

TRACES ON IWAHORI-HECKE ALGEBRAS AND COUNTING RATIONAL POINTS

G. LUSZTIG

INTRODUCTION

0.1. Let W be a Weyl group with length function $w \mapsto |w|$ and let \mathcal{H} be the Iwahori-Hecke algebra over $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{q})$ (\mathbf{q} is an indeterminate) attached to W . Recall that \mathcal{H} is the $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{q})$ -vector space with basis $\{T_w; w \in W\}$ with multiplication given by $T_w T_{w'} = T_{ww'}$ if $w, w' \in W$ satisfy $|ww'| = |w| + |w'|$ and $(T_t - \mathbf{q})(T_t + 1) = 0$ if $t \in W, |t| = 1$. For $w \in W$ let $\tau(w, \mathbf{q}) \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{q}]$ be the trace of the linear map $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ given by $h \mapsto T_w h$. The “trace polynomials” $\tau(w, \mathbf{q})$ appear in relation with counting \mathbf{F}_q -rational points in certain algebraic varieties; three apparitions are in [L78],[L21],[L85], see 0.2(a), 0.3(a), 0.4(a); the fourth one is new, see 0.5. (We denote by \mathbf{F}_q the subfield with q elements of an algebraic closure \mathbf{k} of \mathbf{F}_p ; q is a power of a prime number p .)

0.2. Let G be a connected, reductive group over \mathbf{k} whose Weyl group is W . We fix a split \mathbf{F}_p -rational structure on G with Frobenius map $F : G \rightarrow G$. Let \mathcal{B} the variety of Borel subgroups of G . Then W can be viewed as the set of orbits on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ for the diagonal conjugation G -action. For any $w \in W$ let $\mathcal{O}_w \subset \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ be the corresponding G -orbit. Let $F : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be the map induced by $F : G \rightarrow G$. For any $B \in \mathcal{B}$ let U_B be the unipotent radical of B . We fix $B^+ \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $F(B^+) = B^+$. We set $\nu = \dim \mathcal{B}$. Let r be the rank of G .

For $w \in W, s \geq 1$ let $X_{w,s} = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; (B, F^s(B)) \in \mathcal{O}_w\}$ (see [DL]). This is a subvariety of \mathcal{B} on which G^{F^s} acts by conjugation; hence there is an induced action of G^{F^s} on the $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -cohomology space $H_c^i(X_{w,s})$ so that the virtual representation $R_{w,s}^1 = \sum_i (-1)^i H_c^i(X_{w,s})$ of G^{F^s} is defined. (For a set Z and a map $F : Z \rightarrow Z$ we write $Z^F = \{z \in Z; F(z) = z\}$.)

Let $s' \geq 1$. The following result is obtained from of [L78, 3.8] with $w' = 1$.

(a) *The number of $\mathbf{F}_{p^{ss'}}$ -rational points of $(B^+)^{F^s} \backslash X_{w,s}$ (where $(B^+)^{F^s}$ acts by conjugation) is equal to $\tau(w, p^{ss'})$. Moreover, we have $H_c^i((B^+)^{F^s} \backslash X_{w,s})_j = 0$ for j odd and $\sum_{i,j} (-1)^i \dim H_c^i((B^+)^{F^s} \backslash X_{w,s})_j \mathbf{q}^{j/2} = \tau(w, \mathbf{q})$. (Here $()_j$ is the part of pure weight j .)*

Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1855773.

0.3. For $w \in W, g \in G$ let $Y_{g,w} = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; (B, gBg^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_w\}$. Let $s \geq 1$ and let $g \in (B^+)^{F^s}$ be regular semisimple in G . Then $Y_{g,w}$ is defined over \mathbf{F}_{p^s} . The following result can be found in [L21, 0.7(a), 0.8(a)], see also [L79, 1.2].

(a) *The number of \mathbf{F}_{p^s} -rational points of $Y_{g,w}$ is equal to $\tau(w, p^s)$. Moreover, we have $H_c^i(Y_{g,w})_j = 0$ for j odd and $\sum_{i,j} (-1)^i \dim H_c^i(Y_{g,w})_j \mathbf{q}^{j/2} = \tau(w, \mathbf{q})$.*

0.4. Let $w \in W, s \geq 1$. Let

$$(a) \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w = \{(g, B, B') \in G \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}; g \in B, (B', gB'g^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_w\}.$$

This variety is naturally defined over \mathbf{F}_{p^s} . The following statement is a special case of [L85, 13.5] with $\mathcal{L} = \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l, w' = 1$:

$$(b) \quad (\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w)^{F^s} = \sharp(G^{F^s})\tau(w, p^s).$$

