 (iv) with $j = 4, t = 1$. Next let $i = 4$. Then by Lemma 4.3(i) we get

$$\ell \leq 5.$$

Note that by Lemma 4.1(i), we may assume that $3|a_5 a_6$. Hence either

$$3|a_3 a_6 \quad \text{or} \quad 3|a_2 a_5 a_8.$$

Suppose

$$3|a_3 a_6.$$

Then $3 \nmid a_1 a_2 a_7 a_8$. Hence by Lemma 4.3(i) we have

$$(13) \quad 4|a_2 a_7 \quad \text{or} \quad 4|a_1 a_8.$$

If $a_5 \in \{1, 2\}$, then we consider $[5, 6]$ when $a_5 = 1$ and $[3, 5]$ when $a_5 = 2$ and this is excluded by Lemma 2.2 since $P(a_3 a_6) = 3$. Thus $4|a_5$ which together with (13) gives $4|a_1$ and $2||a_3, 2||a_7$ so that

$$\alpha_3 = \alpha_7 = 1, \alpha_1 \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_5 \geq 2.$$

When $\ell = 5$, we have $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_7 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ giving $\alpha_1 + \alpha_5 \in \{3, 8\}$ which together with $\alpha_j < 5$ implies that

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_5 = 4.$$

This means

$$2^4|(n + 5d^\ell - n - d^\ell) = 4d^\ell$$

which is not possible and hence $\ell = 5$ is excluded. Let $\ell = 3$. We have $a_5 = 2^{\alpha_5}$ and $a_7 = 2^{\alpha_7} 5^{\gamma_7}$ with $\gamma_7 \in \{1, 2\}$ and either $\alpha_5 = \alpha_7 = 0$ or $1 \leq \alpha_5, \alpha_7 \leq 2$. This is excluded by considering equation $[5, 7]$ and Lemma 2.1.

Next let

$$3|a_2 a_5 a_8.$$

so that

$$a_3 = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad a_6 = 1.$$

When $\ell = 3$, we have $\beta_2 = \beta_8 = 1$ which is excluded by Lemma 4.3(i)(b). Let $\ell = 5$. We apply Lemma 2.2 to equations

$$[2, 3] \quad \text{when} \quad a_3 = 1$$

and

$$[6, 7] \quad \text{when} \quad a_6 = 1$$

to get the assertion since $P(a_2 a_7) = 5$. This concludes the case $5|a_2 a_7$.

Lastly we take

$$5|a_1a_6.$$

Then

$$i \in \{2, 3, 4\} \text{ and } P(a_j) \leq 3 \text{ for } j \notin \{1, 6, 8\}.$$

The assertion of the theorem follows

$$\text{if } i = 2 \text{ by Lemma 4.1}(iv) \text{ with } j = 3, t = 1$$

and

$$\text{if } i = 4 \text{ by Lemma 4.1}(iv) \text{ with } j = 3, t = 2.$$

So we consider

$$i = 3.$$

If $3 \nmid a_4a_5$, then again the assertion follows by Lemma 4.1 (i) with $j = 4, s = 0$. Hence we assume that

$$(14) \quad 3|a_1a_4a_7 \text{ or } 3|a_2a_5a_8.$$

Let $\ell = 3$. Then

$$\beta_j = 1 \text{ for } j \in \{1, 4, 7\} \text{ if } 3|a_1a_4a_7$$

and

$$\beta_j = 1 \text{ for } j \in \{2, 5, 8\} \text{ if } 3|a_2a_5a_8.$$

Also note that

$$(15) \quad P(a_4a_7a_2a_5) \leq 3.$$

Thus $\ell = 3$ is excluded

$$\text{if } 3|a_1a_4a_7 \text{ by Lemma 4.1}(iii) \text{ with } j = 4, t = 1$$

and

$$\text{if } 3|a_2a_5a_8 \text{ by Lemma 4.1}(iii) \text{ with } j = 2, t = 1.$$

Thus we have

$$\ell \geq 5.$$

Let us now assume that

$$7 \nmid a_j \text{ for any } j.$$

Then Lemma 2.6 with $m = n + 4d^\ell, s = d^\ell$ and (15) gives

$$\ell \leq 5.$$

If $3|a_2a_5a_8$, then Lemma 4.1 (v) with $j = 2, t = 3$ gives the assertion.

If $3|a_1a_4a_7$, then

$$P(a_2a_5a_8) \leq 2$$

and either

$$a_2 = 1 \text{ or } a_5 = 1 \text{ or } a_8 = 1.$$

a_2	Cases	$[p, q]$
$2 \mid a_2, 3 \nmid a_2$	$a_4 = 2^{\alpha_4} 3^{\beta_4}, \beta_4 > 0, a_5 = 1$	$[4, 5]$
$2 \mid a_2, 3 \mid a_2$	$a_6 = 2^{\alpha_6} 5^{\gamma_6}, \gamma_6 > 0, a_7 = 1$	$[6, 7]$
$2 \nmid a_2, 3 \mid a_2$	$a_4 = 1, a_5 = 2^{\alpha_5} 3^{\beta_5}, \beta_5 > 0$	$[4, 5]$
$2 \nmid a_2, 3 \nmid a_2$	$a_1 = 2^{\alpha_1} 3^{\beta_1} 5^{\gamma_1} 7^{\delta_1}, \gamma_1 > 0, \delta_1 > 0, a_2 = 1$	$[1, 2]$

TABLE 2. $(k, \ell, i) = (8, 5, 3)$

The case $\ell = 5$ is excluded by taking

$$[1, 2] \text{ if } a_2 = 1; [5, 6] \text{ if } a_5 = 1; [7, 8] \text{ if } a_8 = 1.$$

Hence we may assume that

$$(16) \quad 7 \mid a_1 a_8.$$

Thus $35 \mid a_1 a_8$. So by Lemma 4.3(i) we have $\ell = 5$ since $\ell \neq 7$. Then by (14), (16) and Lemma 2.2, [2, 7, 1, 8] reduces to

$$ax^5 + (d^2)^5 = b(-y)^5$$

with $a = a_2 a_7 / 6 > 1$. Hence we assume that

$$\text{either } 2 \mid \frac{a_2 a_7}{6} \quad \text{or} \quad 3 \mid \frac{a_2 a_7}{6}.$$

Note that $\gcd(a_2, a_7) = 1$. In Table 2 we give different possibilities of a_2 and use equation $[p, q]$ with suitable values of p, q to exclude these possibilities by Lemma 2.2.

This concludes the case $k = 8$.

5. $\ell > 2$ and $\gcd(k - 1, \ell) > 1$

In this section, we consider $\ell > 2$ and $\gcd(\ell, k - 1) > 1$ so that

$$(k, \ell) \in \{(4, 3), (6, 5), (7, 3), (8, 7)\}.$$

Further from $\ell_0 = 1$, equation (6) becomes

$$(17) \quad (n + d) \cdots (n + (i - 1)d)(n + (i + 1)d) \cdots (n + kd) = m^\ell$$

with $\gcd(n, d) = 1$ and $1 < i \leq \frac{k+1}{2}$. Recall that for $p \nmid d$ with $1 \leq p \leq k$, j_p is the least $j \neq i$ such that $\nu_p(n + jd) \leq \nu_p(n + j_p d)$ for all $j \neq i$.

Lemma 5.1. *Given ℓ prime > 2 , let q be either a prime or a power of ℓ so that $\ell \mid \varphi(q)$. Let Λ_q be the set of solutions of $x^{\frac{\varphi(q)}{\ell}} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. When $q \mid d$, we have for $j_1 \neq j_2$,*

$$(18) \quad a_{j_1} \equiv \lambda_q a_{j_2} \pmod{q} \quad \text{for some } \lambda_q \in \Lambda_q.$$

Let $0 \leq j_q < q$ be such that $q|(n + j_q d)$. For $1 \leq j_1 \neq j_2 \leq k$ and $j_1 \neq j_q, j_2 \neq j_q$, we have

$$(19) \quad \frac{a_{j_1}}{j_1 - j_q} \equiv \frac{\lambda_q a_{j_2}}{j_2 - j_q} \pmod{q} \text{ for some } \lambda_q \in \Lambda_q.$$

Proof. When $q|d$, we have $a_j x_j^\ell = n + jd \equiv n \pmod{q}$ and hence $(a_j)^{\frac{\varphi(q)}{\ell}} \equiv n^{\frac{\varphi(q)}{\ell}} \pmod{q}$ and the assertion (18) follows. Let $q \nmid d$. From $a_j x_j^\ell = n + jd = n + j_q d + (j - j_q)d$, the assertion (19) follows by observing

$$(a_j)^{\frac{\varphi(q)}{\ell}} \equiv (a_j x_j^\ell)^{\frac{\varphi(q)}{\ell}} \equiv (j - j_q)^{\frac{\varphi(q)}{\ell}} d^{\frac{\varphi(q)}{\ell}} \pmod{q}.$$

