Reproduction of vortex lattices in the simulations of rotating liquid helium-4 by numerically solving the two-fluid model using smoothed-particle hydrodynamics incorporating vortex dynamics
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Abstract

Our recent study has shown that the representative phenomena of liquid helium-4 rotating in a cylinder could be simulated by solving the two-fluid model using smoothed-particle hydrodynamics (SPH) after reformulating the viscosity to conserve the rotational angular momentum. Specifically, the emergence of multiple parallel vortices and their rigid-body rotations were observed in our previous SPH simulations. The reported scheme is based on a classical approximation that assumes the fluid forces of both components and their interactions, with the expectation of functioning as a coarse-grained model of existing approximations that couple a microscopic model and the Navier–Stokes equation. Based on previous studies, this paper proposes an improved SPH scheme that explicitly incorporates vortex dynamics into SPH to reproduce vortex lattices, which was not possible in previous studies. Consequently, our improved scheme was observed to reproduce vortex lattices by introducing the Magnus force and the interaction forces among vortices into the reformulated two-fluid model. The spinnings of the vortices and rigid-body rotations were also observed. The number of vortices showed a certain agreement with Feynman’s rule after the model parameter was optimized. Notably, from a scientific point of view, such vortex lattices are reproduced by the classical-mechanical approximation. We hope that our model will help physicists studying low-temperature physics find a new way of approaching this bizarre phenomenon that has attracted attention for more than 80 years.
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1. Introduction

The two-fluid model proposed by Tiza and Landau has been recognized as the phenomenological governing equation for superfluid helium-4 [1][2]. To date, several physicists have discussed the interesting properties of the two-fluid model. In the mid-19th century, Gorter and Mellink observed a mutual friction force between the normal and superfluid components of the two-fluid model [3]. Currently, it is generally accepted to combine the Navier–Stokes equation, which represents the normal fluid component, with the vortex filament model, which represents the superfluid component in a Lagrangian form [4][5][6]. This suggests that solving both equations of the two-fluid model simultaneously can also provide an approximation, although such an approximation is not correct from a quantum mechanical perspective because fluid forces are assumed for both components. Nevertheless, even if we attempt to reproduce macroscopic phenomena, such as the “fountain effect” and “film flow effect,” numerically on computers, the scale of the problem is so significant that existing quantum mechanical models cannot be directly applied. In addition, these phenomena do not always follow classical fluid mechanics. Therefore, we need to establish a new numerical model assuming that these large-scale problems exist in the boundary region between classical and quantum mechanics.

Accordingly, we have recently developed a numerical scheme that discretizes both components of the two-fluid model using smoothed-particle hydrodynamics (SPH) and solved these components simultaneously [7]. Surprisingly,
it was observed that several rotation phenomena of liquid helium-4 were reproduced despite a rough approximation of the solution of the problems in the quantum scale. Specifically, it was observed that the emergence of multiple vortices rotating parallel to the rotation axis of the outer cylinder, and the phenomena of rigid-body rotation of these vortices, can be reproduced by solving the two-fluid model after reformulating its viscosity term to conserve the angular momentum of particles around their axes (which is often referred to as “spin angular momentum” as an analogy for the corresponding term in quantum mechanics). These findings are interesting because they were previously believed to be reproduced only through quantum mechanical approaches. However, the numerical model in [7] could not reproduce the phenomena of so-called vortex lattices because it did not consider the effect of vortex dynamics. Namely, the Magnus force, which is the lift force acting on the vortices caused by their own rotations, the interaction force among parallel vortices, and the effect of quantization of circulation, were not formulated in our previous SPH model. Therefore, based on previous studies, this study improves our SPH model by incorporating these major effects of quantum fluids, to facilitate the reproduction of vortex lattices in numerical simulations. To the best of our knowledge, no studies other than our previous study numerically solved the two-fluid model to reproduce the dynamics of liquid helium-4 in low-temperature regions, assuming the fluid forces of both components and their interactions. Additionally, no studies have attempted to incorporate the vortex dynamics of a quantum fluid into the SPH formulation.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly review the SPH discretization of the two-fluid model proposed in our previous study. In Section 3, we describe the vortex dynamics in SPH formalism, and derive the SPH formulations of the three representative effects of quantum fluids. In Section 4, we describe the key computational algorithms that realize vortex detection in SPH simulations. In Section 5, we demonstrate the numerical simulations of rotating liquid helium-4 using our SPH model. In Section 6, we summarize and conclude this paper.

2. Brief review of SPH formulation of the two-fluid model

Our previous paper [7] proposed a reformulation of the viscosity term in the motion equations of the two-fluid model to conserve the angular momentum of particles around their axes. This idea was inspired by the research by D. W. Condiﬀ, who derived the Navier–Stokes equations with spin angular momentum conservation to reproduce the small effect of rotating molecules for polar fluids [8]. As a related study, Condiﬀ’s model was introduced by K. Müller to the development of a numerical scheme for smoothed dissipative particle dynamics for mesoscale heat ﬂows [9]. In this section, we brieﬂy overview the SPH model of the two-fluid model with spin angular momentum conservation.

