

Classical unique continuation property for multi-terms time fractional diffusion equations

Ching-Lung Lin* Gen Nakamura†

Abstract

As for the unique continuation property (UCP) of solutions in $(0, T) \times \Omega$ with a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for a multi-terms time fractional diffusion equation, we have already shown it by assuming that the solutions are zero for $t \leq 0$ (see [9]). Here the strongly elliptic operator for this diffusion equation can depend on time and the orders of its time fractional derivatives are in $(0, 2)$. This paper is a continuation of the previous study. The aim of this paper is to drop the assumption that the solutions are zero for $t \leq 0$. We have achieved this aim by first using the usual Holmgren transformation together with the argument in [9] to derive the UCP in $(T_1, T_2) \times B_1$ for some $0 < T_1 < T_2 < T$ and a ball $B_1 \subset \Omega$. Then if u is the solution of the equation with $u = 0$ in $(T_1, T_2) \times B_1$, we show $u = 0$ also in $((0, T_1] \cup [T_2, T)) \times B_r$ for some $r < 1$ by using the argument in [9] which uses two Holmgren type transformations different from the usual one. This together with spatial coordinates transformation, we can obtain the usual UCP which we call it the classical UCP given in the title of this paper for our time fractional diffusion equation.

Key words: anomalous diffusion equation, time fractional derivative, unique continuation property, Carleman estimate, Holmgren transformation

2020 MSC numbers: 35B45, 35B60, 35R11

1 Introduction

We first define an anomalous diffusion equation with multi-terms fractional time derivatives. As mentioned in Abstract, this paper is a continuation of our previous

*Department of Mathematics, National Cheng- Kung University, Tainan 701, Taiwan. (Email: cllin2@mail.ncku.edu.tw)

†Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0808, Japan and Research Center of Mathematics for Social Creativity, Research Institute for Electronic Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, 060-0811, Japan.
(Email: gnaka@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp)

study on the unique continuation property abbreviated by UCP of solutions of the anomalous diffusion equation given in the paper [9], we will basically use the same notations as those in that paper for the readers convenience. To begin with, let $(0, T)$ be a time interval and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a domain. Also we denote by L the strongly elliptic operator defined by

$$Lu(t, y) := \sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk}(t, y) \partial_{y_j} \partial_{y_k} u(t, y) \quad (1.1)$$

with $a_{jk}(t, y) = a_{kj}(t, y) \in C^\infty([0, T] \times \bar{\Omega})$, $1 \leq j, k \leq n$ such that

$$\delta |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{jk}(t, y) \xi_j \xi_k \leq \delta^{-1} |\xi|^2 \quad (1.2)$$

holds for $(t, y) \in [0, T] \times \bar{\Omega}$, $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with some constant $\delta > 0$. Henceforth we always extend each $a_{jk} \in C^\infty([0, T] \times \bar{\Omega})$ to $a_{jk} \in B^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})$ without destroying (1.2) and $a_{jk}(t, y) = a_{kj}(t, y)$, where $B^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})$ denotes the set of all functions in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})$ which are bounded together with their derivatives. To avoid any confusion, we note that the notation $A \subset B$ describing A is a subset of B admits the case $A = B$.

Now let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and α_j, q_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ be constants such that $q_1 = 1$ and $2 > \alpha = \alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \dots > \alpha_m > 0$ and consider an anomalous diffusion equation with multi-terms fractional time derivatives given by

$$\sum_{j=1}^m q_j \partial_t^{\alpha_j} u(t, y) - Lu(t, y) = \ell_1(t, y; \nabla_y) u(t, y), \quad t \in (0, T), \quad y \in \Omega, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\ell_1(t, y; \nabla_y)$ is a linear partial differential operator of order one with $C^\infty([0, T] \times \bar{\Omega})$ coefficients and $\partial_t^\alpha u$ is defined as follows.

$$\partial_t^\alpha u(t, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(k-\alpha)} ((t^{k-1-\alpha} H(t) \otimes \delta_y) * \partial_t^k u)(t, y) & \text{for } k-1 < \alpha < k, \quad k = 1, 2, \\ \partial_t u(t, y) & \text{for } \alpha = 1 \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

with the Heaviside function $H(t)$ and the Dirac delta function δ_y supported at y . Hence ∂^α for $0 < \alpha < 1$ or $1 < \alpha < 2$ is the Caputo fractional derivative of order α . The coefficients of $\ell_1(t, y; \nabla_y)$ could be just in $C^0([0, T] \times \bar{\Omega})$, but to simplify our arguments we have assumed that they are in $C^\infty([0, T] \times \bar{\Omega})$. We remark here whenever u satisfies (1.3), we are assuming that the Caputo fractional derivatives $\partial_t^{\alpha_j} u$, $1 \leq j \leq m$ can be defined.

Before giving our main result, we first would like to clarify the novelty of our main result by giving our previous result of the UCP which was proven by using the Holmgren type transformation different from the usual Holmgren transformation.

