Butterflies dragging the jets: on the chaotic nature of holographic QCD
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In this paper, we bring together two topics in the holographic correspondence - quantum chaos and quark-gluon plasma. We establish that the first relativistic correction to drag force is fixed by a butterfly velocity. This opens the way to measure butterfly velocity of strongly-coupled theories experimentally. For a jet quenching parameter, we find that it is related to the butterfly velocity, temperature, and entropy in the spirit of “Planckian bound”.

I. INTRODUCTION

Holographic correspondence has proven to be a useful method to study quantum systems in different physical setups. The broad range of the holography applications varies from applications to the application of the heavy ions collisions and thermal QCD [1–3] to condensed matter theory [4, 5] and quantum information realm [6]. The discussion about relation between the AdS/CFT correspondence and real physical systems largely started from papers [7, 8] establishing the universal result concerning the viscosity to entropy ratio $\eta/s$ in holographic quantum systems

$$\text{KPSS viscosity relation: } \eta/s \sim \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\hbar}{k_B}. \quad (1)$$

After the experiments at RHIC (for references and review see [9]) many probes amenable to the holographic description have been introduced and studied in the theory of strongly interacting systems and QGP in particular. In this work we focus mainly on the drag force [9, 10] and jet quenching parameter [11]. Both of them are related to the energy and momentum loss for projectiles moving in a strongly interacting quantum system (quark-gluon plasma) but their origin and properties are slightly different. Summarizing the differences we would like to stress that

- Drag force is associated with the momentum loss of a single quark (charge carrier) moving in the strongly interacting medium.
- The jet quenching coefficient $\hat{q}$ plays the role of the collective transport coefficient describing the momentum broadening\(^1\). This coefficient is important for the description of a radiative parton energy loss. It is worth to notice that the jet quenching probes different scales of medium.

As we invoke the analogy between $\hat{q}$ and a transport coefficient\(^2\), the relation between drag force and conductivity [12], and taking into account their tractability in AdS/CFT correspondence context one can raise the question on the connections between $\hat{q}$, drag force and chaotic characteristics of the medium. The motivation for this takes its roots in [13] where it was suggested that the diffusion $D$ can be determined by some characteristic velocity $v$

$$\text{Hartnoll bound: } D \sim \frac{\hbar^2}{k_B T}. \quad (2)$$

In [20] this statement has been clarified for a holographic theories with particle-hole symmetry and transformed into the relation between charge diffusion\(^3\), butterfly velocity $v_B$ [15] and the temperature

$$\text{Blake relation: } D_c = C \cdot \frac{v_B^2}{2\pi T}, \quad (3)$$

where the constant of proportionality depends only on the details of the infrared theory, namely $C = d_\theta/\Delta_\chi$. Here $d_\theta$ is the effective spatial dimensionality of the fixed point and $\Delta_\chi$ is the scaling dimension of the susceptibility. In [21] this relation has been extended for a more general class of theories and thermal diffusivity $D_T$

$$\text{BDS relation: } D_T \sim v_B^2 \tau_L, \quad (4)$$

where $\tau_L = (2\pi T)^{-1}$ is the Lyapunov time.

Our paper argues that the drag force and jet quenching parameter also has the imprint of universality analogous to [11–3]. We show how they are related to the butterfly velocity, entropy, and temperature. First of all, we obtain that in the holographic systems for small velocities $\nu$ of charge carrier (quark) the momentum loss properly normalized on the entropy density $dP_s/dt$ is fixed by the butterfly velocity up to a first “non-relativistic” $v^3$ term

$$dP_s/dt = -v + B \cdot v^3 + ..., \quad B = \frac{1}{(d-1) v_B^2}. \quad (5)$$

One may consider this identity as the microscopic manifesta-
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\(^2\)The momentum broadening is defined as the probability of momentum increase by the hard parton after propagating through a medium.

\(^3\)Sometimes $\hat{q}$ is called a jet transport coefficient.
tion of the relations between diffusion and butterfly velocity. It is worth noticing that in principle this relation allows to measure butterfly velocity in a straightforward manner in experimental setups.

To reveal a similar universal relation for \( \dot{q} \) one should remember that it is especially sensitive to the anisotropy - we always have to choose two directions (direction of momentum broadening and parton orientation). Taking this into account the dependence resembling (2) and (3) can also be written down. We suggest two different forms of this relation

\[
\dot{q} \sim \frac{T}{v_y} s_{XY},
\]

(6)

where \( v_B^{(y)} \) is the butterfly velocity specific for the direction \( y \), \( s_{XY} \) is the “entropy density” associated with the plane \( XY \). By \( V_B \) we denote the universal “butterfly coefficient” relating the anisotropic butterfly velocities \( v_B^{(i)} \) and the “entropy densities” \( s_{X,i} \) associated with the plane \( X - i \).

