

# Weak and renormalized solutions to a hypoelliptic Mean Field Games system.

Nikiforos Mimikos-Stamatopoulos

## Abstract

We establish the existence and uniqueness of weak and renormalized solutions to a degenerate, hypoelliptic Mean Field Games system with local coupling. An important step is to obtain  $L^\infty$ -bounds for solutions to a degenerate Fokker-Planck equation with a De-Giorgi type argument. In particular, we show existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to Mean Fields Games systems with Lipschitz Hamiltonians. Furthermore, we establish existence and uniqueness of renormalized solutions for Hamiltonians with quadratic growth. Our approach relies on the kinetic regularity of hypoelliptic equations obtained by Bouchut and the work of Porretta on the existence and uniqueness of renormalized solutions for the Mean Field Game system, in the non-degenerate setting.

## Acknowledgement

This work was partially supported by P. Souganidis's National Science Foundation Grants DMS-1266383 and DMS-1600129

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we establish the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions of the local, hypoelliptic Mean Field Games system (MFG for short)

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t u - \Delta_v u + v \cdot D_x u + H(D_v u) = F(t, x, v, m(t, x, v)) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \partial_t m - \Delta_v m - v \cdot D_x m - \operatorname{div}_v(m H_p(D_v u)) = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(T, x, v) = G(x, v, m(T, x, v)), \quad m(0, x, v) = m_0(x, v). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

As is the case in MFG theory, the Hamiltonian  $H : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex, the coupling term  $F = F(t, x, v, m) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as well as the terminal cost function  $G = G(x, v, m) : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are increasing in  $m$ , and  $m_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a given probability density.

Systems like (1) formally describe a stochastic differential game with infinite players. In this setup, it is natural to interpret  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  as the position and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  as the velocity of a typical/small player. The solution  $u$  of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation (HJB for short) can be understood as the cost function of a small player who has control over his/her acceleration, in which case the optimal feedback is formally given by the vector field  $-(v, D_p H(D_v u))$ . If each player behaves according to that optimal feedback, their distribution changes according to the degenerate Fokker-Planck equation (FP for short). As far as applications are concerned, we refer the reader to the flocking model in Carmona and Delarue [10]. Finally, we mention that the general form of (1) is reminiscent of Boltzmann type equations, which have been investigated in the MFG context by Burger, Lorz, Wolfram [12] in a setting quite different to the one used in this paper.

MFG were introduced by Lasry and Lions in [3], [4], [5] and, in a special case, by Huang, Caines, Malhame [11]. Although there has been extensive study of non-degenerate second-order mean field games, with a local or

non-local coupling, less has been done in the degenerate setting, an example of the latter being hypoelliptic MFG. In hypoelliptic MFG, when the degeneracy is a sum of squares, Dragoni and Feleqi in [6] studied the ergodic problem; see also Feleqi, Gomes and Tada [7]. In the case  $H(p) = |p|^2$ , using the Hopf-Cole transformation, Camilli in [13] obtained weak solutions to the system above with uncoupled terminal data. It should also be noted that the assumptions of Camilli appear almost complementary to the ones in this paper, as the existence of solutions is established for terminal data that are needed to be unbounded since they need to be superquadratic. For results in the case of non-Hörmander degenerate systems, we refer to Cardaliaguet, Graber, Porretta and Tonon in [8], who study, using a variational approach, degenerate MFG systems, for Hamiltonians with super-linear growth and no coupling on the terminal data of the HJB equation.

In this paper, our goal is to show existence and uniqueness under similar assumptions as that of Porretta in [16]. We work with two different types of Hamiltonian  $H$ , with linear growth or quadratic. Furthermore, note that the degeneracy is not a sum of squares, that is,  $L$  is not of the form  $L := \sum_{i,j}^k a_{ij} X_i X_j$ , for some vector fields  $X_i$  satisfying Hörmanders condition. In the context of hypoelliptic operators, the degenerate operator  $L := \partial_t - \Delta_v + v \cdot D_x$  is one of the simplest and historically the first one to be studied. Our main results are the following theorems, for which the exact assumptions are given later in section 1.

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that  $H, F, G$ , and  $m_0$  satisfy assumptions (1.1),(1.2),(1.3), and (1.4). Then, there exists a unique weak solution  $(u, m) \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$  of (1), according to Definition 1.1. Furthermore, assume that  $D_v m_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and that  $F$  also satisfies assumption (1.5). Then, there exists a constant  $C = C(F, G, H, T, m_0) > 0$ , such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|m(t)\|_2 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|Dm(t)\|_2 + \|D_{v,v}^2 m\|_2 + \|D_v D_x m\|_2 \leq C,$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|_2 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|Du(t)\|_2 + \|D_{v,v}^2 u\|_2 + \|D_v D_x u\|_2 \leq C.$$

**Theorem 1.2.** Assume that  $H, F, G$ , and  $m_0$  satisfy assumptions (1.6),(1.7),(1.8) and (1.4). Then, there exists a unique pair  $(u, m) \in C([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)) \times C([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ , of renormalized solutions of the MFG system (1), according to Definition 1.4.

