

AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF CYCLIC ORBIFOLD VERTEX OPERATOR ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH THE LEECH LATTICE AND SOME NON-PRIME ISOMETRIES

KOICHI BETSUMIYA, CHING HUNG LAM, AND HIROKI SHIMAKURA

ABSTRACT. We determine the automorphism groups of the cyclic orbifold vertex operator algebras associated with coinvariant lattices of isometries of the Leech lattice in the conjugacy classes $4C, 6E, 6G, 8E$ and $10F$. As a consequence, we have determined the automorphism groups of all the 10 vertex operator algebras in [Hö], which are useful to analyze holomorphic vertex operator algebras of central charge 24.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classification of holomorphic vertex operator algebras (VOAs) of central charge 24 has been completed except for the uniqueness of the moonshine VOA, and several uniform proofs are proposed (see [Hö, MS, HM, ELMS21, CLM] and the references therein). One of them, proposed by Höhn in [Hö], is to view a holomorphic VOA V of central charge 24 with $V_1 \neq 0$ as a simple current extension of the tensor product VOA $V_{L_{\mathfrak{g}}} \otimes V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$. Here $V_{L_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ is the lattice VOA associated with a lattice $L_{\mathfrak{g}}$ related to the root lattice of $\mathfrak{g} = V_1$, g is some isometry of the Leech lattice Λ and $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$ is the cyclic orbifold VOA associated with the coinvariant lattice Λ_g and a lift $\hat{g} \in \text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g})$ of the restriction of g to Λ_g . Höhn also described the possible isometries g ; there are 10 different cases [Hö, Table 4].

These 10 VOAs $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$ would be useful to analyze the holomorphic VOAs of central charge 24. One fundamental question is to determine their full automorphism groups. When $|g|$ is a prime, i.e., the conjugacy class of g is $2A, 2C, 3B, 5B$ or $7B$, the full automorphism group of $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$ has been determined in [Gr98, Sh04, CLS18, La20b, La] as in Table 1. There are still 5 remaining non-prime cases and the full automorphism groups of $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$ have not been determined yet for the conjugacy classes $4C, 6E, 6G, 8E$ and $10F$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B69.

Key words and phrases. Automorphism group, Cyclic orbifolds, Lattice vertex operator algebras, Leech lattice.

C.H. Lam was partially supported by a research grant AS-IA-107-M02 of Academia Sinica and MOST grant 107-2115-M-001-003-MY3 of Taiwan.

H. Shimakura was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP19KK0065 and JP20K03505.

Recently, a criterion for the existence of extra automorphisms in $\text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$, i.e, not induced from $\text{Aut}(V_L)$, is given in [LS]. One of the results in [LS] is that $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ has an automorphism which sends an irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -submodule of V_L to an irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -module of twisted type if and only if L is a coinvariant sublattice Λ_g of certain isometry g of the Leech lattice Λ under the assumptions that $|g|$ is prime and L has no roots. Hence it would be interesting to classify non-prime order isometries g of Λ such that $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$ have the same property; in fact, the property holds if g belongs to the 5 conjugacy classes $4C, 6E, 6G, 8E$ and $10F$ (see Remark 6.12).

In this article, we determine the full automorphism groups of $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$ for these 5 cases as in Table 1 (see [ATLAS] for the notations of groups).

Table 1: Automorphism groups $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$

Class	$\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$	$\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$	Reference
$2A$	2^{10}	$\text{GO}_{10}^+(2)$	[Gr98, Sh04]
$2C$	$2^{10}4^2$	$2^{11} \cdot (2^{10} \cdot (\text{Sym}_{12} \cdot \text{Sym}_3))$	[Sh04]
$3B$	3^8	$\Omega_8^-(3).2$	[CLS18]
$4C$	2^24^6	$2^{21} \cdot \text{GO}_7(2)$	Theorem 6.11
$5B$	5^6	$\Omega_6^+(5).2$	[La20b]
$6E$	2^63^6	$\text{GO}_6^+(2) \times \text{GO}_6^+(3)$	Theorem 6.11
$6G$	$2^44^23^5$	$2^{5+4} \cdot (\text{Sym}_3 \times \text{Sym}_3 \times \text{Sym}_3) \times \Omega_5(3).2$	Theorem 6.11
$7B$	7^5	$\Omega_5(7).2$	[La]
$8E$	$2.4.8^4$	$2^{11+9} \cdot \text{Sym}_6$	Theorem 6.11
$10F$	$2^24^25^4$	$(Q_8 : 2^2) \cdot (2 \times \text{Sym}_3) \times \text{GO}_4^+(5)$	Theorem 6.11

Our method is similar to that in [Sh04, La20b, La]. The main idea is to study the orbit of the irreducible $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$ -submodule $V_{\Lambda_g}(1)$ of V_{Λ_g} under the conjugate actions of $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ (cf. Definition 2.1 and (2.3)), where $V_{\Lambda_g}(j) = \{v \in V_{\Lambda_g} \mid \hat{g}(v) = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}j/n}v\}$ and $0 \leq j \leq |\hat{g}| - 1$.

Let $g \in O(\Lambda)$ be an isometry of the Leech lattice Λ . We assume that the conjugacy class of g is $4C, 6E, 6G, 8E$ or $10F$. Then $g = id$ on Λ_g^*/Λ_g and by [La20a], all irreducible $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$ -modules are simple current modules. It implies that the set $\text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$ of all (isomorphism classes of) irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules forms a (finite) abelian group under the fusion product. In this case, $(\text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}}), q)$ has a non-degenerate quadratic space structure [EMS20, Theorem 3.4] with q defined by

$$q : \text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \quad M \mapsto \varepsilon(M) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}},$$

2

where $\varepsilon(M)$ is the conformal weight of M . Since the action of $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ on $\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ by conjugation preserves the conformal weights and the fusion products, it also preserves the quadratic space structure. Hence there exists a natural group homomorphism $\mu : \text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}) \rightarrow O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$, where $O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$ is the orthogonal group of the quadratic space $(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}), q)$. For the cases that we are interested in, it turns out that the natural map μ is injective. Therefore, we may consider $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ as a subgroup of $O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$.

Since $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$ is the fixed-point subspace of \hat{g} on V_{Λ_g} , the centralizer $C_{\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g})}(\hat{g})$ acts on $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$ by restriction with the kernel $\langle \hat{g} \rangle$. The group $C_{\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g})}(\hat{g})/\langle \hat{g} \rangle$ is also the pointwise stabilizer of $\{V_{\Lambda_g}(i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq |\hat{g}| - 1\} (\subset \text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$ (cf. Proposition 3.4). Note that $C_{\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g})}(\hat{g})$ can be computed since $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g})$ is described in [DN99].

First, to determine the automorphism group, we have to know if $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ has extra automorphisms. A general criteria that $\text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$ has extra automorphisms, along with an explicit construction, is given in [LS]. It turns out that the coinvariant lattice Λ_g satisfies this criteria when $g \in 4C, 6E, 6G, 8E$ or $10F$ (see Proposition 5.3) and $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$ has extra automorphisms. In addition, we describe their conjugate actions on some elements of $\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$.

Next, we will determine the orbit of $V_{\Lambda_g}(1)$ under the conjugate actions of $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$. We consider a certain subset \mathcal{S}_g of $\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ which consists of singular elements satisfying the same properties as $V_{\Lambda_g}(1)$ (see (6.5) and (6.15)). By using the extra automorphisms defined in [LS], we prove that $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ acts transitively on \mathcal{S}_g (see Propositions 6.5 and 6.9). Therefore, $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ is a small index subgroup of the full orthogonal group. By using the structure of the full orthogonal group and computer calculations by MAGMA ([BCP97]), we determine the shape of $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$.

The organization of the article is as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic notions and properties about integral lattices and lattice VOAs. We also recall some facts about the automorphism groups of lattice VOAs. In Section 3, we review the structures of irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules and determine the conjugates of irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules by some elements of $C_{\text{Aut}(V_L)}(\hat{g})$. In Section 4, we will recall from [LS] a general criteria for which the orbifold VOA $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ contains some extra automorphisms. In Section 5, we study the coinvariant lattices Λ_g for $g \in 4C, 6E, 6G, 8E$ and $10F$ and discuss some of their properties. In Section 6, we show that $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ acts transitively on certain subsets of $\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ and determine the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ for each case.

2. PRELIMINARY

We first recall some notions and properties about integral lattices and lattice vertex operator algebras.

2.1. Lattices. Let L be a lattice with the positive-definite bilinear form $(\cdot|\cdot)$. We denote its isometry group by $O(L)$. The dual lattice L^* of L is defined to be the lattice

$$L^* = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L \mid (\alpha|L) \subset \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Assume that L is even, equivalently, $(\alpha|\alpha) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $\alpha \in L$. Then L is integral, that is, $L < L^*$, and the discriminant group $\mathcal{D}(L)$ is defined to be the quotient group L^*/L , which is a finite abelian group. Let

$$(2.1) \quad q : \mathcal{D}(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \quad \alpha + L \mapsto \frac{(\alpha|\alpha)}{2} + \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then q defines a quadratic form on $\mathcal{D}(L)$. The associated bilinear form on $\mathcal{D}(L)$ given by $(\alpha + L|\beta + L) = (\alpha|\beta) + \mathbb{Z}$ is non-degenerate, and hence $(\mathcal{D}(L), q)$ is a non-degenerate quadratic space.

Let

$$O(\mathcal{D}(L), q) = \{f \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}(L)) \mid q(f(\alpha + L)) = q(\alpha + L) \text{ for all } \alpha + L \in \mathcal{D}(L)\}$$

be the orthogonal group of $(\mathcal{D}(L), q)$. Then $O(L)$ acts on $\mathcal{D}(L)$ as a subgroup of $O(\mathcal{D}(L), q)$ but this action is not necessarily faithful. We often denote $O(\mathcal{D}(L), q)$ by $O(\mathcal{D}(L))$ for short.

The (*square*) *norm* of a vector $v \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$ is defined to be the value $(v|v)$. For $U \subset \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$ and $s \in \mathbb{Q}$, we define

$$U(s) = \{\alpha \in U \mid (\alpha|\alpha) = s\}$$

to be the set of all norm s vectors in U . We also define the minimum norm of U by

$$\min(U) = \min\{(\alpha|\alpha) \mid \alpha \in U\}.$$

We call a vector of norm 2 in an even lattice a *root*. Note that the set of roots of an even lattice forms a root system.