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec.II we discuss and obtain (4) and in Sec.III we derive the relations (6). In Appendices we provide all necessary calculation details concerning drag force, jet quenching and butterfly velocity.

II. THE CHAOTIC ORIGIN OF THE DRAG FORCE

We consider \( d + 1 \)-dimensional metric of the form

\[
ds^2 = g_{tt} dt^2 + g_{uu} du^2 + g_{ii} dx^i dx^i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, d - 1,
\]

(7)

where we assume \( g_{ij} \) to be diagonal and the horizon located at \( u = u_h \) fixes the behaviour of the metric components as

\[
g_{tt} \sim c_0 (u - u_h) \Big|_{u = u_h}, \quad g_{uu} = \frac{c_1}{(u - u_h)} \Big|_{u = u_h},
\]

(8)

leading us to the temperature and entropy density in dual theory

\[
s = \left. \frac{\sqrt{\det g}}{4G_N} \right|_{u = u_h}, \quad T = \left. \frac{\sqrt{- (g_{tt})' (g_{uu})'}}{4\pi} \right|_{u = u_h},
\]

(9)

where \( G_N \) is a gravitational constant. When \( g_{ij} \) is diagonal one can write down the entropy as the contribution of metric components corresponding to each spatial direction

\[
s = \frac{1}{4G_N} \sqrt{s_1 \cdot s_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot s_i}, \quad s_i = g_{ii},
\]

(10)

which reduces to \( s = \frac{1}{4G_N} g_{xx}^{(d-1)/2} \) when \( g_{ij} = g_{xx} \delta_{ij} \).

We consider a heavy particle (quark or charge carrier) moving in the strongly interacting thermal medium with the temperature \( T \) at constant velocity \( v \). According to gauge/gravity duality the bulk description of this particle is given by a classical string hanging from the asymptotical AdS boundary. The boundary condition for this string is fixed by the particle worldline \( x = v \cdot t \) and this leads us to the string ansatz for the worldsheet \( x(t, u) \) of the form

\[
x(t, u) = vt + \xi(u).
\]

(11)

As the particle moves through the medium it exhibits the momentum loss \( dp_x/dt \). The calculation of this drag force is well known \[9,10\] and the details of the derivation can be found in the appendix A. As a result one can get that the string dynamic depends on the special bulk point \( u_c \) fixed by the condition

\[
\left. (g_{tt} + g_{xx} v^2) \right|_{u = u_c} = 0,
\]

(12)

while the momentum loss is defined by this point as

\[
\left. \frac{dp_x}{dt} = -\frac{v}{2\pi\alpha'} g_{xx} \right|_{u = u_c},
\]

(13)

where \( \alpha \) is the string constant. The solution of the equation (12) can be found as a series

\[
u_c = u_h - \frac{g_{xx}(u_h)}{g_{tt}(u_h)} v^2 + \ldots,
\]

(14)

leading to the expression for the momentum loss

\[
\left. \frac{dP}{dt} = 2\pi\alpha' \cdot \frac{dp_x}{dt} = -vg_{xx}(u_h) + v^2 \frac{g_{xx}}{g_{tt}} \cdot g_{xx} \right|_{u = u_h} + \ldots
\]

(15)

where \( P = 2\pi\alpha' \cdot p_x \). Now turn to the chaotic properties of the holographic dual described by (7). There are different characteristics of quantum system relevant to quantum chaos recently proposed to be calculated \[14,15\] from the exponential growth of Hermitian operators commutators

\[
\left\langle [O(t_w), O(y)]^2 \right\rangle_\beta \sim e^{\lambda L (t_w - \tau_c - |x - y|/v_B)}.
\]

(16)

Here scrambling time \( \tau_c \) is the time of the chaos onset, butterfly velocity \( v_B \) defines the effective lightcone which constrains the spatial chaos spreading and Lyapunov exponent \( \lambda_L \) is related to the chaotic features of time evolution. In holographic correspondence one can calculate \( \tau_c, \lambda_L \) and \( v_B \) for the system dual to (7) in terms of metric components values on horizon \( u_h \)

\[
\lambda_L = 2\pi T, \quad v_B^2 = \frac{g_{tt}(u_h)}{g_{xx}(u_h)(d - 1)}.
\]

