THE ST CORRESPONDENCE FOR PROPER NON-POSITIVE DG ALGEBRAS

HOUJUN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Let A be a proper non-positive dg algebra over a field k. For a simpleminded collection of the finite-dimensional derived category $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$, we construct a 'dual' silting object of the perfect derived category per(A) by using the Koszul duality for dg algebras. This induces a one-to-one correspondence between the equivalence classes of silting objects in per(A) and algebraic t-structures of $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be a proper non-positive dg algebra over a field k. In this paper we establish the following correspondence: there is a one-to-one correspondence between

(1) equivalence classes of silting objects in the perfect derived category per(A),

(2) algebraic *t*-structures of the finite-dimensional derived category $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$,

which we will call the ST correspondence (S=silting objects, T=t-structures). Such a correspondence was first established by Keller and Vossieck [11] for path algebras of Dynkin quivers and in recent years it was studied in the following settings: homologically smooth non-positive dg algebras [10], finite-dimensional algebras [12, 5, 14] and proper non-positive dg algebras over an algebraically closed field k [16].

Since algebraic t-structures are generated by simple-minded collections [3, 12, 15], the key point to establish the above ST correspondence is to construct a silting object of per(A) from a simple-minded collection of $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$. There are two approaches to achieve this. The first approach was provided by Rickard. Let Abe a finite-dimensional symmetric algebra. Given a simple-minded collection of $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A)(=\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A))$, he [13] constructed a sequence of morphisms in the unbounded derived category $\mathcal{D}(\text{Mod } A)$ of A-modules and showed that the homotopy colimit of this sequence is a tilting object of $K^b(\text{proj } A)(= \text{per}(A))$. This method was later shown to be applicable to homologically smooth non-positive dg algebras with finite-dimensional zeroth cohomology [10] and finite-dimensional algebras [12], but instead of a tilting object one obtains a silting object. The second approach was provided by Su and Yang [16] and it uses Koszul duality between dg algebras and A_{∞} -algebras.

In this paper, we follow Su and Yang's approach, but on the Koszul dual side, we use dg algebras instead of A_{∞} -algebras. Our main result is:

MSC2020: 16E35, 16E45.

Key words: Simple-minded collection, Silting object, ST correspondence, Differential graded algebra.

HOUJUN ZHANG

Theorem 1.1. Let A be a proper non-positive dg algebra over a field k and let $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ be a simple-minded collection of $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$ with endomorphism algebras R_1, \ldots, R_n . Then there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) silting object $M = M_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus M_n$ of per(A) such that for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $p \in Z$

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)}(M_i, \Sigma^p X_j) = \begin{cases} R_j R_j & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

One main reason for Su and Yang to use A_{∞} -algebras is that the simple modules over the A_{∞} -Koszul dual are easily constructed and characterised. In this paper, we use the results of Keller and Nicolás [9] to construct and characterise the simple modules over the dg-Koszul dual. In this way, the constraint in [16, Theorem 1.1] that the given simple-minded collection is elementary is removed.

Acknowledgements The author would like to thank Dong Yang for introducing me this topic and for his consistent encouragement and support. He also thanks Zongzhen Xie for her careful reading of the paper and for her helpful comments. The project is funded by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2020M681540).

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some notions and results about silting objects, simpleminded collections and dg algebras. Let \mathcal{C} be a triangulated category with shift functor Σ . Throughout this paper, let k be a field and let $D = \operatorname{Hom}_k(?, k)$ be the k-dual.

2.1. Silting objects. For a subcategory or a set of objects S of C, we denote by thick(S) the *thick subcategory* of C generated by S, i.e., the smallest triangulated subcategory of C which contains S and which is closed under isomorphisms and direct summands. In the following, we give the definition of silting objects. For more details we refer to [2] and [11].

Definition 2.1. Let M be an object in C.

- (1) M is said to be presilting if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \Sigma^n M) = 0$ for all n > 0.
- (2) M is said to be silting if it is presilting and C = thick(M).

Two silting objects M and M' of \mathcal{C} are said to be *equivalent* if $\operatorname{add}(M) = \operatorname{add}(M')$.

2.2. Simple-minded collections.

Definition 2.2. A collection X_1, \ldots, X_n of objects of C is said to be simple-minded if the following conditions hold for $1 \le i, j \le n$

- (1) $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_i, \Sigma^m X_j) = 0$ for all m < 0,
- (2) End(X_i) is a division algebra and Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X_i, X_j) vanishes for $i \neq j$,
- (3) X_1, \ldots, X_n generate \mathcal{C} , *i.e.*, $\mathcal{C} = \text{thick}(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.

For example, for a finite-dimensional algebra Λ , a complete collection of pairwise non-isomorphic simple modules is a simple-minded collection in $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\,\Lambda)$.