Theorem 1.1. (our previous UCP) *Let $u \in H^{\alpha,2}((0, T) \times \Omega)$ (see below in this section for its definition) be a solution of (1.3) supported on $\{t \geq 0\}$. Then, $u = 0$*

in a subdomain of Ω over $(0, T)$ implies $u = 0$ in $(0, T) \times \Omega$. Here the assumption that u is supported on $\{t \geq 0\}$ means that its zero extension across $t = 0$ belongs to $H^{\alpha, 2}((-\infty, T) \times \Omega)$. We quote this as the initial condition.

Now we are ready to give our main result as follows.

Theorem 1.2. (classical UCP) *Let $u \in H^{\alpha, 2}((0, T) \times \Omega)$ be a solution of (1.3). Then, $u = 0$ in a subdomain of Ω over $(0, T)$ implies $u = 0$ in $(0, T) \times \Omega$.*

Remark 1.3. *This is the usual UCP which we call it the classical UCP given in the title of this paper for solutions of (1.3). To be consistent with Theorem 1.1, we have assumed that q_l , $1 \leq l \leq m$ are constants. But Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 still hold even for the case $q_l = q_l(x) \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$, $1 \leq l \leq m$ with $q_1 \equiv 1$, and their proofs remain the same as those of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, respectively.*

Theorem 1.2 follows from the following theorem together with spatial coordinates transformation.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $B_1 \subset \Omega$ and $u \in H^{\alpha, 2}((0, T) \times \Omega)$ be a solution of (1.3). If $u = 0$ in $(0, T) \times B_r$ for some $0 < r < 1$ and $z \in \partial B_r$, then there exists a small $0 < \hat{r} < r$ such that $u = 0$ in $(0, T) \times B_{\hat{r}}(z)$. Here $B_r, B_r(z)$ are defined as*

$$B_r = \{y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) : |y| < r\}, \quad B_r(z) = \{y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) : y - z \in B_r\}$$

with $|y| = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n y_j^2}$ for $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$.

Remark 1.5. *This result will be proven by using both the usual Holmgren transformation, the aforementioned Holmgren type transformation and another Holmgren type transformation (see (1.5)). For the simplicity of notations, we just took $B_1 \subset \Omega$ as a ball centered at the origin with radius 1.*

The anomalous diffusion equation was used to model for examples, the anomalous diffusion phenomena observed in a highly heterogeneous aquifer ([1], [5] and the reference there in) and a complex viscoelastic material ([2], [4] and the references therein). Then, in the past decades, it has become more and more popular in interdisciplinary fields due to its flexibility of modeling various nonlocal phenomena.

The classical UCP for the anomalous diffusion equation was obtained for some special cases by some Carleman estimates. More precisely, it was given for the one space dimensional case with one time fractional derivative of order $1/2$ in [14], and its generalization to the general space dimensional case was given in [3]. For the case that the order of the time fractional derivative is in $(0, 1)$, the UCP under the aforementioned initial condition was given in [8] and its generalization to the multi-terms time fractional derivative case with orders in $(0, 2)$ was given in [9].

Besides the classical UCP, there is another UCP called the weak UCP which is for any solution u of an initial boundary value problem for anomalous diffusion equation

with initial data at $t = 0$ and homogeneous boundary condition. This weak UCP states that if this solution satisfies $u = 0$ in an open subset of $(0, T) \times \Omega$, then $u = 0$ in $(0, T) \times \Omega$. For the fractional anomalous diffusion equation with single term time fractional derivative, it was proved in [7] for the one space dimensional case and it was also proved in [6] for the higher space dimensional case. Even a further generalization of [6] has been done in [10] for the case that the order of the time fractional derivative is in $(0, 2)$ and the elliptic part of the equation is the minus Laplacian. Furthermore, the weak UCP was used to solve the uniqueness of some inverse source problems ([6], [10], [11] and the references there in). This already revealed the importance of the classical UCP in the studies of many inverse problems for the anomalous diffusion equations. Not only for the inverse problems but also for the control problems, one of the very important key in studying these problems is the classical UCP, and it has been awaited very much for a long time.

As usual we will derive some Carleman estimates for solutions of the anomalous diffusion equation to prove the usual UCP. More precisely, as mentioned before in Abstract, we can have a Carleman estimate associated to the usual Holmgren transformation and another two Carleman estimates associated to the two Holmgren type transformations to prove Theorem 1.4. The basic idea behind the derivation of Carleman estimates is as follows. Likewise the usual diffusion equation, the anomalous diffusion equation is semi-elliptic. Based on this observation, we will use Treve's argument ([13] and its nice introduction given in [12]) for the derivation of Carleman estimates.

