arXiv:2105.03515v2 [math.RT] 20 May 2021

Representations of Quantum Minimal Surface Algebras via Kac-Moody-theory

Jens Hoppe[1], Ralf Köhl[2], and Robin Lautenbacher[2]

[1] *Technische Universitat Braunschweig, D-38106 Braunschweig Germany*

[2] *Justus-Liebig-Universität, Mathematisches Institut, Arndtstraße 2, D-35392 Gießen, Germany*

We consider epimorphisms from quantum minimal surface algebras onto involutory subalgebras of split–real, simply-laced Kac–Moody Lie algebras and provide examples of affine and finite type. We also provide epimorphisms onto such Kac–Moody Lie algebras themselves, where reality of the construction is important. The results extend to the complex situation.

---

1 Introduction

That the space we live in may not be the continuum that we naively perceive was already realized by B. Riemann who, while laying the ground for the (smooth) geometries bearing his name, at the same time (literally: in a remark that he apparently planned to expand, in his famous Habilitationsschrift [30]) pointed out the possibility that space at small distances may be discrete (nowadays one could add *fuzzy* [20] [26] or *non-commutative* [5]). Concrete ideas to quantize *space-time* seem to have first appeared in [31] and [32]. In 1996 [16], [21] the equations

$$[[X^\mu, X^\nu], X_\nu] = 0 \tag{1}$$

appeared in the context of quantizing (relativistic) minimal surfaces - hence the name Quantum Minimal Surface Algebras (QMSA). In the context of Yang Mills theory, eq. (1) as well as parts of its representation theory was considered in [28],[6], [15], referred to as *Yang-Mills algebra*. An inhomogenuous version of (1), also studied in relation to non-selfdual Nahm-equations [7] , was named *Discrete Minimal Surface Algebra(s)* (DMSA) in [1]. Here, we provide epimorphisms of both homogeneous and in-homogeneous QMSAs onto involutory subalgebras $\mathfrak{k}(A)$ of Kac-Moody algebras $\mathfrak{g}(A)$. These subalgebras were first described in [3] and particularly $\mathfrak{k}(E_9)$ and $\mathfrak{k}(E_{10})$ play an important role in the fermionic sector of supergravity [10], [11] (see also [8] and [9]). We also provide some Kac-Moody-algebras as epimorphic images as well. From [14] it is known that a homogeneous QMSA (a.k.a. a Yang-Mills-algebra) on at least 4 generators admits the complex free Lie algebra on two generators as epimorphic image. As a consequence, such a QMSA admits infinitely many simple, complex Kac-Moody algebras as a quotient. While such a wealth of epimorphisms is fascinating, the resulting representations have the drawback that they include both hermitian and anti-hermitian operators. This is problematic for use in physics, due to reality constraints in physical theories. Even though our epimorphisms also work in the complex case, they are indeed more tailored towards the real situation, allowing real involutory subalgebras and Kac-Moody algebras of split-real type as images of real QMSAs.

Let us also mention the following "physical" aspects: Unitary representations of the Poincaré group are central to the description of point particles - including relativistically invariant wave equations whose solutions provide representation space(s) and lead to the quantum fields that are used to describe and calculate concrete effects confirming, and predicted from, physical theories such as QCD or the Weinberg-Salam model. Extended objects clearly should involve infinite extensions of the Poincaré group. As the X^μ in (1) are quantum analogues of the position coordinates of extended objects it is reasonable and completely natural to find infinite-dimensional Lie-algebras $\mathfrak{L}$ being related to (1). While it could well be that specific $\mathfrak{L}$s will be singled out by additional criteria (not discussed below), one should also take serious indications for a kind of 'democracy' between different $\mathfrak{L}$s , via (1). One should not forget that not long after [30] W.Killing's (independent) approach to what became and nowadays is called 'Lie'-algebras originated in trying to understand the nature of the space we live in. One should therefore not be surprised to, one and a half centuries later, find algebras at the heart of understanding geometry. While there are nowadays so many different approaches to 'Quantum' Space-Time that it would be preposterous to put a small selection into the list of references, let us hope that the approach we take below will add something to the understanding of the space-time we know. Let us also mention that it is probably no coincidence that the kind of ideas and concepts that were discussed right *before* string theory (spectrum generating algebra, dynamical symmetry group, composite infinite-dimensional field equations) again (see also, e.g. , [17], [18]) appear in the present context.

The document consists of two parts. First we collect rather general criteria for the existence of homomorphism in section 2. We start with Kac-Moody algebras and proceed with their involutory subalgebras. As two of our examples use affine KM-algebras we discuss them separately in section 2.3. We conclude with a

selection of examples in section 3.

Acknowledgments: The work of R.K. and R.L. has received funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) via the grant KO 4323/13-2. The work of R.L. has received funding from the Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes. We would like to thank A. Kleinschmidt, P. Levy, and T. Damour for discussions, helpful comments on a previous draft, and correspondence.

2 General statements

2.1 Kac-Moody algebras

First of all, let us give the definition of a quantum minimal surface algebra (QMSA):

Definition 1. The quantum minimal surface algebra (QMSA) of rank n, signature $(n-m,m)$ and spectrum $(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n)$ is defined as the quotient of the free Lie algebra $\mathfrak{f}$ on n generators $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ w.r.t. the ideal that is generated by the relations

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-m}[x_i,[x_i,x_j]]-\sum_{i=n-m+1}^{n}[x_i,[x_i,x_j]]=\mu_j x_j\ \forall\, j=1,\ldots,n. \tag{2}$$

We will often abbreviate the map $\sum_{i=1}^{n-m}[x_i,[x_i,\cdot]]-\sum_{i=n-m+1}^{n}[x_i,[x_i,\cdot]]$ by Δ (cp. [2]). We denote the QMSA by $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-m,m}(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n)$. The base fields we consider are $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$.

Remark. For $n=2$ both $\mathfrak{Q}_{2,0}(0,0)$ and $\mathfrak{Q}_{1,1}(0,0)$ are isomorphic to the Lie algebra of strictly upper triangular 3×3-matrices. For $n>2$ no such isomorphism is known.

For any Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with n elements $y_1,\ldots,y_n$ satisfying eq. (2) there exists a homomorphism $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-m,m}(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n)\to\mathfrak{g}$ defined by sending $x_i\mapsto y_i$ for all $i=1,\ldots,n$. In the following we would like this Lie algebra to be a Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ to a generalized Cartan matrix A or an involutory subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$.

Definition 2. A matrix $A\in\mathbb{Z}^{n\times n}$ is called a generalized Cartan matrix (GCM) if

$$a_{ii}=2,\quad a_{ij}\leq 0,\quad a_{ij}=0\Leftrightarrow a_{ji}=0$$

for all $i\neq j\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$. A GCM A is called *symmetrizable* if there exists $D\in\mathbb{Q}^{n\times n}$ diagonal and regular as well as $B\in\mathbb{Z}^{n\times}$ symmetric such that $A=DB$. If $a_{ij}\in\{0,-1\}$ for all $i\neq j$, one calls A *simply-laced*. To a GCM one associates a generalized Dynkin diagram $\mathcal{D}(A)$ by drawing n nodes with weighted edges according to the a_{ij}, where $a_{ij}=0$ corresponds to no edge.

Definition 3. Let A be an invertible, symmetrizable GCM and $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}$ and let $\mathfrak{f}$ be the free Lie algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ on generators $e_1,\ldots,e_n,h_1,\ldots,h_n,f_1,\ldots,f_n$. Let $\mathfrak{I}$ denote the ideal in $\mathfrak{f}$ that is generated by the relations

$$[h_i,e_j]=a_{ij}e_j,\ [h_i,f_j]=-a_{ij}f_j,\ [h_i,h_j]=0,\ [e_i,f_j]=\delta_{ij}h_i$$
$$\mathrm{ad}\,(e_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(e_j)=0,\ \mathrm{ad}\,(f_i)^{1-a_{ij}}(f_j).$$

The Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A)(\mathbb{K})$ is now defined as the quotient Lie algebra $\mathfrak{f}/\mathfrak{I}$. Set $\mathfrak{n}_+:=\langle e_1,\ldots,e_n\rangle$, $\mathfrak{n}_-:=\langle f_1,\ldots,f_n\rangle$ and $\mathfrak{h}:=\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{h_1,\ldots,h_n\}$ and call $\mathfrak{h}$ the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$.

