

GROUPOID TWISTED PARTIAL ACTIONS

LAERTE BEMM, WESLEY G. LAUTENSCHLAEGER, THÁISA TAMUSIUNAS

ABSTRACT. The main goal of this paper is to introduce the notion of twisted partial action of groupoids. We generalize the theorem about the existence of an enveloping action, also known as the globalization theorem, and show that the crossed products of the twisted partial action and of its associated twisted global action are Morita equivalent. Finally, we generalize the concepts of partial projective representation and partial Schur multiplier for a groupoid, and we show the interaction between groupoid partial projective representations and groupoid twisted partial actions.

2010 AMS Subject Classification: Primary 20L05, 16S35. Secondary 20C25.

Keywords: groupoid action, groupoid twisted partial action, globalization, Morita equivalence, partial projective representation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Twisted partial actions of groups on abstract rings and corresponding crossed products were introduced by Dokuchaev, Exel and Simón in [3]. In [4], the same authors established a criteria for the existence of a globalization for a given twisted partial action of a group on a ring. With the aim of intrinsically relating partial representations with partial actions, in [5] and [6] the authors introduced the concept of a partial projective representation of a group. In [5], it was shown that the factor sets of partial projective representations over a field \mathbb{K} are exactly the \mathbb{K} -valued twistings of crossed products by partial actions.

A groupoid is a small category in which every morphism has inverse. When the groupoid has only one object, it is a group. However, there is a pure algebraic definition to groupoids, which we approach in Subsection 2.1. Partial actions of groupoids were motivated to generalize the notion of partial Galois extensions of commutative rings, and they were first introduced by D. Bagio and A. Paques in [1]. A groupoid partial action and a group twisted partial action are particular cases of a groupoid twisted partial action, so it is natural to consider the study of an algebraic theory on groupoid twisted partial actions.

Our concern in this paper is to extend the concept of twisted partial actions from groups to groupoids, as well as we want to analyze when a given groupoid twisted partial action is globalizable, that is, when a groupoid twisted partial action can be obtained as a restriction of a global one. The answer for this question is pretty close of that given in the case of groups, but the tools for demonstration are slightly more delicate, once we are dealing with ideals of ideals instead ideals of the whole ring, and the compositions of actions will only be partially defined for certain pairs of elements of the groupoid. We also show that when we extend the twisted partial actions to groupoids, we still have an interaction between partial projective representations and twisted partial actions, which we present in Section 7.

The organization of the paper is the following. We start recalling some basic facts and properties about groupoids and semigroupoids. In Section 3 we define groupoid twisted partial action on rings and its globalization. In Theorem 1 we establish a necessary and sufficient condition to a twisted partial action be globalizable, which generalizes [4, Theorem 4.1]. In Section 4 we define the twisted crossed product and Theorem 3 ensures that the crossed product of a (globalizable) twisted partial action is Morita equivalent to the crossed product of its globalization. In Section 5 we present the concept of groupoid partial projective representation and we study the structure of the partial Schur multiplier. In Section 6 we define groupoid twisted partial action on a semigroup and in the Proposition 7 we show that there is a relation between twisted partial action on rings and twisted partial action on semigroups. We enclose the paper in Section 7 by showing the connection between twisted partial actions and partial projective representations; see Theorem 7.

For convention, throughout this paper rings and algebras are associative and non-necessarily unital.

2. PRELIMINARY

The background about groupoids and semigroupoids is revised here.

2.1. Groupoids. Recall that a *groupoid* is a nonempty set \mathcal{G} , equipped with a partially defined binary operation, which we will denote by concatenation, that satisfies the associative law and the condition that each element $g \in \mathcal{G}$ has a right and a left identity and an inverse g^{-1} . Given $g \in \mathcal{G}$, the right identity will be called *domain* and the left identity will be called *range* of g , and they will be denoted by $d(g)$ and $r(g)$, respectively. Hence, $d(g) = g^{-1}g$, $r(g) = gg^{-1}$ and $d(g) = r(g^{-1})$, for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$. This definition appears, for instance, in [10].

For all $g, h \in \mathcal{G}$, we write $\exists gh$ whenever the product gh is defined. As it was observed in [9, Lemma 3.1], it is immediately from the definition that for all $g, h \in \mathcal{G}$, $\exists gh$ if and only if $d(g) = r(h)$, and in this case $d(gh) = d(h)$ and $r(gh) = r(g)$. It will be denoted by \mathcal{G}^2 the subset of the pairs $(g, h) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$ such that $d(g) = r(h)$.

An element $e \in \mathcal{G}$ is called an *identity* of \mathcal{G} if $e = d(g) = r(g^{-1})$, for some $g \in \mathcal{G}$. It will be denoted by \mathcal{G}_0 the set of all identities of \mathcal{G} .

2.2. Semigroupoids. A projective representation (and a partial projective representation) is a map from a semigroupoid to a semigroup satisfying certain properties, as we show in Section 5. When the semigroupoid is, in particular, a groupoid, there is a connection between partial projective representations and twisted partial actions. So we introduce in this subsection the notions of semigroupoid, inverse semigroupoid and inverse category, and we will discuss these structures again in Section 5.

A *semigroupoid* \mathfrak{C} is a set equipped with a partial binary operation that is associative. A semigroupoid homomorphism $\varphi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ is a map such that if $\exists ab$ in \mathfrak{C} then $\exists \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$ in \mathfrak{D} , and in this case $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$.

Let \mathfrak{C} be a semigroupoid. We say that $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$ is a *left ideal* if $y \in \mathfrak{I}$, $x \in \mathfrak{C}$ are such that $\exists xy$, then $xy \in \mathfrak{I}$. Similarly we define *right ideals* and *two-sided ideals*. We will refer to a two-sided ideal just as an *ideal*.

A *category* is a semigroupoid \mathfrak{C} where for all $x \in \mathfrak{C}$ there are unique identities $r(x), d(x) \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $\exists r(x)x$ and $\exists xd(x)$. In these notations, given $x, y \in \mathfrak{C}$ we have that $\exists xy$ if and only if $d(x) = r(y)$. Define \mathfrak{C}_0 as the set of identities of \mathfrak{C} and

\mathfrak{C}^2 as the set of the pairs (x, y) such that $\exists xy$. We will denote by \mathfrak{C}^n the set of n -tuples (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) such that $\exists x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$.

By *inverse semigroupoid* we shall mean a semigroupoid \mathfrak{C} in which for every $x \in \mathfrak{C}$ there is a unique element $y \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $\exists xy, \exists yx$ and $xyx = x, yxy = y$. An *inverse category* is an inverse semigroupoid which is also a category. In particular, every groupoid is an inverse category.

3. TWISTED PARTIAL ACTIONS ON RINGS AND GLOBALIZATION

Let \mathbb{K} be a commutative associative unital ring, which will be the base ring for our algebras. Recall from [4, p. 4138] that the multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(A)$ of an associative non-necessarily unital \mathbb{K} -algebra A is the set:

$$\mathcal{M}(A) = \{(R, L) \in \text{End}({}_A A) \times \text{End}(A_A); (aR)b = a(Lb), \forall a, b \in A\},$$

with component-wise addition and multiplication.

If I is a unital ideal of A , then $I \simeq \mathcal{M}(I)$ via $a \rightarrow (R_a, L_a)$, where $a \in I$ [2, Proposition 2.3]. Recall that the multiplier (R_a, L_a) is given by $(b)R_a = ba, L_a(b) = ab$, for all $a, b \in I$.

Definition 1. A *groupoid twisted partial action* of a groupoid \mathcal{G} on a ring R is a triple

$$\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{w_{g,h}\}_{(g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2})$$

where for each $g \in \mathcal{G}$, $D_{r(g)}$ is an ideal of R , D_g is an ideal of $D_{r(g)}$, $\alpha_g : D_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow D_g$ is an isomorphism of rings and for each $(g, h) \in \mathcal{G}^2$, $w_{g,h}$ is an invertible element from $\mathcal{M}(D_g D_{gh})$, satisfying, for all $(g, h, t) \in \mathcal{G}^3$:

- (i) $D_g^2 = D_g, D_g \cdot D_h = D_h \cdot D_g$;
- (ii) $D_e = D_{r(e)}$ and α_e is the identity map of D_e , for all $e \in \mathcal{G}_0$;
- (iii) $\alpha_g(D_{g^{-1}} \cdot D_h) = D_g \cdot D_{gh}$;
- (iv) $\alpha_g \circ \alpha_h(a) = w_{g,h} \alpha_{gh}(a) w_{g,h}^{-1}$, for all $a \in D_{h^{-1}} \cdot D_{h^{-1}g^{-1}}$;
- (v) $w_{r(g),g} = w_{g,d(g)} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}(D_g)}$;
- (vi) $\alpha_g(aw_{h,t})w_{g,ht} = \alpha_g(a)w_{g,h}w_{gh,t}$, for all $a \in D_{g^{-1}} \cdot D_h \cdot D_{ht}$.

Observe that if each D_g is unital with identity 1_g , then $\mathcal{M}(D_g) \cong D_g$ and in this case we identify $\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}(D_g)}$ with 1_g .

Example 1. Let $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 \mathbb{K}e_i$, where the e_i 's are central orthogonal idempotents such that $1_R = e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4$. Consider $\mathcal{G} = \{g, g^{-1}, r(g), d(g)\}$.

An example of groupoid twisted partial action of \mathcal{G} on R is given by taking

$$D_{r(g)} = \mathbb{K}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{K}e_2,$$

$$D_{d(g)} = \mathbb{K}e_3 \oplus \mathbb{K}e_4,$$

$$D_g = \mathbb{K}e_1,$$

$$D_{g^{-1}} = \mathbb{K}e_3,$$

$$\alpha_{r(g)} = \text{Id}_{D_{r(g)}},$$

$$\alpha_{d(g)} = \text{Id}_{D_{d(g)}},$$

$$\alpha_g(xe_3) = xe_1,$$

$$\alpha_{g^{-1}}(xe_1) = xe_3.$$

This gives us a partial groupoid action of \mathcal{G} on R in the sense of [1]. If we consider the twisting

$$\begin{aligned} w_{r(g),r(g)} &= 1_{r(g)} = e_1 + e_2 \\ w_{d(g),d(g)} &= 1_{d(g)} = e_3 + e_4 \\ w_{r(g),g} &= w_{g,d(g)} = 1_g = e_1 \\ w_{d(g),g^{-1}} &= w_{g^{-1},r(g)} = 1_{g^{-1}} = e_3 \\ w_{g,g^{-1}} &= -e_1 \\ w_{g^{-1},g} &= -e_3, \end{aligned}$$

we have a groupoid twisted partial action $\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{w_{g,h}\}_{(g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2})$ of \mathcal{G} on R . In fact, the conditions (iv) and (v) are clear, so it only remains to us to prove (vi). The elements in \mathcal{G}^3 that involve $d(g), r(g)$ are direct. Consider the triples (g, g^{-1}, g) and (g^{-1}, g, g^{-1}) . Then

$$\alpha_g(xe_3w_{g^{-1},g})w_{g,g^{-1}g} = \alpha_g(xe_3w_{g^{-1},g})w_{g,d(g)} = \alpha_g(-xe_3)e_1 = -xe_1$$

and

$$\alpha_g(xe_3)w_{g,g^{-1}}w_{gg^{-1},g} = \alpha_g(xe_3)w_{g,g^{-1}}w_{r(g),g} = \alpha_g(xe_3)(-e_1)e_1 = -xe_1,$$

and the other triple is handled in similar way.

A twisted partial action $\beta = (\{E_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\beta_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{u_{g,h}\}_{(g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2})$ is said *global* if and only if $E_g = E_{r(g)}$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$.

Example 2. Consider R and \mathcal{G} as in Example 1. Define:

$$\begin{aligned} E_g &= E_{r(g)} = \mathbb{K}e_3 \oplus \mathbb{K}e_4, \\ E_{g^{-1}} &= E_{d(g)} = \mathbb{K}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{K}e_2, \\ \beta_{r(g)} &= \text{Id}_{E_g}, \\ \beta_{d(g)} &= \text{Id}_{E_{g^{-1}}}, \\ \beta_g(xe_1 + ye_2) &= xe_3 + ye_4, \\ \beta_{g^{-1}}(xe_3 + ye_4) &= xe_1 + ye_2. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $a, b \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{K})$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} u_{r(g),r(g)} &= u_{g,d(g)} = u_{r(g),g} = 1_g = e_3 + e_4, \\ u_{d(g),d(g)} &= u_{g^{-1},r(g)} = u_{d(g),g^{-1}} = 1_{g^{-1}} = e_1 + e_2, \\ u_{g,g^{-1}} &= ae_3 + be_4 \in \mathcal{U}(E_{r(g)}), \\ u_{g^{-1},g} &= ae_1 + be_2 \in \mathcal{U}(E_{d(g)}). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\beta = (\{E_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\beta_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{u_{g,h}\}_{(g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2})$ is a groupoid twisted global action of \mathcal{G} on R .

We can obtain examples of twisted partial action by restriction of a global one, in a standard way. Given a groupoid twisted global action $\beta = (\{E_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\beta_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{u_{g,h}\}_{(g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2})$ of \mathcal{G} on a (non-necessarily unital) ring S such that each E_g is unital with identity $\bar{1}_g$, one can restrict β to a two-sided ideal R of S such that R has identity 1_R . Let $D_{r(g)} = R \cap E_{r(g)} = R \cdot E_{r(g)}$, which is an ideal of $E_{r(g)}$, $g \in \mathcal{G}$. Note that $D_{r(g)}$ has identity $1_{r(g)} = 1_R \bar{1}_g$.

Let $D_g = D_{r(g)} \cap \beta_g(D_{d(g)}) = D_{r(g)} \cdot \beta_g(D_{d(g)})$, which is an ideal of $D_{r(g)}$, for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$. Observe that $1_g = 1_{r(g)}\beta_g(1_{d(g)})$. Putting $\alpha_g = \beta_g|_{D_{g^{-1}}}$, the itens

(i), (ii) and (iii) of Definition 1 are clearly satisfied. Once each D_g is unital, we got $\mathcal{M}(D_g) \cong D_g$, for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$. So we can consider $w_{g,h} \in D_g D_{gh}$. Defining $w_{g,h} = u_{g,h} 1_g 1_{gh}$, we have that (iv), (v) and (vi) are also satisfied, then we obtain, in fact, a groupoid twisted partial action on R .

We gave a global action and constructed a partial one. We are now interested in stipulating under what circumstances a given partial action can be obtained as a restriction of a global one.