Let $g \in O(L)$ of order n . The fixed-point sublattice L^g of g and the *coinvariant lattice* L_g of g are defined to be

$$(2.2) \quad L^g = \{\alpha \in L \mid g\alpha = \alpha\} \quad \text{and} \quad L_g = \{\alpha \in L \mid (\alpha|\beta) = 0 \text{ for all } \beta \in L^g\}.$$

Clearly

$$\text{rank } L = \text{rank } L^g + \text{rank } L_g.$$

Notice that the restriction of g to L_g is a fixed-point free isometry of order n ; we also denote it by g without confusion.

2.2. VOAs, modules and automorphisms. A *vertex operator algebra* (VOA) $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_i$ over the complex field \mathbb{C} equipped with a linear map

$$Y(a, z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{(i)} z^{-i-1} \in (\text{End } V)[[z, z^{-1}]], \quad a \in V,$$

the *vacuum vector* $\mathbf{1} \in V_0$ and the *conformal vector* $\omega \in V_2$ satisfying certain axioms ([Bo86, FLM88]). The operators $L(m) = \omega_{(m+1)}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfy the Virasoro relation:

$$[L(m), L(n)] = (m - n)L(m + n) + \frac{1}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n,0}c \text{ id}_V,$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}$ is called the *central charge* of V .

For a VOA V , a V -*module* (M, Y_M) is a \mathbb{C} -graded vector space $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{C}} M_i$ equipped with a linear map

$$Y_M(a, z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{(i)} z^{-i-1} \in (\text{End } M)[[z, z^{-1}]], \quad a \in V$$

satisfying a number of conditions ([FHL93, DLM00]). We often denote it by M . If M is irreducible, then there exists $\varepsilon(M) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $M = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} M_{\varepsilon(M)+m}$ and $M_{\varepsilon(M)} \neq 0$; the number $\varepsilon(M)$ is called the *conformal weight* of M . Let $\text{Irr}(V)$ denote the set of all isomorphism classes of irreducible V -modules. We often identify an element in $\text{Irr}(V)$ with its representative. Assume that the fusion products \boxtimes are defined on $\text{Irr}(V)$ ([HL95]). An irreducible V -module M^1 is called a *simple current module* if for any irreducible V -module M^2 , the fusion product $M^1 \boxtimes M^2$ is also an irreducible V -module.

Let V be a VOA. A linear automorphism τ of V is called a (VOA) *automorphism* of V if

$$\tau\omega = \omega \quad \text{and} \quad \tau Y(v, z) = Y(\tau v, z)\tau \quad \text{for all } v \in V.$$

We denote the group of all automorphisms of V by $\text{Aut}(V)$.

Definition 2.1. ([DLM00]) Let V be a VOA and $\tau \in \text{Aut}(V)$. For $g \in \text{Aut}(V)$, let $M = (M, Y_M)$ be a g -twisted V -module. The τ -conjugate $(M \circ \tau, Y_{M \circ \tau}(\cdot, z))$ of M is defined as follows:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} M \circ \tau &= M \quad \text{as a vector space;} \\ Y_{M \circ \tau}(a, z) &= Y_M(\tau a, z) \quad \text{for any } a \in V. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(M \circ \tau, Y_{M \circ \tau}(\cdot, z))$ defines a $\tau^{-1}g\tau$ -twisted V -module.

The τ -conjugation defines an $\text{Aut}(V)$ -action on the isomorphism classes of twisted V -modules. It also does an $\text{Aut}(V)$ -action on $\text{Irr}(V)$. Since this conjugation preserves the characters and the fusion products of V -modules, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let M, M^1, M^2 be V -modules and let $\tau \in \text{Aut}(V)$.*

- (1) *If M is irreducible, then so is $M \circ \tau$. In addition, both M and $M \circ \tau$ have the same conformal weight.*
- (2) *Assume that the fusion product is defined on V -modules. Then $(M^1 \circ \tau) \boxtimes (M^2 \circ \tau) \cong (M^1 \boxtimes M^2) \circ \tau$.*

2.3. Lattice VOAs and their automorphism groups. In this section, we recall a few facts about lattice VOAs and their automorphism groups from [FLM88, DN99, LY14].

Let L be a positive-definite even lattice and let $(\cdot|\cdot)$ be the positive-definite symmetric bilinear form on $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$. Let $M(1)$ be the Heisenberg VOA associated with $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$. We extended the form $(\cdot|\cdot)$ \mathbb{C} -bilinearly to \mathfrak{h} . Let $\mathbb{C}\{L\} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in L} \mathbb{C}e^{\alpha}$ be the twisted group algebra such that $e^{\alpha}e^{\beta} = (-1)^{(\alpha|\beta)}e^{\beta}e^{\alpha}$, for $\alpha, \beta \in L$. The lattice VOA V_L associated with L is defined to be $M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}\{L\}$.

Let \hat{L} be a central extension of L associated with the commutator map $(\cdot|\cdot) \pmod{2}$ on L . Let $\text{Aut}(\hat{L})$ be the automorphism group of \hat{L} . For $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\hat{L})$, we define the element $\bar{\varphi} \in \text{Aut}(L)$ by $\varphi(e^{\alpha}) \in \{\pm e^{\bar{\varphi}(\alpha)}\}$, $\alpha \in L$. Set $O(\hat{L}) = \{\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\hat{L}) \mid \bar{\varphi} \in O(L)\}$. For $x \in \mathfrak{h}$, we set

$$(2.4) \quad \sigma_x = \exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}x_{(0)}) \in \text{Aut}(V_L).$$

Then $\{\varphi \in O(\hat{L}) \mid \bar{\varphi} = \text{id}\} = \{\sigma_x \mid x \in ((1/2)L^*)/L^*\}$. By [FLM88, Proposition 5.4.1], we have an exact sequence

$$(2.5) \quad 1 \rightarrow \{\sigma_x \mid x \in ((1/2)L^*)/L^*\} \rightarrow O(\hat{L}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\cdot}} O(L) \rightarrow 1.$$

Note that $\text{Aut}(V_L) = N(V_L)O(\hat{L})$ ([DN99]), where $N(V_L) = \langle \exp(a_{(0)}) \mid a \in (V_L)_1 \rangle$. Note also that if $L(2) = \emptyset$, then $N(V_L) = \{\sigma_x \mid x \in \mathfrak{h}/L^*\}$ and

$$1 \rightarrow \{\sigma_x \mid x \in \mathfrak{h}/L^*\} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V_L) \xrightarrow{\bar{\cdot}} O(L) \rightarrow 1$$

is an exact sequence.

An element $\phi \in O(\hat{L})$ is called a *standard lift* of $g \in O(L)$ if $\bar{\phi} = g$ and $\phi(e^{\alpha}) = e^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in L^g$. The following lemma can be found in [EMS20, LS20].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $g \in O(L)$ and let $\hat{g} \in O(\hat{L})$ be a standard lift of g .*

- (1) *All standard lifts of g are conjugate by some elements in $\{\sigma_x \mid x \in \mathfrak{h}\}$.*
- (2) *If g is fixed-point free or has odd order, then $|\hat{g}| = |g|$.*

Let $g \in O(L)$ be a fixed-point free isometry of order n and let $\hat{g} \in O(\hat{L})$ be a standard lift of g . By the proof of [LY14, Theorem 5.15] (cf. [LS, Lemma 2.2]), we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \{\sigma_y \mid y \in ((1-g)^{-1}L^*)/L^*\} = \{\sigma_y \mid y \in \mathfrak{h}/L^*\} \cap C_{\text{Aut}(V_L)}(\hat{g}),$$

where $C_{\text{Aut}(V_L)}(\hat{g})$ is the centralizer of \hat{g} in $\text{Aut}(V_L)$. In addition, we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \text{Hom}(L/(1-g)L, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong \{\sigma_y \mid y \in ((1-g)^{-1}L^*)/L^*\}.$$

The following has been proved in [LY14, Theorem 5.15] when n is prime; for non-prime n , we can prove it by the same argument:

Proposition 2.4. *Let L be an even positive-definite lattice with $L(2) = \emptyset$. Let g be a fixed-point free isometry of L of order n and \hat{g} a standard lift of g in $O(\hat{L})$ of order n . Then we have the following exact sequence:*

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(L/(1-g)L, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow C_{\text{Aut}(V_L)}(\hat{g}) \xrightarrow{\quad} C_{O(L)}(g) \longrightarrow 1.$$

3. IRREDUCIBLE $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -MODULES AND \hat{g} -CONJUGATES

Let L be a positive-definite even lattice. Let g be a fixed-point free isometry of L of order n and let $\hat{g} \in O(\hat{L})$ be a standard lift of g . Then the order of \hat{g} is n . Let $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ be the fixed-point subspace of \hat{g} , which is a subVOA. Recall that $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ is strongly regular, namely, rational, C_2 -cofinite, self-dual and of CFT-type [CM, Mi15].

In this section, we review the construction of irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules and determine their conjugates by some elements in $\text{Hom}(L/(1-g)L, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$; see (2.6) and (2.7). Throughout this section, we assume that $g = id$ on $\mathcal{D}(L)$, that is,

$$(3.1) \quad (1-g)L^* \subset L.$$

3.1. Submodules of irreducible (untwisted) V_L -modules. In this subsection, we deal with the irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules which appear as $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -submodules of irreducible (untwisted) V_L -modules.