Remark. If A is not of full rank in the above definition, the construction yields the derived Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'(A) := [\mathfrak{g}(A), \mathfrak{g}(A)]$ instead of the full Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A)$. The derived Lie algebra differs in its Cartan subalgebra which is smaller than that of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$. The above definition is by now somewhat standard but that it is equivalent to the original definition for symmetrizable A is known as the Gabber-Kac-theorem (cp. [12]). Note that $\mathfrak{n}_\pm$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ provide a triangular decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ into subalgebras but not ideals:

$$\mathfrak{g}(A) = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+.$$

Proposition 4. *Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n\times n}$ be a simply-laced GCM of rank n as in def. 3. Consider the QMSA $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-m,m}(0,\ldots,0)$ on n generators, then there exist epimorphisms*

$$\phi^\pm : \mathfrak{Q}_{n-m,m} \to \mathfrak{n}^\pm, \quad x_i \mapsto \begin{cases} \phi^+(x_i) := e_i \\ \phi^-(x_i) := f_i \end{cases} .$$

They are related via the Cartan-Chevalley involution ω as one has $\phi^+ = -\omega \circ \phi^-$, $\phi^- = -\omega \circ \phi^+$.

Proof. Since A is simply-laced one has the Serre relations:

$$\mathrm{ad}(e_i)^2(e_j) = 0 = \mathrm{ad}(f_i)^2(f_j) \ \ \forall i,j.$$

Then $\sum_i \varepsilon_i \mathrm{ad}(x_i)^2(x_j) = 0$ with $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ is satisfied under the image of $\phi^\pm$ because one has

$$\mathrm{ad}\left(\phi^\pm(x_i)\right)^2\left(\phi^\pm(x_j)\right) = 0$$

for each summand. It is a standard fact that, $\mathfrak{n}_+ \cong \mathfrak{n}_-$ are conjugate under the Cartan-Chevalley involution of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$. As $\omega(e_i) = -f_i$ and $\omega(f_i) = -e_i$, one has $\phi^+ = -\omega \circ \phi^-$, $\phi^- = -\omega \circ \phi^+$. □

There is actually no need for n of $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-m,m}$ and $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{k\times k}$ to match. If $n < k$ one can always pick a sub-diagram of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ of rank n. If $n > k$ however, it is always possible to send all but k generators of $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-m,m}$ to 0. It would be more interesting to have such epimorphisms to simple or semi-simple Lie algebras as well. For this one needs to answer the question of how many generators one needs to generate a simple Lie algebra. Most amazingly, the answer is universal and turns out to be 2:

Theorem 5. *(Adaption of [25]' main statement) Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{k\times k}$ be a GCM of rank $l \geq k-2$. Then $\mathfrak{g}(A)(\mathbb{C})$ is generated by two elements a and h, where $a = \sum_{i=1}^k (e_i + f_i) + h_0$ and $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\{\alpha_i(h), -\alpha_i(h)\}$ are pairwise different and $h_0 \in \mathfrak{h}$ is arbitrary.*

However, these two elements do not satisfy the required relations. [14] provides an interesting construction, showing that $\mathfrak{Q}_{2n,0}$ can be mapped onto $\mathfrak{f}_n(\mathbb{C})$, the free complex Lie algebra on n generators $y_1,\ldots,y_n$. The epimorphism $\mathfrak{Q}_{2n,0}(0,\ldots,0) \to \mathfrak{f}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is such that $x_j \mapsto y_j$, $x_{j+n} \mapsto i \cdot y_j$, where $x_1,\ldots,x_{2n}$ denote the generators of $\mathfrak{Q}_{2n,0}(0,\ldots,0)$. As furthermore any simple Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A)(\mathbb{C})$ with $\mathrm{rnk}(A) \geq \dim(A) - 2$ can be generated by only two elements, this shows that there exist epimorphisms $\mathfrak{Q}_{2n,0}(0,\ldots,0)(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathfrak{g}(A)(\mathbb{C})$, where $\mathbb{K} \neq \mathbb{C}$ is allowed as long as $\mathbb{K}$ is a sub-field of $\mathbb{C}$. Representations ϕ derived from this epimorphism always include both hermitian and anti-hermitian operators, since $\phi(x_{n+j}) = i \cdot \phi(x_j)$. Note that one can always *forget*[1] about all but 2 generators, so that the construction also works for an uneven number of generators. Also, mixed signature can be achieved by exchanging $x_{j+n} \mapsto i \cdot y_j$ by $x_{j+n} \mapsto i \cdot y_j$ if the pair of generators x_j and x_{j+m} have different signature. Via this

[1] In the sense that one can send them to 0.

construction, the fully split QMSA should actually allow an epimorphism $\mathfrak{Q}_{n,n}(0,\ldots,0) \to \mathfrak{f}_n(\mathbb{R})$. However, it would be nice to also have representations in which all generators of $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-m,m}$ are realized nontrivially and such that their images are linearly independent. This and satisfying reality-constraints is our main motivation. Since we cannot rely on an epimorphism to a free Lie-algebra we need to generate our Lie algebra of choice differently than in theorem 5 in order to satisfy the relations of $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-d,d}$.

Lemma 6. *Let $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ be a simple, finite-dimensionale, complex or split-real Lie algebra. Denote by e_θ an element in the root space $\mathfrak{g}_\theta$, where θ denotes the highest root of $\Delta(A)$. Then $e_\theta, f_1, \ldots, f_n$ generate $\mathfrak{g}(A)$, where n is the rank of A.*

Proof. From representation theory one knows that any weight space in a highest weight representation can be reached by the iterated action of $f_1, \ldots, f_n$. As e_θ is a highest weight vector w.r.t. the adjoint action, this implies that $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ are contained in $\langle e_\theta, f_1, \ldots, f_n\rangle$. Since $[e_i, f_i] = 2h_i$ all Chevalley generators are contained in $\langle e_\theta, f_1, \ldots, f_n\rangle$ which therefore must be all of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$. □

For KM-algebras of non-spherical but simply-laced type the above argument does not work because their root system doesn't possess a highest root. However, given a real root β with maximal support (i.e., in the decomposition $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i\alpha_i$ into simple roots, all k_i are nonzero) it is possible to reach every simple root via a finite number of simple Weyl reflections. This can be translated to an action via Chevalley generators.

Lemma 7. *Let $\mathfrak{g}(A)(\mathbb{K})$ be a simply-laced KM-algebra with irreducible GCM A and $0 \neq e_\beta \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$, where β is a real positive root with maximal support. Then $\langle e_\beta, f_1, \ldots, f_n\rangle_{\mathbb{K}} \cong \mathfrak{g}'(A)(\mathbb{K})$.*

Proof. If A is simply-laced the real roots $\Delta^{re}(A)$ form a single orbit under the action of the Weyl group and therefore each α_i is conjugate to β. For each α_i there exists a minimal word $W(A) \ni \omega = r_{i_1}\cdots r_{i_d}$ such that $\mathrm{ht}\left(r_{i_j}\cdots r_{i_d}\beta\right) < \mathrm{ht}\left(r_{i_{j+1}}\cdots r_{i_d}\beta\right)$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, d$. But then it follows that there exist $p_1, \ldots, p_d$ such that

$$0 \neq \mathrm{ad}(f_{i_1})^{p_{i_1}}\,\mathrm{ad}(f_{i_2})^{p_{i_2}}\cdots\mathrm{ad}(f_{i_d})^{p_{i_d}}\,e_\beta \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}.$$

This implies $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in \langle e_\beta, f_1, \ldots, f_n\rangle$ and therefore $\mathfrak{n}^\pm$ are contained as well. Now by definition $\mathfrak{g}'(A) := [\mathfrak{g}(A), \mathfrak{g}(A)]$. Since $\mathfrak{h}$ is commutative and acts diagonally on $\mathfrak{n}^\pm$, the intersection $\mathfrak{h}\cap\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ contains all elements of $\mathfrak{h}$ that can be written as a commutator $[x, y]$ with $x \in \mathfrak{n}^-$ and $y \in \mathfrak{n}^+$. □

The other piece of information we need is, if second order commutators among our generating set vanish. For roots $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}(A)$, $\beta \in \Delta(A)$ arbitrary but different from $\pm\alpha$, there exist non-negative integers p and q such that $\beta - p\alpha, \beta - (p-1)\alpha, \ldots, \beta, \ldots, \beta + q\alpha$ are the only roots of the form $\beta + k\alpha$. The set

$$S(\alpha,\beta) := \{\beta - p\alpha, \beta - (p-1)\alpha, \ldots, \beta, \ldots, \beta + q\alpha\} \subset \Delta$$

is called the root string $S(\alpha,\beta)$, or also the α-string through β. The numbers p, q need to satisfy $\beta(\alpha^\vee) = p - q$, where $\alpha^\vee$ denotes the corresponding coroot to α.