□

Here are some values of ℓ, q and Λ_q .

$$(20) \quad \Lambda_q = \begin{cases} \{\pm 1, \pm 7\} & \text{if } \ell = 5, q = 5^2; \\ \{\pm 1, \pm 18, \pm 19\} & \text{if } \ell = 7, q = 7^2; \\ \{\pm 1, \pm 12\} & \text{if } \ell = 7, q = 29. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.2. Let $\ell \in \{5, 7\}$ and $\ell^3|(n + j_\ell d)$. Let ε_ℓ be given by $a_{j_\ell + \ell} = \ell \varepsilon_\ell$ or $a_{j_\ell - \ell} = \ell \varepsilon_\ell$ according as $1 \leq j_\ell < j_\ell + \ell \leq k$ or $1 \leq j_\ell - \ell < j_\ell \leq k$, respectively. Then for $1 < j < k, j \neq j_\ell, j_\ell \pm \ell$, we have

$$(21) \quad \left(\frac{a_j}{j - j_\ell} \right)^{\ell-1} \equiv (\varepsilon_\ell)^{\ell-1} \pmod{\ell^2}.$$

Proof. Since $\ell^3|(n + j_\ell d)$, we have $\nu_\ell(n + (j_\ell \pm \ell)d) = 1$ and hence $\ell \nmid \varepsilon_\ell$ and $(\varepsilon_\ell)^{\ell-1} \equiv d^{\ell-1} \pmod{\ell^2}$. Also for $1 < j < k, j \neq j_\ell, j_\ell \pm \ell$, we have

$$a_j x_j^\ell = n + jd = (n + j_\ell d) + (j - j_\ell)d \equiv (j - j_\ell)d \pmod{\ell^2}.$$

Now the assertion follows by taking $(\ell-1)$ -th powers on both sides. □

We now consider different cases of (k, ℓ) . Recall that $1 < i \leq \frac{k+1}{2}$.

6. The case $(k, \ell) = (4, 3)$

Let $(k, \ell) = (4, 3)$. Then $i = 2, 3$. First let $i = 2$. We consider $[1, 3, 4]$ which gives $a_1 x_1^3 + 2a_4 x_4^3 = 3a_3 x_3^3$ with $P(a_1 a_2 a_3) \leq 3$.

Let $D_{1,3,4} : \{(X, Y, Z) : a_1 X^3 + 3a_3 Y^3 + 2a_4 Z^3 = 0\}$ and $E_{6a_1 a_3 a_4} : x^3 + y^2 z + 6a_1 a_3 a_4 y z^2 = 0$.

We consider the morphism

$$\begin{aligned} D_{(1,3,4)} &\rightarrow E_{6a_1 a_3 a_4} \\ (X, Y, Z) &\rightarrow (a_1^3 (3a_3)^4 x^4, a_1^3 (3a_3)^4 y^2 z, a_1^2 (3a_3)^4 y z^2) \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$a_1^{10}(3a_3)^{12}x^{12} + a_1^9(3a_3)^{13}y^6z^3 + 2a_1^6(3a_3)^{12}a_4y^3z^6 = 0$$

which gives

$$a_1^9(3a_3)^{12}x^{12} + a_1^8(3a_3)^{13}y^6z^3 + da_1^4(3a_3)^{11}y^3z^6 = 0$$

where $d = 6a_1a_3a_4$. Now we substitute,

$$x = a_1^3(3a_3)^4x^4, y = a_1^4(3a_3)^5y^3, z = (3a_3)^3z^3.$$

This gives $E_d : x^3 + y^2z + dyz^2 = 0$. We also note that E_d and E_{d_1} are isomorphic if d/d_1 is a cube. Hence it is enough to consider the case $d = 6$ and $a_1 = a_3 = a_4 = 1$. That is we need to solve the equation

$$(n + d)(n + 3d)(n + 4d) = y^3$$

with $(n + d) = y_1^3, (n + 3d) = y_3^3, (n + 4d) = y_4^3$, and y_1, y_3, y_4 pairwise co-prime. This gives the equation

$$(22) \quad y_1^3 + 2y_4^3 = 3y_3^3$$

y_1, y_3, y_4 pairwise co-prime. The curve (22) bi-rationally equivalent to the Weierstrass curve $V^2 = U^3 - 432 \times 6^2$ and it has rank 1. Hence, we conclude that the if the equation

$$(n + d)(n + 3d)(n + 4d) = y^3$$

has a solution then it would arise from (22). Now, let $i = 3$. Hence we have

$$(n + d)(n + 2d)(n + 4d) = y^3.$$

Using the substitution, $N = -(n + 5d), Y = -y$, we have

$$(N + d)(N + 3d)(N + 4d) = Y^3.$$

Since, $(n, d) = 1$, we have $(N, d) = 1$, therefore it is enough to consider the case for $i = 2$.

7. The case $(k, \ell) = (6, 5)$

7.1. **Let $(k, \ell) = (6, 5)$ and $i = 2$.** We have either $\alpha_j = 0$ for all $j \neq 2$ or

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \alpha_1 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_5 & \alpha_4 + \alpha_6 & & \alpha_4 & \alpha_6 & \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 & \text{or} & 4 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 & & 1 & 4 & 0 \end{array}$$

and either $\beta_j = 0$ for all $j \neq 2$ or

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \beta_1 & \beta_4 & \sum_{j \neq 1,4} \beta_j & & \beta_3 & \beta_6 & \sum_{j \neq 3,6} \beta_j \\ 1 & 4 & 0 & \text{or} & 1 & 4 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & 0 & & 4 & 1 & 0. \end{array}$$

Cases	Sub-cases	$[p, q, r]$
$2 a_4, 3 \nmid a_4$	$\alpha_4 = 4$	$[3, 4, 5]$
-	$\alpha_4 = 1$	$[4, 5, 6]$
$2 \nmid a_4, 3 a_4$	$5 \nmid a_1a_6, \alpha_3 = 3, \beta_4 = 4$	$[3, 4, 6]$
-	$5 \nmid a_1a_6, \alpha_3 = 3, \beta_4 = 1$	$[1, 3, 6]$
-	$5 a_1a_6, \alpha_3 \in \{1, 3\}$	$(j_1, j_2) = (3, 5)$
$2 \nmid a_4, 3 \nmid a_4$	$\alpha_1 = 1$	$[3, 4, 5]$
-	$5 \nmid a_1a_6, \alpha_1 = 2$	$[1, 3, 5]$
-	$5 a_1a_6, \alpha_1 = 2$	$(j_1, j_2) = (4, 5)$

TABLE 3. $(k, \ell, i) = (6, 5, 2)$

Observe that

$$\beta_5 = 0.$$

Also

$$5 \nmid a_j \text{ for } 1 < j < 6, j \neq 2.$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have either

$$3|a_1a_4 \text{ or } 3|a_3a_6.$$

Let $2 \nmid a_j$ for all j . Then $a_1 = a_5 = 1$ if $3|a_3a_6$ and $a_3 = a_5 = 1$ if $3|a_1a_4$. So

$$[1, 3, 5] \text{ if } 3|a_3a_6$$

or

$$[3, 4, 5] \text{ if } 3|a_1a_4$$

give equations of the form $x^5 + y^5 = cz^5$ with $P(c) \leq 3$ and are excluded by Lemma 2.2. Thus

$$2|a_j \text{ for some } j \neq 2.$$

Now we suppose that, $6|a_4$, then $a_3 = a_5 = 1$ and $[3, 4, 5]$ is of the form $x^5 + y^5 = 2a_4z^5$ and it is excluded by Lemma 2.2. Thus $6 \nmid a_4$.

We consider different cases of a_4 . Many cases are excluded using Lemma 2.2 by forming suitable $[p, q, r]$ equations which lead to equations of the form $x^5 + y^5 = cz^5$ with $P(c) \leq 5$. In some cases we use Lemma 5.1. The values of p, q, r when Lemma 2.2 is used and the values of j_1, j_2 when Lemma 5.1 is used with $\ell = 5$ and $q = 5^2$ are given in Table 3. Here j_q is taken as 1 or 6 according as $5^2|a_1$ or a_6 .