2.3. Non-positive dg algebras. Let A be a dg k-algebra and let M and N be two dg A-modules. The morphism complex of M and N is $\mathcal{H}om_A(M, N)$, whose degree n component consists of those A-linear maps from M to N which are homogeneous of degree n. The differential of $\mathcal{H}om_A(M, N)$ is given by

$$d(f) = d_N \circ f - (-1)^{|f|} f \circ d_M,$$

where $f \in \mathcal{H}om_A(M, N)$ is homogeneous of degree |f|. Let $\mathcal{C}_{dg}(A)$ be the dg category of dg A-modules and let K(A) be the homotopy category. Then

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{dq}(A)}(M,N) = \mathcal{H}om_{A}(M,N), \operatorname{Hom}_{K(A)}(M,N) = H^{0}\mathcal{H}om_{A}(M,N).$

The derived category $\mathcal{D}(A)$ of dg A-modules is defined as the triangle quotient of K(A) by acyclic dg A-modules, see [7, 8]. Let $per(A) = thick_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(A_A)$ be the thick subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ generated by A_A and $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ consisting of those dg A-modules with finite-dimensional total cohomology. In the case A is a k-algebra, we have $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{Mod}\,A)$, $per(A) \simeq K^b(\mathsf{proj}\,A)$. If A is a finite-dimensional algebra over the field k, then $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A) \simeq \mathcal{D}^b(\mathsf{mod}\,A)$.

A dg A-module M is said to be K-projective if $\mathcal{H}om_A(M, N)$ is acyclic for any acyclic dg A-module N. If M is K-projective, then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(M, \Sigma^p N) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{K(A)}(M, \Sigma^p N).$$

In particular, let $\mathcal{E}nd_A(M) = \mathcal{H}om_A(M, M)$ denote the dg endomorphism algebra of M; then

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(M, \Sigma^p M) = H^p \mathcal{E} nd_A(M).$$

For a dg A-module M, a K-projective resolution of M is a quasi-isomorphism $\mathbf{p}M \to M$ of dg A-modules with $\mathbf{p}M$ being K-projective. By [7, Theorem 3.1], K-projective resolutions always exist.

A dg k-algebra A is said to be non-positive if the degree *i* component A^i vanishes for all i > 0 and proper if A has finite-dimensional total cohomology. Let A be a proper non-positive dg k-algebra. Then both $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$ and per(A) are Krull-Schmidt. Moreover, per(A) $\subseteq \mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$ and thick $(D(AA)) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$. There is a triangle functor $\nu : \mathcal{D}(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$ which restricts to a triangle equivalence $\nu : \text{per}(A) \longrightarrow \text{thick}(D(AA))$. We have the Auslander-Reiten formula

$$DHom(M, N) \cong Hom(N, \nu(M))$$

for $M \in \text{per}(A)$ and $N \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. See [7, Section 10].

Let S_1, \ldots, S_n be a complete set of pairwise non-isomorphic simple $H^0(A)$ -modules and view them as dg A-modules via the homomorphism $A \longrightarrow H^0(A)$. Recall that $\{S_1, \ldots, S_n\}$ is a simple-minded collection in $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$, see [4, Theorem A.1 (c)]. Let U_1, \ldots, U_n be their endomorphism algebras.

Since $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(A) = H^0(A)$, the functor $H^0 = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(A, ?)$ restricts to an equivalence $\operatorname{add}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{proj} H^0(A)$. Therefore there are indecomposable objects $P_1, \ldots, P_n \in \operatorname{add}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{per}(A)$ such that $H^0(P_1), \ldots, H^0(P_n)$ are projective

covers of S_1, \ldots, S_n , respectively. Thus, we obtain that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(P_i, \Sigma^p S_j) = \begin{cases} U_j U_j & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the collection $\{S_1, \ldots, S_n\}$ is determined by this property. Namely, fix $1 \leq j \leq n$ and let $M \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ be such that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(P_i, \Sigma^p M) = \begin{cases} U_j U_j & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then $M \cong S_j$ in $\mathcal{D}(A)$. See [16, Section 5.1].

Let $I_i = \nu(P_i)$ for $1 \le i \le n$. Then by the Auslander-Reiten formula we have

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(S_j, \Sigma^p I_i) = \begin{cases} (U_j)_{U_j} & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(*)

2.4. Cohomologically strictly positive dg algebras. A dg k-algebra is said to be cohomologically strictly positive if $H^p(A) = 0$ for all p < 0 and $H^0(A)$ is a semi-simple k-algebra.