2.1. Two-fluid model with spin angular momentum conservation

The two-fluid model obtained after reformulating the viscosity term to conserve the spin angular momentum can be expressed as follows [7]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{Dv_n}{Dt} &= -\rho_n \nabla P + \rho_n \sigma \nabla T - F_m, \\
\frac{Dv_s}{Dt} &= -\rho_s \nabla P - \rho_s \sigma \nabla T + (\tilde{\eta} + \tilde{\eta}_s)\nabla^2 v_s + \left(\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{3} + \tilde{\xi} - \tilde{\eta}_s\right)\nabla \cdot v_s + 2\tilde{\eta}_s \nabla \times \omega + F_m.
\end{align*}
\] (1)

Here, \(D[\cdot]/Dt\) represents the material derivative. \(\rho_n\) and \(\rho_s\) are the mass densities of the normal fluid and superfluid components, respectively, which have the relationship \(\rho = \rho_n + \rho_s\), where \(\rho\) is the mass density of the total fluid. \(v_n\) and \(v_s\) are the velocities of the normal fluid and superfluid components, respectively. \(T\), \(P\), and \(\sigma\) are the temperature, pressure, and entropy density, respectively. The parameters \(\tilde{\eta}_s\), \(\tilde{\xi}\), and \(\tilde{\eta}\) indicate the shear viscosity, bulk viscosity, and rotational viscosity, respectively, where \(\tilde{\eta}\) determines the strength of the rotational forces [9]. Note that the fourth term on the right-hand side of Eq. (1) becomes 0 because of the incompressibility condition \(\nabla \cdot v = 0\). Equation (2) can be understood as an extended version of the ordinary two-fluid model: the set of the third to fifth terms converges to \(\tilde{\eta}\nabla^2 v_s\), as the parameter \(\tilde{\eta}_s\) converges to 0 in the case of \(\nabla \cdot v = 0\). This indicates that \(\tilde{\eta}_s\) corresponds to the viscosity \(\eta_s\) of the normal fluid component of the ordinary two-fluid model. Meanwhile, \(F_m\), the mutual friction force between the two components, is expressed as \(F_m = 2/3\rho_s \alpha \kappa L v_m\), where \(\kappa\) is the quantum of circulation, \(v_m\) is the relative
velocity of $v_s - v_n$, and $\alpha$ is the friction coefficient. $L$ is the vortex line density, which is time dependent. Because the exact state of $L$ in rotating liquid helium-4 is unclear, we use a decay model of $L$ in counterflow as an alternative, which is expressed as $L^{-1}(t) = L^{-1}(0) + \beta_t t$, where $\beta_t$ is a coefficient in Vinen’s equation [10].

In addition to Eq. (1) and Eq. (2), we introduce two auxiliary equations: the relationship between the entropy $\sigma$ and the temperature $T$ derived from thermodynamics and the elementary excitation model [9, 16], and Tait’s equation of state that relates the pressure $P$ with the density $\rho$ for each particle. Each equation is expressed as follows [12]:

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{NM} \left[ \frac{2\pi k_B T^3}{45 h^3 c^3} + \frac{k_B}{2\pi} \sqrt{\pi} \rho_0 \Delta \frac{\hbar^3 e^{-h/T}}{\sqrt{T}} \right],$$

$$P = \rho_0 \left( \frac{\mu}{\rho_0} \right)^{\alpha_P} - \beta_P.$$

Here, in Eq. (3), $\pi$ is the circumference of the circle, $c$ is the speed of sound, $N$ is the total number of helium-4 atoms in the system, and $M$ is the mass of a helium-4 atom. $k_B$ represents the Boltzmann constant, and $\hbar$ indicates the reduced Planck’s constant. The constant values of $\mu$, $\rho_0$, and $\Delta$ are given as $1.72 \times 10^{-24}$ g, $2.1 \times 10^{-19}$ gcms$^{-1}$, and 8.9 K, respectively [12, 13]. Note that Eq. (3) holds when $T \ll 93$ K. Meanwhile, in Eq. (4), $\rho_0$ and $\rho_p$ represent the density and pressure of the fluid in the initial state, respectively. $\alpha_P$ determines the incompressibility of the system. $\beta_P$ is the reference pressure, which typically corresponds to $\rho_0$.

### 2.2. SPH discretization

The basic concept of SPH is an approximation of the Dirac delta function $\delta$ in the integral form of the physical value $\phi$ by the distribution function $W$ called the smoothing kernel function, which converges to $\delta$ when the kernel radius $h$ approaches 0, where $h$ is a parameter that determines the scale of the function ($\lim_{h \to 0} W = \delta$). $W$ must also satisfy the normalization condition ($\int W dr = 1$) and the symmetry $W(-r) = W(r)$. The Gaussian function is an intuitive example of the selection of $W$. This study uses the third-order spline kernel function [15] because it satisfies a compact support condition in which the value of $W$ becomes zero at a distance of $2h$.