Let $\lambda + L \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ and $V_{\lambda+L} = M(1) \otimes \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e^\alpha \mid \alpha \in \lambda + L\}$. Then $V_{\lambda+L}$ has an irreducible V_L -module structure ([FLM88]). It follows from $(1-g)L^* \subset L$ that $V_{\lambda+L}$ is \hat{g} -invariant. Fix a \hat{g} -module isomorphism $\hat{g}_{\lambda+L}$ of $V_{\lambda+L}$ of order n . For $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, set

$$V_{\lambda+L}(i) = \{v \in V_{\lambda+L} \mid \hat{g}_{\lambda+L}(v) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}i/n)v\},$$

which is an irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -module. For $\lambda+L \in \mathcal{D}(L) \setminus \{L\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, it is easy to see that

$$(3.2) \quad \varepsilon(V_L(0)) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(V_L(i)) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(V_{\lambda+L}(j)) = \frac{1}{2} \min(\lambda + L).$$

Let $h \in N_{O(L)}(g)$ and let $\hat{h} \in N_{\text{Aut}(V_L)}(\hat{g})$ be a lift of h (cf. Proposition 2.4). Then $\hat{h}(V_L^{\hat{g}}) = V_L^{\hat{g}}$. In addition, for $\lambda + L \in \mathcal{D}(L)$, we have $V_{\lambda+L} \circ \hat{h} \cong V_{h^{-1}(\lambda)+L}$ as V_L -modules. We regard \hat{h} as an element of $\text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$ by restriction. Then we obtain the following:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $h \in N_{O(L)}(g)$ and let $\hat{h} \in N_{\text{Aut}(V_L)}(\hat{g})$ be a lift of h . As sets of isomorphism classes of irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules, we have*

$$\{V_{\lambda+L}(j) \circ \hat{h} \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-1\} = \{V_{h^{-1}(\lambda)+L}(j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-1\}.$$

The σ_x -conjugate of $V_{\lambda+L}(i)$ for some $x \in \mathfrak{h}$ is described in [LS, Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\alpha, \lambda \in L^*$ and $0 \leq i, s \leq n-1$. If $(\alpha|\lambda) \in s/n + \mathbb{Z}$, then as $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules,*

$$V_{\lambda+L}(i) \circ \sigma_{(1-g)^{-1}\alpha} \cong V_{\lambda+L}(i-s).$$

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that $(1-g)L^* = L$. Then $\text{Hom}(L/(1-g)L, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ acts faithfully on $\{V_{\lambda+L}(i) \mid \lambda+L \in \mathcal{D}(L), 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma_{(1-g)^{-1}\alpha} \in \text{Hom}(L/(1-g)L, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, $\alpha \in L^*$, as in (2.7). Assume that $V_{\lambda+L}(i) \circ \sigma_{(1-g)^{-1}\alpha} \cong V_{\lambda+L}(i)$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and all $\lambda+L \in \mathcal{D}(L)$. By Lemma 3.2, $(\alpha|\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\lambda \in L^*$, that is, $\alpha \in L$. It follows from the assumption $(1-g)^{-1}L = L^*$ that $(1-g)^{-1}\alpha \in L^*$, which shows $\sigma_{(1-g)^{-1}\alpha} = id$. \square

Recall that V_L is a \mathbb{Z}_n -graded simple current extension of $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ and the set of all irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -submodules of V_L is $\{V_L(i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$, which forms a cyclic group of order n under the fusion products ([DJX13]). Hence, by [Sh04], we obtain the following:

Proposition 3.4 ([Sh04, Theorem 3.3]).

- (1) *The stabilizer of $V_L(1)$ in $\text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$ is $C_{\text{Aut}(V_L)}(\hat{g})/\langle \hat{g} \rangle$.*
- (2) *The stabilizer of $\{V_L(i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ in $\text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$ is $N_{\text{Aut}(V_L)}(\langle \hat{g} \rangle)/\langle \hat{g} \rangle$.*

3.2. Submodules of irreducible twisted V_L -modules. In this subsection, we deal with the irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules which appear as $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -submodules of irreducible \hat{g}^i -twisted V_L -modules for some $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. We adopt the notation in [La20a] and use the descriptions of the irreducible \hat{g}^i -twisted V_L -modules in [ALY18, Sections 3.2 and 3.3] (see also [Le85, DL96, BK04]). Let $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and set $f = g^i$. Then the order of f is $m = n/\text{gcd}(n, i)$. Let \hat{f} be a standard lift of f . Then the order of \hat{f} is either m or $2m$. Note that f is not necessarily fixed-point free on $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$ and that \hat{g}^i is not necessarily a standard lift of $f = g^i$.

By the assumption that $(1-g)L^* \subset L$, for any $\lambda+L \in \mathcal{D}(L)$, we have $f(\lambda)+L = \lambda+L$. Let $P_0^f : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L^f$ be the orthogonal projection. Then V_L has exactly $|\mathcal{D}(L)|$ irreducible \hat{f} -twisted V_L -modules up to isomorphisms [DLM00]; they are given by

$$V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{f}] = M(1)[f] \otimes \mathbb{C}[P_0^f(\lambda+L)] \otimes T_{\lambda}, \quad \lambda+L \in \mathcal{D}(L)$$

where $M(1)[f]$ is the “ f -twisted” free bosonic space, $\mathbb{C}[P_0^f(\lambda+L)]$ is a module over the group algebra of $P_0^f(L)$ and T_{λ} is an irreducible module over a certain “ f -twisted” central

extension of L_f labeled by the characters of $(1-f)L^*/(1-f)L$ ([Le85, DL96]). Note that the labeling of T_λ depends on the choice of certain normal subgroup of the “ f -twisted” central extension of L_f .

Remark 3.5. When $m = n$, the set of linear characters of $(1-f)L^*/(1-f)L$ is

$$\{\exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\cdot|(1-f)^{-1}\lambda)) \mid \lambda + L \in \mathcal{D}(L)\}$$

and we choose the labeling so that T_λ is associated with $\exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\cdot|(1-f)^{-1}\lambda))$.

Since both \hat{f} and \hat{g}^i are lifts of g^i , there exists $v \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\hat{g}^i = \sigma_v \hat{f}$ (see (2.5)). Up to conjugation by an element in $\text{Aut}(V_L)$, we may assume that $v \in P_0^f(\mathfrak{h})$ (cf. [LS20, Lemma 4.5]). Then \hat{f} and σ_v are commutative, and the order of σ_v divides $|\hat{f}|$, which shows $v \in (1/|\hat{f}|)L^*$. Modifying $V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{f}]$ by the Δ -operator in [Li96] associated with σ_v , we obtain an irreducible $\sigma_v \hat{f}$ -twisted-module $V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^i]$; as a vector space

$$V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^i] = M(1)[f] \otimes \mathbb{C}[v + P_0^f(\lambda + L)] \otimes T_\lambda,$$

and its conformal weight is given by

$$(3.3) \quad \varepsilon(V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^i]) = \frac{1}{2} \min(v + P_0^f(\lambda + L)) + \frac{1}{4m^2} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} j(m-j) \dim \mathfrak{h}_{(j)},$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_{(j)}$ is the eigenspace of f with eigenvalue $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}j/m)$.

Remark 3.6. Let $v \in (1/s)L^*$. Then $\sigma_v \in \text{Aut}(V_L)$ satisfies $\sigma_v^s = id$. Applying the Δ -operator associated with σ_v to the irreducible (untwisted) V_L -module $V_{\lambda+L}$, we obtain the σ_v -twisted V_L -module structure on $V_{v+\lambda+L}$. Conversely, any irreducible σ_v -twisted V_L -module is obtained by this construction. ([Li96, DLM00])

For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, the irreducible \hat{g}^i -twisted V_L -module $V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^i]$ is \hat{g} -stable ([DL96, Section 4]). Let $\{V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^i](j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-1\}$ be the set of (inequivalent) irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -submodules of $V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^i]$. Later, we will specify the labeling by using their conformal weights and the fusion products as in [La20a]. By Remark 3.5, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\alpha \in L^*$ and let $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ with $\gcd(n, i) = 1$. Then, as $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules,*

$$V_L[\hat{g}^i] \circ \sigma_{(1-g^i)^{-1}\alpha} \cong V_{\alpha+L}[\hat{g}^i].$$

3.3. Irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules and the quadratic form. In this subsection, we summarize the classification of irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules and review the quadratic form on $\text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$.

It was proved in [DRX17] that any irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -module is a submodule of an irreducible \hat{g}^i -twisted V_L -module for some $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Here we identify $V_{\lambda+L}(j)$ with $V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^0](j)$. By Sections 3.1 and 3.2 and [La20a, Main Theorem 1], we obtain the following:

Theorem 3.8. *Let L be a positive-definite even lattice and let g be an order n fixed-point free isometry of L . Assume that $(1-g)L^* \subset L$. Then*

$$\text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}}) = \{V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^i](j) \mid \lambda+L \in \mathcal{D}(L), 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1\}$$

In particular, $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ has exactly $|\mathcal{D}(L)|n^2$ inequivalent irreducible modules. Moreover, any irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -module is a simple current module.

By the theorem above, $\text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$ forms a (finite) abelian group under the fusion product. Recall that for $M \in \text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$, the conformal weight $\varepsilon(M)$ is rational. Let

$$(3.4) \quad q : \text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \quad M \mapsto \varepsilon(M) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Then the form $\langle \mid \rangle : \text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}}) \times \text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ associated with q is defined by

$$(3.5) \quad \langle M^1 \mid M^2 \rangle = q(M^1 \boxtimes M^2) - q(M^1) - q(M^2) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Theorem 3.9. ([EMS20, Theorem 3.4]) *$(\text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}}), q)$ is a non-degenerate quadratic space, that is, the form $\langle \mid \rangle$ is non-degenerate and bilinear.*

The quadratic space structure of $\text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$ can be determined by using an even unimodular lattice containing L as in [La20a, Theorems 5.3 and 5.8]; in Section 6, we will explicitly describe these structure when L is the coinvariant lattice associated with some isometry of the Leech lattice.

4. EXTRA AUTOMORPHISMS OF $V_L^{\hat{g}}$

In this section, we recall from [LS, Sections 4 and 5] some extra automorphisms of $V_L^{\hat{g}}$, not in $N_{\text{Aut}(V_L)}(\langle \hat{g} \rangle) / \langle \hat{g} \rangle$, for some L and g .

Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and let $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, $1 \leq i \leq t$. Let n be the least common multiple of k_1, \dots, k_t . Let R_i be the root lattice of type A_{k_i-1} and let $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^t R_i$ be the orthogonal sum of R_i . Fix a base $\Delta_i = \{\alpha_1^i, \dots, \alpha_{k_i-1}^i\}$ of the root system $R_i(2)$ of type A_{k_i-1} . Let

$\lambda_1^i, \dots, \lambda_{k_i-1}^i$ be the fundamental weights of $R_i(2)$ with respect to $\alpha_1^i, \dots, \alpha_{k_i-1}^i$. Then $\Delta = \bigcup_{i=1}^t \Delta_i$ is a base of $R(2)$. Let ρ_{Δ_i} be the Weyl vector of R_i and set

$$\chi_{\Delta} = \left(\frac{\rho_{\Delta_1}}{k_1}, \dots, \frac{\rho_{\Delta_t}}{k_t} \right) \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $1 \leq j \leq k_i - 1$, we have $(\rho_{\Delta_i} | \alpha_j^i) = 1$. Then $\{(\chi_{\Delta} | v) \mid v \in R\} = (1/n)\mathbb{Z}$. Fix $\gamma \in R$ satisfying

$$(4.1) \quad (\gamma | \chi_{\Delta}) \in \frac{1}{n} + \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $\nu : R^* \rightarrow R^*/R \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$. Let C be a subgroup of R^*/R such that the lattice $N = L_A(C) = \nu^{-1}(C)$ is even. Set

$$(4.2) \quad L = L_B(C) = \{v \in N \mid (v | \chi_{\Delta}) \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Let g_{Δ_i} be the Coxeter element of the Weyl group of R_i associated with Δ_i , i.e., $g_{\Delta_i} = r_{\alpha_1^i} \cdots r_{\alpha_{k_i-1}^i}$ is a product of simple reflections $r_{\alpha_j^i}$. Let $e = (e_i) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}^{\times} \subset R^*/R$. Then $g_{\Delta_i}^{e_i}$ is a fixed-point free isometry of R_i of order k_i since $\gcd(e_i, k_i) = 1$. Hence

$$g_{\Delta, e} = (g_{\Delta_1}^{e_1}, \dots, g_{\Delta_t}^{e_t}) \in O(N)$$

is a fixed-point free isometry of order n . By [LS, Section 4], we have

$$(4.3) \quad g_{\Delta, e}(\chi_{\Delta}) \in \chi_{\Delta} - \lambda_e + R,$$

where $\lambda_e = (\lambda_{e_1}^1, \dots, \lambda_{e_t}^t) \in R^*$.

We now assume the following (cf. [LS, Lemmas 4.9 and 4.10]):

- (i) $\chi_{\Delta} \in (1/n)N^*$;
- (ii) $\lambda_e \in L$, equivalently, $(\lambda_e | \lambda_e) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ ([LS, Lemma 4.8]) .

By Assumption (i), we have $|N : L| = |L^* : N^*| = n$. It follows from $\chi_{\Delta} \in L^*$, (4.1) and (4.2) that

$$(4.4) \quad N/L = \langle \gamma + L \rangle, \quad L^*/N^* = \langle \chi_{\Delta} + N^* \rangle.$$

Since $g_{\Delta, e}$ belongs to the Weyl group of N , we have $g_{\Delta, e}(\lambda + N) = \lambda + N$ for $\lambda + N \in \mathcal{D}(N)$. By Assumption (ii), we have $\lambda_e \in N^*$ and $g_{\Delta, e} \in O(L)$. Hence $g_{\Delta, e}(\lambda + L) = \lambda + L$ for $\lambda + L \in N^*/L$. By (4.3) and (ii), $g_{\Delta, e}(\chi_{\Delta} + L) = \chi_{\Delta} + L$. By (4.4), we have $g_{\Delta, e}(\lambda + L) = \lambda + L$ for all $\lambda + L \in \mathcal{D}(L)$, equivalently,

$$(4.5) \quad (1 - g_{\Delta, e})L^* \subset L.$$

Let $\hat{g} \in \text{Aut}(V_N)$ be a (standard) lift of $g_{\Delta, e}$ and let

$$\varphi = \sigma_{-\chi_{\Delta}} = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\chi_{\Delta})_{(0)}) \in \text{Aut}(V_N).$$

Then $|\varphi| = n$ by Assumption (i), and φ commutes with \hat{g} by Assumption (ii). By [LS, Section 5.3], there exists $\zeta \in \text{Aut}(V_N)$ such that

$$(4.6) \quad \zeta^{-1}\varphi\zeta = \hat{g}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta^{-1}\hat{g}\zeta = \varphi \quad \text{on} \quad V_N.$$

Hence $V_N^{(\hat{g}, \varphi)} = V_L^{\hat{g}}$ and $\zeta \in \text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$. Thus we obtain the following:

Theorem 4.1 ([LS, Theorem 5.3]). *Let $N = L_A(C)$ and $L = L_B(C)$ be the even lattices as above. Let $\hat{g} \in \text{Aut}(V_L)$ be a (standard) lift of $g_{\Delta, e}$. Then there exists an automorphism ζ of $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ such that $V_L \circ \zeta \cong V_N^{\hat{g}}$. In particular, $\{V_{j\gamma+L}(0) \circ \zeta \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-1\} = \{V_L(j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-1\}$ as subsets of $\text{Irr}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$.*

Remark 4.2. By Proposition 3.4 (2), the automorphism ζ in Theorem 4.1 does not belong to $N_{\text{Aut}(V_L)}(\langle \hat{g} \rangle) / \langle \hat{g} \rangle$; such an automorphism is called an extra automorphism in [LS].

Next, we discuss the ζ -conjugates of some irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules.

Lemma 4.3. *For any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\lambda + N \in \mathcal{D}(N)$, $V_{\lambda+N}[\hat{g}^i] \circ \zeta$ is an irreducible φ^i -twisted V_N -module. In particular, there exists $\mu \in L^* \setminus N^*$ such that as φ^i -twisted V_N -modules,*

$$V_{\lambda+N}[\hat{g}^i] \circ \zeta \cong V_{\mu+N}.$$

Proof. By (4.6), the ζ -conjugate of the irreducible \hat{g}^i -twisted V_N -module is an irreducible φ^i -twisted V_N -module (see Definition 2.1). Since $\varphi^i = \sigma_{-i\chi_{\Delta}}$ and $i\chi_{\Delta} \in L^* \setminus N^*$ if $i \notin n\mathbb{Z}$, we obtain the latter assertion from Remark 3.6. \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ and set $\tilde{g}_k = \varphi^k \hat{g}$. Set $v_k = (1-g)^{-1}(k\chi_{\Delta})$ and $h_k = \sigma_{v_k} \zeta \in \text{Aut}(V_N)$.*

- (1) $h_k^{-1} \tilde{g}_k h_k = \varphi$ and $h_k^{-1} \varphi h_k = \hat{g}^{-1}$.
- (2) For $0 \leq i, j \leq n-1$, as $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules,

$$V_{j\gamma+L}(i) \cong V_{i\gamma+L}(ik-j) \circ h_k.$$

Proof. (1) By [LS20, Lemma 4.5], $\tilde{g}_k = \varphi^k \hat{g} = \sigma_{v_k} \hat{g} \sigma_{v_k}^{-1}$. It then follows from (4.6) that $h_k^{-1} \tilde{g}_k h_k = \varphi$. Since σ_{v_k} commutes with φ , we obtain $h_k^{-1} \varphi h_k = \hat{g}^{-1}$ by (4.6).

(2) Consider the actions of φ and \hat{g} on V_N . Then $V_{p\gamma+L}(q)$ is the common eigenspace of φ and \hat{g} with eigenvalues $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(-p)/n)$ and $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}q/n)$, respectively. By (1), we have $h_k^{-1} \hat{g} h_k = \hat{g}^k \varphi$ and $h_k^{-1} \varphi h_k = \hat{g}^{-1}$. Hence $h_k(V_{j\gamma+L}(i))$ is the common eigenspace of φ and \hat{g} with eigenvalues $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(-i/n))$ and $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(ik-j)/n)$, respectively. Hence $h_k(V_{j\gamma+L}(i)) = V_{i\gamma+L}(ik-j)$, which proves the assertion. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Under the action of $\text{Aut}(V_L^{\hat{g}})$, any irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -module is conjugate to $V_{\mu+L}(\ell)$ for some $\mu + L \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq n-1$.*

Proof. Let $V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^i](q)$ be an irreducible $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -module. Here $\lambda + L \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ and $0 \leq i, q \leq n-1$. Clearly, if $i = 0$, then there is nothing to prove; we assume that $i \neq 0$.

First, we assume that $\lambda \in N^*$. By Lemma 4.3, there exists $\mu \in L^* \setminus N^*$ such that as φ^i -twisted V_N -modules,

$$(4.7) \quad V_{\lambda+N}[\hat{g}^i] \circ \zeta \cong V_{\mu+N}.$$

Recall that $V_{\lambda+N}[\hat{g}^i] = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n-1} V_{\lambda+j\gamma+L}[\hat{g}^i]$ and $V_{\mu+N} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n-1} V_{\mu+j\gamma+L}$ are direct sums of irreducible \hat{g}^i -twisted V_L -modules and irreducible V_L -modules, respectively. Since $(1-g)L^* \subset L$, the V_L -module $V_{\mu+j\gamma+L}$ is \hat{g} -stable and thus, as $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules,

$$(4.8) \quad V_{\lambda+N}[\hat{g}^i] = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n-1} \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n-1} V_{\lambda+j\gamma+L}[\hat{g}^i](k) \quad \text{and} \quad V_{\mu+N} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{n-1} \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n-1} V_{\mu+j\gamma+L}(k).$$

Comparing (4.7) with (4.8), we obtain as $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules,

$$V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^i](q) \circ \zeta \cong V_{\mu'+L}(\ell)$$

for some $\mu' \in L^*$ and for some $0 \leq \ell \leq n-1$.

Next, we assume that $\gcd(n, i) = 1$. By Lemma 3.7, the irreducible \hat{g}^i -twisted V_L -module $V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^i]$ is conjugate to $V_L[\hat{g}^i]$ by some automorphism of $V_L^{\hat{g}}$. Hence, the assertion also holds by the case $\lambda \in N^*$ above.

Let us discuss the remaining case, namely, $\lambda \in L^* \setminus N^*$ and $\gcd(n, i) \neq 1$. By (4.4), there exist $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $\lambda' \in N^*$ such that $\lambda = k\chi_{\Delta} + \lambda'$. By Remark 3.6, the vector space $V_{k\chi_{\Delta}+\lambda'+N}[\hat{g}^i]$ forms an irreducible $\varphi^{-k}\hat{g}^i$ -twisted V_N -module. Take $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq r < i$ such that $-k = si - r$. Since \hat{g} and φ are commutative, we have $\varphi^{-k}\hat{g}^i = \varphi^{-r}(\varphi^s\hat{g})^i$.

