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We propose a three-terminal structure to probe robust signatures of Majorana zero modes consisting of a quantum dot coupled to the normal metal, s-wave superconducting and Majorana Y-junction leads. The zero-bias differential conductance at zero temperature of the normal-metal lead peaks at \( 2e^2/h \), which will be deflected after Majorana braiding. We find that the effect of thermal broadening is significantly suppressed when the dot is on resonance. In the case that the energy level of the quantum dot is much larger than the superconducting gap, tunneling processes are dominated by Majorana-induced crossed Andreev reflection. Particularly, a novel kind of crossed Andreev reflection equivalent to the splitting of charge quanta \( 3e \) occurs after Majorana braiding.

**Introduction.**—Majorana zero modes (MZMs) are zero-energy quasiparticle excitations originating from coherent superpositions of electrons and holes. Following theoretical suggestions, MZMs are supported in 1D systems, such as InAs or InSb wires with strong spin-orbit and proximity-induced coupling \[1\,2\], and show great potential in decoherence-free quantum computation \[3\,5\]. Verifying the existence of MZMs and their non-Abelian braiding has been attracting a great deal of attention in recent years \[6\,15\].

Due to the property that an MZM can act as both an electron lead and a hole lead in tunneling processes, one of the most exciting theoretical predictions is a quantized zero-bias conductance peak (ZBCP) of \( 2e^2/h \) at zero temperature \[16\,18\]. However, it is quite difficult to observe this quantization from a direct junction between a normal-metal lead and MZMs in a single-subband wire because of thermal broadening, overlap of Majorana wave functions, disorder, and localized Andreev bound states \[7\,19\,24\]. Although the observation of ZBCP has been reported in many experiments in recent years \[25\,29\], the observation of MZMs has not been fully confirmed. Importantly, very recently it has been recognized that one needs to be cautious about the interpretation of non-quantized ZBCP as the signature of MZMs in local tunneling experiments since such experiments only measure one end of the one-dimensional setup \[30\], while the most important characteristics of MZMs are their nonlocal correlations. To advance the pursuit of MZMs, new theoretical proposals and new signatures which can reflect the nonlocal correlations of MZMs are hence highly demanded. For example, shot noise and Fano factor in Majorana setups can carry interesting information to identify MZM \[6\,14\,15\,31\,37\].

Here we propose a T-shaped hybrid structure to detect the MZMs, as illustrated in Fig. 1. The central quantum dot (QD) acts as a transfer station of electrons and holes. Hence, tuning the energy level of the QD is equivalent to tuning the transmission coefficients. The feature of the superconducting lead is ensuring that all the currents of the system flow by Andreev reflection. The key to probe MZMs is Majorana-induced crossed Andreev reflection \[17\,47\,48\]. Such kind of multiterminal structures with a QD shows excellent maneuverability in the studies of spin-dependent transport in strong Coulomb-correlated systems \[40\,41\].

At zero temperature, we find that the ZBCP of the normal-metal lead is quantized to \( 2e^2/h \) before braiding, which is consistent with the well-known fingerprint of MZMs. This quantized ZBCP is found to be considerably robust against the temperature when the QD is on resonance (\( \epsilon_d = 0 \)). We show that crossed Andreev reflection dominates over conventional Andreev reflection when \( \epsilon_d \gg \Delta \). Importantly, we find that the Majorana braiding shifts the ZBCPs and arouses a novel kind of crossed Andreev reflection equivalent to the splitting of \( 3e \) charge quanta, as shown in Fig. 2. Because of the high controllability of QD and the robustness of the predicted signatures, our findings suggest a promising new way to identify MZMs.

