

From Average Embeddings To Nearest Neighbor Search*

Alexandr Andoni
CS and DSI
Columbia University

David Cheikhi
Columbia University

January 8, 2022

Abstract

In this note, we show that one can use average embeddings, introduced recently in [Nao20], to obtain efficient algorithms for approximate nearest neighbor search. In particular, a metric X embeds into ℓ_2 on average, with distortion D , if, for any distribution μ on X , the embedding is D Lipschitz and the (square of) distance does not decrease on average (wrt μ). In particular existence of such an embedding (assuming it is efficient) implies a $O(D^3)$ approximate nearest neighbor search under X . This can be seen as a strengthening of the classic (bi-Lipschitz) embedding approach to nearest neighbor search, and is another application of data-dependent hashing paradigm.

1 Introduction

In the c -Approximate Nearest Neighbor Search (c -ANN) problem, we preprocess a dataset P living in some metric space (X, d_X) for some threshold $r > 0$, such that, for a given query $q \in X$, we can efficiently find a point in $p \in P$ at distance $d_X(p, q) \leq cr$, as long as there exists a point $p^* \in P$ at distance $d_X(q, p^*) \leq r$. In many applications, the metric space is high-dimensional, with the d -dimensional Euclidean space \mathfrak{R}^d being the most well-studied. See, e.g., survey [AIR18] for further background on high-dimensional ANN problem.

A common approach for solving the ANN problem is via Locality Sensitive Hashing (LSH) [HIM12], which can be viewed as a random, oblivious space partition. LSH mainly applies to the Euclidean (ℓ_2) and Hamming (ℓ_1) spaces. For example, for any fixed $\epsilon > 0$, one can solve ANN under ℓ_2 with $c = \sqrt{1/\epsilon} + o(1)$ approximation, $O(n^\epsilon d)$ query time and $O(n^{1+\epsilon} d)$ space (we call such query/space parameters as "efficient" henceforth).

Beyond ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 metrics, there has been much less progress and the best bounds are usually far from understood. A long-standing approach is via *metric embeddings*: a map from a metric (X, d_X) into an "easier" space, say, (\mathfrak{R}^d, ℓ_2) , while approximately preserving all the distances:

Definition 1.1 (bi-Lipschitz embedding into ℓ_2). *A map $f : X \rightarrow \ell_2$ is an embedding into ℓ_2 , with distortion $D \geq 1$, iff for any $x, y \in X$ we have that*

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\|_2 \leq d_X(x, y) \leq D \cdot \|f(x) - f(y)\|_2.$$

Using such an embedding for a metric (X, d_X) , one can immediately extend the ANN under ℓ_2 algorithms to ANN under X , with an extra factor D approximation. Indeed, this approach

*Research supported in part by NSF grants (CCF-2008733, CCF-1617955, and CCF-1740833), and Simons Foundation (#491119).

yields best-known ANN algorithms for spaces such as the edit distance [OR07]. However, there are also known lower bounds for the best possible distortion, including in the case of edit distance [KN06, KR06]. A possible extension of the embedding approach is to embed a *snowflake* of the metric (X, d_X) which means embedding (X, d_X^ω) for some $\omega < 1$, though oftentimes there are lower bounds for this approach as well (albeit weaker), see, e.g., [AK10] for our example of edit distance.

A recent qualitative development is that of *data-dependent* hashing/space partitioning methods. For ℓ_2 and ℓ_1 spaces, [AINR14, AR15, ALRW17] developed data-dependent LSH that gave a better approximation: e.g., $c = \sqrt{\frac{1+\epsilon}{2\epsilon}} + o(1)$ for ℓ_2 ; also see [Ind98] for an earlier example of data-dependent technique for ℓ_∞ .

For metrics beyond ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , [ANN⁺18] developed a new data-dependent approach, based on the following geometric property of the space (X, d_X) , termed cutting modulus:

Definition 1.2 (Cutting modulus). *For fixed $\epsilon > 0$, the cutting modulus of the metric $\mathcal{X} = (X, d_X)$, denoted $\Xi(\mathcal{X}, \epsilon)$ is the infimum D such that the following holds for any $r > 0$ and any integer $m > 1$. Fix a pointset $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset X$, and consider a positively-weighted graph $G = (V, E, w)$ where $w_{pq} > 0 \implies d_X(p, q) \leq r$ and $\sum_{p \in V} \sum_{q \in V} w_{p,q} = 1$ (i.e., it's a distribution over the ordered pairs (p, q)). Then, one of the following must hold:*