0.5. Let \mathcal{T} be “the maximal torus” of G identified with B/U_B for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Let $w \in W$. We define a morphism $\pi : \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ by $\pi(g, B, B') = \text{image of } g \text{ in } B/U_B = \mathcal{T}$. For $\delta \in \mathcal{T}$ we set

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w^\delta = \pi^{-1}(\delta) = \{(g, B, B') \in \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w; g \in B_\delta\}$$

where B_δ is the inverse image under $B \rightarrow B/U_B$ of the element $\delta_B \in B/U_B$ defined by δ . The following refinement of 0.4(b) will be proved in 1.2.

$$(a) \text{ If } s \geq 1 \text{ and } \delta \in \mathcal{T}^{F^s} \text{ then } \sharp((\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w^\delta)^{F^s}) = p^{\nu_s} \sharp(\mathcal{B}^{F^s})\tau(w, p^s).$$

0.6. Specializing $\delta = 1$, we have

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w^1 = \{(g, B, B') \in \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w; g \text{ unipotent}\}.$$

The variety $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w^1$ is closely related to the main theme of [LY] (see below); it has been considered independently by M.-T. Trinh.

Let $\text{Irr}(W)$ be the set of irreducible representations of W (up to isomorphism). For $w \in W$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} B^+wB^+ &= \{g \in G; (B^+, gB^+g^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_w\}, \\ (B^+wB^+)^1 &= \{g \in B^+wB^+; g \text{ unipotent}\}. \end{aligned}$$

In [LY, 1.3(b)] for any $w \in W, s \geq 1$ and $E \in \text{Irr}(W)$ we have defined

$$(a) \quad \zeta_{w,p^s,E} = \sum_{g \in ((B^+wB^+)^1)^{F^s}} \sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(F^s, H^i(\mathcal{B}_g)_E).$$

Here $\mathcal{B}_g = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; g \in B\}$ and $H^i(\mathcal{B}_g)_E = \text{Hom}_W(E, H^i(\mathcal{B}_g))$ where the Springer action of W on $H^i(\mathcal{B}_g)$ is used. We have $\zeta_{w,p^s,E} \in \mathbf{Z}$, see [LY, 1.3(c)]. Let

$$(\widetilde{B^+wB^+})^1 = \{(g, B) \in (B^+wB^+)^1 \times \mathcal{B}; g \in B\}.$$

From the definition we see that

$$(b) \#((\widetilde{B^+ w B^+})^1)^{F^s} = \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}(W)} \zeta_{w, p^s; E} \dim(E).$$

We have

$$(c) \#((\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w^1)^{F^s}) = \#(\mathcal{B}^{F^s}) \#((\widetilde{B^+ w B^+})^1)^{F^s} = \#(\mathcal{B}^{F^s}) \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}(W)} \zeta_{w, p^s; E} \dim(E).$$

Indeed, we have a fibration $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, $(g, B, B') \mapsto B'$ whose fibre at B^+ is $(\widetilde{B^+ w B^+})^1$.

Now the numbers $\zeta_{w, p^s; E}$ are described in [LY] so that (c) gives a way to compute $\#((\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w^1)^{F^s})$ other than (and more complicated than) that given by 0.5(a).

0.7. Let $w \in W$. The variety

$$\mathfrak{B}_w = \{(g, B') \in G \times \mathcal{B}; (B', gB'g^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_w\}$$

appeared in the theory of character sheaves. There is a unique map $\bar{\pi} : \mathfrak{B}_w \rightarrow W \setminus \mathcal{T}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{T} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{B}_w & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} & W \setminus \mathcal{T} \end{array}$$

is commutative. (Here the left vertical map is $(g, B, B') \mapsto (g, B')$ and the right vertical map is the obvious one.) For $\delta \in \mathcal{T}$ we denote by (δ) the W -orbit of δ and we set $\mathfrak{B}_w^{(\delta)} = \bar{\pi}^{-1}((\delta))$. In particular we have

$$\mathfrak{B}_w^{(1)} = \{(g, B') \in G \times \mathcal{B}; (B', gB'g^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_w, g \text{ unipotent}\}.$$

This variety is studied in §2.

0.8. In §3 we show that, in the case where $w \in W$ is elliptic and of minimal length in its conjugacy class, the varieties $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w, \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w^\delta$ (with $\delta \in \mathcal{T}$) are smooth, irreducible. In §4 we study the space of orbits of the natural G -action on $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w, \mathfrak{B}_w$ with w as above. In §5 we prove a symmetry property of the polynomial $\tau(w, \mathbf{q})$.