**T-shaped QD-(N, S, Y) model.**—The tunnel-coupled structure can be described by an effective low-energy Hamiltonian:

\[
\begin{align*}
H &= H_{\text{QD}} + H_{\text{N}} + H_{\text{S}} + H_{\text{Y}} + H_{\text{int}} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{\alpha} \varepsilon_\alpha c_{\alpha \sigma}^\dagger c_{\alpha \sigma} + \sum_{\alpha} \phi_\alpha \sum_{\sigma} c_{\alpha \sigma} c_{\alpha -\sigma} + \sum_{\alpha} \gamma_\alpha \sum_{\sigma} c_{\alpha \sigma} c_{\alpha +\sigma} - \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} J_{\alpha \beta} c_{\alpha +\sigma}^\dagger c_{\beta -\sigma} \\
&+ \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{\tilde{\sigma}} J_{\alpha \beta, \sigma \tilde{\sigma}} c_{\alpha +\sigma}^\dagger c_{\beta -\tilde{\sigma}} + \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{\tilde{\sigma}} J_{\alpha \beta, \sigma \tilde{\sigma}} c_{\alpha +\sigma} c_{\beta +\tilde{\sigma}}^\dagger - \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\tilde{\alpha}} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{\tilde{\sigma}} J_{\alpha \tilde{\alpha}, \sigma \tilde{\sigma}} c_{\alpha +\sigma}^\dagger c_{\tilde{\alpha} -\tilde{\sigma}}
\end{align*}
\]

In this Hamiltonian, the QD, N, S, and Y are coupled to each other through tunneling terms \( J_{\alpha \beta, \sigma \tilde{\sigma}} \), \( J_{\alpha \tilde{\alpha}, \sigma \tilde{\sigma}} \), and \( J_{\alpha \beta, \sigma \tilde{\sigma}} \), respectively. The tunneling amplitudes \( J_{\alpha \beta, \sigma \tilde{\sigma}} \) are related to the barrier height and the overlap of the wave functions in the QD, N, S, and Y, respectively.
\[ H = H_L + H_R + H_{QD} + H_Y + H_T + H_{T,Y}. \]  

(1)

The first term in Eq. (1) is the Hamiltonian of the left normal-metal lead characterized by

\[ H_L = \sum_{k\sigma} \epsilon_{L,k\sigma} a_{L,k\sigma}^\dagger a_{L,k\sigma}, \]  

(2)

where \( a_{L,k\sigma}^\dagger (a_{L,k\sigma}) \) are creation (annihilation) operators with wave vector \( k \) and spin \( \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow \), and \( \epsilon_{L,k\sigma} \) is the corresponding electron energy. The second term, the Hamiltonian of the superconducting lead, is given by the BCS theory

\[ H_R = \sum_{k\sigma} \epsilon_{R,k\sigma} a_{R,k\sigma}^\dagger a_{R,k\sigma} + \sum_k (\Delta a_{R,k\uparrow}^\dagger a_{R,-k\downarrow}^\dagger + H.c.), \]  

(3)

where a unitary transformation \( (U = e^{i\sum_k i\sigma_0/2 + i\sigma_1 V_k t} a_{L,k\sigma}^\dagger a_{R,k\sigma}) \) has been performed on this Hamiltonian so that the superconducting energy gap \( \Delta \) is real \([41, 49]\). In this work, we set the applied voltage of the superconducting lead \( V_R = 0 \). For simplicity, we use the Hamiltonian of the QD

\[ H_{QD} = \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon_d c_{\sigma}^\dagger c_{\sigma}, \]  

(4)

where the QD level \( \epsilon_d = \epsilon_0 - \frac{eV_e}{2} \) is controlled by the gate voltage \( V_e \). The Hamiltonian of the Majorana Y junction reads

\[ H_Y = i \sum_{k=2}^4 t_{1k} \gamma_1 \gamma_k, \]  

(5)

where the Coulomb coupling constants are \( t_{12} = t_{13} = t_{\text{min}} \) and \( t_{14} = t_{\text{max}} \) with \( t_{\text{min}} \ll t_{\text{max}} \) \([27]\). Using two fermionic operators \( c_1 = (\gamma_1 - i\gamma_4)/2 \) and \( c_2 = (\gamma_2 - i\gamma_3)/2 \), the Hamiltonian \( H_Y \) can be represented in the four-dimensional Nambu-spinor space spanned by \( c_4^\dagger = (c_4^\dagger, c_1, c_2^\dagger, c_2) \).

The tunneling Hamiltonian is

\[ H_T = H_{T,L} + H_{T,R} = \sum_{k\sigma} v_{L,k} a_{L,k\sigma}^\dagger c_{\sigma} + v_{R,k} a_{R,k\sigma}^\dagger c_{\sigma} + H.c., \]  

(6)

where \( v_{L,k} \) and \( v_{R,k} \) denote the complex tunneling amplitudes of the normal-metal and superconducting leads, respectively. The coupling between the QD and the Majorana lead is spin-conserving, i.e., the MZM is always tunnel-coupled to electrons in the QD with the same spin orientation \([50]\). Since we have set the spin orientation of the Rashba spin-orbit coupling along the \( z \)-direction in Fig. 1, the spin of each MZM (except \( \gamma_1 \)) is parallel to the axial direction of the corresponding nanowire \([2, 51]\).