Now let $h_s \in \text{Aut}(V_N)$ be defined as in Proposition 4.4. Then h_s and φ are commutative, and hence by Proposition 4.4 (1), we have $h_s^{-1}(\varphi^{-k}\hat{g}^i)h_s = h_s^{-1}(\varphi^{-r}(\varphi^s\hat{g})^i)h_s = \hat{g}^r\varphi^i$. Thus, $V_{k\chi_{\Delta}+\lambda'+N}[\hat{g}^i] \circ h_s$ is an irreducible $\hat{g}^r\varphi^i$ -twisted V_N -module. Recall from $\varphi = id$ on V_L that $V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^i]$ is an irreducible \hat{g}^i -twisted V_L -submodule of $V_{k\chi_{\Delta}+\lambda'+N}[\hat{g}^i]$. Hence $V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^i] \circ h_s$ is an irreducible \hat{g}^r -twisted V_L -module. Thus $V_{\lambda+L}[\hat{g}^i](q) \circ h_s \cong V_{\lambda'+L}[\hat{g}^r](q')$ as $V_L^{\hat{g}}$ -modules for some $\lambda' \in L^*$ and $0 \leq q' \leq n-1$. Note that r is strictly less than i . By repeating this process, we obtain the result. \square

5. COINVARIANT LATTICES OF ISOMETRIES OF THE LEECH LATTICE

In this section, we describe five coinvariant lattices of isometries of the Leech lattice and summarize their properties. All calculations on lattices in this section have been done by MAGMA ([BCP97]).

Let Λ be the Leech lattice and let $g \in O(\Lambda)$ whose conjugacy class is $4C$, $6E$, $6G$, $8E$ or $10F$ (in [ATLAS] notation). Let Λ_g be the coinvariant lattice of g as in (2.2). Then g acts fixed-point freely on Λ_g . The following can be verified by MAGMA.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $g \in O(\Lambda)$ whose conjugacy class is $4C$, $6E$, $6G$, $8E$ or $10F$.*

- (1) $(1 - g)\Lambda_g^* = \Lambda_g$.
- (2) *The quotient group $C_{O(\Lambda_g)}(g)/\langle g \rangle$ acts faithfully on $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_g)$.*

The following can be found in [HL90].

Lemma 5.2. *For g in the conjugacy class $4C$, $6E$, $6G$, $8E$ or $10F$ of $O(\Lambda)$, its conjugacy class is uniquely determined by $|g|$, $\text{rank } \Lambda^g$ and $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda^g) (\cong \mathcal{D}(\Lambda_g))$.*

We now give an alternative construction of Λ_g . Let R be the root lattice and let $c \in R^*/R$ be the vector as in the corresponding row of Table 2. Let C be the subgroup of R^*/R generated by c . Let $L_B(C)$ be the lattice given in (4.2). Then $L_B(C)$ is even. In addition, one can verify that $\Lambda_g \cong L_B(C)$ by MAGMA. Then g induces an isometry of $L_B(C)$; we use the same symbol g . On the other hand, $O(L_B(C))$ contains $g_{\Delta, c}$ defined as in Section 4. Then Assumptions (i) and (ii) in Section 4 hold and hence $g_{\Delta, c}$ is a fixed-point free isometry of order n and $(1 - g_{\Delta, c})L_B(C)^* \subset L_B(C)$. Thus $g_{\Delta, c}$ lifts to an isometry of the Leech lattice Λ which acts trivially on Λ^g . Here we view Λ as an overlattice of $\Lambda^g \oplus L_B(C)$. Clearly, its fixed-point sublattice is also Λ^g and by Lemma 5.2, g is conjugate to $g_{\Delta, c}$ in $O(\Lambda)$. Thus, we may assume that $g = g_{\Delta, c}$ under the identification $\Lambda_g \cong L_B(C)$.

Proposition 5.3. *For g in the conjugacy class $4C$, $6E$, $6G$, $8E$ or $10F$ of $O(\Lambda)$, the coinvariant lattice Λ_g can be constructed as $L_B(C)$.*

We summarize some properties of Λ_g in Table 2; the structures of $O(\Lambda_g)$ and $C_{O(\Lambda_g)}(g)$ are computed by MAGMA. Here for Λ_g^*/Λ_g , the symbol $\prod a_i^{b_i}$ means the abelian group structure $\bigoplus (\mathbb{Z}/a_i\mathbb{Z})^{b_i}$. For the notations of groups, see [ATLAS]

Table 2: Coinvariant lattices Λ_g

Class	R	c	Λ_g^*/Λ_g	$O(\Lambda_g)$	$C_{O(\Lambda_g)}(g)$
$4C$	$A_3^4 A_1^2$	(1111 11)	$2^2 4^4$	$2^{10+3}.\text{Sym}_6$	$2^{9+3}.\text{Sym}_6$
$6E$	$A_5^2 A_2^2 A_1^2$	(11 11 11)	$2^4 3^4$	$6.(\text{GO}_4^+(2) \times \text{GO}_4^+(3)).2$	$6.(\text{GO}_4^+(2) \times \text{GO}_4^+(3))$
$6G$	$A_5^3 A_1^3$	(111 111)	$2^6 3^3$	$6.(\text{GO}_3(3) \times \text{PSO}_4^+(3)).2$	$6.(\text{GO}_3(3) \times \text{PSO}_4^+(3))$
$8E$	$A_7^2 A_3 A_1$	(13 1 1)	$2.4.8^2$	$2^6.(\text{Dih}_8 \times \text{Sym}_4)$	$2^5.(4 \times \text{Sym}_4)$
$10F$	$A_9^2 A_1^2$	(13 11)	$2^4 5^2$	$(2 \times \text{AGL}_1(5)).\text{Dih}_8^2$	$10.\text{Dih}_8^2$

Next, we discuss the quadratic space $(\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_g), q)$ and the orthogonal group $O(\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_g))$ (see Section 2.1). For $g \in O(\Lambda)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, set

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_{g,k} = \{\lambda + \Lambda_g \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda_g) \mid q(\lambda + \Lambda_g) = 0, \ o(\lambda + \Lambda_g) = k\},$$

where $o(\lambda + \Lambda_g)$ is the order of $\lambda + \Lambda_g$ in $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_g)$. By using MAGMA, we can also verify the following two lemmas.

Lemma 5.4. *Let g be an isometry of Λ whose conjugacy class is $4C$, $6E$, or $8E$. Let k be a divisor of $|g|$. Then $C_{O(\Lambda_g)}(g)/\langle g \rangle$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{L}_{g,k}$.*

Lemma 5.5. *Let g be an isometry of Λ whose conjugacy class is $6G$ or $10F$. Then, the group $C_{O(\Lambda_g)}(g)/\langle g \rangle$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{L}_{g,|g|/2}$.*

We summarize some properties of $O(\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_g))$ in Table 3.

Table 3: Orthogonal groups $O(\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_g))$

Class	$\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_g)$	$O(\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_g))$	$C_{O(\Lambda_g)}(g)/\langle g \rangle$	$ O(\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_g)) : C_{O(\Lambda_g)}(g)/\langle g \rangle $
$4C$	$2^2 4^4$	$2^{11}.\text{Sym}_6$	$2^{10}.\text{Sym}_6$	2
$6E$	$2^4 3^4$	$\text{GO}_4^+(2) \times \text{GO}_4^+(3)$	$\text{GO}_4^+(2) \times \text{GO}_4^+(3)$	1
$6G$	$2^6 3^3$	$\text{GO}_3(3) \times \text{PSO}_4^+(3).2^2$	$\text{GO}_3(3) \times \text{PSO}_4^+(3)$	4
$8E$	$2.4.8^2$	$2^6.\text{Dih}_{12}$	$2^6.\text{Dih}_6$	2
$10F$	$2^4 5^2$	$\text{Dih}_8 \times \text{Sym}_4$	Dih_8^2	3

6. AUTOMORPHISM GROUP OF THE CYCLIC ORBIFOLD VOA $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$

Let g be an isometry of the Leech lattice Λ whose conjugacy class is $4C, 6E, 6G, 8E$ or $10F$ and let Λ_g be the coinvariant lattice of g . Let n be the order of g . Then g acts on Λ_g as a fixed-point free isometry of order n . Let $\hat{g} \in \text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g})$ be a standard lift of g . Note that the order of \hat{g} is also n . In this section, we determine the automorphism group of the cyclic orbifold VOA $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$.

It follows from (2.7) and Lemma 5.1 (1) that

$$\text{Hom}(\Lambda_g/(1-g)\Lambda_g, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong ((1-g)^{-1}\Lambda_g^*)/\Lambda_g^* \cong \Lambda_g^*/(1-g)\Lambda_g^* = \Lambda_g^*/\Lambda_g.$$

Hence by Theorem 2.4,

$$(6.1) \quad C_{\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g})}(\hat{g})/\langle \hat{g} \rangle \cong (\Lambda_g^*/\Lambda_g) \cdot (C_{O(\Lambda_g)}(g)/\langle g \rangle)$$

is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$.

Let $\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ be the set of (isomorphism classes of) irreducible $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$ -modules. Then $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ acts on $\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ by conjugation as in (2.3). Recall from Theorem 3.9 that the

map q in (3.4) gives a quadratic space structure on $\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$. By Lemma 2.2 (2), there exists a natural group homomorphism

$$\mu : \text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}) \rightarrow O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})),$$

where $O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$ is the orthogonal group of the quadratic space $(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}), q)$.

Proposition 6.1. *The group homomorphism μ is injective.*

Proof. Let $\tau \in \ker \mu$. Then τ fixes $\{V_{\Lambda_g}(j) \mid j = 0, 1, \dots, |g| - 1\}$ pointwisely. By Proposition 3.4, $\tau \in C_{\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g})}(\hat{g})/\langle \hat{g} \rangle$. By Theorem 2.4, $\bar{\tau} \in C_{O(\Lambda)}(g)/\langle g \rangle$. By Lemmas 3.1 and 5.1, we have $\bar{\tau} = 1$. By Theorem 2.4 again, $\tau \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda_g/(1-g)\Lambda_g, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. By Lemmas 3.3 and 5.1 (1), τ must be the identity map. \square

Remark 6.2. By the proposition above, we can view $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ as a subgroup of $O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$.

Next we will prove that $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ acts transitively on a certain subset \mathcal{S}_g of $\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ (cf. (6.5) and (6.15) below). In Sections 6.1 and 6.2 below, we will discuss the case where $|\hat{g}| = |g|$ and $|\hat{g}| = 2|g|$ on V_{Λ} , respectively.

6.1. Transitivity for the cases 4C, 6E and 8E. In this subsection, we assume that $g \in O(\Lambda)$ belongs to the conjugacy classes 4C, 6E or 8E. Then $|\hat{g}| = |g|$ on both V_{Λ} and V_{Λ_g} ; we set $n = |g|$.