(17)

Combining (9), (17), (18) and expanding for small \( v \) to subleading order we obtain, that the momentum loss per

\[^4\text{See Appendix for derivation of the butterfly velocity and references.}\]
unit of the “entropy density” \( dP_s/dt \) fixes the leading “non-relativistic” coefficient to be theory independent. At the same time the subleading coefficient is fixed by the butterfly velocity

\[
\frac{dP_s}{dt} = \frac{1}{A} \frac{dP}{dt} = -v + v^3 \cdot \left( \frac{1}{(d-1)v_B^2} \right) + ..., \tag{18}
\]

where \( A = s^{2d-1} \cdot 4^{d-2} G^{d-2} = s_x \) and \( P_s = P/A \) is the normalized momentum.

Now a few comments are in order

- We propose that using this formula one can determine the butterfly velocity of strongly interacting quantum system in the quite general experimental setup involving the momentum loss by charge carriers.

- In the simplest interpretation of this formula one can avoid the reference to entropy and consider it as the normalized on the coefficient in front of the linear in \( v \) term. However one can interpret the coefficient \( A \) as the “entropy density” twofold. In the straightforward interpretation as the “entropy density” \( A \) involves the power \( \frac{1}{2}(d-1) \) and gravitational constant \( G_N \). Otherwise one can interpret it as the “linear” contribution to the entropy density and in this sense \( s_x \) is ”the entropy per unit of length”. Also we should notice the presence of string constant \( \alpha \) in \( dp_x/dt \).

- The appearance of the “entropy density” is very natural in our context because the entropic origin of different related phenomena. Apart the mentioned calculation of viscosity they include the non-relativistic drag force, gravity and turbulence. There is one more way of interpretation for coefficient \( g_{xx}(u_h) \) in terms of spatial Wilson loops as in \( \text{[26–28, 30]} \) which is also in line with the application to QCD.

III. JET QUENCHING AND BUTTERFLY VELOCITY

Another interesting quantity called a jet quenching parameter \( \hat{q} \) also characterizes the energy and momentum loss of some probes in a strongly interacting medium. In the studies of heavy-ions collisions and thermal QCD, the jet-quenching phenomena are called the disappearance or suppression of the bunch of hadrons resulting from the fragmentation of a parton after strong interaction and momentum loss in the dense medium (quark-gluon plasma). In general, the details of the mechanism of a jet energy loss depend on the medium properties. The jet quenching parameter can be defined in the perturbative framework and considered as a kind of a transport coefficient. Also, it allows a non-perturbative formulation (at some approximation) useful in the gauge/gravity duality in terms of adjoint light-like Wilson loop\(^6\)

\[
\langle W^A(C) \rangle \approx \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} \hat{q} L^{-2} \right]. \tag{19}
\]

From the holographic viewpoint, this Wilson loop can be calculated by the string hanging from the light-like contour on the boundary and we leave all details of calculations to appendix A.

In general, we have in mind a dual metric of the form and in particular, the geometries similar to the background with hyperscaling violation to make some parallels with \( \text{[20]} \). However, there are some possible subtleties related to the divergences stemming from UV structure of this kind of theory. It is worth stressing that while the stringy jet-quenching calculation is typically considered for five-dimensional gravitational background here we assume arbitrary space-time dimension. Also, we do not discuss the gravitational action that gives our metric as a solution and just take quite a general form of ansatz as given. Of course one could meet some restrictions on the metric coefficients like in the hyperscaling violating theories.

To demonstrate our main idea and make the calculation exact and explicit we start with consider the four-dimensional metric

\[
ds^2 = -\frac{f(z)}{z^{2\nu_t}} dt^2 + \frac{dz^2}{z^{2\nu_z}} + \frac{dx^2}{z^{2\nu_x}} + \frac{dy^2}{z^{2\nu_y}}, \tag{20}
\]

where different exponents \( \nu_t, \nu_z, \nu_x, \nu_y \) are introduced to create quite general anisotropy and \( f(z) = 1 - (z/z_h)^{\nu} \). As we will see the introduction of the anisotropy is necessary for understanding the relation to chaotic properties of our system.