$2 \nmid a_5, 3 \mid a_5$	$5 \nmid a_1 a_6, \beta_2 = 4$	$[1, 2, 5]$
-	$5 \mid a_1 a_6, \beta_2 = 4$	$(j_1, j_2) = (2, 4)$
-	$5 \nmid a_1 a_6, \beta_2 = 1$	$[1, 4, 5]$
-	$5 \mid a_1 a_6, \gamma_6 = 4, \beta_2 = 1$	$(j_1, j_2) = (4, 5)$
-	$5 \mid a_1 a_6, \gamma_6 = 1, \beta_2 = 4$	$(j_1, j_2) = (2, 4)$
$2 \nmid a_5, 3 \nmid a_5$	$5 \nmid a_1 a_6$	$[2, 4, 6]$
-	$5 \mid a_1 a_6, \gamma_6 = 1$	$(j_1, j_2) = (2, 5)$
-	$5 \mid a_1 a_6, \gamma_6 = 4, a_2 = 1 \text{ or } 2$	$(j_1, j_2) = (2, 5)$
-	$5 \mid a_1 a_6, \gamma_6 = 4, a_2 = 2^2, a_4 = 2$	$[4, 5, 6]$
-	$5 \mid a_1 a_6, \gamma_6 = 4, a_2 = 2^2, a_4 = 2 \cdot 3 \text{ or } 2 \cdot 3^4$	$(j_1, j_2) = (4, 5)$

TABLE 4. $(k, \ell, i) = (6, 5, 3)$

7.2. **Let $(k, \ell) = (6, 5)$ and $i = 3$.** We have either

$$\alpha_j = 0 \text{ for all } j \neq 3$$

or

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \alpha_1 & \alpha_5 & \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6 & \\ 4 & 1 & 0 & \text{or} \\ 1 & 4 & 0 & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{cccc} \alpha_2 & \alpha_4 & \alpha_6 & \alpha_1 + \alpha_5 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{array}$$

and either $\beta_j = 0$ for all $j \neq 3$ or

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \beta_1 & \beta_4 & \sum_{j \neq 1,4} \beta_j & \\ 1 & 4 & 0 & \text{or} \\ 4 & 1 & 0 & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{cccc} \beta_2 & \beta_5 & \sum_{j \neq 2,5} \beta_j & \\ 1 & 4 & 0 & \\ 4 & 1 & 0 & \end{array}$$

Note that $\beta_6 = 0, P(a_5) \leq 3$ and if 5 divides any a_j , then $5 \mid a_1, a_6$. Also by Lemma 2.5, we have either

$$3 \mid a_1 a_4 \text{ or } 3 \mid a_2 a_5 \text{ if } 5 \nmid a_1 a_6.$$

Let, $2 \mid a_5$, then $(\alpha_1, \alpha_5) = (1, 4), (4, 1)$. We consider the equation $[1, 4, 5]$, i.e., $a_1 x_1^5 + 3a_5 x_5^5 = 4a_4 x_4^5$. It is easy to conclude that, $2 \mid x_1$ and $2 \mid x_4$. Hence, $2 \mid (n + d)$ and $2 \mid (n + 4d)$. Thus $2 \mid d$, and so $2 \mid n$. This contradicts $\gcd(n, d) = 1$. Hence $2 \nmid a_5$.

In Table 4, we give various possibilities of (a_1, a_2, a_4, a_6) when 2 does not divide a_5 . These are excluded either by considering $[p, q, r]$ equations and using Lemma 2.2 or by using Lemma 5.1 with $\ell = 5, q = 5^2$ and suitable j_1, j_2 . The choices of p, q, r when Lemma 2.2 is used and j_1, j_2 when Lemma 5.1 is used are given in the last column of the table. Here j_q is taken as 1 or 6 according as $5^2 \mid a_1$ or a_6 .

Cases	Sub-Cases	$[p, q, r]$	ab
$2 \nmid a_j$	$3 \mid a_1 a_4 a_7$	$[4, 5, 7]$	2
-	$\beta_3 = 2$	$[3, 5, 7]$	18
-	$\beta_3 = 1, \gamma_1 = 1$	$[1, 4, 7]$	10
-	$\beta_3 = 1, \gamma_1 = 2$	$[4, 6, 7]$	10
$2 \mid a_j$	-	-	-
$2 \mid a_1 a_3 a_5 a_7$	$3 \mid a_1 a_4 a_7$	$[4, 5, 7]$	2
-	$3 \mid a_3 a_6, (a_3, a_7) \neq (12, 4)$	$[3, 4, 7]$	$\{1, 2, 18\}$
-	$3 \mid a_3 a_6, (a_3, a_7) = (12, 4)$	$[3, 5, 7]$	3
$2 \mid a_4 a_6$	$3 \mid a_1 a_4 a_7$	$[4, 5, 7]$	$\{1, 4\}$
-	$3 \mid a_3 a_6, (a_3, a_4) \neq (2, 3)$	$[3, 4, 7]$	$\{1, 2, 18\}$
-	$3 \mid a_3 a_6, (a_3, a_4) = (2, 3)$	$[1, 6, 7]$	5

TABLE 5. $(k, \ell, i) = (7, 3, 2)$

8. The case $(k, \ell) = (7, 3)$

Let $(k, \ell) = (7, 3)$. Then $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. Suppose $5 \nmid a_j$ for any $j \neq i$. By Lemma 2.5 with $k = 4, m = n + 3d, s = d$ when $i \in \{2, 3\}$ and by Lemma 2.6 with $m = n + d, s = d$ when $i = 4$, we get a contradiction. Thus

$$5 \mid a_1 a_6 \text{ or } 5 \mid a_2 a_7.$$

8.1. **Let** $(k, \ell) = (7, 3)$ and $i = 2$. Then $5 \mid a_1 a_6$. By Lemma 2.5 with $k = 3, m = n + 2d, s = d$, we may assume that

$$3 \mid a_3 a_4 a_5.$$

Hence we have either $\alpha_j = 0$ for all $j \neq 2$ or

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \alpha_1 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_5 & \alpha_7 & \sum_{j \neq 1, 3, 5, 7} \alpha_j & \alpha_4 & \alpha_6 & \sum_{j \neq 4, 6} \alpha_j \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & \text{or} & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 & & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \beta_1 & \beta_4 & \beta_7 & \sum_{j \neq 1, 4, 7} \beta_j & \beta_3 & \beta_6 & \sum_{j \neq 3, 6} \beta_j \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \text{or} & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & 0. \end{array}$$

We have $\beta_5 = 0$ always. Further $(\gamma_1, \gamma_6) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$. For various possibilities of the a'_i s we consider equations $[p, q, r]$ and exclude them by Lemma 2.1. The details are given in Table 5.

8.2. **Let** $(k, \ell) = (7, 3)$ and $i = 3$. By Lemma 2.5 with $k = 4, m = n + 3d, s = d$ we may assume that

$$5 \mid a_1 a_6 \text{ or } 5 \mid a_2 a_7.$$

And $(\gamma_1, \gamma_6) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$ or $(\gamma_2, \gamma_7) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$. Suppose 2 and 3 do not divide any a_j . Then

$$a_2 = a_4 = a_5 = a_7 = 1 \quad \text{if } 5|a_1a_6$$

or

$$a_1 = a_4 = a_5 = a_6 = 1 \quad \text{if } 5|a_2a_7.$$

The first possibility is ruled out by Lemma 2.1 using

$$[5, 6, 7] \quad \text{with } ab = 10 \quad \text{if } 5^2||a_1 \quad \text{and } 5||a_6$$

and

$$[1, 2, 5] \quad \text{with } ab = 60 \quad \text{if } 5||a_1 \quad \text{and } 5^2||a_6.$$

The second possibility is excluded by $[4, 5, 6]$ with $ab = 2$. Hence we may assume that either

$$2|a_j \quad \text{or } 3|a_j.$$

Further we have either

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \alpha_1 & \alpha_5 & \alpha_7 & \sum_{j \neq 1,5,7} \alpha_j \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{array}{cccc} \alpha_2 & \alpha_4 & \alpha_6 & \sum_{j \neq 2,4,6} \alpha_j \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & \end{array}$$

whenever $2|a_j$ and

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \beta_1 & \beta_4 & \beta_7 & \sum_{j \neq 1,4,7} \beta_j \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{array}{cccc} \beta_2 & \beta_5 & \sum_{j \neq 2,5} \beta_j & \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & \end{array}$$

when $3|a_j$. Let $5|a_2a_7$. By Lemma 2.5 with $k = 3, m = n + 3d, s = d$, we may assume that $3|a_4a_5a_6$ and hence

$$3|a_4a_5$$

since $3 \nmid a_6$. We will use this while listing the case $5|a_2a_7$. In Tables 6 and 7, we give the various possibilities according as $5|a_1a_6$ or $5|a_2a_7$ respectively and exclude them by considering equations $[p, q, r]$ along with Lemma 2.1.