Proposition 8. *Let $\beta \in \Delta(A)$ and $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}(A)$. Denote the number of real roots in $S(\alpha,\beta)$ by $r(\alpha,\beta)$. Then the first and last root of $S(\alpha,\beta)$ are real and $r(\alpha,\beta) \in \{1,2,3,4\}$. One has the following case distinctions:*

1. $r(\alpha,\beta) = 1$: $S(\alpha,\beta) = \{\beta\}$ and β is real.
2. $r(\alpha,\beta) = 2$: The first and the last root of $S(\alpha,\beta)$ are real, intermediate roots (if they exist) are imaginary.
3. $r(\alpha,\beta) = 3$: $|S(\alpha,\beta)| = 3$, all roots in $S(\alpha,\beta)$ are real and α, β span a sub-root system of type C_2.

4. $r(\alpha,\beta)=4$: The first two roots and the last two roots of $S(\alpha,\beta)$ are real, all intermediate roots (if they exist) are imaginary. If $S(\alpha,\beta)$ does not contain imaginary roots, then α and β generate a root system of type G_2.

Proof. This particular form is taken from [4] (prop. 1) but according to the authors parts of it appear as an exercise in [22] and it is also is used implicitly in [27]. □

For $\alpha,\beta\in\Delta^{re}(A)$ where A is simply-laced, the options are limited to the first two cases. The root string always has to start and end with a real root and all roots in the middle are imaginary. As simply-laced root systems of finite type do not admit imaginary roots this shows

$$\mathrm{ad}\,(e_\alpha)^2\,(e_\beta)=0\;\forall\,\alpha\neq-\beta\in\Delta(A), \tag{3}$$

where A is simply-laced and of finite type[2]. For affine root systems the situation is a bit more complicated but still tractable. We will analyze the example E_9 later in the examples section.

Proposition 9. *Let $A\in\mathbb{Z}^{n\times n}$ be a simply-laced GCM of finite type and $\mathfrak{Q}_{p,q}(0,\ldots,0)$ a QMSA on $p+q=n+m$ generators $x_1,\ldots,x_{n+1}$. There exists an epimorphism ϕ from $\mathfrak{Q}_{p,q}(0,\ldots,0)$ to $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ defined by*

$$\phi(x_i)=f_i\;\forall\,i=1,\ldots,n,\;\phi(x_{n+1})=e_\theta,\;\phi(x_{n+j})=e_{\beta_j}\forall\,2\leq j\leq m,$$

where $f_1,\ldots,f_n$ denote the Chevalley generators of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ that generate $\mathfrak{n}_-$, e_θ is the highest root vector of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ and $e_{\beta_j}\in\mathfrak{g}_{\beta_j}$ such that $\beta_j\in\Delta(A)\setminus\{-\theta,\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n\}$ and $\beta_i\neq-\beta_j\,\forall\,i\neq j$.

Remark. It is not necessary for the β_i to be different.

Proof. Equation (3) implies that ϕ extends to a homomorphism and lemma 7 implies that the image is all of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$, as $\mathfrak{g}(A)=\mathfrak{g}'(A)$ for A of finite type. □

2.2 Involutory subalgebras

The involutory subalgebras we consider are those according to Berman's construction ([3]) where we restrict to those that do not involve a diagram automorphism. Berman's involutions are built out of three mutually commuting automorphisms η, γ and τ of $\mathfrak{g}$, each of degree 2 and defined by their action on the $3n$ Chevalley generators via linear extension. Here, π denotes a diagram automorphism of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ and τ is a field automorphism of $\mathbb{K}$. For $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{C}$, τ can be chosen to be linear or anti-linear which results in different subalgebras but we restrict ourselves to the linear case. The automorphisms η and γ are always assumed to be $\mathbb{K}$-linear.

$$\eta:\;e_i\mapsto f_i,\;f_i\mapsto e_i,\;h_i\mapsto-h_i\;\forall\,i=1,\ldots,n \tag{4}$$

$$\gamma:\;e_i\mapsto e_{\pi(i)},\;f_i\mapsto f_{\pi(i)},\;h_i\mapsto h_{\pi(i)}\;\forall\,i=1,\ldots,n \tag{5}$$

$$\tau:\;e_i\mapsto\rho_i e_i,\;f_i\mapsto\rho_i^{-1}f_i,\;h_i\mapsto h_i\;\forall\,i=1,\ldots,n \tag{6}$$

with $\rho_i\in\{-1,1\}$ and $\rho_i=1$ if $\pi(i)\neq i$. According to ([3]) the last condition is sufficient for τ and γ to commute. Berman provides generators and relations for the subalgebra $\mathrm{Fix}_\sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ where $\sigma=\eta\gamma\tau$. The generators are

$$x_i:=e_i+\rho_i f_{\pi(i)},\;z_i:=h_i-h_{\pi(i)},$$

[2]This result is also an immediate consequence of the Serre relations and the fact that non-opposite α,β form a prenilpotent pair. For simply-laced and finite A this implies that the Serre-relations hold for any pair of prenilpotent roots.

so that we do not have any generators of type z if we don't use diagram automorphisms. In this case we are able to choose the signs ρ_i freely, so that $\mathrm{Fix}_\sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by elements of type $e_i \pm f_i$. Set

$$X_i := e_i - f_i,\ Y_i := e_i + f_i. \tag{7}$$

Berman provides the relations among the x_i and z_i in prop. 1.18 of [3] for the case that all $\rho_i = 1$. If one carries the ρ_i along his computation one obtains modified relations. In the simply-laced situation one has the following relations (cp. (3) of [19]):

Proposition 10. *Let A be a simply-laced GCM of full rank n and $\mathfrak{g}(A)(\mathbb{K})$. For an involution $\sigma := \eta\tau$ with η as in eq. (4) and τ $\mathbb{K}$-linear and as in eq. (6). Set $Z_i := e_i + \rho_i f_i$ and denote it by X_i if $\rho = -1$ and by Y_i if $\rho_i = +1$. Then $Fix_\sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ is isomorphic to the free Lie algebra generated by $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$ over $\mathbb{K}$ modulo the relations*

$$[Z_i, Z_j] = 0 \text{ if } a_{ij} = 0 \tag{8}$$

$$[X_i, [X_i, X_j]] = -X_j,\ [X_i, [X_i, Y_j]] = -Y_j \text{ if } a_{ij} = -1 \tag{9}$$

$$[Y_i, [Y_i, X_j]] = +X_j,\ [Y_i, [Y_i, Y_j]] = +Y_j \text{ if } a_{ij} = -1 \tag{10}$$

Remark. Similar to Satake-Tits-diagrams we depict the choices of ρ_i graphically. If $\rho_i = -1$, one colors the node i white and if $\rho_i = +1$ one colors it black.

Proof. This is theorem 1.31 of [3], where one checks that with $\rho_i = -1$ the relations of prop. 1.18 of [3] adjust as claimed. □

If one wants to consider larger subalgebras by adding both X_i and Y_i to the generating set, it is potentially useful to know the following relations:

$$[X_i, [X_i, Y_i]] = -4Y_i,\ [Y_i, [Y_i, X_i]] = +4X_i. \tag{11}$$

Describe $\mathrm{Fix}_\sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ as being generated by $\chi := \{Z_1, \ldots, Z_l\}$ with $Z_i = X_i$ or Y_i so that one can write

$$\Delta_\chi = \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} [Z_i, [Z_i, \cdot]] - \sum_{i=n-m+1}^{n} [Z_i, [Z_i, \cdot]]\ .$$

For a simply-laced GCM A and a signature $(n, 0)$ one arrives at the following identities for the action of Δ:

$$\Delta(Z_i) = (n_{black}(i) - n_{white}(i))\, Z_i, \tag{12}$$

where $n_c(i)$ denotes the number of neighbors of node i with color c.