Definition 2. A groupoid twisted global action

$$\beta = (\{E_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\beta_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{u_{g,h}\}_{(g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2})$$

of a groupoid \mathcal{G} on a non-necessarily unital ring S is said to be a *globalization* (or an *enveloping action*) for the partial action $\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{w_{g,h}\}_{(g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2})$ of \mathcal{G} on R if, for each $e \in \mathcal{G}_0$, there exists a monomorphism $\varphi_e : D_e \rightarrow E_e$ such that:

- (i) $\varphi_e(D_e)$ is an ideal of E_e ;
- (ii) $E_g = \sum_{r(h)=r(g)} \beta_h(\varphi_{d(h)}(D_{d(h)}))$;
- (iii) $\varphi_{r(g)}(D_g) = \varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)}) \cap \beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(D_{d(g)}))$;
- (iv) $\beta_g \circ \varphi_{d(g)}(a) = \varphi_{r(g)} \circ \alpha_g(a)$, $\forall a \in D_{g^{-1}}$;
- (v) $\varphi_{r(g)}(aw_{g,h}) = \varphi_{r(g)}(a)u_{g,h}$, $\varphi_{r(g)}(w_{g,h}a) = u_{g,h}\varphi_{r(g)}(a)$, $\forall a \in D_g D_{gh}$.

In this case, we say that α is *globalizable*.

Suppose that each D_e , $e \in \mathcal{G}_0$, is unital. If α is a globalizable groupoid twisted partial action, then each D_g , $g \in \mathcal{G}$, is unital, since $\varphi_{r(g)}(D_g) = \varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)}) \cap \beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(D_{d(g)}))$. In the non-twisted groupoid case [1, Theorem 2.1], the converse is true. But in the twisted case, even when the groupoid is a group [4, Theorem 4.1], it is needed an extendability property of the partial twisting to ensure the globalization.

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{w_{g,h}\}_{(g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2})$ be a groupoid twisted partial action of \mathcal{G} over R such that each D_g , $g \in \mathcal{G}$, is a unital ring. Then α admits globalization $\beta = (\{E_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\beta_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{u_{g,h}\}_{(g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2})$ if and only if for each pair $(g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2$, there exists an invertible element $\tilde{w}_{g,h} \in \mathcal{U}(D_{r(g)})$ such that $\tilde{w}_{g,h} 1_g 1_{gh} = w_{g,h}$ and, for $t \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $(h,t) \in \mathcal{G}^2$,

$$\alpha_g(\tilde{w}_{g,t} 1_{g^{-1}}) \tilde{w}_{g,ht} = 1_g \tilde{w}_{g,h} \tilde{w}_{gh,t}. \quad (*)$$

Proof. If α admits globalization $\beta = (\{E_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\beta_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{u_{g,h}\}_{(g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2})$, take $\tilde{w}_{g,h} = u_{g,h} \cdot 1_g$, for $(g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2$.

For the converse, let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(G, R) = \{f : G \rightarrow R \mid f \text{ is a map}\}$. We also use the notation $f(g) = f|_g$, for $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $g \in \mathcal{G}$. For each $g \in \mathcal{G}$, we set

- $X_g = \{h \in \mathcal{G} \mid r(h) = r(g)\}$;
- $F_g = \{f \in \mathcal{F} \mid f(h) = 0, \forall h \notin X_g\}$; and
- $Y_g = \{f \in F_g \mid f(h) \in D_{d(h)}\}$.

Clearly, Y_g is an ideal of \mathcal{F} and $Y_g = Y_{r(g)}$, since $F_g = F_{r(g)}$.

For $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and $f \in Y_{g^{-1}}$, let $\beta_g : Y_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow Y_g$ be the map given by

$$\beta_g(f)|_h = \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g} f(g^{-1}h) \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } h \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Notice that if $h \in X_g$, $r(h) = r(g) = d(g^{-1})$, which implies that the product $g^{-1}h$ exists and $r(g^{-1}h) = r(g^{-1})$. Thus β_g is well defined.

Assertion: β_g is a ring isomorphism.

Indeed, β_g is clearly a ring homomorphism. Now for $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and $f \in Y_{g^{-1}}$, define:

$$\beta_g^{-1}(f)|_h = \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1}g^{-1},g}^{-1}f(gh)\tilde{w}_{h^{-1}g^{-1},g}, & \text{if } h \in X_{g^{-1}}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(i) For $h \in X_{g^{-1}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_g^{-1} \circ \beta_g(f)|_h &= \beta_g^{-1}(\beta_g(f))|_h = \tilde{w}_{h^{-1}g^{-1},g}^{-1}\beta_g(f)(gh)\tilde{w}_{h^{-1}g^{-1},g} \\ &= \tilde{w}_{h^{-1}g^{-1},g}^{-1}\tilde{w}_{h^{-1}g^{-1},g}f(g^{-1}(gh))\tilde{w}_{h^{-1}g^{-1},g}^{-1}\tilde{w}_{h^{-1}g^{-1},g} \\ &= f((g^{-1}g)h) = f(d(g)h) = f(r(h)h) = f(h). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For $h \in X_g$,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_g \circ \beta_g^{-1}(f)|_h &= \beta_g(\beta_g^{-1}(f))|_h = \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}\beta_g^{-1}(f)(g^{-1}h)\tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}^{-1} \\ &= \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}\tilde{w}_{h^{-1}gg^{-1},g}^{-1}f(g(g^{-1}h))\tilde{w}_{h^{-1}gg^{-1},g}\tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}^{-1} \\ &= f((gg^{-1})h) = f(r(g)h) = f(r(h)h) = f(h). \end{aligned}$$

Then β_g is a ring isomorphism.

For $(g, h) \in \mathcal{G}^2$, define $u_{g,h} \in \mathcal{U}(Y_g)$ by

$$u_{g,h}|_t = \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{t^{-1},g}\tilde{w}_{t^{-1}g,h}\tilde{w}_{t^{-1},gh}^{-1}, & \text{if } t \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that

$$u_{g,h}^{-1}|_t = \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{t^{-1},gh}\tilde{w}_{t^{-1}g,h}^{-1}\tilde{w}_{t^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } t \in X_g \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We shall prove that $\beta = (\{Y_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\beta_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{u_{g,h}\}_{(g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2})$ is a groupoid twisted global action of \mathcal{G} over \mathcal{F} . Since $Y_g = Y_{r(g)}$, it is enough to show that β satisfies all the conditions of Definition 1.

Let's start by showing the condition (vi) of Definition 1. It is sufficient to verify that $\beta_g(u_{h,t})u_{g,ht} = u_{g,h}u_{gh,t}$, for $(g, h), (h, t) \in \mathcal{G}^2$. For $x \in \mathcal{G}$, we have that $\beta_g(u_{h,t})u_{g,ht}|_x = \beta_g(u_{h,t})|_x u_{g,ht}|_x$ and $u_{g,h}u_{gh,t}|_x = u_{g,h}|_x u_{gh,t}|_x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta_g(u_{h,t})|_x u_{g,ht}|_x \\ &= \begin{cases} [\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}u_{h,t}(g^{-1}x)\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}^{-1}][\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}\tilde{w}_{x^{-1}g,ht}\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},ght}^{-1}], & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} [\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}(\tilde{w}_{x^{-1}g,h}\tilde{w}_{x^{-1}gh,t}\tilde{w}_{x^{-1}g,ht}^{-1})\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}^{-1}] \\ [\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}\tilde{w}_{x^{-1}g,ht}\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},ght}^{-1}], & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}\tilde{w}_{x^{-1}g,h}\tilde{w}_{x^{-1}gh,t}\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},ght}^{-1}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & u_{g,h}|_x u_{gh,t}|_x \\
 &= \begin{cases} [\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g,h} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},gh}^{-1}] [\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},gh} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},gh,t} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},ght}^{-1}], & \text{if } x \in X_{gh} = X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g,h} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},gh,t} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},ght}^{-1}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the condition (vi).

Next we compute (iv) of Definition 1. For any $f \in F_{h^{-1}}$, $(g, h) \in \mathcal{G}^2$ and $x \in \mathcal{G}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\beta_g \circ \beta_h(f))|_x &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g} \beta_h(f)(g^{-1}x) \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g,h} f(h^{-1}g^{-1}x) \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g,h}^{-1} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (u_{g,h} \beta_{gh}(f) u_{g,h}^{-1})|_x &= \begin{cases} [\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g,h} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},gh}^{-1}] [\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},gh} f(h^{-1}g^{-1}x) \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},gh}^{-1}] \\ [\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},gh} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g,h} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}^{-1}], & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g,h} f(h^{-1}g^{-1}x) \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g,h}^{-1} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\beta_g \circ \beta_h(f) = u_{g,h} \beta_{gh}(f) u_{g,h}^{-1}$ and the equality (iv) holds.

Since $w_{r(g),g} = w_{g,d(g)} = 1_g$ and, by the hypothesis, $\tilde{w}_{g,h} 1_g 1_{gh} = w_{g,h}$, it follows that $\tilde{w}_{r(g),g} = \tilde{w}_{g,d(g)} = 1_{r(g)}$.

To see the condition (ii) of the Definition 1, for $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and $f \in Y_{g^{-1}}$, take

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta_{d(g)}(f)|_h &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},d(g)} f(d(g)h) \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},d(g)}^{-1}, & \text{if } h \in X_{d(g)}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},r(h)} f(r(h)h) \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},r(h)}^{-1}, & \text{if } h \in X_{d(g)}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},d(h^{-1})} f(h) \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},d(h^{-1})}^{-1}, & \text{if } h \in X_{d(g)}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} 1_{r(h^{-1})} f(h) 1_{r(h^{-1})}, & \text{if } h \in X_{d(g)}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} 1_{d(h)} f(h) 1_{d(h)}, & \text{if } h \in X_{d(g)}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} f(h), & \text{if } h \in X_{d(g)}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= f(h).
 \end{aligned}$$

Once $f \in Y_{g^{-1}}$, it implies that $f \in F_{g^{-1}}$, that is, $f(h) = 0$ for all $h \notin X_{d(g)}$, and that is why the last equality holds. Then $\beta_{d(g)}$ is the identity map of $Y_{g^{-1}}$ and the condition (ii) is satisfied.

The conditions (i) and (iii) of Definition 1 are straightforward. It remains to verify the condition (v) of the same definition.

Notice that, for $x \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$1_{Y_g}|_x = \begin{cases} 1_{d(x)}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} u_{r(g),g}|_x &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},r(g)}\tilde{w}_{x^{-1}r(g),g}\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},r(g)g}^{-1}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},r(x)}\tilde{w}_{x^{-1}r(x),g}\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},r(x)}\tilde{w}_{x^{-1}d(x^{-1}),g}\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},r(x)}\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},r(x)}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},d(x^{-1})}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1_{r(x^{-1})}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1_{d(x)}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= 1_{Y_g}|_x. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$u_{g,d(g)}|_x = \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}\tilde{w}_{x^{-1}g,d(g)}\tilde{w}_{x^{-1},gd(g)}^{-1}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1}g,d(x^{-1}g)} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g} 1_{r(x^{-1}g)} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g} 1_{r(x^{-1})} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g} \tilde{w}_{x^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} 1_{r(x^{-1})}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} 1_{d(x)}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= 1_{Y_g}|_x.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore β is a groupoid twisted global action of \mathcal{G} over $\mathcal{F} \cong \prod_{g \in \mathcal{G}} R_g$, where $R_g = R$, $\forall g \in \mathcal{G}$.

Now, for each $e \in \mathcal{G}_0$, define $\varphi_e : D_e \rightarrow Y_e$ by

$$\varphi_e(a)|_h = \begin{cases} \alpha_{h^{-1}}(a 1_h), & \text{if } h \in X_e, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all $a \in D_e$ and $h \in \mathcal{G}$. By the definition, it follows that $\varphi_e(a)|_e = a$. Thus, φ_e is a monomorphism of rings, for all $e \in \mathcal{G}_0$.

Let E_g be the subring of Y_g generated by $\cup_{r(h)=r(g)} \beta_h(\varphi_{d(h)}(D_{d(h)}))$, for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$. Notice that $\varphi_{d(g)}(D_{d(g)}) \subseteq E_{d(g)}$. Let $T = \prod_{e \in \mathcal{G}_0} E_e$ and for each $e \in \mathcal{G}_0$, let $i_e : E_e \rightarrow T$ be the injective map given by $i_e(x) = (x_l)_{l \in \mathcal{G}_0}$, with $x_e = x$ and $x_l = 0$ for all $l \neq e$. We will identify $i_e(E_e)$ with E_e . We shall prove that the restriction of β to T is a globalization for α . We will denote this restriction by the same symbol β .

For the beginning, we will show the condition (iv) of the Definition 2. For $g, h \in \mathcal{G}$ and $a \in D_{g^{-1}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(a))|_h &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g} \varphi_{d(g)}(a) (g^{-1}h) \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } h \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g} \alpha_{h^{-1}g}(a 1_{g^{-1}h}) \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} w_{h^{-1},g} \alpha_{h^{-1}g}(a 1_{g^{-1}h}) w_{h^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\varphi_{r(g)}(\alpha_g(a))|_h = \begin{cases} \alpha_{h^{-1}}(\alpha_g(a) 1_h), & \text{if } h \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{cases} \alpha_{h^{-1}}(\alpha_g(a)1_g1_h), & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \alpha_{h^{-1}}(\alpha_g(a1_{g^{-1}}1_{g^{-1}h})), & \text{if } x \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

By the condition (iv) of the Definition 1 for α , it follows that

$$\beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(a)) = \varphi_{r(g)}(\alpha_g(a)),$$

that is, (iv) of the Definition 2 holds.

Next step is to prove (iii) of the Definition 2, that means, for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\varphi_{r(g)}(D_g) = \varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)}) \cap \beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(D_{d(g)})).$$

An element on the right hand side can be written as $\varphi_{r(g)}(a_{r(g)}) = \beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(b_{d(g)}))$, for some $a_{r(g)} \in D_{r(g)}$ and $b_{d(g)} \in D_{d(g)}$. Then, for each $h \in \mathcal{G}$, $\varphi_{r(g)}(a_{r(g)})|_h = \beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(b_{d(g)}))|_h$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\begin{cases} \alpha_{h^{-1}}(\alpha_g(a_{r(g)})1_h), & \text{if } h \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}\varphi_{d(g)}(b_{d(g)})\tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } h \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}\alpha_{h^{-1}g}(b_{d(g)}1_{g^{-1}h})\tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } h \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\alpha_{h^{-1}}(\alpha_g(a_{r(g)})1_h) = \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}\alpha_{h^{-1}g}(b_{d(g)}1_{g^{-1}h})\tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}^{-1},$$

if $h \in X_g$. Take $h = r(g)$. Therefore

$$\alpha_{r(g)}(\alpha_g(a_{r(g)})1_{r(g)}) = \tilde{w}_{r(g),g}\alpha_{r(g)g}(b_{d(g)}1_{g^{-1}r(g)})\tilde{w}_{r(g),g}^{-1},$$

which implies

$$a_{r(g)} = \alpha_g(b_{d(g)}1_{g^{-1}}) \in D_g.$$

So $\varphi_{r(g)}(a_{r(g)}) \in \varphi_{r(g)}(D_g)$ and then $\varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)}) \cap \beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(D_{d(g)})) \subseteq \varphi_{r(g)}(D_g)$.