The physical value $\phi$ expressed in the integral form using $W$ is further discretized based on the summation approximation concept. Under this approximation, $\phi$ is expressed as follows:

$$\phi(r_i) \approx \sum_{j}^{N_f} \phi(r_j) m_j W (|r_i - r_j|, h),$$

where $m_j$ and $\rho_j$ are the mass and density of the $j$th particle, respectively. $N_f$ represents the number of fluid particles used to discretize the system. The gradient, Laplacian, and rotation models of a physical quantity can be obtained axiometrically from Eq. (5) using a vector analysis. The resulting formulas are expressed as follows [9, 16]:

$$\nabla \phi(r_i) := \sum_{j}^{N_f} \frac{m_j}{\rho_j} \left( \frac{\phi(r_j)}{\rho_j^2} + \frac{\phi(r_j)}{\rho_j} \right) \nabla W_{ij},$$

$$\nabla^2 \phi(r_i) := \sum_{j}^{N_f} \frac{m_j}{\rho_j} \left( \frac{\phi(r_i) - \phi(r_j)}{|r_i - r_j|^2} (r_i - r_j) \cdot \nabla W_{ij},$$

$$\nabla \times G_i := \sum_{j}^{N_f} \frac{m_j}{\rho_j} \nabla W_{ij} \times (G_i + G_j).$$

Here, $W_{ij} = W(|r_i - r_j|, h)$, and $G_i$ represents a vector value at the position $r_i$. In the derivation of Eq. (6), we used the relationship $\nabla f = \rho \nabla (f/\rho) + (f/\rho^2) \nabla \rho$ to ensure the symmetry of $\phi$ between the $i$th and $j$th particles. The
temperature gradient and viscosity terms in Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) are computed using Eq. (6) and Eq. (7). On the other hand, the pressure gradient terms in Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) are computed using an improved scheme [17] instead of using Eq. (6) to ensure the continuity of the pressure and pressure gradients at the interface. The fifth term in Eq. (2), which provides a rotational force to the normal fluid components, is computed using Eq. (8). The magnitude of the initial angular velocity \( |\omega| \) is given by \( C\omega |\nu_{max}|/0.5d_p \), where \( d_p \) is the initial particle distance, \( \nu_{max} \) is the estimated maximum velocity, and \( C\omega \) is a scale parameter for \( \nu_{max} \).

The mutual frictional force \( \mathbf{F}_s^{(i)} \) acting on the \( i \)th particle, which is a superfluid component, is expressed as follows by using the two-way coupling technique [18, 19]:

\[
\mathbf{F}_s^{(i)} = C_L \frac{\sum_{j \in \Omega_i} (\mathbf{v}^{(i)} - \mathbf{v}^{(j)}) \mathbf{W}_{ij}}{\sum_{j \in \Omega_i} \mathbf{W}_{ij}}. \tag{9}
\]

Here, \( C_L = 2/3\rho_s\alpha_sL \) and \( \Omega_i \) is the set of all particles in the neighborhood of the \( i \)th fluid particle. The third term on the right-hand side of Eq. (1) was calculated by multiplying Eq. (9) using \( -1 \). The mutual frictional force \( \mathbf{F}_n^{(j)} \) acting on the \( j \)th particle, which is a normal fluid component, is calculated as the sum of the reaction forces of the force acting on the \( i \)th particle, which is a superfluid component, as follows:

\[
\mathbf{F}_n^{(j)} = -\sum_{i \in \Omega_j} \mathbf{F}_s^{(i)} \left( \frac{\mathbf{W}_{ij}}{\sum_{k \in \Omega_i} \mathbf{W}_{ik}} \right), \tag{10}
\]

where \( \Omega_j \) is the set of all particles in the neighborhood of the \( j \)th fluid particle, and \( \Omega_i \) is the corresponding set for the \( i \)th particle. Equation (10) corresponds to the sixth term on the right-hand side of Eq. (2). The right-hand sides of Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) are discretized. Finally, the material derivatives of the velocities on the left-hand sides of Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) are calculated using the velocity Verlet algorithm, an explicit time-integrating scheme [20]. For more details on the SPH model of the two-fluid model with spin angular momentum conservation, refer to the literature [7].

3. Expression of vortex dynamics in SPH formalism

In the SPH simulations of rotating liquid helium-4 reported in [7], the normal fluid particles forming low-density components gather to form clusters and change to vortices, spontaneously rotating around their respective axes. This is illustrated by the schematic diagram shown in Fig. 1 in an ideal case with three vortices in the simulation domain. Notably, the simulation domain is geometrically divided into two types of domains: the closed domains filled with superfluid particles and the closed pores filled with normal fluid particles. First, we provide a mathematical explanation of the characteristic arrangement shown in Fig. 1.
3.1. Description of quantization of circulation in terms of the Cauchy–Goursat theorem

In the quantum hydrodynamics of liquid helium-4, the velocity of superfluid helium-4 \( v_s \) has the relationship 
\[ v_s = \frac{\hbar}{m} \nabla \theta, \]
where \( \hbar \) is the reduced Planck’s constant, \( m \) is the mass of a helium-4 atom, and \( \theta \) is the phase of the wave function determined by the Gross–Pitaevskii equation. The contour integral of the velocity in a simply connected region \( C_s \) filled only with superfluid components always becomes zero [21]:
\[ \oint_{C_s} v_s \cdot dr = 0. \]  
(11)

The contour integral of the superfluid velocity in the multiply connected domain \( C_m \), including the region in which the superfluid component does not exist, corresponds to an integral multiple of the quantum of circulation \( \kappa \):
\[ \oint_{C_m} v_s \cdot dr = q \kappa. \]  
(12)

Here, \( q \) represents an integer called the winding number, which mostly becomes 1 to stabilize the internal energy of a vortex [21].