**Remark 1.** The first Theorem addresses the case of a Lipschitz Hamiltonian, while the latter the case of quadratic Hamiltonian.

**Remark 2.** Using the same methods as Porretta in [16], we can also treat the case of sub-quadratic growth in the Hamiltonian  $H$ .

The existence of a solution, in the case of Lipschitz Hamiltonian, is established using a Schauder fixed point theorem as follows. Fix a probability density  $m_0$ . Given  $\mu \in X := C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ , let  $u^\mu \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ , with  $D_v u \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  be the unique, distributional solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t u - \Delta_v u + v \cdot D_x u + H(D_v u) = F(t, x, v, \mu) \text{ in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(T, x, v) = G(\mu(T, x, v)), \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

and  $m$  the distributional solution of

$$\partial_t m - \Delta_v m - v \cdot D_x m - \operatorname{div}_v(m D_p H(D_v u^\mu)) = 0 \text{ in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \quad m(0, \cdot) = m_0.$$

Set  $\Phi(\mu) = m$ . We need to show that  $\Phi$  is  $X$ -valued, continuous, and compact. The two aforementioned properties follow easily once we show that  $\Phi(m) \in L^\infty$  with appropriate bounds. Compactness requires some work, because of the degenerate  $x$ -direction.

A reasonable approach is to look for a compact embedding on degenerate Sobolev spaces. In particular, the following space embeds compactly in  $L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times U)$ :

$$S := \{m \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times U) : \partial_t m - v \cdot D_x m \in L^2, D_v m \in L^2\}, \text{ with norm,}$$

$$\|m\|_S := \|m\|_2 + \|\partial_t m - v \cdot D_x m\|_2 + \|D_v m\|_2,$$

where  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is bounded and sufficiently nice.

For this approach to work, it is necessary to show that if  $m$  solves

$$\partial_t m - \Delta_v m - v \cdot D_x m - \operatorname{div}_v(m H_p(D_v u)) = 0,$$

it is possible to control  $\|\partial_t m - v \cdot D_x m\|_2$  and  $\|D_v m\|_2$ . In turn, these bounds yield

$$\Delta_v m + \operatorname{div}_v(m H_p(D_v u)) \in L^2,$$

which give  $\Delta_v m, D_v^2 u \in L^2$ .

Continuing with this line of thought, we must show that it is possible to obtain bounds on  $\Delta_v u$ , where  $u$  solves

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t u - \Delta_v u + v \cdot D_x u + H(D_v u) = F(t, x, v, \mu), \\ u(T, x, v) = G(\mu(T, x, v)) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d). \end{cases}$$

Such a conclusion does not seem possible with  $L^2$ -terminal data.

Henceforth, we need to look for a different compact embedding. Indeed, by localizing in time the results in Bouchut [1], we are able to control  $\|D^s m\|_2$  for some  $s > 0$ , where  $D^s = (-\Delta_v)^{s/2}$ , and use that  $H^s(U \times U)$  embeds compactly in  $L^2(U \times U)$ , at least for bounded and sufficiently nice  $U$ , which we extend to  $U = \mathbb{R}^d$ .

For the existence of renormalized solutions to the MFG system in the case of a Hamiltonian with quadratic growth, we rely completely on the work of Porretta in [16] and we simply adapt a few of the arguments in the hypoelliptic setting. In particular, given a Hamiltonian  $H$  with quadratic growth (exact assumptions are given later in the section), we consider a sequence of Lipschitz pointwise-approximations and the corresponding solutions provided by Theorem 1.1 and show compactness in the appropriate spaces. The main technical difficulties and deviations from Porretta [1], are the gradient estimates in the hypoelliptic equations with  $L^1$ -data. In particular, let  $H^\epsilon$  be a suitable pointwise Lipschitz approximation of a quadratic Hamiltonian  $H$  and  $(m^\epsilon, u^\epsilon)$  the corresponding weak solutions. In order to show that there exists a limit which is a renormalized solution, we must show the convergence (up to a subsequence) of  $u^\epsilon, m^\epsilon$  in  $L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and of the truncations  $D_v(u^\epsilon \wedge k), D_v(m^\epsilon \wedge k)$  in  $L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . For the HJB, the compactness of  $u^\epsilon$  in  $L^1$ , follows by the results in [14], while the convergence of the gradients is due to an appropriate transformation similar to the one used by Porretta in [21] and the references therein, that is given in the Appendix. Finally, for the FP equation, the crucial bound as pointed out in Porretta [16] is the estimate

$$\|m^\epsilon |H_p^\epsilon(D_v u^\epsilon)|^2\|_1 \leq C, \quad (3)$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $\epsilon > 0$ . This estimate is crucial in the following way: recall that  $m^\epsilon$  solves

$$\partial_t m^\epsilon - \Delta_v m^\epsilon - v \cdot D_x m^\epsilon - \operatorname{div}_v(m^\epsilon H_p^\epsilon(D_v u^\epsilon)) = 0,$$