For the reverse inclusion, given an arbitrary $a_g \in D_g$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(\alpha_g^{-1}(a_g)))|_h &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}\varphi_{d(g)}(\alpha_g^{-1}(a_g))(g^{-1}h)\tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } h \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}\alpha_{h^{-1}g}(\alpha_g^{-1}(a_g)1_{g^{-1}h})\tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } h \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}\alpha_{h^{-1}g}(\alpha_g^{-1}(a_g)1_{g^{-1}h}) \\ \alpha_{h^{-1}g}(1_{g^{-1}}1_{g^{-1}h})\tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } h \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}\alpha_{h^{-1}g}(\alpha_g^{-1}(a_g)1_{g^{-1}h}) \\ 1_{h^{-1}g}1_{h^{-1}}\tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } h \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows by (iii) of the Definition 1. Moreover, using the hypothesis $\tilde{w}_{g,h}1_g1_{gh} = w_{g,h}$ and (iv) from the Definition 1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(\alpha_g^{-1}(a_g)))|_h &= \begin{cases} w_{h^{-1},g}\alpha_{h^{-1}g}(\alpha_g^{-1}(a_g)1_{g^{-1}h})w_{h^{-1},g}^{-1}, & \text{if } h \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha_{h^{-1}} \circ \alpha_g(\alpha_g^{-1}(a_g)1_{g^{-1}}1_{g^{-1}h}), & \text{if } h \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha_{h^{-1}}(a_g\alpha_g(1_{g^{-1}}1_{g^{-1}h})), & \text{if } h \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha_{h^{-1}}(a_g1_g1_{gh}), & \text{if } h \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha_{h^{-1}}(a_g1_h), & \text{if } h \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \varphi_{r(g)}(a_g)|_h. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\varphi_{r(g)}(D_g) \subseteq \varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)}) \cap \beta_g(\varphi_{d(g)}(D_{d(g)}))$ and (ii) of the Definition 2 is valid.

Next we check (i) of the Definition 1. Since $E_g (= E_{r(g)})$ is the subring of Y_g generated by $\cup_{r(h)=r(g)}\beta_h(\varphi_{d(h)}(D_{d(h)}))$, to see that $\varphi_e(D_e)$ is an ideal of E_e for each $e \in \mathcal{G}_0$ is enough to show that $\beta_h(\varphi_{d(h)}(a_{d(h)})) \cdot \varphi_{r(g)}(b_{r(g)})$, $\varphi_{r(g)}(b_{r(g)}) \cdot \beta_h(\varphi_{d(h)}(a_{d(h)})) \in \varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)})$, for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$, $h \in X_g$, $a_{d(h)} \in D_{d(h)}$ and $b_{r(g)} \in D_{r(g)}$.

For $k \in \mathcal{G}$, using a similar argument to what was done to show (iii) of the Definition 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta_h(\varphi_{d(h)}(a_{d(h)}))|_k \cdot \varphi_{r(g)}(b_{r(g)})|_k \\ &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1},h}\varphi_{d(h)}(a_{d(h)})(h^{-1}k)\tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}^{-1} \cdot \alpha_{k^{-1}}(b_{r(g)}1_k), & \text{if } k \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1},h}\alpha_{k^{-1}h}(a_{d(h)}1_{h^{-1}k})\tilde{w}_{h^{-1},g}^{-1} \cdot \alpha_{k^{-1}}(b_{r(g)}1_k), & \text{if } k \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha_{k^{-1}} \circ \alpha_h(a_{d(h)}1_{h^{-1}}1_{h^{-1}k}) \cdot \alpha_{k^{-1}}(b_{r(g)}1_k), & \text{if } k \in X_g \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha_{k^{-1}}(\alpha_h(a_{d(h)}1_{h^{-1}})b_{r(g)}1_k), & \text{if } k \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \varphi_{r(g)}(\alpha_h(a_{d(h)}1_{h^{-1}})b_{r(g)})|_k. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that $\beta_h(\varphi_{d(h)}(a_{d(h)})) \cdot \varphi_{r(g)}(b_{r(g)}) \in \varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)})$. Similarly,

$$\varphi_{r(g)}(b_{r(g)}) \cdot \beta_h(\varphi_{d(h)}(a_{d(h)})) \in \varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)}).$$

We show next that u satisfies (v) of the Definition 2. By the conditions (vi) and (iii) of the Definition 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{r(g)}(w_{g,h})|_t &= \begin{cases} \alpha_{t-1}(w_{g,h}1_t), & \text{if } t \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} w_{t-1,g}w_{t-1,g,h}w_{t-1,gh}^{-1}, & \text{if } t \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 1_{t-1}1_{t-1,g}1_{t-1,gh}\tilde{w}_{t-1,g}\tilde{w}_{t-1,g,h}\tilde{w}_{t-1,gh}^{-1}, & \text{if } t \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \alpha_{t-1}(1_t1_g1_{gh})\tilde{w}_{t-1,g}\tilde{w}_{t-1,g,h}\tilde{w}_{t-1,gh}^{-1}, & \text{if } t \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \varphi_{r(g)}(1_g1_{gh})|_t \cdot u_{g,h}|_t = (\varphi_{r(g)}(1_g1_{gh}) \cdot u_{g,h})|_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\varphi_{r(g)}(w_{g,h}) = \varphi_{r(g)}(1_g1_{gh}) \cdot u_{g,h}$. For $a \in D_g D_{gh}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{r(g)}(aw_{g,h}) &= \varphi_{r(g)}(a)\varphi_{r(g)}(w_{g,h}) \\
&= \varphi_{r(g)}(a)\varphi_{r(g)}(1_g1_{gh})u_{g,h}, \text{ if } t \in X_g \\
&= \varphi_{r(g)}(a1_g1_{gh})u_{g,h} \\
&= \varphi_{r(g)}(a)u_{g,h}.
\end{aligned}$$

By a similar reasoning, we have that $\varphi_{r(g)}(w_{g,h}a) = u_{g,h}\varphi_{r(g)}(a)$.

Observe that $E_g = \sum_{r(h)=r(g)} \beta_h(\varphi_{d(h)}(D_{d(h)}))$ for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$. This is a consequence of the fact that each $\varphi_{d(h)}(D_{d(h)})$ is an ideal of $E_{d(h)}$, as it was showed previously.

It remains to prove that each $u_{g,h} \in \mathcal{U}(E_g)$. Recall that, a priori, $u_{g,h} \in \mathcal{U}(Y_g)$. Let's check that $u_{g,h}E_g = E_g = E_g u_{g,h}$.

Step 1: $u_{g,h}\varphi_{r(g)}(a_g) = \varphi_{r(g)}(\tilde{w}_{g,h}a_g)$, for all $(g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2$ and $a_g \in E_g$.

In fact, using the hypothesis (*), for all $t \in \mathcal{G}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{g,h}\varphi_{r(g)}(a_g)|_t &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{t-1,g}\tilde{w}_{t-1,g,h}\tilde{w}_{t-1,gh}^{-1}\alpha_{t-1}(a_g1_t), & \text{if } t \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \alpha_{t-1}(\tilde{w}_{g,h}1_t)\alpha_{t-1}(a_g1_t), & \text{if } t \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \alpha_{t-1}(\tilde{w}_{g,h}a_g1_t) & \text{if } t \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \varphi_{r(g)}(\tilde{w}_{g,h}a_g)|_t.
\end{aligned}$$

By Step 1, it follows that $u_{g,h}\varphi_{r(g)}(a_g) \in \varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)})$. It is analogously seen that $u_{g,h}^{-1}\varphi_{r(g)}(a_g) = \varphi_{r(g)}(\tilde{w}_{g,h}^{-1}a_g)$, so that $u_{g,h}^{-1}\varphi_{r(g)}(a_g) \in \varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)})$.

Step 2: $\beta_t^{-1}(f) = u_{t-1,t}^{-1}\beta_{t-1}(f)u_{t-1,t}$, for all $t \in \mathcal{G}$ and $f \in Y_t$.

Take $h \in \mathcal{G}$.

$$\begin{aligned} u_{t^{-1},t}^{-1} \beta_{t^{-1}}(f) u_{t^{-1},t} |_h &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},t^{-1}} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1}t^{-1},t}^{-1} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},t^{-1}}^{-1} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},t^{-1}} f(th) \\ \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},t^{-1}}^{-1} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},t^{-1}} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1}t^{-1},t} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1},t^{-1}}^{-1}, & \text{if } h \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{h^{-1}t^{-1},t}^{-1} f(th) \tilde{w}_{h^{-1}t^{-1},t}, & \text{if } t \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \beta_t^{-1}(f) |_h. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: $\beta_g(u_{h,t})u_{g,ht} = u_{g,h}u_{gh,t}$.

By the hypothesis (*), for $k \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\beta_g(u_{h,t})u_{g,ht})|_k \\ &= \begin{cases} [\tilde{w}_{k^{-1},g} u_{h,t} (g^{-1}k) \tilde{w}_{k^{-1},g}^{-1}] [\tilde{w}_{k^{-1},g} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1}g,ht} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1},gh,t}^{-1}], & \text{if } k \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1},g} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1}g,h} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1}gh,t} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1},gh,t}^{-1} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1},g}^{-1} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1},g} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1}g,ht} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1},gh,t}^{-1}, & \text{if } k \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1},g} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1}g,h} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1}gh,t} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1},gh,t}^{-1}, & \text{if } k \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} [\tilde{w}_{k^{-1},g} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1}g,h} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1},gh,t}^{-1}] [\tilde{w}_{k^{-1},gh} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1}gh,t} \tilde{w}_{k^{-1},gh,t}^{-1}], & \text{if } k \in X_g, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= (u_{g,h}u_{gh,t})|_k. \end{aligned}$$

Using Steps 1, 2 and 3, we have that, for all $a_g \in D_{r(g)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_t^{-1}(u_{g,h}) \varphi_{r(g)}(a_g) &= u_{t^{-1},t}^{-1} \beta_{t^{-1}}(u_{g,h}) u_{t^{-1},t} \varphi_{r(g)}(a_g) \\ &= u_{t^{-1},t}^{-1} u_{t^{-1},g} u_{t^{-1}g,h} u_{t^{-1},gh}^{-1} u_{t^{-1},t} \varphi_{r(g)}(a_g) \in \varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\beta_t^{-1}(u_{g,h}) \varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)}) \subseteq \varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)}),$$

then

$$u_{g,h} \beta_t(\varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)})) \subseteq \beta_t(\varphi_{r(g)}(D_{r(g)})),$$

concluding that $u_{g,h}E_g \subseteq E_g$.

Similarly, $u_{g,h}^{-1}E_g \subseteq E_g$. An analogous argument gives $E_g u_{g,h} E_g u_{g,h}^{-1} \subseteq E_g$, which shows that $u_{g,h}E_g = E_g = E_g u_{g,h}$. \square

Example 3. Consider R , \mathcal{G} and α as in Example 1. We have that α is a globalizable groupoid twisted partial action by taking

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}_{r(g),r(g)} &= \tilde{w}_{r(g),g} = \tilde{w}_{g,d(g)} = 1_{r(g)} = e_1 + e_2 \\ \tilde{w}_{d(g),d(g)} &= \tilde{w}_{d(g),g^{-1}} = \tilde{w}_{g^{-1},r(g)} = 1_{d(g)} = e_3 + e_4 \\ \tilde{w}_{g,g^{-1}} &= -1_{r(g)} = -(e_1 + e_2) \\ \tilde{w}_{g^{-1},g} &= -1_{d(g)} = -(e_3 + e_4) \end{aligned}$$

and applying Theorem 1.

4. TWISTED CROSSED PRODUCTS AND MORITA EQUIVALENCE

Given a groupoid twisted partial action, we define the twisted crossed product associated to it and we show that the twisted crossed products of a partial action and of its globalization are Morita equivalent.

Definition 3. Let $\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{w_{g,h}\}_{(g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2})$ be a groupoid twisted partial action of \mathcal{G} on a ring R . We define the *twisted crossed product* $R \rtimes_{\alpha,w} \mathcal{G}$ as

$$R \rtimes_{\alpha,w} \mathcal{G} = \left\{ \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}}^{\text{finite}} a_g \delta_g : a_g \in D_g \right\} = \bigoplus_{g \in \mathcal{G}} D_g \delta_g,$$

where the δ_g 's are symbols. The addition is the usual and the product is given by

$$(a_g \delta_g)(b_h \delta_h) = \begin{cases} \alpha_g(\alpha_g^{-1}(a_g)b_h)w_{g,h}\delta_{gh}, & \text{if } (g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2. Let $\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{w_{g,h}\}_{(g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2})$ be a groupoid twisted partial action of \mathcal{G} on R . Then the crossed product $R \rtimes_{\alpha,w} \mathcal{G}$ is associative.

Proof. Let $a_g \delta_g, b_h \delta_h, c_k \delta_k \in R \rtimes_{\alpha,w} \mathcal{G}$. On the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} [(a_g \delta_g)(b_h \delta_h)](c_k \delta_k) &= \begin{cases} (\alpha_g(\alpha_g^{-1}(a_g)b_h)w_{g,h}\delta_{gh})(c_k \delta_k), & \text{if } (g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha_{gh}(\alpha_{gh}^{-1}(\alpha_g(\alpha_g^{-1}(a_g)b_h)w_{g,h})c_k) \\ w_{gh,k}\delta_{ghk}, & \text{if } (g,h,k) \in \mathcal{G}^3, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (a_g \delta_g)[(b_h \delta_h)(c_k \delta_k)] &= \begin{cases} (a_g \delta_g)(\alpha_h(\alpha_h^{-1}(b_h)c_k)w_{h,k}\delta_{hk}), & \text{if } (h,k) \in \mathcal{G}^2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha_g(\alpha_g^{-1}(a_g)\alpha_h(\alpha_h^{-1}(b_h)c_k)w_{h,k}) \\ w_{g,hk}\delta_{ghk}, & \text{if } (g,h,k) \in \mathcal{G}^3, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

So we can handle only with the case in where $(g,h,k) \in \mathcal{G}^3$. Thus the result follows by [3, Theorem 2.4]. \square

Let $\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{w_{g,h}\}_{(g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2})$ be a groupoid twisted partial action of \mathcal{G} on a ring R and $\beta = (\{E_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\beta_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{u_{g,h}\}_{(g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2})$ a groupoid twisted global action such that β is a globalization of α , acting on a ring T . Denote by $A = R \rtimes_{\alpha,w} \mathcal{G}$ and by $B = T \rtimes_{\beta,u} \mathcal{G}$. For simplicity, we will identify $\varphi_e(D_e)$ with D_e , for all $e \in \mathcal{G}_0$, where the φ_e 's are the monomorphisms established in the Definition 2.

Suppose that \mathcal{G}_0 is finite. Then A has a unity

$$1_A = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}_0} 1_e \delta_e.$$

Proposition 1. Keeping the notations above, it follows that:

$$(i) \quad B1_A = \left\{ \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}}^{\text{finite}} c_g \delta_g : c_g \in \beta_g(D_{d(g)}) \right\}.$$

- (ii) $1_A B = \left\{ \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}}^{finite} c_g \delta_g : c_g \in D_{r(g)} \right\}.$
- (iii) $1_A B 1_A = A.$
- (iv) $B 1_A B = B.$

Proof. (i): For all $g \in \mathcal{G}$, $s \in E_g$ there is $t \in E_{g^{-1}}$ such that $s = \beta_g(t)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (s \delta_g) 1_A &= (s \delta_g) (1_{d(g)} \delta_{d(g)}) = \beta_g(\beta_g^{-1}(s) 1_{d(g)}) u_{g, d(g)} \delta_{g d(g)} \\ &= \beta_g(\beta_g^{-1}(s) 1_{d(g)}) \delta_g \\ &= \beta_g(t 1_{d(g)}) \delta_g, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_g := \beta_g(t 1_{d(g)}) \in \beta_g(D_{d(g)})$.