We now review the quadratic space structure of $(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}), q)$ from [La20a, Sections 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3] (see also [La20a, Section 5.2.1] for more general cases). We choose a labelling of the irreducible $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$ -module $V_{\lambda+\Lambda_g}[\hat{g}^i](j)$ for $\lambda + \Lambda_g \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda_g)$ and $0 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ as in Section 3 (cf. [La20a, Theorem 5.3]). Then for $0 \leq i, j \leq n-1$,

$$(6.2) \quad q(V_{\lambda+\Lambda_g}[\hat{g}^i](j)) \equiv \varepsilon(V_{\lambda+\Lambda_g}[\hat{g}^i](j)) \equiv \frac{ij}{n} + \frac{(\lambda|\lambda)}{2} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Note that $\varepsilon(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})) \subset (1/n)\mathbb{Z}$. In addition, by [La20a, Theorem 5.3], as groups, $\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}) \cong \mathcal{D}(\Lambda_g) \times (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$; the explicit multiplications are given as follows

$$(6.3) \quad V_{\lambda_1+\Lambda_g}[\hat{g}^{i_1}](j_1) \boxtimes V_{\lambda_2+\Lambda_g}[\hat{g}^{i_2}](j_2) = V_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\Lambda_g}[\hat{g}^{i_1+i_2}](j_1+j_2).$$

Note that the two subgroups

$$\{V_{\lambda+\Lambda_g}(j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq |g| - 1\} \cong \mathcal{D}(\Lambda_g) \quad \text{and} \quad \{V_{\Lambda_g}[\hat{g}^i](j) \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq |g| - 1\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$$

are orthogonal with respect to the bilinear form. Hence as quadratic spaces

$$(6.4) \quad (\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}), q) \cong (\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_g), q) \oplus ((\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2, q),$$

where q on $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda_g)$ is defined as in (2.1) and $q((i, j)) \equiv ij/n \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$ on $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $o(i)$ the order of i in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

Now consider the subset

$$(6.5) \quad \mathcal{S}_g = \{M \in \text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}) \mid q(M) = 0, o(M) = n\},$$

where $o(M)$ denotes the order of M in the abelian group $\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$. Note that $V_{\Lambda_g}(1) \in \mathcal{S}_g$. By the group structure and the conformal weights of irreducible $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$ -modules in (6.2), (6.3) and (6.4), we obtain the following:

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\lambda + \Lambda_g \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda_g)$ and $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. Then, the irreducible $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$ -module $V_{\lambda+\Lambda_g}(j)$ belongs to \mathcal{S}_g if and only if both $\text{lcm}(o(\lambda + \Lambda_g), o(j)) = n$ and $q(\lambda + \Lambda_g) = 0$ hold.*

We now discuss the conjugates of elements in \mathcal{S}_g under $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$. By Proposition 5.3, $\Lambda_g \cong L_B(C)$ and let $N \cong L_A(C)$ be the overlattice of Λ_g as in Section 5. Then $N/\Lambda_g \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$; let $\gamma \in N$ such that $N/\Lambda_g = \langle \gamma + \Lambda_g \rangle$ and $(\chi_{\Delta}|\gamma) \in (1/n) + \mathbb{Z}$. Then $o(\gamma + \Lambda_g) = n$ and $q(\gamma + \Lambda_g) = 0$.

Lemma 6.4. *Any element in $\{V_{s\gamma+\Lambda_g}(j) \mid \text{lcm}(o(s), o(j)) = n\}$ is conjugate to an element in $\{V_{s\gamma+\Lambda_g}(j) \mid o(s) = n, 0 \leq j \leq n-1\}$ by an element in $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$.*

Proof. Consider $V_{s\gamma+\Lambda_g}(j)$ with $\text{lcm}(o(s), o(j)) = n$ and $o(s) < n$. By the assumption on g , we have $n = 4, 6$ or 8 . If $n = o(j)$, then we have done by substituting 0 for k in Proposition 4.4 (2), which proves the cases where $n = 4$ and $n = 8$.

Assume that $n = 6$ and $o(j) < n$. Then $(o(s), o(j)) = (2, 3)$ or $(3, 2)$. Substituting 1 for k in Proposition 4.4, we see that $V_{s\gamma+\Lambda_g}(j)$ is conjugate to $V_{j\gamma+\Lambda_g}(\ell)$ with $o(\ell) = 6$. By the argument above, we obtain the result. \square

By the definition of \mathcal{S}_g in (6.5), $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ preserves \mathcal{S}_g . In addition, we obtain the following, which is crucial for our arguments below.

Proposition 6.5. *$\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ acts transitively on \mathcal{S}_g .*

Proof. By Lemma 5.1, $(1-g)\Lambda_g^* = \Lambda_g$. By Proposition 4.5 and Lemma 6.3, under $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$, any element in \mathcal{S}_g is conjugate to $V_{\lambda+\Lambda_g}(j)$ for some $\lambda + \Lambda_g \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda_g)$ and $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ satisfying $\text{lcm}(o(\lambda + \Lambda_g), o(j)) = n$. It follows from $q(V_{\lambda+\Lambda_g}(j)) = 0$ that $q(\lambda + \Lambda_g) = 0$ by (6.2). Set $k = o(\lambda + \Lambda_g)$. We may assume that $k \neq 0$; otherwise, by Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 5.3, there exists $\xi \in \text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ such that $V_{\Lambda_g}(j) \circ \xi \cong V_{\mu+\Lambda_g}(j')$ for some $\mu \notin \Lambda_g$. Then $\lambda + \Lambda_g \in \mathcal{L}_{n,k}$, where $\mathcal{L}_{n,k}$ is defined as in (5.1). Set $k' = n/k$. Then $q(k'\gamma + \Lambda_g) = 0$ and $o(k'\gamma + \Lambda_g) = k$, and hence $k'\gamma + \Lambda_g \in \mathcal{L}_{g,k}$. By Proposition 2.4 and Lemmas 3.1 and 5.4, $V_{\lambda+\Lambda_g}(j)$ is conjugate to $V_{k'\gamma+\Lambda_g}(j')$ for some j' . Since $o(V_{k'\gamma+\Lambda_g}(j'))$ is still n , Lemma 6.4 shows $\text{lcm}(o(k'\gamma+\Lambda_g), o(j')) = n$. By Lemmas 5.4 and 6.4, $V_{k'\gamma+\Lambda_g}(j')$ is conjugate to $V_{\gamma+\Lambda_g}(j'')$ for some j'' . Recall that $(\chi_{\Delta}|\gamma) \in (1/n) + \mathbb{Z}$.

Then by Lemma 3.2, $V_{\gamma+\Lambda_g}(i'')$ is conjugate to $V_{\gamma+\Lambda_g}(0)$. Therefore we have proved this proposition. \square

6.2. Transitivity for the cases 6G and 10F. In this subsection, we assume that $g \in O(\Lambda)$ belongs to conjugacy classes 6G or 10F. Set $n = |g|$ and $p = n/2$. Then $|\hat{g}| = 2|g| = 2n$ on V_Λ , and $|\hat{g}| = |g| = n$ on V_{Λ_g} .

We now recall the quadratic space structure of $\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ from [La20a]. In order to do it, we have to describe certain vectors u and h in $\Lambda_g^* \cap (1/2)\Lambda_g$ as in [La20a, Section 5.2.2]; in the following, we recall how to choose u and h . By [ATLAS], $g^p \in O(\Lambda)$ belongs to the conjugacy class $2C$; thus, $\Lambda_{g^p} \cong \sqrt{2}D_{12}^+$ and $2\Lambda_{g^p}^* \cong \sqrt{2}D_{12}^+$. Note that $2\Lambda_{g^p}^* \cong \sqrt{2}D_{12}^+$ is not doubly even since $\sqrt{2}D_{12}^+$ has a norm 6 vector. As in [La20a, Section 5.2.2], let $E = \{x \in (1/2)\Lambda_{g^p} \mid (x|x) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then $2E$ is a maximal doubly even sublattice of $\Lambda_{g^p} \cong \sqrt{2}D_{12}^+$ and thus $2E \cong \sqrt{2}D_{12}$. Let h be a minimal norm vector of $E^* \cong \sqrt{2}D_{12}^*$. Then $(h|h) = 2$ and $(h|x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $x \in E$ and $(h|x) \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}$ for all $x \in (1/2)\Lambda_{g^p} \setminus E$. Let u be a minimal norm vector in $(1/2)\Lambda_{g^p} \setminus E$. Then $(u|u) = 3/2$. We may choose u so that $(h|u) = 1/2$ by using explicit description of D_{12} . Then $(1/2)\Lambda_{g^p} = E \cup (u + E)$ and $E^* = ((1/2)\Lambda_{g^p})^* \cup (h + ((1/2)\Lambda_{g^p})^*)$. We also may assume $u, h \in \Lambda_g^* \cap (1/2)\Lambda_g$.

Let us describe h and u under the identification $\Lambda_g \cong L_B(C)$ as in Section 5. Set $L = L_B(C)$ and $N = L_A(C)$. Recall that $L^* = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} (i\chi_\Delta + N^*)$ and $N = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} (i\gamma + L)$. Let h be a simple root of the root sublattice of N of type A_1 (cf. Table 2) and let u be a minimal norm vector in $(n/2)\chi_\Delta + L$. Then $h, u \in L^* \cap (1/2)L$ and $(h|h) = 2$, $(u|u) = 3/2$ and $(u|h) = \pm 1/2$; we may assume $(u|h) = 1/2$ by replacing h by $-h$ if necessary. In addition, h and u belong to the -1 -eigenspace of g^p . Hence, viewing h, u as vector in Λ under the isomorphism $\Lambda_g^* \cong L^*$, we have $h, u \in \Lambda_{g^p}^* \cap \Lambda_g^*$. Note that

$$(6.6) \quad h \in (n/2)\gamma + \Lambda_g.$$

Thus, these h and u agree with the choice discussed above.