In the case illustrated in Fig. 1a, a relationship similar to the Cauchy–Goursat theorem holds. We discuss this relationship using Fig. 1b. From Eq. (11), both the contour integrals of the velocity on the boundary of Domain 1 (the route abcdefgha including the solid curves) and that on the boundary of Domain 2 (the route ahgfedcba including the dotted curves) become 0. Hence, the following relationship is established:
\[ \oint_{\partial D_1} v_s \cdot dr + \oint_{\partial D_2} v_s \cdot dr = 0. \]  
(13)

Here, \( \partial D_x \) represents the boundary of Domain \( X \), and the direction of the integral path is defined as positive in the counterclockwise direction. In the integrals on the left-hand side of Eq. (13), the line integrals of \( l_1, l_2, l_3, \text{ and } l_4 \) are offset between the first and second terms because the integration paths are opposite to each other. Consequently, only the line integrals of the velocity on the closed paths surrounding each vortex in the clockwise direction and that on the outermost circle in the counterclockwise direction remain:
\[ 3 \sum_{k=1}^{N_v} \oint_{\partial C_k} v_s \cdot dr + \oint_{\partial C_0} v_s \cdot dr = 0. \]  
(14)

Here, \( \partial C_k \) represents the closed paths surrounding the \( k \)th vortex counterclockwise. Equation (14) holds in the general case where the number of vortices is \( N_v \), which can be expressed as follows:
\[ \oint_{\partial C_0} v_s \cdot dr = \sum_{k=1}^{N_v} \oint_{\partial C_k} v_s \cdot dr, \]  
(15)

where we use the relationship \( \oint_{\partial C} A \cdot dr = -\oint_{\partial C} A \cdot dr \).

Consequently, the circulation of the entire system is given by the sum of the circulations around each vortex. This result shows that the case shown in Fig. 1a obtained in our SPH simulations is consistent with the general understanding of multiple parallel quantum vortices. Furthermore, the fact that the value of the line integral on \( C_i \) is converted into the area integral of the region surrounded by \( C_i \) using Stokes’ theorem suggests that the total circulation in the area surrounded by \( C_i \) is distributed to the normal fluid particles constituting the \( C_i \) vortex. Let us proceed with a discussion building on this mechanical picture.

3.2. Circulation in SPH formalism

Let us define the circulation of the \( k \)th vortex \( \Gamma_k \) as follows:
\[ \Gamma_k = \oint_{\partial C_k} v \cdot dr, \]  
(16)
where \( \mathbf{v} \) represents the velocity of the normal or superfluid component. If the pore of the \( k \)th vortex is discretized into \( N_p^{(k)} \) number of normal fluid particles, Eq. (16) is rewritten as follows using Stokes’ theorem:

\[
\Gamma_k = \int_{\partial C_k} \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_{\partial C_k} \nabla \times \mathbf{v} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \\
\approx \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_p^{(k)}} (\nabla \times \mathbf{v})_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{n}_\alpha dS_\alpha, \tag{17}
\]

where \( N_p^{(k)} \) is the number of particles constituting the \( k \)th vortex, and \( d\mathbf{S} \) is the surface element vector. \( dS_\alpha \) is the absolute value of the discretized \( dS \) defined on the \( \alpha \)th particle in the \( k \)th vortex. \( \mathbf{n}_\alpha \) is the normal vector of \( dS_\alpha \). We used the relationship \( \mathbf{n}_\alpha dS_\alpha = dS_\alpha \) in the derivation of Eq. (17).

In SPH, all the particles are equal in size and do not deform. Hence, the particles can be approximated as rigid bodies. In this case, the value of \( (\nabla \times \mathbf{v})_\alpha \) is approximately \( 2\omega_\alpha \), where \( \omega_\alpha \) indicates the angular velocity of the \( \alpha \)th particle. Furthermore, in the case of two-dimensional simulations, the value of \( dS_\alpha \) is equivalent to the small volume of the \( \alpha \)th particle \( (dS_\alpha = m_\alpha/\rho_\alpha) \), and the direction of the vector \( \omega_\alpha \) corresponds to that of the normal vector \( \mathbf{n}_\alpha \). Therefore, the following equation is obtained for the circulation of the \( k \)th vortex:

\[
\Gamma_k \approx \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_p^{(k)}} 2\omega_\alpha \frac{m_\alpha}{\rho_\alpha} \quad (\omega_\alpha = |\omega_\alpha|). \tag{18}
\]

3.3. Magnus effect in SPH formalism

An object rotating in a flow receives a lift force in a direction perpendicular to the direction of the flow, called the Magnus force. The magnitude of the Magnus force \( F_{mg} \) in two-dimensional space is expressed as follows, according to the Kutta–Joukowski theorem:

\[
F_{mg} = \rho_f V_f \Gamma,
\]

where \( \rho_f \) is the fluid density, \( V_f \) is the speed of the flow around the object, and \( \Gamma \) represents the circulation. In our case, as each vortex is composed of normal fluid particles, the velocity of the flow around a vortex can be obtained by sampling the velocities of the superfluid particles in the neighborhood of the normal fluid particles in the vortex. By using the circulation in Eq. (18), the magnitude of the Magnus force acting on the \( k \)th vortex \( F_{mg}^{(k)} \) is expressed as follows:

\[
F_{mg}^{(k)} = \rho_s \left| \frac{1}{N_p^{(k)}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_p^{(k)}} \frac{1}{N_p^{(k,\alpha)}} \sum_{\beta \in \Omega_\alpha} v^{(\beta)}_i \right| \min |\Gamma_k, qk|, \tag{20}
\]