For the reverse inclusion, take $a \in D_{d(g)}$ and $c_g = \beta_g(a)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_g \delta_g &= \beta_g(a) \delta_g = \beta_g(a 1_{d(g)}) \delta_g \\ &= \beta_g(a) \beta_g(1_{d(g)}) \delta_g \\ &= c_g \beta_g(1_{d(g)}) \delta_g \\ &= \beta_g(\beta_g^{-1}(c_g) 1_{d(g)}) u_{g, d(g)} \delta_{g d(g)} \\ &= (c_g \delta_g) (1_{d(g)} \delta_{d(g)}) \\ &= (c_g \delta_g) 1_A. \end{aligned}$$

(ii): Let $s \in E_g = E_{r(g)}$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} 1_A (s \delta_g) &= (1_{r(g)} \delta_{r(g)}) (s \delta_g) = \beta_{r(g)}(\beta_{r(g)}^{-1}(1_{r(g)} s) u_{r(g), g} \delta_{r(g)g}) \\ &= 1_{r(g)} \beta_{r(g)}(s) \delta_g \\ &= 1_{r(g)} s \delta_g, \end{aligned}$$

and since $D_{r(g)}$ is an ideal of $E_{r(g)}$, $1_{r(g)} s \in D_{r(g)}$.

For the reverse inclusion, let $c_g \in D_{r(g)}$. Then $c_g \delta_g = (1_{r(g)} \delta_{r(g)}) (c_g \delta_g) = 1_A (c_g \delta_g)$.

(iii): The inclusion $A \subseteq 1_A B 1_A$ is clear. Let $a \in E_g = E_{r(g)}$. Then $a 1_g \in E_{r(g)} 1_g = D(g)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 1_A (a \delta_g) 1_A &= (1_{r(g)} \delta_{r(g)}) (a \delta_g) (1_{d(g)} \delta_{d(g)}) \\ &= (1_{r(g)} a \delta_g) (1_{d(g)} \delta_{d(g)}) \\ &= \beta_g(\beta_g^{-1}(1_{r(g)} a) 1_{d(g)}) u_{g, d(g)} \delta_g. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1_{d(g)} = 1_{r(g^{-1})} \in E_{g^{-1}}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 1_A (a \delta_g) 1_A &= 1_{r(g)} a \beta_g(1_{d(g)}) \delta_g \\ &= a 1_{r(g)} \beta_g(1_{d(g)}) \delta_g \\ &= a 1_g \delta_g \in A. \end{aligned}$$

(iv): We just need to show that $B \subseteq B 1_A B$. Since

$$E_h = \sum_{r(g)=r(h)} \beta_g(D_{d(g)}),$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{G}$, so the result follows from (i) and (ii). In fact, for all $a \in D_{d(g)}$ with $r(g) = r(h)$,

$$\beta_g(a) \delta_h \stackrel{(*)}{=} (\beta_g(a) \delta_g) (1_{d(g)} u_{g^{-1}, g}^{-1} u_{g^{-1}, h} \delta_{g^{-1}h}) \in (B 1_A) B = B 1_A B.$$

The equality $(*)$ is valid because

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\beta_g(a)\delta_g)(1_{d(g)}u_{g^{-1},g}^{-1}u_{g^{-1},h}\delta_{g^{-1}h}) \\
&= \beta_g(\beta_g^{-1}(\beta_g(a))1_{d(g)}u_{g^{-1},g}^{-1}u_{g^{-1},h})u_{g,g^{-1}h}\delta_{g(g^{-1}h)} \\
&= \beta_g(a1_{d(g)}u_{g^{-1},g}^{-1}u_{g^{-1},h})u_{g,g^{-1}h}\delta_h \\
&= \beta_g(au_{g^{-1},g}^{-1})u_{g,g^{-1}}u_{r(h),h}\delta_h \\
&\stackrel{(**)}{=} \beta_g(a)u_{g,g^{-1}}^{-1}u_{g,g^{-1}}\delta_h \\
&= \beta_g(a)\delta_h,
\end{aligned}$$

where $(**)$ follows from Definition 1 taking $h = g^{-1}, t = g$ and $a = aw_{g^{-1},g}^{-1}$. \square

A Morita context is a six-tuple $(R, R', M, M', \tau, \tau')$ where R, R' are rings, M is a R, R' -bimodule, M' is a R', R -bimodule, $\tau : M \otimes_{R'} M' \rightarrow R$, $\tau' : M' \otimes_R M \rightarrow R'$ are bimodules maps such that

$$\tau(x \otimes x')y = x\tau'(x' \otimes y), \text{ for all } x, y \in M, x' \in M'$$

and

$$\tau'(x' \otimes x)y' = x'\tau(x \otimes y'), \text{ for all } x', y' \in M', x \in M.$$

It follows from [11, Theorems 4.1.4 and 4.1.17] that if τ and τ' are onto, then the categories of R -modules and R' -modules are equivalent. In this case, we say that R and R' are *Morita equivalent*.

The next result generalizes [1, Theorem 3.2] and [4, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 3. *Let α be a globalizable groupoid twisted partial action of a finite groupoid \mathcal{G} on a unital ring R and β be a globalization of α of \mathcal{G} on T . Then the rings $A = R \rtimes_{\alpha,w} \mathcal{G}$ and $B = T \rtimes_{\beta,u} \mathcal{G}$ are Morita equivalent.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{G} is finite, R is unital and α is globalizable, we have that R, A and B are unital. Let

$$M = \left\{ \bigoplus_{g \in \mathcal{G}} D_{r(g)} \delta_g \right\} \subseteq B$$

and

$$N = \left\{ \bigoplus_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \beta_g(D_{d(g)}) \delta_g \right\} \subseteq B.$$

First we will show that M is a A, B -bimodule and N is a B, A -bimodule.

Assertion 1: M is a right ideal of B and N is a left ideal of B .

In fact, let $m\delta_g \in M$ and $b\delta_h \in B$. Then

$$(m\delta_g)(b\delta_h) = \begin{cases} m\beta_g(b)u_{g,h}\delta_{gh}, & \text{if } \exists gh \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $b \in E_h = E_{r(h)} = E_{d(g)} = E_{g^{-1}}$, $\beta_g(b) \in E_g$. Also, since $D_{r(g)}$ is an ideal of $E_{r(g)} = E_g$, we have that $m\beta_g(b) \in D_{r(g)}$. Moreover, $E_{r(g)} = E_g = E_{gh}$, so $D_{r(g)}E_g \subseteq D_gD_{gh}$, hence $m\beta_g(b)u_{g,h} = m\beta_g(b)w_{g,h} \in D_gD_{gh} \subseteq D_{r(g)}$. So M is a right ideal of B .

Let $b\delta_g \in B$ and $n\delta_h \in N$. We have that $n = \beta_h(n')$ for some $n' \in D_{d(h)}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (b\delta_g)(n\delta_h) &= \begin{cases} b\beta_g(n)u_{g,h}\delta_{gh}, & \text{if } \exists gh \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} b\beta_g(\beta_h(n'))u_{g,h}\delta_{gh}, & \text{if } \exists gh \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} bu_{g,h}\beta_{gh}(n')\delta_{gh}, & \text{if } \exists gh, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Now, $\beta_{gh}(D_{d(h)})$ is an ideal of $E_{d(h)} = E_h$, from where it follows that $bu_{g,h}\beta_{gh}(n') \in \beta_{gh}(D_{d(h)}) = \beta_{gh}(D_{d(gh)})$.

Assertion 2: $AM \subseteq M$ and $NA \subseteq N$.

First notice that the products AM and NA are well-defined by Proposition 1. Let $a\delta_g \in A$ and $m\delta_h \in M$. We have that

$$(a\delta_g)(m\delta_h) = \begin{cases} a\beta_g(m)u_{g,h}\delta_{gh}, & \text{if } \exists gh, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is an element of M , since $D_{r(g)}$ is an ideal of M .

Now, let $n\delta_g \in N$ and $a\delta_h \in A$. We have that $n = \beta_g(n')$ for some $n' \in D_{d(g)}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (n\delta_g)(a\delta_h) &= \begin{cases} n\beta_g(a)u_{g,h}\delta_{gh}, & \text{if } \exists gh, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \beta_g(n'a)u_{g,h}\delta_{gh}, & \text{if } \exists gh, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since $a \in D_h \triangleleft D_{r(h)} = D_{d(g)}$ and $n' \in D_{d(g)}$, we have that $an' \in D_h$. So $an' = \alpha_h(x)$, for some $x \in D_{h^{-1}}$. In this way,

$$\beta_g(n'a)u_{g,h}\delta_{gh} = \beta_g(\alpha_h(x))u_{g,h}\delta_{gh} = \beta_g(\beta_h(x))u_{g,h}\delta_{gh} = u_{g,h}\beta_{gh}(x)\delta_{gh} \in N.$$

Assertions 1 and 2 guarantee that M is a A, B -bimodule and N is a B, A -bimodule.

Define, then,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : M \otimes_B N &\rightarrow A \\ m \otimes n &\mapsto mn \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau' : N \otimes_A M &\rightarrow B \\ n \otimes m &\mapsto nm. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that τ and τ' are bimodule maps and onto. Furthermore,

$$\tau(m \otimes n)m' = (mn)m' = m(nm') = m\tau'(n \otimes m')$$

and

$$\tau'(n \otimes m)n' = (nm)n' = n(mn') = n\tau(m \otimes n'),$$

for all $m, m' \in M$ and $n, n' \in N$ by Theorem 2. Therefore, A and B are Morita equivalent. \square

5. PARTIAL PROJECTIVE REPRESENTATIONS

The main goal of this section is to extend the notion of partial projective representations given in [5] and [6]. We also study the structure of the partial Schur multiplier.

From now on, let \mathbb{K} be a field and \mathbb{K}^* its multiplicative group.

Definition 4. Let \mathfrak{C} be an inverse semigroupoid and \mathfrak{D} a semigroupoid. A map $\varphi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ is said a *partial homomorphism* if $\exists xy \implies \exists \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$ and in this case

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(x^{-1})\varphi(x)\varphi(y) &= \varphi(x^{-1})\varphi(xy), \\ \varphi(x)\varphi(y)\varphi(y^{-1}) &= \varphi(xy)\varphi(y^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Example 4. Consider \mathcal{G} a groupoid and $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})$ the Exel's inverse category constructed in [8] with generators $\{[x] : x \in \mathcal{G}\}$ and relations

$$\begin{aligned}\exists [x][y] &\iff \exists xy, \\ [x^{-1}][x][y] &= [x^{-1}][xy], \\ [x][y][y^{-1}] &= [xy][y^{-1}], \\ [r(x)][x] &= [x] = [x][d(x)].\end{aligned}$$

The inclusion $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})$ given by $x \mapsto [x]$ is a partial homomorphism.

Consider $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ the $n \times n$ matrix semigroup with entries in \mathbb{K} equipped with multiplication. The notion of projective representation of semigroups [5] is attached with the matrix monoids, but we will proceed to a more general definition.

Definition 5. A \mathbb{K} -semigroup is a semigroup S with 0 provided with a map $K \times S \rightarrow S$ such that $\alpha(\beta x) = (\alpha\beta)x$, $1_{\mathbb{K}}x = x$ and $\alpha(xy) = (\alpha x)y = x(\alpha y)$, for all $x, y \in S$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$. Besides that, $x0_{\mathbb{K}} = 0_{\mathbb{K}}x = 0_S$, for all $x \in S$. We say that S is a \mathbb{K} -cancellative semigroup if it is a \mathbb{K} -semigroup and $\alpha x = \beta x$ implies $\alpha = \beta$, for $0 \neq x \in S$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$.

If S is a \mathbb{K} -semigroup, we can define the relation λ in S by $x\lambda y \iff x = \alpha y$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}^*$. It is easy to see that λ is an equivalence relation and a congruence, from where it follows that the quotient semigroup $\mathcal{P}(S) := S/\lambda$ is well defined. Let $\Delta : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ be a semigroupoid homomorphism and $\xi : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ the canonical projection map. Let $\xi' : \mathcal{P}(S) \rightarrow S$ be a choice of representatives. Clearly ξ' is a right inverse to ξ . If we define $\Gamma = \xi'\Delta$, we have that $\Delta = \xi\xi'\Delta = \xi\Gamma$. Since Δ and ξ are homomorphisms, if $\exists xy$ in \mathfrak{C} ,

$$\xi\Gamma(xy) = \Delta(xy) = \Delta(x)\Delta(y) = \xi(\Gamma(x))\xi(\Gamma(y)) = \xi(\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)),$$

so $\Gamma(xy)$ and $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)$ cancel simultaneously. Moreover, if they do not equal 0, they differ only by an element of \mathbb{K}^* . This yields the next definition.

Definition 6. Let \mathfrak{C} be a semigroupoid and S a \mathbb{K} -cancellative semigroup. A *projective representation* of \mathfrak{C} on S is a map $\Gamma : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow S$ such that $\xi\Gamma : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a semigroupoid homomorphism.

That is:

Definition 7. Let \mathfrak{C} be a semigroupoid and S a \mathbb{K} -cancellative semigroup. A projective representation of \mathfrak{C} on S is a map $\Gamma : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow S$ such that

- (i) If $\exists xy$, then $\Gamma(xy) = 0 \iff \Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = 0$; if $\nexists xy$, then $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = 0$.

(ii) There is a partially defined map $\rho : \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$ such that

$$\text{dom}\rho = \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{C}^2 : \Gamma(xy) \neq 0\}$$

and

$$(1) \quad \Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = \Gamma(xy)\rho(x, y),$$

for all $(x, y) \in \text{dom}\rho$.

The map ρ is said a *factor set*.

Definition 8. Let \mathfrak{C} be an inverse semigroupoid and S a \mathbb{K} -cancellative semigroup. A *partial projective representation* of \mathfrak{C} on S is a map $\Gamma : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow S$ such that $\xi\Gamma : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ is a partial semigroupoid homomorphism.

Concerning about Definition 7, notice that if $x \in S$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, we can define $x\alpha = \alpha x$. Besides that, if $\Gamma(xy) = 0$ or $\nexists xy$, we can consider $\rho(x, y) = 0_{\mathbb{K}}$ without changing the equality (1). In this way we can write $\text{dom}\rho = \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C}$. Furthermore, if S is \mathbb{K} -cancellative, ρ is uniquely determined by Γ . Similarly to the case of semigroups [5], we can apply the definition of projective representation in $\Gamma(x)(\Gamma(y)\Gamma(z)) = (\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y))\Gamma(z)$ to obtain

$$\rho(x, y)\rho(xy, z) = \rho(x, yz)\rho(y, z),$$

for all $(x, y, z) \in \mathfrak{C}^3$. We call that the *2-cocycle equality*.