The rest of this paper is devoted to proving Theorem 1.4 and it is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state Proposition 2.1 and give its proof. By this proposition, we can have the UCP in $(T_1, T_2) \times B_{\tilde{r}}(z)$ for some $0 < T_1 < T_2 < T$ and a ball $B_{\tilde{r}}(z) \subset \Omega$ by using the usual Holmgren transformation. A very brief outline of its proof is as follows. We first observe that the principal symbol of the anomalous diffusion operator conjugated by e^t , also undergone the usual Holmgren transformation and replace D_x by $D_x + i|D_z|\nabla\psi$ with the Carleman weight function $\psi = (x_n - 2X)^2/2$ by adding a new variable z (see (2.8)) coincides with that of obtained by using the Holmgren type transformation. Hence just using the same argument given in [9], we can derive a Carleman estimate which yields Proposition 2.1. In Section 3, we will prove Theorem 1.4. Its very brief outline of the proof is as follows. In Proposition 3.1, we will show that we can have $u = 0$ in $[T_1, T) \times B_{\tilde{r}}(z)$ by using Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 2.1. Then, in Proposition 3.2, we will show $u = 0$ in $(0, T_1) \times B_{\tilde{r}}(z)$ with $\hat{r} < \tilde{r}$ in Section 3. The argument for showing this is done in the same way as given in [9] except using the following another Holmgren type transformation

$$x' = \tilde{y}', \quad x_{s,n} = \tilde{y}_n + c|\tilde{y}'|^2 - \left(\frac{sX}{T}t - X\right), \quad \tilde{t} = t, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\tilde{y} = (\tilde{y}', \tilde{y}_n) = (\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_{n-1}, \tilde{y}_n)$, $|\tilde{y}'| = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tilde{y}_j^2}$.

Finally we close this section by giving the definition of Sobolev space $H^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega)$.

For $m, s \in \mathbb{R}$, $v = v(t, x) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_t^1 \times \mathbb{R}_x^n)$ belongs to the function space $H^{m,s}(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^n)$ if

$$\|v\|_{H^{m,s}}^2 := \iint (1 + |\xi|^s + |\tau|^m)^2 |\hat{v}|^2 d\tau d\xi$$

is finite, and $\|v\|_{H^{m,s}}^2$ denotes the norm of $v \in H^{m,s}(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^n)$, where \hat{v} is the Fourier transform defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(v)(\tau, \xi) = \hat{v}(\tau, \xi) = \iint e^{-it\tau - ix \cdot \xi} v(t, x) dx dt$$

and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_t^1 \times \mathbb{R}_x^n)$ is the dual space of the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_t^1 \times \mathbb{R}_x^n)$ of rapidly decreasing functions in $\mathbb{R}_t^1 \times \mathbb{R}_x^n$. Further, for any open sets $A \subset \mathbb{R}_t$, $B \subset \mathbb{R}_x^n$, we define $H^{m,s}(A \times B)$ as the restriction of $H^{m,s}(\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^n)$ to $A \times B$.

2 A local uniqueness result

This section is devoted to proving the following local uniqueness result.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $u \in H^{\alpha,2}((0, T) \times \Omega)$ be a solution of (1.3). Suppose $u = 0$ in $(0, T) \times B_r$ for some $0 < r < 1$. Take any $z \in \partial B_r$. Then, there exists a small $0 < \tilde{r} < r$ and $0 < T_1 < T_2 < T$ such that $u = 0$ in $(T_1, T_2) \times B_{\tilde{r}}(z)$.*

Proof The proof of Proposition 2.1 is quite similar to that of Theorem 1.2 in [9]. The only difference here is that we use the usual Holmgren transformation. It is important to note here that the alternatives to the Holmgren type transformation and the initial condition which we used to prove Theorem 1.2 in [9] is the usual Holmgren transformation and some cutoff functions attached to this transformation. To avoid any misunderstanding, let us emphasize that we do not need any initial condition for the proof. We will only give the steps to prove the theorem clarifying the role of the usual Holmgren transformation and the cutoff functions.

By a translation and a rotation of coordinates, let z be given in the form $z = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, 0)$. To prove Proposition 2.1, we use the usual Holmgren transformation

$$x' = y' - z', x_n = y_n + c|y' - z'|^2 + c(t - \frac{T}{2})^2, \tilde{t} = t \quad (2.1)$$

with $y' = (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$, $z' = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$ and $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, where $c \geq 1$ is a fixed positive constant. We will quote this new coordinates $(t, x) = (\tilde{t}, \tilde{x})$ as the *Holmgren coordinates*.

By $\partial_t = \partial_{\tilde{t}} + 2c(\tilde{t} - \frac{T}{2})\partial_{x_n}$, $\partial_{y_j} = \partial_{x_j} + 2cx_j\partial_{x_n}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $\partial_{y_n} = \partial_{x_n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^\alpha u(t, y) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\eta)^{-\alpha} \partial_\eta u(\eta, y) d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^{\tilde{t}} (\tilde{t}-\tilde{\eta})^{-\alpha} \partial_{\tilde{\eta}} u(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{x}) d\tilde{\eta} + \frac{2c(\tilde{t}-\frac{T}{2})}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_0^{\tilde{t}} (\tilde{t}-\tilde{\eta})^{-\alpha} \partial_{x_n} u(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{x}) d\tilde{\eta} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^\alpha u(t, y) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-\eta)^{1-\alpha} \partial_\eta^2 u(\eta, y) d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \int_0^{\tilde{t}} (\tilde{t}-\tilde{\eta})^{1-\alpha} \partial_{\tilde{\eta}}^2 u(\tilde{\eta}, x) d\tilde{\eta} + \frac{4c(\tilde{t}-\frac{T}{2})}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \int_0^{\tilde{t}} (\tilde{t}-\tilde{\eta})^{1-\alpha} \partial_{\tilde{\eta}} \partial_{x_n} u(\tilde{\eta}, x) d\tilde{\eta} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \int_0^{\tilde{t}} (\tilde{t}-\tilde{\eta})^{1-\alpha} (4c^2(\tilde{\eta}-\frac{T}{2})^2 \partial_{x_n}^2 + 2c\partial_{x_n}) u(\tilde{\eta}, x) d\tilde{\eta} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

for $1 < \alpha < 2$, where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes the Gamma function and $u(\tilde{t}, x)$ with $\tilde{t} = \tilde{\eta}$ on the right hand side of (2.2), (2.3) is the push forward of $u(t, y)$ by the change of variables.