8.3. Let $(k, \ell) = (7, 3)$ and $i = 4$. Then $5|a_1a_6$ or $5|a_2a_7$. By Lemma 2.5 with $k = 3, m = n + d, s = 2d$ if $5|a_1a_6$ and $k = 3, m = n - d, s = 2d$ if $5|a_2a_7$, we may assume that

$$3|a_1a_2a_3.$$

Also we have either $\alpha_j = 0$ for all $j \neq 2$ or

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \alpha_1 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_5 & \alpha_7 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \quad \sum_{j \neq 1,3,5,7} \alpha_j \quad \begin{array}{cccc} \alpha_2 & \alpha_6 & \sum_{j \neq 2,6} \alpha_j & \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & \end{array}$$

Cases	Sub-Cases	$[p, q, r]$	ab
$2 \nmid a_j$	$\gamma_1 = 1$	$[1, 4, 7]$	10
-	$\gamma_1 = 2, 3 \mid a_1 a_4 a_7$	$[4, 6, 7]$	10
-	$\gamma_1 = 2, 3 \mid a_2 a_5$	$[1, 2, 7]$	$\{2, 18\}$
$3 \nmid a_j$	$\gamma_1 = 1$	$[2, 6, 7]$	$\{1, 2, 4\}$
-	$\gamma_1 = 2$	$[1, 5, 7]$	150
$3 \mid a_1 a_4 a_7$	$\gamma_1 = 1$	$[1, 5, 7]$	10
-	$\gamma_1 = 2, j_2 \neq 5$	$[1, 4, 7]$	$\{25, 100\}$
-	$\gamma_1 = 2, j_2 = 5$	$[2, 4, 5]$	18
$3 \mid a_2 a_5$	$\gamma_1 = 1, \beta_2 = 2$	$[2, 6, 7]$	$\{18, 36\}$
-	$\gamma_1 = 1, \beta_2 = 1$	$[1, 5, 7]$	10
-	$\gamma_1 = 2, \beta_2 = 1, (a_1, a_2, a_7) \neq (50, 3, 2)$	$[1, 2, 7]$	$\{18, 36\}$
-	$\gamma_1 = 2, \beta_2 = 1, (a_1, a_2, a_7) = (50, 3, 2)$	$[4, 6, 7]$	60
-	$\gamma_1 = 2, \beta_2 = 2$	$[1, 2, 7]$	$\{1, 2, 4\}$

TABLE 6. $(k, \ell, i) = (7, 3, 3), 5 \mid a_1 a_6$

Cases	Sub-cases	$[p, q, r]$	ab
$3 \mid a_2 a_5$	$\beta_2 = 1, (a_4, a_5, a_6) \neq (1, 36, 1), (2, 9, 2)$	$[4, 5, 6]$	$\{18, 36\}$
-	$\beta_2 = 1, (a_4, a_5, a_6) = (1, 36, 1)$	$[4, 6, 7]$	$\{60, 300\}$
-	$\beta_2 = 1, (a_4, a_5, a_6) = (2, 9, 2)$	$[4, 5, 7], [2, 4, 6]$	100, 150
-	$\beta_2 = 2, 2 \nmid a_2 a_4 a_6,$	$[1, 4, 5]$	$\{18, 36\}$
-	$\beta_2 = 2, 2 \mid a_2 a_4 a_6$	$[2, 4, 5], [5, 6, 7]$	$\{60, 150, 300\}$
$3 \mid a_1 a_4 a_7$	$\gamma_2 = 1$	$[1, 6, 7]$	$\{1, 2, 4\}$
-	$\gamma_2 = 2$	$[2, 4, 6]$	150

TABLE 7. $(k, \ell, i) = (7, 3, 3), 5 \mid a_2 a_7$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\beta_1 & \beta_7 & \sum_{j \neq 1,7} \beta_j & & \beta_2 & \beta_5 & \sum_{j \neq 2,5} \beta_j & & \beta_3 & \beta_6 & \sum_{j \neq 3,6} \beta_j \\
2 & 1 & 0 & \text{or} & 2 & 1 & 0 & \text{or} & 2 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 0 & & 1 & 2 & 0 & & 1 & 2 & 0.
\end{array}$$

Further $(\gamma_1, \gamma_6) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$ or $(\gamma_2, \gamma_7) \in \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$. In Tables 8 and 9, we list the possibilities when $5 \mid a_1 a_6$ and $5 \mid a_2 a_7$ and exclude these by Lemma 2.1 by considering equations $[p, q, r]$ which are of the form $x^3 + ay^3 + bz^3 = 0$ except the case $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_5, a_6, a_7) = (10, 3, 4, 18, 25, 4)$. In this case, $n = -17$ and $d = 7$, gives rise to a solution.

Cases	Sub-cases	$[p, q, r]$	ab
$9 a_3a_5a_7$	$9 a_3$	$[3, 5, 7], [1, 3, 7]$	$\{18, 36\}, \{5, 25\}$
-	$9 a_5$	$[3, 5, 7], [1, 2, 7]$	$\{18, 36\}, 18$
-	$9 a_7$	$[3, 5, 7], [1, 2, 7], [1, 2, 3]$	$\{18, 36\}, 150$
$9 a_6$	-	$[2, 3, 5], [1, 3, 6]$	$\{18, 36\}, 60$
$9 a_1$	$\alpha_6 \neq 1$	$[1, 3, 6],$	$\{5, 10\}$
	$\alpha_6 = 1$	$[1, 5, 6]$	45
$9 a_2$	$\gamma_1 = 2$	$[1, 2, 7],$	$\{1, 2, 4\}$
	$\gamma_1 = 1$	$[2, 6, 7], [1, 3, 7],$	$\{18, 36\}, 60$

TABLE 8. $(k, \ell, i) = (7, 3, 4), 5|a_1a_6$

Cases	Sub-Cases	$[p, q, r]$	ab
$9 a_1a_3a_5$	-	$[1, 3, 5], [1, 2, 5],$	$\{18, 36\}, 25,$
-	-	$[1, 2, 6], [1, 2, 3], [1, 2, 7], [5, 6, 7]$	150
$9 a_2$		$[3, 5, 6], [3, 6, 7], [1, 2, 6]$	$\{18, 36\}, 150, 36$
$9 a_6$	-	$[1, 6, 7]$	$\{1, 2, 4, 25, 100\}$
	-	$[1, 2, 3]$	150
$9 a_7$	$\alpha_2 \neq 1$	$[2, 5, 7]$	$\{5, 10\}$
	$\alpha_2 = 1$	$[1, 2, 5]$	$\{45, 225\}$

TABLE 9. $(k, \ell, i) = (7, 3, 4), 5|a_2a_7$

9. The case $(k, \ell) = (8, 7)$

We have $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$.

9.1. **Let $(k, \ell) = (8, 7)$ and $i = 2$.** We have either $7|a_1a_8$ or $7 \nmid a_j$ for any $j \neq 2$. By Lemma 2.5 with $k = 5, m = n + 2d, s = d$, we may assume that $5|a_j$ for some j . Then either

$$5|a_1a_6 \text{ or } 5|a_3a_8.$$

Also $3|a_j$ for some j by considering Lemma 2.5 with $k = 3$ and $(m, s) = (n + 2d, d), (n + 3d, d)$ according as $5|a_1a_6$ or $5|a_3a_8$, respectively. Hence we have either $\alpha_j = 0$ for all $j \neq 2$ or

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \alpha_1 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_5 & \alpha_7 & \sum_{j \neq 1,3,5,7} \alpha_j & & \alpha_4 & \alpha_6 & \alpha_8 & \sum_{j \neq 4,6,8} \alpha_j \\
 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & & 4 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\
 1 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 0 & \text{or} & 1 & 5 & 1 & 0 \\
 2 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 & & 2 & 1 & 4 & 0 \\
 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 0 & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \beta_1 & \beta_4 & \beta_7 & \sum_{j \neq 1,4,7} \beta_j \\ 5 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 5 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 & 0 \end{array} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \beta_3 & \beta_6 & \sum_{j \neq 3,6} \beta_j \\ 6 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 6 & 0 \end{array} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \beta_5 & \beta_8 & \sum_{j \neq 5,8} \beta_j \\ 6 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 6 & 0 \end{array}$$

Suppose $7 \nmid a_1 a_8$. The case $5 \mid a_1 a_6$ is excluded by Lemma 2.6 with $m = n + 3d$ and $s = d$. Hence

$$5 \mid a_3 a_8.$$

If $3 \nmid a_1 a_4 a_7$, then Lemma 2.5 with $k = 3, m = n - 2d, s = 3d$ gives a contradiction. Thus

$$3 \mid a_1 a_4 a_7$$

so that $3 \nmid a_j$ for $j \in \{3, 5, 6, 8\}$. We consider $[5, 6, 3, 8]$ which gives

$$(a_5 a_6)(x_5 x_6)^7 - (a_3 a_8)(x_3 x_8)^7 = 6d^2.$$

Note that $2 \nmid a_j$ for any j if $2 \mid d$. We get an equation of the form Lemma 2.7 (i), (iii), or Lemma 2.8 (iii) according as $2 \nmid d$ or $2 \mid d$, respectively which is excluded by Lemma 2.7-2.8. Thus

$$7 \mid a_1 a_8.$$

Then $j_{7^2} \in \{1, 8\}$ and by (19) in Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\left(\frac{a_4 a_5}{12}\right)^6 \equiv \left(\frac{a_3 a_6}{10}\right)^6 \pmod{7^2}.$$