Leaving details of derivation for appendix the formula for jet quenching parameter corresponding to the parton moving along direction \( x \) and the momentum broadening occurring along \( y \) has the form\(^7\)

\[
\hat{q} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi \alpha'} \left( \int_0^{u_h} \frac{1}{g_{uu}} \sqrt{g_{uu}} \, du \right)^{-1}, \quad g_{xx} = g_{yy} = \frac{g_{uu} - g_{tt}}{2}. \tag{21}
\]

First, consider the isotropic background corresponding to the Einstein gravity black hole when \( \nu_t = \nu_z = \nu_x = \nu_y = 1 \) leading to the jet quenching parameter temperature dependence (see \( \text{[11]} \))

\[
q = B_0 \cdot T^3, \tag{22}
\]

where \( B_0 \) is some constant. The butterfly velocity for this choice of parameters is temperature-independent, thus it is not clear whether the jet quenching is related to it.

\(^6\) Here \( L_- \) corresponds to the coordinate of the light-like part of the contour \( C \) and \( L \) to the transversal one with \( L_- \gg L \).

\(^7\) Notice the sign in the definition of \( g_{tt} \) component.
One should notice that from the very beginning jet quenching is intrinsically sensitive to the anisotropy (see \cite{31, 32, 38, 39} for holographic studies of jet quenching parameter in the presence of anisotropy) because we have to choose two directions for jet quenching (while the drag force and conductivity can be expanded in some one-dimensional subdirections). To reveal some non-trivial relation between $q$ and chaotic characteristics we consider the jet quenching for some particular but still quite general anisotropic metric with $v_t = v_x = v$. Instead of the single butterfly velocity $v_B$ now we consider two independent velocities $v^{(x)}_B$ and $v^{(y)}_B$ associated with spatial directions $x$ and $y$ respectively.\footnote{A brief review concerning the derivation of the anisotropic butterfly velocities can be found in appendix \cite{B}} Using (B11) one can show that one of these velocities depend on the horizon position while the other is not

$$v^{(x)}_B = \sqrt{\frac{a}{2(v_y + v)}}; \quad v^{(y)}_B = \sqrt{\frac{a}{2(v_y + v)}} v^{\nu_v - \nu}.\quad (23)$$

For our choice of anisotropic exponents the jet quenching parameter depends on $z_h$ as

$$q = B \cdot z_h^{-2
u_y + \nu_x - \nu - 1}.\quad (24)$$

We are looking for the relation between the jet quenching parameter and butterfly velocity supplemented with the additional thermodynamical quantities like temperature and entropy. The equation (24) being combined with the temperature and entropy given by

$$T = \frac{az^{\nu_v - \nu - 1}}{4\pi}, \quad s = \frac{1}{4G_N} \cdot z^{\nu - \nu_y}\quad (25)$$

results in the relation

$$q = C \cdot s \frac{T}{v_y},\quad (26)$$

between the jet quenching parameter, the butterfly velocity $v_y$, the entropy density and temperature.

Now turn to $d$-dimensional version of (20)

$$ds^2 = \frac{f(z)}{z^{2\nu_t}} dt^2 + \frac{dz^2}{f(z)} + \frac{dx^2}{z^{2\nu_x}} + \frac{dy^2}{z^{2\nu_y}} + \sum_i dx_i^2 z^{2\nu_{x,i}},\quad (27)$$

where we assume that the parton is moving along direction $x$ and the momentum broadening occurs along $y$. The jet-quenching is again given by formula (21) since only two direction are involved in (21) and in principle instead of $y$ we can choose arbitrary spatial direction $x_i \neq x$. The temperature remains the same as (25), and again we represent the entropy as the contribution from different parts of the metric

$$s = \frac{1}{4G_N} s_{XY} \cdot \prod_i s_i, \quad s_{XY} = z^{\nu - \nu_y}, \quad s_i = z^{\nu_i}. \quad (28)$$

and interpret $s_i$ as the ”entropy per unit of length”. In principle the relation described by (20) also takes place for a geometry described by (20) up to a change $s \to s_{XY}$. However, it is interesting to derive the relation with some direction-independent quantity. To proceed in this direction let us decompose the butterfly velocity as

$$v_B^{(i)} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{s_i}} . V_B, \quad (29)$$

on ”entropic” component $s_i$ and the ”universal” part $V_B$ which is uniquely defined by the metric

$$V_B = \frac{\partial_a g_{ii}}{\sum_i \partial_a g_{ii} \cdot g_{ii}} = \frac{a}{2(\sum_i v_i)} \cdot z^{\nu - 2\nu}. \quad (30)$$

