arXiv:2105.04189v1 [math.RT] 10 May 2021

Radical layer length and syzygy-finite algebras *†

Junling Zheng ‡

Department of Mathematics, China Jiliang University, Hangzhou, 310018, P. R. China

Abstract

Let Λ be an artin algebra. We obtain that Λ is syzygy-finite when the radical layer length of Λ is at most two; as two consequences, we give a new upper bound for the dimension of the bounded derived category of the category $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ of finitely generated right Λ-modules in terms of the projective of certain class of simple right Λ-modules and also get the left big finitistic dimension conjecture holds.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Preliminaries **2**

2.1 The dimension of a triangulated category 2

2.2 Radical layer lengths and torsion pairs 3

2.3 Some facts 4

2.4 Short exact sequences and radical layer length 4

3 Main results **6**

1 Introduction

Given a triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$, Rouquier introduced in [18] the dimension $\dim\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ under the idea of Bondal and van den Bergh in [6]. This dimension and the infimum of the Orlov spectrum of $\mathcal{T}$ coincide, see [16, 4]. Roughly speaking, it is an invariant that measures how quickly the category can be built from one object. Many authors have studied the upper bound of $\dim\mathcal{T}$, see [4, 5, 7, 8, 12, 15, 18, 19, 21, 23, 22] and so on. There are a lot of triangulated categories having infinite dimension, for instance, Oppermann and Šťovíček proved in [15] that all proper thick subcategories of the bounded derived category of finitely generated modules over a Noetherian algebra containing perfect complexes have infinite dimension.

Let Λ be an artin algebra. Let $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ be the category of finitely generated right Λ-modules and let $D^b(\operatorname{mod}\Lambda)$ be the bounded derived category of $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$. The upper bounds for the dimensions of the bounded derived category of $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ can be given in terms of the Loewy length $\mathrm{LL}(\Lambda)$ and the global dimension $\operatorname{gl.dim}\Lambda$ of Λ.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 18G25, 16E30, 16E10.

†Keywords: derived categories, left big finitistic dimension, radical layer length, syzygy-finite

‡Email: zhengjunling@cjlu.edu.cn

For a length-category $\mathcal{C}$, generalizing the Loewy length, Huard, Lanzilotta and Hernández introduced in [9, 11] the (radical) layer length associated with a torsion pair, which is a new measure for objects of $\mathcal{C}$. Let Λ be an artin algebra and $\mathcal{V}$ a set of some simple modules in $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$. Let $t_{\mathcal{V}}$ be the torsion radical of a torsion pair associated with $\mathcal{V}$ (see Section 3 for details). We use $\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(\Lambda)$ to denote the $t_{\mathcal{V}}$-radical layer length of Λ. For a module M in $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$, we use $\operatorname{pd} M$ to denote the projective dimensions of M; in particular, set $\operatorname{pd} M = -1$ if $M = 0$. For a subclass $\mathcal{B}$ of $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$, the **projective dimension** $\operatorname{pd}\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{B}$ is defined as

$$\operatorname{pd}\mathcal{B} = \begin{cases} \sup\{\operatorname{pd} M \mid M \in \mathcal{B}\}, & \text{if } \mathcal{B} \neq \varnothing; \\ -1, & \text{if } \mathcal{B} = \varnothing. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\mathcal{V}$ is a finite set. So, if each simple module in $\mathcal{V}$ has finite projective dimension, then $\operatorname{pd}\mathcal{V}$ attains its (finite) maximum.

Now, let us list some results about the upper bound of the dimension of bounded derived categries.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Λ be an artin algebra and $\mathcal{V}$ a set of some simple modules in* $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$. *Then we have*

(1) ([19, Proposition 7.37]) $\dim D^b(\operatorname{mod}\Lambda) \leqslant \operatorname{LL}(\Lambda) - 1$;

(2) ([19, Proposition 7.4] and [12, Proposition 2.6]) $\dim D^b(\operatorname{mod}\Lambda) \leqslant \operatorname{gl.dim}\Lambda$;

(3) ([22, Theorem 3.8]) $\dim D^b(\operatorname{mod}\Lambda) \leqslant (\operatorname{pd}\mathcal{V} + 2)(\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(\Lambda) + 1) - 2$;

(4) ([21]) $\dim D^b(\operatorname{mod}\Lambda) \leqslant 2(\operatorname{pd}\mathcal{V} + \ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(\Lambda)) + 1$.