Since $5 \mid a_1 a_6$ or $5 \mid a_3 a_8$, we have $\nu_5(a_3 a_6) = 1 + \gamma$ with $\gamma \in \{0, 5\}$. Also note that $3 \nmid a_3 a_6$, since $3 \mid a_1 a_4 a_7$. Hence, we write $a_3 a_6 = 2^{\alpha_3 + \alpha_6} 5^{1+\gamma}$. Hence, using the above equivalence and observing that $\Lambda_{7^2} = \{\pm 1, \pm 18, \pm 19\}$, we obtain

$$\frac{a_4 a_5}{12} \equiv \frac{\lambda 2^{\alpha_3 + \alpha_6} 5^\gamma}{2} \pmod{7^2} \quad \text{with } \gamma \in \{0, 5\} \text{ and } \lambda \in \Lambda_{7^2}.$$

Further writing $a_4 a_5 = 2^{\alpha_4 + \alpha_5} 3^{\beta_4 + \beta_5}$ and using

$$\frac{1}{6} \equiv -8, \quad \lambda 5^5 \equiv \pm 2, \pm 11, \pm 13 \pmod{7^2},$$

we obtain

$$(23) \quad 2^{3+\alpha_4+\alpha_5-\alpha_3-\alpha_6} 3^{\beta_4+\beta_5} \equiv \chi \pmod{7^2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &\in \{\pm 1, \pm 18, \pm 19\} & \text{if } \nu_5(a_3 a_6) = 1, \\ \chi &\in \{\pm 2, \pm 11, \pm 13\} & \text{if } \nu_5(a_3 a_6) = 6. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\beta_4 + \beta_5 \in \{0, 1, 5, 6\}$. For each $\beta \in \{0, 1, 5, 6\}$ we check for the possibilities of $3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_6$ satisfying (23). We use

$\beta_4 + \beta_5 = 0$	$\alpha_3 = 3, \alpha_5 = 1, \gamma = 5;$	$a_4 = 1, a_5 = 2$
$\beta_4 + \beta_5 = 1$	$\alpha_3 = 1, \alpha_5 = 2, \gamma = 0;$	$a_4 = 1, a_5 = 12$ or $a_4 = 3, a_5 = 4$
-	$\alpha_4 = 2, \alpha_6 = 1, \gamma = 0;$	$a_4 = 4, a_5 = 3$ or $a_4 = 12, a_5 = 1$
-	$\alpha_3 = 1, \alpha_5 = 3, \gamma = 5;$	$a_4 = 1, a_5 = 24$ or $a_4 = 3, a_5 = 8$
$\beta_4 + \beta_5 = 5$	$\alpha_4 = 1, \alpha_6 = 5, \gamma = 0;$	$a_4 = 2 \cdot 3^5, a_5 = 1$
$\beta_4 + \beta_5 = 6$	$\alpha_3 = 1, \alpha_5 = 2, \gamma = 5;$	$a_4 = 1, a_5 = 4 \cdot 3^6$
-	$\alpha_4 = 2, \alpha_6 = 1, \gamma = 5;$	$a_4 = 4, a_5 = 3^6$

TABLE 10. $(k, \ell, i) = (8, 7, 2)$

1)	$\beta_4 + \beta_5 = 1$	$j_{7^2} = 1, \gamma = 0;$	$a_1 = 2^3 3^5 5^6 7^6, a_j = j - 1$ for $3 \leq j \leq 8$
2)	-	$j_{7^2} = 8, \gamma = 0;$	$a_8 = 2^3 3^6 5^6 7^6, a_j = 8 - j$ for $j \neq 2, 8$
3)	-	$j_{7^2} = 1, \gamma = 5;$	$a_3 = 2 \cdot 5^6, a_5 = 24, a_6 = 1, a_8 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$
4)	$\beta_4 + \beta_5 = 6$	$j_{7^2} = 1, \gamma = 5;$	$a_1 = 2^3 \cdot 7^6, a_3 = 2 \cdot 5^6, a_5 = 4 \cdot 3^6,$ $a_7 = 2, a_4 = a_6 = 1, a_8 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7.$

TABLE 11. $(k, \ell, i) = (8, 7, 2)$

$2^{-1} \equiv 25 \pmod{49}$. These are listed in Table 10. Taking $j_{7^2} \in \{1, 8\}$ and using $(a_4/(4 - j_{7^2}))^6 \equiv (a_5/(5 - j_{7^2}))^6 \pmod{7^2}$ by (19) in Lemma 5.1 and further using

$$\left(\frac{a_4}{4 - j_{7^2}}\right)^6 \equiv \left(\frac{a_j}{j - j_{7^2}}\right)^6 \pmod{7^2} \text{ with } \begin{cases} j = 7 & \text{when } \beta_4 + \beta_5 = 0 \\ j = 3 & \text{when } \beta_4 + \beta_5 \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

the cases in Table 10 are excluded except those listed in Table 11. By considering $[5, 6, 3, 8]$, the possibilities 2) and 3) are excluded. For 4), we use Lemma 5.1 with $\ell = 7$ and $q = 29$. Note that $\lambda_{29} \in \{\pm 1, \pm 12\}$. Suppose $29|d$. Then by taking $(j_1, j_2) = (4, 7)$ in (18), we get a contradiction. Let $29 \nmid d$. Suppose $j_{29} \neq 4, 7$. Using (19) with $(j_1, j_2) = (4, 7)$, we see that $j_{29} \in \{1, 5, 19, 25\}$. These cases are excluded using (19) with $(j_1, j_2) = (5, 7)$. When $j_{29} = 4$ or 7 , then we use (19) with $(j_1, j_2) = (6, 7)$ or $(4, 6)$ to get a contradiction. Finally we consider 1). Using $[3, 4, 7]$ we see that

$$x_3^7 + x_7^7 = 2x_4^7$$

which has no solution by Lemma 2.3 with $p = 2$.

9.2. Let $(k, \ell) = (8, 7)$ and $i = 3$. We have either $7|a_1 a_8$ or $7 \nmid a_j$ for any $j \neq 3$. Also either $5|a_1 a_6$ or $5|a_2 a_7$ or $5 \nmid a_j$ for any $j \neq 3$. Further

we have either $\alpha_j = 0$ for all $j \neq 3$ or

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \alpha_1 & \alpha_5 & \alpha_7 & \sum_{j \neq 1,5,7} \alpha_j & & \alpha_2 & \alpha_4 & \alpha_6 & \alpha_8 & \sum_{j \neq 2,4,6,8} \alpha_j \\ 4 & 2 & 1 & 0 & \text{or} & 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 & 0 & & 1 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 & 0 & & 2 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 0 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \beta_1 & \beta_4 & \beta_7 & \sum_{j \neq 1,4,7} \beta_j & & \beta_2 & \beta_5 & \beta_8 & \sum_{j \neq 2,5,8} \beta_j \\ 5 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \text{or} & 5 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 5 & 1 & 0 & & 1 & 5 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 & 0 & & 1 & 1 & 5 & 0. \end{array}$$

Note that $\beta_6 = 0$. Let $5|a_2a_7$. By considering Lemma 2.5 with $k = 3$ and $(m, s) = (n + 3d, d)$, we may assume that

$$3|a_4a_5.$$

We have

$$5^7|a_2a_7 \quad \text{and} \quad 7^\delta|a_1a_8, \delta \in \{0, 7\}$$

with

$$P(a_2a_7/5^7) \leq 3 \quad \text{and} \quad P(a_1a_8/7^\delta) \leq 3.$$

We consider different equations as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} [4, 5, 1, 8] & \text{if } \beta_1 = \beta_4 = 1 \text{ or } \beta_5 = \beta_8 = 1 \\ [2, 7, 1, 8] & \text{if } \beta_1 = \beta_7 = 1 \text{ or } \beta_2 = \beta_8 = 1 \\ [4, 5, 2, 7] & \text{if } \beta_4 = \beta_7 = 1 \text{ or } \beta_2 = \beta_5 = 1 \end{array}$$

When $2|d$, we have all a'_j s odd and above resulting equations are of the form $x^7 + y^7 = cz^2$ with $c \in \{1, 2\}$ and hence excluded by Lemma 2.7 (iii). When d is odd, we take $[4, 5, 2, 7]$ which has the form as in Lemma 2.7 (iv) and hence excluded. Thus

$$5 \nmid a_2a_7.$$

Suppose $7 \nmid a_1a_8$. By Lemma 2.5 with $k = 5, m = n + 3d, s = d$, we may assume that $5|a_j$ for some j . Then $5|a_1a_6$. This is excluded by Lemma 2.6 with $m = n + 3d$ and $s = d$. Thus

$$7|a_1a_8.$$

Then $j_7 \in \{1, 8\}$ and by (19) in Lemma 5.1 with $q = 7^2$, we have

$$\left(\frac{a_4a_5}{12}\right)^6 \equiv \left(\frac{a_2a_7}{6}\right)^6 \pmod{7^2}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$(24) \quad 2^\alpha 3^\beta \equiv \lambda \pmod{7^2} \quad \text{with } \lambda \in \{\pm 1, \pm 18, \pm 19\}$$