Theorem 4. Let \mathfrak{C} be a category. A map $\rho : \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is a factor set for some projective representation of \mathfrak{C} if and only if

$$(2) \quad \rho(x, y)\rho(xy, z) = \rho(x, yz)\rho(y, z)$$

and

$$(3) \quad \rho(x, y) = 0 \iff \rho(r(x), xy) = 0,$$

for all $(x, y, z) \in \mathfrak{C}^3$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow): We already know that (2) holds. Now,

$$\rho(x, y) = 0 \iff \Gamma(xy) = 0 \iff \Gamma(r(x)xy) = 0 \iff \rho(r(x), xy) = 0.$$

(\Leftarrow): Consider the monoid with 0 $M = \mathcal{M}_{|\mathfrak{C}|}(\mathbb{K})$. For all $x \in \mathfrak{C}$, consider the $|\mathfrak{C}| \times |\mathfrak{C}|$ matrix

$$\Gamma(x) = (\gamma_{u,v}(x))_{u,v \in \mathfrak{C}}$$

defined by

$$\gamma_{u,v}(x) = \begin{cases} \rho(u, x), & \text{if } \exists ux \text{ and } ux = v. \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The product between these matrices is always defined since they are monomial in the rows.

Take $x, y \in \mathfrak{C}$. Write $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = (\delta_{u,v})_{u,v \in \mathfrak{C}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{u,v} &= \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{C}} \gamma_{u,t}(x)\gamma_{t,v}(y) = \begin{cases} \rho(u, x)\gamma_{ux,v}(y), & \text{if } \exists ux, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \rho(u, x)\rho(ux, y), & \text{if } \exists uxy \text{ and } uxy = v, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If $\nexists xy$ then $\nexists uxy$, from where it follows that $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = 0$ as we wanted. If $\exists uxy$, we have that (2) holds, so

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{u,v} &= \begin{cases} \rho(u, xy)\rho(x, y), & \text{if } \exists ux \text{ and } uxy = v, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \gamma_{u,v}(xy)\rho(x, y),\end{aligned}$$

that is, $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = \Gamma(xy)\rho(x, y)$.

In particular, $\Gamma(xy) = 0 \implies \Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = 0$. By the construction of Γ , if $x, y \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $\exists uxy$, we have that

$$\Gamma(xy) = 0 \iff \rho(u, xy) = 0, \text{ for all } u \in \mathfrak{C} \text{ such that } \exists ux,$$

and

$$\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = 0 \iff \rho(u, xy)\rho(x, y) = 0, \text{ for all } u \in \mathfrak{C} \text{ such that } \exists ux.$$

It is enough to prove that $\rho(x, y) = 0$ implies that $\rho(u, xy) = 0$ for all suitable $u \in \mathfrak{C}$. Assume that $\rho(x, y) = 0$ but $\rho(u, xy) \neq 0$ for some $u \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $\exists ux$ (if there is no such u , the result is proven). We have by (3) that $\rho(r(u), uxy) \neq 0$, that is, $\rho(ux, y) \neq 0$. By (2) it follows that $\rho(u, x) = \rho(r(u), ux) = 0$ and

$$0 = \rho(r(u), ux)\rho(r(u)ux, y) = \rho(r(u), uxy)\rho(ux, y),$$

that is, $\rho(r(u), uxy) = 0$ but $\rho(u, xy) \neq 0$, which is a contradiction with (3). \square

Consider two factor sets ρ and σ . We say that $\rho \sim \sigma$ if there is a map $\nu : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$ such that

$$\rho(x, y) = \nu(x)\nu(xy)^{-1}\nu(y)\sigma(x, y)$$

whenever $\exists uxy$ in \mathfrak{C} . If $\nexists uxy$, then $\rho(x, y) = \sigma(x, y) = 0$.

Defining the product of factor sets by pointwise multiplication, we have that $m(\mathfrak{C})$, the set of all factor sets of \mathfrak{C} , is a semigroup and \sim is a congruence. The Schur multiplier $M(\mathfrak{C})$ is then defined as the quotient semigroup $M(\mathfrak{C}) = m(\mathfrak{C}) / \sim$.

By the same arguments used in [5, Lemma 2], it follows that the semigroups $m(\mathfrak{C})$ and $M(\mathfrak{C})$ are regular (every element has an inverse, not necessarily unique) and commutative. Hence, by Clifford's Theorem [7, Corollary IV.2.2], they are strong semilattices of groups, in the sense that

$$m(\mathfrak{C}) = \bigcup_{\zeta \in b(\mathfrak{C})} m_\zeta(\mathfrak{C}), M(\mathfrak{C}) = \bigcup_{\zeta \in B(\mathfrak{C})} M_\zeta(\mathfrak{C}),$$

where $b(\mathfrak{C})$ and $B(\mathfrak{C})$ are semilattices and $m_\zeta(\mathfrak{C}), M_\zeta(\mathfrak{C})$ are commutative groups.

This is the motivation to study the idempotents in $m(\mathfrak{C})$.

Proposition 2. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the idempotents of $m(\mathfrak{C})$ and the ideals of \mathfrak{C} , namely $\epsilon \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{I}_\epsilon$, such that*

$$\epsilon(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \nexists uxy \text{ or } \exists uxy \text{ and } xy \notin \mathfrak{I}_\epsilon, \\ 0, & \text{if } \exists uxy \text{ and } xy \in \mathfrak{I}_\epsilon, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{I}_{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2} = \mathfrak{I}_{\epsilon_1} \cup \mathfrak{I}_{\epsilon_2}.$$

Proof. If $\epsilon \in m(\mathfrak{C})$ is idempotent, then the image of ϵ can be 0 and 1 only. Thus if $\exists xy$ then $\epsilon(x, y) = \epsilon(r(x), xy)$. Moreover, if $(x, y, z) \in \mathfrak{C}^3$ we have that

$$\epsilon(r(x), xy)\epsilon(r(x), xyz) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \epsilon(r(x), xyz)\epsilon(r(y), yz).$$

Define $\mathfrak{J}_\epsilon = \{y \in \mathfrak{C} : \epsilon(r(y), y) = 0\}$. Setting $x = r(y)$ in $(*)$, we obtain

$$\epsilon(r(y), y)\epsilon(r(y), yz) = \epsilon(r(y), yz)\epsilon(r(y), yz) = \epsilon(r(y), yz).$$

Therefore, if $y \in \mathfrak{J}_\epsilon$ and $\exists yz$, then $\epsilon(r(y), yz) = \epsilon(r(yz), yz) = 0$, that is, $yz \in \mathfrak{J}_\epsilon$. On the other hand, setting $z = d(y)$ in $(*)$, we have that

$$\epsilon(r(x), xy) = \epsilon(r(x), xy)\epsilon(r(y), y).$$

Similarly, if $y \in \mathfrak{J}_\epsilon$ and $\exists xy$, then $xy \in \mathfrak{J}_\epsilon$, proving that \mathfrak{J}_ϵ is an ideal of \mathfrak{C} . For the converse, consider \mathfrak{J} an ideal of \mathfrak{C} . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(r(x), x) &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \notin \mathfrak{J}, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in \mathfrak{J}, \end{cases} \\ \epsilon(x, y) &= \begin{cases} \epsilon(r(x), xy), & \text{if } \exists xy, \\ 0, & \text{if } \nexists xy. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If $(x, y, z) \in \mathfrak{C}^3$ is such that $xyz \in \mathfrak{J}$, then $(*)$ holds. If $xyz \notin \mathfrak{J}$, neither xy nor yz are in \mathfrak{J} . In this case $(*)$ also holds. \square

Denote by $Y(\mathfrak{C})$ the semilattice of ideals of \mathfrak{C} with respect to usual inclusion. We will consider $\emptyset \in Y(\mathfrak{C})$. The next result is a direct consequence of the lemma above and of the fact that \sim separates idempotents.

Corollary 1. $b(\mathfrak{C}) \cong B(\mathfrak{C}) \cong Y(\mathfrak{C})$ as semilattices.

It follows that the components of $m(\mathfrak{C})$ and $M(\mathfrak{C})$ can be indexed by the elements of $Y(\mathfrak{C})$. Let $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{J}}$ be the unital element of $m_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathfrak{C})$. Then

$$\epsilon_{\mathfrak{J}}(x, y) = 0 \iff \nexists xy \text{ or } \exists xy \text{ and } xy \in \mathfrak{J}.$$

From $\rho\rho^{-1} = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{J}}$, for some $\mathfrak{J} \in Y(\mathfrak{C})$, we conclude the next result.

Corollary 2. The group $m_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathfrak{C})$ consists of the factor sets ρ such that

$$\rho(x, y) = 0 \iff \nexists xy \text{ or } \exists xy \text{ and } xy \in \mathfrak{J}.$$

From now on, \mathcal{G} will denote a groupoid. The next three results follow straightforward by the same arguments used in [5, Section 4] and [8], and their proofs will be omitted.

Proposition 3. A map $\Gamma : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow S$ is a partial projective representation if and only if $\Gamma = \bar{\Gamma}f$ for some projective representation $\bar{\Gamma} : \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow S$.

Theorem 5. Let S be a \mathbb{K} -cancellative semigroup. A map $\Gamma : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow S$ is a partial projective representation of \mathcal{G} if and only if

(i) For all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$, if $\exists xy$,

$$\Gamma(x^{-1})\Gamma(xy) = 0 \iff \Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = 0 \iff \Gamma(xy)\Gamma(y^{-1}) = 0,$$

and if $\nexists xy$, $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = 0$.

(ii) *There is a unique partially defined map $\sigma : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$ such that*

$$\text{dom}\sigma = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{G}^2 : \Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) \neq 0\}$$

and, for all $(x, y) \in \text{dom}\sigma$,

$$\Gamma(x^{-1})\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = \Gamma(x^{-1})\Gamma(xy)\sigma(x, y),$$

$$\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)\Gamma(y^{-1}) = \Gamma(xy)\Gamma(y^{-1})\sigma(x, y).$$

Besides that, for all $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{G}^3$,

$$\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)\Gamma(z) \neq 0 \implies \sigma(x, y)\sigma(xy, z) = \sigma(x, yz)\sigma(y, z).$$

Proposition 4. *A partially defined map $\sigma : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^*$ is a factor set for some partial projective representation of \mathcal{G} if and only if there is a factor set ρ of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})$ such that*

(i) *For all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$, $(x, y) \in \text{dom}\sigma \iff ([x], [y]) \in \text{dom}\rho$;*

(ii) *For all $(x, y) \in \text{dom}\sigma$,*

$$\sigma(x, y) = \frac{\rho([x], [y])\rho([x^{-1}], [x][y])}{\rho([x^{-1}], [xy])}.$$

The proposition above tells us that the factor sets of a groupoid \mathcal{G} compose a regular, commutative semigroup with respect to the pointwise multiplication. Denote this semigroup by $pm(\mathcal{G})$. We can also define the partial Schur multiplier $pM(\mathcal{G}) = pm(\mathcal{G})/\sim$. By Clifford's Theorem we conclude that these semigroups are isomorphic to strong semilattices of abelian groups. Due to this reason it is important to study the idempotents of $pm(\mathcal{G})$.

Consider σ a factor set of \mathcal{G} and $D = \text{dom}\sigma$. The next result give us properties about the domain of factor sets. Its proof will be omitted because it follows the same arguments, with a little adaptation to groupoids, as in [5, Proposition 4].

Proposition 5. *If $(x, y) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \in D &\iff (x^{-1}, xy) \in D \iff (xy, y^{-1}) \in D \iff (y, y^{-1}x^{-1}) \in D \\ &\iff (y^{-1}, x^{-1}) \in D \iff (y^{-1}x^{-1}, x) \in D, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(x, d(x)) \in D \iff (x^{-1}, x) \in D \iff (d(x), x^{-1}) \in D \iff (r(x), x) \in D.$$

From now on, assume that $\Gamma(r(x))$ are $\Gamma(d(x))$ left and right identities to $\Gamma(x)$ respectively, that is, that $\Gamma(r(x))\Gamma(x) = \Gamma(x) = \Gamma(x)\Gamma(d(x))$. Thus, $\sigma(e, e) = 1$, for all $e \in \mathcal{G}_0$.

Proposition 6. *If $(x, y) \in D$, then $(x, d(x)), (r(y), y) \in D$ and*

$$\sigma(x, d(x)) = \sigma(r(x), x) = \sigma(y, d(y)) = \sigma(r(y), y) = 1.$$

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(x) &= \Gamma(x)d(\Gamma(x)) = \Gamma(x)\Gamma(d(x)) = \Gamma(x)\Gamma(d(x))\Gamma(d(x)) \\ &= \Gamma(xd(x))\Gamma(d(x))\sigma(x, d(x)) = \Gamma(x)\sigma(x, d(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Gamma(x) \neq 0$, it follows that $\sigma(x, d(x)) = 1$. By Proposition 5, $(r(x), x) \in D$, so $\sigma(r(x), x) = 1$. Similarly $(y, d(y)), (r(y), y) \in D$ in $\sigma(y, d(y)) = \sigma(r(y), y) = 1$. \square

Lemma 1. Assume that the values of $\sigma : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ are 0 and 1, $\sigma(e, e) = 1$ for all $e \in \mathcal{G}_0$ and for all $(x, y) \in \text{dom}\sigma$,

$$(4) \quad (xy, y^{-1}), (y^{-1}, x^{-1}), (x, d(x)) \in \text{dom}\sigma.$$

Let $(a, b), (c, d) \in \mathcal{G}^2$ be such that $\sigma(a, b) = 0$ and $\{a^{-1}, b\} \subseteq \{d(c), c^{-1}, d\}$. Then $\sigma(c, d) = 0$.

Proof. Firstly (4) tells us that

$$(5) \quad (x, y) \in D \iff (xy, y^{-1}) \in D \iff (x^{-1}, xy) \in D$$

$$(6) \quad (x, y) \in D \implies (r(y), y) \in D.$$

Assume that $\sigma(c, d) = 1$. By (4), (5) and (6) we obtain

$$\sigma(d^{-1}, c^{-1}) = \sigma(c, d(c)) = \sigma(d(c), d) = \sigma(d(c), c^{-1}) = \sigma(d^{-1}, d(c)) = 1.$$

Besides that, $\sigma(d(c), d(c)) = 1$ by assumption. Hence we have that $\sigma(a, b)$ may coincide only with $\sigma(c, c^{-1})$ or $\sigma(d^{-1}, d)$.

Applying (4) and (6) in $(c^{-1}, cd) \in \text{dom}\sigma$, we obtain $(c, c^{-1}) \in \text{dom}\sigma$. Similarly, starting with $(d^{-1}, c^{-1}) \in \text{dom}\sigma$ we obtain $(d^{-1}, d) \in \text{dom}\sigma$.