For an integer $m \geqslant 0$, we denote by $\Omega^m(X)$ the m-th syzygy of $X \in \operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ and we denote by

$$\Omega^m(\operatorname{mod}\Lambda) = \{M \mid M \text{ is a direct summand of } \Omega^m(N) \text{ for some } N \in \operatorname{mod}\Lambda\}.$$

Following [20, P. 834], Λ is called *m-syzygy-finite* if there are only finitely many non-isomorphic indecomposable modules in $\Omega^m(\operatorname{mod}\Lambda)$. If there is some nonnegative integer m, such that Λ is m-syzygy-finite, then Λ is said to be syzygy-finite.

The aim of this paper is to prove the following

Theorem 1.2. (see Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.7) *Let A be an artin algebra. Let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{<\infty}$. If $\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(A_A) \leqslant 2$, then A is* $(\operatorname{pd}\mathcal{V}+2)$*-syzygy-finite and* $\dim D^b(\operatorname{mod} A) \leqslant \operatorname{pd}\mathcal{V}+3$ *and the left big finitistic dimension conjecture holds.*

We also give examples to explain our results. In this case, we may be able to get a better upper bound on the dimension of the bounded derived category of $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 The dimension of a triangulated category

We recall some notions from [18, 19, 14]. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category and $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \operatorname{Ob}\mathcal{T}$. Let $\langle\mathcal{I}\rangle$ be the full subcategory consisting of $\mathcal{T}$ of all direct summands of finite direct sums of shifts

of objects in $\mathcal{I}$. Given two subclasses $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2 \subseteq \mathrm{Ob}\mathcal{T}$, we denote $\mathcal{I}_1 * \mathcal{I}_2$ by the full subcategory of all extensions between them, that is,

$$\mathcal{I}_1 * \mathcal{I}_2 = \{X \mid X_1 \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow X_1[1] \text{ with } X_1 \in \mathcal{I}_1 \text{ and } X_2 \in \mathcal{I}_2\}.$$

Write $\mathcal{I}_1 \diamond \mathcal{I}_2 := \langle \mathcal{I}_1 * \mathcal{I}_2 \rangle$. Then $(\mathcal{I}_1 \diamond \mathcal{I}_2) \diamond \mathcal{I}_3 = \mathcal{I}_1 \diamond (\mathcal{I}_2 \diamond \mathcal{I}_3)$ for any subclasses $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$ and $\mathcal{I}_3$ of $\mathcal{T}$ by the octahedral axiom. Write

$$\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle_0 := 0,\ \langle \mathcal{I} \rangle_1 := \langle \mathcal{I} \rangle \text{ and } \langle \mathcal{I} \rangle_{n+1} := \langle \mathcal{I} \rangle_n \diamond \langle \mathcal{I} \rangle_1 \text{ for any } n \geqslant 1.$$

Definition 2.1. ([18, Definiton 3.2]) *The* **dimension** $\dim \mathcal{T}$ *of a triangulated category* $\mathcal{T}$ *is the minimal* d *such that there exists an object* $M \in \mathcal{T}$ *with* $\mathcal{T} = \langle M \rangle_{d+1}$. *If no such* M *exists for any* d, *then we set* $\dim \mathcal{T} = \infty$.

2.2 Radical layer lengths and torsion pairs

We recall some notions from [9]. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a **length-category**, that is, $\mathcal{C}$ is an abelian, skeletally small category and every object of $\mathcal{C}$ has a finite composition series. We use $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{C})$ to denote the category of all additive functors from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C}$, and use rad to denote the Jacobson radical lying in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{C})$. For any $\alpha \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{C})$, set the **$\alpha$-radical functor** $F_\alpha := \mathrm{rad} \circ \alpha$.

Definition 2.2. ([9, Definition 3.1]) For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{C})$, we define the **$(\alpha, \beta)$-layer length** $\ell\ell_\alpha^\beta : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ via $\ell\ell_\alpha^\beta(M) = \inf\{i \geqslant 0 \mid \alpha \circ \beta^i(M) = 0\}$; and the **$\alpha$-radical layer length** $\ell\ell^\alpha := \ell\ell_\alpha^{F_\alpha}$.

For more information about radical layer length, we can see [11, 9, 22, 23].

Lemma 2.3. ([22, Lemma 2.6]) *Let* $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{C})$. *For any* $M \in \mathcal{C}$, *if* $\ell\ell_\alpha^\beta(M) = n$, *then* $\ell\ell_\alpha^\beta(M) = \ell\ell_\alpha^\beta(\beta^j(M)) + j$ *for any* $0 \leqslant j \leqslant n$; *in particular, if* $\ell\ell^\alpha(M) = n$, *then* $\ell\ell^\alpha(F_\alpha^n(M)) = 0$.