Set

$$Y_g = \{v \in \Lambda_g^* \mid (v|u), (v|h) \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Then Λ_g^*/Y_g is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ since it is generated by $u + Y_g$ and $h + Y_g$. It follows from [La20a, Theorem 5.8] that as groups

$$\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}) = \{V_{\lambda+pu+qh+\Lambda_g}[\hat{g}^i](j) \mid \lambda + \Lambda_g \in Y_g/\Lambda_g, 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1, p, q \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

Here we choose labelling of $V_{\lambda+pu+qh+\Lambda_g}[\hat{g}^i](j)$ as in [La20a, Section 5.2.2]. In addition, as groups under the fusion products,

$$(6.7) \quad \text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}) = \{V_{\lambda+\Lambda_g}(0) \mid \lambda + \Lambda_g \in Y_g/\Lambda_g\} \times \{V_{pu+qh+\Lambda_g}[\hat{g}^i](j) \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1, p, q \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

Note that as groups

$$(6.8) \quad \{V_{\lambda+\Lambda_g}(0) \mid \lambda + \Lambda_g \in Y_g/\Lambda_g\} \cong Y_g/\Lambda_g,$$

and

$$(6.9) \quad \{V_{pu+qh+\Lambda_g}[\hat{g}^i](j) \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq n-1, p, q \in \{0, 1\}\} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z})^2.$$

The isomorphism

$$(6.10) \quad \text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}) \cong Y_g/\Lambda_g \times (\mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z})^2$$

is explicitly given in [La20a, (5.15)] as follows:

$$(6.11) \quad (\lambda + \Lambda_g, (i, j)) \mapsto \begin{cases} V_{\lambda+u+\Lambda_g}[\hat{g}^i](\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor) & (i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1, 0 \leq i \leq n-1), \\ V_{\lambda+\Lambda_g}[\hat{g}^i](\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor) & (i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq i \leq n-1), \\ V_{\lambda+h+u+\Lambda_g}[\hat{g}^i](\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor) & (i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1, n \leq i \leq 2n-1), \\ V_{\lambda+h+\Lambda_g}[\hat{g}^i](\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor) & (i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}, n \leq i \leq 2n-1). \end{cases}$$

For example, $(\Lambda_g, (1, 0))$ and $(\Lambda_g, (0, 1))$ correspond to $V_{u+\Lambda_g}[\hat{g}](0)$ and $V_{u+\Lambda_g}(0)$, respectively. We often use these notations. In addition, by [La20a, Theorem 5.8], the quadratic form $q : \text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is given by

$$(6.12) \quad q((\lambda + \Lambda_g, (i, j))) \equiv \varepsilon((\lambda + \Lambda_g, (i, j))) \equiv \frac{(\lambda|\lambda)}{2} + \frac{ij}{2n} + \frac{3}{4}(\overline{i+j}) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}},$$

where $\overline{i+j} = 0$ and 1 if $i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and $i+j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1$, respectively. Note that $\varepsilon(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})) \subset (1/2n)\mathbb{Z}$. Hence the two subgroups (6.8) and (6.9) are orthogonal with respect to the associated bilinear form.

By Table 2, the exponent of Y_g/Λ_g is n . By (6.10), the prime factors of the order of $\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ are 2 and $p = n/2$. Let $O_r(A)$ denote the maximal r -subgroup of the abelian group A for a prime r . It follows from $\gcd(p, 2) = 1$ that as quadratic space,

$$(6.13) \quad (\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}), q) \cong (O_2(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})), q) \times (O_p(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})), q);$$

note that the subgroups $O_2(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$ and $(O_p(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})))$ are commutative. Obviously, any element of $O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$ preserves both $O_2(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$ and $O_p(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$. Hence as groups

$$(6.14) \quad O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})) \cong O(O_2(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))) \times O(O_p(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))).$$

Now, we consider the set

$$(6.15) \quad \mathcal{S}_g = \{2a \mid a \in \text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}), o(a) = 2n, q(2a) = 0\},$$

where $o(a)$ denotes the order of a in the abelian group $\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$. Note that $V_{\Lambda_g}(1) \in \mathcal{S}_g$ since $V_{\Lambda_g}(1)$ corresponds to $(\Lambda_g, (0, 2)) = 2(\Lambda_g, (0, 1))$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_g(2) &= \{2a \mid a \in O_2(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})), \ o(a) = 4, \ q(2a) = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_g(p) &= \{a \in O_p(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})) \mid o(a) = p, \ q(a) = 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

By the quadratic space structure of $\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ in (6.10), (6.11) and (6.12), we obtain

$$(6.16) \quad \mathcal{S}_g(2) = \{(\Lambda_g, (n, 0)), (\Lambda_g, (0, n)), (\Lambda_g, (n, n))\},$$

$$(6.17) \quad \mathcal{S}_g(p) = \{(\mu + \Lambda_g, (4i, 4j)) \mid \mu + \Lambda_g \in O_p(Y_g/\Lambda_g), \ \frac{(\mu|\mu)}{2} + \frac{ij}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}\} \setminus \{(\Lambda_g, (0, 0))\}.$$

Note that $O_p(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$ is elementary abelian. By (6.12), for $a \in O_2(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$ (resp. $a \in O_p(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$), the value $q(a)$ belongs to $(1/4)\mathbb{Z}$ (resp. $(1/p)\mathbb{Z}$). Hence, under the isomorphism (6.13), we obtain

$$\mathcal{S}_g = \{(a, b) \mid a \in \mathcal{S}_g(2), \ b \in \mathcal{S}_g(p)\}.$$

We will prove that $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ acts transitively on \mathcal{S}_g .

Lemma 6.6. *$\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{S}_g(2)$.*

Proof. By (6.11) and (6.16),

$$(6.18) \quad \mathcal{S}_g(2) = \{V_{\Lambda_g}(p), V_{h+\Lambda_g}(0), V_{h+\Lambda_g}(p)\}.$$

By Proposition 4.4, along with $\Lambda_g \cong L_B(C)$ and the choice of h in (6.6), $V_{\Lambda_g}(p)$ and $V_{h+\Lambda_g}(0)$ are conjugate under $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$. It follows from $(u|h) = 1/2 = p/n$ and Lemma 3.2 that $V_{h+\Lambda_g}(0) \cong V_{h+\Lambda_g}(p) \circ \sigma_{(1-g)^{-1}u}$. \square

Lemma 6.7. *$\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{S}_g(p)$.*

Proof. Recall from Lemma 5.1 that $(1-g)\Lambda_g^* = \Lambda_g$. By Proposition 4.5, any element of $\mathcal{S}_g(p)$ is conjugate to $V_{\mu+\Lambda_g}(\ell)$ for some $\mu + \Lambda_g \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda_g)$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq n-1$. By the group structure of $\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ in (6.10) and (6.11), $V_{\mu+\Lambda_g}(\ell) \in \mathcal{S}_g(p)$ belongs to one of the following:

- (I) $\{V_{\Lambda_g}(2j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq p-1\}$;
- (II) $\{V_{\mu+\Lambda_g}(2j) \mid \mu + \Lambda_g \in \mathcal{L}_{g,p}, \ 0 \leq j \leq p-1\}$.

By Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 5.5, any two elements in (II) are conjugate by some element in $C_{\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g})}(\hat{g})/\langle \hat{g} \rangle \subset \text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$. By Proposition 4.4, any element in (I) is also conjugate to some element in (II). Therefore we obtain this lemma. \square

Lemma 6.8. *The automorphism $\sigma_{(1-g)^{-1}u}$ permutes two elements in $\mathcal{S}_g(2)$, and it fixes every element in $\mathcal{S}_g(p)$.*

Proof. The former assertion follows from $(u|h) = 1/2$, Lemma 3.2 and (6.18).

It follows from $(u|Y_g) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and Lemma 3.2 that $\sigma_{(1-g)^{-1}u}$ fixes $(\mu + \Lambda_g, (0, 0))$ for any $\mu + \Lambda_g \in Y_g/\Lambda_g$. By (6.17), it suffices to prove that $\sigma_{(1-g)^{-1}u}$ fixes every element in $\{(4i, 4j) \mid 0 \leq i, j < p\}$, the set of all order 1 and p elements in $(\mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z})^2$. By Lemma 3.7, $\sigma_{(1-g)^{-1}u}$ exchanges $(\Lambda_g, (1, 1)) = V_{\Lambda_g}[\hat{g}](0)$ and $(\Lambda_g, (1, 0)) = V_{u+\Lambda_g}[\hat{g}](0)$ (cf. (6.11)). Hence $\sigma_{(1-g)^{-1}u}$ fixes $(2, 1) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z})^2$, and $4(2, 1) = (8, 4) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z})^2$. It also fixes $(\Lambda_g, (4, 0)) = V_{\Lambda_g}(2)$ by Lemma 3.2. Hence $\sigma_{(1-g)^{-1}u}$ fixes every element in $\langle (8, 4), (4, 0) \rangle = \{(4i, 4j) \mid 0 \leq i, j < p\}$. \square

Proposition 6.9. *$\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ acts transitively on the set \mathcal{S}_g .*

Proof. Recall from (6.18) that $|\mathcal{S}_g(2)| = 3$; let $\mathcal{S}_g(2) = \{a, b, c\}$. Set $G = \text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$. Let G_a be the stabilizer of a in G . By Lemma 6.6, we have $|G : G_a| = 3$. Take $y \in \mathcal{S}_g(p)$ and set $\mathcal{O} = \{gy \mid g \in G_a\}$. If $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{S}_g(p)$, then we obtain the result by Lemma 6.6.

Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\mathcal{O} \neq \mathcal{S}_g(p)$. Then by Lemmas 6.6 and 6.7, $|\mathcal{S}_g(p)|/|\mathcal{O}| = 3$; there exist G_a -orbits \mathcal{O}' and \mathcal{O}'' such that $\mathcal{S}_g(p) = \mathcal{O} \cup \mathcal{O}' \cup \mathcal{O}''$ and the G -orbit of (a, y) must be

$$(6.19) \quad (\{a\} \times \mathcal{O}) \cup (\{b\} \times \mathcal{O}') \cup (\{c\} \times \mathcal{O}').$$

However, this is not a G -orbit by Lemma 6.8, which is a contradiction. \square

6.3. Shapes of the automorphism groups of $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$. In this subsection, we describe the shapes of the automorphism groups of $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$ for $g \in 4C, 6E, 6G, 8E$, and $10F$.

The quadratic space structure of $(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}), q)$ and the subset \mathcal{S}_g of $\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ are given in (6.2) and (6.5) (resp in (6.4) and (6.15)) if g is in the conjugacy class $4C, 6G$ or $8E$ (resp. $6E$ or $10F$). By using MAGMA, we obtain the following proposition; note that in Table 4, $[2^s]$ means a 2-group of order 2^s .

