arXiv:2105.02832v1 [math.NT] 6 May 2021

ON THE LEBESGUE-RAMANUJAN-NAGELL TYPE EQUATION $x^2 + 17^k 41^\ell 59^m = 2^\delta y^n$

AZIZUL HOQUE

Abstract. We consider the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 17^k 41^\ell 59^m = 2^\delta y^n$ in unknown integer $x \geq 1, y > 1, k, \ell, m, \delta \geq 0$ and $n \geq 3$ with $\gcd(x, y) = 1$, and we find all its solutions. We use the prominent result of Bilu, Hanrot and Voutier on existence of primitive divisors in Lehmer sequences in combination with elementary number theoretic argument and computer search.

1. Introduction

Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer and $\lambda = 1, 2, 4$. The Diophantine equation

$$x^2 + d^m = \lambda y^n, \ x, y \geq 1, m \geq 0, n \geq 3, \tag{1.1}$$

is an important type of Lebesgue-Ramanujan-Nagell equation. The literature is very rich and there are many results concerning the integer solutions (x, y, m, n) of (1.1) for several special cases. For examples, one can refer to ([4, 6, 14, 23] for $\lambda = 1$; [2, 28] for $\lambda = 2$; [7, 11, 21] for $\lambda = 4$). Several authors studied certain general Diophantine equations (cf. [5, 10, 12, 17, 18, 19]) of the form (1.1).

Over the last few years, many authors considered the following generalization of (1.1):

$$x^2 + p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_r^{k_r} = \lambda y^n, \ x, y \geq 1, \gcd(x, y) = 1, k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_r, m \geq 0, n \geq 3, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\lambda = 1, 2$ and $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_r$ are distinct primes with $r \geq 2$. One can refer to some recent results ([1, 13, 15, 22, 25, 29] for $\lambda = 1$; [2, 24] for $\lambda = 2$). In this paper, we continue the investigation on the integer solutions of certain cases of (1.2) for $\lambda = 2^\delta$, where $\delta \geq 0$ is an integer. More precisely, we consider the Diophantine equation

$$x^2 + 17^k 41^\ell 59^m = 2^\delta y^n, \ x \geq 1, y > 1, \gcd(x, y) = 1, k, \ell, m, \delta \geq 0, n \geq 3,$$

Date: October 11, 2021.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11D61, 11D41, 11B39, 11Y50.

Key words and phrases. Diophantine equation, Lehmer sequence, Elliptic curve, Quartic curve, S-Integers.

in unknown integers $x, y, , k, \ell, m, n$ and δ. Clearly, reducing this equation modulo 8 for $\delta \geq 3$, we can conclude that it has no integer solutions. Thus, this equation becomes non-trivial for $\delta = 0, 1, 2$. We rewrite this equation as follows:

$$x^2 + 17^k 41^\ell 59^m = \lambda y^n, \ x \geq 1, y > 1, \gcd(x, y) = 1, k, \ell, m \geq 0, n \geq 3, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\lambda \in \{1, 2, 4\}$. We completely solve (1.3) for integers x, y, k, ℓ, m and n. In particular, we prove:

Theorem 1.1. *The equation* (1.3) *has no integer solutions, except for the following:*

(i) $(x, y, \lambda, k, \ell, m) = (5220, 307, 1, 1, 2, 1)$, *when* $n = 3$;

(ii) $(x, y, \lambda, k, \ell, m) = (840, 29, 1, 0, 2, 0), (8, 3, 1, 1, 0, 0), (239, 13, 2, 0, 0, 0), (11, 3, 2, 0, 1, 0)$, $(69, 7, 2, 0, 1, 0), (171, 11, 2, 0, 1, 0), (31, 5, 2, 2, 0, 0), (299, 15, 2, 2, 1, 0), (9, 5, 4, 0, 1, 1)$, *when* $n = 4$;

(iii) $(x, y, \lambda, k, \ell, m) = (38, 5, 1, 0, 2, 0)$, *when* $n = 5$.

We organize this paper as follows. In §2, we recall some important ingredients which are used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. We present the proof of Theorem 1.1 in §3, which is divided into several subsections. In §3.1, we treat the case $3 \mid n$. In this case, we transform (1.3) in to several elliptic equations written in cubic models for which we need to determine all their $\{17, 41, 59\}$-integer points. Recall that if S is a finite set of prime numbers, then an S-integer is rational number r/s with coprime integers r and $s > 0$ such that the prime factors of s are in S. We utilize the same technique in §3.2 to handle (1.3) when n is a multiple of 4; however, in this case, we transform (1.3) in to quartic curves. We handle (1.3) for prime $n \geq 5$ in §3.3. Here, we apply a method that uses primitive divisor theorem for Lehmer sequences. In this case too, we are able to obtain several elliptic equations written in cubic models for which we find all their $\{17, 41, 59\}$-integer points. We finally summerize the proof of Theorem 1.1 in §3.4. In §4, we discuss some existing results and derive some corollaries. All the computations are done with MAGMA.

2. Preliminaries

We begin the following lemma which follows from [27, Corollary 3.1].