0.9. I thank Zhiwei Yun, Minh-Tam Trinh and Xuhua He for useful comments.

1. COUNTING RATIONAL POINTS OF $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w^1$

1.1. In this section we fix $s \geq 1$. Let \mathcal{H}_s be the $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ -algebra obtained by replacing $\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{Q}[\mathbf{q}]$ by $p^s, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ in the definition of \mathcal{H} in 0.1. It is known that \mathcal{H}_s is canonically isomorphic to the group algebra $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[W]$ provided a square root of p is chosen. Hence any $E \in \text{Irr}(W)$ can be regarded as an irreducible \mathcal{H}_s -module E_s . Let \mathcal{F} be the vector space of functions $\mathcal{B}^{F^s} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$. This is naturally a G^{F^s} -module and we can identify $\mathcal{H}_s = \text{End}_{G^{F^s}} \mathcal{F}$. For $E \in \text{Irr}(W)$ we set $\mathcal{F}_{E,s} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_s}(E_s, \mathcal{F})$. This is naturally an irreducible representation of G^{F^s} .

1.2. We fix $w \in W$ and $\delta \in \mathcal{T}^{F^s}$. Let $N = \sharp((\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w^\delta)^{F^s})$.

We have $N = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}^{F^s}} \sum_{g \in (B_\delta)^{F^s}} \sharp(Y_{g,w}^{F^s})$. By the argument in [L11, 1.3(a)] for any $g \in G^{F^s}$ we have

$$(a) \quad \sharp(Y_{g,w}^{F^s}) = \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}(W)} \text{tr}(T_w, E_s) \text{tr}(g, \mathcal{F}_{E,s}).$$

It follows that

$$N = \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}^{F^s}} \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}(W)} \text{tr}(T_w, E_s) \sum_{g \in (B_\delta)^{F^s}} \text{tr}(g, \mathcal{F}_{E,s}).$$

For $B \in \mathcal{B}^{F^s}$ let

$$\mathcal{F}_{E,s}^{U_B^{F^s}} = \{\xi \in \mathcal{F}_{E,s}; u\xi = \xi \quad \forall u \in U_B^{F^s}\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{F}_{E,s}^{U_B^{F^s}}$ is stable under the action of B^{F^s} and is a direct sum of one dimensional representations of B^{F^s} (on which $U_B^{F^s}$ acts trivially. If λ is one of these one dimensional representations we see that $\mathcal{F}_{E,s}$ appears in $\text{Ind}_{B^{F^s}}^{G^{F^s}}(\lambda)$ and also in $\text{Ind}_{B^{F^s}}^{G^{F^s}}(1)$; but these two induced representations are disjoint unless $\lambda = 1$. We see that B^{F^s} acts trivially on $\mathcal{F}_{E,s}^{U_B^{F^s}}$. It follows that for any $g_0 \in B^{F^s}$ we have

$$\sum_{u \in U_B^{F^s}} \text{tr}(g_0 u, \mathcal{F}_{E,s}) = \sharp(U_B^{F^s}) \dim(\mathcal{F}_{E,s}^{U_B^{F^s}}).$$

It is well known that

$$\dim(\rho_{E,p^s}^{U_B^{F^s}}) = \dim E.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{u \in U_B^{F^s}} \text{tr}(g_0 u, \mathcal{F}_{E,s}) = \sharp(U_B^{F^s}) \dim(E).$$

In particular we have

$$\sum_{g \in (B_\delta)^{F^s}} \text{tr}(g, \mathcal{F}_{E,s}) = p^{\nu s} \dim(E).$$

We see that

$$N = p^{\nu s} \sharp(\mathcal{B}^{F^s}) \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}(W)} \text{tr}(T_w, E_{p^s}) \dim(E).$$

Note that $\sum_{E \in \text{Irr}(W)} \text{tr}(T_w, E_s) \dim(E) = \tau(w, p^s)$. (The trace defining $\tau(w, p^s)$ can be computed by decomposing the left regular representation of \mathcal{H}_s into irreducible submodules.) We see that

$$N = p^{\nu s} \sharp(\mathcal{B}^{F^s}) \tau(w, p^s).$$

This completes the proof of 0.5(a).

2. COUNTING RATIONAL POINTS OF $\mathfrak{B}_w^{(1)}$

2.1. In this section we fix $w \in W$ and $s \geq 1$. Let $\mathbf{1} \in \text{Irr}(W)$ be the unit representation. It is known that if $g \in G$ is unipotent then $H^i(\mathcal{B}_g)_{\mathbf{1}}$ is 0 if $i \neq 0$ and is $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ if $i = 0$. Using this and the definition 0.6(a), we see that $\sharp(((B^+ w B^+)^1)^{F^s}) = \zeta_{w,p^s,\mathbf{1}}$. Hence

$$(a) \quad \sharp((\mathfrak{B}_w^{(1)})^{F^s}) = \sharp(\mathcal{B}^{F^s}) \zeta_{w,p^s,\mathbf{1}}.$$

We now write (in \mathcal{H}): $(-\mathbf{q})^{|w|} T_{w^{-1}}^{-1} = \sum_{z \in W} (-1)^{|z|} R_{z,w,\mathbf{q}} T_z$ where $R_{z,w,\mathbf{q}} \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{q}]$. Note that $R_{z,w,\mathbf{q}}$ is the same as $R_{z,w}$ in [KL, (2.0.a)] (we use [KL, 2.1(i)]). The following result could be deduced from [KA] (I thank Minh-Tam Trinh for this remark); but our proof gives additional information, see 2.6(a).