where \( \rho_s \) is the mass density of the superfluid components. \( N_p^{(k)} \) is the number of particles constituting the \( k \)th vortex. \( N_p^{(k,\alpha)} \) is the number of superfluid particles in the neighborhood of the \( \alpha \)th particle inside the \( k \)th vortex. \( v^{(\beta)}_i \) is the velocity of the \( \beta \)th particle among \( N_p^{(k,\alpha)} \) superfluid particles. The reason for introducing the function \( \min \) \( [\cdot \cdot \cdot] \) is to avoid overestimating the Magnus force of each vortex that keeps the circulation \( qk \). The orientation of \( F_{mg}^{(k)} \) is set by the vector \( \mathbf{n}_k \times \mathbf{V}_k \), where \( \mathbf{V}_k \) is the averaged velocity obtained via the calculation of the absolute value symbol in Eq. (20), and \( \mathbf{n}_k \) is a vector oriented in the vertical direction to the paper surface in two-dimensional simulations.

3.4. Modeling of the interaction forces among vortices

The interaction energy per unit length \( E_{int}^{(k,l)} \) between the \( k \)th and \( l \)th parallel vortices separated by a distance \( d \) can be estimated as follows [21]:

\[
E_{int}^{(k,l)} \approx \frac{2\pi q_k q_l h^3 n}{m} \ln \frac{D}{d}, \quad (D \gg d, \ d \gg \xi_k).
\]

(21)
Here, \( h \) represents the reduced Planck’s constant. \( q_k \) and \( q_l \) are the winding numbers of the \( k \)th and \( l \)th vortices, respectively. \( m \) is the mass of a helium-4 atom. \( n \) is the density of the condensate. \( D \) is a finite large distance; in the case of rotating liquid helium-4, the outer radius of the cylindrical vessel is set to \( D \). \( d \) is defined as the distance from the \( k \)th vortex. Note that Eq. (21) holds when \( D \gg d \) and \( d \gg \xi_h \), where \( \xi_h \) represents the healing length of a vortex. The interaction force that acts on the \( k \)th vortex from the \( l \)th vortex can be given by the first partial derivative of the interaction energy \( E_{\text{int}}^{(k,l)} \) with respect to \( d \) as follows:

\[
f_{\text{int}}^{(k,l)} = \frac{\partial E_{\text{int}}^{(k,l)}}{\partial d} \approx -\frac{1}{d} \frac{m}{2\pi} \left( \frac{2\pi q_k h}{m} \right) n \approx -\frac{1}{d} \frac{m}{2\pi} \cdot q_k \cdot q_l \cdot n.
\]

(22)

Here, we used the relation \( \kappa = 2\pi h/m \). The negative sign in Eq. (22) indicates that \( f_{\text{int}}^{(k,l)} \) is a repulsive force. \( q_k \kappa \) and \( q_l \kappa \) provide the circulations of the \( k \)th and \( l \)th vortices, respectively. \( n \) represents the density of the condensate, and \( mn \) corresponds to the mass density \( \rho_1 \). Therefore, Eq. (22) can be expressed as

\[
f_{\text{int}}^{(k,l)} \approx -\frac{1}{d} \Gamma_k \Gamma_l \frac{\rho_1}{2\pi},
\]

(23)

where the circulations \( \Gamma_k \) and \( \Gamma_l \) are computed using Eq. (18).

We further approximated Eq. (23) by replacing the distance \( d \) with \( d - \xi_h \) to consider the volume effect of each vortex. This approximation is acceptable because Eq. (21) becomes valid when \( d \gg \xi_h \), by which the relationship of \( d \approx d - \xi_h \) is obtained. The resulting formula is as follows:

\[
f_{\text{int}}^{(k,l)} \approx -\frac{1}{d - \xi_h} \Gamma_k \Gamma_l \frac{\rho_1}{2\pi}.
\]

(24)

Here, as the healing length \( \xi_h \) provides the scale of a vortex, we determine \( \xi_h \) at the initial state from the average volume of a vortex and the average number of particles in a vortex on the premise of the circular shape and hold the initial value of \( \xi_h \) during the simulation.

3.5. Representation of the quantization of circulation

In quantum hydrodynamics, the circulation of each vortex is given by the integral multiple of the quantum of circulation \( \kappa \), as represented in Eq. (12). Under such topological defects, quantum lattices are formed due to the balance between the repulsive forces among multiple parallel vortices and the Magnus forces, which operate as centripetal forces owing to the forced rotation. Therefore, modeling the quantization of circulation in SPH formalism is critical for reproducing quantum lattices in simulations. This study introduces the following conditional judgment as to \( f_{\text{int}}^{(k,l)} \) to simulate the effect of quantization of circulation:

\[
F_{\text{int}}^{(k,l)} = \begin{cases} 
0 & (\Gamma_k < q_k, \ \Gamma_l < q_k), \\
 f_{\text{int}}^{(k,l)} & (\text{otherwise}), 
\end{cases}
\]