Therefore $\sigma(c, c^{-1}) = \sigma(d^{-1}, d) = 1$, a contradiction. \square

Theorem 6. A map $\sigma : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that $\sigma(e, e) = 1$, for all $e \in \mathcal{G}_0$, is an idempotent factor set if and only if its values are 0 and 1 and (4) holds.

Proof. We already proved (\implies) . For the converse, write $\mathcal{L} = \{[x][y] : \sigma(x, y) = 0\}$,

$$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}) = \{\alpha\ell\beta : \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}), \ell \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and } \exists \alpha\ell\beta\}$$

and define $\rho : \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by

$$\rho(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \alpha\beta \notin \mathcal{I}, \\ 0, & \text{if } \alpha\beta \in \mathcal{I}. \end{cases}$$

Since \mathcal{I} is an ideal, it follows that ρ is an idempotent factor set of $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})$. It only remains to us to prove that $\rho([u], [v]) = \sigma(u, v)$, for all $(u, v) \in \mathcal{G}^2$.

If $\sigma(u, v) = 0$, then $[u][v] \in \mathcal{L}$ and $[u][v] = [r(u)][u][v][d(v)] \in \mathcal{I}$, from where it follows that $\rho([u], [v]) = 0$. Assume that $\rho([u], [v]) = 0$. Then $[u][v] \in \mathcal{I}$. Moreover, there are $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})$, $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\exists \alpha[x][y]\beta$, $\sigma(x, y) = 0$ and

$$[u][v] = \alpha[x][y]\beta.$$

Consider $\alpha = \varepsilon_{g_1} \cdots \varepsilon_{g_n}[g]$, $\beta = \varepsilon_{h_1} \cdots \varepsilon_{h_m}[h]$, with $g_i, h_i, g, h \in \mathcal{G}$, $r(g_i) = r(g)$ and $r(h_i) = r(h)$ in the standard form [8, Proposition 2.7]. Since $\exists \alpha[x][y]\beta$, we also have $d(g) = r(x)$, $d(x) = r(y)$, $d(y) = r(h)$. We will use the uniqueness of the decomposition in the standard form in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})$ to conclude the demonstration.

On the one hand,

$$[u][v] = [u][u^{-1}][u][v] = [u][u^{-1}][uv] = \varepsilon_u[uv].$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha[x][y]\beta &= \varepsilon_{g_1} \cdots \varepsilon_{g_n}[g][x][y]\varepsilon_{h_1} \cdots \varepsilon_{h_m}[h] \\ &= \varepsilon_{g_1} \cdots \varepsilon_{g_n} \varepsilon_{gxyh_1} \cdots \varepsilon_{gxyh_m}[g][x][y][h] \\ &= \varepsilon_{g_1} \cdots \varepsilon_{g_n} \varepsilon_{gxyh_1} \cdots \varepsilon_{gxyh_m} \varepsilon_g \varepsilon_{gx} \varepsilon_{gxy}[gxyh]. \end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness of the decomposition in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G})$, we obtain

$$\{g, gx, gxy\} \subseteq \{r(u), u, uv\}.$$

Hence $g = r(u)$, u or uv . We will handle these cases separately.

If $g = r(u)$, then $r(u) = d(g) = r(x)$, from where it follows that $\{x, xy\} \subseteq \{r(u), u, uv\}$. In the Lemma 1, consider $a = x^{-1}$, $b = xy$, $c = u^{-1}$ and $d = uv$. Thus $\sigma(x^{-1}, xy) = 0 \Rightarrow \sigma(u, v) = \sigma(u^{-1}, uv) = \sigma(c, d) = 0$.

If $g = u$, then $\{u, ux, uxy\} \subseteq \{r(u), u, uv\}$. Multiplying by u^{-1} on the left we obtain $\{x, xy\} \subseteq \{d(u), u^{-1}, v\}$. Considering $a = x^{-1}$, $b = xy$, $c = u$, $d = v$ as in the Lemma 1, we obtain $\sigma(u, v) = 0$.

Similarly, if $g = uv$, we have $\{x, xy\} \subseteq \{d(v), v^{-1}, (uv)^{-1}\}$. Taking $a = x^{-1}$, $b = xy$, $c = v$, $d = (uv)^{-1}$ we obtain $\sigma(u, v) = \sigma(v^{-1}, u^{-1}) = \sigma(v, v^{-1}u^{-1}) = \sigma(c, d) = 0$. \square

Let $\Gamma : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow S$ be a partial projective representation of \mathcal{G} on a \mathbb{K} -cancellative semigroup S and $\sigma : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ the factor set associated with Γ . Assume that $\Gamma(r(x))$ and $\Gamma(d(x))$ are left and right identities to $\Gamma(x)$, respectively.

From $(\Gamma(x^{-1})\Gamma(x))\Gamma(x^{-1}) = \Gamma(x^{-1})(\Gamma(x))\Gamma(x^{-1})$ we obtain

$$\sigma(x, x^{-1}) = \sigma(x^{-1}, x),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$. Write $\eta_x = \Gamma(x)\Gamma(x^{-1})$. Define

$$n_x = \begin{cases} \eta_x \sigma(x^{-1}, x)^{-1}, & \text{if } \Gamma(x) \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \Gamma(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The properties of η_x and n_x will be stated in the next lemma and the computations to demonstrate them are analogous to the case of groups [5, Lemma 7].

Lemma 2. *Let $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$. We have that*

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_x^2 &= \eta_x \sigma(x, x^{-1}), \quad n_x^2 = n_x, \\ \eta_x = 0 &\iff \sigma(x, x^{-1}) = 0 \iff \Gamma(x) = 0 \iff n_x = 0, \\ r(x) = r(y) &\implies (\eta_x \eta_y = 0 \iff \eta_y \eta_x = 0 \iff n_x n_y = 0), \\ d(x) = r(y) &\implies \Gamma(x) n_y = n_{xy} \Gamma(x), \\ r(x) = r(y) &\implies n_x n_y = n_y n_x. \end{aligned}$$

6. TWISTED PARTIAL ACTIONS ON \mathbb{K} -SEMIGROUPS

In Definition 1 we presented the concept of groupoid twisted partial actions on a ring. Now we define the notion of groupoid twisted partial action on a semigroup (and, in particular, in a \mathbb{K} -semigroup).

Definition 9. A *partial groupoid action* $\theta = (\{S_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\theta_x : S_{x^{-1}} \rightarrow S_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}})$ of \mathcal{G} on a semigroup S is a collection of ideals S_x and semigroup isomorphisms θ_x such that, given $(x, y) \in \mathcal{G}^2$,

- (i) $S_x \subseteq S_{r(x)}$ and $\theta_e = \text{Id}_{S_e}$, for all $e \in \mathcal{G}_0$;
- (ii) $\theta_x(S_{x^{-1}} \cap S_y) = S_x \cap S_{xy}$;
- (iii) $\theta_x \circ \theta_y(s) = \theta_{xy}(s)$, for all $s \in S_{y^{-1}} \cap S_{(xy)^{-1}}$.

Definition 10. Let S be a \mathbb{K} -semigroup and θ a partial action of \mathcal{G} on S such that every ideal S_x is a monoid and every θ_x is a \mathbb{K} -map.

A \mathbb{K} -valued twisting related to θ is a map $\sigma : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that

- (iv) $\sigma(x, y) = 0 \iff S_x \cap S_{xy} = 0$, for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{G}^2$;
- (v) $\sigma(x, d(x)) = \sigma(r(x), x) = 1$;
- (vi) $S_x \cap S_{xy} \cap S_{xyz} \neq 0 \implies \sigma(x, y)\sigma(xy, z) = \sigma(y, z)\sigma(x, yz)$ with $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{G}^3$.

If (θ, σ) satisfies (i) – (iii) of Definition 9 and, in addition, satisfies (iv) – (vi), then it is called a *groupoid twisted partial action of \mathcal{G} on S* .

Remark 1. 1. We have that $S_x \cap S_y = S_x \cdot S_y$, for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{G}^2$. In fact, every S_x is generated by a central idempotent, namely 1_x . Notice that if $S_x S_{xy} S_{xyz} \neq 0$, then all the values of σ in Definition 9(vi) are non-zero.

2. The definition of groupoid partial twisted actions on semigroups do not ask the semigroup to be a monoid, just its ideals. In the case of partial twisted action of a group G on a \mathbb{K} -cancellative semigroup S , the ideal associated with the neutral element e must be equal to S , so S has to be a \mathbb{K} -cancellative monoid. This shows that our theory generalizes the theory of group partial twisted actions on \mathbb{K} -monoids.

Let $A = (A, +, \cdot)$ be a \mathbb{K} -cancellative \mathbb{K} -algebra, that is, an \mathbb{K} -algebra such that the \mathbb{K} -semigroup $A' = (A, \cdot)$ is \mathbb{K} -cancellative. For each unital ideal I of A with identity $1_I \neq 0$, we assume $\mathbb{K} \subseteq I$ under the isomorphism $\mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}1_I$.

Proposition 7. *Under the hypothesis above, the following statements are valid.*

- (a) Let $\alpha = (\{D_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\alpha_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}}, \{w_{x,y}\}_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{G}^2})$ be a groupoid twisted partial action of \mathcal{G} on A . If every $w_{x,y} = k(x, y) \in \mathbb{K}$, then defining $\sigma(x, y) = k(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{G}^2$ we have that $\alpha' = (\{D_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\alpha_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}}, \sigma)$ is a groupoid twisted partial action of \mathcal{G} on A' .
- (b) Let $\theta' = (\{A_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\theta_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}}, \sigma)$ is a groupoid twisted partial action of \mathcal{G} on A' . If $(A_x, +, \cdot)$ is an ideal of A and every θ_x is a ring homomorphism, then $\theta = (\{A_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\theta_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}}, \{w_{x,y}\}_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{G}^2})$ is a groupoid twisted partial action of \mathcal{G} on A , where $w_{x,y} = \sigma(x, y) \in \mathbb{K}$.

In particular, if every element of $A_x = D_x$ (set equality) is of the form $k1_x$, where 1_x is the identity of A_x and $k \in \mathbb{K}$, then there is a one-to-one correspondence between the groupoid twisted partial actions of \mathcal{G} on A and the groupoid twisted partial actions of \mathcal{G} on A' .

Proof. (a): It is clear that if D_x is an unital ideal of A then D_x is an ideal of A' that is a monoid. Furthermore, the ring isomorphism $\alpha_x : D_{x^{-1}} \rightarrow D_x$ can be seen as a monoid isomorphism. So the conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 9 hold. Notice that given $k \in \mathbb{K}$

$$(a)R_k = ak = ka = L_k(a),$$

for all $a \in A$, so $R_k \equiv L_k$. In this way, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_x \circ \alpha_y(a) &= w_{x,y} \alpha_{xy}(a) w_{x,y}^{-1} = L_{k(x,y)} \alpha_{xy}(a) R_{k(x,y)}^{-1} \\ &= L_{k(x,y)} \alpha_{xy}(a) R_{k(x,y)}^{-1} = L_{k(x,y)} L_{k(x,y)}^{-1} \alpha_{xy}(a) \\ &= \alpha_{xy}(a), \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{G}^2$, $a \in A$, so that (iii) of Definition 9 holds.

Define, then, $\sigma(x, y) = k(x, y)$, for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{G}^2$. Now we will prove the conditions (iv)–(vi) of Definition 10.

(iv): If $D_x D_{xy} = 0$, we have that the only possible multiplier is $w_{x,y} = 0$. Hence, $\sigma(x, y) = k(x, y) = 0_{\mathbb{K}}$. By the other hand, if $\sigma(x, y) = 0_{\mathbb{K}}$, then $w_{x,y} = 0$ is an invertible element in $D_x D_{xy}$, which implies $D_x D_{xy} = 0$.

(v): It follows directly from Definition 1 (v).

(vi): By Definition 1 (vi) we have that

$$\alpha_x(aw_{y,z})w_{x,yz} = \alpha_x(a)w_{x,y}w_{xy,z},$$

for all $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{G}^3$ and $a \in D_{x^{-1}}D_y D_{yz}$. Applying the multipliers we obtain

$$\sigma(x, yz)\alpha_x(\sigma(y, z)a) = \sigma(x, y)\sigma(xy, z)\alpha_x(a).$$

Since α_x is a \mathbb{K} -algebra isomorphism, it is a \mathbb{K} -map. Hence,

$$\sigma(y, z)\sigma(x, yz)\alpha_x(a) = \sigma(x, y)\sigma(xy, z)\alpha_x(a).$$

However, A is \mathbb{K} -cancellative. Taking $a = 1_{x^{-1}}1_y1_{yz}$, it implies that $\alpha_x(a) \neq 0$, then

$$\sigma(y, z)\sigma(x, yz) = \sigma(x, y)\sigma(xy, z),$$

for all $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{G}^3$.

(b): By a similar argumentation of (a), taking $w_{x,y} = (R_{\sigma(x,y)}, L_{\sigma(x,y)})$, we have that the items (i)-(vi) of Definitions 9 and 10 imply (i)-(vi) of Definition 1 if, and only if, the ideals A_x and isomorphisms θ_x are compatible with the sum in A .

Now, if every element of $A_x = D_x$ is of the form $k1_x$, $x \in \mathcal{G}$, $k \in \mathbb{K}$, then every element in $D_x D_{xy}$ is of the form $k1_x1_{xy}$, for $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$, $k \in \mathbb{K}$. In fact, if $a \in D_x D_{xy}$, thus $a = \sum b_i c_i$, where $b_i \in D_x$, $c_i \in D_{xy}$. By assumption, $b_i = k_{b_i}1_x$ and $c_i = k_{c_i}1_{xy}$ for some $k_{b_i}, k_{c_i} \in \mathbb{K}$. Thus,

$$a = \sum (k_{b_i} k_{c_i})1_x1_{xy} = \left(\sum k_{b_i} k_{c_i} \right) 1_x1_{xy} = k1_x1_{xy},$$

where $k = \sum k_{b_i} k_{c_i} \in \mathbb{K}$. This writing is unique since A is \mathbb{K} -cancellative. Therefore every multiplier is of the form we need in (a).

Furthermore, we can induce a sum in A_x by the sum in \mathbb{K} . In fact, $k_11_x + k_21_x = (k_1 + k_2)1_x$, for all $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{K}$, $x \in \mathcal{G}$. Since θ_x a \mathbb{K} -map, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_x(k_11_{x^{-1}} + k_21_{x^{-1}}) &= \theta_x((k_1 + k_2)1_{x^{-1}}) = (k_1 + k_2)\theta_x(1_{x^{-1}}) \\ &= (k_1 + k_2)1_x = k_11_x + k_21_x = \theta_x(k_11_{x^{-1}}) + \theta_x(k_21_{x^{-1}}), \end{aligned}$$

that is, θ_x is a ring isomorphism and A_x is a ring ideal, giving us the necessary conditions to apply (b). Then, by itens (a) and (b), the map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha'$ is clearly a bijection between the groupoid twisted partial actions of \mathcal{G} on A and the groupoid twisted partial actions of \mathcal{G} on A' . \square

At first sight, the definition of groupoid twisted partial action on a \mathbb{K} -semigroup may not seem different of the one of groupoid partial action on a \mathbb{K} -semigroup since the twisting σ does not appear in the composition of partial isomorphisms. However, the real difference can be seen in the construction of crossed products.