Proposition 2.2. *We have $\zeta_{w,p^s,\mathbf{1}} = p^{\nu s} R_{1,w,p^s}$. Hence*

$$\sharp((\mathfrak{B}_w^{(1)})^{F^s}) = \sharp(\mathcal{B}^{F^s}) p^{\nu s} R_{1,w,p^s}.$$

2.3. For $y \in W$ we write, as in [LY, 1.3]:

$$a_{w,y} = \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}(W)} \zeta_{w,p^s;E} \text{tr}(y, E) \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

From [LY, 2.7] we have:

Proposition 2.4. *For any $y \in W$ we have*

$$(a) \quad a_{w,y} = \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \text{Irr}(W)} (-1)^{|y|} (\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E},s} : \dim(R_{y,s}^1 R_{y,s}^1) \text{tr}(T_w, \mathcal{E}_s) p^{\nu s} \sharp(\mathcal{B}^{F^s})^{-1}.$$

Here $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E},s} : ?)$ is the multiplicity of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E},s}$ in the virtual representation ? of G^{F^s} .

2.5. We have

$$(a) \quad \sum_{y \in W} a_{w,y} = \sharp(W) \zeta_{w,p^s,\mathbf{1}}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{w,p^s,\mathbf{1}} &= \sharp(W)^{-1} \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \text{Irr}(W)} \\ &(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E},s} : \sum_{y \in W} \dim(R_{y,s}^1 D(R_{y,s}^1)) \text{tr}(T_w, \mathcal{E}_s) p^{\nu s} \sharp(\mathcal{B}^{F^s})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where D is the duality homomorphism from the group of virtual representations of G^{F^s} to itself (see [L84, 6.8]); recall that $D(R_{y,s}^1) = (-1)^{|y|} R_{y,s}^1$. We now

replace $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E},s} : \sum_{y \in W} \dim(R_{y,s}^1) D(R_{y,s}^1))$ by $(D(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E},s}) : \sum_{y \in W} \dim(R_{y,s}^1) R_{y,s}^1)$ and then by $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \text{sgn},s} : \sum_{y \in W} \dim(R_{y,s}^1) R_{y,s}^1)$ where sgn is the sign representation of W . By [DL, 7.5], $\sharp(W)^{-1} \sum_{y \in W} \dim(R_{y,s}^1) R_{y,s}^1$ is the projection of the regular representation Reg of G^{F^s} onto the space spanned by unipotent representations. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{w,p^s;1} &= \sum_{\mathcal{E} \text{ in Irr}(W)} (\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \text{sgn},s} : \text{Reg}) \text{tr}(T_w, \mathcal{E}_s) p^{\nu s} \sharp(\mathcal{B}^{F^s})^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{E} \text{ in Irr}(W)} \dim(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \text{sgn},s}) \text{tr}(T_w, \mathcal{E}_s) p^{\nu s} \sharp(\mathcal{B}^{F^s})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is known that $\text{tr}(T_w, \mathcal{E}_s) = \text{tr}((-p^s)^{|w|} T_{w^{-1}}^{-1}, (\mathcal{E} \otimes \text{sgn})_s)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{w,p^s;1} &= \sum_{\mathcal{E} \text{ in Irr}(W)} \dim(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \text{sgn},s}) \text{tr}((-p^s)^{|w|} T_{w^{-1}}^{-1}, (\mathcal{E} \otimes \text{sgn})_s) p^{\nu s} \sharp(\mathcal{B}^{F^s})^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{E}' \text{ in Irr}(W)} \dim(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E}',s}) \text{tr}((-p^s)^{|w|} T_{w^{-1}}^{-1}, \mathcal{E}'_s) p^{\nu s} \sharp(\mathcal{B}^{F^s})^{-1} \\ &= \text{tr}((-p^s)^{|w|} T_{w^{-1}}^{-1}, \mathcal{F}_s) p^{\nu s} \sharp(\mathcal{B}^{F^s})^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{z \in W} (-1)^{|z|} R_{z,w,p^s} \text{tr}(T_z; \mathcal{F}_s) p^{\nu s} \sharp(\mathcal{B}^{F^s})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We have $\text{tr}(T_z, \mathcal{F}_s) = 0$ if $z \neq 1$ and $\text{tr}(T_1, \mathcal{F}_s) = \sharp(\mathcal{B}^{F^s})$ hence $\zeta_{w,p^s;1} = p^{\nu s} R_{1,w,p^s}$. This completes the proof of 2.2.