Recall that a **torsion pair** (or **torsion theory**) for $\mathcal{C}$ is a pair of classes $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ of objects in $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying the following conditions.

(1) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, N) = 0$ for any $M \in \mathcal{T}$ and $N \in \mathcal{F}$;

(2) an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is in $\mathcal{T}$ if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, -)|_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$;

(3) an object $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ is in $\mathcal{F}$ if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, Y)|_{\mathcal{T}} = 0$.

For a subfunctor α of $1_{\mathcal{C}}$, we write $q_\alpha := 1_{\mathcal{C}}/\alpha$. Let $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ be a torsion pair for $\mathcal{C}$. Recall that the **torsion radical** t is a functor in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{C})$ such that

$$0 \longrightarrow t(M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow q_t(M) \longrightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence and $q_t(M) (= M/t(M)) \in \mathcal{F}$.

2.3 Some facts

In this section, Λ is an artin algebra. Then $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ is a length-category. For a module M in $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$, we use $\operatorname{rad}M$ and $\operatorname{top}M$ to denote the radical, socle and top of M respectively. For a subclass $\mathcal{W}$ of $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$, we use $\operatorname{add}\mathcal{W}$ to denote the subcategory of $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$ consisting of direct summands of finite direct sums of modules in $\mathcal{W}$, and if $\mathcal{W}=\{M\}$ for some $M\in\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$, we write $\operatorname{add}M:=\operatorname{add}\mathcal{W}$.

Let $\mathcal{S}^{\infty}$ be the set of the simple modules with infinite projective dimension and $\mathcal{S}^{<\infty}$ be the set of the simple module with finite projective dimension. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of the simple modules in $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$, and let $\mathcal{V}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{V}'$ the set of all the others simple modules in $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$, that is, $\mathcal{V}'=\mathcal{S}\backslash\mathcal{V}$. We write $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{V}):=\{M\in\operatorname{mod}\Lambda\mid$ there exists a finite chain

$$0=M_0\subseteq M_1\subseteq\cdots\subseteq M_m=M$$

of submodules of M such that each quotient M_i/M_{i-1} is isomorphic to some module in $\mathcal{V}\}$. By [9, Lemma 5.7 and Proposition 5.9], we have that $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{V}},\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{V}))$ is a torsion pair, where

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{V}}=\{M\in\operatorname{mod}\Lambda\mid\operatorname{top}M\in\operatorname{add}\mathcal{V}'\}.$$

We use $t_{\mathcal{V}}$ to denote the torsion radical of the torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{V}},\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{V}))$. Then $t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)\in\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $q_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(M)\in\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{V})$ for any $M\in\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$.

2.4 Short exact sequences and radical layer length

Lemma 2.4. *For any module $X\in\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$. We have*

(1) *$t_{\mathcal{V}}(\Lambda_\Lambda)$ is a two side ideal and $t_{\mathcal{V}}(X)=Xt_{\mathcal{V}}(\Lambda_\Lambda)$.*

(2) $\operatorname{rad}X=X\operatorname{rad}(\Lambda_\Lambda)$.

(3) $\operatorname{rad}t_{\mathcal{V}}(\Lambda_\Lambda)=t_{\mathcal{V}}(\Lambda_\Lambda)\operatorname{rad}(\Lambda_\Lambda)$.

(4) $t_{\mathcal{V}}\operatorname{rad}t_{\mathcal{V}}(\Lambda_\Lambda)=t_{\mathcal{V}}(\Lambda_\Lambda)\operatorname{rad}(\Lambda_\Lambda)t_{\mathcal{V}}(\Lambda_\Lambda)$.

(5) *$t_{\mathcal{V}}F^i_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(\Lambda_\Lambda)$ is an ideal of Λ for each $i\geqslant 0$.*

Proof. (1) See [9, Proposition 5.9(c)].

(2) See [3, Propostion 3.5].

(3) Let $X=t_{\mathcal{V}}(\Lambda_\Lambda)$ and By(2).

(4) By (1)(3).

(5) By (1)(2)(3)(4). □

Lemma 2.5. *For any module $X\in\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$, we have $t_{\mathcal{V}}F^i_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(X)=X(t_{\mathcal{V}}F^i_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(\Lambda_\Lambda))$ for each $i\geqslant 0$.*

Proof. If $i=0$, by Lemma 2.4(1).

Suppose that if $i=n$, we have $t_{\mathcal{V}}F^n_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(X)=X(t_{\mathcal{V}}F^n_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(\Lambda_\Lambda))$.