Let (θ, σ) be a groupoid twisted partial action of \mathcal{G} on a \mathbb{K} -semigroup S . Write $L = \{a\delta_x : a \in S_x, x \in \mathcal{G}\} \cup \{0\}$, where the δ_x 's are symbols. Define a multiplication in L by

$$(a\delta_x)(b\delta_y) = \begin{cases} \theta_x(\theta_x^{-1}(a)b)\sigma(x, y)\delta_{xy}, & \text{if } \exists xy, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $0 \cdot a\delta_x = a\delta_x \cdot 0 = 0$, for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$, $a \in S_x$.

Theorem 2 guarantees that this operation is associative, so L is a semigroup. We define the crossed product $S \rtimes_{\theta, \sigma} \mathcal{G}$ as the quotient semigroup L/I , where $I = \{0\delta_x : x \in \mathcal{G}\} \cup \{0\}$ is an ideal of L .

Proposition 8. *Let $(A, +, \cdot)$ be a \mathbb{K} -cancellative \mathbb{K} -algebra and consider $A' = (A, \cdot)$ the \mathbb{K} -cancellative semigroup associated with A . Let*

$$\alpha = (\{D_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\alpha_g\}_{g \in \mathcal{G}}, \{w_{g,h}\}_{(g,h) \in \mathcal{G}^2})$$

be a groupoid twisted partial action of a groupoid \mathcal{G} on A and $w_{x,y} = k(x,y) \in \mathbb{K}$ as in Proposition 7(a). Then there is a monomorphism $A' \rtimes_{\alpha', \sigma} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow (A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{G})'$, where α' and σ are defined as in Proposition 7(a) and $(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{G})'$ is the \mathbb{K} -cancellative semigroup obtained from $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. By Theorem 2, we have that $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{G}$ is an \mathbb{K} -algebra. Since A is \mathbb{K} -cancellative, it follows that $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{G}$ is \mathbb{K} -cancellative. So we can consider the \mathbb{K} -cancellative semigroup $(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{G})'$ by dropping the sum in $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{G}$.

Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : A' \rtimes_{\alpha', \sigma} \mathcal{G} &\rightarrow (A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{G})' \\ a\delta_x &\mapsto a\delta_x. \end{aligned}$$

We want to show that φ is an monomorphism of \mathbb{K} -semigroups. Clearly, φ is injective. Let $a\delta_x, b\delta_y \in A' \rtimes_{\alpha', \sigma} \mathcal{G}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((a\delta_x)(b\delta_y)) &= \begin{cases} \varphi(\alpha'((\alpha')_x^{-1}(a)b)\sigma(x,y)\delta_{xy}), & \text{if } \exists xy \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha'((\alpha')_x^{-1}(a)b)\sigma(x,y)\delta_{xy}, & \text{if } \exists xy \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha(\alpha_x^{-1}(a)b)w_{x,y}\delta_{xy}, & \text{if } \exists xy \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= (a\delta_x)(b\delta_y) \\ &= \varphi(a\delta_x)\varphi(b\delta_y). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2. In the notations of the proposition above, the monomorphism φ is an isomorphism if, and only if, $\mathcal{G} = \{e\}$ is the trivial group. In fact, (\Leftarrow) is clear. For the converse, suppose that \mathcal{G} has at least two elements $x \neq y$. Then $a\delta_x + b\delta_y \in A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{G}$, for some $a \in A_x = D_x$, $b \in A_y = D_y$, but $a\delta_x + b\delta_y \neq c\delta_z$, for any $z \in \mathcal{G}$, $c \in A_z = D_z$ so $a\delta_x + b\delta_y \notin A' \rtimes_{\alpha', \sigma} \mathcal{G}$.

7. THE RELATION BETWEEN PARTIAL PROJECTIVE REPRESENTATIONS AND TWISTED PARTIAL ACTIONS

Let Γ be a partial projective representation of \mathcal{G} on a \mathbb{K} -cancellative semigroup T . Let $\Gamma(\mathcal{G})$ be the subsemigroup of T generated by the elements $\alpha\Gamma(x)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, $x \in \mathcal{G}$ and S the commutative subsemigroup of $\Gamma(\mathcal{G})$ generated by αn_x , $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, $x \in \mathcal{G}$. Define $S_x = S n_x$. We have that

$$S_x = 0 \iff n_x = 0 \iff \Gamma(x) = 0 \iff \Gamma(x^{-1}) = 0 \iff S_{x^{-1}} = 0.$$

Besides that, it is easy to see that, for all $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{G}^3$,

$$S_x S_{xy} S_{xyz} = 0 \iff \Gamma(x) \Gamma(y) \Gamma(z) = 0.$$

Furthermore, $r(x) \neq r(y)$ yields that $n_x n_y = 0$, that is, $S_x S_y = 0$.

We can now show how to construct a twisted partial action from a partial projective representation.

Proposition 9. *Let $x \in \mathcal{G}$. Define $\theta_x : S_{x^{-1}} \rightarrow S_x$ by*

$$\theta_x(s) = \begin{cases} \Gamma(x) s \Gamma(x^{-1}) \sigma(x^{-1}, x)^{-1}, & \text{if } \Gamma(x^{-1}) \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \Gamma(x^{-1}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $\theta = \theta^\Gamma = (\{S_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\theta_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}})$ is a partial action of \mathcal{G} on S and the factor set σ is a twisting related to θ . Moreover, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : S \rtimes_{\theta, \sigma} \mathcal{G} &\rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{G}) \\ a \delta_x &\mapsto a \Gamma(x) \end{aligned}$$

is an epimorphism of semigroups.

Proof. The proof that (θ, σ) is a twisted partial action is similar to the one given in [5, Theorem 6], so we just need to show that $S_x \subseteq S_{r(x)}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\theta_e = \text{Id}_{S_e}$. However, this follows from the fact that $n_{r(x)} = \Gamma(r(x))$ is a two-sided identity to n_x .

Let's check that ψ is an epimorphism of semigroups. Let $a = a n_x \in S_x$, $b = b n_y \in S_y$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a \delta_x b \delta_y) &= \begin{cases} \theta_x(\theta_x^{-1}(a) b) \sigma(x, y) \Gamma(xy), & \text{if } \exists xy, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \eta_x a \Gamma(x) b \Gamma(x^{-1}) \Gamma(x) \Gamma(y) \sigma(x^{-1}, x)^{-2}, & \text{if } \exists xy, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \eta_x a \Gamma(x) b \eta_{x^{-1}} \Gamma(y) \sigma(x^{-1}, x)^{-2}, & \text{if } \exists xy, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} n_x a \Gamma(x) b n_{x^{-1}} \Gamma(y), & \text{if } \exists xy, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} a \Gamma(x) b \Gamma(y), & \text{if } \exists xy, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \psi(a \delta_x) \psi(b \delta_y), \end{aligned}$$

since $\Gamma(x) n_{x^{-1}} = \Gamma(x)$ and S is commutative. This shows that ψ is a homomorphism.

Consider $a = \alpha \Gamma(x_1) \Gamma(x_2) \cdots \Gamma(x_l) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{G})$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, $(x_1, \dots, x_l) \in \mathcal{G}^l$. We have that

$$a = \alpha' n_{x_1} n_{x_1 x_2} \cdots n_{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_l} \Gamma(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_l) \in S_x \Gamma(x),$$

where $x = x_1 \cdots x_l$ and $\alpha' \in \mathbb{K}$. Hence, $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \cup_{x \in \mathcal{G}} S_x \Gamma(x)$. If $(x_1, \dots, x_l) \notin \mathcal{G}^l$, then $a = 0$. The other inclusion is clear, from where it follows that ψ is surjective. \square

Let θ be a partial action of \mathcal{G} on a \mathbb{K} -semigroup T with twisting σ . Each ideal T_x is generated by 1_x , a central idempotent. It follows that

$$T_{x_1} \cdots T_{x_l} = T 1_{x_1} \cdots 1_{x_l},$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_l \in \mathcal{G}$. Thus,

$$\theta_x(1_{x^{-1}} 1_y 1_z) = 1_x 1_{xy} 1_{xz},$$

for all $(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{G}^3$.

Proposition 10. *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\theta : \mathcal{G} &\rightarrow T \rtimes_{\theta, \sigma} \mathcal{G} \\ x &\mapsto 1_x \delta_x, \end{aligned}$$

is a partial projective representation with factor set σ .

Proof. We already know that $\sigma(r(x), x) = \sigma(x, d(x)) = 1$, for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$, from where it follows that $\Gamma_\theta(r(x)) = 1_{T_{r(x)}} \delta_{r(x)} = (1_{T_{r(x)}} \delta_{r(x)})^2 = \Gamma_\theta(r(x))^2$ is a left identity to $\Gamma_\theta(x) = 1_x \delta_x$. Analogously $\Gamma_\theta(x) \Gamma_\theta(d(x)) = \Gamma_\theta(x)$. If $\nexists xy$ then $\Gamma_\theta(x) \Gamma_\theta(y) = 1_x \delta_x 1_y \delta_y = 0$. For $(x, y) \in \mathcal{G}^2$, the proof follows by the same arguments that in [5, Theorem 8]. \square

The next result establishes the compatibility between the constructions in Propositions 9 and 10.

Proposition 11. *Every partial projective representation $\Gamma : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow T$ of \mathcal{G} on a \mathbb{K} -cancellative semigroup T can be recovered from $\Gamma_{\theta^\Gamma} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow S \rtimes_{\theta^\Gamma, \sigma} \mathcal{G}$ via ψ , that is,*

$$\Gamma(x) = \psi(\Gamma_{\theta^\Gamma}(x)),$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$. If $T = \Gamma(\mathcal{G})$, then Γ is recovered together with T .

Reciprocally, if (θ, σ) is a twisted partial action of \mathcal{G} on a \mathbb{K} -cancellative semigroup T with $T_x = T 1_x$ and $S = \Gamma_\theta(\mathcal{G})$, there is a \mathbb{K} -semigroup monomorphism $\phi : S \rightarrow T$ such that for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$, $\phi|_{S_x} : S_x \rightarrow T_x$ is a \mathbb{K} -monoid monomorphism and

$$\phi(\theta_x^{\Gamma_\theta}(a)) = \theta_x(\phi(a)),$$

for all $a \in S_{x^{-1}}$.

Furthermore, if T is generated by the elements of the form $\alpha 1_x$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, then ϕ is an isomorphism that restricts to isomorphisms $\phi|_{S_x} : S_x \rightarrow T_x$, so (θ, σ) is recovered from $(\theta^{\Gamma_\theta}, \sigma)$ via ϕ .

Proof. Notice first that

$$\Gamma_{\theta^\Gamma}(x) = n_x \delta_x \in S \rtimes_{\theta^\Gamma, \sigma} \mathcal{G}.$$

Therefore,

$$\psi(\Gamma_{\theta^\Gamma}(x)) = \psi(n_x \delta_x) = n_x \Gamma(x) = \Gamma(x).$$

For the converse, consider the partial projective representation $\Gamma_\theta : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow T \rtimes_{\theta, \sigma} \mathcal{G}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} n_x &= \Gamma_\theta(x) \Gamma_\theta(x^{-1}) \sigma(x^{-1}, x)^{-1} \\ &= 1_x \delta_x \cdot 1_{x^{-1}} \delta_{x^{-1}} \cdot \sigma(x^{-1}, x)^{-1} \\ &= \theta_x(\theta_{x^{-1}}(1_x) 1_{x^{-1}}) \sigma(x, x^{-1}) \delta_{r(x)} \sigma(x^{-1}, x)^{-1} \\ &= \theta_x(1_{x^{-1}}) \delta_{r(x)} = 1_x \delta_{r(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since S is generated by the elements αn_x , $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}, x \in \mathcal{G}$, we have that $S_x = \overline{T}_x \delta_{r(x)} \subseteq T \rtimes_{\theta, \sigma} \mathcal{G}$, for all $e \in \mathcal{G}_0$, where \overline{T} is the subsemigroup of T generated by the elements $\alpha 1_x$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}, x \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\overline{T}_x = \overline{T} \cap T_x$. Clearly we have isomorphisms of \mathbb{K} -monoids

$$\begin{aligned} i : S_e &\rightarrow \overline{T}_e 1_e \\ a \delta_e &\mapsto a 1_e = a, \end{aligned}$$

where $e \in \mathcal{G}_0$, that restricts to isomorphisms of \mathbb{K} -monoids

$$i : S_x = S n_x = \overline{T}_x 1_x u_{r(x)} \rightarrow \overline{T}_x 1_x \subseteq T_x$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$.

Consider ϕ the composition between i and the inclusion $\overline{T} \subseteq T$. For $a \in S_{x^{-1}}$, write $a = b \delta_{d(x)}$ with $b \in \overline{T} 1_{x^{-1}} \subseteq T_{x^{-1}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_x^{\Gamma_\theta}(a) &= \theta_x^{\Gamma_\theta}(b \delta_{d(x)}) = \Gamma_\theta(x) b \delta_{d(x)} \Gamma_\theta(x^{-1}) \sigma(x^{-1}, x)^{-1} \\ &= 1_x \delta_x (b \delta_{d(x)} 1_{x^{-1}} \delta_{x^{-1}}) \sigma(x^{-1}, x)^{-1} \\ &= 1_x \delta_x b \delta_{x^{-1}} \sigma(x^{-1}, x)^{-1} \\ &= \theta_x(\theta_{x^{-1}}(1_x) b) \delta_{r(x)} \\ &= \theta_x(b) \delta_{r(x)} = \theta_x(\phi(a)) \delta_{r(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\phi(\theta_x^{\Gamma_\theta}(a)) = \phi(\theta_x(\phi(a)) \delta_{r(x)}) = \theta_x(\phi(a)). \quad \square$$

Definition 11. Let $\Gamma : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow S$ and $\Gamma' : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow S'$ be partial projective representations. A morphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ is a \mathbb{K} -semigroup homomorphism $\varphi : S \rightarrow S'$ such that $\varphi \circ \Gamma = \Gamma'$. If φ is an epimorphism, we say that $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ is an epimorphism of partial projective representations and define monomorphisms and isomorphisms analogously.

Example 5. The morphism ψ defined in Proposition 9 is an epimorphism of partial projective representations.

Applying a partial projective representation morphism $\varphi : S \rightarrow S'$ in

$$\Gamma(x^{-1}) \Gamma(x) \Gamma(y) = \Gamma(x^{-1}) \Gamma(xy) \sigma(x, y),$$

we get

$$\Gamma'(x^{-1}) \Gamma'(xy) \sigma'(x, y) = \Gamma'(x^{-1}) \Gamma'(x) \Gamma'(y) = \Gamma'(x^{-1}) \Gamma'(xy) \sigma(x, y),$$

for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{G}^2$.

Since S' is \mathbb{K} -cancellative, it follows that $\sigma(x, y) = \sigma'(x, y)$, for all $(x, y) \in \text{dom} \sigma'$. In particular, $\text{dom} \sigma' \subseteq \text{dom} \sigma$.