α	β	a_{j_1}, a_{j_2}
$\alpha = 0;$ $\alpha_2 = 1, \alpha_4 = 2$	$\beta = \beta_2 = \beta_4 = 0$	$a_5 = a_7 = 1$
	$\beta = 0, \beta_4 = \beta_7 = 1$	$a_5 = 1, a_7 = 3$
	$\beta = 0, \beta_2 = \beta_5 = 1$	$a_5 = 3, a_7 = 1$
$\alpha = 0;$ $\alpha_5 = 2, \alpha_7 = 1$	$\beta = \beta_2 = \beta_4 = 0$	$a_2 = a_4 = 1$
	$\beta = 0, \beta_4 = \beta_7 = 1$	$a_2 = 1, a_4 = 3$
	$\beta = 0, \beta_2 = \beta_5 = 1$	$a_2 = 3, a_4 = 1$
$\alpha = 2;$ $\alpha_2 = 2, \alpha_4 = 1$	$\beta = 4, \beta_4 = 1, \beta_7 = 5$	$a_2 = 4, a_5 = 1$
	$\beta = 4, \beta_2 = 5, \beta_5 = 1$	$a_4 = 2, a_7 = 1$
$\alpha = 5;$ $\alpha_5 = 1, \alpha_7 = 5$	$\beta = -4, (\beta_4, \beta_7) = (5, 1)$	$a_2 = 1, a_5 = 2$
	$\beta = -4, (\beta_2, \beta_5) = (1, 5)$	$a_2 = 3, a_4 = 1$
$\alpha = -2;$ $\alpha_5 = 4, \alpha_7 = 1$	$\beta = -4, (\beta_4, \beta_7) = (5, 1)$	$a_2 = 1, a_5 = 2^4$
	$\beta = -4, (\beta_2, \beta_5) = (1, 5)$	$a_2 = 3, a_4 = 1$

TABLE 12. $(k, \ell, i) = (8, 7, 3)$

where

$$\alpha = 1 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_4 + \alpha_7 - \alpha_5 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \beta_2 - \beta_5 + \beta_7 - \beta_4.$$

Observe that $\beta \in \{0, 4\}$ and $-2 \leq \alpha \leq 5$. Hence (24) implies

$$(\alpha, \beta) \in \{(0, 0), (2, 4), (5, -4), (-2, -4)\}.$$

These lead to the possibilities as shown in the first two columns in Table 12. All the possibilities are excluded by (19) of Lemma 5.1 with (a_{j_1}, a_{j_2}) as indicated in the third column with $q = 7^2$ and $j_q \in \{1, 8\}$ except the following cases.

- (1) $a_1 = 7, a_2 = 6, a_4 = 4, a_5 = 3, a_6 = 2, a_7 = 1, a_8 = 2^3 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 7^6;$
- (2) $a_2 = 6, a_4 = 4, a_5 = 3, a_7 = 1, a_8 = 2^3 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 7^6,$
 $(a_1, a_6) \in \{(7 \cdot 5, 2 \cdot 5^6), (7 \cdot 5^6, 10)\};$
- (3) $a_2 = 1, a_4 = 3, a_5 = 4, a_7 = 6, a_8 = 7, a_6 = 1, a_1 = 2^4 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 7^6;$
- (4) $a_2 = 1, a_4 = 3, a_5 = 4, a_7 = 6, a_8 = 7,$
 $(a_1, a_6) \in \{(2^4 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7^6, 5), (2^4 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^6, 5^6)\}.$

The possibility (2) and (3) are excluded by taking $\ell = 7, q = 7^2, (j_1, j_2) = (2, 6)$ in (19) with $j_{7^2} = 8, 1$, respectively. For (1), consider $[2, 5, 6]$ to get $x_6^7 + x_2^7 = 2x_5^7$ which has no solution by Lemma 2.3 with $p = 2$. For (4), consider $[2, 5, 1, 6]$ which is of the form $x^7 + 2^2 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 7^6 y^7 = z^2$ with $5|xy$. This is excluded by Lemma 2.8 (ii).

9.3. **Let** $(k, \ell) = (8, 7)$ **and** $i = 4$. We have either $5|a_j a_{5+j}$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ or $5 \nmid a_j$ for any $j \neq 4$. Also $7 \nmid a_j$ for $2 \leq j \leq 7, j \neq 4$. By Lemma 2.6 with $m = n + d, s = d$, we may assume that

$$5|a_1 a_6 \text{ or } 5|a_2 a_7 \text{ or } 5|a_3 a_8.$$

We also have either

$$\alpha_j \beta_j = 0 \text{ for } j \neq 4$$

or

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} \alpha_1 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_5 & \alpha_7 & \sum_{j \neq 1,3,5,7} \alpha_j & \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 0 & \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 & \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 0 & \end{array} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{array}{cccccc} \alpha_2 & \alpha_6 & \alpha_8 & \sum_{j \neq 4,6,8} \alpha_j & \\ 4 & 2 & 1 & 0 & \\ 2 & 4 & 1 & 0 & \\ 1 & 1 & 5 & 0 & \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} \beta_1 & \beta_7 & \sum_{j \neq 1,7} \beta_j & \text{or} & \beta_2 & \beta_5 & \beta_8 & \sum_{j \neq 2,5,8} \beta_j & \text{or} & \beta_3 & \beta_6 & \sum_{j \neq 3,6} \beta_j \\ 6 & 1 & 0 & & 5 & 1 & 1 & 0 & & 6 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 6 & 0. & & 1 & 5 & 1 & 0 & & 1 & 6 & 0. \\ & & & & 1 & 1 & 5 & 0 & & & & \end{array}$$

Let $5|a_2 a_7$. Take the equations $[3, 6, 2, 7]$ or $[2, 7, 1, 8]$ according as $2|d$ or $2 \nmid d$, respectively. These are excluded by Lemma 2.7 (ii), (iv), and Lemma 2.8 (iii). Thus

$$5|a_1 a_6 \text{ or } 5|a_3 a_8.$$

Suppose $7 \nmid a_1 a_8$. Let $5|a_3 a_8$. By Lemma 2.5 with $k = 3, m = n + 4d, s = d$, we may assume that

$$3|a_5 a_6 a_7.$$

Consider equation $[5, 6, 3, 8]$. By Lemma 2.7 (iv) we may suppose that $2 \nmid a_j$ for $j \neq 4$ and $3|a_3 a_6$ or $3|a_5 a_8$. This leads to an equation as in Lemma 2.8 (iii) which has no solution. When $5 | a_1 a_6$, consider equation $[2, 5, 1, 6]$ to get a contradiction from Lemma 2.7 (iv) and Lemma 2.8 (iii). Thus

$$7|a_1 a_8.$$

Then $j_{7^2} \in \{1, 8\}$ and by (19) in Lemma 5.1 with $\ell = 7, q = 7^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{a_3 a_6}{10}\right)^6 &\equiv \left(\frac{a_2 a_5}{4}\right)^6 \pmod{7^2} \quad \text{if } j_{7^2} = 1; \\ \left(\frac{a_3 a_6}{10}\right)^6 &\equiv \left(\frac{a_2 a_5}{18}\right)^6 \pmod{7^2} \quad \text{if } j_{7^2} = 8. \end{aligned}$$

-	β_8	γ	j_{7^2}	-
1)	0	1	1	$\alpha_3 = 1, \alpha_5 = 2, \alpha_7 = 1$
2)	0	1	8	$\alpha_3 = 2, \alpha_5 = 1, \alpha_7 = 3$
3)	0	6	1	$\alpha_3 = 1, \alpha_5 = 3, \alpha_7 = 1$
4)	0	6	1	$\alpha_2 = 2, \alpha_6 = 4, \alpha_8 = 1$
5)	0	6	8	$\alpha_2 = \alpha_6 = 1, \alpha_8 = 5$
6)	1	1	8	$\alpha_3 = 1, \alpha_5 = 3, \alpha_7 = 1$
7)	1	1	8	$\alpha_2 = 2, \alpha_6 = 4, \alpha_8 = 1$
8)	1	6	1	$\alpha_3 = 3, \alpha_5 = 1, \alpha_7 = 2$
9)	1	6	1	$\alpha_2 = 4, \alpha_6 = 2, \alpha_8 = 1$
10)	5	1	1	$\alpha_3 = 1, \alpha_5 = 3, \alpha_7 = 1$
11)	5	1	1	$\alpha_2 = 2, \alpha_6 = 4, \alpha_8 = 1$
12)	5	1	8	$\alpha_2 = \alpha_6 = 1, \alpha_8 = 5$
13)	5	6	8	$\alpha_3 = 1, \alpha_5 = 2, \alpha_7 = 1$
14)	5	6	8	$\alpha_3 = 2, \alpha_5 = 1, \alpha_7 = 3$