Now consider the case $i = n + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{n+1}(X) &= t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{n}(F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(X)) \\
&= F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(X)t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{n}(\Lambda_\Lambda) && \text{(by assumption)} \\
&= \operatorname{rad}(t_{\mathcal{V}}(X))t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{n}(\Lambda_\Lambda) && (\text{by } F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}} = \operatorname{rad}\circ t_{\mathcal{V}}) \\
&= t_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\operatorname{rad}(\Lambda_\Lambda)t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{n}(\Lambda_\Lambda) && \text{(by Lemma 2.4(2))} \\
&= t_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\operatorname{rad}(t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{n}(\Lambda_\Lambda)) && \text{(by Lemma 2.4(2))} \\
&= t_{\mathcal{V}}(X)F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{n+1}(\Lambda_\Lambda) && (\text{by } F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}} = \operatorname{rad}\circ t_{\mathcal{V}}) \\
&= Xt_{\mathcal{V}}(\Lambda_\Lambda)F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{n+1}(\Lambda_\Lambda) && \text{(by Lemma 2.4(1))} \\
&= Xt_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{n+1}(\Lambda_\Lambda) && \text{(by Lemma 2.4(3)(4)).}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.6. ([22, Lemma 3.3]) *The functor $t_{\mathcal{V}}$ preserve monomorphism and epimorphism.*

Lemma 2.7. *The functor* rad *preserve monomorphism and epimorphism.*

Proof. Note that rad preserve monomorphism (see [9, Lemma 3.6(a)]) and epimorphism(see [2, Chapter V, Lemma 1.1]). □

Lemma 2.8. *For each $i \geqslant 0$, $F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{i} = \operatorname{rad}\circ t_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{i} = \operatorname{rad}\circ t_{\mathcal{V}}$ preserve monomorphism and epimorphism.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7. □

By Definition 2.2, we have the following observation.

Lemma 2.9. *For any module $X \in \operatorname{mod}\Lambda$, we have $t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(X)}(X) = 0$.*

Now, we give the main theorem in this paper.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in* $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$. *Then*

$$\max\{\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(L), \ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(N)\} \leqslant \ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(M) \leqslant \ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(L) + \ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(N).$$

In particular, if $\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(L) = 0$, then $\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(N) = \ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(M)$; if $\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(N) = 0$, then $\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(L) = \ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(M)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.8, we know that $F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}} = \operatorname{rad}\circ t_{\mathcal{V}}$ preserve monomorphism and epimorphism. Thus by [9, Lemma 3.4(b)(c)], we can obtain that $\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(L) \leqslant \ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(M)$ and $\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(N) \leqslant \ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(M)$, that is,

$$\max\{\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(L), \ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(N)\} \leqslant \ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(M).$$

Next, we will prove the second '$\leqslant$'.

By Lemma 2.4(5), we know that $t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{i}(\Lambda_\Lambda)$ is an ideal of Λ for each $i \geqslant 0$. By assumption, we have $M/L \cong N$. Moreover, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(N)}(M) + L)/L &= (M(t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(N)}(\Lambda_\Lambda)) + L)/L && \text{(by Lemma 2.5)} \\
&= (M/L)(t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(N)}(\Lambda_\Lambda)) \\
&\cong N(t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(N)}(\Lambda_\Lambda)) && (\text{by} M/L \cong N) \\
&= t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(N)}(N) && \text{(by Lemma 2.5)} \\
&= 0 && \text{(by Lemma 2.9).}
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(N)}(M)+L=L$. Moreover, $t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(N)}(M)\subseteq L$. And by Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.9, we have

$$t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(L)}(t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(N)}(M))\subseteq t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(L)}(L)=0,$$

where we use the fact that $t_{\mathcal{V}}$ is idempotent, that is, $t_{\mathcal{V}}^2=t_{\mathcal{V}}$. That is,

$$t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(L)+\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(N)}(M)=t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(L)}(t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(N)}(M))\subseteq t_{\mathcal{V}}F_{t_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(L)}(L)=0.$$

Thus, $\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(M)\leqslant\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(L)+\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(N)$ by Definition 2.2. □

Remark 2.11. Note that the functions Loewy length LL and infinite layer length $\ell\ell^{\infty}$ are particular radical layer length, more details see [9, 11]. Corollary 2.12(1) is a classical result. The first "$\leqslant$" in Corollary 2.12(2) is first established in [11, Proposition 4.5(a)(b)].

Corollary 2.12. *Let* $0\longrightarrow L\longrightarrow M\longrightarrow N\longrightarrow 0$ *be an exact sequence in* $\operatorname{mod}\Lambda$. *Then*

(1) $\max\{\mathrm{LL}(L),\mathrm{LL}(N)\}\leqslant\mathrm{LL}(M)\leqslant\mathrm{LL}(L)+\mathrm{LL}(N)$.