2.6. For any $y \in W$ we set

$$\tau_y(w, p^s) = \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}(W)} \text{tr}(T_w, E_s) (\mathcal{F}_{E,s} : R_{y,s}^1) \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Note that $\tau_1(w, p^s) = \tau(w, p^s)$. (We use that

$$\tau_1(w, p^s) = \sum_{E \in \text{Irr}(W)} \text{tr}(T_w, E_s) \dim(E) = \tau(w, p^s).)$$

We have

$$(a) \quad R_{1,w,p^s} (p^s - 1)^{\dim \rho} = \sharp(W)^{-1} \sum_{y \in W} \tau_y(w, p^s) \det(p^s - y, \rho)^{-1}.$$

Using 2.2, 2.5(a), 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1,w,p^s} &= \sharp(W)^{-1} \sum_{y \in W} \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \text{Irr}(W)} (-1)^{|y|} (\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{E},s} : \dim(R_{y,s}^1) R_{y,s}^1) \text{tr}(T_w, \mathcal{E}_s) \sharp(\mathcal{B}^{F^s})^{-1} \\ &= \sharp(W)^{-1} \sum_{y \in W} \sum_{\mathcal{E} \in \text{Irr}(W)} (-1)^{|y|} \dim(R_{y,s}^1) \tau_y(w, p^s) \sharp(\mathcal{B}^{F^s})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to use the known formula

$$\dim(R_{y,s}^1) = (-1)^{|y|} \sharp(\mathcal{B}^{F^s}) (p^s - 1)^{\dim \rho} \det(p^s - y, \rho)^{-1}.$$

3. SMOOTHNESS

3.1.

In this section we fix $w \in W$ which is elliptic and of minimal length in its conjugacy class.

Proposition 3.2. (a) $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w$ is smooth, irreducible, of dimension $r + 2\nu + |w|$.
 (b) Let $\delta \in \mathcal{T}$. Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w^\delta$ is smooth, irreducible, of dimension $2\nu + |w|$.

3.3. For a point x of a smooth variety V let $T_x(V)$ be the tangent space of V at x .

Let E be $\{(g, B) \in G \times \mathcal{B}; g \in B\}$ (in case 3.2(a)) or $\{(g, B) \in G \times \mathcal{B}; g \in B_\delta\}$ (in case 3.2(b)). Then E is a smooth variety of dimension e where $e = r + 2\nu$ (in case 3.2(a)) or $e = 2\nu$ (in case 3.2(b)). Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w(E) = \{(g, B, B') \in \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w; (g, B) \in E\}$. This equals $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w$ (in case 3.2(a)) and $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w^\delta$ (in case 3.2(b)). We can identify $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w(E)$ with the intersection

$$(a) \quad {}'\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w(E) \cap {}''\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(E)$$

where

$${}'\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w(E) = \{(g, B, B', B'') \in G \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}; (g, B) \in E, (B', B'') \in \mathcal{O}_w\},$$

$${}''\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(E) = \{(g, B, B', B'') \in G \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}; (g, B) \in E, gB'g^{-1} = B''\},$$

are smooth subvarieties of pure dimension $e + \nu + l(w)$, $e + \nu$ of the smooth variety $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(E) = \{(g, B, B', B'') \in G \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}; (g, B) \in E\}$ of pure dimension $e + 2\nu$.

We show that

(b) the intersection (a) is transversal; hence $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w(E)$ is smooth of pure dimension $e + l(w)$.

Let $x = (g, B, B', B'') \in \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w(E)$ (viewed as a subset of $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(E)$). Let $V_g = \{(B', B'') \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}; gB'g^{-1} = B''\}$. From [L11, 5.6] we have

$$(c) \quad T_{(B', B'')}(V_g) + T_{(B', B'')}(O_w) = T_{(B', B'')}(B \times B).$$

We have

$$T_x(\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(E)) = T_{g, B}(E) \times T_{(B', B'')}(B \times B),$$

$$T_x({}'\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w(E)) = T_{g, B}(E) \times T_{(B', B'')}(O_w),$$

$$T_x({}''\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(E)) = T_{g, B}(E) \times T_{(B', B'')}(V_g),$$

hence using (c) we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_x({}'\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w(E)) + T_x({}''\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(E)) &= T_{g, B}(E) \times (T_{(B', B'')}(V_g) + T_{(B', B'')}(O_w)) \\ &= T_{g, B}(E) \times T_{(B', B'')}(B \times B) = T_x(\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}(E)). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (b).

The argument above shows also that

(d) the map $\pi : \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ in 0.5 is smooth.

