

Critical polyharmonic systems and optimal partitions

Mónica Clapp*, Juan Carlos Fernández† and Alberto Saldaña‡

December 31, 2021

Abstract

We establish the existence of solutions to a weakly-coupled competitive system of polyharmonic equations in \mathbb{R}^N which are invariant under a group of conformal diffeomorphisms, and study the behavior of least energy solutions as the coupling parameters tend to $-\infty$. We show that the supports of the limiting profiles of their components are pairwise disjoint smooth domains and solve a nonlinear optimal partition problem of \mathbb{R}^N . We give a detailed description of the shape of these domains.

Keywords: Higher-order elliptic systems, optimal partition, critical Sobolev exponent, phase separation.

MSC2020: 35G50 (Primary); 35G30, 35B06, 58J70, 35B33,

1 Introduction

Consider the weakly-coupled competitive polyharmonic system of ℓ equations in \mathbb{R}^N ,

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^m u_i = \mu_i |u_i|^{2_m^* - 2} u_i + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{\ell} \lambda_{ij} \beta_{ij} |u_j|^{\alpha_{ij}} |u_i|^{\beta_{ij} - 2} u_i, & i = 1, \dots, \ell, \\ u_i \in D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), & i = 1, \dots, \ell, \end{cases}$$

where $m, N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N > 2m$, $2_m^* := \frac{2N}{N-2m}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, $\mu_i > 0$, $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_{ji} < 0$, $\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij} > 1$ satisfying $\alpha_{ij} = \beta_{ji}$ and $\alpha_{ij} + \beta_{ij} = 2_m^*$, and $D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the completion of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ induced by the scalar product

$$(1.2) \quad \langle u, v \rangle := \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Delta^{\frac{m}{2}} u \cdot \Delta^{\frac{m}{2}} v, & \text{for } m \text{ even,} \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla \Delta^{\frac{m-1}{2}} u \cdot \nabla \Delta^{\frac{m-1}{2}} v, & \text{for } m \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

In this paper we establish the existence of solutions to (1.1) which are invariant under some groups of conformal diffeomorphisms, and study the behavior of least energy solutions as $\lambda_{ij} \rightarrow -\infty$. We show that the supports of the limiting profiles of their components are pairwise disjoint smooth domains and solve a nonlinear optimal partition problem in \mathbb{R}^N .

To state our results we introduce some notation. Fix $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n_1, n_2 \geq 2$ and $n_1 + n_2 = N + 1$, and set $\Gamma := O(n_1) \times O(n_2)$. Each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is an isometry of the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^N , and gives rise to a conformal diffeomorphism $\tilde{\gamma} : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ given by $\tilde{\gamma}x := (\sigma \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-1})(x)$, where $\sigma : \mathbb{S}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N \cup \{\infty\}$ is the stereographic projection. A subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^N will be called Γ -invariant if $\tilde{\gamma}x \in \Omega$ for all $x \in \Omega$, and a function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be said to be Γ -invariant if

$$|\det \tilde{\gamma}'(x)|^{\frac{1}{2_m^*}} u(\tilde{\gamma}x) = u(x) \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma, x \in \Omega.$$

*M. Clapp was supported by CONACYT grant A1-S-10457 (Mexico).

†J.C. Fernández was supported by a CONACYT postdoctoral fellowship (Mexico).

‡A. Saldaña was supported by UNAM-DGAPA-PAPIIT grant IA101721 (Mexico).

If Ω is a Γ -invariant open subset of \mathbb{R}^N we write $D_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$ for the closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ in $D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and we use $D_0^{m,2}(\Omega)^\Gamma$ and $D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)^\Gamma$ to denote the subspaces of Γ -invariant functions in $D_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$ and $D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ respectively. Consider the Dirichlet problem

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^m u = |u|^{2_m^*-2} u, \\ u \in D_0^{m,2}(\Omega)^\Gamma. \end{cases}$$

This problem has a least energy nontrivial solution (see Section 4), whose energy will be denoted by c_Ω^Γ , i.e.,

$$c_\Omega^\Gamma := \inf \left\{ \frac{m}{N} \|u\|^2 : u \neq 0 \text{ and } u \text{ solves (1.3)} \right\}.$$

We consider partitions of \mathbb{R}^N by Γ -invariant open subsets. More precisely, for $\ell \geq 2$, let

$$\mathcal{P}_\ell^\Gamma := \{ \{\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_\ell\} : \Omega_i \neq \emptyset \text{ is a } \Gamma\text{-invariant open subset of } \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } \Omega_i \cap \Omega_j = \emptyset \text{ if } i \neq j \}.$$

A (Γ, ℓ) -optimal partition for \mathbb{R}^N is a partition $\{\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_\ell\} \in \mathcal{P}_\ell^\Gamma$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_{\Omega_i}^\Gamma = \inf_{\{\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_\ell\} \in \mathcal{P}_\ell^\Gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_{\Theta_i}^\Gamma.$$

We study a symmetric version of (1.1), namely

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^m u_i = \mu_i |u_i|^{2_m^*-2} u_i + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{\ell} \lambda_{ij} \beta_{ij} |u_j|^{\alpha_{ij}} |u_i|^{\beta_{ij}-2} u_i, & i = 1, \dots, \ell, \\ u_i \in D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)^\Gamma, & i = 1, \dots, \ell, \end{cases}$$

where λ_{ij} , μ_i , α_{ij} and β_{ij} are as before.

Our first result asserts the existence of infinitely many fully nontrivial solutions of (1.5). A solution (u_1, \dots, u_ℓ) to the system (1.5) is called *fully nontrivial* if each component u_i is nontrivial. We refer to Definition 3.2 for the notion of a least energy fully nontrivial solution.

Theorem 1.1. *The system (1.5) has a least energy fully nontrivial solution and a sequence of fully nontrivial solutions which is unbounded in $[D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)]^\ell$.*

Our next result describes the segregation behavior of least energy fully nontrivial solutions as $\lambda_{ij} \rightarrow -\infty$, showing that the supports of the limiting profiles of their components solve the optimal partition problem (1.4). We write \mathbb{S}^{d-1} and \mathbb{B}^d for the unit sphere and the open unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d . The symbol \cong stands for ‘‘is Γ -diffeomorphic to’’.

Theorem 1.2. *For $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, fix $\mu_i = 1$ and for each $i \neq j$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\lambda_{ij,k} < 0$ be such that $\lambda_{ij,k} = \lambda_{ji,k}$ and $\lambda_{ij,k} \rightarrow -\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $(u_{k,1}, \dots, u_{k,\ell})$ be a least energy fully nontrivial solution to the system (1.5) with $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_{ij,k}$. Then, after passing to a subsequence, we have that*

(a) $u_{k,i} \rightarrow u_{\infty,i}$ strongly in $D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u_{\infty,i} \in C^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and $u_{\infty,i} \neq 0$. Let

$$\Omega_i := \text{int} \overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : u_{\infty,i}(x) \neq 0\}} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \ell.$$

Then $u_{\infty,i} \in C^{2m,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}_i)$ is a least energy solution of (1.3) in Ω_i for each $i = 1, \dots, \ell$.

(b) $\{\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_\ell\} \in \mathcal{P}_\ell^\Gamma$ is a (Γ, ℓ) -optimal partition for \mathbb{R}^N .