(25)

where \( F_{\text{int}}^{(k,l)} \) is the resulting interaction force between the \( k \)th and \( l \)th vortices obtained after the judgment. Equation (25) suggests that a bundle of normal fluid particles is identified as a vortex when its circulation becomes greater than \( q_k \), whereas it is recognized as a cluster otherwise. In the simulations, the repulsive force \( f_{\text{int}}^{(k,l)} \) is computed between the \( k \)th vortex and the \( l \)th vortex or cluster to prevent the \( k \)th vortex from further development. In contrast, the interaction forces between clusters with circulations less than \( q_k \) are ignored because they do not have sufficient influence on each other. Finally, the sum of the interacting forces acting on the \( k \)th vortex \( F_{\text{int}}^{(k)} \) is expressed as

\[
F_{\text{int}}^{(k)} = \sum_l F_{\text{int}}^{(k,l)},
\]

(26)

where \( N_k \) indicates the number of vortices detected at each computational step. The Magnus forces and the interaction forces among the vortices are then added to the motion equations of Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) as external forces. Specifically, the acceleration obtained by dividing \( F_{\text{mg}}^{(k)} \) and \( F_{\text{int}}^{(k)} \) by the vortex mass is evenly distributed to each particle belonging to the vortex. The system is then updated using an explicit time-integrating scheme, and all the processes for a computational time step are completed.
4. Key computational algorithms for practical simulations

In actual simulations, it is indispensable to identify clusters composed of normal fluid particles as individual groups based on their geometric arrangements and set sequential numbers at each simulation step. A suitable partitioning algorithm for classifying particles into groups is required. The following section presents a vortex detection algorithm using Voronoi tessellation and a hierarchically structured quadtree.

4.1. Vortex detection algorithm using Voronoi tessellation and a hierarchically structured quadtree

Our vortex detection algorithm is implemented using the following five computational procedures: (a) the recursive mesh refinement of the computational domain, (b) the topological thinning of the quadtree, (c) the connection of adjacent deepest distal leaves, (d) the estimation of the center points of vortices, and (e) Voronoi tessellation. The details of each procedure are presented in Fig. 2. The subfigures in Fig. 2 show the same particle distribution with superfluid particles in red and normal fluid particles in blue on the back.

(a) Recursive mesh refinement. The computational domain is recursively divided into $2 \times 2$ square-shaped subleaves using a quadtree until the number of normal fluid particles in each leaf becomes less than or equal to one. Figure 2a shows an example of the generated refined meshes with a white-colored line over the aforementioned particle distribution.

(b) Topological thinning of the quadtree. All the distal leaves of a hierarchically structured quadtree generated by process (a) are traced by the Morton curve (z-curve), a space-filling curve that fills in a space with a single stroke of a brush. Figure 2b shows the process of tracing the distal leaf using a z-curve. Next, the deepest
distal leaves of the tree, which are adjacent to the shallower leaves covering the region of the superfluid components, are released from the outer leaves while tracing all the distal leaves using the z-curve. This method is known as the topological thinning technique in the field of image processing. Please refer to the literature \cite{26} for further details on the process of releasing leaves. The use of the thinning technique facilitates distinguishing different vortices, even when they are close to each other. We set the minimum size of the refined meshes to the initial particle distance $d_p$. Therefore, the vortex can be distinguished with a resolution of $2d$ because the two leaves are released in each direction. Figure \ref{fig:2} shows the meshes modified using the thinning technique. The outer leaves in each bundle of distal leaves were confirmed to be released, as shown in Fig. \ref{fig:2}.

(c) Connection of adjacent deepest distal leaves. Each deepest distal leaf searches for other deepest distal leaves located within a distance of 1.5$d_p$ from itself and registers them in its list. The value of 1.5$d_p$ was determined to detect the closest leaf in the diagonal direction, in addition to the vertical and horizontal directions. We then searched for the connections among the leaves using Algorithm 1.

\begin{algorithm}[h]
\begin{algorithmic}
\State $k \leftarrow 0$
\For{$j = 0 \rightarrow N_{leaf}$}
\If{$\text{Leaf}[j].\text{reg} = \text{false}$}
\State Add $\text{Leaf}[j]$ to the list of $\text{Vortex}[k]$
\State $\text{Leaf}[j].\text{reg} \leftarrow \text{true}$
\State Check the status of each $\text{Leaf}[]$ on the list of $\text{Leaf}[j]$ and add it to the list of $\text{Vortex}[k]$ if unregistered.
\EndIf
\EndFor
\end{algorithmic}
\caption{Search for the connections among the neighboring deepest distal leaves}
\end{algorithm}

In Algorithm 1, $N_{leaf}$ represents the number of deepest distal leaves (hereafter called “leaves”). The parameter $k$ represents the index of vortices. We start with the case of $k = 0$. Initially, each flag $\text{reg}$ of all leaves is set to false because no leaf is registered to any vortex. First, we register the 0th leaf to the 0th vortex and change the flag $\text{reg}$ of the 0th leaf to true, as in line 5. Next, we recursively find all the leaves that have direct or indirect paths to the 0th leaf, and we add them to the list of the 0th vortex similarly. A more specific procedure is described as follows: As the 0th leaf holds a list of the leaves located within a distance of 1.5$d_p$, it checks whether each leaf on its list is already on the list of the 0th vortex. If it finds an unregistered leaf, it adds the found leaf to the list of the 0th vortex. The newly added leaf changes the flag $\text{reg}$ to true, starts the same search, and recursively registers leaves to the 0th vortex. By this chain access, all the leaves that are directly or indirectly connected to the 0th leaf are added to the list of the 0th vortex. All the procedures are continued on the chain until unregistered leaves can no longer be found on the 0th vortex. Thereafter, we repeat the same process for the 1st vortex. At this time, the leaves that are already registered to the 0th vortex are left out from the candidates for the 1st vortex by the conditional judgment in line 3. After all the aforementioned processes are completed, the value of $k$ determines the number of vortices $N_v$. We can confirm from Fig. \ref{fig:2} that there is a bundle of leaves for each vortex, and the leaves of each bundle are connected to each other in the horizontal, vertical, and diagonal directions.