Lemma 2.1. *Let d be a square-free positive integer and $h(-d)$ denotes the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$. For any odd integer $n \geq 3$ coprime to $h(-d)$, all integer solutions (X, Y, Z) of the equation*

$$X^2 + dY^2 = \lambda Z^n, \ X, Y \geq 1, \gcd(X, dY) = 1, \lambda = 1, 2, 4,$$

can be expressed as

$$\frac{X+Y\sqrt{-d}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}=\varepsilon_1\left(\frac{a+\varepsilon_2 b\sqrt{-d}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)^n,$$

where $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2\in\{-1,1\}$*, and* a *and* b *are positive integers satisfying* $\lambda Z=a^2+b^2d$ *and* $\gcd(a,bd)=1$.

Let α and β be algebraic integers such that $(\alpha+\beta)^2$ and $\alpha\beta$ are non-zero coprime rational integers as well as α/β is not a root of unity. Then the pair (α,β) is called Lehmer pair, and two Lehmer pairs (α_1,β_1) and (α_2,β_2) are said to be equivalent if $\alpha_1/\alpha_2=\beta_1/\beta_2\in\{\pm1,\pm\sqrt{-1}\}$. For any Lehmer pair (α,β), the Lehmer sequence is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_n(\alpha,\beta)=\begin{cases}\dfrac{\alpha^n-\beta^n}{\alpha-\beta} & \text{if } 2\nmid n,\\ \dfrac{\alpha^n-\beta^n}{\alpha^2-\beta^2} & \text{if } 2\mid n.\end{cases}$$

A prime divisor p of $\mathcal{L}_n(\alpha,\beta)$ is primitive if $p\nmid(\alpha^2-\beta^2)^2\mathcal{L}_1(\alpha,\beta)\mathcal{L}_2(\alpha,\beta)\cdots\mathcal{L}_{n-1}(\alpha,\beta)$. Note that $((\alpha+\beta)^2,(\alpha-\beta)^2)$ is the parameter of the Lehmer pair (α,β). We extract the following classical lemma from Bilu et al. [8, Theorem 1.4 and Tables 2, 4].

Lemma 2.2. *For any prime* $p>13$*, the Lehmer numbers* $\mathcal{L}_p(\alpha,\beta)$ *have primitive divisors. Further, if* p *is a prime such that* $7\le p\le 13$ *and the Lehmer numbers* $\mathcal{L}_p(\alpha,\beta)$ *have no primitive divisors, then up to equivalence, the parameters* (A,B) *of the corresponding Lehmer pair* (α,β) *are given by*

(i) *for* $q=7,(A,B)=(1,-7),(1,-19),(3,-5),(5,-7),(13,-3),(14,-22)$*;*
(ii) *for* $q=13,(A,B)=(1,-7)$.

We restate the following lemma from the work of Lehmer [20] and Ward [26].

Lemma 2.3. *If* p *is a primitive prime divisor of a Lehmer number* $L_n(\alpha,\beta)$*, then* $p\equiv\pm1 \pmod n$*. Further, if* $D=(\alpha^2-\beta^2)^2$ *then for any odd prime* n*,* $p\equiv\left(\frac{D}{p}\right) \pmod n$*, where* $\left(\frac{D}{p}\right)$ *denotes the Legendre symbol.*

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We divide this section in three subsections to make the proof explicit.

3.1. **When n is a multiple of 3.** Assume that $n = 3N$ for some integer $N \geq 1$. Then (1.3) can be written as

$$x^2 + 17^k 41^\ell 59^m = \lambda y^{3N},\ x \geq 1, y > 1, \gcd(x, y) = 1, k, \ell, m \geq 0, N \geq 1, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\lambda \in \{1, 2, 4\}$.

Proposition 3.1. *The only integer solution of* (3.1) *is*

$$(x, y, \lambda, k, \ell, m, N) = (5220, 307, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1).$$

Proof. Let $k = 6k_1 + k_2, \ell = 6\ell_1 + \ell_2$ and $m = 6m_1 + m_2$ for some integers $k_1, \ell_1, m_1 \geq 0$ and $k_2, \ell_2, m_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Then

$$\lambda^2 17^k 41^\ell 59^m = Dz^6, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} D = \lambda^2 17^{k_2} 41^{\ell_2} 59^{m_2} \\ z = 17^{k_1} 41^{\ell_1} 59^{m_1}. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

We now multiply (3.1) by λ^2 and then utilize (3.2) to get

$$(\lambda x)^2 + Dz^6 = (\lambda y^N)^3.$$

Dividing both sides of this equation by z^6, we get

$$X^2 = Y^3 - D, \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} X = \dfrac{\lambda x}{z^3} \\ Y = \dfrac{\lambda y^N}{z^2}. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

Now the problem of finding the integer solutions of (3.1) is reduced to finding $\{17, 41, 59\}$-integer points on the 648 elliptic curves defined by (3.4). We use `SIntegralPoints` subroutine of MAGMA (V2.25-5)[9] to compute all $\{17, 41, 59\}$-integer points on these elliptic curves. Note that we eliminate $\{17, 41, 59\}$-integers points (X, Y) with $XY = 0$ as they yield to $xy = 0$. We also eliminate (X, Y) satisfying $\lambda \nmid XY$. Finally taking into account that $\gcd(x, y) = 1$, we don't consider $\{17, 41, 59\}$-integers points (X, Y) such that the numerators of X/λ and Y/λ are not coprime. Thus, we get $(X, Y, D) = (5220, 307, 17 \times 41^2 \times 59)$. We then utilize the relations (3.2), (3.3) and (3.5) to find $(x, y, \lambda, k, \ell, m, N) = (5220, 307, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1)$. This completes the proof. □