(c) $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_\ell$ are smooth and connected, $\overline{\Omega_1 \cup \dots \cup \Omega_\ell} = \mathbb{R}^N$ and, after relabeling, we have that

$$(c_1) \quad \Omega_1 \cong \mathbb{S}^{n_1-1} \times \mathbb{B}^{n_2}, \quad \Omega_i \cong \mathbb{S}^{n_1-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n_2-1} \times (0,1) \text{ if } i = 2, \dots, \ell-1, \text{ and } \Omega_\ell \cong \mathbb{B}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2-1},$$

$$(c_2) \quad \bar{\Omega}_i \cap \bar{\Omega}_{i+1} \cong \mathbb{S}^{n_1-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n_2-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Omega}_i \cap \bar{\Omega}_j = \emptyset \quad \text{if} \quad |j - i| \geq 2.$$

Combining Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *For every group $\Gamma := O(n_1) \times O(n_2)$ with $n_1, n_2 \geq 2$ and $n_1 + n_2 = N + 1$, there exists a (Γ, ℓ) -optimal partition for \mathbb{R}^N having the properties stated in (c) above.*

Theorem 1.1 extends the multiplicity result in [1] for a single polyharmonic equation to systems, and generalizes the results for systems involving the Laplacian in [4] and [5, Theorem 1.2] to the higher-order case. In all of these results the symmetries play a crucial role in compensating the lack of compactness inherent to critical problems. This fact was first used by W.Y. Ding in [8]. For bounded domains with Dirichlet boundary conditions, critical polyharmonic systems with linear and subcritical coupling terms have been studied in [3, 18], whereas critical couplings were considered in [13]. See also [23] for some results on weakly-coupled fourth-order Schrödinger equations.

For the proof of Theorem 1.1 we follow the variational approach introduced in [5] which carries over immediately to higher-order operators and may be used to obtain existence and multiplicity results for other polyharmonic systems as well.

The connection between optimal partitions and competitive systems for the Laplacian was first noticed in [7] and has been further developed in various papers considering different types of nonlinearities, couplings, and general smooth domains. Optimal partitions and shape optimization problems in general are difficult to study in the higher-order regime. The available results for the Laplacian involve the use of advanced tools such as Almgren-type monotonicity formulae, boundary Harnack principles, and Liouville theorems. The extension of all this machinery to the higher-order case seems out of reach. For general statements and a review of previously known results for the Laplacian we refer to [25].

In Theorem 1.2 we make strong use of the symmetries to obtain and fully describe the shape of the optimal partition. This result extends the main theorem in [6]. As far as we know, it is the first result to exhibit and fully characterize an optimal partition for a higher-order elliptic operator.

The conformal invariance of the system (1.5) allows translating it into the polyharmonic system on the standard sphere,

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}_g^m v_i = \mu_i |v_i|^{2_m^* - 2} v_i + \sum_{i \neq j} \lambda_{ij} \beta_{ij} |v_j|^{\alpha_{ij}} |v_i|^{\beta_{ij}} v_i, \\ v_i \in H_g^m(\mathbb{S}^N), \\ v_i \text{ is } \Gamma\text{-invariant,} \quad i, j = 1, \dots, \ell, \end{cases}$$

with the same $\mu_i, \lambda_{ij}, \alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij}$, where \mathcal{P}_g^m is a conformally invariant operator generalizing the conformal Laplacian for $m = 1$ and the Paneitz operator for $m = 2$, see (2.2). More precisely, $\bar{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_\ell)$ is a solution of (1.6) iff $\bar{u} = (\iota(v_1), \dots, \iota(v_\ell))$ solves (1.5) where ι is defined in terms of the stereographic projection, see Proposition 2.1. Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 translate into similar statements for the system (1.6), which is interesting in itself.

The orbit space of the action of Γ on \mathbb{S}^N is one-dimensional, see (2.6). This allows to translate the systems (1.6) and (1.5) into a system of ODE's. However, the operator has a rather complicated expression and it is degenerate, in the sense that it involves sign-changing weights that can vanish at different points.

For $m = 1$, the ODE approach was exploited in [10] to derive the existence of sign-changing solutions to the Yamabe equation on the sphere having precisely ℓ nodal domains for any $\ell \geq 2$, using a double-shooting method. This result does not extend easily to $m \geq 2$, because the corresponding ODE has a rather complicated expression, see Remark 2.7 below. On the other hand, in [6], sign-changing solutions to the Yamabe problem with a prescribed number of nodal domains were constructed using an alternating sum of limiting profiles of *positive* least energy solutions to (1.5) with $m = 1$. This method also fails when considering $m \geq 2$, since it is not known if the least energy solutions of (1.5) are signed or sign-changing. Therefore, the existence of sign-changing solutions to the problem

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{P}_g^m v = |v|^{2_m^* - 2} v, \quad v \in H_g^m(\mathbb{S}^N),$$

with precisely ℓ nodal domains for any $\ell \geq 2$ remains an open question.

Problem (1.7) arises naturally in conformal geometry when seeking for prescribed higher-order conformal invariants, called Q -curvatures, generalizing the scalar curvature [11, 12, 21]. For $m = 1$ it is the Yamabe problem and it is the Paneitz problem for $m = 2$ [9, 15].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we use the symmetries to restore compactness and to derive regularity properties of the Γ -invariant functions. Next, in Section 3, we describe the variational setting for the polyharmonic system and prove Theorem 1.1. Finally, in Section 4 we study the behavior of the least energy solutions to the system as $\lambda_{ij} \rightarrow -\infty$ and prove Theorem 1.2. To simplify our presentation, two technical results are added in an Appendix.

2 Compactness and regularity by symmetry

Let (\mathbb{S}^N, g) denote the unit sphere with its round metric. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N > 2m$, the Sobolev space $H_g^m(\mathbb{S}^N)$ is the completion of $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^N)$ with respect to the norm defined by the interior product

$$(2.1) \quad \langle u, v \rangle_{H_g^m(\mathbb{S}^N)} := \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{S}^N} (uv + \Delta_g^{m/2} u \cdot \Delta_g^{m/2} v) dV_g, & m \text{ even,} \\ \int_{\mathbb{S}^N} (uv + \langle \nabla_g \Delta_g^{(m-1)/2} u, \nabla_g \Delta_g^{(m-1)/2} v \rangle_g) dV_g, & m \text{ odd,} \end{cases}$$

where ∇_g is the gradient and Δ_g is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathbb{S}^N . Consider the elliptic operator of order $2m$ on \mathbb{S}^N given by

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{P}_g^m := \prod_{k=1}^m (-\Delta_g + c_k), \quad c_k := \frac{(N-2k)(N+2k-2)}{4}.$$

This is a conformal operator. For $m = 1$ it is the conformal Laplacian and for $m = 2$ it is the Paneitz operator. It yields an inner product

$$(2.3) \quad \langle u, v \rangle_* = \int_{\mathbb{S}^N} u \mathcal{P}_g^m v dV_g, \quad u, v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^N),$$

and the induced norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ is equivalent to the standard norm given by (2.1), see [1, 21].

The stereographic projection $\sigma : \mathbb{S}^N \setminus \{p_0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ from the north pole p_0 is a conformal diffeomorphism and the coordinates of the standard metric g in the chart given by σ^{-1} are

$$g_{ij} = \psi^{4/(N-2m)} \delta_{ij},$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta and $\psi \in D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is

$$\psi(x) := \left[\frac{2}{1+|x|^2} \right]^{\frac{N-2m}{2}}.$$

As the operators \mathcal{P}_g^m and $(-\Delta)^m$ are conformally invariant, the stereographic projection yields the relation

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{P}_g^m(u) = \psi^{1-2^*_m} (-\Delta)^m [\iota(u)], \quad \text{where } \iota(u) := \psi(u \circ \sigma^{-1}),$$

for every $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^N)$, see [21].

Proposition 2.1. *The map*

$$\iota : (H_g^m(\mathbb{S}^N), \|\cdot\|_*) \rightarrow (D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \|\cdot\|), \quad u \mapsto \iota(u) := \psi(u \circ \sigma^{-1}),$$

is an isometric isomorphism with inverse $\iota^{-1}v = \frac{1}{\psi \circ \sigma} v \circ \sigma$.

Proof. As $dV_g = \psi^{2^*} dx$, we derive from (2.4) that

$$\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle_* = \int_{\mathbb{S}^N} u_1 \mathcal{P}_g u_2 dV_g = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \iota(u_1) (-\Delta)^m [\iota(u_2)] dx = \langle \iota(u_1), \iota(u_2) \rangle$$

for any $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{S}^N)$. The proposition now follows by density. \square

Set $\Gamma := O(n_1) \times O(n_2)$, where $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n_1, n_2 \geq 2$ and $n_1 + n_2 = N + 1$. Then Γ acts by linear isometries on the Sobolev spaces $H_g^m(\mathbb{S}^N)$ and $D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as follows.