Let A be a cohomologically strictly positive dg k-algebra. Since $H^0(A)$ is semisimple, by [9, Lemma 4.5], there exists a decomposition into indecomposables $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} e_i A$ of A in $\mathcal{D}(A)$ such that $H^0 A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} H^0(e_i A)$ is a decomposition into simples of $H^0 A$ in Mod $H^0 A$, where e_1, \ldots, e_n is a complete set of primitive orthogonal idempotents of A. We view $H^0(e_i A)$ as a graded $H^*(A)$ -module via the graded algebra homomorphism $H^*(A) \longrightarrow H^0(A)$. Then by [9, Corollary 4.7], there is a unique (up to isomorphism) dg A-module S_i such that the graded $H^*(A)$ -module $H^*(S_i)$ is isomorphic to $H^0(e_i A)$ for any $1 \le i \le n$. We call S_1, \ldots, S_n the simple A-modules.

Lemma 2.3. [1, Lemma 3.12] $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} S_i$ is a silting object in $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$.

The following lemma is very important.

Lemma 2.4. Fix $1 \le i \le n$. If for any $1 \le j \le n$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(e_j A, \Sigma^p M) = \begin{cases} (T_i)_{T_i} & \text{if } j = i \text{ and } p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where T_i is the endomorphism algebra of e_iA . Then M is isomorphic to S_i in $\mathcal{D}(A)$.

Proof. By assumption, we have

$$H^p(Me_j) = \begin{cases} (T_i)_{T_i} & \text{if } j = i \text{ and } p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that $H^*(M)$ is a simple module over $H^*(A)$ with cohomologies concentrated in degree 0. Then, by the uniqueness result, M is isomorphic to S_i in $\mathcal{D}(A)$. \Box

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem 1.1. Precisely, let A be a proper nonpositive dg k-algebra, for a simple-minded collection X_1, \ldots, X_n of $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$, we will construct a silting object which is 'dual' to X_1, \ldots, X_n .

Recall that the simple $H^0(A)$ -modules S_1, \ldots, S_n is a simple-minded collection of $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$. Let $A^* = \mathcal{E}nd_A(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathbf{p}S_j)$. Then we have the following result.

Lemma 3.1. A^* is a cohomologically strictly positive dg algebra and there is a triangle equivalence $\Phi : \mathcal{D}_{fd}(A) \longrightarrow \text{per}(A^*)$ which takes S_j to e_jA^* for all $1 \le j \le n$ and the following formula holds for all $1 \le i, j \le n$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A^*)}(e_j A^*, \Sigma^p \Phi(I_i)) = \begin{cases} (U_j)_{U_j} & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(**)

Proof. By [7, Lemma 6.1], $\Phi = \mathop{?} \bigotimes_{A^*}^{\mathbb{L}} (\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathbf{p} S_j) : \mathcal{D}_{fd}(A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{per}(A^*)$ is a triangle equivalence which takes S_j to $e_j A^*$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Thus, there is an isomorphism

$$H^{p}(A^{*}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{per}(A^{*})}(A^{*}, \Sigma^{p}A^{*}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)}(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} S_{j}, \Sigma^{p} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} S_{j})$$

for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since S_1, \ldots, S_n is a simple-minded collection of $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$, it follows that A^* is a cohomologically strictly positive dg algebra. The formula (**) follows from the formula (*).

By Lemma 2.4, the formula (**) implies that $\Phi(I_1), \ldots, \Phi(I_n)$ are isomorphic to the simple modules over A^* . Thus, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\operatorname{thick}_{\mathcal{D}(A^*)}(\Phi(I_1),\ldots,\Phi(I_n))=D_{fd}(A^*).$$

It follows that the equivalence Φ restricts to a triangle equivalence

$$\operatorname{thick}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(D(_AA)) \to D_{fd}(A^*).$$

Moreover, we obtain that $\Phi(X_1), \ldots, \Phi(X_n)$ is a simple-minded collection in per (A^*) . By [7, Lemma 6.1], there is a triangle equivalence $\Psi = ? \bigotimes_{\mathcal{X}}^{\mathbb{L}} (\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{p} \Phi(X_i)) : \mathcal{D}(A^*) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})$ taking $\Phi(X_i)$ to $e_i \mathcal{X}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{E}nd_A(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{p} \Phi(X_i))$. By [6, Lemma 3.1], it restricts to triangle equivalences per $(A^*) \longrightarrow \operatorname{per}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A^*) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})$

 $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\mathcal{X})$, and we obtain the following commutative diagram

Assume that R_1, \ldots, R_n are the endomorphism algebras of the simple-minded collection X_1, \ldots, X_n . Let W_1, \ldots, W_n be the simple modules over \mathcal{X} and let T_1, \ldots, T_n be their images under a quasi-inverse of the equivalence $\Psi \circ \Phi$. **Proposition 3.2.** (1) $T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} T_i$ is a silting object of thick(D(A)). (2) For $1 \le i, j \le n$, and $p = \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)}(X_j, \Sigma^p T_i) = \begin{cases} (R_j)_{R_j} & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(3) $\nu^{-1}T$ is a silting object of per(A). (4) For $1 \le i, j \le n$, and $p = \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)}(\nu^{-1}T_i, \Sigma^p X_j) = \begin{cases} R_j R_j & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1) By Lemma 2.3, $W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} W_i$ is a silting object of $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\mathcal{X})$. Then T is a silting object of thick(D(AA)) because $\Psi \circ \Phi$ is a triangle equivalence.