It should be noted that $x_n = y_n + c|y' - z'|^2 + c(t - \frac{T}{2})^2$, and $u(\tilde{t}, x)$ satisfies

$$\text{supp } u \subset \{x_n \geq 0\}.$$

Further, let χ be a smooth function such that

$$\chi(x_n) = \begin{cases} 1, & x_n \leq (1-\epsilon)X, \\ 0, & x_n \geq X. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Then

$$\text{supp } \chi u \subset \{0 \leq x_n \leq X\}. \quad (2.5)$$

Also, we will assume that

$$|x'| \lesssim \sqrt{X}, \quad x_n \leq X, \quad (2.6)$$

here and after $A \lesssim B$ denotes $A \leq CB$ with positive constant C depending on n and α .

To avoid having the branch points for the symbols of $\partial_t^{\alpha_l}$, $1 \leq l \leq m$, we conjugate $\sum_{l=1}^m q_l \partial_t^{\alpha_l} - L$ with e^t . Thus we consider the operator $e^{-t}(\sum_{l=1}^m q_l \partial_t^{\alpha_l} - L)e^t$ and denote it by $P(t, x, D_t, D_x)$ in terms of the Holmgren coordinates (t, x) (see (2.1)), where $D_t = -i\partial_t$, $D_x = -i\partial_x$ with $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Then it is easy to see that for example for the case $0 < \alpha_l < 1$, $1 \leq l \leq m$, the total symbol $p(t, x, \tau, \xi)$ of $P(t, x, D_t, D_x)$ is given as

$$\begin{aligned} p(t, x, \tau, \xi) &= \sum_{l=1}^m q_l (1+i\tau)^{\alpha_l} + a_{nn}(t, x)\xi_n^2 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{jn}(t, x)\xi_n(\xi_j + 2cx_j\xi_n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} a_{jk}(t, x)(\xi_j + 2cx_j\xi_n)(\xi_k + 2cx_k\xi_n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^m q_l 2c(t - \frac{T}{2})i^\alpha(\tau - i)^{\alpha_l-1}\xi_n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Now let $\psi = \frac{1}{2}(x_n - 2X)^2$ be the Carleman weight function and consider the symbol $p(t, x, \tau, \xi + i|\sigma|\nabla\psi)$ over $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}_z$ to define a pseudo-differential operator $P_\psi(t, x, D_t, D_x, D_z)$ by

$$P_\psi = P_\psi(t, x, D_t, D_x, D_z) = p(t, x, D_t, D_x + i|D_z|\nabla\psi)$$

which is given for any compactly supported distribution v in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}_z$ by

$$P_\psi v(z, t, x) = \int e^{i(x \cdot \xi + t\tau + z\sigma)} p(t, x, \tau, \xi + i|\sigma|\nabla\psi) \hat{v}(\sigma, \xi, \tau) d\sigma d\tau d\xi. \quad (2.8)$$

It is very important to note that $p(t, x, \tau, \xi + i|\sigma|\nabla\psi)$ is independent of t and z , which enable us to naturally define the pseudo-differential operator P_ψ . In terms of the scaling

$$(\xi, \sigma, \tau) \mapsto (\rho\xi, \rho\sigma, \rho^{2/\alpha}\tau)$$

with large $\rho > 0$. We denote the principal symbol of P_ψ by \tilde{p}_ψ which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_\psi = & (1 + i\tau)^\alpha + a_{nn}(\xi_n + i|\sigma|\tilde{X})^2 \\ & + 2\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{jn}(\xi_j + 2cx_j\xi_n + 2icx_j|\sigma|\tilde{X})(\xi_n + i|\sigma|\tilde{X}) \\ & + \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} a_{jk}(\xi_j + 2cx_j\xi_n + 2icx_j|\sigma|\tilde{X})(\xi_k + 2cx_k\xi_n + 2icx_k|\sigma|\tilde{X}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where $\tilde{X} = x_n - 2X$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha$. This \tilde{p}_ψ coincides with the one given in [9] with the same notation. Note that the form of the principal symbol \tilde{p}_ψ is the same even for the case $2 > \alpha = \alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \dots > \alpha_m > 0$.