Proposition 2.2. *For every $\gamma \in O(N + 1)$,*

$$\gamma : (H_g^m(\mathbb{S}^N), \|\cdot\|_*) \rightarrow (H_g^m(\mathbb{S}^N), \|\cdot\|_*), \quad \gamma u := u \circ \gamma^{-1},$$

and

$$\gamma : D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \gamma v := |\det \tilde{\gamma}'|^{1/2^*} v \circ \tilde{\gamma},$$

with $\tilde{\gamma} := \sigma \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-1}$, are linear isometries.

Proof. The operator \mathcal{P}_g^m is natural in the sense that it is invariant under changes of coordinates [11, 21]. This implies, in particular, that $\gamma^* \mathcal{P}_g^m = \mathcal{P}_g^m \circ \gamma^*$ for every isometry $\gamma : \mathbb{S}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^N$, where γ^* denotes the pullback of tensors, see [2, 21]. Therefore, if $u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{S}^N)$ and $\gamma \in O(N + 1)$,

$$\mathcal{P}_g^m(u \circ \gamma) = (\mathcal{P}_g^m \circ \gamma^*)(u) = (\gamma^* \circ \mathcal{P}_g^m)(u) = \mathcal{P}_g^m(u) \circ \gamma.$$

Then, for $u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{S}^N)$,

$$\|\gamma u\|_*^2 = \int_{\mathbb{S}^N} (u \circ \gamma^{-1}) \mathcal{P}_g^m(u \circ \gamma^{-1}) dV_g = \int_{\mathbb{S}^N} (u \circ \gamma^{-1}) \mathcal{P}_g^m(u) \circ \gamma^{-1} dV_g = \int_{\mathbb{S}^N} u \mathcal{P}_g^m u dV_g = \|u\|_*^2.$$

This shows, by density, that $\gamma : (H_g^m(\mathbb{S}^N), \|\cdot\|_*) \rightarrow (H_g^m(\mathbb{S}^N), \|\cdot\|_*)$ is a linear isometry.

By Proposition 2.1, the composition $\iota \circ \gamma \circ \iota^{-1} : D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a linear isometry for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. So $\gamma v := (\iota \circ \gamma \circ \iota^{-1})v$ defines a linear action of Γ on $D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Setting $\tilde{\gamma} := \sigma \circ \gamma^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-1}$, we have that

$$\gamma v = \frac{\psi}{\psi \circ \tilde{\gamma}} v \circ \tilde{\gamma} = |\det \tilde{\gamma}'|^{1/2^*} v \circ \tilde{\gamma}$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and any $v \in D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, see identity (3.2) in [4]. \square

Define

$$\begin{aligned} H_g^m(\mathbb{S}^N)^\Gamma &:= \{u \in H_g^m(\mathbb{S}^N) : \gamma u = u \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma\}, \\ D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)^\Gamma &:= \{v \in D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \gamma v = v \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the map ι from Proposition 2.1 yields an isometric isomorphism

$$(2.5) \quad \iota : H_g^m(\mathbb{S}^N)^\Gamma \rightarrow D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)^\Gamma.$$

Let $L_g^{2^*}(\mathbb{S}^N)$ and $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denote the usual Lebesgue spaces. The crucial role played by the symmetries is given by the following statement.

Lemma 2.3. *The embeddings*

$$H_g^m(\mathbb{S}^N)^\Gamma \hookrightarrow L_g^{2^*}(\mathbb{S}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)^\Gamma \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

are continuous and compact.

Proof. The statement for \mathbb{S}^N follows from [1, Lemma 3.2]. The statement for \mathbb{R}^N is obtained using the isometry (2.5) and noting that $\iota : L_g^{2^*}(\mathbb{S}^N) \rightarrow L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is also an isometry. \square

To study the regularity of functions belonging to $H_g^m(\mathbb{S}^N)^\Gamma$ and $D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)^\Gamma$ we turn our attention to the space of Γ -orbits of \mathbb{S}^N .

We write $\mathbb{R}^{N+1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$. Accordingly, points in \mathbb{S}^N are written as $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$. Let $q : \mathbb{S}^N \rightarrow [0, \pi]$ be given by

$$(2.6) \quad q := \arccos \circ f, \quad \text{where } f(x, y) := |x|^2 - |y|^2.$$

This is a quotient map identifying each Γ -orbit in \mathbb{S}^N with a single point. It is called the Γ -orbit map of \mathbb{S}^N . Note that the Γ -orbit space of \mathbb{S}^N is one-dimensional and that

$$q^{-1}(0) \cong \mathbb{S}^{n_1-1}, \quad q^{-1}(t) \cong \mathbb{S}^{n_1-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{n_2-1} \text{ if } t \in (0, \pi), \quad q^{-1}(\pi) \cong \mathbb{S}^{n_2-1}.$$

Let $\phi : (0, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$(2.7) \quad \phi(t) := \frac{2}{\sin t} [(n_1 + n_2 - 2) \cos t - (n_2 - n_1)]$$

and define $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{C}^\infty(0, \pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(0, \pi)$ by

$$\mathcal{L} := 4 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \phi(t) \frac{d}{dt}.$$

Set

$$(2.8) \quad h(t) := 2 |\mathbb{S}^{n_1-1}| |\mathbb{S}^{n_2-1}| \cos^{n_1-1}(t/2) \sin^{n_2-1}(t/2), \quad t \in [0, \pi],$$

where $|\mathbb{S}^{n_i-1}|$ is the $(n_i - 1)$ -dimensional measure of the sphere \mathbb{S}^{n_i-1} for $i = 1, 2$. For $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, \dots, k_m) \in (0, \infty)^{m+1}$ and $w \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(0, \pi)$ define

$$(2.9) \quad \|w\|_{\mathbf{k}, h} := \left(\sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ even}}}^m \frac{k_i}{4} \int_0^\pi |\mathcal{L}^{i/2} w|^2 h \, dt + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \text{ odd}}}^m k_i \int_0^\pi |(\mathcal{L}^{(i-1)/2} w)'|^2 h \, dt \right)^{1/2},$$

where \mathcal{L}^i denotes the i -fold composition of \mathcal{L} and $(\mathcal{L}^i w)' := \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(4 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right)^i (w) \right)$.

Note that the operator \mathcal{P}_g^m can be written as

$$\mathcal{P}_g^m = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i (-\Delta_g)^i$$

for some $a_i > 0$. Given $\mathbf{k} := (k_0, \dots, k_m) \in (0, \infty)^{m+1}$, we consider the operator

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}, g}^m := \sum_{i=0}^m k_i (-\Delta_g)^i,$$

and the norm

$$(2.10) \quad \|u\|_{\mathbf{k}, * } := \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^N} u \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}, g}^m u \, dV_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{S}^N).$$

Note that $\|\cdot\|_* = \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{a}, *}$ with $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \dots, a_m)$ as above. So $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{k}, *}$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_*$.