(2) The triangle equivalence $\Psi \circ \Phi$ sends X_i to $e_i \mathcal{X}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. This shows that the endomorphism algebras of $e_i \mathcal{X}$ are R_i . Then for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\mathcal{X})}(e_j\mathcal{X}, \Sigma^p W_i) = \begin{cases} (R_j)_{R_j} & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(3) This follows from (1) because $\nu : \operatorname{per}(A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{thick}(D(A))$ is a triangle equivalence.

(4) This follows by Auslander-Reiten formula and (2).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Take $M = \nu^{-1}T$. By Proposition 3.2 (3) and (4), it remains to show the uniqueness of M.

Let $N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} N_i$ be an object of per(A) such that for $1 \le i, j \le r$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\mathsf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)}(N_i, \Sigma^p X_j) = \begin{cases} R_j R_j & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then by the Auslander-Reiten formula we have

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)}(X_j, \Sigma^p \nu N_i) = \begin{cases} (R_j)_{R_j} & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Applying the triangle equivalence $\Psi \circ \Phi$ we obtain

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\mathcal{X})}(e_j\mathcal{X}, \Sigma^p\Psi\circ\Phi\circ\nu N_i) = \begin{cases} (R_j)_{R_j} & \text{if } i=j \text{ and } p=0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.4, we have $\Psi \circ \Phi \circ \nu N_i \cong W_i$. Thus $N_i \cong M_i$.

As a consequence, we obtain the following result. It is similar to [16, Theorem 5.5], so we omit the proof.

Theorem 3.3. Let A be a proper non-positive dg algebra over the field k. Then their are one-to-one correspondences which commute with mutation and which preserve partial orders between

- (1) equivalence classes of silting objects in per(A),
- (2) isomorphism classes of simple-minded collections in $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$,

(3) algebraic t-structures of $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$, i.e. bounded t-structures of $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$ with length heart,

(4) bounded co-t-structures of per(A).

References

- Takahide Adachi, Yuya Mizuno, and Dong Yang, Discreteness of silting objects and t-structures in triangulated categories, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 118 (2019), no. 1, 1–42.
- [2] Takuma Aihara and Osamu Iyama, Silting mutation in triangulated categories, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 85 (2012), no. 3, 633–668.
- [3] Salah Al-Nofayee, Simple objects in the heart of a t-structure, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 213 (2009), no. 1, 54–59.
- [4] Thomas Brüstle and Dong Yang, Ordered exchange graphs, Advances in representation theory of algebras, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., pp. 135–193.
- [5] Aslak Bakke Buan, Idun Reiten, and Hugh Thomas, From m-clusters to m-noncrossing partitions via exceptional sequences, Mathematische Zeitschrift 271 (2012), no. 3-4, 1117–1139.
- [6] Martin Kalck and Dong Yang, Relative singularity categories II: Dg models, arXiv:1803.08192.
- [7] Bernhard Keller, Deriving DG categories, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 27 (1994), no. 1, 63–102.
- [8] _____, On differential graded categories, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006, pp. 151–190.
- Bernhard Keller and Pedro Nicolás, Weight structures and simple dg modules for positive dg algebras, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2013), no. 5, 1028–1078.
- [10] _____, Cluster hearts and cluster tilting objects, in preparation.
- [11] Bernhard Keller and Dieter Vossieck, Aisles in derived categories, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sér. A 40 (1988), no. 2, 239–253.
- [12] Steffen Koenig and Dong Yang, Silting objects, simple-minded collections, t-structures and co-t-structures for finite-dimensional algebras, Doc. Math. 19 (2014), 403–438.
- [13] Jeremy Rickard, Equivalences of derived categories for symmetric algebras, J. Algebra 257 (2002), no. 2, 460–481.
- [14] Jeremy Rickard and Raphaël Rouquier, Stable categories and reconstruction, J. Algebra 475 (2017), 287–307.
- [15] Olaf M. Schnürer, Simple-minded subcategories and t-structures, preprint (2013).
- [16] Hao Su and Dong Yang, From simple-minded collections to silting objects via Koszul duality, Algebr. Represent. Theory 22 (2019), no. 1, 219–238.

HOUJUN ZHANG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, P. R. CHINA

Email address: zhanghoujun@nju.edu.cn