 TABLE 13. $(k, \ell, i) = (8, 7, 4)$

Since $5|a_1a_6$ or $5|a_3a_8$, we have $\nu_5(a_3a_6) = \gamma_3 + \gamma_6 \in \{1, 6\}$. Also note that either $3 \nmid a_3a_6$ or $\nu_3(a_3a_6) = 7$, and either $3 \nmid a_2a_5$ or $\nu_3(a_2a_5) = 7 - \beta_8$. Hence

$$\left(\frac{a_3a_6}{a_2a_5}\right)^6 \equiv (2^{\alpha_6 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_5} 3^{\beta_8} 5^{\gamma_3 + \gamma_6})^6 \pmod{7^2}.$$

We observe that the solutions of $\lambda^6 \equiv (10/4)^6, (10/18)^6 \pmod{7^2}$ are given by $\lambda \in \Lambda_1, \lambda \in \Lambda_8$, respectively, where

$$\Lambda_1 = \{\pm 4, \pm 22, \pm 23\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_8 = \{\pm 6, \pm 10, \pm 16\}.$$

Therefore from the above equivalences, we obtain

(25)

$$2^{\alpha_6 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_5} 3^{-\beta_8} 5^{\gamma_3 + \gamma_6} \equiv \lambda \pmod{7^2} \quad \text{for some } \lambda \in \Lambda_1 \text{ or } \Lambda_8.$$

For different choices of $\beta_8 \in \{0, 1, 5\}$ and $\gamma = \gamma_3 + \gamma_6 \in \{1, 6\}$, we have the possibilities as shown in Table 13.

Now take $\ell = 7, q = 7^2$. In Table 14, we list the cases from Table 13 and the corresponding (j_1, j_2) that are excluded by checking that (19) is not satisfied. We are left with the following possibilities.

(i) $\beta_8 = 0, 3|a_1a_7, 1)$ and 5) in Table 13

(ii) $\beta_8 \in \{1, 5\}, 3|a_2a_5a_8, 5|a_3a_8, 10)$ and 12) in Table 13.

Let (ii) hold. Consider 10). We have $a_6 = 1, a_2 = 3$ and (19) is not valid with $j_{7^2} = 1$ and $(j_1, j_2) = (2, 6)$ and hence excluded. The

β_8	-	Cases	(j_1, j_2)
0	$3 a_3a_6$	$1) - 5)$	$(5, 7)$
$\{1, 5\}$	$3 a_2a_5a_8$	$6) - 14)$	$5 a_1a_6 : (3, 7)$
$\{1, 5\}$	$3 a_2a_5a_8$	$6) - 9), 11), 13), 14)$	$5 a_3a_8 : (6, 7)$
0	$3 a_1a_7$	$2) - 4)$	$(2, 5)$

TABLE 14. Excluded cases for $(k, \ell, i) = (8, 7, 4)$

possibility 12) implies that $a_j = 8 - j$ for $1 \leq j < 8, j \neq 4$. The equation $[2, 5, 6]$ gives rise to an equation of the form $x_6^7 + x_2^7 = 2x_5^7$ which is a contradiction by Lemma 2.3 with $p = 2$.

Let (i) hold. Possibility 5) gives

$$a_5 = 1, a_2 = 2, a_7 \in \{3, 3^6\}, \ell = 7, q = 7^2, j_q = 8.$$

By (19) with $(j_1, j_2) = (2, 5)$ we get $\lambda_q = 1$. Then we check that (19) is not satisfied with $(j_1, j_2) = (6, 7)$. Thus 5) is excluded. Next we take Possibility 1). Then

$$a_2 = 1, a_5 = 4$$

and it is easy to check that

$$a_j = j - 1 \text{ for } 2 \leq j \leq 7, j \neq 4 \text{ and } a_1 = 2^3 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7^6.$$

We use Lemma 5.1 with $\ell = 7, q = 29$. Suppose $29|d$. Then taking $(j_1, j_2) = (2, 3)$, we see that (18) is not satisfied. Suppose $29 \nmid d$. Let $j_{29} \notin \{2, 3\}$. Then (19) with $(j_1, j_2) = (2, 3)$ implies that

$$j_{29} \in \{1, 7, 9, 12\}.$$

Suppose $j_{29} \in \{1, 2, 3, 7, 9, 12\}$. Then taking $(j_1, j_2) = (5, 7)$, we get $j_{29} = 1$. Thus $29|x_1$. Again, using Lemma 5.1 with $\ell = 7, q = 43$ as above, we obtain $43|x_1$. Thus $29 \cdot 43|x_1$. Now $[1, 2, 6]$ gives rise to the equation

$$x_2^7 - x_6^7 = 2^5 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^5 \cdot 7^6 (x_1)^7.$$

From Lemma 2.9, we have a contradiction since $29 \cdot 43|x_1$.

10. Proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.1. Let $4 \leq k \leq 8$. From the sections 4–7, it suffices to consider $\ell = 2$. Throughout this section, we consider the following equation for rationals x, y and $1 < i < k$.

$$(26) \quad (x+1) \cdots (x+i-1)(x+i+1) \cdots (x+k) = y^2, \quad 4 \leq k \leq 8,$$

As we mentioned before, by symmetry, it is enough to consider $i \leq \frac{k+1}{2}$.

We state the following lemma which will be essential for the proof.

Lemma 10.1. *Let b be an integer with $P(b) \leq 5$. We consider the hyperelliptic curve*

$$(27) \quad b(X+1) \cdots (\widehat{X+j}) \cdots (X+6) = Y^2, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3$$

where $(X+j)$ is missing term in the product on the left hand side. Then we have the following:

- (i) *The hyperelliptic curves (27) have genus 2.*
- (ii) *The trivial rational points (i.e., with $Y = 0$) on (27) appears for*

$$X = \begin{cases} -1, \dots, -(j-1), -(j+1), -6 & \text{if } j \geq 2; \\ -2, \dots, -6 & \text{if } j = 1. \end{cases}$$

- (iii) *The non-trivial rational points of (27) are explicitly determined and they are given by the tuple (X, j, b) in the set*

$$\Omega = \{(0, 1, 5), (-8, 1, -5), (-1, 1, 30), (-7, 1, -30), (-7, 2, -1),$$

$$(-9, 2, -5), (-2, 2, -6), (0, 2, 10), (-3, 3, 3), (-7, 3, -5), (4, 3, 6),$$

$$(0, 3, 15), (-10, 3, -15)\}$$

Proof. From equation(27), we claim that it is enough to find the rational points in the following three hyperelliptic curves

$$(28) \quad b(X-2)(X-1)X(X+1)(X+2) = Y^2$$

$$(29) \quad b(X-3)(X-1)X(X+1)(X+2) = Y^2$$

$$(30) \quad b(X-3)(X-2)X(X+1)(X+2) = Y^2$$

where $P(b) \leq 5$.

The genus of the curves (28), (29), and (30) are 2 and the we can find the corresponding Jacobians(J). We compute the rank bounds(r) for the corresponding Jacobians(J) using a quick program in Magma, we find that $r \leq 1$. We also know the trivial rational points on these curves, hence, in principle, we can use the method of Chabauty.

The trivial case, when the Jacobian(J) has Mordell-Weil rank $r = 0$, we find that there are no non-trivial rational points in Magma using the implemented code *Chabauty0(J)*.

The non-trivial case, i.e., the Jacobian(J) has Mordell-Weil rank $r = 1$. We use the Chabauty method for genus 2 curves implemented by Bruin and Stoll [7] in Magma that computes all the rational points. We use primes 7, 11, 23 for the Chabauty arguments and we precisely find all the rational points of (28), (29), and (30) and they are given in the Lemma 10.1 (ii) and (iii). This completes the proof. \square

Let $4 \leq k \leq 8$ and $\ell = 2$.

Case 1. $k = 4$. Then $i = 2$ and we get

$$(X+1)(X+3)(X+4) = Y^2.$$

This is of rank 0 and the torsion points belong to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ leading to only solutions with $y = 0$.

Case 2. $k = 5$. Then $i = 2, 3$. For $i = 2$, we have the equation

$$(31) \quad (n+s)(n+3s)(n+4s)(n+5s) = y^2$$

Taking $X = \frac{n}{s}$, $Y = \frac{y}{s^2}$ leads to the curve $X(X+2)(X+3)(X+4) = Y^2$ which is birationally equivalent to the elliptic curve

$$F : y^2 = x^3 + 8x^2 + 12x.$$

This elliptic curve has rank 1 and the Mordell Weil group of F is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. The non-trivial rational points of F , leads to infinitely many solutions to the equation (31).

For $i = 3$, this is an elliptic curve of rank 0, hence the only rational points appears for $X = -1, -2, -4$ which corresponds to the torsion points of the curve.