Proposition 11. *Let A be a simply-laced generalized Cartan matrix and $\sigma := \eta\tau$ an involution as defined by eqs. (4) and (6) with τ $\mathbb{K}$-linear. There exists an epimorphism $\mathfrak{Q}_{n,0}(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n) \to Fix_\sigma(\mathfrak{g}(A))$ given by sending the generators $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ of $\mathfrak{Q}_{n,0}(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$ to the Berman generators $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$ (see 7) of $Fix_\sigma(\mathfrak{g}(A))$ if the coloring which defines τ is such that*

$$\mu_i = n_{black}(i) - n_{white}(i)\ \forall\, i = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{13}$$

Proof. One applies equation (12) to check that the relations (2) are satisfied. Thus, the map

$$x_i \mapsto Z_i \ \forall\, i = 1, \ldots, n$$

extends to a homomorphism of Lie algebras. Since the image contains all Berman generators $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$ this homomorphism is surjective. □

From [13] one knows that $\mathrm{Fix}_\omega\left(\mathfrak{g}\left(A\right)\left(\mathbb{R}\right)\right)$ has a finite-dimensional representation (ω denotes the Chevalley involution), called the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ representation. We now claim that this representation also provides representations for arbitrary $\rho_i = \pm 1$.

Proposition 12. *Let A be a simply-laced generalized Dynkin diagram, $\mathfrak{g}\left(A\right)$ the corresponding split-real Kac-Moody algebra and consider the involutory subalgebra $Fix_\sigma\left(\mathfrak{g}\left(A\right)\right)$, where $\sigma = \eta\tau$ with η and τ defined in (4) (6). Then there exists a f.d. representation ϕ of $Fix_\sigma\left(\mathfrak{g}\left(A\right)\right)$ whose representation matrices of the Berman generators $Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$ of $Fix_\sigma\left(\mathfrak{g}\left(A\right)\right)$ satisfy the following equations:*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(Z_i\right)^2 &= \frac{\rho_i}{4} Id \\ \left[\phi\left(Z_i\right), \phi\left(Z_j\right)\right] &= 0 \quad \text{if } a_{ij} = 0 \\ \left\{\phi\left(Z_i\right), \phi\left(Z_j\right)\right\} &= 0 \quad \text{if } a_{ij} = -1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{A, B\} := AB + BA$ denotes the anti-commutator and $\rho_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ denotes the sign which determines $Z_i = e_i + \rho_i f_i$.

Proof. For $\mathcal{D}\left(A\right)$ simply-laced and of rank n, remark 3.7 and theorem 3.9 of [13] provide the existence of n matrices $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &(i) && A_i^2 = -\tfrac{1}{4} Id \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \ldots, n \\ &(ii) && \left[A_i, A_j\right] = 0 \quad \text{if } a_{ij} = 0 \\ &(iii) && \left\{A_i, A_j\right\} = 0 \quad \text{if } a_{ij} = -1. \end{aligned}$$

Now the matrices $B_i := I \cdot A_i$, where $I = \sqrt{-1}$ also satisfy (ii) and (iii) but one has

$$B_i^2 = +\frac{1}{4} Id.$$

The question now is, if

$$\rho\left(Z_i\right) = \begin{cases} A_i & \text{if } Z_i = X_i \\ B_i & \text{if } Z_i = Y_i \end{cases}$$

extends to a homomorphism of Lie algebras. Towards this, relations (8) and (9) are satisfied by linearity in Y_i. Towards (10) compute for $a_{ij} = -1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\rho\left(Y_i\right), \left[\rho\left(Y_i\right), \rho\left(Z_j\right)\right]\right] &= \rho\left(Y_i\right)^2 \rho\left(Z_j\right) - 2\rho\left(Y_i\right) \rho\left(Z_j\right) \rho\left(Y_i\right) + \rho\left(Z_j\right) \rho\left(Y_i\right)^2 \\ &= B_i^2 \rho\left(Z_j\right) - 2B_i \rho\left(Z_j\right) B_i + \rho\left(Z_j\right) B_i^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \rho\left(Z_j\right) + 2B_i^2 \rho\left(Z_j\right) = \rho\left(Z_j\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho\left(Z_j\right) B_i = -B_i \rho\left(Z_j\right)$ follows from the fact that $\{A_i, A_j\} = 0$ implies $\{A_i, I \cdot A_j\} = 0$ because the anti-commutator is bilinear. Thus, (10) is satisfied. □

Remark. Theorem 3.14 of [13] shows that $\langle A_1, \ldots, A_n \rangle_{\mathbb{K}}$ is compact and therefore this representation maps the $X_i = e_i - f_i$ to anti-hermitian matrices while the $Y_i = e_i + f_i$ are mapped to hermitian ones.

2.3 Involutory subalgebras of affine Kac-Moody-algebras

For A a classical Cartan matrix of rank n, the real loop algebra $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}(A))$ is defined as the $\mathbb{R}$-tensor product of the ring of Laurent-polynomials $\mathfrak{L} := \mathbb{R}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$ with the classical split-real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A)(\mathbb{R})$. Explicitly,

$$\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}(A)) := \mathfrak{L} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g}(A) \tag{14}$$

as vector spaces and the Lie bracket is given via

$$[P \otimes x, Q \otimes y] = (P \cdot Q) \otimes [x, y], \tag{15}$$

where the bracket on the right hand side is the Lie bracket in $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ and $\cdot$ denotes the multiplication of Laurent polynomials. Denote the Chevalley involution on $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ by $\mathring{\omega}$, i.e., let $\mathring{\omega}$ denote the involution that is determined by its action on the Chevalley generators e_i, f_i, h_i of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$:

$$\mathring{\omega}(e_i) = -f_i,\ \mathring{\omega}(f_i) = -e_i,\ \mathring{\omega}(h_i) = -h_i\ \forall\, i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

In the terminology of eqs. (4), (5) and (6) this corresponds to the situation where γ is trivial and τ is such that each sign $\rho_i = -1$. Denote by θ the highest root of $\Delta(A)$ and pick a normalized vector $e_\theta \in \mathfrak{g}_\theta$. With $\mathring{\omega}$ the Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ and $e_{-\theta} := -\mathring{\omega}(e_\theta)$, set

$$e_0 := t \otimes e_{-\theta},\ f_0 := t^{-1} \otimes e_\theta, \tag{16}$$

then

$$\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}(A)) = \langle e_0, f_0, \mathfrak{g}(A) \rangle.$$

As vector spaces the affine extension $\mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{A}\right)$ of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ is given as

$$\mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{A}\right) = \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}(A)) \oplus \mathbb{K} \cdot K \oplus \mathbb{K} \cdot d,$$

where K and d are the missing elements in the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{A}\right)$ and the above e_0, f_0 coincide with the Chevalley generators of $\mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{A}\right)$. The precise nature of K and d is not important to us, since we are interested in the following statement:

Lemma 13. *Let $\mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{A}\right)$ be the affine extension of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ and let σ be an involution as in eqs.(4), (5) and (6) but such that γ is trivial and τ is $\mathbb{K}$-linear. Then $Fix_\sigma\left(\mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{A}\right)\right) \subset \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}(A))$.*

Proof. If γ is trivial then $\sigma(h) = -h$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}\left(\widetilde{A}\right)$, in particular $\sigma(K) = -K$ and $\sigma(d) = -d$. Thus, these elements are not fixed by σ and therefore not contained in $\mathrm{Fix}_\sigma\left(\mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{A}\right)\right)$. □

So it suffices to study the loop algebra to understand $\mathrm{Fix}_\sigma\left(\mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{A}\right)\right)$ and we would like to describe its structure in a little bit more detail.