- *Either there exists some point $z \in X$ such that $\sum_{p: d_X(z,p) \leq Dr} \sum_{q \in V} w_{p,q} \geq 1/2$ (i.e., there is a ball of radius Dr containing $1/2$ mass of the pointset),*
- *Or there exists a non-trivial cut $S \subset V$ with conductance $\Phi(S) \leq \epsilon$.*

The main result of [ANN⁺18] is that any metric X with cutting modulus D admits a D -ANN, albeit with a couple important caveats. First, without further properties, the query algorithm is efficient only in the cell-probe model, as it involves operating with an exponentially-sized graph G (think a net in \mathcal{X}). Nonetheless, as [ANN⁺18] show, one can use a slightly stronger notion of cutting modulus to remove this caveat, for example obtaining efficient ANN for ℓ_p and Schatten- p norms, with $O(p/\epsilon)$ approximation, for any $p \geq 2$. Second, the preprocessing time is exponential and seems unavoidable for this approach.

There are currently few bounds on the cutting modulus, and most of them follow from the theory of *non-linear spectral gaps*, whose systematic study was started in [MN14]; see also [Nao14, MN⁺15]. Perhaps most striking is that the cutting modulus of any d -dimensional norm $(\mathbb{R}^d, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is $O(\frac{\log d}{\epsilon})$, following the bound on the non-linear spectral gap from [Nao17].

The work of [Nao20] introduced the notion of *average embedding* and proved a connection to non-linear spectral gap bounds. An average embedding relaxes the bi-Lipschitz embedding from above in that the contraction holds only on average with respect to a fixed given measure (and hence the embedding is "data dependent"):

Definition 1.3 (Average embedding). *For a metric $\mathcal{X} = (X, d_X)$, a map $f : X \rightarrow \ell_2$ is an q -average embedding, for a dataset $P \subset X$, with distortion D if the following is satisfied:*

$$\|f(p) - f(q)\|_2 \leq D \cdot d_X(p, q) \quad \text{for all } p, q \in X$$

$$\sum_{p, q \in P} \|f(p) - f(q)\|_2^q \geq \sum_{p, q \in P} d_X(p, q)^q.$$

When $q = 2$, we call it *simply average embedding*.

In particular, [Nao20] showed that the average embedding distortion for $1/2$ -snowflake of a d -dimensional normed space is $O(\sqrt{\log d})$. Similarly, [Nao14, Nao20] show that the average embedding distortion for ℓ_p is $O(p)$, for $p > 2$. Both of these embeddings are non-constructive, proven by duality.

Our result. In this note, we show that one can use average embeddings directly to solve ANN.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose a metric X has an average embedding f with distortion \sqrt{D} , where time to construct f is T_P and time to compute f on a point q is T_c . Then there is a c -ANN with query time $O(n^\epsilon \cdot T_c)$, space $n^{O(1)}$, and preprocessing time $n^{O(1)} \cdot T_P$, and approximation $c = O(D^3/\epsilon)$.*

Our approach avoids some of the aforementioned caveats of using cutting modulus, as long as we can construct an efficient average embedding for a given metric X . In particular, there is no more need for exponential time preprocessing (again assuming efficient average embedding map).

At high-level, our algorithm is similar to the ANN approach via standard bi-Lipschitz embedding: embed the space X into ℓ_2 and then use an efficient ANN for ℓ_2 , though the actual algorithm is a little bit more nuanced. The overall algorithm is "data-dependent" because the average embedding f is data-dependent (note that f from above may depend on the dataset P). In Appendix A we also propose an explicit, efficient average embedding for ℓ_p , $p > 2$, albeit we leave it as a conjecture to prove its second property.

Independent work. In independent work, [KNT21] showed a similar result, obtaining a tighter bound of $O(D \log D)$ -ANN. Their algorithm requires one more step: to construct a weak average embedding out of a generic average embedding. They also show how to compute the 1-average embedding for ℓ_p with distortion $O(p)$ (thus also resolving a related version of our conjecture), and hence obtain an $O(p)$ -ANN with polynomial-time preprocessing (removing also the $O(\log p)$ from their generic reduction). Their algorithm thus improves over ANN from [BG19, ANN+18].

2 ANN Algorithm from Average Embedding

We now describe our main ANN algorithm that uses an average embedding. Our algorithm solves a special case of the ANN problem, termed *bounded ANN*: the β -bounded ANN is the problem where we are guaranteed that all distances within the dataset P verify $r \leq d_X(p, q) \leq \beta \cdot cr$. We note that it is enough to solve β -bounded ANN problem, for $\beta = 18$, due to the result of [BG19].

Our algorithm uses an LSH scheme for ℓ_2 , formally defined as follows.