Recall the definition of Poisson bracket

$$\{\Re\tilde{p}_\psi, \Im\tilde{p}_\psi\} = \sum_{j=1}^n (\partial_{\xi_j} \Re\tilde{p}_\psi \cdot \partial_{x_j} \Im\tilde{p}_\psi - \partial_{x_j} \Re\tilde{p}_\psi \cdot \partial_{\xi_j} \Im\tilde{p}_\psi) + \partial_\tau \Re\tilde{p}_\psi \cdot \partial_t \Im\tilde{p}_\psi - \partial_t \Re\tilde{p}_\psi \cdot \partial_\tau \Im\tilde{p}_\psi \quad (2.10)$$

with the real part $\Re\tilde{p}_\psi$ and imaginary part $\Im\tilde{p}_\psi$ of \tilde{p}_ψ . Then the principal part $\{\Re\tilde{p}_\psi, \Im\tilde{p}_\psi\}_p$ of the Poisson bracket $\{\Re\tilde{p}_\psi, \Im\tilde{p}_\psi\}$ is

$$\{\Re\tilde{p}_\psi, \Im\tilde{p}_\psi\}_p = \sum_{j=1}^n (\partial_{\xi_j} \Re\tilde{p}_\psi \cdot \partial_{x_j} \Im\tilde{p}_\psi - \partial_{x_j} \Re\tilde{p}_\psi \cdot \partial_{\xi_j} \Im\tilde{p}_\psi).$$

Since \tilde{p}_ψ are the same, we will have Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 4.1 of in [9]. More precisely, we have the following estimate for the Poisson bracket and sub-elliptic estimates for the operator P_ψ , respectively.

Lemma 2.1. *We have*

$$(|\xi|^2 + \sigma^2 + |\tau|^\alpha)^{3/2} \lesssim \{\Re\tilde{p}_\psi, \Im\tilde{p}_\psi\}_p \quad (2.11)$$

when $\tilde{p}_\psi = 0$ and (2.6) are satisfied.

Lemma 2.2. *There exists a small constant z_0 such that for all $u(t, x, z) \in C_0^\infty(U \times [-z_0, z_0]) \cap \dot{\mathcal{S}}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n+1}})$, we have the estimates*

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{k+s < 2} \|h(D_z)^{2-k-s} \Lambda_\alpha^s D_z^k u\| + \|h(D_z) \Lambda_\alpha u\| \lesssim \|P_\psi u\| & \text{if } \alpha < \frac{4}{3}, \\ \sum_{k+s < 2} \|h(D_z)^{2-k-s} \Lambda_\alpha^s D_z^k u\| + \|h(D_z)^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{2}{\alpha}} \Lambda_\alpha^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} u\| \lesssim \|P_\psi u\| & \text{if } \alpha \geq \frac{4}{3}, \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

where $\dot{\mathcal{S}}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n+1}})$ is the subspace of Schwarz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}_+^{1+n+1})$ supported in $\{t \geq 0\}$, $h(D_z) = (1 + D_z^2)^{1/4}$, U is an open neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{R}^{1+n} given as the interior of the set $\{(t, x) : 0 < t < T, |x'| \leq C\sqrt{X}, 0 \leq x_n \leq X\} \subset U$ with some constant $C > 0$, and $\Lambda_\alpha^s(\tau, \xi) = ((1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/\alpha} + i\tau)^{s\alpha/2}$.

Now by repeating the argument given for Lemma 5.1 of [9] which is based on Treve's argument, we can derive the following Carleman estimate for the operator $P(t, x, D_t, D_x)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\psi = \frac{1}{2}(x_n - 2X)^2$. Then there exists a sufficiently large constant $\beta_1 > 0$ depending on n such that for any $\beta \geq \beta_1$, we have the estimates*

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{|\gamma| \leq 1} \beta^{3-2|\gamma|} \int e^{2\beta\psi(x)} |D_x^\gamma v|^2 dt dx \lesssim \int e^{2\beta\psi(x)} |P(t, x, D_t, D_x)v|^2 dt dx, & \alpha < \frac{4}{3} \\ \sum_{|\gamma| \leq 1} \beta^{3-2|\gamma|} \int e^{2\beta\psi(x)} |D_x^\gamma v|^2 dt dx + \beta^{3-\frac{4}{\alpha}} \int e^{2\beta\psi(x)} |D_t v|^2 dt dx \\ \lesssim \int e^{2\beta\psi(x)} |P(t, x, D_t, D_x)v|^2 dt dx, & \alpha \geq \frac{4}{3}, \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

for any $v(t, x) \in C_0^\infty(U)$.