Lemma 14. *Let $\mathring{\sigma} : \mathfrak{g}(A) \to \mathfrak{g}(A)$ be an involution of Berman type with trivial γ, $\mathbb{K}$-linear τ and denote by $\beta_\pm : \mathfrak{L} \to \mathfrak{L}$ the ring involution determined by $t \mapsto \pm t^{-1}$. Then $\sigma := \beta_\pm \otimes \mathring{\sigma}$ is an involution of the loop*

algebra $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}(A))$*. It is also of Berman type with* $\rho_0 = \pm\varepsilon$ *iff* $\mathring{\sigma}(e_\theta) = \varepsilon e_{-\theta}$ *(after restriction from* $\mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{A}\right)$ *to* $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}(A))$ *). Set*

$$\mathfrak{L}^{\pm} := \{p \in \mathfrak{L} \,|\, \beta_{\pm}(p) = \pm p\}\,,\ \mathfrak{s}^{\pm} := \{x \in \mathfrak{g}(A) \,|\, \mathring{\sigma}(x) = \pm x\}\,,$$

where the $\pm$ *signs in the first set are independent of* $\beta_{\pm}$*, then*

$$Fix_\sigma\left(\mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{A}\right)\right) = \mathfrak{L}^{+} \otimes \mathfrak{s}^{+} \oplus \mathfrak{L}^{-} \otimes \mathfrak{s}^{-}. \tag{17}$$

Furthermore, $\mathfrak{L}^{+} \otimes \mathfrak{s}^{+}$ *is a subalgebra,* $\mathfrak{L}^{-} \otimes \mathfrak{s}^{-}$ *a* $\mathfrak{L}^{+} \otimes \mathfrak{s}^{+}$*-module and* $[\mathfrak{L}^{-} \otimes \mathfrak{s}^{-}, \mathfrak{L}^{-} \otimes \mathfrak{s}^{-}] \subset \mathfrak{L}^{+} \otimes \mathfrak{s}^{+}$*.*

Proof. First of all σ is an involution because of the way the Lie bracket is defined on $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}(A))$. From $\mathring{\sigma}(e_\theta) = \varepsilon e_{-\theta}$ one deduces that

$$\sigma(f_0) = \beta_{\pm}\left(t^{-1}\right) \otimes \mathring{\sigma}(e_\theta) = \pm t \otimes (\varepsilon e_{-\theta}) = \pm\varepsilon e_0$$

and vice versa. The relations $\mathfrak{L}^{\pm} \cdot \mathfrak{L}^{\pm} \subset \mathfrak{L}^{+}$, $\mathfrak{L}^{\pm} \cdot \mathfrak{L}^{\mp} \subset \mathfrak{L}^{-}$ hold for the ± 1-eigenspaces of any ring involution as well as $[\mathfrak{s}^{\pm}, \mathfrak{s}^{\pm}] \subset \mathfrak{s}^{+}$, $[\mathfrak{s}^{\pm}, \mathfrak{s}^{\mp}] \subset \mathfrak{s}^{-}$ hold for any Lie algebra involution. □

The representation theory of such Lie algebras is rather unexplored at the moment. A major obstacle is that the Lie algebra does not have a graded but only a filtered structure. An easy way to produce at least some representations is via evaluation maps. The evaluation map ev_a for $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{K}$ is defined as

$$ev_a : \mathfrak{L} \to \mathbb{K}, \quad P(t) \mapsto P(t = a) \in \mathbb{K}. \tag{18}$$

It is a ring homomorphism and any representation of $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ extends to a representation of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}(A))$ via such evaluation maps. Explicitly, let $\rho : \mathfrak{g}(A) \to \mathrm{End}(V)$ be a representation, then extend this representation to $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}(A))$ by setting

$$\rho_a(P(t) \otimes x) := P(a) \cdot \rho(x)\,. \tag{19}$$

One can also use the structure of (17). Given a representation (ϕ, V) of $\mathfrak{s}^{+}$ one can set

$$\widetilde{\phi}_a(p \otimes x + q \otimes y) = ev_a(p) \cdot \phi(x)\ \forall\, p \in \mathfrak{L}^{+}, q \in \mathfrak{L}^{-}, x \in \mathfrak{s}^{+}, y \in \mathfrak{s}^{-}, \tag{20}$$

which also provides a representation. Note that this representation has a rather large kernel as all elements in $\mathfrak{L}^{+} \otimes \mathfrak{s}^{+}$ that can be written as a commutator of elements in $\mathfrak{L}^{-} \otimes \mathfrak{s}^{-}$ automatically vanishes.

Evaluation maps throw away lots of information because they ignore most of the loop structure. It is possible to relate such filtered Lie algebras to graded ones as described in [24] and [29, 23] for the case where σ is the Chevalley involution. However, the methods from [24] should also work for arbitrary $\mathring{\sigma}$.

3 Examples

We conclude with some examples that may be interesting towards physical applications.

The euclidean example $\mathfrak{Q}_{4,0}(0,0,0,0)(\mathbb{R})$ **and the Lorentzian** $\mathfrak{Q}_{3,1}(0,0,0,0)(\mathbb{R})$

As a first example consider the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}\left(\tilde{\mathrm{A}}_3\right)(\mathbb{R})$ and its involutory subalgebras where

$$\tilde{\mathrm{A}}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will show that $\mathfrak{Q}_{4,0}(0,0,0,0)(\mathbb{R})$ maps to an involutory subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}\left(\tilde{\mathrm{A}}_3\right)(\mathbb{R})$. Color the diagram $\mathcal{D}\left(\tilde{\mathrm{A}}_3\right)$ such that nodes 1 and 2 are white and 3 and 4 are black (compare figure 3 a). Then with $\kappa := \eta\tau$ as in eq. (10) one has

$$\mathrm{Fix}_\kappa(\mathfrak{g}) = \langle Z_1 = X_1, Z_2 = X_2, Z_3 = Y_3, Z_4 = Y_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \tag{21}$$

and one computes with (12) that

$$\Delta(Z_i) = 0 \ \forall\, i = 1,2,3,4.$$

We will now show that the abstract involution κ can be expressed in terms of an involution on the classical part $\mathfrak{g}(A_3)(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(4,\mathbb{R})$ and an involution on the Laurent polynomials.




Figure 1: Two different colorings of $\widetilde{A}_3$.

Proposition 15. *The subalgebra $Fix_\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{A}_3\right)(\mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to the subalgebra $Fix_\sigma(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}(A)))$ with $\sigma = \beta_+ \otimes \mathring{\sigma}$ where β_+ is as in lemma 14 and $\mathring{\sigma}$ is the involution of Berman type on $\mathfrak{g}(A_3)(\mathbb{R})$ determined by*

$$\mathring{\sigma}(e_1) = -f_1,\ \mathring{\sigma}(e_2) = -f_2,\ \mathring{\sigma}(e_3) = +f_3.$$

With $\mathfrak{s}^\pm := \{x \in \mathfrak{g}(A_3)(\mathbb{R}) \mid \mathring{\sigma}(x) = \pm x\}$ and $\mathfrak{L}^\pm = \left\{P \in \mathbb{R}\left[t,t^{-1}\right] \mid P\left(t^{-1}\right) = P(t)\right\}$ one obtains the following structure:

$$Fix_\kappa(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathfrak{L}^+ \otimes \mathfrak{s}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{L}^- \otimes \mathfrak{s}^-.$$

There exists an epimorphism from $\mathfrak{Q}_{4,0}(0,0,0,0)(\mathbb{R})$ to $Fix_\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof. The involution κ that describes $\mathrm{Fix}_\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$ is of Berman type and its action on e_i, f_i for $i = 1,2,3$ coincides with the above action of $\mathring{\sigma}$. The action of $\beta_+ \otimes \mathring{\sigma}$ is already determined by $\mathring{\sigma}$ and we need to show that it coincides with κ. The highest root of A_3 is $\theta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$ and a suitable basis vector is

$$e_\theta := [e_1,[e_2,e_3]] \ \Rightarrow\ e_{-\theta} = -\mathring{\omega}(e_\theta) = -(-1)^3[f_1,[f_2,f_3]] = [f_1,[f_2,f_3]].$$

Now

$$\mathring{\sigma}(e_{-\theta}) = (-1)^2[e_1,[e_2,e_3]] = e_\theta,$$

so that

$$\sigma(e_0) = f_0 = \kappa(e_0).$$

Hence, lemma 14 can be applied. Application of prop. 11 shows that there exists an epimorphism from $\mathfrak{Q}_{4,0}(0,0,0,0)(\mathbb{R})$ to $\mathrm{Fix}_\kappa(\mathfrak{g})$ because the diagram is suitably colored. □

Proposition 16. *Denote by $\kappa = \eta\tau$ the $\mathbb{K}$-linear involution of Berman type on $\mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{A}_3\right)(\mathbb{R})$ that is given by the signs (compare figure 3 b) $\rho_3 = +1$, $\rho_0 = \rho_1 = \rho_2 = -1$ in (6) and trivial diagram automorphism. Then there exists an epimorphism of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{Q}_{3,1}(0,0,0,0)(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathfrak{S} := Fix_\kappa\left(\mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{A}_3\right)(\mathbb{R})\right)$, given by sending the generators of $\mathfrak{Q}_{3,1}$ to the Berman generators of $\mathfrak{S}$. Denote by $\mathring{\sigma}$ the restriction of κ to the naturally contained subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}(A_3)(\mathbb{R})$ and recall from lemma 14 that β_- denotes the ring automorphism on the Laurent polynomials $\mathfrak{L}$ that is defined by $t \mapsto -t^{-1}$. Then, $\sigma := \beta_- \otimes \mathring{\sigma}$ coincides with κ on the loop algebra $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}(A_3)) \subset \mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{A}_3\right)$ and $Fix_\kappa\left(\mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{A}_3\right)(\mathbb{R})\right) = Fix_\sigma(\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}(A_3)))$. One has that*

$$\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{L}^+ \otimes \mathfrak{s}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{L}^- \otimes \mathfrak{s}^-,$$

where $\mathfrak{L}^+ = \mathbb{R}\cdot 1 \oplus \mathbb{R}\{t^n + (-1)^n t^{-n},\ n = 1,\dots\}$, $\mathfrak{L}^- := \mathbb{R}\{t^n - (-1)^n t^{-n},\ n = 1,\dots\}$, and $\mathfrak{s}^\pm := \{x \in \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}(A_3)) \mid \mathring{\sigma}(x) = \pm x\}$ denote the respective ± 1 eigenspaces of the involved involutions.