3.2. **When n is a multiple of 4.** Let $n = 4t$ for some integer $t \geq 1$. Then (1.3) becomes

$$x^2+17^k41^\ell59^m = \lambda \left(y^t\right)^4,\ x \geq 1, y > 1, \gcd(x, y) = 1, k, \ell, m \geq 0, t \geq 1, \lambda = 1, 2, 4. \quad (3.6)$$

Proposition 3.2. *If $t \geq 2$, then (3.6) has no integer solutions. Further for $t = 1$, all integer solutions are given by*
$(x, y, \lambda, k, \ell, m) = (840, 29, 1, 0, 2, 0), (8, 3, 1, 1, 0, 0), (239, 13, 2, 0, 0, 0), (11, 3, 2, 0, 1, 0),$
$(69, 7, 2, 0, 1, 0), (171, 11, 2, 0, 1, 0), (31, 5, 2, 2, 0, 0), (299, 15, 2, 2, 1, 0), (9, 5, 4, 0, 1, 1).$

Proof. Assume that $k = 4k_1 + k_2, \ell = 4\ell_1 + \ell_2$ and $m = 2m_1 + m_2$, where $k_1, \ell_1, m_1 \geq 0$ are integers and $k_2, \ell_2, m_2 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. Then (3.6) can be written as

$$x^2 + 17^{k_2}41^{\ell_2}59^{m_2}(17^{k_1}41^{\ell_1}59^{m_1})^4 = \lambda \left(y^t\right)^4.$$

We put $X := \dfrac{x}{(17^{k_1}41^{\ell_1}59^{m_1})^2}$ and $Y := \dfrac{y^t}{17^{k_1}41^{\ell_1}59^{m_1}}$ in the above equation to get

$$X^2 = \lambda Y^4 - 17^{k_2}41^{\ell_2}59^{m_2}.$$

This defines 192 quartic curves. We use `SIntegralLjunggrenPoints` subroutine of MAGMA to determine all $\{17, 41, 59\}$-integer points on these curves. Taking $XY \neq 0$ into account, we get $(X, Y, \lambda, k_2, \ell_2, m_2) = (840, 29, 1, 0, 2, 0), (8, 3, 1, 1, 0, 0), (239, 13, 2, 0, 0, 0), (11, 3, 2, 0, 1, 0),$ $(69, 7, 2, 0, 1, 0), (171, 11, 2, 0, 1, 0), (31, 5, 2, 2, 0, 0), (299, 15, 2, 2, 1, 0), (9, 5, 4, 0, 1, 1)$. By looking at the numerators and denominators of X and Y, we find x, y, k_1, ℓ_1 and m_1, which lead to the following solutions of (3.6): $(x, y, \lambda, k, \ell, m, t) = (840, 29, 1, 0, 2, 0, 1), (8, 3, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1),$ $(239, 13, 2, 0, 0, 0, 1), (11, 3, 2, 0, 1, 0, 1), (69, 7, 2, 0, 1, 0, 1), (171, 11, 2, 0, 1, 0, 1), (31, 5, 2, 2, 0, 0, 1),$ $(299, 15, 2, 2, 1, 0, 1), (9, 5, 4, 0, 1, 1, 1)$. This completes the proof. □

3.3. **When $n \geq 5$ is prime.** Here, we replace n by p in (1.3) to assert that the exponent is prime. That is,

$$x^2 + 17^k41^\ell59^m = \lambda y^p,\ x \geq 1, y > 1, \gcd(x, y) = 1, k, \ell, m \geq 0, p \geq 5, \quad (3.7)$$

where $\lambda = 1, 2, 4$.

Proposition 3.3. *The only integer solution of (3.7) is $(x, y, \lambda, k, \ell, m, p) = (38, 5, 1, 0, 2, 0, 5)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $k = 2k_1 + k_2, \ell = 2\ell_1 + \ell_2$ and $m = 2m_1 + m_2$, where $k_1, \ell_1, m_1 \geq 0$ are integers and $k_2, \ell_2, m_2 \in \{0, 1\}$. Then $17^k41^\ell59^m = dz^2$, where $d = 17^{k_2}41^{\ell_2}59^{m_2}$ and $z = 17^{k_1}41^{\ell_1}59^{m_1}$. Therefore (3.7) becomes

$$x^2 + dz^2 = \lambda y^p,\ x \geq 1, y > 1, \gcd(x, dz) = 1, k, \ell, m \geq 0, p \geq 5, \lambda = 1, 2, 4.$$

Using MAGMA, we see that $h(-d) \in \{1, 3, 4, 8, 72\}$, where $h(-d)$ denotes the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$. Thus by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\frac{x + z\sqrt{-d}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} = \varepsilon_1 \left(\frac{a + \varepsilon_2 b\sqrt{-d}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right)^p, \tag{3.8}$$

where $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{-1, 1\}$, and a and b are positive integers satisfying

$$a^2 + b^2 d = \lambda y. \tag{3.9}$$

Clearly, b is odd. Since y is odd, so that a is even (resp. odd) when $\lambda = 1$ (resp. $\lambda = 2, 4$).

