Time crystals made of electron-positron pairs
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We show that in the greatly simplified model of the mutually interacting electron-positron pairs and the electric field, time-crystal structures can spontaneously form. For a special choice of parameters we find periodic fluctuations of the pair number and the electric field.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ta, 03.65.Pm

I. INTRODUCTION

The concept of time crystals introduced originally by Wilczek [1, 2] has undergone various modifications [3], but retained its basic property defined in a review paper [4] as “spontaneous breaking of time translation symmetry”. In the present work we show that time crystals may appear in a relativistic system: the “Dirac sea” state of the electron-positron pairs coupled in a self-consistent way with the electric field. This is a continuation of our previous attempt [5] to describe the appearance and disappearance of electron-positron pairs.

II. DIRAC-HEISENBERG-WIGNER FUNCTION IN QED

Thirty years ago the analog of the Wigner function has been introduced [6, 7] to describe the quantum state of the system of the Dirac particles in quantum electrodynamics. For historical reasons, it was named the Dirac-Heisenberg-Wigner (DHW) function. The DHW function is based on the expectation value, evaluated in a state $|\Phi\rangle$, of the commutator of the Dirac field operators $\Psi_\alpha(\vec{r} + \vec{s}/2, t)$ and $\Psi^\dagger_\beta(\vec{r} - \vec{s}/2, t)$, $(\hbar = 1, c = 1)$,

$$W_{\alpha\beta}(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t) = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^3s \exp(-i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{s}) \exp\left(-ie \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\lambda \vec{s} \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{r} + \lambda \vec{s}, t)\right) \langle \Phi | [\Psi_\alpha(\vec{r} + \vec{s}/2, t), \Psi^\dagger_\beta(\vec{r} - \vec{s}/2, t)] |\Phi\rangle. \quad (1)$$

The field operators are assumed to obey the Dirac equation in the presence of the electromagnetic field $A_\mu(\vec{r}, t)$. The second exponential factor in (1) makes the DHW function gauge invariant.

We will study the interaction of the electron-positron system with the electromagnetic field with the use of the DHW function. This system was described in [6] by the following set of nonlinear integro-differential equations obeyed by the 16 components of the DHW function,

$$D_t f_0 + \vec{D} \cdot \vec{g}_1 = 0, \quad (2a)$$
$$D_t f_1 + \vec{D} \cdot \vec{g}_0 = -2mf_2, \quad (2b)$$
$$D_t f_2 + 2\vec{p} \cdot \vec{g}_3 = 2mf_1, \quad (2c)$$
$$D_t f_3 - 2\vec{p} \cdot \vec{g}_2 = 0, \quad (2d)$$
$$D_t \vec{g}_0 + \vec{D} f_1 - 2\vec{p} \times \vec{g}_1 = 0, \quad (2e)$$
$$D_t \vec{g}_1 + \vec{D} f_0 - 2\vec{p} \times \vec{g}_0 = -2m\vec{g}_2, \quad (2f)$$
$$D_t \vec{g}_2 + \vec{D} \times \vec{g}_3 + 2\vec{p} f_3 = 2m\vec{g}_1, \quad (2g)$$
$$D_t \vec{g}_3 - \vec{D} \times \vec{g}_2 - 2\vec{p} f_2 = 0, \quad (2h)$$

where $m$ is the electron mass and

$$D_t = \partial_t + e\vec{E}(\vec{r}, t) \cdot \vec{D}_p, \quad (3)$$
$$\vec{D} = \nabla + e\vec{B}(\vec{r}, t) \times \vec{D}_p. \quad (4)$$