Once having the Carleman estimates, we can show the conclusion of Proposition 2.1 as follows. First recall u given just after (2.3) and the cutoff function χ given by (2.4). By an approximation argument using the Carleman estimate, we can assume that u is smooth. By (2.5), $(\chi u)(t, x) \in C_0^\infty(U)$. Then, by applying the Carleman estimates (2.13) to $(\chi u)(t, x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\gamma| \leq 1} \beta^{3-2|\gamma|} \int_{x_n \leq (1-\varepsilon)X} e^{2\beta\psi(x)} |D^\gamma u|^2 dt dx \\ & \leq \sum_{|\gamma| \leq 1} \beta^{3-2|\gamma|} \int e^{2\beta\psi(x)} |D^\gamma(\chi u)|^2 dt dx \\ & \lesssim \int e^{2\beta\psi(x)} |P(t, x, D_t, D_x)(\chi u)|^2 dt dx \\ & \lesssim \sum_{|\gamma| \leq 1} \int e^{2\beta\psi(x)} |\chi D^\gamma u|^2 dt dx + \int_{(1-\varepsilon)X < x_n \leq X} e^{2\beta\psi(x)} |[P, \chi]u|^2 dt dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the commutator. Let β be large enough to absorb the first term on the right hand side of (2.14) into the first and second lines. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta^3 \int_{x_n \leq (1-2\varepsilon)X} e^{\beta(1+2\varepsilon)^2 X^2/2} |u|^2 dt dx \\ & \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \int_{(1-\varepsilon)X < x_n \leq X} e^{2\beta\psi(x)} |D^\alpha u|^2 dt dx \\ & \lesssim C(u) e^{\beta(1+\varepsilon)^2 X^2/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Now let β tend to ∞ , then we obtain that $u = 0$ on $x_n \leq (1-2\varepsilon)X$. Since this argument works for any $1 > \varepsilon > 0$, we get that $u = 0$ in $\{x_n < X\}$ which implies the conclusion of Proposition 2.1. \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1.4

Let $z \in \partial B_r$. Then, from Proposition 2.1, there exists a small $0 < \tilde{r} < 1$ such that $u = 0$ in $(T_1, T_2) \times B_{\tilde{r}}(z)$ with $0 < T_1 < T_2 < T$. Thus, we have the following equations.

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^m q_j \partial_t^{\alpha_j} u(t, y) - Lu(t, y) = \tilde{\ell}_1(t, y; \nabla_y u(t, y)), \\ u(t, y) = 0, t \in (T_1, T_2), y \in B_{\tilde{r}}(z), \\ u(t, y) = 0, t \in (0, T), y \in B_r. \end{cases}$$

Define

$$R_r = \{(y_1, \dots, y_n) : |y_j| < r, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

and

$$R_r^- = \{y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) : y \in R_r, y_n < 0, \}.$$

If necessary, rotate the coordinates such that the line passing through the origin and $z \in \partial B_r$ is on the y_n axis. Note that this will not affect (1.2). By a diffeomorphism from $B_r \cup B_{\tilde{r}}(z)$ onto a neighborhood of $\overline{R_1 \cup (\hat{z} + R_{r_1})}$ with $\hat{z} = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ and some $0 < r_1 < 1$, the push forward of u satisfies $u = 0$ in $(0, T) \times R_1$ and $u = 0$ in $(T_1, T_2) \times \{\hat{z} : \hat{z} = (0, \dots, 1) + w, w \in R_{r_1}\}$, where we have abused the notations y and u to denote the push forward $u(t, y)$ of u . This diffeomorphism may change the constant δ in (1.2), but we will use the same δ for the new (1.2). We also move $(0, \dots, 1)$ to the origin and use the same coordinates y so that we have $u = 0$ in $(0, T) \times R_{r_1}^-$ and $u = 0$ in $(T_1, T_2) \times R_{r_1}$. Further, we transform u under the spatial diffeomorphism from R_{r_1} to R_1 so that we have $u = 0$ in $(0, T) \times R_1^-$ and $u = 0$ in $(T_1, T_2) \times R_1$. Again, we will use the same notations y for $u(t, y)$ and δ for (1.2). Thus u satisfies the following equations.

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^m q_j \partial_t^{\alpha_j} u(t, y) - Lu(t, y) = \tilde{\ell}_1(t, y; \nabla_y u(t, y)), \\ u(t, y) = 0, t \in (T_1, T_2), y \in R_1, \\ u(t, y) = 0, t \in (0, T), y \in R_1^-. \end{cases}$$

Now we consider the diffeomorphism \tilde{y} from R_1 to \mathbb{R}^n by

$$\tilde{y}(y) = \left(\frac{y_1}{\sqrt{1 - y_1^2}}, \dots, \frac{y_n}{\sqrt{1 - y_n^2}} \right). \quad (3.1)$$

It is not hard to see that

$$\tilde{L}u(t, \tilde{y}) := Lu(t, y) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n \tilde{a}_{j,k}(t, \tilde{y}) \partial_{\tilde{y}_j} \partial_{\tilde{y}_k} u(t, \tilde{y}) + l_1(t, \tilde{y}; \nabla_{\tilde{y}})u(t, \tilde{y}),$$

where $\tilde{a}_{j,k}(t, \tilde{y}) = a_{j,k}(t, y)(1 + \tilde{y}_j^2)^{3/2}(1 + \tilde{y}_k^2)^{3/2}$. From (1.2), we have $\tilde{a}_{j,k}(t, \tilde{y})$ satisfying

$$\delta |\tilde{\eta}|^2 \leq \sum_{j,k=1}^n \tilde{a}_{j,k}(t, \tilde{y}) \eta_j \eta_k = \sum_{j,k=1}^n a_{j,k}(t, y) \tilde{\eta}_j \tilde{\eta}_k \leq \delta^{-1} |\tilde{\eta}|^2, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\tilde{\eta} = ((1 + \tilde{y}_1^2)^{3/2} \eta_1, \dots, (1 + \tilde{y}_n^2)^{3/2} \eta_n)$ and η_j corresponds to the symbol of $D_j := -i \partial_{\tilde{y}_j}$. Thus, by this diffeomorphism, R_1 is mapped to \mathbb{R}^n and $u(t, \tilde{y})$ satisfies the following equations.