(I thank Zhiwei Yun for this remark.)

3.4. It is known that for w elliptic, $\tau(w, \mathbf{q})$ is a monic polynomial in \mathbf{q} of degree $|w|$. (This was stated without proof in [L78, p.27, line 2-4]; a proof is given in

[L20].) Using this and 0.4(b) we see that for $s = 1, 2, \dots$, the number of \mathbf{F}_{p^s} -rational points of $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w$ is a monic polynomial in p^s of degree equal to $r + 2\nu + |w|$. Using instead 0.5(a) for s such that δ in 3.2(b) is defined over \mathbf{F}_{p^s} we see that for such s , the number of \mathbf{F}_{p^s} -rational points of $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w^\delta$ is a monic polynomial in p^s of degree equal to $2\nu + |w|$. From this the irreducibility statements in 3.2 follow (we use 3.3(b)). This completes the proof of 3.2.

4. QUOTIENT BY THE G -ACTION

4.1. In this section we assume that G is semisimple and that $w \in W$ is as in 0.8. Let $s \geq 1$. Now G acts on $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w$ by $x : (g, B, B') \mapsto (xgx^{-1}, xBx^{-1}, xB'x^{-1})$ and on \mathfrak{B}_w by $x : (g, B') \mapsto (xgx^{-1}, xB'x^{-1})$. Let $G \backslash \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w$, $G \backslash \mathfrak{B}_w$ be the set of G -orbits on $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w$, \mathfrak{B}_w . Now $F^s : \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w$, $F^s : \mathfrak{B}_w \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_w$ induce bijections $F^s : G \backslash \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w \rightarrow G \backslash \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w$, $F^s : G \backslash \mathfrak{B}_w \rightarrow G \backslash \mathfrak{B}_w$. We show:

- (a) $\sharp((G \backslash \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w)^{F^s}) = \sharp((\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w)^{F^s}) \sharp(G^{F^s})^{-1}$,
- (b) $\sharp((G \backslash \mathfrak{B}_w)^{F^s}) = \sharp((\mathfrak{B}_w)^{F^s}) \sharp(G^{F^s})^{-1}$.

It is enough to show that

- (c) if E is any G -orbit on $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w$ such that $F^s(E) = E$ then $\sharp(E^{F^s}) = \sharp(G^{F^s})$;
- (d) if E' is any G -orbit on \mathfrak{B}_w such that $F^s(E') = E'$ then $\sharp(E'^{F^s}) = \sharp(G^{F^s})$.

Now from [L11, 5.2] we see that the isotropy group in G of any point in E' is finite and hence the isotropy group in G of any point in E is finite. By Lang's theorem E and E' are of the form G/Γ with Γ a finite subgroup of G stable under F^s . We must show that $\sharp((G/\Gamma)^{F^s}) = \sharp(G^{F^s})$ or that $\sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(F^s, H_c^i(G/\Gamma)) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(F^s, H_c^i(G))$. It is enough to show that $H_c^i(G/\Gamma) = H_c^i(G)$ for each i . This follows from the fact that Γ acts trivially on $H_c^i(G)$ (the Γ -action on G by right translations is part of an action of a connected group).

4.2. We show that

- (a) $\sharp((G \backslash \mathfrak{B}_w)^{F^s}) = p^{s|w|}$.

By [HL] there exists an F -stable subvariety V of G stable under conjugation by elements in a finite abelian F -stable subgroup Δ of G such that any G -orbit in \mathfrak{B}_w meets V in exactly one Δ -orbit and such that V is isomorphic to $\mathbf{k}^{|w|}$. It follows that $\sharp((G \backslash \mathfrak{B}_w)^{F^s})$ is equal to the number of F^s -stable Δ -orbits in V hence is equal to $\sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(F^s, H_c^i(\Delta \backslash V))$. But $H_c^i(\Delta \backslash V)$ is the Δ -invariant part of $H_c^i(V)$ which is 0 if $i \neq 2|w|$ and is one dimensional with trivial action of Δ and with F^s acting as $p^{s|w|}$ if $i = 2|w|$. This proves (a).

4.3. From 0.4(b) and 4.1(a) we see that

- (a) $\sharp((G \backslash \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w)^{F^s}) = \tau(w, p^s)$.

4.4. Let $\delta \in \mathcal{T}^{F^s}$. Let $G \backslash \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w^\delta$ be the set of G -orbits on $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w^\delta$. This is a subset of $G \backslash \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w$ stable under $F^s : G \backslash \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w \rightarrow G \backslash \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w$. Using 4.1(c) we see that

- (a) $\sharp((G \backslash \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w^\delta)^{F^s}) = \sharp((\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w^\delta)^{F^s}) \sharp(G^{F^s})^{-1}$.