This set of equations is made self-consistent by including the Maxwell equations in which the coupling of the elec-
The electromagnetic field to the electric current is described by the appropriate components of the DHW function,
\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \vec{B} &= -\nabla \times \vec{E}, \\
\nabla \cdot \vec{B} &= 0, \\
\partial_t \epsilon_0 \vec{E} &= \nabla \times \mu_0^{-1} \vec{B} - \vec{j}, \\
\nabla \cdot \epsilon_0 \vec{E} &= \rho,
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
\rho(\vec{r},t) = e \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} f_0(\vec{r},\vec{p},t),
\]
\[
\vec{j}(\vec{r},t) = e \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \vec{g}_1(\vec{r},\vec{p},t).
\]

Solving all these equations in the general case is a hopeless task. However, a greatly simplified model suffices to generate time-crystal structures. This model is defined as follows. We take Eqs. (4) and (5) as the starting point. Next, we search for simple solutions of these equations disregarding the question of whether there exist a corresponding quantum state \(\ket{\Phi}\). We hope that our model still describes certain aspects of the physical system under consideration. This hope is strengthened by the discovery of its rich and unexpected properties.

In our simple model, we assume that the magnetic field is absent and the electric field is unidirectional and homogeneous in space. Then, we may assume that the functions \(f_i\) and \(g_i\) do not depend on \(\vec{r}\) and that all vectors point in the direction of the electric field. The coupling of electron-positron pairs to the electric field is through the electric current \(\vec{j}\) which is proportional to \(\vec{g}_1 = \text{Tr}\{\gamma^0 \gamma \vec{W}\}\). In order to obtain a closed set of equations we also need \(\vec{g}_2 = \text{Tr}\{-i\gamma^0 \gamma \vec{W}\}\) which does not have a clear physical interpretation and \(f_3 = \text{Tr}\{\gamma^0 \vec{W}\}\) related to the number of pairs \(N_p\).

\[
f_3 = \frac{2m}{\sqrt{m^2 + p^2}} (N_p - 2).
\]

Under our simplifying assumptions, the remaining components of \(\vec{W}\) do not couple to our three chosen components and they can be set equal to zero. The evolution equations for the DHW function are reduced to the following set of partial differential equations for the relevant components of vectors,
\[
\begin{align*}
D_t f_3(p, t) &= 2pg_2(p, t), \\
D_t g_1(p, t) &= -2mg_2(p, t), \\
D_t g_2(p, t) &= 2mg_1(p, t) - 2pf_3(p, t),
\end{align*}
\]
where \(D_t = \partial_t + E(t) \partial_p\).

In order to simplify the analysis, we convert this set of equations to the canonical form. This is achieved by replacing the independent variables \((p, t)\) by \((p_c - eA(t), t)\) in all functions, where \(p_c\) is the canonical momentum.

The functions dependent on \((p_c, t)\) will be distinguished by adding tildes,
\[
\tilde{f}_3(p_c, t) = f_3(p_c - eA(t), t), \quad \text{etc.}
\]

The combined two derivatives of the old functions are equal to the derivative with respect to \(t\) of the new functions, for example, \(D_t f_3(p_c - eA(t), t) = \partial_t \tilde{f}_3(p_c, t)\).

The set of differential equations for the new functions is,
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{f}_3(p_c, t) &= 2(p_c - eA(t)) \tilde{g}_2(p_c, t), \\
\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{g}_1(p_c, t) &= -2m \tilde{g}_2(p_c, t), \\
\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{g}_2(p_c, t) &= 2mg_1(p_c, t) - 2(p_c - eA(t)) \tilde{f}_3(p_c, t).
\end{align*}
\]

The derivatives with respect to momentum disappeared because the transition from the kinetic to the canonical momentum is mathematically equivalent to the method of characteristics. It is worth noting that this purely mathematical method based on “the equivalence of a first order partial differential equation with a certain system of ordinary differential equations” \(^3\) acquired here a clear physical meaning of the transition from the kinetic to the canonical momentum.