Lemma 2.4. For every $\mathbf{k} \in (0, \infty)^{m+1}$ and $w \in \mathcal{C}^\infty[0, \pi]$,

$$\|w \circ q\|_{\mathbf{k},*}^2 = \|w\|_{\mathbf{k},h}^2.$$

Proof. Set $u := w \circ q$. For $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{S}^N)$, observe that, if i is even, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^N} u_1 (-\Delta_g)^i u_2 dV_g = \int_{\mathbb{S}^N} \Delta_g^{i/2} u_1 \Delta_g^{i/2} u_2 dV_g,$$

while, if i is odd,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^N} u_1 (-\Delta_g)^i u_2 dV_g = \int_{\mathbb{S}^N} \langle \nabla_g \Delta_g^{(i-1)/2} u_1, \nabla_g \Delta_g^{(i-1)/2} u_2 \rangle_g dV_g.$$

Hence,

$$(2.11) \quad \|u\|_{\mathbf{k},*}^2 = \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ even}}}^m k_i \int_{\mathbb{S}^N} |\Delta^{i/2} u|^2 dV_g + \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ odd}}}^m k_i \int_{\mathbb{S}^N} |\nabla_g \Delta_g^{(i-1)/2} u|_g^2 dV_g.$$

Note that, for the function f defined in (2.6), the sets $M_+ := f^{-1}(1)$ and $M_- := f^{-1}(-1)$ are submanifolds of \mathbb{S}^N diffeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^{n_1-1} and \mathbb{S}^{n_2-1} respectively. As in [10], we have that

$$|\nabla_g f|_g^2 = 4(1 - f^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_g f = -2(N+1)f + 2(n_2 - n_1).$$

Then, by the definition of q ,

$$|\nabla_g q|_g^2 = 4 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_g q = \phi \circ q,$$

so

$$\Delta_g u = \Delta_g(w \circ q) = (w'' \circ q)|\nabla_g q|_g^2 + (w' \circ q)\Delta_g q = (\mathcal{L}w) \circ q, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{S}^N \setminus M_+ \cup M_-$$

and, for each $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$$\Delta_g^i u = (\mathcal{L}^i w) \circ q \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla_g \Delta_g^i u|_g^2 = 4|(\mathcal{L}^i w)'|^2 \circ q, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{S}^N \setminus M_+ \cup M_-.$$

By [10, Lemma 2.2],

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^N} |\Delta_g^i u|^2 dV_g = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\pi |\mathcal{L}^i w|^2 h dt \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^N} |\nabla_g \Delta_g^i u|_g^2 dV_g = \int_0^\pi |(\mathcal{L}^i w)'|^2 h dt.$$

Therefore,

$$\|u\|_{\mathbf{k},*}^2 = \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ even}}}^m \frac{k_i}{4} \int_0^\pi |\mathcal{L}^{i/2} w|^2 h dt + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \text{ odd}}}^m k_i \int_0^\pi |(\mathcal{L}^{(i-1)/2} w)'|^2 h dt = \|w\|_{\mathbf{k},h}^2,$$

as claimed. □

For $\varepsilon > 0$, let $H^m(\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon)$ denote the usual Sobolev space of order m in the interval $(\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon)$.

Lemma 2.5. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $\mathbf{k} = (k_0, \dots, k_m) \in (0, \infty)^{m+1}$ and $A > 0$, depending on ε , such that

$$\|w\|_{\mathbf{k},h} \geq A \|w\|_{H^m(\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon)} \quad \text{for every } w \in \mathcal{C}^\infty[0, \pi].$$

Proof. By Lemma A.2, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $\eta > 0$ and $\mu > 1$, depending on ε , such that, for $i \geq 2$ even

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{1}{4} |\mathcal{L}^{i/2} w|^2 h \geq \eta \left(|w^{(i)}|^2 - \mu \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |w^{(j)}|^2 \right) \quad \text{in } (\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon),$$

and for i odd

$$(2.13) \quad |(\mathcal{L}^{(i-1)/2}w)'|^2 h \geq \eta \left(|w^{(i)}|^2 - \mu \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |w^{(j)}|^2 \right) \quad \text{in } (\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon).$$

Let $k_0 := 1$, $k_i := (2\mu)^{-i}$ for $i \geq 1$, and $\mathbf{k} := (k_0, \dots, k_m) \in (0, \infty)^{m+1}$. By (2.12), (2.13),

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{\mathbf{k}, h}^2 &= \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \text{ even}}}^m \frac{k_i}{4} \int_0^\pi |\mathcal{L}^{i/2}w|^2 h \, dt + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \text{ odd}}}^m k_i \int_0^\pi |\mathcal{L}^{(i-1)/2}w'|^2 h \, dt \\ &\geq \eta \int_\varepsilon^{\pi-\varepsilon} \left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^m k_i |w^{(i)}|^2 \right) - \mu \left(\sum_{i=0}^m k_i \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |w^{(j)}|^2 \right) \right] dt \\ &= \eta \int_\varepsilon^{\pi-\varepsilon} \left[k_0 |w|^2 + \left(k_1 - \mu \sum_{i=2}^m k_i \right) |w^{(1)}|^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} \left(k_i - \mu \sum_{j=i+1}^m k_j \right) |w^{(i)}|^2 + k_m |w^{(m)}|^2 \right] dt \\ &= \eta \int_\varepsilon^{\pi-\varepsilon} \left[|w|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2\mu} - \sum_{i=2}^m \frac{1}{2^i \mu^{i-1}} \right) |w^{(1)}|^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{2^i \mu^i} - \sum_{j=i+1}^m \frac{1}{2^j \mu^{j-1}} \right) |w^{(i)}|^2 + (2\mu)^{-m} |w^{(m)}|^2 \right] dt. \end{aligned}$$

For $i = 1, \dots, m-1$,

$$A_i := \frac{1}{2^i \mu^i} - \sum_{j=i+1}^m \frac{1}{2^j \mu^{j-1}} = \frac{2^{-i}(\mu-1)\mu^{-i} + 2^{-m}\mu^{1-m}}{2\mu-1} > 0,$$

and the claim follows with $A := \eta \min\{A_1, \dots, A_{m-1}, (2\mu)^{-m}\} > 0$. \square

We have the following regularity result.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $Z := (\mathbb{S}^{n_1-1} \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times \mathbb{S}^{n_2-1}) \subset \mathbb{S}^N$. For every $u \in H_g^m(\mathbb{S}^N)^\Gamma$ there exists $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{C}^{m-1}(\mathbb{S}^N \setminus Z)^\Gamma$ such that $u = \tilde{u}$ a.e. in \mathbb{S}^N .*

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $\Theta_\varepsilon := q^{-1}(\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon)$. Then, by Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, there exists $C > 0$, depending on ε , such that $\|u\|_* \geq C\|w\|_{H^m(\varepsilon, \pi-\varepsilon)}$ because the norm defined in (2.10) is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_*$. Therefore, the map

$$H_g^m(\Theta_\varepsilon)^\Gamma \rightarrow H^m(\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon), \quad u \mapsto w, \quad \text{where } u = w \circ q,$$

is continuous. Sobolev's theorem yields a continuous embedding $H^m(\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{m-1}(\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon)$. Thus, for $u \in H_g^m(\mathbb{S}^N)^\Gamma$ and w given by $u = w \circ q$, there exists $w_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}^{m-1}(\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon)$ such that $w = w_\varepsilon$ a.e. in $(\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon)$. So $u_\varepsilon := w_\varepsilon \circ q \in \mathcal{C}^{m-1}(\Theta_\varepsilon)$ and $u = u_\varepsilon$ a.e. in Θ_ε . The function $\tilde{u}(p) := u_\varepsilon(p)$ if $p \in \Theta_\varepsilon$ is well defined and of class \mathcal{C}^{m-1} on $\mathbb{S}^N \setminus Z$, and it coincides a.e. with u . \square

Remark 2.7. Let $u \in H_g^m(\mathbb{S}^N)^\Gamma$. Since u is Γ -invariant, there is $w : [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $u = w \circ q$, with q as in (2.6). As a consequence, problem (1.7) can be seen as an ODE.