Definition 12. We say that a partial projective representation Γ is *adjusted* if $S = \Gamma(\mathcal{G})$. We say that Γ is *separating* if, denoting by S' the subsemigroup of $\Gamma(\mathcal{G})$ generated by $\alpha 1_x$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, $x \in \mathcal{G}$ and $S'_x = S' n_x$, we have that $S'_x \Gamma(x) \cap S'_y \Gamma(y) = 0$, $x \neq y$. We will denote by

- (i) $\mathcal{Ppr}\mathcal{G}$ the category which the objects are partial projective representations of \mathcal{G} and the morphisms are morphisms of partial projective representations;
- (ii) $\mathcal{APpr}\mathcal{G}$ the subcategory of $\mathcal{Ppr}\mathcal{G}$ which objects are adjusted partial projective representations;
- (iii) $\mathcal{SPpr}\mathcal{G}$ the subcategory of $\mathcal{Ppr}\mathcal{G}$ which objects are separating partial projective representations.

Notice that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{APpr}\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma, \Gamma')$ is either empty or has a unique element that is an epimorphism.

Definition 13. Let $\theta = (\{T_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\theta_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}})$, $\theta' = (\{T'_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}}, \{\theta'_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{G}})$ be partial actions of \mathcal{G} in \mathbb{K} -cancellative semigroups T and T' , respectively. We say that a \mathbb{K} -semigroup homomorphism $\varphi : T \rightarrow T'$ is a *morphism of θ on θ'* if $\varphi(T_x) \subseteq T'_x$, for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$, and the diagram below is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{x^{-1}} & \xrightarrow{\theta_x} & T_x \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi \\ T'_{x^{-1}} & \xrightarrow{\theta'_x} & T'_x \end{array}$$

If σ and σ' are \mathbb{K} -valued twistings associated with θ and θ' respectively, we say that a morphism $\varphi : \theta \rightarrow \theta'$ is a twisted partial action morphism if

$$\varphi(T_x \cap T_{xy}) \neq 0 \implies \sigma(x, y) = \sigma'(x, y)$$

for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{G}^2$.

Since $T_x = T 1_x$ and $T'_x = T' 1'_x$, we have that

$$T_x = 0 \implies T'_x = 0$$

and more generally

$$T_{x_1} \cap T_{x_2} \cap \cdots \cap T_{x_l} = 0 \implies T'_{x_1} \cap T'_{x_2} \cap \cdots \cap T'_{x_l} = 0.$$

In particular, $T'_x \cap T'_{xy} \neq 0 \implies T_x \cap T_{xy} \neq 0$ when $\exists xy$, that is, $\text{dom}\sigma' \subseteq \text{dom}\sigma$.

Notice that if φ is an monomorphism, then

$$T_{x_1} \cap T_{x_2} \cap \cdots \cap T_{x_l} = 0 \iff T'_{x_1} \cap T'_{x_2} \cap \cdots \cap T'_{x_l} = 0.$$

A twisted partial action of \mathcal{G} on T is *adjusted* if $T = \bar{T}$ as in Proposition 10. Every twisted partial action θ can become adjusted replacing T by \bar{T} , and then we will denote this new twisted partial action by $\bar{\theta}$. In particular, if A is a \mathbb{K} -cancellative \mathbb{K} -algebra such that the \mathbb{K} -semigroup (A, \cdot) is adjusted, the last assumption in Proposition 7 holds.

Definition 14. We will denote by

- (i) $\mathcal{TPa}\mathcal{G}$ the category of groupoid twisted partial actions of the groupoid \mathcal{G} on \mathbb{K} -cancellative semigroups and twisted partial action morphisms;
- (ii) $\mathcal{ATPa}\mathcal{G}$ the subcategory of $\mathcal{TPa}\mathcal{G}$ of the adjusted twisted partial actions of \mathcal{G} .

Just as in the case of adjusted partial projective representations, we have that the set $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{ATPaG}}(\theta, \theta')$ is either empty or has only one element that is an epimorphism. We can now state the main result of this section.

- Theorem 7.** (i) *There is a functor $\mathcal{PprG} \rightarrow \mathcal{ATPaG}$ that takes any $\Gamma \in \text{Ob}\mathcal{PprG}$ to θ^Γ .*
(ii) *There is a functor $\mathcal{TPaG} \rightarrow \mathcal{SPprG}$ that takes $\theta \in \text{Ob}\mathcal{TPaG}$ to Γ_θ . Furthermore, if θ is adjusted, then Γ_θ is adjusted.*
(iii) *For all $\Gamma \in \text{Ob}\mathcal{PprG}$ there is a morphism $\Gamma_{\theta^\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma$ that is an epimorphism if Γ is adjusted and is a monomorphism if Γ is separating.*
(iv) *For all $\theta \in \text{Ob}\mathcal{TPaG}$ there is a monomorphism $\theta^{\Gamma_\theta} \rightarrow \theta$ that is an isomorphism if θ is adjusted. In any case, $\theta^{\Gamma_\theta} \cong \bar{\theta}$.*
(v) *The restriction of the functors in (i) and (ii) form an equivalence between the categories $\mathcal{APprG} \cap \mathcal{SPprG}$ and \mathcal{ATPaG} .*
(vi) *The restriction of the functor from (i) to $\mathcal{APprG} \rightarrow \mathcal{ATPaG}$ is right adjoint to the restriction of the functor from (ii) to $\mathcal{ATPaG} \rightarrow \mathcal{APprG}$.*

Proof. (i): Let $(\Gamma, T) \in \text{Ob}\mathcal{PprG}$ with factor set σ . We have that (θ^Γ, σ) is a twisted partial action of \mathcal{G} on S by Propostion 9. Since S is generated by the elements αn_x , $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}, x \in \mathcal{G}$, θ^Γ is adjusted.

Let $(\Gamma', T') \in \text{Ob}\mathcal{PprG}$ with factor set σ' and $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{PprG}}(\Gamma, \Gamma')$. We have that $(\theta^{\Gamma'}, \sigma')$ is a twisted partial action of \mathcal{G} on $S' = \varphi(S)$. Moreover,

$$\varphi(n_x) = \varphi(\Gamma(x)\Gamma(x^{-1})\sigma(x^{-1}, x)^{-1}) = \Gamma'(x)\Gamma'(x^{-1})\sigma'(x^{-1}, x)^{-1} = n'_x,$$

from where it follows that $\varphi(S_x) = S'_x$, for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$. We have that $\varphi(\theta^\Gamma(a)) = \theta^{\Gamma'}(\varphi(a))$, for all $a \in S_{x^{-1}}$, which implies that $\varphi : S \rightarrow S'$ is a partial action morphism such that $\varphi : S_x \rightarrow S'_x$ is a monoid morphism for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$.

We already observed that $\sigma(x, y) = \sigma'(x, y)$, for all $(x, y) \in \text{dom}\sigma'$. Since

$$\varphi(S_x \cap S_{xy}) = \varphi(S n_x n_{xy}) = S' n'_x n'_{xy} = S'_x \cap S'_{xy},$$

for $(x, y) \in \mathcal{G}^2$, we have that $\varphi(S_x \cap S_{xy}) \neq 0 \implies S'_x \cap S'_{xy} \neq 0 \implies (x, y) \in \text{dom}\sigma'$.

(ii): Let $(\theta, \sigma) \in \text{Ob}\mathcal{TPaG}$ be a twisted partial action of \mathcal{G} on T . We already know that $\Gamma_\theta : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow T \rtimes_{\theta, \sigma} \mathcal{G}$ is a separating partial projective representation with factor set σ . Let $(\theta', \sigma') \in \text{Ob}\mathcal{TPaG}$ be a twisted partial action of \mathcal{G} on T' and $\varphi : (\theta, \sigma) \rightarrow (\theta', \sigma')$ a twisted partial action morphism. Since $\varphi(T_x) \subseteq T'_x$, we can consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : T \rtimes_{\theta, \sigma} \mathcal{G} &\rightarrow T' \rtimes_{\theta', \sigma'} \mathcal{G} \\ a\delta_x &\mapsto \varphi(a)\delta'_x. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a\delta_x, b\delta_y \in T \rtimes_{\theta, \sigma} \mathcal{G}$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(a\delta_x)\Phi(b\delta_y) &= \varphi(a)\delta'_x\varphi(b)\delta'_y \\ &= \begin{cases} \theta'_x(\theta'_{x^{-1}}(\varphi(a))\varphi(b))\sigma'(x, y)\delta'_{xy}, & \text{if } \exists xy \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \theta'_x(\varphi(\theta_{x^{-1}}(a)b))\sigma'(x, y)\delta'_{xy}, & \text{if } \exists xy \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \varphi(\theta_x(\theta_{x^{-1}}(a)b))\sigma'(x, y)\delta'_{xy}, & \text{if } \exists xy \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(a\delta_x b\delta_y) &= \begin{cases} \Phi(\theta_x(\theta_{x^{-1}}(a)b)\sigma(x,y)\delta_{xy}), & \text{if } \exists xy \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \varphi(\theta_x(\theta_{x^{-1}}(a)b))\sigma(x,y)\delta'_{xy}, & \text{if } \exists xy \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

If $\exists xy$ and $\varphi(T_x \cap T_{xy}) \neq 0$, then $\sigma(x,y) = \sigma'(x,y)$. If $\exists xy$ and $\varphi(T_x \cap T_{xy}) = 0$, then $\varphi(\theta_x(\theta_{x^{-1}}(a)b)) = 0$. If $\nexists xy$, then $\sigma(x,y) = \sigma'(x,y) = 0$. In this way we guarantee that Φ is a \mathbb{K} -semigroup homomorphism. Besides that,

$$\Phi(\Gamma_\theta(x)) = \Phi(1_x\delta_x) = \varphi(1_x)\delta'_x = 1'_x\delta'_x = \Gamma_{\theta'}(x),$$

so Φ is a morphism between Γ_θ and $\Gamma_{\theta'}$.

Assume that θ is adjusted. Consider arbitrary $0 \neq a\delta_x \in T \rtimes_{\theta,\sigma} \mathcal{G}$. We have that $a = \alpha 1_x 1_{y_1} \cdots 1_{y_l}$ with $r(y_i) = r(x)$, for all $1 \leq i \leq l$. Hence

$$a\delta_x = \alpha 1_{y_1} \cdots 1_{y_l} \delta_{r(x)} 1_x \delta_x = \alpha 1_{y_1} \delta_{r(x)} \cdots 1_{y_l} \delta_{r(x)} 1_x \delta_x.$$

Notice that for all $z \in r(x)G$ we have

$$1_z \delta_{r(x)} = \sigma(z^{-1}, z)^{-1} 1_z \delta_z 1_{z^{-1}} \delta_{z^{-1}} = \sigma(z^{-1}, z)^{-1} \Gamma_\theta(z) \Gamma_\theta(z^{-1}),$$

thus

$$a\delta_x = \beta \Gamma_\theta(y_1) \Gamma_\theta(y_1^{-1}) \cdots \Gamma_\theta(y_l) \Gamma_\theta(y_l^{-1}) \Gamma_\theta(x),$$

that is, Γ_θ is adjusted.

(iii): Let $\Gamma \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{Ppr}\mathcal{G}$. The morphism $\psi : \Gamma_{\theta^\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma$ defined in Proposition 9 is an epimorphism if Γ is adjusted. Assume that Γ is separating. Clearly $\psi(a\delta_x) \neq \psi(b\delta_y)$, for all $x \neq y$, $a \in S_x$ and $b \in S_y$. If $\psi(a\delta_x) = \psi(b\delta_x)$ with $a, b \in S_x \neq 0$, then $a\Gamma(x) = b\Gamma(x)$ and, multiplying both sides by $\Gamma(x^{-1})$ we obtain $an_x\sigma(x, x^{-1}) = bn_x\sigma(x, x^{-1})$. Now, $a, b \in S_x$ yields $an_x = a$ and $bn_x = b$. Since S is \mathbb{K} -cancellative, it follows that $a = b$.

(iv): Let $(\theta, \sigma) \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{TPa}\mathcal{G}$ be a twisted partial action of \mathcal{G} on T . It is clear that ϕ defined in Proposition 11 is an isomorphism between θ^{Γ_θ} and θ .

(v): Just notice that the set of morphisms between any two objects of $\mathcal{APpr}\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{SPpr}\mathcal{G}$ is empty or has only one element and the same holds in $\mathcal{ATPa}\mathcal{G}$, so the restrictions are full and faithful. The result now follows from (iii) and (iv).

(vi): We already know that the domains and codomains of these functors are appropriate, as well as the sets of morphisms between any two objects of one of these categories have 0 or 1 element only. Moreover, by the same arguments given in [6, Theorem 3(vi)], we have that for $\Gamma \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{APpr}\mathcal{G}$ and $\theta \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{ATPa}\mathcal{G}$,

$$\#\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{APpr}\mathcal{G}}(\Gamma_\theta, \Gamma) = \#\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{ATPa}\mathcal{G}}(\theta, \theta^\Gamma),$$

which concludes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bagio and A. Paques. Partial groupoid actions: globalization, morita theory, and galois theory. *Comm. Algebra*, 40(10):3658–3678, 2012.
- [2] M. Dokuchaev and R. Exel. Associativity of crossed products by partial actions, enveloping actions and partial representations. *Trans. AMS*, 357(5):1931–1952, 2005.

- [3] M. Dokuchaev, R. Exel, and J. Simón. Crossed products by twisted partial actions and graded algebras. *Journal of Algebra*, 320(8):3278–3310, 2008.
- [4] M. Dokuchaev, R. Exel, and J. Simón. Globalization of twisted partial actions. *Trans. AMS*, 362(8):4137–4160, 2010.
- [5] M. Dokuchaev and B. Novikov. Partial projective representations and partial actions. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 214(3):251–268, 2010.
- [6] M. Dokuchaev and B. Novikov. Partial projective representations and partial actions ii. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 216(2):438–455, 2012.
- [7] J. M. Howie. *An introduction to semigroup theory*, volume 7. Academic press, 1976.
- [8] W. G. Lautenschlaeger and T. Tamusiunas. Inverse semigroupoid actions and representations, 2021.
- [9] A. Paques and T. Tamusiunas. A galois-grothendieck-type correspondence. *Algebra Discrete Math.*, 17:80 – 97, 2014.
- [10] A. Paques and T. Tamusiunas. The galois correspondence theorem for groupoid actions. *J. Algebra*, 509:105–123, 2018.
- [11] L. H. Rowen. *Ring Theory*. Academic Press, 1991.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DO MARINGÁ, AV. COLOMBO, 5790 - CAMPUS UNIVERSITÁRIO, 87020-900, MARINGÁ-PR, BRAZIL
Email address: laertebemm1983@yahoo.com.br

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO GRANDE DO SUL, AV. BENTO GONÇALVES, 9500, 91509-900. PORTO ALEGRE-RS, BRAZIL
Email address: wesleyglautenschlaeger@gmail.com, thaisa.tamusiunas@gmail.com