$$m^\epsilon(0) = m_0.$$

A priori, the best estimate for  $m^\epsilon H_p^\epsilon(D_v u^\epsilon)$ , that is independent of  $\epsilon$ , is in  $L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , for which we cannot apply the results in Bouchut [1] to obtain fractional gradient estimates. The main observation is that due to hypoellipticity, if  $m^\epsilon H_p^\epsilon(D_v u^\epsilon) \in L^r$  for some  $r > 1$ , this should yield  $m^\epsilon \in L^q$  for some  $q > 1$ . On the other hand, it is easy to check that  $m^\epsilon \in L^q$  for some  $q > 1$  yields  $m^\epsilon H_p^\epsilon(D_v u^\epsilon) \in L^r$  for some  $r > 1$ . Combining these last two observations and equating the gains yields that under estimate (3), we have that  $m^\epsilon H_p^\epsilon(D_v u^\epsilon) \in L^r$  for some  $r > 1$ , with bounds independent of  $\epsilon$  and thus the results from Bouchut in [1] are applicable.

## 1.1 Organization of the Paper

In section 2, we study the backwards Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman and FP equations with  $L^2$ -terminal/initial data respectively. The main estimates come from Theorems 4.7 and 4.8. We also obtain results regarding the hypoelliptic

Fokker-Planck equation and, in particular, we establish fractional gradient bounds and global  $L^\infty$ -bounds for weak solutions, for which we rely on Theorems 4.8 and 4.9. Furthermore, we establish Theorem 1.1. In section 3, we address the case of quadratic Hamiltonian and we show Theorem 1.2. Finally, in the appendix (section 4) we show an important technical result for the hypoelliptic HJB equation and we give the statements of the theorems we will use from Bouchut in [1].

## 1.2 Notation

Throughout the paper,  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $T > 0$  is the terminal time,  $t \in [0, T]$  is the time variable,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $v, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , finally vectors in  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  will always in the order  $(t, x, v)$ . For  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , we denote by  $L^p([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)_+$  and  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)_+$ , the non-negative functions of  $L^p([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  respectively. For  $s > 0$ ,  $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  is the usual fractional Sobolev space and  $D^s u = (-\Delta_v u)^{s/2}$ . If  $\phi = \phi(t, x, v) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we use the notation  $\Delta_v \phi := \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{v_i v_i} \phi$  and  $\text{div}_v(\phi) := \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{v_i} \phi$ . For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $\|\phi\|_p$  is the usual  $L^p$ -norm in the entire domain of definition, while if  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\|\phi(t)\|_{2,x,v} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\phi|^2(t) dx dv$ . For a function  $F(t, x, v, m) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  or  $G(x, v, m) : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we use the notations  $D_{(x,v)} F = (\partial_{x_1} F, \dots, \partial_{x_d} F, \partial_{v_1} F, \dots, \partial_{v_d} F)$ ,  $F_m = \partial_m F$ , and similarly for  $G$ . Finally, throughout the paper when we reference a standard sequence of mollifiers  $\rho_n : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  we mean that  $\rho_n(x, v) := n^{2d} \rho(\frac{x}{n}, \frac{v}{n})$  where  $\rho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , such that  $\rho \geq 0$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x, v) dx dv = 1$ .

## 1.3 Assumptions and definitions.

### 1.3.1 Lipschitz Hamiltonian and weak solutions.

As far as the data are concerned, we assume the following, for the case of Lipschitz Hamiltonian:

**Assumptions 1.1.** (Hamiltonian) The Hamiltonian  $H : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is convex, continuous,  $H(0) = 0$ , and there exists an  $L_H > 0$ , such that,

$$|H(p_2) - H(p_1)| \leq L_H |p_2 - p_1| \text{ for all } p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

**Assumptions 1.2.** (Coupling term) The coupling term  $F = F(t, x, v, m) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is continuous and strictly increasing in  $m$ , locally Lipschitz, and  $F(t, x, v, 0) \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Assumptions 1.3.** (Terminal data for  $u$ ) The coupling term  $G = G(x, v, m) : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is continuous and strictly increasing in  $m$ , locally Lipschitz, and  $G(x, v, 0) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Assumptions 1.4.** (Initial density) The initial density  $m_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , satisfies  $m_0 \in L^1 \cap L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)_+$ ,  $m_0 \log(m_0) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\sqrt{m_0} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $(|x|^2 + |v|^4)m_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m_0(x, v) dx dv = 1$ .

**Assumptions 1.5.** (Regularity) Assume that  $F, G$  satisfy assumptions (1.2),(1.3) and that for every  $L > 0$ , there exists a  $c_0 = c_0(L) > 0$ , such that,

$$c_0 \leq |F_m(t, x, v, m)|, |G_m(x, v, m)|, \text{ for all } (t, x, v, m) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, L].$$

Finally, we assume that there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , such that

$$|D_{(x,v)} F(t, x, v, m)| + |D_{(x,v)} G(x, v, m)| \leq C|m| \text{ for all } (t, x, v, m) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}.$$

**Remark 3.** The assumptions on  $F, G$  can be relaxed, as far as existence is concerned. The only requirement, besides the monotonicity, which is used for the uniqueness, is that given a constant  $L > 0$ , the maps

$$\{h \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) : \|h\|_\infty \leq L, h \geq 0\} \ni m \rightarrow F \circ m$$

and

$$\{h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) : \|h\|_\infty \leq L, h \geq 0\} \ni m \rightarrow G \circ m,$$

are continuous in the  $L^2$ -norm.