Defining that the spin-\( \uparrow \) direction is along the \( y \)-direction, the coupling between the QD and the Majorana lead is given by

\[ H_{T,Y} = \lambda c_{\uparrow}^\dagger c_{\downarrow} + H.c., \]  

(7)

where \( \lambda \) is the coupling amplitude.

**Current and conductance.**—We first calculate the time-average current of the normal-metal lead by using the nonequilibrium Green’s function method \([43, 49, 52, 53]\), which is given by

\[ I_L = -e < \dot{N}_L(t) > = \frac{e}{h} \int d\omega \text{Re} \text{Tr} \{[G_{QD}^R(\omega) \Sigma_{L}^\le(\omega) + G_{QD}^\le(\omega) \Sigma_{L}^A(\omega)]\tilde{\sigma}_z\}, \]  

(8)

where the \( 4 \times 4 \) mixed lesser Green’s function is defined by \( G_{QD}^{\le}(t,t) = -i \langle a_{L}(t)c_{\dagger}(t) \rangle \) with the Nambu spinors \( c_1^\dagger = (c_4^\dagger, c_1^\dagger, c_2^\dagger, c_2) \) and \( a_{L(R)} = (a_{L(R),k\uparrow}, a_{L(R),k\downarrow}, a_{L(R),k\downarrow}, a_{L(R),k\uparrow}) \). The matrix \( \tilde{\sigma}_z = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1) \) describes the different charge of electrons and holes. Here we have simplified \( G_{L,QD}^{\le}(t,t) \) by introducing the corresponding Keldysh contour Green’s functions and applying the Dyson’s equation \([50]\). We also have performed the Langreth theorem of analytic continuation \([53, 54]\) after the Fourier transform.

The time-average current Eq. (8) is in terms of \( G_{QD}^{R,A}(\omega) \) and \( \Sigma_{L}^{R,A}(\omega) \), and can be generalized to \( I_\eta \) by replacing the self-energies \( \Sigma_{L}^{R,A}(\omega) \) with \( \Sigma_{\eta}^{R,A}(\omega) \).
for \( \eta = L, R \) and \( Y \) representing the left normal-metal, right superconducting and Majorana leads, respectively. The retarded self-energies of the leads \( \eta \) are given by \( \Sigma^R_\eta(\omega) = [\Sigma^A_\eta(\omega)]^\dagger = \sum_k \mathcal{H}^T_{\Gamma, \eta} g^R_\eta(\omega) \mathcal{H}_{\Gamma, \eta} \), where \( g^R_\eta(\omega) = (\omega - \mathcal{H}_\eta + i0^+) \) are the corresponding unperturbed Keldysh contour Green’s functions. Since the system is in a stationary regime, the total current is conserved, i.e., \( \sum_\eta I_\eta = 0 \). Assuming that the electron energies in Eqs.\(^2\) and \(^3\) are independent of spins with \( \epsilon_{L(R), k\uparrow} = \epsilon_{L(R)} \), the retarded self-energies from the coupling between the leads and the QD are given by

\[
\Sigma^R_L(\omega) = -\frac{i}{2} \Gamma_L \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\Sigma^R_R(\omega) = -\frac{i}{2} \Gamma_R \beta(\omega) \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -\Delta & 0 & 0 \\
-\Delta & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{\Delta}{\omega} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\Delta}{\omega} & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\Sigma^R_Y(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix}
\kappa & 0 & 0 & -\kappa \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\kappa & 0 & 0 & \kappa
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( \Gamma_L(R) = 2\pi |\rho_{L(R)}|^2 \) is the line-width function with \( \rho_{L(R)} \) being the density of states. In the wide-band limit, \( \Gamma_L(R) \) is a constant independent of the frequency \( \omega \), and we will take \( \Gamma_L(R) > \Delta/2 \) in the following discussion. Here \( \beta(\omega) = \sqrt{\epsilon^2 - \Delta^2} + \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta^2} \) is the dimensionless BCS density of states, and we have \( \kappa = 2\lambda^2/(4|\epsilon^2 + \Delta^2| + \omega^2) \).