Definition 2.1. (LSH) *For a metric X , a family of hash functions $h : X \rightarrow U$ is (p_1, p_2, r, cr) -LSH if, for all $x, y \in X$:*

- if $d_X(x, y) \leq r$, then $\Pr[h(x) = h(y)] \geq p_1$,
- if $d_X(x, y) > cr$, then $\Pr[h(x) = h(y)] < p_2$.

The exponent of the LSH scheme is defined as $\rho = \frac{\log 1/p_1}{\log 1/p_2}$.

The metric $X = \ell_2$ admits (p_1, p_2, r, cr) -LSH, for $\rho = 1/c$ with p_1 arbitrarily close to 1 [DIIM04]. We also assume that $r = 1$ henceforth for simplicity.

Fix some $\lambda, w \geq 1$ tbd. We construct the data structure for a β -bounded pointset P recursively as a collection of randomized trees, constructed iid. A tree recursively partitions the dataset until the size of the dataset becomes constant (below think of P is the dataset assigned to the current node). There are two type of nodes:

- If there exists $x_0 \in P$ such that $|P \cap B_X(x_0, \lambda D)| > |P|/8$, we store x_0 and a point $p_0 \in P \cap B_X(x_0, \lambda D)$. For the rest of the points, we create a single child inheriting $P \setminus B_X(x_0, \lambda D)$, and recurse the construction on it.
- If there is no $x_0 \in P$ such that $|P \cap B_X(x_0, \lambda D)| > |P|/8$, then we compute an average embedding $f : X \rightarrow \ell_2$ for P . Then we sample a (p_1, p_2, D, wD) -LSH $h : \ell_2 \rightarrow U$ and use it to partition the pointset P . In particular, each part $k \in U$ generates a child node k that inherits the points $p \in P$ with $h(f(p)) = k$ (storing only non-empty parts as usual).

For a given query point q , we traverse the tree as follows:

- if the node is of the first type: if $d(x_0, q) < \sqrt{D}$, return the associated p_0 , and otherwise recurse into the single child;
- if the node is of the second type: branch into the child node $k = h(f(q))$.
- in a leaf node: check the distance to all stored nodes and return a valid answer if there exists one.

Theorem 2.2. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, there is λ, w , and $c = O(D^3/\epsilon)$ such that the tree constructed as above has a probability at least $n^{-\epsilon}$ to find a cr -near neighbor, assuming there's an r -near neighbor.*

Hence, we can build a β -bounded ANN which constructs n^ϵ trees as above, and uses space $n^{1+O(\epsilon)}$ for approximation $c = O(D^3/\epsilon)$. The reduction from [BG19] then yields an algorithm for the vanilla ANN.

2.1 Analysis: Proof of Theorem 2.2

First we note that nodes of the first type do not affect the correctness. So in the rest we fix a node of the tree above, and suppose we are in the second case: there is no $x_0 \in X$ such that $|P \cap B_X(x_0, \lambda D)| > |P|/8$. We further assume that P contains a near neighbor point p^* , with $d(q, p^*) \leq 1$. We first prove the following lemma bounding the number of points that are "close" to q after the embedding f .

Lemma 2.3. *Consider a set P satisfying:*

- $|B_X(x, \lambda D) \cap P| \leq p|P|$ for all $x \in P$;
- For all points $x, y \in P$, $d_X(x, y) \leq \beta c$.

Consider a query q at distance at most 1 from some point in P . Then, we have that

$$|B_{\ell_2}(f(q), wD) \cap f(P)| \leq 1 - \frac{(1-p)\lambda^2}{4\beta^2 c^2},$$

as long as $(1-p)\lambda^2 = \Omega(w^2)$, and $\beta c \geq \lambda$.

Proof. Let $\alpha = \frac{|B_{\ell_2}(f(q), wD) \cap f(P)|}{|P|}$. By the second property of the average embedding for P :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x, y \in \mathcal{P}} d(x, y)^2 &\leq \sum_{x, y \in \mathcal{P}} \|f(x) - f(y)\|^2 \\ \implies \sum_{x, y \in \mathcal{P}} d(x, y)^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha^2)\beta^2 c^2 D^2 n^2 + 4\alpha^2 w^2 D^2 n^2 \end{aligned}$$

using D -Lipschitzness of f , and that for an α ratio of $x \in P$, $\|f(x) - f(q)\| \leq wD$.

$$\implies n^2(1-p)\lambda^2 D^2 \leq \beta^2 c^2 D^2 n^2 - \alpha^2(\beta^2 c^2 D^2 - 4w^2 D^2)n^2$$

since $d_X(x, y) \geq \lambda D$ for a $1-p$ ratio of $x, y \in P$.