Assume that

$$\alpha := \frac{a + \varepsilon_2 b\sqrt{-d}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, \ \beta := \frac{a - \varepsilon_2 b\sqrt{-d}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$

Clearly, both α and β are algebraic integers satisfying $\gcd((\alpha + \beta)^2, \alpha\beta) = 1$. Also, α/β is a root of $\lambda y Z^2 - 2(a^2 - b^2 d) + \lambda y = 0$, and hence α/β is not a root of unity. Therefore, (α, β) is Lehmer pair with parameters $(4a^2/\lambda, -4b^2 d/\lambda)$.

If $\mathcal{L}_n$ is the n-th Lehmer number for the pair (α, β), then

$$|\mathcal{L}_p(\alpha, \beta)| = \frac{z}{b} = \frac{17^{k_1} 41^{\ell_1} 59^{m_1}}{b}. \tag{3.10}$$

Let q be a primitive prime divisor of $\mathcal{L}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then by (3.10), $q \in \{17, 41, 59\}$. Utilizing Lemma 2.3, we see that $q \neq 17$ since $p \geq 5$. Also,

$$q = \begin{cases} 41 & \text{when } p = 5, 7; \\ 59 & \text{when } p = 5, 29. \end{cases}$$

We first consider $q = 59$. Then by the definition of primitive divisor, $q \nmid (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)^2 = -16a^2 b^2 d/\lambda^2$. This gives that $(k_2, \ell_2, m_2) \in \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0)\}$, which implies $d \in \{1, 41, 17, 697\}$. Again since $\left(\frac{-4b^2 d}{59}\right) = \left(\frac{-d}{59}\right) = -1$, so that $59 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ implies $p = 5$.

If $q = 41$, then as before we get $(k_2, \ell_2, m_2) \in \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1)\}$ and thus $d \in \{1, 59, 17, 1003\}$. Again since $\left(\frac{-4b^2 d}{41}\right) = \left(\frac{-d}{41}\right) = 1$ or -1 according as $d = 1, 59$ or $d = 17, 1003$, so that $41 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ gives $(p, d) \in \{(7, 17), (7, 1003), (5, 1), (5, 59)\}$. We now divide the proof into several cases depending on the values of p and q.

Case I: $p > 7$**.** From the above, it follows that $\mathcal{L}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ has no primitive divisors for $p > 7$. This contradicts to the primitive divisor theorem for Lehmer sequences, which states that, if $p \geq 3$, then $\mathcal{L}_p(\alpha, \beta)$ has a primitive prime divisor with a few exceptional pairs (α, β). For any prime $p > 7$, these exceptional pairs are given by Lemma 2.2 in terms of their parameters. Therefore using Lemma 2.2 we get $(p, 4a^2/\lambda, 4b^2 d/\lambda) = (13, 1, 7)$,

which further implies that $d = 7$. This is not possible as the only prime divisors of d are $17, 41, 59$. Therefore, (3.7) has no integer solutions for $p > 7$.

Case II: $p = 7$**.** In this case, the only primitive divisor of $\mathcal{L}_7(\alpha, \beta)$ is 41, and accordingly $d = 17, 1003$. Equating the imaginary parts in (3.8), we get

$$\lambda^3 17^{k_1} 41^{\ell_1} 59^{m_1} = \varepsilon b(7a^6 - 35a^4b^2d + 21a^2b^4d^2 - b^6d^3), \tag{3.11}$$

where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 = \pm 1$ and $d = 17, 1003$. Also by the definition of primitive divisor, $41 \nmid (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)^2 = -16a^2b^2d/\lambda$, we have $b \neq 41$. Since b is odd and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, so that (3.11) gives

$$b = 1, 17^{k_1}, 59^{m_1}, 17^{k_1}59^{m_1}.$$

We first consider $b = 1$. Then (3.11) reduces to

$$\lambda^3 17^{k_1} 41^{\ell_1} 59^{m_1} = \varepsilon(7a^6 - 35a^4d + 21a^2d^2 - d^3).$$

Assume that $k_1 = 2k_4 + k_3, \ell_1 = 2\ell_4 + \ell_3, m_1 = 2m_4 + m_3$ with $k_3, \ell_3, m_3 \in \{0, 1\}$ and then set $D := \varepsilon\lambda 17^{k_3} 41^{\ell_3} 59^{m_3}$ to get

$$D(\lambda 17^{k_4} 41^{\ell_4} 59^{m_4})^2 = 7a^6 - 35a^4d + 21a^2d^2 - d^3.$$

Multiplying both sides by 7^2D^3, and then putting $(X, Y) := (7Da^2, 7D^2\lambda 17^{k_4} 41^{\ell_4} 59^{m_4})$, we arrive at the following:

$$Y^2 = X^3 - 35dDX^2 + 147d^2D^2X - 49d^3D^3.$$

This defines 96 elliptic curves, where we use `IntegralPoints` subroutine of MAGMA to compute all integer points. We first remove all integer points (X, Y) satisfying $XY = 0$ or $7 \nmid X$ since $abd \neq 0$ and $7 \mid \gcd(X, Y)$. We then check $a^2 = X/7D$ for the remaining points (X, Y); but none of these shows that a is an integer. Thus, none of these integer points lead to an integer solutions of (3.7).