We shall now complete the set of equations by adding the following two equations (remnants of Maxwell equations) which relate the vector potential \(A(t)\) to the electric field \(E(t)\) and couple the current to the electric field,
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt} A(t) &= -E(t), \\
\frac{d}{dt} E(t) &= -\gamma \tilde{g}_1(p_c, t).
\end{align*}
\]

The constant \(\gamma\) in the last equation is needed to relate the phase-space distribution \(\tilde{g}_1(p_c, t)\) to the electric current. This constant, however, plays no role in the analysis of the solutions of the evolution equations because it disappears when the evolution equations are expressed in terms of the following dimensionless functions,
\[
M_x = \frac{e\gamma \tilde{f}_3}{2m}, \quad M_y = \frac{e\gamma \tilde{g}_1}{2m}, \quad M_z = \frac{e\gamma \tilde{g}_2}{2m},
\]
\[
X = -\frac{eA}{m} + \frac{p_c}{m}, \quad P = \frac{eE}{m}, \quad \tau = mt.
\]
are, 
\[ \frac{d}{d\tau} M_x(t) = 2X(t)M_z(t), \]  
\[ \frac{d}{d\tau} M_y(t) = -2M_z(t), \]  
\[ \frac{d}{d\tau} M_z(t) = 2M_y(t) - 2X(t)M_x(t), \]  
\[ \frac{d}{d\tau} X(t) = P(t), \]  
\[ \frac{d}{d\tau} P(t) = -2M_y(t), \]  
These equations have two constants of motion,  
\[ H = \frac{P^2}{2} + 2XM_y + 2M_x \]  
and  
\[ \mathcal{M}^2 = M_x^2 + M_y^2 + M_z^2. \]  
They can be cast into the canonical Hamiltonian form  
\[ d/d\tau F = \{F,H\} \]  
with the following choice of the non-vanishing Poisson brackets,  
\[ \{X,P\} = 1, \{M_i,M_j\} = \varepsilon_{ijk}M_k. \]  
The Hamiltonian cannot be interpreted as the energy because it is not positive definite; it can take any value.

III. TIME CRYSTALS

The space of solutions of equations (14) can be parameterized by \( q \) and by the initial values of all dependent variables at \( t = 0 \). For a random choice of parameters we obtain irregular orbits like the one shown in Fig. 1. For special choices of the initial values we obtain perfect time crystals.

At first glance all time crystals have the same general form as shown in Fig. 2. They seem to have two periods of oscillations. The shorter period is equal to \( \pi \) in our dimensionless units. This period is due to Zitterbewegung, \( i.e. \) to the oscillations of the quantum-mechanical phase of the wave function of the electron-positron pair with the frequency (in full form)  
\[ \omega = \frac{2c}{\hbar} \sqrt{m^2c^2 + p^2}. \]  
The modulation with the longer period \( T \) describes a periodic bunching of pairs. Pairs appear and disappear forming a periodic structure that deserves the name of the time crystal. The changes of the number of pairs in time is accompanied, of course, by the periodic changes of the remaining variables.

A closer scrutiny reveals a rich variety of time crystals. The unit cells of different time crystals have markedly different structures. We illustrate these differences in Figs. 3-6. Each figure represents four superimposed plots of the number of pairs \( M_x(\tau), M_y(\tau + T), M_z(\tau + 2T), M_x(\tau + 3T) \). The fact that these plots differ less than the line thickness is a direct proof of periodicity. All figures were generated with the initial values,  
\[ M_x(0) = 0.099, \ M_y(0) = -0.027, \ M_z(0) = 0, \]  
\[ P(0) = 0.006. \]  
The values of \( X(0) \) were chosen by trial and error to obtain periodic structures. The intriguing feature shown

FIG. 1. Fluctuations in the number of pairs as a function of \( \tau \) for a random choice of parameters.

FIG. 2. Fluctuations in the number of pairs as a function of \( \tau \) in the time crystal for \( X(0) = 0.2509 \).

FIG. 3. The unit cell of the time crystal for \( X(0) = -0.12171 \) and \( T = 27.43\pi \).
in Figs. 3 and 4 is the substructure of the unit cells, which are made of very similar but not identical subunits.