This lead to the expression which takes place in the arbitrary dimension in which the jet quenching parameter depends on the universal dimension-independent ”butterfly coefficient” $V_B$ and the entropy $s_{XY} = s_x \cdot s_y$ in the plane where jet quenching takes place

$$q \sim \frac{Ts_{XY}}{V_B}. \quad (31)$$

IV. DISCUSSION

In summary, we have obtained two relations between probes in strongly coupled quantum theory and butterfly velocity $v_B$. Both results are obtained in the framework of holographic correspondence. Firstly, we have shown that the sub-leading (i.e. non-relativistic) coefficient in the drag force is fixed by butterfly velocity $v_B$. This leads us to the possibility of measuring butterfly velocity by experimental study of the velocity dependence for momentum loss of charge carriers in strongly coupled theories. Secondly analogous to charge diffusion constant $\tau_B$ the jet quenching coefficient is defined by anisotropic butterfly velocity, entropy density, and temperature up to some constant. The jet quenching results are obtained for quite general theory dual to a metric similar to anisotropic hyperscaling geometry. The constant of proportionality depends only on the infrared details of theory (scaling exponents, dimension of theory, etc.). These results indicate that strongly coupled theories and in particular quark-gluon plasma are governed by butterfly velocity and thermodynamic quantities.

Let us briefly discuss possible future extensions of this work

- It would be interesting to extend the understanding of the relation between drag force and butterfly velocity in different directions, for example analysis of the corrections caused by quark mass or other drag force proposals \cite{25}. Also it is interesting to consider the anisotropic
background where particle moves in arbitrary direction and study a similar relation for the butterfly velocity, entropic densities or Wilson loops [28, 30].

- Another interesting question is to consider the phenomenological implications of our identities using the known backgrounds reproducing experimental results concerning drag force and jet quenching (see for example [23, 40]).

- Finally, the interesting direction to study is the relation of chaos to another probes of QGP including hot wind [11], glueball spectrum [12] or particles production multiplicity [13, 14]. An interesting proposal revealing some relation between Lyapunov exponent and QCD recently appeared [45–48] and it would be very interesting to find the connection between our proposal and described in these papers.
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Appendix A: Drag force and jet quenching

1. Drag force calculation

We consider a (quasi)particle that moves with constant velocity $v$ in some strongly interacting quantum system and exhibits the drag force due to interaction with it. A quark moving through a strongly interacting quark-gluon plasma formed in the heavy-ion collision was the first model of this type described in holography [3, 10]. In the holographic correspondence one typically considers a quantum system at finite temperature or finite chemical potential which is dual to the background with horizon and $d + 1$-dimensional metric of the form

$$ds^2 = g_{tt}dt^2 + g_{uu}du^2 + g_{ii}dx^idx^i, \quad i = 1, \ldots d - 1$$

(A1)

where

$$g_{tt} \sim c_0 (u - u_h), \quad g_{uu} = \frac{c_1}{(u - u_h)}, \quad \left|_{u \to u_h} \right.$$  

(A2)

A holographic dual of the particle (quark) is the classical string and the ansatz for it is taken in the form

$$x(t, u) = v t + \xi (u), \quad \left|_{u \to u_{bnd}} \right. = 0,$$

(A3)

such that at the asymptotic boundary $u \to u_{bnd}$ the string swipes the particle worldline $x = v \cdot t$. The Nambu-Goto action for this ansatz is

$$S = - \frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha'} \int L \, dx \, \sigma, \quad L = \sqrt{\det g},$$

(A4)

and it can be written down explicitly in terms of metric components

$$L = \sqrt{\det g} = \sqrt{-g_{uu}g_{tt} - g_{uu}g_{xx}v^2 - g_{xx}g_{tt} \cdot \xi'^2 (u)},$$

where we use the gauge $\sigma = u, \tau = t$.

The equations of motion for $\xi (u)$ imply that

$$\pi X = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi'} = \frac{g_{xx}g_{tt} \cdot \xi'}{\sqrt{-g}}$$

(A5)

is a constant. The solution of this equation with respect to $\xi'$ has the form

$$(\xi')^2 = - \pi^2 \frac{g_{uu} (g_{tt} + g_{xx}v^2)}{g_{xx}g_{tt} (g_{xx}g_{tt} + \pi X)}$$

(A6)

and at some special bulk point $u_c$ fixed by

$$\left. (g_{tt} + g_{xx}v^2) \right|_{u = u_c} = 0,$$

(A7)

the numerator in (A6) changes the sign. This condition fixes

$$\pi^2 = - g_{xx}g_{tt} |_{u = u_c} = v^2 \frac{\partial X}{x} \left|_{u = u_c}$$