Proof. It is stated in lemma 14, that σ is of Berman type, where the sign ρ_0 for the affine node is given as $\rho_0 = -\varepsilon$ with $\mathring{\sigma}(e_\theta) = \varepsilon e_{-\theta}$. In the proof of proposition 15 we computed that $\mathring{\sigma}(e_\theta) = e_{-\theta}$ and therefore $\rho_0 = -1$. This shows that $\sigma = \kappa$ and so the only thing left to show is that the Berman generators Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 of $\mathfrak{S}$ satisfy

$$\left(-\mathrm{ad}(Z_0)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mathrm{ad}(Z_i)^2\right)(Z_\mu) = 0 \ \forall\, \mu = 0,\dots,3.$$

Now $Z_0 = X_0 = e_0 - f_0$ implies that $\mathrm{ad}(Z_0)^2(Z_1) = -Z_1$ and $\mathrm{ad}(Z_0)^2(Z_3) = -Z_3$. One computes

$$\left(-\mathrm{ad}(Z_0)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mathrm{ad}(Z_i)^2\right)(Z_0) = \left(\mathrm{ad}(Z_1)^2 + \mathrm{ad}(Z_3)^2\right)(Z_0) = (-1+1)(Z_0)$$

$$\left(-\mathrm{ad}(Z_0)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mathrm{ad}(Z_i)^2\right)(Z_1) = \left(-\mathrm{ad}(Z_0)^2 + \mathrm{ad}(Z_2)^2\right)(Z_1) = (1-1)(Z_1)$$

$$\left(-\mathrm{ad}(Z_0)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mathrm{ad}(Z_i)^2\right)(Z_2) = \left(\mathrm{ad}(Z_1)^2 + \mathrm{ad}(Z_3)^2\right)(Z_2) = (-1+1)(Z_2)$$

$$\left(-\mathrm{ad}(Z_0)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mathrm{ad}(Z_i)^2\right)(Z_3) = \left(-\mathrm{ad}(Z_0)^2 + \mathrm{ad}(Z_2)^2\right)(Z_3) = (1-1)(Z_3).$$

□

Now $\mathfrak{s}^+ \cong \mathfrak{so}(1,3)$ so that all representations of $\mathfrak{so}(1,3)$ induce a representation of $\mathfrak{Q}_{4,0}(0,0,0,0)(\mathbb{R})$. This includes for instance the Dirac spinors. Furthermore, infinite dimensional unitary representations of $SO(1,3)$ provide anti-hermitian representations of $\mathfrak{Q}_{4,0}(0,0,0,0)(\mathbb{R})$ via this construction.

The above examples can be extended to $\mathfrak{Q}_{4n}(0,\dots,0)(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{Q}_{4n-1,1}(0,\dots,0)(\mathbb{R})$ for any $n \geq 1$, as the diagram then is also suitably colored. Then an (anti-)hermitian representation of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}(A_{4n-1}))$ induces one for $\mathrm{Fix}_\sigma\left(\mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{A}_{4n-1}\right)\right)$ and therefore for $\mathfrak{Q}_{4n}(0,\dots,0)$ and $\mathfrak{Q}_{4n-1,1}(0,\dots,0)(\mathbb{R})$. By the evaluation map ev_a any (anti-)hermitian representation of $\mathfrak{g}(A_{4n-1})$ extends to such a representation of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}(A_{n-1}))$ if a is real. Note that we considered the split-real form of $\mathfrak{g}(A_{4n-1})$ which is $\mathfrak{sl}(4n,\mathbb{R})$ and therefore any such representation will be infinite-dimensional, as $SL(4n,\mathbb{R})$ does not admit finite-dimensional unitary representations.




Figure 2: The generalized Dynkin diagram to the $\widetilde{A}_{n-1}$-series.

A homomorphism $\mathfrak{Q}_{n,0}(-2,\ldots,-2)(\mathbb{R}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{k}\left(\widetilde{A}_{n-1}\right)(\mathbb{R})$ and anti-hermitian representations

Each node in Dynkin diagram of $\widetilde{A}_{n-1}$ has exactly two neighbors (compare figure 3). Taking the maximal compact subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}\left(\widetilde{A}_{n-1}\right)$ corresponds to coloring all nodes black and therefore there exists an epimorphism from $\mathfrak{Q}_n(-2,\ldots,-2)$ to $\mathfrak{k}\left(\widetilde{A}_{n-1}\right)$ according to prop. 11.

Corollary 17. *There exists an epimorphism from $\mathfrak{Q}_n(-2,\ldots,-2)$ to $\mathfrak{k}\left(\widetilde{A}_{n-1}\right)$ which is defined by sending the generators $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ of $\mathfrak{Q}_n(-2,\ldots,-2)$ to the Berman generators $X_1 := e_1 - f_1$, …, $X_n = e_n - f_n$ of $\mathfrak{k}\left(\widetilde{A}_{n-1}\right)$.*

Now what anti-hermitian representations does this imply for $\mathfrak{Q}_{n,0}(-2,\ldots,-2)(\mathbb{R})$? First of all, any highest weight representation of $\mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{A}_{n-1}\right)$ possesses a contravariant form w.r.t. which the X_i are skew-adjoint (see chapter 11 of [22], the result also applies for $\mathfrak{g}$ split-real and $\mathfrak{k}$ as above). But again we can exploit that $\mathfrak{k}\left(\widetilde{A}_{n-1}\right)$ is contained in the loop algebra $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}(A_{n-1}))$. Any element of $\mathfrak{k}\left(\widetilde{A}_{n-1}\right)$ can be written as a linear combination[3] of

$$t^n \otimes E_\alpha - t^{-n} \otimes E_{-\alpha}\ , \alpha \in \Delta(A_{n-1}), \quad \left(t^n - t^{-n}\right) \otimes h,\ h \in \mathring{\mathfrak{h}}$$

where $E_{-\alpha} := -\mathring{\omega}(E_\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta(A_{n-1})$ and $\mathring{\mathfrak{h}}$ denotes the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(A_{n-1})$. Let (ϕ, V) be a highest weight representation of $\mathfrak{g}(A_{n-1})$ then the representation matrices can be chosen such that

$$\phi(E_\alpha)^\dagger = \phi(E_{-\alpha}),\ \phi(h)^\dagger = \phi(h)\ \forall\ h \in \mathring{\mathfrak{h}},$$

so that upon identification of $1 \otimes E_\alpha$ with E_α the elements $1 \otimes E_\alpha - 1 \otimes E_{-\alpha}$ act by skew-adjoint operators. Which evaluation maps ev_a preserve this? One has for $a \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\phi_a\left(t^n \otimes E_\alpha - t^{-n} \otimes E_{-\alpha}\right) = a^n \cdot \phi(E_\alpha) - a^{-n}\phi(E_{-\alpha}), \quad \phi_a\left(\left(t^n - t^{-n}\right) \otimes h\right) = \left(a^n - a^{-n}\right) \cdot \phi(h)$$

and so

$$\phi_a\left(t^n \otimes E_\alpha - t^{-n} \otimes E_{-\alpha}\right)^\dagger = a^n \cdot \phi(E_{-\alpha}) - a^{-n}\phi(E_\alpha)$$

which is skew-adjoint if $a^n = a^{-n}$ for all n which only leaves $a = \pm 1$. This also implies $ev_a(t^n - t^{-n}) = 0$ and therefore the $(t^n - t^{-n}) \otimes h$ act trivially.

[3] We provided this description of $\mathfrak{k}\left(\widetilde{A}_{n-1}\right) \subset \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}(A_{n-1}))$ in [24].