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \alpha^2 &\leq \frac{\beta^2 c^2 D^2 - (1-p)\lambda^2 D^2}{\beta^2 c^2 D^2 - 4w^2 D^2} \\ \implies \alpha^2 &\leq \frac{\beta^2 c^2 - (1-p)\lambda^2}{\beta^2 c^2 - 4w^2} \\ \implies \alpha^2 &\leq \left(1 - \frac{(1-p)\lambda^2}{\beta^2 c^2}\right)(1 + O(w^2/\beta^2 c^2)) \\ \implies \alpha^2 &\leq 1 - \frac{(1-p)\lambda^2 - O(w^2)}{\beta^2 c^2}. \\ \implies \alpha &\leq 1 - \frac{(1-p)\lambda^2}{4\beta^2 c^2}, \end{aligned}$$

for $(1-p)\lambda^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}O(w^2)$. □

We use the lemma from above with $p = 1/8$, $\beta = 18$, and $c = \lambda D$. After the embedding f , it is guaranteed that $|f(P) \cap B(f(q), wD)| > |P| \cdot (1 - \Omega(\frac{1}{D^2}))$. Using an (p_1, p_2, D, wD) -LSH in the embedded space, the fraction of points that collides with the query is:

$$p'_2 \leq 1 - \Omega\left(\frac{1}{D^2}\right) + p_2 \left(1 - (1 - \Omega\left(\frac{1}{D^2}\right))\right) \leq 1 - (1 - p_2) \frac{\Omega(1)}{D^2}.$$

Therefore, embedding the query and the dataset and applying an (p_1, p_2, D, wD) -LSH is like applying an $(p_1, p'_2, 1, \lambda D)$ -LSH in the original space. Therefore, we pick

$$p_1 = 1 - \epsilon(1 - p'_2) = 1 - \epsilon(1 - p_2) \frac{\Theta(1)}{D^2}.$$

Such a choice is possible as long as $w \geq \Theta(D^2/\epsilon)$. As, from above, we require $\lambda \geq \Omega(w)$, we have that $\lambda \geq \Omega(D^2/\epsilon)$. Finally, we get that the approximation ratio is $c = \lambda D = O(D^3/\epsilon)$. We obtain $\rho = \epsilon$ and hence $n^{-\epsilon}$ success probability.

References

- [AINR14] Alexandr Andoni, Piotr Indyk, Huy L. Nguyen, and Ilya Razenshteyn. Beyond locality-sensitive hashing. In *Proceedings of the ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, 2014. Full version at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.1547>.
- [AIR18] Alexandr Andoni, Piotr Indyk, and Ilya Razenshteyn. Approximate nearest neighbor search in high dimensions. 2018. This survey accompanied the ICM 2018 talk of Piotr Indyk. arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.09823.
- [AK10] Alexandr Andoni and Robert Krauthgamer. The computational hardness of estimating edit distance. *SIAM J. Comput. (SICOMP)*, 39(6):2398–2429, 2010. Previously in FOCS'07.
- [ALRW17] Alexandr Andoni, Thijs Laarhoven, Ilya P. Razenshteyn, and Erik Waingarten. Optimal hashing-based time-space trade-offs for approximate near neighbors. In *Proceedings of the ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, 2017.