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^m q_j \partial_t^{\alpha_j} u(t, \tilde{y}) - \tilde{L}u(t, \tilde{y}) = \tilde{\ell}_1(t, \tilde{y}; \nabla_{\tilde{y}})u(t, \tilde{y}), \\ u(t, \tilde{y}) = 0, t \in (T_1, T_2), \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u(t, \tilde{y}) = 0, t \in (0, T), \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}_-^n. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Then we first have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that $u(t, \tilde{y}) \in H^{\alpha, 2}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies (3.3). Then $u(t, \tilde{y}) = 0$ in $(T_1, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Proof.

Let θ be a smooth function such that

$$\theta(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq T_1, \\ 1, & t \geq T_2. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Let $\hat{u} := \theta(t)u$ and compute $\partial_t^\alpha \hat{u}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(1-\alpha)\partial_t^\alpha \hat{u} &= \int_0^t (t-\eta)^{-\alpha} \partial_\eta(\theta(\eta)u(\eta, y)) d\eta \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq T_1, \\ 0, & T_1 < t < T_2, \\ \int_{T_2}^t (t-\eta)^{-\alpha} \theta(\eta) \partial_\eta u(\eta, y) d\eta = \int_0^t (t-\eta)^{-\alpha} \theta(\eta) \partial_\eta u(\eta, y) d\eta, & T_2 \leq t. \end{cases} \\ &= \theta(t) \int_0^t (t-\eta)^{-\alpha} \partial_\eta u(\eta, y) d\eta \\ &= \Gamma(1-\alpha)\theta(t)\partial_t^\alpha u. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Then, by multiplying $\theta(t)$ to the each equation in (3.3), we have

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^m q_j \partial_t^{\alpha_j} \hat{u}(t, \tilde{y}) - \tilde{L}\hat{u}(t, \tilde{y}) = \tilde{\ell}_1(t, \tilde{y}; \nabla_{\tilde{y}}) \hat{u}(t, \tilde{y}), \\ \hat{u}(t, \tilde{y}) = 0, \quad t \leq 0, \quad \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \hat{u}(t, \tilde{y}) = 0, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}_-^n. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Now, we apply Theorem 7.3 of [9] to get $\hat{u} = \theta(t)u = 0$ for $t > 0$. By the definition of θ , we have that $u(t, \tilde{y}) = 0$ for $t > T_1$. \square

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 1.4, we will prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that $u(t, \tilde{y}) \in H^{\alpha, 2}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies (3.3). Then $u(t, \tilde{y}) = 0$ in $(0, T) \times (B'_{r_2} \times \mathbb{R})$, where $B'_{r_2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is a ball centered at the origin with a small radius $r_2 > 0$.*

Proof. From Proposition 3.1, we can assume that $u(t, \tilde{y}) = 0$ for $t > T_1$. For the rest, it suffices to prove that

$$u(t, \tilde{y}) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad (0, T_1) \times (B'_X \times \mathbb{R}) = \cup_{s \in \mathbb{N}} E_s, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$E_s = \{(t, \tilde{y}) : t \in (0, T_1], \tilde{y}_n + |\tilde{y}'|^2 - \frac{sX}{T_1}t < 0, |\tilde{y}'| < X\}$$

with a fixed small positive constant X . The idea of the proof is the same as that of Theorem 7.3 of [9].

Let

$$\begin{cases} Q_s = \{(\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_n) : |\tilde{y}'| \leq X, -1/6 < \tilde{y}_n \leq sX\}, \\ \tilde{Q}_s = \{(\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_n) : |\tilde{y}'| \leq 2X, -1/3 < \tilde{y}_n \leq (1+s)X\}, \end{cases}$$

easy to see that the principal symbol \tilde{p}_ψ of $P_\psi = P_\psi(t, x, D_t, D_x, D_z) := P(t, x, D_t, D_x + i|D_z|\nabla\psi)$ with the Carleman weight function $\psi = \frac{1}{2}(x_n - 2X)^2$ is given as

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{p}_\psi = & \sum_{l=1}^m q_l(1 + i\tau)^{\alpha_l} + \tilde{a}_{nn}(t, x)(\xi_n + i|\sigma|\tilde{X})^2 \\ & + 2\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tilde{a}_{jn}(t, x)(\xi_n + i|\sigma|\tilde{X})(\xi_j + 2cx_j\xi_n + 2icx_j|\sigma|\tilde{X}) \\ & + \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{a}_{jk}(t, x)(\xi_j + 2cx_j\xi_n + 2icx_j|\sigma|\tilde{X})(\xi_k + 2cx_k\xi_n + 2icx_k|\sigma|\tilde{X})\end{aligned}\quad (3.10)$$

with $\tilde{X} = x_n - 2X$. Hence this equation for \tilde{p}_ψ coincide with (2.9) except the difference in notations. Then, based on this, we can argue as in the proof of Theorem 7.3 in [9] to show $u(t, \tilde{y}) = 0$ in E_1 .