Next we state the definition of a weak solution.

**Definition 1.1.** Assume that  $H, G, F$ , and  $m_0$  satisfy assumptions (1.1),(1.2),(1.3) and (1.4). A pair  $(u, m) \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \times L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  is a weak solution of system (1), if

$$u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)), D_v u \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d),$$

$$m \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)), D_v m \in L^2, D_x^{1/3} m \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d), m \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d),$$

there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , such that,

$$\| -\partial_t u + v \cdot D_x u \|_{L^2([0,t] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} + \| \Delta_v u \|_{L^2([0,t] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \frac{C}{T-t},$$

$$\| -\partial_t m + v \cdot D_x m \|_{L^2([0,t] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} + \| \Delta_v m \|_{L^2([0,t] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \frac{C}{T-t},$$

and the equations of system (1) are satisfied almost everywhere.

### 1.3.2 Quadratic Hamiltonian and renormalized solutions.

For the case of a quadratic Hamiltonian  $H$ , we assume the following:

**Assumptions 1.6.** (Quadratic Hamiltonian) For the Hamiltonian  $H : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we assume that it is convex, continuous and there exist constants  $c > 0, C > 0$  such that, for all  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$0 \leq H(p) \leq C|p|^2, \quad (4)$$

$$H_p(p) \cdot p - H(p) \geq cH(p), \quad (5)$$

$$|H_p(p)| \leq C|p|. \quad (6)$$

**Assumptions 1.7.** (Coupling term, Quadratic case) For the coupling term  $F = F(t, x, v, m) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we assume that it satisfies assumption (1.2) and that for every  $L > 0$  one of the following hold:

1.  $f_L(t, x, v) := \sup_{m \in [0, L]} F(t, x, v, m) \in L^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

2.  $f_L(t, x, v) := \sup_{m \in [0, L]} \frac{F(t, x, v, m)}{m} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

with bounds that possibly depend on  $L > 0$ .

**Assumptions 1.8.** For the coupling term  $G = G(x, v, m) : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we assume that it satisfies (1.3) and that for every  $L > 0$  one of the following hold:

1.  $g_L(x, v) := \sup_{m \in [0, L]} G(x, v, m) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

2.  $g_L(x, v) := \sup_{m \in [0, L]} \frac{G(x, v, m)}{m} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

with bounds that possibly depend on  $L > 0$ .

**Remark 4.** The above conditions on  $F, G$  yield that if  $f_L(t, x, v) := \sup_{m \in [0, L]} F(t, x, v, m) \in L^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and

$g_L(x, v) := \sup_{m \in [0, L]} G(x, v, m) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , then

$$F(x, v, t, m) \leq f_L(t, x, v) + \frac{m}{L} F(t, x, v, m) \quad (7)$$

and

$$G(x, v, m) \leq g_L(x, v) + \frac{m}{L}G(x, v, m), \quad (8)$$

for every  $m \geq 0, L > 0$ . While if  $f_L(t, x, v) := \sup_{m \in [0, L]} F(t, x, v, m)/m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $g_L(x, v) :=$

$\sup_{m \in [0, L]} G(x, v, m)/m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  then,

$$F(t, x, v, m) \leq f_L(t, x, v)m + \frac{m}{L}F(x, v, m)$$

and

$$G(x, v, m) \leq g_L(x, v)m + \frac{m}{L}G(x, v, m).$$

Note that the conditions  $f_L(t, x, v) := \sup_{m \in [0, L]} F(t, x, v, m) \in L^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $g_L(x, v) := \sup_{m \in [0, L]} G(x, v, m) \in$

$L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  do not allow for  $F, G$  to depend only on  $m$  due to the unbounded domain, while the conditions  $f_L(t, x, v) := \sup_{m \in [0, L]} F(t, x, v, m)/m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $g_L(x, v) := \sup_{m \in [0, L]} G(x, v, m)/m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  do allow

for dependence only on  $m$ .

Next, we define renormalized solutions for equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t m - \Delta_v m - v \cdot D_x m - \operatorname{div}_v(mb) = 0 \text{ in } (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ m(0, x, v) = m_0(x, v) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where  $b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $m_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , and equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t u - \Delta_v u + v \cdot D_x u + H(D_v u) = f(t, x, v) \text{ in } (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(T, x, v) = g(x, v) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $m \in C([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)_+)$  and  $b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $m|b|^2 \in L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . We say that  $m$  is a renormalized solution of equation (9), if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{n < m < 2n} |D_v m|^2 dx dv dt = 0,$$

and for each  $S : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $S \in W^{2, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $S(0) = 0$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t S(m) - \Delta_v S(m) - v \cdot D_x S(m) - \operatorname{div}_v(S'(m)mb) + S''(m)|D_v m|^2 + S''(m)mbD_v m &= 0, \\ S(m)(0) &= S(m_0), \end{aligned}$$

in the distributional sense.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $u \in C([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)_+)$ , with  $D_v u \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $f \in L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . We say that  $u$  is a renormalized solution of equation (10), if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{n < u < 2n} |D_v u|^2 dx dv dt = 0,$$

and for each  $S : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $S \in W^{2, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $S(0) = 0$ , we have that,

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_t S(u) - \Delta_v S(u) + v \cdot D_x S(u) + S'(u)H(D_v u) &= S'(u)f, \\ S(u(T)) &= S(g), \end{aligned}$$

in the distributional sense.