(A8)

where we used (A7). The current density for momentum along direction $x$ is given by

$$P_x (u) = - \frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha} g_{xx} g^{\alpha \beta} \partial_\beta X^\nu = - \frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha} \frac{g_{xx}g_{tt}}{\det g} \xi'$$

(A9)

and it is related to the quark momentum loss as

$$\frac{dp_x}{dt} = \sqrt{-\det g} P_x (u) = - \frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha} \frac{g_{xx}g_{tt}}{\det g} \xi'$$

(A10)

which after some algebra simplifies to

$$\frac{dp_x}{dt} = - \frac{\pi X}{2 \pi \alpha} = - \frac{v}{2 \pi \alpha} \frac{\partial X}{x} \left|_{u = u_c}. \right.$$ (A11)

2. Jet quenching

It is known [11], that in some approximation the jet quenching parameter $q$ admits a non-perturbative formulation as a light-like Wilson loop average. We consider the behavior of a Wilson loop stretched between two light-like lines which extend for a distance $L_-$ and in transverse direction situated at a distance $L$ apart. In [11] it was shown that the following

9 In this solution $\pi_x$ is some unimportant constant.
relation takes place

\[ \langle W^A(C) \rangle \approx \exp -\frac{1}{\pi a} g L^2 L_0 \]  

when \( C \) is a rectangle with large extent \( L_0 \) in light-like direction and small extent \( L \) in a transverse direction. This relation can serve as a definition of the jet quenching parameter \( \hat{q} \).

Again we start with general form of the metric \( \text{[A1]} \). We choose the light-like contour (which corresponds to the direction where partons move) to be oriented along spatial coordinate \( x \)

\[ x^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (t \pm x), \]  

and the transverse direction corresponding to the momentum of radiated gluons to be \( y \). In these coordinates the metric has the form

\[ ds^2 = g_{--} (dx_+^2 + dx_-^2) + g_{+--} \, dx_+ \, dx_- + g_{ii(i \neq \pm)} \, dx_i^2 + g_{uu} \, du^2, \]

\[ g_{--} = \frac{1}{2} (g_{00} + g_{xx}), \quad g_{+--} = g_{00} - g_{xx}. \]  

According to our choice of string configuration we have the ansatz

\[ x_-, y = \sigma, \quad u = u(\sigma), \quad x_+, x_i \neq x \]  

for which the Nambu-Goto action has the form

\[ S = \frac{2 L}{2 \sqrt{\alpha}} \int_0^{L/2} d\sigma \sqrt{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{2 L}{2 \sqrt{\alpha}} \int_0^{L/2} d\sigma \sqrt{g_{--} (g_{uu} u'^2 + g_{yy})}. \]

After some algebra we obtain that the distance \( L \) can be expressed as

\[ L = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{u_h} du \sqrt{\mathcal{H}^2 \frac{g_{uu}}{g_{yy} g_{--} - \mathcal{H}^2} g_{yy}} \]  

where \( \mathcal{H} \) is defined by

\[ \mathcal{H} = \frac{g_{--} - g_{yy}}{\sqrt{D}} = g_{--} \big|_{u'=0}. \]

and in the last term we evaluate \( \mathcal{H} \) on the string turning point where \( u' = 0 \). Small \( \mathcal{H} \) corresponds to small distances \( L \) and lead us to

\[ \mathcal{H} = \frac{L}{2} \left( \int_0^{u_h} du \sqrt{\frac{g_{uu}}{g_{yy} g_{--}}} \right)^{-1} + \mathcal{O} \left( L^3 \right). \]  

To eliminate the divergences related to self energy we extract the action of two straight strings

\[ S_0 = \frac{2 L}{2 \sqrt{\alpha}} \int_0^{u_h} du \sqrt{g_{--} g_{uu}}. \]  

Finally expressing the action of the string for small \( L \) can be written down as

\[ S = \frac{L - L_0^2}{8 \pi a} \left( \int_0^{u_h} du \sqrt{g_{uu}} \right)^{-1}, \]  

and after some algebra we obtain that from the action difference \( S - S_0 \) for small \( L \) one can derive the formula for jet quenching parameter

\[ \hat{q} = \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{I}}}{\pi a}, \quad \mathcal{I} = \int_0^{u_h} \frac{1}{g_{uu} g_{--} \, du}. \]