Proposition 18. *Any highest weight representation (ϕ, V) of $\mathfrak{g}(A_{n-1})$ induces an anti-hermitian representation $(\phi_\pm, V)$ of $\mathfrak{k}\left(\widetilde{A}_{n-1}\right) \subset \mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{g}(A_{n-1}))$ given by combining ϕ with the evaluation map at ± 1. By the epimorphism $\mathfrak{Q}_{n,0}(-2,\ldots,-2)(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathfrak{k}\left(\widetilde{A}_{n-1}\right)(\mathbb{R})$ this result extends to $\mathfrak{Q}_{n,0}(-2,\ldots,-2)(\mathbb{R})$.*

Also, the representations described in [24] provide representations that do not stem from representations of $\mathfrak{g}\left(\widetilde{A}_{n-1}\right)(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathfrak{g}(A_{n-1})(\mathbb{R})$.

A homomorphism $\mathfrak{Q}_{10-d,d}(0,\ldots,0) \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{g}'(E_9)$

Different to the previous examples we now try to find a set of 10 elements $y_1,\ldots,y_{10}$ which satisfy $\mathrm{ad}(y_i)^2(y_j) = 0$ already without any summation. Then, any result we obtain will hold for arbitrary signature $(10-d,d)$.

Corollary 19 (to prop. 4)**.** *There exists an epimorphism $\mathfrak{Q}_{10-d,d}(0,\ldots,0) \to \mathfrak{n}^+(E_{10})$.*

More interestingly, corollary 9 shows that $\mathfrak{Q}_{p,q,d}(0,\ldots,0)(\mathbb{R})$ with $9 \leq p+q \leq |\frac{1}{2}\Delta(E_8)$ maps onto $\mathfrak{g}(E_8)(\mathbb{R})$ such that each generator is realized nontrivially and linearly independent:

$$\phi(x_i) = f_i \,\forall\, i = 1,\ldots,8,\ \phi(x_9) = e_\theta,\ \phi(x_{9+j}) = e_{\beta_j}.$$

For $p+q=9$, it also sets apart one generator, as only x_9 is realized in a positive root space. For affine root systems the the root system is

$$\Delta^{re}(A) = \left\{n\delta + \alpha \mid n \in \mathbb{Z},\ \alpha \in \Delta\left(\mathring{A}\right)\right\},\ \Delta^{im}(A) = \{n\delta \,|\, n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\},$$

where $\mathring{A}$ denotes the sub-diagram of finite type.

Proposition 20. *Let $\gamma = n\delta + \beta \in \Delta^{re}(E_9)$ with $\beta \in \Delta(E_8) \setminus \{-\theta, \alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_8\}$ and $e_\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}(E_9)_\gamma$, where θ denotes the highest E_8-root. Then $\langle e_\gamma, f_0, f_1,\ldots,f_8\rangle \cong \mathfrak{g}'(E_9)$ and the map*

$$x_0 \mapsto f_0,\ x_1 \mapsto f_1,\ldots,x_{10} \mapsto e_\gamma \tag{22}$$

extends to an epimorphism of $\mathfrak{Q}_{10-d,d}(0,\ldots,0) \to \mathfrak{g}'(E_9)$.

Proof. The claim $\langle e_\gamma, f_0, f_1,\ldots,f_8\rangle \cong \mathfrak{g}'(E_9)$ follows from lemma 7. More directly one could also specialize to $\gamma = 2\delta + \beta$ for some $\beta \in \Delta(E_8)$. By successive application of $f_1,\ldots,f_8$ it is possible to reach the root space $2\delta - \theta$, because in the finite root system $\Delta(E_8)$, $-\theta$ is a lowest root. But then

$$(2\delta - \theta) - 2\alpha_0 = (2\delta - \theta) - 2(\delta - \theta) = \theta$$

and from e_θ one obtains all $e_1,\ldots,e_8$. Since the intermediate step reaches an element e_δ one can apply $e_{-\theta}$ and obtain e_0 as well.

It is still left to show that (22) defines a homomorphism. The Serre-relations imply that $\mathrm{ad}(f_i)^2(f_j) = 0$ for all $i,j \in \{0,\ldots,8\}$. For $\gamma = n\delta + \beta \in \Delta^{re}(E_9)$ one has for $i = 1,\ldots,8$ that $2\gamma - \alpha_i, \gamma - 2\alpha_i \notin \Delta(E_9)$ because $2\beta - \alpha_i, \beta - 2\alpha_i \notin \Delta(E_8)$. Here it is actually crucial that $\beta \neq \alpha_i\ \forall\, i = 1,\ldots,8$. This implies that the corresponding root spaces are 0-dimensional and so one has

$$\mathrm{ad}(e_\gamma)^2(f_i) = 0 = \mathrm{ad}(f_i)^2(e_\gamma)\ \ \forall\, i = 1,\ldots,8.$$

Now for f_0 it is

$$2\gamma - \alpha_0 = 2n\delta + 2\beta - (\delta - \theta) = (2n-1)\,\delta + 2\beta + \theta \notin \Delta\,(E_9)\,,$$

because $2\beta + \theta \notin \Delta\,(E_8)$ as $\beta \neq -\theta$. For the same reason

$$\gamma - 2\alpha_0 = (n-2)\delta + \beta + 2\theta \notin \Delta\,(E_9)\,,$$

so that one also has

$$\mathrm{ad}\,(e_\gamma)^2\,(f_0) = 0 = \mathrm{ad}\,(f_0)^2\,(e_\gamma)\,.$$

This shows that that (22) extends to a homomorphism. As $\langle e_\gamma, f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_8\rangle \cong \mathfrak{g}'\,(E_9)$ it is an epimorphism. □

We believe that it is possible to find a similar epimorphism for $\mathfrak{Q}_{11-d,d}\,(0,\ldots,0) \to \mathfrak{g}'\,(E_{10})$. One simply has to find (and therefore show existence of) a real positive root with maximal support β, such that $\beta - 2\alpha_i \notin \Delta\,(E_{10})$ for all $i = 1,\ldots,10$.

A homomorphism $\mathfrak{Q}_{26-m,m}\,(0,\ldots,0)\,(\mathbb{R}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{g}\,(E_{10})\,(\mathbb{R})$

The following example shows that it is also possible to map QMSAs of higher rank to KM-algebras of much lower rank such that the generators are still linearly independent in the image. Towards this, we make use




Figure 3: The generalized Dynkin diagram of type E_{10}.

of the structure of the E_{10}-root system's structure, expecially that it contains an A_9-sub-root system, in our notation spanned by the roots $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_9$. We map the first 10 generators $x_1,\ldots,x_{10}$ of $\mathfrak{Q}_{26-m,m}\,(0,\ldots,0)\,(\mathbb{R})$ to the Chevalley generators $f_1,\ldots,f_{10}$ as in the previous examples. But to avoid a detailed study of the E_{10}-root system we pick different roots $\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_{16} \in \Delta^{re}_+\,(E_{10})$ to accomplish $\langle f_1,\ldots,f_{10}, e_{\beta_1},\ldots,e_{\beta_{16}}\rangle = \mathfrak{g}\,(E_{10})$. Set $\beta = \alpha_7 + \alpha_{10}$, where the labeling of simple roots is according to figure 3. The product $(\beta|\gamma)$ with any positive root $\gamma \in \Delta\,(A_9)$ is completely detemined by the following ones:

$$(\beta|\alpha_7) = 1,\ (\beta_1|\ \alpha_6 + \alpha_7) = 0 = (\beta|\ \alpha_7 + \alpha_8)\,,\ (\beta|\alpha_6 + \alpha_7 + \alpha_8) = -1,\ (\beta|\alpha_6) = -1 = (\beta| + \alpha_8)\,.$$

These determine everything, because if $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{9} k_i\alpha_i \in \Delta\,(A_9)$ is a positive A_9-root, then $k_i \in \{0,1\}$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(\gamma)$ is connected. As the coefficients k_i in decomposition of any root $\delta = \sum_{i=1}^{10} k_i\alpha_i \Delta\,(E_{10})$ have to be either all nonnegative or all nonpositive, one concludes that $\beta - \gamma \notin \Delta\,(E_{10})$ for all $\alpha_7 \neq \gamma \in \Delta\,(A_9)$. Hence one arrives at

$$\begin{array}{lll}
k\beta + \gamma,\ \beta + k\gamma \in \Delta\,(E_{10}) & \text{for } k \in \{0,1\} & \text{if } (\beta|\gamma) = -1\\
k\beta + \gamma,\ \beta + k\gamma \in \Delta\,(E_{10}) & \text{for } k \in \{0\} & \text{if } (\beta|\gamma) = 0\\
k\beta + \gamma,\ \beta + k\gamma \in \Delta\,(E_{10}) & \text{for } k \in \{0,-1\} & \text{if } (\beta|\gamma) = +1,
\end{array}$$

where the last case only ocurrs for $\gamma = \alpha_7$. This shows with $\beta - \gamma \notin \Delta(E_{10})$ that

$$\mathrm{ad}(e_\beta)^2(e_\gamma) = 0 = \mathrm{ad}(e_\gamma)^2(e_\beta) \;\; \forall \gamma \in \Delta(A_9) \setminus \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_9\} \; . \tag{23}$$