Case 3. $k = 6$. Then $i = 2, 3$. It clearly follows from Lemma 10.1 that there are no non-trivial rational points.

Case 4. Let $k = 7$. Then $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$.

Let $i = 2$. Then

$$(32) \quad (x+1)(x+3)(x+4)(x+5)(x+6)(x+7) = y^2$$

In this case, we use the method of Chabauty for genus 2 curves due to Bruin and Stoll [7]. The hyperelliptic curve (32) is of genus 2 and the Mordell Weil group of the Jacobian, J of the curve (32) is given by $\mathbb{Z}_2^4 \times \mathbb{Z}$ and the generator of the free part is given by the $(x+1, -x^3-1, 2)$. We can use the Chabauty method for genus 2 curves implemented by Bruin and Stoll [7] in Magma that computes all the rational points. A quick search in Magma finds that the rational points occurs at $x = 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7; y = 0$ and $x = \frac{-37}{7}; y = \pm \frac{720}{7^3}$. Hence the only non-trivial rational points of (32) is $(x, y) = \left(\frac{-37}{7}, \pm \frac{720}{7^3}\right)$.

Let $i = 3, 4$. Then we have

$$(33) \quad (x+1)(x+2)(x+4)(x+5)(x+6)(x+7) = y^2$$

$$(34) \quad (x+1)(x+2)(x+4)(x+5)(x+6)(x+7) = y^2$$

We implement the same techniques as we did for the case $(k, \ell, i) = (7, 2, 2)$. We find that there are no non-trivial rational points in this cases.

Case 5. Let $k = 8$. Then $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. We have the equation

$$(35) \quad (n+s) \cdots \widehat{(n+is)} (n+8s) = y^2,$$

where $(n+is)$ is the missing from product in the left hand side.

Note that here $s = d^2$ for some d . We can assume that $7 \nmid a_2, a_3, \dots, a_7$, where we fix a convention that if $(n+is)$ is the missing term, then the corresponding $a_i = 1$. This boils down to finding integer points for the equation

$$(36) \quad (x+2s) \cdots \widehat{(x+js)} \cdots (x+7s) = by^2, \quad 2 \leq j \leq 4, P(b) \leq 5.$$

Since $s = d^2$ and $s \neq 0$, we can claim that it is equivalent to finding rational points to the hyperelliptic curve (27). By Lemma 27 we check that none of those non-trivial rational points gives rise to a non-trivial rational points in our case. This completes the proof. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors like to thank the referee for careful reading and valuable suggestions. It leads to an improvement of the article. Pranabesh wants to thank Prof. Cameron Stewart and the Department of Pure Mathematics at the University of Waterloo for the very nice working environment where part of this project was accomplished. Pranabesh also acknowledges the continuous support of Prof. Karl Dilcher and the postdoctoral grant from the Atlantic Association for Research in the Mathematical Sciences (AARMS), Canada. Saradha likes to thank Indian National Science Academy for awarding Senior Scientist fellowship under which this work was done. She also thanks DAE-Center for Excellence in Basic Sciences, Mumbai University for providing facilities to carry out this work. Divyum acknowledges the support of the DST-SERB SRG Grant (SRG/2021/000773) and the OPERA award of BITS Pilani.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. A. Bennett, *Powers from five terms in arithmetic progression*, Diophantine equations, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, 2008, 53-57.
- [2] M. A. Bennett, N. Bruin, K. Györy and L. Hajdu, *Powers from products of consecutive terms in arithmetic progression*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **92** (2006), no.2, 273-306.
- [3] M. A. Bennett and S. Siksek, *Rational points on Erdős- Selfridge superelliptic curves*, Compositio Math. **152** (2016), 2249-2254.
- [4] M. A. Bennett and S. Siksek, *A conjecture of Erdős, supersingular primes and short character sums*, Ann. of Math. (2) **191** (2020), no. 2, 355-392.
- [5] M. A. Bennett and C. M. Skinner, *Ternary Diophantine equations via Galois representations and modular forms*, Canad. J. Math., **56** (1), 23-54, 2004.
- [6] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. *J.Symbolic Comput.*, **24** (3-4), (1997), 235-265, Computational algebra and number theory (London,1993).
- [7] N. Bruin and M. Stoll, *Deciding Existence of Rational Points on Curves:An Experiment*, Experimental Mathematics, Vol.17 (2008), no. 2, 181-189.
- [8] H. Cohen, *Number theory. Vol. II. Analytic and modern tools, volume 240 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer*, New York, 2007.
- [9] H. Darmon and L. Merel, *Winding quotients and some variants of Fermat's last theorem*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **490** (1997), 81-100.
- [10] P. Das, S. Laishram and N. Saradha, *Variants of Erdős-Selfridge superelliptic curve and their rational points*, Mathematika **64**, no. 2 (2018), 380-386.
- [11] S. Edis, *On Variation of the Erdős-Selfridge superelliptic curve*, Bull. of London Math. Soc. **51**, no. 4 (2019), 633-638.
- [12] P. Erdős and J. L. Selfridge, *The product of consecutive integers is never a power*, Illinois J. Math. **19** (1975), 292-301.
- [13] K. Györy, L. Hajdu and Á. Pinter, *Perfect powers from products of consecutive terms in arithmetic progression*, Compositio Math. **145** (2009), 845-864.
- [14] E. Halberstadt and A. Kraus, *Courbes de Fermat: résultats et problèmes*, J. reine angew. Math, **548** (2002), 167-234.
- [15] M. Lakhal and J. W. Sander, *Rational points on the superelliptic Erdős- Selfridge curve of fifth degree*, Mathematika **50** (2003), 113-124.
- [16] The LMFDB Collaboration, *The L-functions and modular forms database*, <http://www.lmfdb.org> (2021).
- [17] R. Marszalek, *On the product of consecutive elements of an arithmetic progression*, Monatsh. Math. **100** (1985), 215-222.
- [18] K. Ribet, *On the equation $a^p + 2^a b^p + c^p = 0$* , Acta Arith. **79** (1997), 7-16.
- [19] J. W. Sander, *Rational points on a class of superelliptic curves*, J. London Math. Soc. **59** (1999), 422-434.
- [20] N. Saradha, *More variants of Erdős- Selfridge superelliptic curves and their rational points*, Indian J. of Pure and Applied Math. **50** (2019), 333-342.
- [21] N. Saradha, *Rational points with large denominator on Erdős- Selfridge super elliptic curves*, to appear in Publ. Math. Debrecen
- [22] N. Saradha and T. N. Shorey, *Almost perfect powers in arithmetic progression*, Acta Arith. **99** (2001), 363-388.
- [23] N. Saradha and T. N. Shorey, *Almost squares and factorisation in consecutive integers*, Compositio Math., **138** No.1 (2003), 113-124.

- [24] E. S. Selmer, *The Diophantine Equation $ax^3 + by^3 + cz^3 = 0$* , Acta Mathematica, 1951, 203-362.
- [25] J.-P. Serre, *Sur les représentations modulaires de degré 2 de $Gal(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$* , Duke Math. J. **54** (1987), 179-230.
- [26] Z. Shen and T. Cai, *Rational points on three superelliptic curves*, Bull.Aust. Math. Soc. **85** (2012), 105-113.
- [27] T. N. Shorey, *Some exponential Diophantine equations*, New Advances in Transcendence Theory, ed. A. Baker, Cambridge University Press (1988), 352-365.
- [28] T. N. Shorey, *Perfect powers in products of arithmetical progressions with fixed initial term*, Indag. Math. (N.S.), **7** (1996), 521-525.
- [29] T. N. Shorey, *Exponential diophantine equations involving products of consecutive integers and related equations*, in Number Theory, R. P. Bambah et al. (eds), Hindustan Book Agency, 1999, 463-495.
- [30] T. N. Shorey, *Powers in arithmetic progression*, A panorama in Number Theory or The view from Baker's Garden, ed. G. Wüstholz, Cambridge University Press (2002), 341-353.
- [31] T. N. Shorey and R. Tijdeman, *Perfect powers in products of terms in an arithmetical progression*, Compositio Math. **75** (1990), 307-344.
- [32] A. Wiles, *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's Last Theorem*, Ann of Math. **141** (1995), 443-451.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, XAVIER UNIVERSITY OF LOUISIANA, 1 DREXEL DR, NEW ORLEANS, LA 70125, USA

Email address: pranabesh.math@gmail.com

STAT MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, 7 SJSS MARG, NEW DELHI, 110016, INDIA

Email address: shanta@isid.ac.in

INSA SENIOR SCIENTIST, DAE-CENTRE FOR EXCELLENCE IN BASIC SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF MUMBAI, MUMBAI, 400098, INDIA

Email address: saradha54@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BIRLA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE, PILANI, RAJASTHAN, 333031, INDIA

Email address: divyum.sharma@pilani.bits-pilani.ac.in