Combining this with 0.5(a) we see that

- (b) $\sharp((G \backslash \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w^\delta)^{F^s}) = \tau(w, p^s)(p^s - 1)^{-r}$

4.5. Let $(\delta) \in (W \setminus \mathcal{T})^{F^s}$. Let $G \setminus \mathfrak{B}_w^{(\delta)}$ be the set of G -orbits on $\mathfrak{B}_w^{(\delta)}$. This is a subset of $G \setminus \mathfrak{B}_w$ stable under $F^s : G \setminus \mathfrak{B}_w \rightarrow G \setminus \mathfrak{B}_w$. Using 4.1(d) we see that

$$(a) \#((G \setminus \mathfrak{B}_w^{(\delta)})^{F^s}) = \#((\mathfrak{B}_w^{(\delta)})^{F^s}) \#(G^{F^s})^{-1}.$$

Combining (a) (with $(\delta) = (1)$) and 2.2 we see that

$$(b) \#((G \setminus \mathfrak{B}_w^{(1)})^{F^s}) = R_{1,w,p^s}(p^s - 1)^{-r}.$$

4.6. Let H be the a regular semisimple conjugacy class in G such that $F^s(H) = H$. There is a unique conjugacy class C in W such that for any $g \in H^{F^s}$ the following holds: for some/any $B \in \mathcal{B}$ containing g we have $(B, F^s(B)) \in \mathcal{O}_y$ for some $y \in C$. Let $\delta \in \mathcal{T}$ be the image of $g \in H$ in B/U_B where $B \in \mathcal{B}$ contains g . The W -orbit (δ) of δ is independent of the choice of g, B and is F^s -stable. We have $\#(H^{F^s}) = \#(G^{F^s}) \det(p^s - y, \rho)^{-1}$ where $y \in C$ and ρ is as in 2.3. Let $g \in H^{F^s}$. Replacing $\text{tr}(g, \mathcal{F}_{E,s})$ by $(\mathcal{F}_{E,s} : R_{y,s}^1)$ in 1.2(a) (with y as above) we obtain

$$\#(Y_{g,w}^{F^s}) = \tau_y(w, p^s)$$

(notation of 2.6). We have

$$(a) \#((\mathfrak{B}_w^{(\delta)})^{F^s}) = \sum_{g \in H^{F^s}} \#(Y_{g,w}^{F^s}) = \#(H^{F^s}) \tau_y(w, p^s) = \#(G^{F^s}) \det(p^s - y, \rho)^{-1} \tau_y(w, p^s).$$

Using 4.5(a) we deduce

$$(b) \#((G \setminus \mathfrak{B}_w^{(\delta)})^{F^s}) = \det(p^s - y, \rho)^{-1} \tau_y(w, p^s).$$

In particular if H is such that $C = \{1\}$ then

$$(c) \#((G \setminus \mathfrak{B}_w^{(\delta)})^{F^s}) = (p^s - 1)^{-r} \tau(w, p^s).$$

5. SYMMETRY

5.1. In this section we assume that G is semisimple. Let v be an indeterminate. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathbf{Q}(v) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{q})} \mathcal{H}$ where $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{q})$ is viewed as a subfield of $\mathbf{Q}(v)$ by $\mathbf{q} \mapsto v^2$. We view \mathcal{H} as a subset $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ by $h \mapsto 1 \otimes h$. For any $w \in W$ we set $\tilde{T}_w = v^{-|w|} T_w \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\tilde{\tau}(w) = v^{-|w|} \tau(w, \mathbf{q}) \in \mathbf{Q}(v)$, $\tilde{R}_{z,w} = v^{-|w|+|z|} R_{z,w,\mathbf{q}} \in \mathbf{Q}(v)$. Let $\xi \mapsto \bar{\xi}$ be the field automorphism of $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{q})$ given by $\mathbf{q} \mapsto \mathbf{q}^{-1}$. This extends to a field automorphism $\xi \mapsto \bar{\xi}$ of $\mathbf{Q}(v)$ given by $v \mapsto -v^{-1}$. Let $w \in W$. We have the following symmetry property.

Proposition 5.2. (a) $\tilde{\tau}(w)$ is a polynomial with coefficients in \mathbf{N} in $(v - v^{-1})$ hence is invariant under $\bar{\cdot}$.

(b) For any $z \in W$, $\tilde{R}_{z,w}$ is a polynomial with coefficients in \mathbf{N} in $(v - v^{-1})$ hence is invariant under $\bar{\cdot}$.