**Definition 1.4.** Assume that  $H, G, F$ , and  $m_0$  satisfy assumptions (1.6), (1.8), (1.7), and (1.4). A pair  $(m, u) \in C([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)_+) \times C([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)_+)$ , is a renormalized solution of the MFG system (1), if  $m, u$  are renormalized solutions to the corresponding equations according to Definitions (9), (10), respectively.

## 2 Lipschitz Hamiltonian.

All the equations in the rest of the section should be understood in the distributional sense, unless stated otherwise.

### 2.1 Estimates for the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation.

This sub-section studies the regularity of the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f - \Delta_v f - v \cdot D_x f = g & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ f(0) = f_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d). \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose that  $f, g, g_0 \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $D_v f \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , satisfy in the distributional sense

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f - \Delta_v f + v \cdot D_x f = g & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ f(0) = f_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

Then, there exists a constant  $C_1 = C_1(T) > 0$ , such that

$$\|D_v f\|_2 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|f(t)\|_2 + \|D_x^{1/3} f\|_2 \leq C_1(\|g\|_2 + \|f_0\|_2).$$

Finally, there exists a constant  $C_2 = C_2(T, d) > 0$ , such that for all  $t \in (0, T]$

$$\|\partial_t f + v \cdot D_x f\|_{L^2([t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\Delta_v f\|_{L^2([t, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \frac{C_2}{t}(\|g\|_2 + \|f_0\|_2).$$

*Proof.* By approximation, we may assume that  $g, f_0$  are smooth with compact support. The operator  $L = \partial_t - \Delta_v + v \cdot D_x$  is hypoelliptic, therefore,  $g, f_0$  being smooth implies that  $f$  is also smooth. The first estimate follows by simply testing against  $f$ . To make this fully rigorous we need to address the  $v \cdot D_x f$  term, since  $v$  is unbounded. We show in some detail how this is justified even if  $f$  is not smooth, since we will make use of this frequently in the rest of the paper. Let  $f_0^\epsilon, g^\epsilon$  be smooth approximations of  $f_0, g$  in  $L^2$  respectively and  $f^\epsilon$  the smooth solution of

$$\partial_t f^\epsilon - \Delta_v f^\epsilon + v \cdot D_x f^\epsilon = g^\epsilon, \quad f^\epsilon(0) = f_0^\epsilon. \quad (11)$$

For  $R > 0$ , consider a function  $\phi_R : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , such that

$$\begin{cases} \phi_R = 1 & \text{if } |v| \leq R, \\ \phi_R = 0, & \text{if } |v| \geq 2R. \end{cases}$$

Test (11) against  $\phi_R^2 f^\epsilon$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |f^\epsilon|^2 |\phi_R|^2 dx dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v(f^\epsilon \phi_R)|^2 dx dv \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |g^\epsilon|^2 dx dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v \phi_R|^2 |f^\epsilon|^2 dx dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v \phi_R|^2 |f^\epsilon|^2 dx dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |f^\epsilon \phi_R|^2 dx dv \end{aligned}$$

and so by Grönwall

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |f^\epsilon \phi_R|^2 dx dv + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v(\phi_R f^\epsilon)|^2 dx dv dt \leq C(\|g^\epsilon\|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v \phi_R|^2 |f^\epsilon|^2 dx dv + \|f_0^\epsilon\|_2^2),$$

where  $C = C(T)$ . Letting  $R \rightarrow \infty$  and then  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  yields the result. The rest of the results follow from Theorems 4.7 and 4.9 in the Appendix.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $G \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $F \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and a Hamiltonian  $H : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which satisfies assumption (1.1). Then, there exists a unique  $u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ , with  $D_v u \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , such that

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t u - \Delta_v u + v \cdot D_x u + H(D_v u) = F(t, x, v) \text{ in } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(T, x, v) = G(x, v) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, there exists a  $C = C(T, \text{Lip}_H) > 0$ , such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|_2 + \|D_v u\|_2 \leq C(\|G\|_2 + \|F\|_2)$$

and for each  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\|\partial_t u - v \cdot D_x u\|_{L^2([0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} + \|\Delta_v u\|_{L^2([0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \frac{C}{T-t} (\|F\|_2 + \|G\|_2).$$