(2) $\max\{\ell\ell^{\infty}(L),\ell\ell^{\infty}(N)\}\leqslant\ell\ell^{\infty}(M)\leqslant\ell\ell^{\infty}(L)+\ell\ell^{\infty}(N)$.

(3) *if* $\ell\ell^{\infty}(L)=0$, *then* $\ell\ell^{\infty}(N)=\ell\ell^{\infty}(M)$; *if* $\ell\ell^{\infty}(N)=0$, *then* $\ell\ell^{\infty}(L)=\ell\ell^{\infty}(M)$.

Proof. (1)(2) are particular cases of Theorem 2.10.

(3) if $\ell\ell^{\infty}(L)=0$, by (2), we have

$$\ell\ell^{\infty}(N)=\max\{\ell\ell^{\infty}(L),\ell\ell^{\infty}(N)\}\leqslant\ell\ell^{\infty}(M)\leqslant\ell\ell^{\infty}(L)+\ell\ell^{\infty}(N)=\ell\ell^{\infty}(N),$$

that is, $\ell\ell^{\infty}(N)=\ell\ell^{\infty}(N)$. Similarly, if $\ell\ell^{\infty}(N)=0$, then $\ell\ell^{\infty}(L)=\ell\ell^{\infty}(M)$.

□

3 Main results

Lemma 3.1. ([10, Lemma 3.6]) *Let* $0\longrightarrow X\longrightarrow Y\longrightarrow Z\longrightarrow 0$ *be an exact sequence in* $\operatorname{mod}A$. *Then we have the following:*

(1) *if* $\operatorname{pd}Z$ *is finite then, for any* m *with* $\operatorname{pd}Z\leqslant m$, *there are projective* A*-modules* P_m *and* P'_m *such that* $\Omega^m(X)\oplus P_m\cong\Omega^m(Y)\oplus P'_m$

(2) *if* $\operatorname{pd}X$ *is finite then, for any* m *with* $\operatorname{pd}X\leqslant m$, *there are projective* A*-modules* P_m *and* P'_m *such that* $\Omega^{m+1}(Y)\oplus P_m\cong\Omega^{m+1}(Z)\oplus P'_m$

The following lemma is a special case of [9, Lemma 6.3].

Lemma 3.2. ([9, Lemma 6.3]) *Let* $\mathcal{V}\subseteq\mathcal{S}^{<\infty}$ *and* $M\in\operatorname{mod}A$. *If* $t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)\neq 0$, *then* $\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M))\leqslant\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(A_A)-1$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $M,N\in\operatorname{mod}A$. *If* $M\in\operatorname{add}(N)$, *then for any* $n\geqslant 0$, *we have* $\Omega^n(M)\in\operatorname{add}(\Omega^n(N))$.

Proof. Since $M\in\operatorname{add}(N)$, we can set $M\oplus L\cong N^s$ for some positive integer n and $L\in\operatorname{mod}A$. Thus, $\Omega^n(M)\oplus\Omega^n(L)\cong\Omega^n(M\oplus L)\cong\Omega^n(N^s)\cong(\Omega^n(N))^s$. That is, $\Omega^n(M)\in\operatorname{add}(\Omega^n(N))$. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let* $\mathcal{V}\subseteq\mathcal{S}^{<\infty}$. *If* $\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(A_A)\leqslant 2$, *then* $\operatorname{mod}A$ *is* $(\operatorname{pd}\mathcal{V}+2)$*-syzygy-finite.*

Proof. We set $\delta = \operatorname{pd}\mathcal{V}$. If $\ell\ell^{t_\mathcal{V}}(A_A) = 0$. For any module $M \in \operatorname{mod} A$, we have $\ell\ell^{t_\mathcal{V}}(M) \leqslant \ell\ell^{t_\mathcal{V}}(A_A) = 0$, that is, $\ell\ell^{t_\mathcal{V}}(M) = 0$. And then $M \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{V})$, moreover, $\operatorname{pd} M \leqslant \delta$.

Now consider the case $1 \leqslant \ell\ell^{t_\mathcal{V}}(A_A) = 2$. We have the following two canonical two short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow t_\mathcal{V}(M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow q_{t_\mathcal{V}}(M) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{3.1}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow t_\mathcal{V}\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M) \longrightarrow \Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M) \longrightarrow q_{t_\mathcal{V}}\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{3.2}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{rad} t_\mathcal{V}\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M) \longrightarrow t_\mathcal{V}\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{top} t_\mathcal{V}\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.3}$$

For any module $M \in \operatorname{mod} A$.