In particular, if $m = 1$, Lemma 2.4 yields that

$$\|u\|_*^2 = \int_0^\pi \left(|w'(t)|^2 + \frac{c_1}{4} w(t)^2 \right) h(t) \, dt,$$

where the constant c_1 is as in (2.2). As a consequence, $u \in H_g^m(\mathbb{S}^N)^\Gamma$ is a solution to the Yamabe equation (1.7) with $m = 1$ iff w solves the ODE

$$-(w'h)' + \frac{c_1}{4} w h = -w''h - w'h' + \frac{c_1}{4} w h = \frac{h}{4} |w|^{2_1^*-2} w \quad \text{in } (0, \pi).$$

A careful study of this ODE is performed in [10] to obtain existence of solutions to the Yamabe equation on the sphere with exactly ℓ -nodal regions for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. A similar analysis is much harder for $m \geq 2$, where the coefficients of the ODE are more complex. For instance, if $u \in H_g^2(\mathbb{S}^N)^\Gamma$ is a solution of (1.7) with $m = 2$ and $w : [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that $u = w \circ q$, then, by Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_*^2 &= \|w\|_{(a_0, a_1, 1), h}^2 = \frac{a_0}{4} \int_0^\pi |w|^2 h \, dt + a_1 \int_0^\pi |w'|^2 h \, dt + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\pi |4w'' + \phi(t)w'|^2 h \, dt \\ &= \int_0^\pi \left(4w''(t)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4}\phi(t)^2 + a_1 \right) w'(t)^2 + 2\phi(t)w'(t)w''(t) + \frac{a_0}{4}w(t)^2 \right) h(t) \, dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_0 = c_1 c_2$, $a_1 = c_1 + c_2$, and c_1, c_2 are given in (2.2). The associated fourth-order ODE for (1.7) with $m = 2$ is

$$4h w'''' + 8h' w''' + C_1 w'' + C_2 w' + \frac{a_0}{4} h w(t) = \frac{h}{4} |w|^{2^*_2-2} w \quad \text{in } (0, \pi),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(t) &:= 4h''(t) + 2\phi(t)h'(t) + 2h(t)\phi'(t) - \frac{1}{4}h(t)\phi(t)^2 - a_1 h(t), \\ C_2(t) &:= 4h'(t)\phi'(t) + 2\phi(t)h''(t) - \frac{1}{4}\phi(t)^2 h'(t) - a_1 h'(t) + 2h(t)\phi''(t) - \frac{1}{2}h(t)\phi(t)\phi'(t). \end{aligned}$$

3 The polyharmonic system

We fix $\Gamma := O(n_1) \times O(n_2)$ with $n_1, n_2 \geq 2$ and $n_1 + n_2 = N + 1$ and we study the system (1.5). Let $\mathcal{H} := (D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)^\Gamma)^\ell$ with the norm

$$\|\bar{u}\| = \|(u_1, \dots, u_\ell)\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^\ell \|u_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

and $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the functional given by

$$\mathcal{J}(\bar{u}) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^\ell \|u_i\|^2 - \frac{1}{2^*_m} \sum_{i=1}^\ell \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mu_i |u_i|^{2^*_m} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^\ell \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda_{ij} |u_j|^{\alpha_{ij}} |u_i|^{\beta_{ij}}.$$

This is a \mathcal{C}^1 -functional and, by the principle of symmetric criticality [19], its critical points are the solutions of (1.5). Observe that the fully nontrivial critical points of \mathcal{J} belong to the set

$$\mathcal{N} := \{\bar{u} \in \mathcal{H} : u_i \neq 0, \partial_i \mathcal{J}(\bar{u}) u_i = 0, \text{ for each } i = 1, \dots, \ell\}.$$

Note also that, for each i ,

$$\partial_i \mathcal{J}(\bar{u}) u_i = \|u_i\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mu_i |u_i|^{2^*_m} - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^\ell \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \lambda_{ij} \beta_{ij} |u_j|^{\alpha_{ij}} |u_i|^{\beta_{ij}}.$$

It is readily seen that

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{J}(\bar{u}) = \frac{m}{N} \|\bar{u}\|^2 \quad \text{if } \bar{u} \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *There exists $d_0 > 0$, independent of λ_{ij} , such that $\min_{i=1, \dots, \ell} \|u_i\| \geq d_0$ if $\bar{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_\ell) \in \mathcal{N}$. Thus, \mathcal{N} is a closed subset of \mathcal{H} and $\inf_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{J} > 0$.*

Proof. From $\lambda_{ij} < 0$ and Sobolev's inequality we obtain

$$\|u_i\|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mu_i |u_i|^{2_m^*} \leq C \|u_i\|^{2_m^*} \quad \text{for } \bar{u} \in \mathcal{N}, i = 1, \dots, \ell,$$

with $C > 0$. □

Definition 3.2. A fully nontrivial solution \bar{u} to the system (1.5) satisfying $\mathcal{J}(\bar{u}) = \inf_{\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{J}$ is called a least energy solution.

To establish the existence of fully nontrivial critical points of \mathcal{J} we follow the variational approach introduced in [5].

Given $\bar{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_\ell)$ and $\bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_\ell) \in (0, \infty)^\ell$, we write

$$\bar{s}\bar{u} := (s_1 u_1, \dots, s_\ell u_\ell).$$

Let $\mathcal{S} := \{u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)^\Gamma : \|u\| = 1\}$, $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{S}^\ell$, and define

$$\mathcal{U} := \{\bar{u} \in \mathcal{T} : \bar{s}\bar{u} \in \mathcal{N} \text{ for some } \bar{s} \in (0, \infty)^\ell\}.$$

Lemma 3.3. (i) Let $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{T}$. If there exists $\bar{s}_{\bar{u}} \in (0, \infty)^\ell$ such that $\bar{s}_{\bar{u}}\bar{u} \in \mathcal{N}$, then $\bar{s}_{\bar{u}}$ is unique and satisfies

$$\mathcal{J}(\bar{s}_{\bar{u}}\bar{u}) = \max_{\bar{s} \in (0, \infty)^\ell} \mathcal{J}(\bar{s}\bar{u}).$$

(ii) \mathcal{U} is a nonempty open subset of \mathcal{T} , and the map $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow (0, \infty)^\ell$ given by $\bar{u} \mapsto \bar{s}_{\bar{u}}$ is continuous.

(iii) The map $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ given by $\bar{u} \mapsto \bar{s}_{\bar{u}}\bar{u}$ is a homeomorphism.

(iv) If (\bar{u}_n) is a sequence in \mathcal{U} and $\bar{u}_n \rightarrow \bar{u} \in \partial\mathcal{U}$, then $|\bar{s}_{\bar{u}_n}| \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. The same arguments used in the proof of [5, Proposition 3.1] give the proof of this result. □

Define $\Psi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\Psi(\bar{u}) := \mathcal{J}(\bar{s}_{\bar{u}}\bar{u}).$$

According to Lemma 3.3, \mathcal{U} is an open subset of the smooth Hilbert submanifold \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{H} . If Ψ is of class \mathcal{C}^1 we write $\|\Psi'(\bar{u})\|_*$ for the the norm of $\Psi'(\bar{u})$ in the cotangent space $T_{\bar{u}}^*(\mathcal{T})$ to \mathcal{T} at \bar{u} , i.e.,

$$\|\Psi'(\bar{u})\|_* := \sup_{\substack{\bar{v} \in T_{\bar{u}}(\mathcal{U}) \\ \bar{v} \neq 0}} \frac{|\Psi'(\bar{u})\bar{v}|}{\|\bar{v}\|},$$

where $T_{\bar{u}}(\mathcal{U})$ is the tangent space to \mathcal{U} at \bar{u} .

Recall that a sequence (\bar{u}_n) in \mathcal{U} is called a $(PS)_c$ -sequence for Ψ if $\Psi(\bar{u}_n) \rightarrow c$ and $\|\Psi'(\bar{u}_n)\|_* \rightarrow 0$, and Ψ is said to satisfy the $(PS)_c$ -condition if every such sequence has a convergent subsequence. Similarly, a $(PS)_c$ -sequence for \mathcal{J} is a sequence (\bar{u}_n) in \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{J}(\bar{u}_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $\|\mathcal{J}'(\bar{u}_n)\|_{\mathcal{H}'} \rightarrow 0$, and \mathcal{J} satisfies the $(PS)_c$ -condition if any such sequence has a convergent subsequence. Here \mathcal{H}' denotes, as usual, the dual space of \mathcal{H} .