- [ANN⁺18] Alexandr Andoni, Assaf Naor, Aleksandar Nikolov, Ilya P. Razenshteyn, and Erik Waingarten. Data-dependent hashing via nonlinear spectral gaps. In *Proceedings of the Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, 2018.
- [AR15] Alexandr Andoni and Ilya Razenshteyn. Optimal data-dependent hashing for approximate near neighbors. In *Proceedings of the Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*, 2015. Full version at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1501.01062>.
- [BG19] Yair Bartal and Lee-Ad Gottlieb. Approximate nearest neighbor search for ℓ_p -spaces ($2 < p < \infty$). *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 757:27–35, 2019.
- [DIIM04] Mayur Datar, Nicole Immorlica, Piotr Indyk, and Vahab Mirrokni. Locality-sensitive hashing scheme based on p-stable distributions. In *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Computational Geometry (SoCG)*, 2004.
- [HIM12] Sariel Har-Peled, Piotr Indyk, and Rajeev Motwani. Approximate nearest neighbor: Towards removing the curse of dimensionality. *Theory of Computing*, 1(8):321–350, 2012.
- [Ind98] Piotr Indyk. Faster algorithms for string matching problems: matching the convolution bound. *Proceedings of the Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS)*, 1998.
- [KN06] Subhash Khot and Assaf Naor. Nonembeddability theorems via Fourier analysis. *Math. Ann.*, 334(4):821–852, 2006. Preliminary version appeared in FOCS’05.
- [KNT21] Deepanshu Kush, Aleksandar Nikolov, and Haohua Tang. Near neighbor search via efficient average distortion embeddings. In *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Computational Geometry (SoCG)*, 2021. To appear.
- [KR06] Robert Krauthgamer and Yuval Rabani. Improved lower bounds for embeddings into L_1 . In *Proceedings of the ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, pages 1010–1017, 2006.
- [MN14] Manor Mendel and Assaf Naor. Nonlinear spectral calculus and super-expanders. *Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 119(1):1–95, 2014.
- [MN⁺15] Manor Mendel, Assaf Naor, et al. Expanders with respect to hadamard spaces and random graphs. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 164(8):1471–1548, 2015.
- [Nao14] Assaf Naor. Comparison of metric spectral gaps. *Analysis and Geometry in Metric Spaces*, 2(1), 2014.
- [Nao17] Assaf Naor. A spectral gap precludes low-dimensional embeddings. In *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Computational Geometry (SoCG)*, 2017. Also at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.08861>.
- [Nao20] Assaf Naor. An average John theorem. *arXiv preprint 1905.01280*, 2020. To appear in *Geometry & Topology*.
- [OR07] Rafail Ostrovsky and Yuval Rabani. Low distortion embedding for edit distance. *J. ACM*, 54(5), 2007. Preliminary version appeared in STOC’05.

A Average Embedding for ℓ_p

We consider the following average embedding from ℓ_p to ℓ_2 . Let

$$h(x)_i = \text{sign}(x_i)|x_i|^{p/2}$$

and

$$f(x) = \frac{h(x)}{\|h(x)\|_2} \|x\|_p = h(x) \frac{\|x\|_p}{\|x\|_p^{p/2}} = h\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|_p}\right) \|x\|_2$$

Theorem A.1. *The embedding $f : \ell_p \rightarrow \ell_2$ is $p + 1$ Lipschitz.*

Proof. We notice that h is the Mazur map from ℓ_p to ℓ_2 . The embedding f first normalizes its input, applies the Mazur map and the rescale it. We will therefore make use of the fact that the Mazur map is $\frac{p}{2}$ -Lipschitz on the unit sphere.

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - f(y)\|_2 &= \left\| \frac{h(x)}{\|h(x)\|_2} \|x\|_p - \frac{h(y)}{\|h(y)\|_2} \|y\|_p \right\|_2 \\ &= \left\| \|x\|_p \left(\frac{h(x)}{\|h(x)\|_2} - \frac{h(y)}{\|h(y)\|_2} \right) + \frac{h(y)}{\|h(y)\|_2} (\|x\|_p - \|y\|_p) \right\|_2 \\ &\leq \|x\|_p \left\| \frac{h(x)}{\|h(x)\|_2} - \frac{h(y)}{\|h(y)\|_2} \right\|_2 + \left\| \frac{h(y)}{\|h(y)\|_2} \right\|_2 |\|x\|_p - \|y\|_p| \\ &\leq \|x\|_p \left\| \frac{h(x)}{\|h(x)\|_2} - \frac{h(y)}{\|h(y)\|_2} \right\|_2 + \|x - y\|_p \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \|x\|_p \left\| h\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|_p}\right) - h\left(\frac{y}{\|y\|_p}\right) \right\|_2 + \|x - y\|_p \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \frac{p}{2} \|x\|_p \left\| \frac{x}{\|x\|_p} - \frac{y}{\|y\|_p} \right\|_p + \|x - y\|_p \\ &= \frac{p}{2} \left\| x - \frac{\|x\|_p}{\|y\|_p} y \right\|_p + \|x - y\|_p \\ &= \frac{p}{2} \|x - y\|_p + \frac{p}{2} \left| \frac{\|x\|_p}{\|y\|_p} - 1 \right| \|y\|_p + \|x - y\|_p \\ &\leq (p + 1) \|x - y\|_p \end{aligned}$$

Where (a) uses the fact that $h(x)/\|h(x)\|_2 = h(x/\|x\|_p)$ and (b) uses the fact that h is $p/2$ -Lipschitz on the unit sphere. \square

To complete the proof that the map f is average embedding we need the following inequality, left as a conjecture.

Conjecture A.2. *For any x_1, \dots, x_n , we can find z such that $x \rightarrow f(x - z)$ verifies, for some universal constant $C > 0$:*

$$\sum_{i,j} \|f(x_i - z) - f(x_j - z)\|_2^2 \geq C \sum_{i,j} \|x_i - x_j\|_p^2.$$