Next we show that $u = 0$ in E_s for $s \geq 2$. For this, Figure 2 given below will be helpful for understanding the situation we have in the coming argument. When $s = 2$, we need to take the Holmgren type transform as follows.

$$x' = \tilde{y}', x_n = \tilde{y}_n + |\tilde{y}'|^2 - \frac{2X}{T_1}t + X, \tilde{t} = t. \quad (3.11)$$

Then by observing

$$\text{supp } \chi\kappa_2 u \subset \tilde{Q}_2 \cap \{0 \leq x_n < X\}, \quad (3.12)$$

we have $u(t, \tilde{y}) = 0$ in E_2 with

$$E_2 = \{(t, \tilde{y}) : t \in (0, T_1], \tilde{y}_n + |\tilde{y}'|^2 - \frac{2X}{T_1}t < 0, |\tilde{y}'| < X\}$$

by repeating the same argument as we did for $s = 1$. Now, we assume that $u(t, \tilde{y}) = 0$ in E_s with

$$E_s = \{(t, \tilde{y}) : t \in (0, T_1], \tilde{y}_n + |\tilde{y}'|^2 - \frac{sX}{T_1}t < 0, |\tilde{y}'| < X\}.$$

Then, using the Holmgren type transform of the form

$$x' = \tilde{y}', x_n = \tilde{y}_n + |\tilde{y}'|^2 - \frac{(s+1)X}{T_1}t + X, \tilde{t} = t \quad (3.13)$$

and observing

$$\text{supp } \chi\kappa_{s+1} u \subset \tilde{Q}_{s+1} \cap \{0 \leq x_n < X\}, \quad (3.14)$$

we have $u(t, \tilde{y}) = 0$ in E_{s+1} with

$$E_{s+1} = \{(t, \tilde{y}) : t \in (0, T_1], \tilde{y}_n + |\tilde{y}'|^2 - \frac{(s+1)X}{T_1}t < 0, |\tilde{y}'| < X\}$$

by repeating the same argument. In this way we can obtain (3.7). Then, combining this with Proposition 3.1, we immediately have the conclusion of Proposition 3.2. \square




Figure 2: The red lines are $\tilde{y}_n + |\tilde{y}'|^2 = \frac{sX}{T_1}t$ and $E_s = \cup_{j=1}^s \tilde{E}_j$.

Acknowledgement

The first author was partially supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan. Also, the second author acknowledge the support by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (No.19K03554) during this study.

References

- [1] E. Adams and L. Gelhar, Field study of dispersion in an heterogeneous aquifer 2. Spatial moments analysis, *Water Resources Res.*28 (1992) pp.3293-3307.
- [2] T. Brown, S. Du, H. Ersulu an F-J. Sayas, Analysis of models for viscoelastic wave propagation, *Appl. Math. and Nonlinear Sciences* 3 (2018) pp.55-96.
- [3] J. Cheng, C-L. Lin and G. Nakamura, Unique continuation property for the anomalous diffusion and its application, *J. Differential Equations*, 254(2013) pp.3715-3728.
- [4] M. Ginoa, S. Cerbelli and H.E. Roman, Fractional diffusion equation and relaxation in complex viscoelastic materials, *Phys. A* 191 (1992) pp. 449-453.
- [5] Y. Hatano and N. Hatano, *Dispersive transport of ions in column experiments: An explanation of long-tailed profiles*, *Water Resour. Res.*, **134**(1998), no. 5, pp.1027-1033.

- [6] D. Jiang, Z. Li, Y. Liu and M. Yamamoto, Weak unique continuation property and a related inverse source problem for time-fractional diffusion-advection equations, *Inverse Problems*, 33 (2017) 055013.
- [7] Z. Li and M. Yamamoto, Unique continuation principle for the one-dimensional time-fractional diffusion equation, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, 22 (2019) pp.644-657.
- [8] C-L. Lin and G. Nakamura, Unique continuation property for anomalous slow diffusion equation, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 41 (2016) pp.749-758.
- [9] C-L. Lin and G. Nakamura, Unique continuation property for multi-terms time fractional diffusion equation, *Math. Ann.* 373(2019), no 3-4 pp.929-952.
- [10] Y. Liu, G. Hu and M. Yamamoto, Inverse moving source problems for fractional diffusion(-wave) equations: Determination of profiles, arXiv: 2002.00194v1
- [11] C-L. Sun and J. Liu, Reconstruction of the space-dependent source from partial Neumann data for slow diffusion system, *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae, English Series*, 36 (2020) pp.166-182,
- [12] M. Taylor, *Pseudodifferential Operators*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, Ney Jersey, 1981.
- [13] F. Treves, A link between solvability of pseudodifferential equations and uniqueness in the Cauchy problem, *American J. Math.*, 94 (1972) pp.267-288.
- [14] X. Xu, J. Cheng and M. Yamamoto, Carleman estimate for a fractional diffusion equation with half order and application, *Applicable Analysis* **90** (2011) pp. 1355-1371.