*Proof.* Existence of a solution can be established in the following way. First, assume that  $F \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $G \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and consider the space  $Y := \{w \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) : D_v w \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)\}$ , with  $\|w\|_Y := \|w\|_2 + \|D_v w\|_2$ . For a  $w \in Y$ , let  $u$  be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t u - \Delta_v u + v \cdot D_x u + H(D_v w) = F(t, x, v) \text{ in } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(T, x, v) = G(x, v) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Since  $F, G$  are smooth, from the results in the appendix it follows that

$$\|D_x^{1/3} u\|_2 + \|-\partial_t u + v \cdot D_x u\|_2 + \|\Delta_v u\|_2 \leq C(1 + \|w\|_Y),$$

where  $C = C(F, G, T)$ . Define the map  $T : Y \rightarrow Y$ , by  $T(w) = u$ . Since,  $|H(p)| \leq C|p|$ , it is easy to check that  $T$  is in fact well defined and continuous. Furthermore, by testing against  $(1 + |x|^2 + |v|^2)u$  (to justify this we may work as in Lemma (3.2)) in equation (12) and using that  $H \geq 0$ , we find that

$$\sup_{w \in Y} (\|(1 + |x|^2 + |v|^2)T(w)\|_2 + \|(1 + |x|^2 + |v|^2)D_v T(w)\|_2) \leq C,$$

for some  $C = C(F, G, T)$ . Thus the map is compact in  $Y$  and by Schauder it has a fixed point. For the general case we argue by approximation.

For the remaining parts of the proof, the first bounds are simply an application of Theorem 2.1, using that  $H$  is Lipschitz, while the last estimate follows from Theorem 2.1. Finally, the continuity claim holds by the assumptions on  $F$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $f \in L^\infty \cap L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $g \in L^\infty \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and a Hamiltonian  $H : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which satisfies assumption (1.1). Assume that  $u \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , with  $D_v u \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  solves

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t u - \Delta_v u + v \cdot D_x u + H(D_v u) = f(t, x, v) \text{ in } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(T, x, v) = g(x, v) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Then, there exists a constant  $C = C(T, d, \|f\|_\infty, \|g\|_\infty) > 0$ , such that

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq C,$$

in particular  $C$  does not depend on the Lipschitz constant of the Hamiltonian  $H$ .

*Proof.* Follows by similar arguments as in [17], Proposition A.3.  $\square$



## 2.2 Degenerate Fokker-Planck equation

All the equations should be understood in the distributional sense, unless stated otherwise. The purpose of this subsection is to show the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $b \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $m_0$  a density which satisfies assumption (1.4). Then, there exists a unique  $m \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ , such that

$$\partial_t m - \Delta_v m - v \cdot D_x m - \operatorname{div}_v(mb) = 0, m(0) = m_0,$$

in the distributional sense. Furthermore, there exists a  $C = C(T, \|b\|_\infty) > 0$ , such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|m(t)\|_2 + \|D_v m\|_{2,t,x,v} + \|D_x^{1/3} m\|_{2,t,x,v} + \|D_t^{1/3} m\|_{2,t,x,v} \leq C \|(1 + |v|^2)^{1/2} m_0\|_2$$

and a  $C_0 = C_0(\|b\|_\infty, T, \|m_0\|_2, \|m_0\|_\infty) > 0$ , so that

$$\|m\|_\infty \leq C_0.$$

Moreover,  $m(t)$  is a probability density for all  $t \in (0, T]$ . Finally, if  $(T - t)\operatorname{div}_v(b) \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , it follows that

$$[m_t - v \cdot D_x m], (T - t)\Delta_v m \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

The main two assertions in the theorem above are, firstly, the fractional gradient estimates and, secondly, the  $L^\infty$ -bounds. The gradient estimates are the result of Theorem 4.8, in the appendix. For the  $L^\infty$ -bounds we follow a De-Giorgi type argument.

### 2.2.1 Gradient Estimates for Degenerate Fokker-Planck equation.

**Proposition 2.4.1.** Assume that  $m \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $b \in L^\infty \cap L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $m_0$ , which satisfies assumption 1.4, satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t m - \Delta_v m - v \cdot D_x m - \operatorname{div}_v(mb) = 0, \\ m(0, \cdot) = m_0(\cdot), \end{cases}$$

in the distributional sense. Then,  $|v|^2 m, |v|^4 m, |v|^2 D_v m \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that the data are smooth and bounded and obtain the general case by approximation. We test the equation with  $|v|^4 m$  (see Lemma (3.2), on how we may justify this) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |v|^4 |m|^2 dx dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |v|^2 |D_v m|^2 dx dv \\ &= -4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m |v|^2 v \cdot D_v m dx dv - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |m|^2 |v|^2 v \cdot v dx dv - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m |v|^4 D_v m \cdot b dx dv \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |v|^4 |D_v m|^2 dx dv + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |m|^2 (1 + |v|^4) dx dv \\ &+ 4\|b\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |m|^2 (1 + |v|^4) dx dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |m|^2 |v|^4 dx dv + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v m|^2 |v|^4 dx dv. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|m(t)\|_2 \leq C \|m_0\|_2$ , therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |m|^2 |v|^4 dx dv \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |m|^2 |v|^4 dx dv + C \|m_0\|_2^2$$

and the result follows by Grönwall.  $\square$

Proposition 2.4.1, together with Theorem 4.8, gives us the following Theorem.