To end this Section, we would like to add a few remarks about the chaotic behavior of the solutions of Eqs. (14). This set of equations has the same general structure (linear and quadratic terms on the right hand side) as the prominent equations (Lorentz, Rössler, and Hénon-Heiles) which serve as workhorses in the analysis of chaotic behavior, cf., for example, [9]. The study of chaos in the system described by Eqs. (14) is worth a detailed analysis. Here we present only the results of our cursory investigation of this issue illustrated by the plots of the Poincaré maps for one of our periodic orbits and one chaotic orbit. The Poincaré maps in Figs. 7 and 8 were obtained by sampling the trajectory at times, when the value of the electric field $P(t)$ changes sign. The points represent the values of $M_x$ and $M_y$ at the sampling times.

IV. THE QUANTUM HAMILTONIAN

The full Hamiltonian structure of our dynamical system brings forth the question of its canonical quantization. The simplest version of the quantum Hamiltonian is obtained by replacing $X$ and $P$ by the operators $x$ and $-id/dx$ and $M_i$ by the Pauli matrices. In this way we reproduce the classical algebra of Poisson brackets by the quantum algebra of commutators. The quantum Hamiltonian becomes,

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 2x \sigma_y + 2 \sigma_x.$$  (19)
The eigenvalue problem $\hat{H}\Psi = \mathcal{E}\Psi$ for this Hamiltonian is a set of two coupled second order equations for the two-component wave function $\{\psi_1, \psi_2\}$. After the rescaling $x = 2^{-2/3}y$ and a convenient change of the basis,

$$\psi_1(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi_1(y) + i\phi_2(y)),$$

$$\psi_2(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi_2(y) + i\phi_1(y)), \quad (20)$$

we obtain the following set of equations,

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{dy^2} - \mathcal{E}/2^{1/3} + y\right) \phi_1(y) + 2^{2/3} \phi_2(y) = 0,$$

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{dy^2} - \mathcal{E}/2^{1/3} - y\right) \phi_2(y) + 2^{2/3} \phi_1(y) = 0. \quad (21)$$

We have not found analytic solutions but the application of a version of the shooting method (cf., for example, [10]) enabled us to find the eigenfunctions. Our Hamiltonian has a continuous spectrum and, like its classical counterpart, is not bounded from below. The search for the eigenfunctions proceeded as follows. We have taken the eigenvalue $\mathcal{E}$ and searched for the initial values of the wave function which will produce a regular solution. This search was made simpler by bracketing the correct value, as in the standard shooting method. Since the normalization of the wave function is irrelevant, we took 1 as the initial value of one of the components and we searched for the value of the derivative of the other component which will produce regular functions. The remaining two initial values were set to 0. In this way, we generated the plot of the functions for positive values of $y$. The functions for negative values were generated for the same initial conditions at $y = 0$. There is an expected double degeneracy for each value of the energy. Two solutions which belong to the same energy are shown in Figs. 9 and 10. We also calculated the two components of the eigenfunction for $\mathcal{E} = 5$, shown in Fig. 11. In these plots, we scaled all eigenfunctions in the same way but, of course, the normalization of the eigenfunctions which belong to the continuous spectrum is arbitrary. All eigenfunctions seem to have a similar slow fall-off with increasing $|y|$ and the spacing between maxima decreases with the increase of the energy.

It remains an open question whether our periodic classical trajectories have their counterparts in the quantum case. They could appear as bound states embedded in the continuum but we found no trace of this.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that our model of electron-positron pairs coupled in a self-consistent way with the electric field, despite all the simplifications, exhibits rich properties seen at both the classical and the quantum levels. At the classical level we found periodic solutions of the differential equations that can be interpreted as time crystals made of electron-positron pairs. At the quantum level
we found the eigenstates of the quantum Hamiltonian operator.