As collecting all terms from the lead, the total lesser self-energy is \( \Sigma^Q_{\text{TOT}} = \sum_\eta \Sigma^Q_\eta = \sum_\eta F_\eta (\Sigma^A_\eta - \Sigma^R_\eta) \), where the Fermi distribution matrices are given by \( F_\eta = \text{diag}(f_{\eta L}, f_{\eta R}, f_{\eta L}, f_{\eta R}) \), with \( f_\eta = f(\omega - eV_L) \), \( f_{\eta L} = f(\omega + eV_L) \), and \( f_{\eta R} = f_{\eta R} = f_{\eta R} = f_{\eta R} = f(\omega) \). Here \( f_\eta \) is the Fermi distribution function. In the case of \( |eV_L| < |\Delta| \), analytical calculations for the time-average current yield \( I_\eta = \frac{2e}{\hbar} \int d\omega (f_{\eta L} - f_{\eta L}) T_\eta(\omega) \), which verifies that only Andreev reflection processes contribute to the electronic transport of the system.

The differential conductances of the leads \( \eta \) at zero temperature are obtained by \( G_\eta = \frac{dI_\eta}{dV_L} \). Especially, the ZBCPs at zero temperature are

\[
\lim_{eV_L \to 0} G_L(eV_L) = \begin{cases}
\frac{2e^2}{\hbar} & \lambda \neq 0, \\
\frac{e^2}{\hbar(1 + 4\lambda^2)} & \lambda = 0,
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\lim_{eV_L \to 0} G_R(eV_L) = \begin{cases}
\frac{-e^2}{\hbar(1 + 4\lambda^2)} & \lambda \neq 0, \\
\frac{-e^2}{\hbar(1 + 4\lambda^2)} & \lambda = 0,
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\lim_{eV_L \to 0} G_Y(eV_L) = \begin{cases}
\frac{-e^2 (2\lambda^2 + \Delta^2)}{\hbar(1 + 4\lambda^2)} & \lambda \neq 0, \\
0 & \lambda = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

When \( \lambda = 0 \), the Majorana Y junction is disconnected with the QD, and the remaining part is reduced to an N-QD-S structure. The maximal ZBCP in Eq.\(^12\) is equal to \( \frac{2e^2}{\hbar} \) when the QD is symmetrically coupled (\( \Gamma_L = \Gamma_R \)) and on resonance (\( \epsilon_d = 0 \)), in accord with the previous results of Ref.\(^{\text{[12]}}\). When \( \lambda \neq 0 \), the ZBCP of the normal-metal lead equals a quantization constant \( \frac{2e^2}{\hbar^2} \) consistent with the famous conductance peak for the N-TS tunneling. The quantized ZBCP is caused by perfect Majorana-induced Andreev reflection. In the next section, we will show that local Andreev reflection can be completely suppressed by increasing \( \epsilon_d \), and only crossed Andreev reflection remains. Interestingly, the ZBCPs of both the superconducting and the Majorana leads are insensitive to the nonzero coupling amplitude \( \lambda \).

The discussion can easily extend to finite temperature regimes. As shown in Fig.\(^3\), the ZBCP of the normal-metal lead is no longer quantized to \( \frac{2e^2}{\hbar^2} \), since the Fermi distribution is smoothly dependent on the temperature \( T \), which is called the thermal broadening. Nevertheless, we find that the effect of the thermal broadening is significantly suppressed when \( \epsilon_d = 0 \). In Fig.\(^3\) the ZBCP is pretty close to \( \frac{2e^2}{\hbar^2} \) when \( k_BT < \Delta/20 \). Such a temperature condition can be met in the experiment, e.g., see Ref.\(^{\text{[26]}}\), in which the induced superconducting gap of the InSb nanowires is \( \Delta \approx 250\mu eV \) and the minimized temperature is \( k_BT \approx 4.3\mu eV \).

**Shot noise and Fano factor.**—In addition to the time-average current, the shot noise can reveal the fluctuation of the current and provides useful information about MZMs\(^{\text{[6,31,34,57]}}\). The shot noise, defined as the correlation function of the current fluctuations between leads \( \eta \) and \( \eta' \), takes the form \( S_{\eta\eta'}(t, t') = \langle \{ \delta I_\eta(t), \delta I_{\eta'}(t') \} \rangle \), where \( \delta I_\eta(t) = I_\eta(t) - \bar{I}_\eta(t) \), and \( \bar{I}_\eta(t) = -eN_\eta(t) \). The time-average current \( \bar{I}_\eta \) has been obtained in Eq.\(^8\). With the use of the Wick’s theorem and the S-matrix expansion\(^{\text{[13,25]}}\), we can reduce \( S_{\eta\eta'}(t, t') \) in terms of Green’s functions. Following Ref.\(^8\), in multi-terminal systems, the shot noise \( S_{\eta\eta'}(\omega) \) with \( \eta = \eta' \) must be positive; conversely, that with \( \eta \neq \eta' \) must be negative. This property can be verified by the numerical calculation of