**Theorem 2.5.** Assume that  $m_0$  satisfies assumption 1.4,  $m \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $D_v m \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and  $b \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t m - v \cdot D_x m - \Delta_v m - \operatorname{div}_v(mb) = 0 & \text{in } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ m(0) \equiv m_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

Then, there exists a constant  $C = C(T, \|b\|_\infty) > 0$  and  $s > 0$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_t^s m\|_2 + \|D_x^s m\|_2 &\leq C(\|m\|_2 + \|(1 + |v|^2)^{1/2} D_v m\|_2 + \|(1 + |v|^2)^{1/2} m\|_2) \\ &\leq C(\|m_0\|_2 + \| |v|^2 m_0 \|_2). \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2.2 $L^\infty$ -bounds via De-Giorgi.

For the  $L^\infty$ -bounds we will use a De-Giorgi argument. To motivate some of the technical steps, we outline the strategy. A typical De-Giorgi argument relies on a Cacciopoli (energy) estimate for non-negative sub-solutions and the Sobolev embedding. In our setup we face the following issues. First, a typical Cacciopoli estimate only gives us control of  $D_v m$ , therefore the Sobolev embedding will not be immediately available. Second, the estimates we have for the gradient from Theorem 4.9, are for solutions and not sub-solutions. To resolve these issues we work as follows. Consider the truncated solutions  $m_k = (m - a_k)_+$  for some sequence  $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ , where  $0 \leq a_k \leq a_{k+1}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We shall introduce an auxiliary function  $w_k$ , which is a solution to an appropriate equation, such that  $m_k \leq w_k$  and by Proposition 2.5, it will satisfy  $\|D^s w_k\|_2 \leq C^k \|m_{k-1}\|_2$ . Finally, we will use the Sobolev embedding on  $w_k$  and utilize that the gain of integrability of  $w_k$  is passed on to  $m_k$ , which is enough for the De-Giorgi iteration argument. In the following subsection we present the preliminaries needed to establish upper bounds. It should be noted that for technical reasons we do not show the upper bounds directly on  $m$ , but rather on  $m^2$ .

**Remark 5.** De-Giorgi estimates for hypoelliptic PDEs is by no means new. A local version can be found for example in F. Golse, C. Imbert, C. Mouhot and A. Vasseur in [18], for a survey we refer to Mouhot [9].

## 2.2.3 Preliminaries

We recall the Fractional Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality.

**Proposition 2.5.1.** (Fractional Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality). Let  $z \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , where  $s > 0$ . If  $\theta \in (0, 1)$   $p \in (1, \infty)$  are such that

$$\theta \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{s}{d} \right) + \frac{1 - \theta}{2} = \frac{1}{p} \iff \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\theta s}{d},$$

then

$$\|z\|_p \leq C \|D^s z\|_2^\theta \|z\|_2^{1-\theta},$$

where  $D^s z_a = (D_v^s z_a, D_x^s z_a)$ .

**Corollary 2.5.1.** Let  $z \in L^2((0, T); H^s(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ . Then, for  $p = 2(1 + \frac{2s}{d})$  and  $\theta p = 2$ , we have

$$\left( \int_0^T \|z(t)\|_p^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|z(t)\|_2^{1-\theta} \|D^s z\|_2^{2/p} = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|z(t)\|_2^{1-\theta} \|D^s z\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^\theta.$$

**Proposition 2.5.2.** Assume that  $m_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\|m_0\|_\infty \leq 1, m_0 \geq 0, b \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $m, D_v m, v \cdot D_x m \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t m - \Delta_v m - v \cdot D_x m - \operatorname{div}_v(mb) = 0, \\ m(0) \equiv m_0. \end{cases}$$

For  $\alpha > 1$ , we set

$$m_\alpha := (m - \alpha)_+.$$

Then,  $m_\alpha$  is a subsolution of

$$\partial_t m_\alpha - \Delta_v m_\alpha - v \cdot D_x m_\alpha - \operatorname{div}_v(m_\alpha b) - (1 + \alpha)\mathbf{1}_{m > \alpha} \operatorname{div}_v(b) \leq 0, \quad m_\alpha(0) = 0 \quad (14)$$

and  $m_\alpha^2$  is a subsolution of

$$\partial_t m_\alpha^2 - \Delta_v m_\alpha^2 - v \cdot D_x m_\alpha^2 - \operatorname{div}_v(m_\alpha^2 b) - m_\alpha^2 \operatorname{div}_v(b) - 2\alpha m_\alpha \operatorname{div}_v(b) \leq 0, \quad m_\alpha^2(0) = 0, \quad (15)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\partial_t m_\alpha^2 - \Delta_v m_\alpha^2 - v \cdot D_x m_\alpha^2 - 2\operatorname{div}_v(m_\alpha^2 b) + D_v(m_\alpha^2) \cdot b - 2\alpha \operatorname{div}_v(m_\alpha b) + 2\alpha Dv(m_\alpha) \cdot b \leq 0, \quad m_\alpha^2(0) = 0. \quad (16)$$