(d) Estimation of the center points of vortices. We calculate the temporary center points of the vortices using the arithmetic mean of the center coordinates of the deepest distal leaves in each bundle. These center points are calculated only to create the Voronoi diagram in the following procedure (e), and the real center points of the vortices are calculated after completing procedure (e) before computing the interactions among the vortices. Figure \ref{fig:2} shows the coordinates of the real center points of the vortices indicated by the yellow circles. Notably, the possible deviation between a temporary center point and the corresponding real center point becomes approximately $d_p$ because the minimum size of the refined meshes is set to $d_p$ in this method.

(e) Voronoi tessellation. Using the temporary center point of each vortex as a generating point of the Voronoi diagram, we perform Voronoi tessellation for the simulation domain covered by a background mesh whose cell size is given by $d_p$. Let us define a set of generating points as $P = \{p(1), p(2), p(3), \cdots, p(N_v)\}$, and denote the $k$th Voronoi area as
5. Numerical simulations of rotating liquid helium-4

This section deals with the problem of rotating liquid helium-4 under the same numerical conditions as those reported in the literature [27]. Here, we perform the simulations of rotating liquid helium-4 to determine whether to reproduce the phenomena of vortex lattices in addition to the rigid-body rotation, in both the current and previous models.

5.1. Setting of parameters

Let us set the outer diameter of a cylindrical vessel to 0.2 cm and the rotational angular velocity around the cylinder axis to 5 rad · s⁻¹ counterclockwise. The resolution of \((N_{px}, N_{py})\) is given by \((500, 500)\), where \(N_{px}\) and \(N_{py}\) represent the number of particles in the \(x\) and \(y\) directions, respectively, in a square space that covers the cylindrical container. The temperature \(T\) is set to 1.6 K, and the parameters \((\rho_s/\rho, \rho_n/\rho, \kappa)\) are determined by referring to the values at \(T = 1.6\) K listed in the literature [27]. The resulting values are given by \((0.834, 0.166, 0.001)\). In addition, \(\eta_{th}\) is obtained from the relationship of \(0.566 \kappa \rho_{th}\) with reference to [27]. The value of \(\eta_{th}\) is set as the shear viscosity \(\bar{\eta}\) and rotational viscosity \(\bar{\eta}_r\). The winding number \(q\) is set to 1. The parameters \((\alpha, \beta_c, L_0)\) of a decay model for the vortex line density are determined with reference to [10]. In contrast, \(C_\omega\) is an empirical parameter for controlling the magnitude of angular velocity \(\omega\). First, we introduce two cases, namely, \(C_\omega = 0.01\) and \(C_\omega = 0.005\), to investigate the influence of the parameter \(C_\omega\) on the simulation results. The normal fluid particles were placed along the inner circumference of the container as walls. No-slip conditions were imposed on the wall particles as boundary conditions. This is acceptable because both the normal and superfluid components exert frictional forces (viscous friction forces for the former case and mutual friction forces for the latter case) against the normal fluid particles that form the walls. In the initial state, superfluid or normal fluid particles were randomly generated in proportion to the density ratio and distributed in the vessel.

5.2. Simulation results

Figure 3 shows the snapshots of the simulations after 9 s in physical time. Each subfigure shows the simulation results (a) when \(C_\omega = 0.005\), (b) when \(C_\omega = 0.01\), and (c) when \(C_\omega = 0.01\) using our previous model for a comparison. After a short time elapsed from the start of the simulation, the normal fluid particles, which are low-density components at this temperature range, gathered to form clusters and began rotating in a direction parallel to the rotation axis of the outer cylindrical vessel. The processes up to this point were the same among (a), (b), and (c). However, in the cases of (a) and (b), it was observed that the generated clusters moved toward the centripetal direction upon receiving the Magnus forces generated by their own rotations, whereas they began to repel each other once they became vortices as their respective circulations reached \(\kappa\). Owing to the balance between the Magnus force and the repulsive forces among the vortices, the characteristic regular arrangements of vortices were observed to form as shown in (a) and (b).
Figure 3: Snapshots of simulations after convergence is reached. Each subfigure shows the simulation results (a) when $C_\omega = 0.005$, (b) when $C_\omega = 0.01$, and (c) when $C_\omega = 0.01$ using our previous model for a comparison.

Figure 4: Example snapshot of the rotational force generated on each vortex for $C_\omega = 0.01$ (right part) and the entire velocity field at that time (left part), which suggests that the entire velocity field is irrelevant to the tiny spinning of vortices on the overall scale. An example video of the arrangement in the case of (b) is provided as supplemental material. A snapshot of the video is shown in Fig. 7 in the Appendix section.