Lemma 3.4. (i) $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{R})$,

$$\Psi'(\bar{u})\bar{v} = \mathcal{J}'(\bar{s}_{\bar{u}}\bar{u})[\bar{s}_{\bar{u}}\bar{v}] \quad \text{for all } \bar{u} \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } \bar{v} \in T_{\bar{u}}(\mathcal{U}),$$

and there exists $d_0 > 0$ such that

$$d_0 \|\mathcal{J}'(\bar{s}_{\bar{u}}\bar{u})\|_{\mathcal{H}'} \leq \|\Psi'(\bar{u})\|_* \leq |\bar{s}_{\bar{u}}|_\infty \|\mathcal{J}'(\bar{s}_{\bar{u}}\bar{u})\|_{\mathcal{H}'} \quad \text{for all } \bar{u} \in \mathcal{U},$$

where $|\bar{s}|_\infty = \max\{|s_1|, \dots, |s_q|\}$ if $\bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_q)$.

(ii) If (\bar{u}_n) is a $(PS)_c$ -sequence for Ψ , then $(\bar{s}_{\bar{u}_n}\bar{u}_n)$ is a $(PS)_c$ -sequence for \mathcal{J} .

(iii) \bar{u} is a critical point of Ψ if and only if $\bar{s}_{\bar{u}}\bar{u}$ is a critical point of \mathcal{J} if and only if $\bar{s}_{\bar{u}}\bar{u}$ is a fully nontrivial solution of (1.5).

(iv) If (\bar{u}_n) is a sequence in \mathcal{U} and $\bar{u}_n \rightarrow \bar{u} \in \partial\mathcal{U}$, then $|\Psi(\bar{u}_n)| \rightarrow \infty$.

(v) $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{U}$ if and only if $-\bar{u} \in \mathcal{U}$, and $\Psi(\bar{u}) = \Psi(-\bar{u})$.

Proof. These statements are proved arguing exactly as in [5, Theorem 3.3]. \square

Lemma 3.5. Ψ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ -condition for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let (\bar{v}_n) be a $(PS)_c$ -sequence for \mathcal{J} with $\bar{v}_n \in \mathcal{N}$. Then

$$\frac{m}{N}\|\bar{v}_n\|^2 = \mathcal{J}(\bar{v}_n) - \frac{1}{2_m^*}\mathcal{J}'(\bar{v}_n)\bar{v}_n \leq c(1 + \|\bar{v}_n\|)$$

for some positive constant c not depending on \bar{v}_n , so the sequence is bounded. A standard argument using Lemma 2.3, as in [4, Proposition 3.6], shows that (\bar{v}_n) contains a convergent subsequence. The statement of the lemma follows from Lemmas 3.4(ii) and 3.3(iii). \square

Given a nonempty subset \mathcal{Z} of \mathcal{T} such that $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{Z}$ if and only if $-\bar{u} \in \mathcal{Z}$, the *genus* of \mathcal{Z} , denoted $\text{genus}(\mathcal{Z})$, is the smallest integer $k \geq 1$ such that there exists an odd continuous function $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{k-1}$ into the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{k-1} in \mathbb{R}^k . If no such k exists, we define $\text{genus}(\mathcal{Z}) = \infty$; finally, we set $\text{genus}(\emptyset) = 0$.

Lemma 3.6. $\text{genus}(\mathcal{U}) = \infty$.

Proof. As in [4, Lemma 3.2] one constructs Γ -invariant functions in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with disjoint supports. Then, arguing as in [5, Lemma 4.5], one shows that $\text{genus}(\mathcal{U}) = \infty$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Lemma 3.4(iv) implies that \mathcal{U} is positively invariant under the negative pseudogradient flow of Ψ , so the usual deformation lemma holds true for Ψ , see e.g. [22, Section II.3] or [24, Section 5.3]. As Ψ satisfies the $(PS)_c$ -condition for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$, standard variational arguments show that Ψ attains its minimum on \mathcal{U} at some \bar{u} . By Lemma 3.4(iii) and the principle of symmetric criticality, $\bar{s}_{\bar{u}}\bar{u}$ is a least energy fully nontrivial solution of the system (1.5). Moreover, as Ψ is even and $\text{genus}(\mathcal{U}) = \infty$, Ψ has an unbounded sequence of critical values. Since $\Psi(\bar{u}) = \mathcal{J}(\bar{s}_{\bar{u}}\bar{u}) = \frac{m}{N}\|\bar{s}_{\bar{u}}\bar{u}\|^2$ by (3.1), the system (1.5) has an unbounded sequence of fully nontrivial solutions. \square

4 Segregation and optimal partitions

Let Γ be as before and let Ω be a Γ -invariant open subset of \mathbb{R}^N . The solutions to the problem (1.3) are the critical points of the energy functional $J_\Omega : D_0^{m,2}(\Omega)^\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$J_\Omega(v) := \frac{1}{2}\|v\|^2 - \frac{1}{2_m^*} \int_\Omega |v|^{2_m^*}.$$

The nontrivial ones belong to the Nehari manifold

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_\Omega &:= \{v \in D_0^{m,2}(\Omega)^\Gamma : v \neq 0, J'_\Omega(v)v = 0\} \\ &= \{v \in D_0^{m,2}(\Omega)^\Gamma : v \neq 0, \|v\|^2 = \int_\Omega |v|^{2_m^*}\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a closed submanifold of $D_0^{m,2}(\Omega)^\Gamma$ of class \mathcal{C}^2 and a natural constraint for J_Ω . A minimizer for J_Ω on \mathcal{M}_Ω is called a *least energy Γ -invariant solution to (1.3) in Ω* . By standard arguments, using Lemma 2.3, one sees that (1.3) does have a least energy solution. So the quantity c_Ω^Γ defined in the introduction is

$$c_\Omega^\Gamma = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{M}_\Omega} J_\Omega(u).$$

We begin by establishing some properties of optimal partitions. Let

$$\tilde{q} := q \circ \sigma^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \pi],$$

where σ is the stereographic projection and q is the Γ -orbit map of \mathbb{S}^N defined in (2.6). So, writing $\mathbb{R}^{N+1} = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$, one has that $\tilde{q}^{-1}(0) = \mathbb{S}^{n_1-1} \times \{0\}$ and $\tilde{q}^{-1}(\pi) = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2-1}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\ell \geq 2$ and $\{\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_\ell\} \in \mathcal{P}_\ell^\Gamma$ be a (Γ, ℓ) -optimal partition for problem (2.5). Then, the following statements hold true.*

(i) *There exist $a_1, \dots, a_{\ell-1} \in (0, \pi)$ such that*

$$(0, \pi) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \tilde{q}(\Theta_i) = \{a_1, \dots, a_{\ell-1}\}.$$

Therefore, after reordering,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &:= \Theta_1 \cup (\mathbb{S}^{n_1-1} \times \{0\}) = \tilde{q}^{-1}[0, a_1), \\ \Omega_i &:= \Theta_i = \tilde{q}^{-1}(a_{i-1}, a_i) \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, \ell-1, \\ \Omega_\ell &:= \Theta_\ell \cup (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2-1}) = \tilde{q}^{-1}(a_{\ell-1}, \pi]. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *$\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_\ell$ are smooth and connected, they satisfy items (c₁) and (c₂) of Theorem 1.2, $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{\ell-1}$ are bounded, Ω_ℓ is unbounded, $\overline{\Omega_1 \cup \dots \cup \Omega_\ell} = \mathbb{R}^N$, and $\{\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_\ell\} \in \mathcal{P}_\ell^\Gamma$ is a (Γ, ℓ) -optimal partition for problem (2.5).*

Proof. (i) : Let $a, b, c \in (0, \pi)$ with $a < b < c$ and set $\Lambda_1 := \tilde{q}^{-1}(a, b)$, $\Lambda_2 := \tilde{q}^{-1}(b, c)$, $\Lambda = \tilde{q}^{-1}(a, c)$. As $\Lambda_i \subset \Lambda$, we have that $c_\Lambda^\Gamma \leq \min\{c_{\Lambda_1}^\Gamma, c_{\Lambda_2}^\Gamma\}$. We claim that

$$c_\Lambda^\Gamma < \min\{c_{\Lambda_1}^\Gamma, c_{\Lambda_2}^\Gamma\}.$$

Indeed, if $c_\Lambda^\Gamma = c_{\Lambda_1}^\Gamma$ then, taking a least energy Γ -invariant solution to (1.3) in Λ_1 and extending it by 0 in $\Lambda \setminus \Lambda_1$ we obtain a least energy Γ -invariant solution u to (1.3) in Λ . Then, $u \in \mathcal{C}^{2m}(\Lambda)$ by [17] and it vanishes in $\Lambda \setminus \Lambda_1$, contradicting the unique continuation principle [16, 20].