If $\ell\ell^{t_\mathcal{V}}(\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M)) = 0$, by Lemma 2.10 and sequence (3.2) we know that

$$\ell\ell^{t_\mathcal{V}}(t_\mathcal{V}\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M)) = \ell\ell^{t_\mathcal{V}}(\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M)) = 0;$$

and by Lemma 2.10 and sequence (3.3) we know that

$$0 \leqslant \ell\ell^{t_\mathcal{V}}(\operatorname{rad} t_\mathcal{V}\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M)) \leqslant \ell\ell^{t_\mathcal{V}}(\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M)) = 0.$$

That is, $\ell\ell^{t_\mathcal{V}}(\operatorname{rad} t_\mathcal{V}\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M)) = 0$. And then $\operatorname{pd}\operatorname{rad} t_\mathcal{V}\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M) \leqslant \delta$.

If $\ell\ell^{t_\mathcal{V}}(\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M)) = 1$. By Lemma 2.10 and sequence (3.2) we have $\ell\ell^{t_\mathcal{V}}(t_\mathcal{V}\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M)) = \ell\ell^{t_\mathcal{V}}(\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M)) = 1$. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\ell\ell^{t_\mathcal{V}}(\operatorname{rad} t_\mathcal{V}\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M)) = \ell\ell^{t_\mathcal{V}}(t_\mathcal{V}\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M)) - 1 = 1 - 1 = 0.$$

Thus, $\operatorname{pd}\operatorname{rad} t_\mathcal{V}\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M)) \leqslant \delta$.

By the short exact sequence (3.1) and Lemma 3.1(1), we have

$$\Omega^{\delta+1}t_\mathcal{V}(M) \oplus P_1 = \Omega^\delta(\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M)) \oplus P_1 \cong \Omega^{\delta+1}(M) \oplus P_2. \tag{3.4}$$

By the short exact sequence (3.2) and Lemma 3.1(1), we have

$$\Omega^{\delta+1}(t_\mathcal{V}\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M)) \oplus P_3 \cong \Omega^{\delta+1}(\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M)) \oplus P_4 = \Omega^{\delta+2}t_\mathcal{V}(M) \oplus P_4. \tag{3.5}$$

By the short exact sequence (3.3) and Lemma 3.1(2), we have

$$\Omega^{\delta+1}(t_\mathcal{V}\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M)) \oplus P_5 \cong \Omega^{\delta+1}\operatorname{top} t_\mathcal{V}\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M) \oplus P_6. \tag{3.6}$$

And then we have the following isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega^{\delta+2}(M) \oplus P_4 \oplus P_5 \cong & \Omega(\Omega^{\delta+1}(M) \oplus P_2) \oplus P_4 \oplus P_5 \\
\cong & \Omega(\Omega^{\delta+1}t_\mathcal{V}(M) \oplus P_1) \oplus P_4 \oplus P_5 \ \text{(by (3.4))} \\
\cong & \Omega^{\delta+2}(t_\mathcal{V}(M)) \oplus P_4 \oplus P_5 \\
\cong & (\Omega^{\delta+1}t_\mathcal{V}\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M) \oplus P_3) \oplus P_5 \ \text{(by (3.5))} \\
\cong & (\Omega^{\delta+1}t_\mathcal{V}\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M) \oplus P_5) \oplus P_3 \\
\cong & (\Omega^{\delta+1}\operatorname{top} t_\mathcal{V}\Omega t_\mathcal{V}(M) \oplus P_6) \oplus P_3 \ \text{(by (3.6))} \\
\in & \operatorname{add}(\Omega^{\delta+2}(A/\operatorname{rad} A) \oplus A). \ \text{(by Lemma 3.3)}
\end{aligned}$$

By assumptions and Lemma 3.2, we always have $\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(\Omega t_{\mathcal{V}}(M)) \leqslant 1$. Thus, for any module $M \in \operatorname{mod} A$, we have $\Omega^{\delta+2}(M) \in \operatorname{add}(\Omega^{\delta+2}(A/\operatorname{rad} A) \oplus A)$. That is, $\operatorname{mod} A$ is $(\delta+2)$-syzygy-finite. □

Corollary 3.5. *If $\ell\ell^{\infty}(A_A) \leqslant 2$, then A is syzygy-finite.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{S}^{<\infty}$, we have $\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(A_A) = \ell\ell^{\infty}(A_A) \leqslant 2$ by [9, Example 5.8(1)]. And then by 3.4, we know that A_A is syzygy-finite. □

The notion of the left big finitistic dimension conjecture can be seen in [17].