We prove (a). For any $y, z \in W$ we have

$$\tilde{T}_y \tilde{T}_z = \sum_{z' \in W} c_{y,z}^{z'} \tilde{T}_{z'}.$$

If $y = 1$ we have $c_{y,z}^{z'} = 1$ if $z = z'$, $c_{y,z}^{z'} = 0$ if $z \neq z'$. If $y \neq 1$ we write $y = sy'$ with $|s| = 1, |y| = |y'| + 1$; we have

$$c_{y,z}^{z'} = c_{y',z}^{sz'} + (v - v^{-1})dc_{y',z}^{z'} \text{ where } d = 1 \text{ if } |sz'| < |z'|, d = 0 \text{ if } |sz'| > |z'|.$$

We now see (using induction on $|y|$) that $c_{y,z}^{z'}$ is a polynomial with coefficients in \mathbf{N} in $(v - v^{-1})$. Since $\tilde{\tau}(w) = \sum_{z \in W} c_{w,z}^z$ we see that (a) holds.

We prove (b). From [KL, (2.0.b), (2.0.c)] we have

$$\tilde{R}_{z,y} = \tilde{R}_{sz,sy} \text{ if } |sz| < |z|, |sy| < |y|$$

$$\tilde{R}_{z,y} = (v - v^{-1})\tilde{R}_{sz,y} + \tilde{R}_{sz,sy} \text{ if } |sz| > |z|, |sy| < |y|.$$

We now see (using induction on $|y|$) that $\tilde{R}_{z,y}$ is a polynomial with coefficients in \mathbf{N} in $(v - v^{-1})$ so that (b) holds.

Corollary 5.3. (a) For $w \in W$ we have $\overline{\tau(w, \mathbf{q})} = (-\mathbf{q})^{-|w|} \tau(w, \mathbf{q})$.

(b) For w, z in W we have $\overline{R_{z,w,\mathbf{q}}} = (-\mathbf{q})^{-|w|+|z|} R_{z,w,\mathbf{q}}$.

Note that (b) is contained in [KL, 2.1(i)].

5.4. In this subsection we assume that w is as in 3.1. We set

$$\tau(w, \mathbf{q}) = \tau(w, \mathbf{q})(\mathbf{q} - 1)^{-r}, \quad \underline{R_{1,w,\mathbf{q}}} = R_{1,w,\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{q} - 1)^{-r}.$$

The rational functions $\tau(w, \mathbf{q}), \underline{R_{1,w,\mathbf{q}}}$ take integer values when \mathbf{q} is specialized to p^s , $s = 1, 2, \dots$ (see 4.4(b), 4.5(b)). It follows easily (by an argument similar to that in [LY, 1.9]) that

$$(a) \quad \tau(w, \mathbf{q}) \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{q}], \quad \underline{R_{1,w,\mathbf{q}}} \in \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{q}].$$

From 5.3 we deduce the following symmetry property of the polynomials in \mathbf{q} which calculate $\#((G \setminus \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_w^1)^{F^s}), \#((G \setminus \mathfrak{B}_w^{(1)})^{F^s})$:

$$(b) \quad \overline{\tau(w, \mathbf{q})} = \mathbf{q}^{-|w|+r} \tau(w, \mathbf{q}); \quad \overline{R_{z,w,\mathbf{q}}} = \mathbf{q}^{-|w|+r} R_{z,w,\mathbf{q}}.$$

(We use that $|w| = r \pmod{2}$.)

REFERENCES

- [DL] P.Deligne and G.Lusztig, *Representations of reductive groups over finite fields*, Ann. Math. **103** (1976), 103-161.
- [HL] X.He and G.Lusztig, *A generalization of Steinberg's cross-section*, Jour. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (2012), 739-757.
- [KA] N.Kawanaka, *Unipotent elements and characters of finite Chevalley groups*, Osaka J.Math. **12** (1975), 523-554.
- [KL] D.Kazhdan and G.Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. **53** (1979), 165-184.
- [L78] G.Lusztig, *Representations of finite Chevalley groups*, Regional Conf. Series in Math.39, Amer. Math. Soc., 1978.
- [L79] G.Lusztig, *On the reflection representation of a finite Chevalley group*, Representation theory of Lie groups, LMS Lect.Notes Ser.34, Cambridge U.Press, 1979, pp. 325-337.
- [L84] G.Lusztig, *Characters of reductive groups over a finite field*, Ann. Math. Studies 107, Princeton U.Press, 1984.
- [L85] G.Lusztig, *Character sheaves, III*, Adv. in Math. **57** (1985), 266-315.
- [L11] G.Lusztig, *From conjugacy classes in the Weyl group to unipotent classes*, Represent.Th. **15** (2011), 494-530.

- [L20] G.Lusztig, *Positive conjugacy classes in Weyl groups*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin. **15** (2020), 277-285.
- [L21] G.Lusztig, *Two partitions of a flag manifold*, arxiv:2103.01174.
- [LY] G.Lusztig and Z.Yun, *From conjugacy classes in the Weyl group to representations*, arxiv:1912.01754.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, M.I.T., CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139