Assume that $b = 17^{k_1}$. Then (3.11) reduces to

$$\lambda^3 41^{\ell_1} 59^{m_1} = \varepsilon(7a^6 - 35a^4b^2d + 21a^2b^4d^2 - b^6d^3).$$

As before, we consider $D_1 := \varepsilon\lambda 41^{\ell_3} 59^{m_3}$ for $\ell_3, m_3 \in \{0, 1\}$. Then the above equation reduces to

$$D_1(\lambda 41^{\ell_4} 59^{m_4})^2 = 7a^6 - 35a^4b^2d + 21a^2b^4d^2 - b^6d^3,$$

where $\ell_1 = 2\ell_4 + \ell_3, m_1 = 2m_4 + m_3$. We divide both sides by b^6 and then multiply by $7^2D_1^3$ to get

$$Y^2 = X^3 - 35dD_1X^2 + 147d^2D_1^2X - 49d^3D_1^3,$$

where $X = 7D_1a^2/b^2$ and $Y = 7D_1\lambda 41^{\ell_4}59^{m_4}/b^3$. As in the previous case, we use `IntegralPoints` subroutine of MAGMA to compute all $\{17\}$-integral points on the above 48 elliptic curves. We eliminate all integer points (X, Y) satisfying $XY = 0$ or $7 \nmid X$ since $abd \neq 0$ and $7 \mid \gcd(X, Y)$. For the remaining points (X, Y), we examine $a^2 = Xb^2/7D_1$. However, none of these gives integer value to a, and hence none of these integer points lead to an integer solution of (3.7).

Let $b = 59^{m_1}$. Then (3.11) becomes

$$\lambda^3 17^{k_1} 41^{\ell_1} = \varepsilon(7a^6 - 35a^4b^2d + 21a^2b^4d^2 - b^6d^3).$$

As before, put $D_2 := \varepsilon\lambda 17^{k_3}41^{\ell_3}$ for $k_3, \ell_3, m_3 \in \{0, 1\}$. Then we have

$$D_2(\lambda 17^{k_4}41^{\ell_4})^2 = 7a^6 - 35a^4b^2d + 21a^2b^4d^2 - b^6d^3,$$

where $k_1 = 2k_4 + k_3, \ell_1 = 2\ell_4 + \ell_3$. We divide both sides by b^6 and then multiply by $7^2D_1^3$ to get

$$Y^2 = X^3 - 35dD_2X^2 + 147d^2D_2^2X - 49d^3D_2^3,$$

where $X = 7D_2a^2/b^2$ and $Y = 7D_2\lambda 17^{k_4}41^{\ell_4}/b^3$. In this case too, we use `IntegralPoints` subroutine of MAGMA to compute all $\{59\}$-integral points on the above 48 elliptic curves. As in the last case, we see that one none of these integer points lead to an integer solution of (3.7).

Finally consider $b = 17^{k_1}59^{m_1}$. Then (3.11) reduces to

$$\lambda^3 41^{\ell_1} = \varepsilon(7a^6 - 35a^4b^2d + 21a^2b^4d^2 - b^6d^3).$$

As before, putting $D_3 := \varepsilon\lambda 41^{\ell_3}$ for $\ell_3 \in \{0, 1\}$ in the above equation, we get

$$D_3(\lambda 41^{\ell_4})^2 = 7a^6 - 35a^4b^2d + 21a^2b^4d^2 - b^6d^3,$$

where $\ell_1 = 2\ell_4 + \ell_3$. We now divide both sides by b^6 and then multiply by $7^2D_3^3$ to arrive at

$$Y^2 = X^3 - 35dD_3X^2 + 147d^2D_3^2X - 49d^3D_3^3,$$

where $X = 7D_3a^2/b^2$ and $Y = 7D_3\lambda 41^{\ell_4}/b^3$. As in previous cases, we use `IntegralPoints` subroutine of MAGMA to compute all $\{17, 59\}$-integral points on the above 24 elliptic curves. Utilizing the same technique as before, we can conclude that none of these integer points lead to an integer solution of (3.7).

Case III: $p = 5$**.** In this case, both 41 and 59 are primitive divisors of $\mathcal{L}_5(\alpha, \beta)$, and $(q, d) = (41, 1), (41, 59), (59, 1), (59, 17), (59, 41), (59, 1003)$. Equating the imaginary parts in (3.8), we get

$$\lambda^2 17^{k_1} 41^{\ell_1} 59^{m_1} = \varepsilon b(5a^4 - 10a^2b^2d + b^4d^2), \tag{3.12}$$

where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 = \pm 1$. In case of $q = 41$, by the definition of primitive divisor, $b \neq 41$. Thus (3.12) gives

$$b = 1, 17^{k_1}, 59^{m_1}, 17^{k_1}59^{m_1}.$$

Similarly, when $q = 59$, we get (from (3.12))

$$b = 1, 17^{k_1}, 41^{\ell_1}, 17^{k_1}41^{\ell_1}.$$

If $b = 1$, then $d = 1, 17, 41, 59, 1003$ and (3.12) reduces to

$$\lambda^2 17^{k_1} 41^{\ell_1} 59^{m_1} = \varepsilon(5a^4 - 10a^2d + d^2).$$

Let $k_1 = 2k_4 + k_3, \ell_1 = 2\ell_4 + \ell_3, m_1 = 2m_4 + m_3$ with $k_3, \ell_3, m_3 \in \{0, 1\}$ and set $D := \varepsilon 17^{k_3} 41^{\ell_3} 59^{m_3}$. Then the above equation becomes