![Figure 3. ZBCP of the normal-metal lead as a function of finite temperature. Parameters are \( \Gamma_L = \Gamma_R = 0.8\Delta \), \( \lambda = \Delta \), \( t_{\text{min}} = 0.001\Delta \), and \( t_{\text{max}} = \Delta \).](image-url)
$S_{\eta}(0)$ in the following. The zero-frequency Fano factor, defined by the ratio $F_{\eta} = S_{\eta}(0)/2eI_{\eta}$, can gain insight into the nature of charge quanta transferred to lead $n$.

The discussion will focus on the case of $\epsilon_d \gg \Delta$ since we find that the Fano factors are quantized in this regime. In Fig. 4 we present the Fano factors at zero temperature as functions of $\epsilon_d/\Delta$ for a specific realization. In the case of $\lambda = 0$, the remaining N-QD-S junction shows a doubled shot noise in Fano factors $F_L(\epsilon_d \gg \Delta) = -F_R(\epsilon_d \gg \Delta) = 2$ due to the transport of Cooper pairs through conventional Andreev reflection [61]. When the Majorana Y junction is connected to the QD with $\lambda \neq 0$, we find $F_L(\epsilon_d \gg \Delta) = -F_Y(\epsilon_d \gg \Delta) = 1$ and $|F_R(\epsilon_d \gg \Delta)| = 2$. The results denote that the unit of charge transferred between the QD and the normal-metal lead is $e$ as well as the Majorana lead, while the unit of charge transferred between the QD and the superconducting lead is $2e$.

This is the process of the first kind of crossed Andreev reflection. A hole from the left lead is reflected as an electron into the Majorana lead, while a Cooper pair from the superconducting lead is reflected as a hole into the Majorana lead and an electron into the normal-metal lead [31], as shown in Fig. 2. The holes transferred through crossed Andreev reflection act as facilitators to propel the splitting of Cooper pairs, and do not contribute to the transferred charge. In this regime, local Andreev reflection is fully suppressed, and the first kind of crossed Andreev reflection dominates.

**Signatures of the Majorana braiding.**—Now we braid the MZMs by taking $\gamma_2 \rightarrow -\gamma_3$ and $\gamma_3 \rightarrow \gamma_2$. The QD is connected to the Majorana Y junction through $\gamma_3$. Using the Nambu spinors and Eq. 3 we can easily obtain the Hamiltonian of the Majorana Y junction $H_Y$ after braiding. Given that the angle of spin orientations between $\gamma_2$ and $\gamma_3$ is $\theta$, the spin-conserving coupling is then given by $\tilde{H}_{T,Y} = -i\lambda\gamma_3\tilde{c}_\uparrow + \text{H.c.}$, where $\tilde{c}_\uparrow$ ($\tilde{c}_\downarrow$) is the electron operators with the same (opposite) spin orientation described by $\gamma_3$ with $\epsilon_4 = 0$.

For the Majorana Y junction sketched in Fig. 1 the spin orientation angle is $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Such a braiding process is equivalent to involving spin-flip tunneling between the QD and Majorana lead. After braiding, we can obtain the ZBCPs by

$$
\lim_{eV_L \rightarrow 0} \tilde{G}_L(eV_L) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{e^2}{2} \frac{\sin \theta}{\Delta^2} \left( \frac{2\sin \theta}{\Delta^2} \right), & \lambda \neq 0, \\
\frac{e^2}{16\Delta^2}, & \lambda = 0, 
\end{cases}
$$

$$
\lim_{eV_L \rightarrow 0} \tilde{G}_R(eV_L) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{e^2}{2} \frac{4\epsilon_4^2 + 5\epsilon_3^2 + 12\epsilon_3^2}{(4\epsilon_3^2 + \epsilon_3^2 + 4\epsilon_3^2)^2}, & \lambda \neq 0, \\
\frac{e^2}{16\epsilon_3^2}, & \lambda = 0, 
\end{cases}
$$

$$
\lim_{eV_L \rightarrow 0} \tilde{G}_Y(eV_L) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{e^2}{2} \frac{4\epsilon_4^2 + 2\epsilon_3^2 + 8\epsilon_3^2}{(4\epsilon_3^2 + \epsilon_3^2 + 4\epsilon_3^2)^2}, & \lambda \neq 0, \\
0, & \lambda = 0. 
\end{cases}
$$

When $\lambda = 0$, the result is the same as that before braiding; when $\lambda \neq 0$, the occurrence of spin-flip tunneling shifts the quantized peak of $\frac{e^2}{h}\epsilon_4$ described by Eq. 16.