Corollary 3.6. *Let A be a finite dimensional algebra over a field K. Let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{<\infty}$. If $\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(A_A) \leqslant 2$, then*

$$\text{l.Fin.dim}\, A < \infty,$$

where $\text{l.Fin.dim}\, A = \sup\{\operatorname{pd} M \mid M$ *is a left* Λ*-module with* $\operatorname{pd} M < \infty\}$*; that is, the left big finitistic dimension conjecture holds.*

Proof. By[17, Definition 4.1, Definition 4.2, Corollary 7.3, Theorem 4.3] and Theorem 3.4. □

As a consequence we have the following upper bound on the dimension $\dim D^b(\operatorname{mod} A)$ of the bounded derived category of $\operatorname{mod} A$ in the sense of Rouquier(see [19, 18, 12]). Here, we have an interesting corollary as follows

Corollary 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{<\infty}$. Suppose that $\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(A_A) \leqslant 2$. Then* $\dim D^b(\operatorname{mod} A) \leqslant \operatorname{pd} \mathcal{V} + 3$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.4 and [1, Corollary 3.6]. □


Corollary 3.8. Let A be an artin algebra. Let $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{<\infty}$. If $\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(A_A) \leqslant 2$, then

$$\Psi\dim(\operatorname{mod} A) < \infty,$$


where $\Psi\dim(\operatorname{mod} A)$ is defined in [13].


Proof. By Corollary 3.5 and [13, Thoerem 3.2]. □


Example 3.9. ([22]) Consider the bound quiver algebra $\Lambda = kQ/I$, where k is an algebraically closed field and Q is given by



and I is generated by $\{\alpha_1^2, \alpha_1\alpha_{m+1}, \alpha_1\alpha_{m+2}, \alpha_1\alpha_2, \alpha_2\alpha_3\cdots\alpha_m\}$ with $m \geq 10$. Then the indecomposable projective Λ-modules are

$P(1) =$ 

$P(2) =$ 

$P(3) =$ 

$P(m+1) = m+1,\ P(m+2) = m+2$

and $P(i+1) = \operatorname{rad} P(i)$ for any $2 \leqslant i \leqslant m-1$.

We have

$$\operatorname{pd} S(i) = \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } i = 1; \\ 1, & \text{if } 2 \leqslant i \leqslant m-1; \\ 0, & \text{if } m \leqslant i \leqslant m+2. \end{cases}$$

So $\mathcal{S}^{\infty} = \{S(1)\}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{<\infty} = \{S(i) \mid 2 \leqslant i \leqslant m+2\}$.

Let $\mathcal{V} := \{S(i) \mid 3 \leqslant i \leqslant m-1\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}^{<\infty}$. Then $\operatorname{pd}\mathcal{V} = 1$ and $\ell\ell^{t\nu}(\Lambda) = 2$(see [22, Example 4.1])


(1) By Theorem 1.1(1), we have $\dim D^b(\operatorname{mod}\Lambda) \leqslant \operatorname{LL}(\Lambda) - 1 = m-2$.

(2) By Theorem 1.1(3), we have $\dim D^b(\operatorname{mod}\Lambda) \leqslant (\operatorname{pd}\mathcal{V}+2)(\ell\ell^{t\nu}(\Lambda)+1) - 2 = 7$.

(3) By Theorem 1.1(4), we have $\dim D^b(\operatorname{mod}\Lambda) \leqslant 2(\operatorname{pd}\mathcal{V} + \ell\ell^{t\nu}(\Lambda)) + 1 = 7$.


(4) By Corollary 3.7, $\dim D^b(\operatorname{mod}\Lambda) \leqslant \operatorname{pd}\mathcal{V} + 3 = 4$. That is, we can get a better upper bound.

Example 3.10. ([23, Example 3.21]) Consider the bound quiver algebra $\Lambda = kQ/I$, where k is a field and Q is given by



and I is generated by $\{\alpha_i\alpha_{i+1} \mid n+1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2n-1\}$ with $n \geqslant 6$. Then the indecomposable

projective Λ-modules are



where $n+1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2n-2$, $2n-1 \leqslant l \leqslant 2n+1$ and $P(i+1) = \operatorname{rad} P(i)$ for any $2 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$.