$$D(\lambda 17^{k_4} 41^{\ell_4} 59^{m_4})^2 = 5a^4 - 10a^2d + d^2.$$

We now multiply both sides by D, and then put $(X, Y) := (a, D\lambda 17^{k_4} 41^{\ell_4} 59^{m_4})$ to get

$$Y^2 = 5DX^4 - 10DdX^2 + Dd^2.$$

The above equation gives 80 quartic curves each for each value of Dd. Here, we use `IntegralQuarticPoints` subroutine of MAGMA to compute all integral points on these curves. As in Case II, we first eliminate the integer points (X, Y) such that $XY = 0$ since $abd \neq 0$. For the remaining points, we have $(X, Y, D) = (1, -2, -1), (2, 41, 41), (2, 11, 1), (12, 149, 1)$. Clearly, $(X, Y, D) = (1, -2, -1)$ gives $(x, y, \lambda, k, \ell, m) = (1, 1, 2, 0, 0, 0)$. On the other hand, $(X, Y, D) = (2, 41, 41)$ gives $(a, \lambda, k, \ell, m) = (2, 1, 0, 2, 0)$. Here, $d = 1$ and hence by (3.9) $y = 5$, which further implies $x = 38$. Therefore $(x, y, \lambda, k, \ell, m, p) = (38, 5, 1, 0, 2, 0, 5)$ is a solution of 3.7. Also, since $2, 17, 41, 59$ are the only possible prime factors of Y, so that $(X, Y, D) = (2, 11, 1), (12, 149, 1)$ are not possible.

We now consider the case $b = 17^{k_1}$. Then (3.12) becomes

$$\lambda^2 41^{\ell_1} 59^{m_1} = \varepsilon(5a^4 - 10a^2b^2d + b^4d^2).$$

Here, $d = 1, 17, 41, 59, 1003$. Let $D_1 := \varepsilon 41^{\ell_3} 59^{m_3}$ for $k_3, \ell_3, m_3 \in \{0, 1\}$, and divide both sides by b^4, we get

$$D_1(\lambda 41^{\ell_4} 59^{m_4}/b^2)^2 = 5(a/b)^4 - 10(a/b)^2d + d^2,$$

where $\ell_1 = 2\ell_4 + \ell_3, m_1 = 2m_4 + m_3$. We set $(X, Y) := (a/b, \lambda 41^{\ell_4} 59^{m_4}/b^2)$ to arrive at the following:

$$D_1 Y^2 = 5X^4 - 10dX^2 + d^2.$$

We use `SIntegralLjunggrenPoints` subroutine of MAGMA to compute all $\{17\}$-integral points on the 40 quartic curves defined by the above equation. As in the previous cases, we get $(X, Y, D) = (1, 2, -1), (2, 1, 41), (2, 11, 1), (12, 149, 1)$. As before, these give $(x, y, \lambda, k, \ell, m, p) = (1, 1, 2, 0, 0, 0, 5), (38, 5, 1, 0, 2, 0, 5)$.

Assume that $b = 41^{\ell_1}$. Then $d = 1, 59$ and (3.12) reduces to

$$\lambda^2 17^{k_1} 59^{m_1} = \varepsilon(5a^4 - 10a^2b^2d + b^4d^2).$$

Let $D_2 := \varepsilon 17^{k_3} 59^{m_3}$ for $k_3, m_3 \in \{0, 1\}$, and divide both sides by b^4, we get

$$D_2(\lambda 17^{k_4} 59^{m_4}/b^2)^2 = 5(a/b)^4 - 10(a/b)^2 d + d^2,$$

where $k_1 = 2k_4 + k_3, m_1 = 2m_4 + m_3$. Putting $(X, Y) := (a/b, \lambda 17^{k_4} 59^{m_4}/b^2)$, we get

$$D_2 Y^2 = 5X^4 - 10dX^2 + d^2.$$

Here too we use `SIntegralLjunggrenPoints` subroutine of MAGMA to compute all $\{41\}$-integral points on the 16 quartic curves defined by the last equation. As before, we get $(X, Y, D) = (1, 2, -1), (12, 149, 1)$, which lead to $(x, y, \lambda, k, \ell, m, p) = (1, 1, 2, 0, 0, 0, 5)$.

For $b = 59^{m_1}$, (3.12) becomes

$$\lambda^2 17^{k_1} 41^{\ell_1} = \varepsilon(5a^4 - 10a^2b^2d + b^4d^2).$$

As in the previous cases, by setting $D_3 := \varepsilon 17^{k_3} 41^{\ell_3}$, this equation can written as

$$D_3(\lambda 17^{k_4} 41^{\ell_4}/b^2)^2 = 5(a/b)^4 - 10(a/b)^2 d + d^2,$$

where $k_1 = 2k_4 + k_3, \ell_1 = 2\ell_4 + \ell_3$. Assume that $(X, Y) := (a/b, \lambda 17^{k_4} 41^{\ell_4}/b^2)$. Then we get

$$D_3 Y^2 = 5X^4 - 10dX^2 + d^2.$$

As before, we get $(X, Y, D) = (1, 2, -1), (2, 1, 41), (2, 11, 1)$. The solutions corresponding to these points are already discussed.