In the case of that the QD is on-resonance ($\epsilon_d = 0$), the ZBCP of the normal-metal lead is also robust in consideration of the finite temperature, as shown in Fig. 5. Particularly, if the QD is symmetrically coupled, the ZBCP of the normal-metal lead shifts to $2.4\frac{e^2}{h}$, which can act a robust hint of Majorana braiding.

The Fano factors after braiding are also quantized, but $2 < |F_R(\epsilon_d \gg \Delta)| < 3$, i.e., the unit of charge transferred between the QD and the superconducting lead is larger than that of a Cooper pair, as shown Fig. 6. This result is induced by involving both spins $\uparrow$ and $\downarrow$ in the coupling between the QD and the MZM $\gamma_3$. As illustrated in Fig. 5, the second kind of crossed Andreev reflection occurs after the Majorana braiding.

**Figure 4.** Fano factors at zero temperature of the left lead (solid lines), right lead (dashed lines) and Majorana lead (dotted lines) as functions of $\epsilon_d$. Here, $eV = 0.5\Delta$, $\Gamma_L = \Gamma_R = 0.8\Delta$, $\epsilon_m = 0.001\Delta$, and $\Gamma_{max} = \Delta$.

**Figure 5.** ZBCP of the left lead after braiding as a function of finite temperature. The parameters are the same as in Fig. 4.
A certain weight is equivalent to the acceptance of an electron. Specifically, a Cooper pair transferred between the QD and the superconducting lead is accompanied by an extra electron and a hole, which leads to the 3e charge quanta. One electron of the 3e charge quanta is reflected as an electron into the normal-metal lead, while the other two are reflected as holes into the Majorana lead. Such a process of charge transmission is equivalent to the splitting of the 3e charge quanta.

Given that the electrons coupled to the MZM are composed of spin-\(\uparrow\) and \(\downarrow\) electrons with a certain weight depending on the angle \(\theta\) (see Eq. 15), both kinds of crossed Andreev reflection exist simultaneously, which leads to \(2 < |\tilde{F}_R(\epsilon_d) > \Delta| < 3\). As shown in Fig. 6, the second kind of crossed Andreev reflection gains the dominance of the tunneling processes (corresponding to \(|\tilde{F}_R(\epsilon_d) > \Delta)| \to 3\) with increasing \(\lambda\). As for the Majorana lead, the acceptance of spin-\(\uparrow\) and \(\downarrow\) electrons with a certain weight is equivalent to the acceptance of an electron with spin polarization angle \(\theta\) in each current pulse, which gives rise to \(|\tilde{F}_V(\epsilon_d) > \Delta)| = 1\). The units of charge transferred between the normal-metal lead and the QD for both kinds of crossed Andreev reflection are identical, so the Fano factor of the normal-metal lead stays at \(|\tilde{F}_V(\epsilon_d) > \Delta)| = 1\), the same as that before braiding.

Conclusion.— We have studied the ZBCPs and the Fano factors of the T-shaped structure. We have shown that the ZBCP of the normal-metal lead is always quantized to \(\frac{2\hbar}{e}\) at zero temperature before braiding, which is quite robust at finite temperature when the QD is on-resonance. After Majorana braiding, the quantized ZBCP shifts and becomes dependent on the line widths \(\Gamma_L\), \(\Gamma_R\) and the QD level \(\epsilon_d\). This variation is owing to the introduction of spin-flip tunneling between the Majorana lead and the QD after braiding. By analyzing the Fano factors, we have found that crossed Andreev reflection dominates over conventional Andreev reflection when \(\epsilon_d > \Delta\). We have also found a novel kind of crossed Andreev reflection equivalent to the splitting of the 3e charge quanta. The quantized ZBCPs and Fano factors can absolutely come from nonlocal Majorana-induced crossed Andreev reflection, which provides strong fingerprint for MZMs.
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