Therefore, if $\{\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_\ell\} \in \mathcal{P}_\ell^\Gamma$ is a (Γ, ℓ) -optimal partition for problem (2.5), then $(0, \pi) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \tilde{q}(\Theta_i)$ must consist of precisely $\ell - 1$ points.

(ii) : Clearly, $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_\ell$ are smooth and connected and satisfy statements (c₁) and (c₂) of Theorem 1.2. Moreover, $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{\ell-1}$ are bounded, Ω_ℓ is unbounded, $\mathbb{R}^N = \overline{\Omega_1 \cup \dots \cup \Omega_\ell}$, and $\{\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_\ell\} \in \mathcal{P}_\ell^\Gamma$.

As $\Theta_i \subset \Omega_i$ we have that $c_{\Omega_i}^\Gamma \leq c_{\Theta_i}^\Gamma$ for all i . So, as $\{\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_\ell\}$ is a (Γ, ℓ) -optimal partition, we conclude that $\{\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_\ell\}$ is a (Γ, ℓ) -optimal partition. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Fix $\mu_i = 1$ in (1.5) for each $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, and let $\lambda_{i,j,k} \rightarrow -\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. To highlight the role of $\lambda_{i,j,k}$, we write \mathcal{J}_k and \mathcal{N}_k for the functional and the set associated to the system (1.5) with $\lambda_{i,j}$ replaced by $\lambda_{i,j,k}$, introduced in Section 3. Let $\bar{u}_k = (u_{k,1}, \dots, u_{k,\ell}) \in \mathcal{N}_k$ be such that

$$c_k^\Gamma := \inf_{\mathcal{N}_k} \mathcal{J}_k = \mathcal{J}_k(\bar{u}_k) = \frac{m}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \|u_{k,i}\|^2.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{N}_0 := \{(v_1, \dots, v_\ell) \in \mathcal{H} : v_i \neq 0, \|v_i\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_i|^{2^*_m}, \text{ and } v_i v_j = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \text{ if } i \neq j\}.$$

Then, $\mathcal{N}_0 \subset \mathcal{N}_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and, therefore,

$$0 < c_k^\Gamma \leq c_0^\Gamma := \inf \left\{ \frac{m}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \|v_i\|^2 : (v_1, \dots, v_\ell) \in \mathcal{N}_0 \right\} < \infty.$$

So, after passing to a subsequence, using Lemma 2.3, we get that $u_{k,i} \rightharpoonup u_{\infty,i}$ weakly in $D_0^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)^\Gamma$, $u_{k,i} \rightarrow u_{\infty,i}$ strongly in $L^{2^*_m}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and $u_{k,i} \rightarrow u_{\infty,i}$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N for each $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. Moreover, as $\partial_i \mathcal{J}_k(\bar{u}_k)[u_{k,i}] = 0$, we have for each $j \neq i$,

$$0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \beta_{ij} |u_{k,j}|^{\alpha_{ij}} |u_{k,i}|^{\beta_{ij}} \leq \frac{1}{-\lambda_{ij,k}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_{k,i}|^{2^*_m} \leq \frac{C}{-\lambda_{ij,k}}.$$

Then, Fatou's lemma yields

$$0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_{\infty,j}|^{\alpha_{ij}} |u_{\infty,i}|^{\beta_{ij}} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_{k,j}|^{\alpha_{ij}} |u_{k,i}|^{\beta_{ij}} = 0.$$

Hence, $u_{\infty,j} u_{\infty,i} = 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . By Lemma 3.1,

$$0 < d_0 \leq \|u_{k,i}\|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_{k,i}|^{2^*_m} \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1, \dots, \ell,$$

and, as $u_{k,i} \rightarrow u_{\infty,i}$ strongly in $L^{2^*_m}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u_{k,i} \rightharpoonup u_{\infty,i}$ weakly in $D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we get

$$(4.1) \quad 0 < \|u_{\infty,i}\|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_{\infty,i}|^{2^*_m} \quad \text{for every } i = 1, \dots, \ell.$$

Since $u_{\infty,i} \neq 0$, there is a unique $t_i \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\|t_i u_{\infty,i}\|_0^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |t_i u_{\infty,i}|^{2^*_m}$. So $(t_1 u_{\infty,1}, \dots, t_\ell u_{\infty,\ell}) \in \mathcal{N}_0$. The inequality (4.1) implies that $t_i \in (0, 1]$. Therefore,

$$c_0^\Gamma \leq \frac{m}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \|t_i u_{\infty,i}\|^2 \leq \frac{m}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \|u_{\infty,i}\|^2 \leq \frac{m}{N} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \|u_{k,i}\|^2 = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} c_k^\Gamma \leq c_0^\Gamma.$$

It follows that $u_{k,i} \rightarrow u_{\infty,i}$ strongly in $D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)^\Gamma$ and $t_i = 1$, yielding

$$(4.2) \quad \|u_{\infty,i}\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_{\infty,i}|^{2^*_m}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{m}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \|u_{\infty,i}\|^2 = c_0^\Gamma.$$

Set $Y_1 := \mathbb{S}^{n_1-1} \times \{0\}$, $Y_2 := \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2-1}$, and $Y_0 := Y_1 \cup Y_2$. Proposition 2.6, together with Lemma 2.4, imply that $u_{\infty,i}|_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus Y_0} \in \mathcal{C}^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus Y_0)$. Consequently,

$$\Theta_i := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus Y_0 : u_{\infty,i}(x) \neq 0\}$$

is a Γ -invariant nonempty open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and, as $u_{\infty,i} u_{\infty,j} = 0$, we have that $\Theta_i \cap \Theta_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. We set $\Omega_i := \text{int}(\overline{\Theta_i})$. Then, every Ω_i is a nonempty Γ -invariant open smooth subset of \mathbb{R}^N , $\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$, and $u_{\infty,i}(x) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_i$. By Lemma A.1, $u_{\infty,i} \in D_0^{1,2}(\Omega_i)^\Gamma$ and, by (4.2), $u_{\infty,i} \in \mathcal{M}_{\Omega_i}$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_{\Omega_i}^\Gamma \leq \frac{m}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \|u_{\infty,i}\|^2 = c_0^\Gamma \leq \inf_{(\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_\ell) \in \mathcal{P}_\ell^\Gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_{\Phi_i}^\Gamma.$$

This shows that $\{\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_\ell\}$ is a (Γ, ℓ) -optimal partition for the system (1.5) and that $u_{\infty,i}$ is a least energy Γ -invariant solution to (1.3) in Ω_i . Thus, by [17], $u_{\infty,i} \in \mathcal{C}^{2m,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega_i})$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. This concludes the proof of statements (a) and (b). Statement (c) follows from Lemma 4.1. \square

A Auxiliary results

Lemma A.1. *Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N of class C^0 . Then*

$$\widetilde{D}_0^{m,2}(\Omega) := \{v \in D^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) : v = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega\} = D_0^{m,2}(\Omega).$$

Proof. That $D_0^{m,2}(\Omega) \subset \widetilde{D}_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$ is clear.

Consider the space $\widetilde{H}_0^m(\Omega) := \{u \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^N) : u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega\}$ endowed with the standard Sobolev norm. If Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N of class C^0 , then $\widetilde{H}_0^m(\Omega) = H_0^m(\Omega)$, see [14, Thm 1.4.2.2].

If Ω is bounded, the norm induced by the scalar product (1.2) is equivalent to the standard Sobolev norm of $H_0^m(\Omega)$. Therefore, $\widetilde{D}_0^{m,2}(\Omega) = \widetilde{H}_0^m(\Omega) = H_0^m(\Omega) = D_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$.