Finally for $b = 41^{\ell_1} 59^{m_1}$, (3.12) implies to

$$\lambda^2 17^{k_1} = \varepsilon(5a^4 - 10a^2b^2d + b^4d^2).$$

We put $D_4 := \varepsilon 17^{k_3}$ for $k_3 \in \{0, 1\}$, and divide both sides by b^4 to get

$$D_4(\lambda 17^{k_4}/b^2)^2 = 5(a/b)^4 - 10(a/b)^2 d + d^2,$$

where $k_1 = 2k_4 + k_3$. Writing $(X, Y) := (a/b, \lambda 17^{k_4}$, we get

$$D_3 Y^2 = 5X^4 - 10dX^2 + d^2.$$

Using `SIntegralLjunggrenPoints` subroutine of MAGMA, we compute all $\{41, 59\}$-integral points on the 20 quartic curves defined by the last equation. Here, we get $(X, Y, D) = (1, 2, -1), (2, 11, 1), (12, 149, 1)$, which are already treated in the previous cases. This completes the proof. □

3.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** The proof follows Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, when n is a divisibly by 3 or 4. For the remaining part, we assume that $n = pN$ for some prime $p \geq 5$ and an integer $N \geq 1$. Then (1.3) can be written as

$$x^2 + 17^k 41^\ell 59^m = \lambda \left(y^N\right)^p, \ x \geq 1, y > 1, \gcd(x, y) = 1, k, \ell, m \geq 0, N \geq 1, \lambda = 1, 2, 4.$$

By Proposition 3.3, $(x, y, \lambda, k, \ell, m, p, N) = (38, 5, 1, 0, 2, 0, 5, 1)$ is the only integer solution of this equation. This completes the proof.

4. Concluding remarks

Here, we discuss some existing results using our result and deduce some corollaries. We consider the Diophantine equation

$$x^2 + 17^k = 2^\delta y^n, x \geq 1, y > 1, \gcd(x, y) = 1, k, \delta, \geq 0, n \geq 3.$$

Clearly, it has no solutions when $\delta \geq 2$. For $\delta = 0$, it follows from [16, Theorem] that $(x, y, k, n) = (8, 3, 1, 4)$ is the only solution of the above equation. On the other hand for $\delta = 2$, Abu Muriefah et al. proved that its only solutions are $(x, y, k, n) = (239, 13, 0, 4), (31, 5, 2, 4)$. One can put $\ell = m = 0$ in Theorem 1.1 to get these results. On the other hand, [3, Theorem 1.1] shows that the Diophantine equation

$$x^2 + 41^\ell = 2^\delta y^n, x \geq 1, y > 1, \gcd(x, y) = 1, \ell, \delta, \geq 0, n \geq 3,$$

has no solutions when $\delta = 0$, except for $(x, y, \ell, n) = (840, 29, 2, 4), (38, 5, 2, 5)$. Our result gives all solutions of this equation for any δ.

We complete this paper by putting the following straightforward corollaries.

Corollary 4.1. *The only solution of the Diophantine equation*

$$x^2 + 59^m = 2^\delta y^n, x \geq 1, y > 1, \gcd(x, y) = 1, m, \delta, \geq 0, n \geq 3,$$

is $(x, y, \delta, m, n) = (239, 13, 1, 0, 4)$.

Corollary 4.2. *The solutions of the Diophantine equation*

$$x^2 + 17^k 41^\ell = 2^\delta y^n, x \geq 1, y > 1, \gcd(x, y) = 1, k, \ell, \delta, \geq 0, n \geq 3,$$

are $(x, y, \delta, k, \ell, n) = (840, 29, 0, 0, 2, 4), (8, 3, 0, 1, 0, 4), (239, 13, 1, 0, 0, 4), (11, 3, 1, 0, 1, 4), (69, 7, 1, 0, 1, 4), (171, 11, 1, 0, 1, 4), (31, 5, 1, 2, 0, 4), (299, 15, 1, 2, 1, 4), (38, 5, 0, 0, 2, 5)$.

Corollary 4.3. $(x, y, \delta, k, m, n) = (8, 3, 0, 1, 0, 0, 4), (239, 13, 1, 0, 0, 0, 4), (31, 5, 1, 2, 0, 0, 4)$ *are the only solutions of the Diophantine equation*

$$x^2 + 17^k 59^m = 2^\delta y^n, x \geq 1, y > 1, \gcd(x, y) = 1, k, m, \delta, \geq 0, n \geq 3.$$

Corollary 4.4. *The Diophantine equation*

$$x^2 + 41^\ell 59^m = 2^\delta y^n, x \geq 1, y > 1, \gcd(x, y) = 1, \ell, m, \delta, \geq 0, n \geq 3,$$

has no solutions, except for $(x, y, \delta, \ell, m, n) = (840, 29, 0, 2, 0, 4), (239, 13, 1, 0, 0, 4), (11, 3, 1, 1, 0, 4), (69, 7, 1, 1, 0, 4), (171, 11, 1, 1, 0, 4), (9, 5, 2, 1, 1, 4), (38, 5, 0, 2, 0, 5)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to Professor Kotyada Srinivas for stimulating environment at The Institute of Mathematical Sciences during his visiting period while working on the manuscript. This project is supported by SERB MATRICS Project No. MTR/2017/00100 and SERB-NPDF (PDF/2017/001958), Govt. of India.