We have

$$\operatorname{pd} S(i) = \begin{cases} n-1, & \text{if } i = 1; \\ 1, & \text{if } 2 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1; \\ 0, & \text{if } i = n, 2n, 2n+1; \\ 2n-1-i, & \text{if } n+1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2n-1. \end{cases}$$

So $\mathcal{S}^{<\infty} = \{\text{all simple modules in mod}\,\Lambda\}$. Let $\mathcal{V} := \{S(i) \mid 2 \leqslant i \leqslant n\} (\subseteq \mathcal{S}^{<\infty})$. Then $\operatorname{pd}\mathcal{V} = 1$ and $\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(\Lambda) = 2$(see [23, Example 3.21])

(1) By Theorem 1.1(1), we have $\dim D^b(\operatorname{mod}\Lambda) \leqslant \operatorname{LL}(\Lambda) - 1 = n-1$.

(2) By Theorem 1.1(2), we have $\dim D^b(\operatorname{mod}\Lambda) \leqslant \operatorname{gl.dim}\Lambda = n-1$.

(3) By Theorem 1.1(3), we have $\dim D^b(\operatorname{mod}\Lambda) \leqslant (\operatorname{pd}\mathcal{V}+2)(\ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(\Lambda)+1) - 2 = 7$.

(4) By Theorem 1.1(4), we have $\dim D^b(\operatorname{mod}\Lambda) \leqslant 2(\operatorname{pd}\mathcal{V} + \ell\ell^{t_{\mathcal{V}}}(\Lambda)) + 1 = 7$.

(5) By Corollary 3.7, $\dim D^b(\operatorname{mod}\Lambda) \leqslant \operatorname{pd}\mathcal{V} + 3 = 4$.

That is, we also can get a better upper bound than [22, Example 4.1].

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(Grant No. 12001508).

References

[1] J. Asadollahi and R. Hafezi. On the derived dimension of abelian categories. *Kyoto Journal of Mathematics*, 54(3), 2012.

[2] I. Assem, D. Simson, and A. Skowronski. *Elements of the Representation Theory of Associative Algebras: Volume 1: Techniques of Representation Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2006.

[3] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, and S. O. Smalo. *Representation theory of Artin algebras*, volume 36. Cambridge university press, 1997.

[4] M. Ballard, D. Favero, and L. Katzarkov. Orlov spectra: bounds and gaps. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 189(2):359–430, 2012.

[5] P. A. Bergh, S. Oppermann, and D. A. Jorgensen. The gorenstein defect category. *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 66(2):459–471, 2015.

[6] A. I. Bondal and M. Van den Bergh. Generators and representability of functors in commutative and noncommutative geometry. *Moscow Mathematical Journal*, 3(1):1–36, 2003.

[7] X.-W. Chen, Y. Ye, and P. Zhang. Algebras of derived dimension zero. *Communications in Algebra*, 36(1):1–10, 2008.

[8] Y. Han. Derived dimensions of representation-finite algebras. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0909.0330*, 2009.

[9] F. Huard, M. Lanzilotta, and O. M. Hernández. Layer lengths, torsion theories and the finitistic dimension. *Applied Categorical Structures*, 21(4):379–392, 2013.

[10] F. Huard, M. Lanzilotta, and O. Mendoza. An approach to the finitistic dimension conjecture. *Journal of Algebra*, 319(9):3918–3934, 2008.

[11] F. Huard, M. Lanzilotta, and O. Mendoza. Finitistic dimension through infinite projective dimension. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 41(2):367–376, 2009.

[12] H. Krause and D. Kussin. Rouquier's theorem on representation dimension. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 406:95, 2006.

[13] M. Lanzilotta and G. Mata. Igusa-Todorov functions for artin algebras. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 2017.

[14] S. Oppermann. Lower bounds for Auslander's representation dimension. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 148(2):211–249, 2009.

[15] S. Oppermann and J. Št'ovíček. Generating the bounded derived category and perfect ghosts. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 44(2):285–298, 2012.

[16] D. O. Orlov. Remarks on generators and dimensions of triangulated categories. *Moscow Mathematical Journal*, 9(1):143–149, 2009.

[17] J. Rickard. Unbounded derived categories and the finitistic dimension conjecture. *Advances in Mathematics*, 354:106735, 2019.

[18] R. Rouquier. Representation dimension of exterior algebras. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 165(2):357–367, 2006.

[19] R. Rouquier. Dimensions of triangulated categories. *Journal of K-theory*, 1(2):193–256, 2008.

[20] C. Wang and C. Xi. Finitistic dimension conjecture and radical-power extensions. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 221(4):832–846, 2017.

[21] J. Zheng and Z. Huang. The derived and extension dimensions of abelian categories. *preprint*.

[22] J. Zheng and Z. Huang. An upper bound for the dimension of bounded derived categories. *Journal of Algebra*, 556:1211–1228, 2020.

[23] J. Zheng, X. Ma, and Z. Huang. The extension dimension of abelian categories. *Algebras and Representation Theory*, 23(3):693–713, 2020.