If Ω is unbounded we take $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $\varphi = 1$ in $B_1(0)$ and $\varphi = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_2(0)$ and set $\varphi_n(x) := \varphi(\frac{x}{n})$. If $u \in \widetilde{D}_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$, then $\varphi_n u$ vanishes in the complement of $\Omega_n := \Omega \cap B_{2n}(0)$ which is of class C^0 . So, by the previous case, $\varphi_n u \in D_0^{m,2}(\Omega_n) \subset D_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is not hard to see that $(\varphi_n u)$ is bounded in $D_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$. But then $\varphi_n u \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $D_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$ and, since this space is weakly closed, we conclude that $u \in D_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$. \square

Lemma A.2. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $\mu = \mu(\varepsilon, i) > 1$ and $\eta = \eta(\varepsilon, i) > 0$ such that, for every $w \in C^\infty(0, \pi)$,*

$$(A.1) \quad \frac{1}{4} |\mathcal{L}^{i/2} w|^2 h \geq \eta \left(|w^{(i)}|^2 - \mu \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |w^{(j)}|^2 \right) \quad \text{in } (\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon) \text{ for } i \text{ even,}$$

and

$$(A.2) \quad |(\mathcal{L}^{(i-1)/2} w)'|^2 h \geq \eta \left(|w^{(i)}|^2 - \mu \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |w^{(j)}|^2 \right) \quad \text{in } (\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon) \text{ for } i \text{ odd.}$$

Proof. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be even and recall that $\mathcal{L}^{i/2} w = \left(4 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \phi(t) \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{i/2} w$, where $\phi \in C^\infty(0, \pi)$ is given by (2.7). Then, $\mathcal{L}^{i/2} w = \left(4 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \phi(t) \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{i/2} w = 4^{i/2} w^{(i)} + R_i$, where, by the binomial theorem,

$$R_i := \sum_{k=0}^{i/2-1} \binom{i/2}{k} 4^k \left(\phi(t) \frac{d}{dt}\right)^{i/2-k} w^{(2k)}.$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\phi \in C^\infty(0, \pi)$, there is $\mu_1 = \mu_1(\varepsilon, i) > 0$ such that $|R_i| \leq \mu_1 \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} |w^{(k)}|$ in $(\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon)$. Using that $ab \leq \frac{1}{2}(a^2 + b^2)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{L}^{i/2} w|^2 &= 4^i |w^{(i)}|^2 + 2^{i+1} w^{(i)} R_i + |R_i|^2 \geq 4^i w^{(i)} - 2^i (|w^{(i)}|^2 + |R_i|^2) = (4^i - 2^i) |w^{(i)}|^2 - 2^i |R_i|^2 \\ &\geq (4^i - 2^i) |w^{(i)}|^2 - 2^i \mu_1^2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} |w^{(k)}| \right)^2 \geq (4^i - 2^i) |w^{(i)}|^2 - \mu_2 \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} |w^{(k)}|^2 \end{aligned}$$

in $(\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon)$ for some $\mu_2 = \mu_2(\varepsilon, i) > 0$. As a consequence, since $h \in C^\infty(0, \pi)$ is positive (see (2.8)),

$$\frac{1}{4} |\mathcal{L}^{i/2} w|^2 h \geq (4^{i-1} - 2^{i-2}) \min_{[\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon]} h \left(|w^{(i)}|^2 - \mu \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |w^{(j)}|^2 \right) \quad \text{in } (\varepsilon, \pi - \varepsilon),$$

for some $\mu = \mu(\varepsilon, i) > 1$ and (A.1) follows. Inequality (A.2) for i odd follows similarly. \square

References

- [1] T. Bartsch, M. Schneider, T. Weth. Multiple solutions of a critical polyharmonic equation. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 571 (2004), 131–143.
- [2] H. Baum, A. Juhl. *Conformal Differential Geometry: Q-Curvature and Conformal Holonomy*. Oberwolfach Seminars 40, 2010, Birkhäuser Verlag AG Basel-Boston-Berlin.
- [3] T. Bartsch, Y. Guo. Existence and nonexistence results for critical growth polyharmonic elliptic systems. *J. Differential Equations* 220 (2006), 531–543.
- [4] M. Clapp, A. Pistoia. Existence and phase separation of entire solutions to a pure critical competitive elliptic system. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 57 (2018), no. 1, Art. 23, 20 pp.
- [5] M. Clapp, A. Szulkin. A simple variational approach to weakly coupled competitive elliptic systems. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.* 26:26 (2019), 21 pp.
- [6] M. Clapp, A. Saldaña, A. Szulkin. Phase separation, optimal partitions, and nodal solutions to the Yamabe equation on the sphere. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2021, Issue 5 (2021), 3633–3652.
- [7] M. Conti, S. Terracini, G. Verzini. Nehari’s problem and competing species systems. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 19 (2002), no. 6, 871–888.
- [8] W. Y. Ding. On a conformally invariant elliptic equation on \mathbb{R}^n . *Comm. Math. Phys.* 107 (1986), no. 2, 331–335.
- [9] Z. Djadi, E. Hebey, M. Ledoux. Paneitz-type operators and applications. *Duke Math. J.* 104 No. 1 (2000), 129–169.
- [10] J. C. Fernández, J. Petean. Low energy nodal solutions to the Yamabe equation. *J. Differential Equations* 268 (11), 6576–6597.
- [11] C. Fefferman, C. R. Graham. *The ambient metric*. *Annals of Mathematics Studies* 178, 2011.
- [12] F. Gazzola, H. Grunau, G. Sweers. *Polyharmonic boundary value problems. Positivity preserving and nonlinear higher order elliptic equations in bounded domains*. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1991. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [13] S. Goyal, A. Rani. Polyharmonic systems involving critical nonlinearities with sign-changing weight functions. *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 2020, No. 119 (2020), 1–25.
- [14] P. Grisvard. *Elliptic problems in nonsmooth domains*. *Classics in Applied Mathematics* 69. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2011.
- [15] J. M. Lee, T. H. Parker. The Yamabe problem. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 17(1) (1987), 37–91.
- [16] C. Lin. Strong unique continuation for m -th powers of a Laplacian operator with singular coefficients. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 135 (2007), 569–578.
- [17] S. Luckhaus. Existence and regularity of weak solutions to the Dirichlet problem for semilinear elliptic systems of higher order. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 306 (1979), 192–207.
- [18] M. Montenegro. On nontrivial solutions of critical polyharmonic elliptic systems. *J. Differential Equations* 247 (2009), 906–916.
- [19] R.S. Palais. The principle of symmetric criticality. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 69 (1979), 19–30.
- [20] M. H. Protter. Unique continuation for elliptic equations. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 95 (1960), 81–91.

- [21] F. Robert. Admissible Q-curvatures under isometries for the conformal GJMS operators. *Contemp. Math.* 540 (2011), 241-259.
- [22] M. Struwe. Variational methods. Applications to nonlinear partial differential equations and Hamiltonian systems. Second edition. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 34*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [23] M. Tarulli. H^2 -scattering for Systems of Weakly Coupled Fourth-order NLS Equations in Low Space Dimensions. *Potential Anal.* 51, (2019), 291—313.
- [24] M. Willem. Minimax theorems. *Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications 24*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.
- [25] N. Soave, H. Tavares, S. Terracini, A. Zilio. Hölder bounds and regularity of emerging free boundaries for strongly competing Schrödinger equations with nontrivial grouping. *Nonlinear Anal.* 138 (2016), 388-427.

Mónica Clapp

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO
 Circuito Exterior, Ciudad Universitaria, 04510 Coyoacán, Ciudad de México, Mexico
E-mail address: monica.clapp@im.unam.mx

Juan Carlos Fernández

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO
 Circuito Exterior, Ciudad Universitaria, 04510 Coyoacán, Ciudad de México, Mexico
E-mail address: jcfmor@im.unam.mx

Alberto Saldaña

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO
 Circuito Exterior, Ciudad Universitaria, 04510 Coyoacán, Ciudad de México, Mexico
E-mail address: alberto.saldana@im.unam.mx