Note that while the exception of simple roots is not necessary for the above equality it is necessary for the following:

$$\mathrm{ad}(f_i)^2(e_\gamma) = 0 = \mathrm{ad}(e_\gamma)^2(f_i) \;\; \forall \gamma \in \Delta(A_9) \setminus \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_9\} \;\; \forall i = 1, \ldots, 10. \tag{24}$$

One now takes the A_9-roots

$$\Gamma := \bigcup_{i=1}^{8} \{\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1}\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{7} \{\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+2}\}$$

and observes that

$$\chi := \{f_1, \ldots, f_{10}, e_\beta, e_\gamma \,|\, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$$

is a generating set of $\mathfrak{g}(E_{10})(\mathbb{R})$ that satisfies $\mathrm{ad}(x)^2(y) = 0 \;\forall x, y \in \chi$. As $|\chi| = 26$, it provides an epimorphism $\mathfrak{Q}_{26-m,m}(0, \ldots, 0)(\mathbb{R}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{g}(E_{10})(\mathbb{R})$ for any signature and of course also if one replaces $\mathbb{R}$ with $\mathbb{C}$. The amount of arbitrary choices we made in this example show that these kinds of epimorphisms are not specific to $\mathfrak{Q}_{26-m,m}$ and $\mathfrak{g}(E_{10})$ but we thought it is a potentially interesting example.

References

[1] J. Arnlind and J. Hoppe. Discrete minimal surface algebras. *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications*, May 2010. ISSN 1815-0659. doi: 10.3842/sigma.2010.042. URL `http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2010.042`.

[2] J. Arnlind, J. Hoppe, and M. Kontsevich. Quantum Minimal Surfaces, 2019. URL `https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.10792`.

[3] S. Berman. On generators and relations for certain involutory subalgebras of Kac- Moody Lie algebras. *Commun. Algebra*, 17(12):3165–3185, 1989. ISSN 0092-7872; 1532-4125/e.

[4] Y. Billig and A. Pianzola. Root strings with two consecutive real roots. *Tohoku Mathematical Journal*, 47 (3):391 – 403, 1995. doi: 10.2748/tmj/1178225523. URL `https://doi.org/10.2748/tmj/1178225523`.

[5] A. Connes. *Non-Commutative Geometry*. Academic Press, San Diego, 1994. ISBN 012185860X.

[6] A. Connes and M. Dubois-Violette. Yang-Mills algebra. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 61:149–158, 2002. doi: 10.1023/A:1020733628744.

[7] E. Corrigan, P. R. Wainwright, and S. M. J. Wilson. Some Comments on the Nonselfdual Nahm Equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 98:259, 1985. doi: 10.1007/BF01220513.

[8] T. Damour and M. Henneaux. E10, BE10 and arithmetical chaos in superstring cosmology. *Physical Review Letters*, 86(21):4749–4752, may 2001. doi: 10.1103/physrevlett.86.4749. URL `https://doi.org/10.1103%2Fphysrevlett.86.4749`.

[9] T. Damour, M. Henneaux, and H. Nicolai. E10 and a "small tension expansion" of M-theory. *Physical Review Letters*, 89, 07 2002. URL `https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0207267`.

[10] T. Damour, A. Kleinschmidt, and H. Nicolai. $K(E_{10})$, supergravity and fermions. *J. High Energy Physics*, 2006(08):046–046, 2006. doi: 10.1088/1126-6708/2006/08/046. URL https://doi.org/10.1088%2F1126-6708%2F2006%2F08%2F046.

[11] S. de Buyl, M. Henneaux, and L. Paulot. Extended E8-invariance of 11-dimensional supergravity. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2006(02):056–056, feb 2006. doi: 10.1088/1126-6708/2006/02/056. URL https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/02/056.

[12] O. Gabber and V. G. Kac. On defining relations of certain infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. *Bulletin (New Series) of the American Mathematical Society*, 5(2):185 – 189, 1981. doi: bams/1183548298. URL https://doi.org/.

[13] G. Hainke, R. Köhl, and P. Levy. Generalized spin representations. With an appendix by Max Horn and Ralf Köhl. *Münster J. Math.*, 8(1):181–210, 2015. ISSN 1867-5778; 1867-5786/e.

[14] E. Herscovich. Some remarks on representations of yang-mills algebras. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 56(1):011702, Jan 2015. ISSN 1089-7658. doi: 10.1063/1.4905857. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4905857.

[15] E. Herscovich and A. Solotar. Representations of yang-mills algebras. *Annals of Mathematics*, 173(2): 1043–1080, 2011. ISSN 0003486X. URL http://www.jstor.org/stable/29783224.

[16] J. Hoppe. On M-algebras, the quantization of Nambu mechanics, and volume preserving diffeomorphisms. *Helv. Phys. Acta*, 70:302–317, 1997. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9602020.

[17] J. Hoppe. Fundamental Structures of M(brane) theory, *Phys.Lett.B* 695 (2011) 384.

[18] J. Hoppe. Relativistic Membranes, *J.Phys.A* 46 (2013) 023001.

[19] J. Hoppe. Commuting signs of infinity, 2021. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.08653v2.

[20] J. Hoppe. *Quantum Theory of a Massless Relativistic Surface and a Two-Dimensional Bound State Problem.* PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Jan. 1982. URL http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/15717.

[21] N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa, and A. Tsuchiya. A large-n reduced model as superstring. *Nuclear Physics B*, 498(1-2):467–491, Aug 1997. ISSN 0550-3213. doi: 10.1016/s0550-3213(97)00290-3. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00290-3.

[22] V. G. Kac. *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras. 3rd ed.* Cambridge etc.: Cambridge University Press, 3rd ed. edition, 1990. ISBN 0-521-37215-1/hbk.

[23] A. Kleinschmidt, H. Nicolai, and J. Palmkvist. K(E(9)) from K(E(10)). *JHEP*, 06:051, 2007. doi: 10.1088/1126-6708/2007/06/051.

[24] A. Kleinschmidt, R. Köhl, R. Lautenbacher, and H. Nicolai. Representations of involutory subalgebras of affine kac-moody algebras, 2021. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.00870

[25] C.-H. Lu and Z.-X. Wan. On the minimal number of generators of the lie algebra g(a). *Journal of Algebra*, 101(2):470–472, 1986. ISSN 0021-8693. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(86)90205-X. URL https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/002186938690205X.

[26] J. Madore. The fuzzy sphere. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 9(1):69–87, jan 1992. doi: 10.1088/0264-9381/9/1/008. URL https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/9/1/008.

[27] J. Morita. Root strings with three or four real roots in Kac-Moody root systems. *Tohoku Mathematical Journal*, 40(4):645 – 650, 1988. doi: 10.2748/tmj/1178227928. URL https://doi.org/10.2748/tmj/1178227928.

[28] N. A. Nekrasov. Lectures on open strings, and noncommutative gauge fields. In *Les Houches Summer School: Session 76: Euro Summer School on Unity of Fundamental Physics: Gravity, Gauge Theory and Strings*, 3 2002. URL https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0203109.

[29] H. Nicolai and H. Samtleben. On K(E(9)). *Q. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 1:180–204, 2005. doi: 10.4310/PAMQ.2005.v1.n1.a8.

[30] B. Riemann. Über die Hypothesen, welche der Geometrie zu Grunde liegen. *Physikalische Blätter*, 10 (7):296–306, 1954. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/phbl.19540100702. URL https://www.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/phbl.19540100702.

[31] H. S. Snyder. Quantized space-time. *Phys. Rev.*, 71:38–41, Jan 1947. doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.71.38. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.71.38.

[32] C.-N. Yang. On quantized space-time. *Phys. Rev.*, 72:874, 1947. doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.72.874.