Now let us calculate the integral \( \mathcal{I} \) for a particular metric considered in the main part of the paper

\[ ds^2 = -\frac{f(z)}{z^{2\nu}} \, dt^2 + \frac{dz^2}{z^{2\nu} f(z)} + \frac{dy^2}{z^{2\nu}} + \sum_{\alpha} \frac{dx_i^2}{z^{2\nu}}, \]

where \( f(z) = 1 - \left( \frac{z}{\alpha} \right)^a \). For this metric \( \mathcal{I} \) can be calculated explicitly and we are led with the dependence of jet quenching on the horizon location

\[ q = \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{I}}}{\pi a} \frac{a \Gamma \left( \frac{2 \nu - \nu_x + \nu_y + 1}{\alpha} \right)}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \Gamma} \left( \frac{-\alpha + 4 \nu_x - 2 \nu_y + 2 \nu + 2}{2 \alpha} \right)^{1/2}, \]

\[ z^\frac{-2\nu_y + \nu_z - \nu - 1}{2}. \]

Appendix B: Butterfly velocity

In general, there are two calculations of butterfly velocity by different methods for anisotropic background leading to the same answer. The first one \( \text{[20, 21, 34, 35]} \) is based on the computation of shockwave on the black hole horizon and the second one \( \text{[36]} \) refers to the subregion duality reconstruction proposed in \( \text{[37]} \). In this appendix we briefly review both of these calculations closely to these papers. For a more detailed exposition of each calculation we refer reader to \( \text{[35]} \) and \( \text{[36]} \) for each method, respectively.

Shockwave calculation

Again we start with the metric of the form \( \text{[A1]} \) and introducing the Kruskal coordinates \( U \) and \( V \)

\[ du_+ = -\sqrt{\frac{g_{uu}}{g_{tt}}} \, du, \quad UV = -e^{\frac{2\nu}{\nu_x} u_+}, \quad \frac{U}{V} = -e^{-\frac{2\nu}{\nu_y} t}, \]

we rewrite \( \text{[A1]} \) in the form

\[ ds^2 = 2A(U, V) dU dV + g_{ij}(U, V) dx^i dx^j, \]

\[ A(U, V) = \beta^2 g_{tt}(U, V) \frac{1}{8 \pi^2} \frac{1}{UV}. \]

In the shockwave setup we want to know the backreaction of the metric \( \text{[B2]} \) on the null pulse of energy located at \( U = 0 \) and moving in the \( V^- \) direction with the speed of light. The
pulse subdivides the spacetime into two regions \( L \) and \( R \). The metric in the region \( R \) remains the same as \([B2]\), whereas the metric in \( L \) is modified by the null perturbation. For the isotropic metric the back-reaction can be obtained as a coordinate shift \( V \theta(U) \rightarrow V + \alpha (t, x') \), where \( \alpha (t, x') \) is the function to be determined from Einstein equations\(^{10}\). For the anisotropic metric following the same logic we take the ansatz for the shockwave geometry in the form

\[
ds^2 = 2 \tilde{A} d\tilde{U} d\tilde{V} + \tilde{g}_{ij} d\tilde{x}^i d\tilde{x}^j - 2 \tilde{A} \delta(\tilde{U}) d\tilde{U}^2,
\]

and the shock stress-energy tensor

\[
T^\text{shock} = E e^{2\pi^{1/\beta}} \delta(\tilde{U}) a (\tilde{x}^i) d\tilde{U}^2,
\]

where \( E \) is the energy of the pulse and \( a (\tilde{x}^i) \) specifies the character of perturbation (for a localized perturbation the choice \( a (\tilde{x}^i) = \delta (\tilde{x}^i) \)). Following the procedure of solution of Einstein equations described in \([B3]\) we obtain the condition

\[
g^{ij} (u_H) \left[ A (u_H) \partial_i \alpha - \frac{u_H}{2} g^{ij} (u_H) \right] \alpha (t, x') = 8 \pi G_N E e^{2\pi^{1/\beta}} a (\tilde{x}^i),
\]

defining \( \alpha \). The solution corresponding to \( i \)-th direction is

\[
\alpha(t, \nu) \sim \exp \left[ \frac{2\pi}{\beta} (t - t_*) - \mu_i \cdot \nu_i \right],
\]

\[
\mu_i^2 = \frac{u_H g_{ii}}{2A} \left( \sum_{j=1 \ldots d-1} g'_{ij} \right)_{u=u_H}.
\]