Proposition 6.10. *Let $g \in O(\Lambda)$ whose conjugacy class is $4C, 6E, 6G, 8E$ or $10F$. Then the shape of the orthogonal group $O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$ is given as in Table 4. Moreover, $O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$ acts transitively on \mathcal{S}_g and the shape of the stabilizer of an element in \mathcal{S}_g is also given as in Table 4.*

Table 4: Orthogonal groups $O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$

Class	$\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$	$O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$
-------	---------------------------------------	--

$4C$	$2^2 4^6$	$2^{22}.\text{GO}_7(2)$
$6E$	$2^6 3^6$	$\text{GO}_6^+(2) \times \text{GO}_6^+(3)$
$6G$	$2^4 4^2 3^5$	$2^{5+4}.(\text{GO}_4^+(2) \times \text{Sym}_3) \times \text{GO}_5(3)$
$8E$	$2.4.8^4$	$2^{12+9}.\text{Sym}_6$
$10F$	$2^2 4^2 5^4$	$(Q_8 : 2^2).(\text{Sym}_3 \times \text{Sym}_3) \times \text{GO}_4^+(5)$
Class	Stabilizer	$C_{\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g})}(\hat{g})/\langle \hat{g} \rangle$
$4C$	$2^{16+5}.\text{Sym}_6$	$[2^{20}].\text{Sym}_6$
$6E$	$6^4.(\text{GO}_4^+(2) \times \text{GO}_4^+(3))$	$6^4.(\text{GO}_4^+(2) \times \text{GO}_4^+(3))$
$6G$	$2^{5+4}.(\text{GO}_4^+(2) \times 2) \times 3^3.(2 \times \text{Sym}_4)$	$2^3.6^3.(2 \times \text{Sym}_4 \times \text{Alt}_4^2).2$
$8E$	$(2^6 \times 4^2).2^6.\text{Sym}_3$	$[2^{15}].\text{Sym}_3$
$10F$	$(Q_8 : 2^2).(\text{Sym}_3 \times 2) \times 5^2.\text{Dih}_8$	$2^2.10^2.(\text{Dih}_8^2)$

By Proposition 6.5 (resp. Proposition 6.9), $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ acts transitively on \mathcal{S}_g if $g \in 4C, 6G, 8E$ (resp. $g \in 6E, 10F$). Hence by Propositions 6.10, the index of $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ in $O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$ is equal to that of $C_{\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g})}(\hat{g})/\langle \hat{g} \rangle$ in the stabilizer of an element of \mathcal{S}_g in $O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$; the index is 2, 1, 4, 2, 3 if $g \in 4C, 6E, 6G, 8E, 10F$, respectively; By Propositions 3.4 and 6.5, the stabilizer of an element of \mathcal{S}_g in $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ is isomorphic to $C_{\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g})}(\hat{g})/\langle \hat{g} \rangle$.

By using MAGMA, we can determine the subgroups G of $O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$ satisfying these conditions:

- (1) the index $|O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})) : G|$ is 2, 1, 4, 2, 3 if $g \in 4C, 6E, 6G, 8E, 10F$, respectively;
- (2) G acts transitively on \mathcal{S}_g ;
- (3) the stabilizer of an element in \mathcal{S}_g in G is isomorphic to that of $C_{\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g})}(\hat{g})/\langle \hat{g} \rangle$ in Table 4.

It turns out that the subgroups satisfying the above conditions are uniquely determined up to conjugation in $O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$ in each case and we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6.11. *Let $g \in O(\Lambda)$.*

- (1) *If g belongs to the conjugacy class $4C$, then $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ is isomorphic to the index 2 subgroup of the full orthogonal group of $O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$ and has the shape*

$$2^{21}.\text{GO}_7(2).$$

- (2) *If g belongs to the conjugacy class $6E$, then $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ is isomorphic to the full orthogonal group of $O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$ and has the shape*

$$\text{GO}_6^+(2) \times \text{GO}_6^+(3).$$

(3) If g belongs to the conjugacy class $6G$, then $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ is isomorphic to an index 4 subgroup of the full orthogonal group of $O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$ and has the shape

$$2^{5+4}.\text{Sym}_3 \times \text{Sym}_3 \times \text{Sym}_3 \times \Omega_5(3).2.$$

(4) If g belongs to the conjugacy class $8E$, then $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ is isomorphic to the index 2 subgroup of the full orthogonal group of $O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$ and has the shape

$$2^{11+9}.\text{Sym}_6.$$

(5) If g belongs to the conjugacy class $10F$, then $\text{Aut}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}})$ is isomorphic to an index 3 subgroup of the full orthogonal group of $O(\text{Irr}(V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}))$ and has the shape

$$(Q_8 : 2^2).(2 \times \text{Sym}_3) \times \text{GO}_4^+(5).$$

Remark 6.12. By (6.5), (6.15) and Propositions 6.5 and 6.9, if the conjugacy class of $g \in O(\Lambda)$ is $4C$, $6E$, $6G$, $8E$ or $10F$, then $V_{\Lambda}^{\hat{g}}$ has an automorphism which sends $V_{\Lambda_g}(1)$ to an irreducible $V_{\Lambda_g}^{\hat{g}}$ -module of twisted type.

REFERENCES

- [ATLAS] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker and R.A. Wilson, ATLAS of finite groups. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [ALY18] T. Abe, C.H. Lam and H. Yamada, Abe, Extensions of tensor products of \mathbb{Z}_p -orbifold models of the lattice vertex operator algebra $V_{\sqrt{2}A_{p-1}}$, *J. Algebra* **510** (2018), 24–51.
- [BK04] B. Bakalov, and V.G.Kac, Twisted modules over lattice vertex algebras, *Lie theory and its applications in physics V*, 3–26, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2004.
- [BCP97] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system I: The user language, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **24** (1997), 235–265.
- [Bo86] R.E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **83** (1986), 3068–3071.
- [CM] S. Carnahan and M. Miyamoto, Regularity of fixed-point vertex operator subalgebras; arXiv:1603.05645.
- [CLS18] H.Y. Chen, C.H. Lam and H. Shimakura, On \mathbb{Z}_3 -orbifold construction of the Moonshine vertex operator algebra, *Math. Z.* **288** (2018), 75–100.
- [CLM] N. Chigira, C.H. Lam, M. Miyamoto, Orbifold construction and Lorentzian construction of Leech lattice vertex operator algebra; arXiv:2104.03098.
- [CS99] J.H. Conway and N.J.A. Sloane, Sphere packings, lattices and groups, 3rd Edition, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [DJX13] C. Dong, X. Jiao and F. Xu, Quantum dimensions and quantum Galois theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **365** (2013), 6441–6469.
- [DL96] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, The algebraic structure of relative twisted vertex operators, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **110** (1996), 259–295.

- [DLM00] C. Dong, H. Li, and G. Mason, Modular-invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory and generalized Moonshine, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **214** (2000), 1–56.
- [DN99] C. Dong and K. Nagatomo, Automorphism groups and twisted modules for lattice vertex operator algebras, *in* Recent developments in quantum affine algebras and related topics (Raleigh, NC, 1998), 117–133, *Contemp. Math.*, **248**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [DRX17] C. Dong, L. Ren and F. Xu, On Orbifold Theory, *Adv. Math.* **321** (2017), 1–30.
- [ELMS21] J. van Ekeren, C.H. Lam, S. Möller and H. Shimakura, Schellekens’ List and the Very Strange Formula, *Adv. Math.*, **380** (2021), 107567.
- [EMS20] J. van Ekeren, S. Möller and N. Scheithauer, Construction and classification of holomorphic vertex operator algebras, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **759** (2020), 61–99.
- [FHL93] I.B. Frenkel, Y. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104** (1993), viii+64 pp.
- [FLM88] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex operator algebras and the Monster, Pure and Appl. Math., Vol.134, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [Gr98] R. L. Griess, Jr., A vertex operator algebra related to E_8 with automorphism group $O^+(10, 2)$. *The Monster and Lie algebras* (Columbus, OH, 1996), 43–58, Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ., 7, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1998.
- [HL90] K. Harada and M.L. Lang, On some sublattices of the Leech lattice, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **19** (1990), 435–446.
- [Hö] G. Höhn, On the genus of the Moonshine module, arXiv:1708.05990.
- [HM] G. Höhn and S. Möller, Systematic Orbifold Constructions of Schellekens’ Vertex Operator Algebras from Niemeier Lattices; arXiv:2010.00849.
- [HL95] Y.Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, A theory of tensor product for module category of a vertex operator algebra, III, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **100** (1995), 141–171.
- [La20a] C.H. Lam, Cyclic orbifolds of lattice vertex operator algebras having group-like fusions, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **110** (2020), 1081–1112.
- [La20b] C.H. Lam, Automorphism group of an orbifold vertex operator algebra associated with the Leech lattice, *in* Vertex operator algebras, number theory and related topics, 127–138, *Contemp. Math.*, **753**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2020.
- [La] C.H. Lam, Some observations about the automorphism groups of certain orbifold vertex operator algebras, to appear in RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu.
- [LS20] C.H. Lam and H. Shimakura, On orbifold constructions associated with the Leech lattice vertex operator algebra, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **168** (2020), 261–285.
- [LS] C.H. Lam and H. Shimakura, Extra automorphisms of cyclic orbifolds of lattice vertex operator algebras; arXiv:2103.08085.
- [LY14] C.H. Lam and H. Yamauchi, On 3-transposition groups generated by σ -involutions associated to $c = 4/5$ Virasoro vectors, *J. Algebra*, **416** (2014), 84–121.
- [Le85] J. Lepowsky, Calculus of twisted vertex operators, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **82** (1985), 8295–8299.
- [Li96] H. Li, Local systems of twisted vertex operators, vertex operator superalgebras and twisted modules, *in* Moonshine, the Monster, and related topics, 203–236, *Contemp. Math.*, **193**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

- [Mi15] M. Miyamoto, C_2 -cofiniteness of cyclic-orbifold models, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **335** (2015), 1279–1286.
- [MS] S. Möller, N.R. Scheithauer, Dimension Formulae and Generalised Deep Holes of the Leech Lattice Vertex Operator Algebra; arXiv:1910.04947.
- [Sc93] A.N. Schellekens, Meromorphic $c = 24$ conformal field theories, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **153** (1993), 159–185.
- [Sh04] H. Shimakura, The automorphism group of the vertex operator algebra V_L^+ for an even lattice L without roots, *J. Algebra* **280** (2004), 29–57.

(K. Betsumiya) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, HIROSAKI UNIVERSITY, HIROSAKI 036-8561, JAPAN

Email address: `betsumi@hirosaki-u.ac.jp`

(C. H. Lam) INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI 10617, TAIWAN

Email address: `chlam@math.sinica.edu.tw`

(H. Shimakura) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INFORMATION SCIENCES, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, SENDAI, 980-8579, JAPAN

Email address: `shimakura@tohoku.ac.jp`