References

[1] F. S. Abu Muriefah, F. Luca and A. Togbé, *On the Diophantine equation* $x^2 + 5^a 13^b = y^n$, Glasgow Math. J. **50** (2008), no. 1, 175–181.

[2] F. S. Abu Muriefah, F. Luca, S. Siksek and Sz. Tengely, *On the Diophantine equation* $x^2 + C = 2y^n$, Int. J. Number Theory **5** (2009), no. 6, 1117–1128.

[3] M. Alan and U. Zengin, *On the Diophantine equation* $x^2 + 3^a 41^b = y^n$, Period. Math. Hung. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10998-020-00321-6

[4] S. A. Arif and F. S. Abu Muriefah, *On the Diophantine equation* $x^2 + q^{2k+1} = y^n$, J. Number Theory **95** (2002), no. 1, 95–100.

[5] S. A. Arif and A. S. Al-Ali, *On the Diophantine equation* $ax^2 + b^m = 4y^n$, Acta Arith. **103** (2002), no. 4, 343–346.

[6] A. Bérczes and I. Pink, *On the Diophantine equation* $x^2 + d^{2\ell+1} = y^n$, Glasgow Math. J. **54** (2012), no. 3, 415–428.

[7] S. Bhatter, A. Hoque and R. Sharma, *On the solutions of a Lebesgue-Nagell type equation*, Acta Math. Hungar. **158** (2019), 17–26.

[8] Y. Bilu, G. Hanrot and F. M. Voutier, *Existence of primitive divisors of Lucas and Lehmer numbers (with an appendix by M. Mignotte)*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **539** (2001), 75–122.

[9] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. **24** (1997), no. 3, 235–265.

[10] Y. Bugeaud, *On some exponential Diophantine equations*, Monatsh. Math. **132** (2001), 93–97.

[11] K. Chakraborty, A. Hoque and R. Sharma, *Complete solutions of certain Lebesgue-Ramanujan-Nagell equations*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **97** (2020), no. 3-4, 339–352.

[12] K. Chakraborty, A. Hoque and K. Srinivas, *On the Diophantine equation* $cx^2 + p^{2m} = 4y^n$, Results Math. **76** (2021), no. 2, 12pp, article no. 57.

[13] K. Chakraborty, A. Hoque and R. Sharma, *On the solutions of certain Lebesgue-Ramanujan-Nagell equations*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. (To appear).

[14] J. H. E. Cohn, *The Diophantine equation* $x^2 + C = y^n$*, II*, Acta Arith. **109** (2003), no. 3, 205–206.

[15] M. Demirci, *On the Diophantine equation* $x^2 + 5^a p^b = y^n$, Filomat **31** (2017), no. 16, 5263–5269.

[16] S. Gou and T. T. Wang, *The Diophantine equation* $x^2 + 2^a.17^b = y^n$, Czechoslovak Math. J. **62** (2012), 645–654.

[17] A. Hoque, *On a class of Lebesgue-Ramanujan-Nagell equations*, submitted for publication. `arXiv:2005.05214`

[18] A. Hoque and A. Togbé, *On the Diophantine equation* $dx^2 + p^{2m} = 2y^n$, Preprint.

[19] M. Le, *On the Diophantine equations* $d_1x^2 + 2^{2m}d_2 = y^n$ *and* $d_1x^2 + d_2 = 4y^n$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1993), 67–70.

[20] D. L. Lehmer, *An extended theory of Lucas' functions*, Ann. of Math. **31** (1930), no. 3, 419–448.

[21] F. Luca, Sz. Tengely and A. Togbé, *On the Diophantine equation* $x^2 + C = 4y^n$, Ann. Sci. Math. Qué. **33** (2009), no. 2, 171–184.

[22] F. Luca and A. Togbé, *On the equation* $x^2 + 2^\alpha 13^\beta = y^n$, *Colloq. Math.* **116** (2009), no. 1, 139–146.

[23] X. -W. Pan, *The exponential Lebesgue-Nagell equation* $x^2 + p^{2m} = y^n$, Period. Math. Hung. **67** (2013), no. 2, 231–242.

[24] I. Pink, *On the Diophantine equation* $x^2 + (p_1 \cdots p_k)^2 = 2y^n$, Publ. Math. Debrecen **65** (2004), no. 1/2, 205–213.

[25] I. Pink, *On the Diophantine equation* $x^2 + 2^a 3^b 5^c 7^d = y^n$, Publ. Math. Debrecen **70** (2007), no. 1-2, 149–166.

[26] W. Ward, *The intrinsic divisors of Lehmer numbers*, Ann. of Math. **62** (1955), no. 2, 230–236.

[27] P. -Z. Yuan, *On the Diophantine equation* $ax^2 + by^2 = ck^n$, Indag. Math. (N. S.) **16** (2005), no. 2, 301–320.

[28] H. Zhu, M. Le and A. Togbé, *On the exponential Diophantine equation* $x^2 + p^{2m} = 2y^n$, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **86** (2012), no. 3, 303–314.

[29] H. Zhu, M. Le, G. Soydan and A. Togbé, *On the exponential Diophantine equation* $x + 2^a p^b = y^n$, Period. Math. Hung. **70** (2015), 233–247.

Email address: `ahoque.ms@gmail.com`