Using this result we find that the different butterfly velocities \( v_B^{(i)} \) related to the spatial coordinate \( x_i \) has the form

\[
v_B^{(i)} = \sqrt{\frac{g^{ii} (r_h) g''_{tt} (r_h)}{\sum_{k=0 \ldots d-1} g^{kk} (r_h) g''_{kk} (r_h)}},
\]

which for an isotropic theory \( g_{ij} = g_{xx} \delta_{ij} \) reduces to a single butterfly velocity

\[
v_B^2 = \frac{g''_{tt} (r_h)}{g_{xx} (u_H) (d - 1)},
\]

**Entanglement wedge calculation**

An alternative method of butterfly velocity calculation is due to \([B7]\) and we closely follow \([B8]\) for a particular calculation corresponding to a general anisotropic metric. Instead of switching to the Kruskal coordinates we start with the near-horizon expansion of the metric \([A1]\) which has the form

\[
ds^2 \simeq - \left( \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \right)^2 \rho^2 dt^2 + d\rho^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \left[ g_{ii} (r_h) + \frac{g''_{ii} (r_h)}{g''_{tt} (r_h)} \left( \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \right)^2 \rho^2 \right] dx_i^2,
\]

where Rindler coordinate \( \rho \) is defined as

\[
(r_h - r) = \left( \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \right)^2 \frac{\rho^2}{c_0}, \quad c_0 = -g''_{tt} (r_h).
\]

The method of \([B7]\) is based on the finding of the smallest entanglement wedge containing the particle at late times. Parametrizing Ryu-Takayanagi surface \( \gamma_A \) as \( \rho (x^i) \), and fixing the local coordinates \( \xi^i = x^i \) we obtain the area functional in the near-horizon region

\[
\text{Area} (\gamma_A) = \sqrt{\det g_{ij} (r_h)} \times \int d^{d-1} x \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \right)^2 g''_{tt} (r_h) \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} g''_{ii} (r_h) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (\partial_i \rho)^2 \right]
\]

The equations of motion for \( \rho \) corresponding to this functional have the form

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} g''_{ii} (r_h) \partial_i^2 \rho (x^i) = \mu^2 \rho (x^i),
\]

\[
\mu^2 = \left( \frac{2\pi}{\beta} \right)^2 g''_{tt} (r_h) \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} g''_{ii} (r_h) g''_{tt} (r_h),
\]

and has the solution in terms of the radius of closest approach to the horizon \( \rho_{\text{min}} \) and modified Bessel function of the second kind

\[
\rho (\sigma^i) = \rho_{\text{min}} \frac{\Gamma (n + 1)}{2^{n-\mu n} |\sigma|^n}, \quad n = d - 3 \frac{2}{2}, \quad x^i = \sqrt{g''_{tt} (r_h)} \sigma^i,
\]

where we also used the rescaled coordinates \( \sigma \) of large boundary region \( A \). We can estimate the size \([A1]\) of the region \( A \) denoted by \( R_A \) in \( \sigma^i \) coordinates denoted by solving the equation given by

\[
\beta \simeq \rho_{\text{min}} \frac{\Gamma (n + 1)}{2^{n-\mu n} R_A},
\]

where \( R_\sigma \) is the size of region \( A \) in the coordinates \( \sigma^i \). The solution at large \( R_\sigma \) is:

\[
\rho_{\text{min}} \simeq e^{-\mu R_\sigma}.
\]

\(^{10}\) Here \( \theta (U) \) is the Heaviside function and \( \delta (x) \) is just an ordinary Dirac delta function. Also we introduce the redefinition \( U = U \), \( V = V + \theta (U) \) and \( \tilde{x}^i = x^i \)

\(^{11}\) We assume the size of \( A \) to be very large.
Denoting by $R_i$ the size of the region $A$ along the $x^i$ direction
\[ R_i = \sqrt{g^{ii}(r_h)R_\sigma} \]  
(B20)
and requiring that $\rho_{\text{min}} \leq \rho(t)$ which is the condition that the infalling particle is contained inside the entanglement wedge
\[ \frac{g^{ii}(r_h)g'_{ii}(r_h)}{1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{kk}(r_h) g'_{kk}(r_h) \]
(B21)
we obtain the relation from \[\text{[36]}\] defining the butterfly velocity along each direction
\[ R_i \geq v_B^{(i)} t, \quad v_B^{(i)} = \sqrt{\frac{g^{ii}(r_h)}{1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{kk}(r_h) g'_{kk}(r_h)}\]
(21)}