In addition, the spinnings of vortices were successfully observed in the respective cases. The right side of Fig. 4 shows an example snapshot of the rotational force generated on each vortex in the case of (b). The length and color of each arrow are proportional to the magnitude of the rotational force. The left side of Fig. 4 represents the velocity field at the same time as the right side of the figure on the overall scale, where the length and color of each arrow are proportional to the magnitude of the velocity. This suggests that the velocity field is approximately irrelevant to the tiny spinnings of the vortices on the overall scale. The spinnings of vortices were observed even in the case of $C_\omega < 0.005$ as in (a) and (b), whereas they were scarcely observed in the case of (c) because of the imbalance among the forces due to the lack of vortex dynamics.

We denote the number of vortices per unit area generated in rotating liquid helium-4 in a cylindrical container as $n_v$. According to Feynman’s rule, $n_v$ follows the relationship $n_v = 2\Omega/\kappa$, where $\Omega$ is the angular velocity of the outer cylindrical vessel and $\kappa$ is the quantum of circulation. Notably, $\Omega$ and $\kappa$ were set to $5 \text{ rad} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ and $1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, respectively, which yields $n_v = 1.0 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The ideal number of vortices estimated by multiplying the inner cylinder area by $n_v$ is approximately 284.7. Meanwhile, image analysis using ImageJ [28, 29, 30] revealed the number of vortices in each case. Figure 5a shows the dependence of the number of clusters whose circulations are greater than $\kappa$, i.e., the number of detected vortices, on the elapsed time. Interestingly, the number of generated vortices was observed to increase as $C_\omega$ increased. We first performed two cases of $C_\omega = 0.005$ and $C_\omega = 0.01$ and then estimated the value of $C_\omega$ where the number of vortices corresponds to Feynman’s rule by extrapolating the linear relationship between the two cases at the plateau, to be 0.004. Figure 5a also shows the time dependence of the number of vortices.
when $C_\omega = 0.004$. It is confirmed from Fig. 5 that the number of vortices can be close to the theoretical value when $C_\omega = 0.004$; the parameter $C_\omega$ functions as a control parameter for the number of vortices in the system.

Figure 5 shows the dependence of the velocity distribution of superfluid (yellow) and normal fluid particles (blue) on the distance from the center of the cylindrical vessel. The velocities of the normal fluid particles are confirmed to fluctuate compared with those of the superfluid particles due to the spinnings of vortices. As previously mentioned, they mainly contribute to the velocity in the spinning direction and hardly to the entire velocity field. The dashed line indicates the fitting line to the velocity distribution obtained using the least square method, which suggests that the averaged velocity approximately corresponds to the theoretical case of $y = 5.0x$ obtained from the relationship $|v| = r|\Omega|$ when setting the angular velocity of the outer vessel $\Omega$ to 5 rad $\cdot$ s$^{-1}$; the system undergoes a rigid-body rotation. Figure 6a shows a histogram of the areas of vortices when $C_\omega = 0.004$ obtained using ImageJ [28, 29, 30]. pixel$^2$ represents the unit of area. The histogram should tend to a delta function since the vortices become uniform in the ideal case. However, the width of the histogram was not sufficiently small. A possible reason for this discrepancy is that the circulations of vortices are not well quantized even in the improved model. Figure 6b shows a histogram of the circulations of vortices scaled by $\kappa$ when $C_\omega = 0.004$. It was observed that the distribution range changes continuously, unlike in the experiment [31]. Therefore, unfortunately, the observed vortex lattices cannot be regarded as "quantum lattices" at this stage of research; We need a stronger constraint in addition to the conditional judgment by Eq. (25) to represent the effect of the quantization of circulation more accurately.

Consequently, we qualitatively reproduced several phenomena of rotating liquid helium-4 (the emergence of multiple parallel vortices and their rigid-body rotations, and the form of vortex lattices) by solving the reformulated two-fluid model using SPH based on the continuum mechanics approximation. Further sophisticated models are necessary for a more precise analysis. In particular, the accurate modeling of the quantization of circulation should be addressed first. Other microscopic parameters need optimization, which should be conducted via experimental studies. Although our current model is still a "toy model," it provides a good perspective for the feasibility of approaching these interesting phenomena from another angle.

6. Conclusions

Our recent study has reported that the representative phenomena of liquid helium-4 rotating in a cylinder could be simulated by solving the two-fluid model using SPH after reformulating the viscosity to conserve the rotational angular momentum. Specifically, the emergence of multiple parallel vortices and their rigid-body rotations were observed in our previous SPH simulations. The reported model is based on a classical approximation that assumes
fluid forces for both components and their interactions, with the expectation of functioning as a coarse-grained model of existing approximations that simultaneously solve the two components using a microscopic model (e.g., vortex filament model) and the Navier–Stokes equation. Based on previous studies, this paper proposes an improved SPH scheme that explicitly incorporates vortex dynamics into the SPH formulation to reproduce vortex lattices, which was not possible in previous studies. Consequently, our improved model was observed to reproduce vortex lattices by introducing the Magnus force and the interaction forces among vortices into the reformulated two-fluid model. The spinnings of vortices and their rigid-body rotations were also observed in the SPH simulations. The number of vortices showed a certain agreement with Feynman’s rule after the model parameter was optimized. Notably, from a scientific perspective, such vortex lattices are reproduced by a classical-mechanical approximation. We hope that our model will help physicists studying low-temperature physics find a new way of approaching this bizarre phenomenon that has attracted attention for more than 80 years.
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Figure 7: A snapshot of the video provided as supplemental material