*Proof.* From the assumptions on  $m_0, b$  the solution  $m$  is bounded. We remark that (16) follows from (15) via the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} m_\alpha^2 \operatorname{div}_v(b) &= \operatorname{div}_v(m_\alpha^2 b) - D_v(m_\alpha^2) b, \\ m_\alpha \operatorname{div}_v(b) &= \operatorname{div}_v(m_\alpha b) - D_v(m_\alpha) b. \end{aligned}$$

□

We begin with the general observation that if  $f$  is a  $C^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$  convex function such that  $f(0) = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f(m) - \Delta_v f(m) - v \cdot D_x f(m) - \operatorname{div}_v(f(m)b) &\leq f'(m)(\partial_t m - \Delta_v m - v \cdot D_x m - \operatorname{div}_v(mb)) + f'(m)\operatorname{div}_v(mb) - \operatorname{div}_v(f(m)b) \\ &= f'(m)\operatorname{div}_v(mb) - \operatorname{div}_v(f(m)b) = (f'(m)m - f(m))\operatorname{div}_v(b), \end{aligned}$$

hence,  $f(m)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f(m) - \Delta_v f(m) - v \cdot D_x f(m) - \operatorname{div}_v(f(m)b) - (f'(m)m - f(m))\operatorname{div}_v(b) \leq 0, \\ f(m)|_{t=0} = f(m_0). \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

For  $\alpha > 1$ , we let

$$\begin{aligned} f_\alpha(m) &= ((m - \alpha)_+ \wedge 0)^2, \\ g_\alpha(m) &= (m - \alpha)_+ \end{aligned}$$

and we recall that  $m_\alpha = (m - \alpha)_+$ . Approximating with  $C^2$  smooth functions, we see that (17) still holds for  $f_\alpha, g_\alpha$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} f'_\alpha(m)m - f_\alpha(m) &= f'_\alpha(m)(m - \alpha) - f_\alpha(m) + \alpha f'_\alpha(m) = m_\alpha^2 + 2\alpha m_\alpha, \\ g'_\alpha(m)m - g_\alpha(m) &= (1 + \alpha)\mathbf{1}_{m_\alpha > 0} \end{aligned}$$

and  $f_\alpha(m_0) = g_\alpha(m_0) = 0$ , the result follows.

## 2.2.4 Proof of Upper Bounds

**Theorem 2.6.** Assume that  $m \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , with  $D_v m \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t m - \Delta_v m - v \cdot D_x m - \operatorname{div}_v(mb) = 0, \\ m(0) \equiv m_0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\|m_0\|_\infty \leq 1, m_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d), b \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C_0 = C_0(\|b\|_\infty, T) > 0$ , such that

$$\|m_0\|_2 \leq C_0 \implies \|m\|_\infty \leq 1.$$

Subsequently, if  $m, b$  are as above and  $m_0 \in L^2 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , it follows that

$$\|m\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{C_0} \max\{\|m_0\|_2, \|m_0\|_\infty\}.$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $m_0, b$  are smooth, bounded and with compact support, which implies that  $m_\alpha := (m - \alpha)_+ \in L^2 \cap L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . First, we extend  $b$  in time by zero (still denoted as  $b$ )

$$b(t, x, v) := \begin{cases} b(t, x, v) & \text{if } t \in [0, T], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and consider the solution  $w_\alpha$  in  $[0, T + 2] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  of

$$\partial_t w_\alpha - \Delta_v w_\alpha - v \cdot D_x w_\alpha - \operatorname{div}_v(m_\alpha^2 b) - m_\alpha^2 \operatorname{div}_v(b) - 2\alpha m_\alpha \operatorname{div}_v(b) = 0, \quad w_\alpha(0) = 0, \quad (18)$$

or equivalently

$$\partial_t w_\alpha - \Delta_v w_\alpha - v \cdot D_x w_\alpha - 2\operatorname{div}_v(m_\alpha^2 b) + D_v(m_\alpha^2) \cdot b - 2\alpha \operatorname{div}_v(m_\alpha b) + 2D_v m_\alpha \cdot b = 0, \quad w_\alpha(0) = 0, \quad (19)$$

where we note that  $w_\alpha - m_\alpha \geq 0$ .

Next, we obtain estimates for  $w_\alpha$ . All the constants will be denoted by  $C$  and are subject to change from line to line. Furthermore, they only depend on  $\|b\|_\infty$  and  $T$ . Testing against  $w_\alpha$  in (19) and integrating in space, we obtain (in what follows  $\int$  denotes integration in  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |w_\alpha|^2 + \int |D_v w_\alpha|^2 = -2 \int D_v w_\alpha m_\alpha^2 b - \int w_\alpha D_v(m_\alpha^2) \cdot b - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v w_\alpha m_\alpha \cdot b - \int w_\alpha D_v m_\alpha \cdot b \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \int |D_v w_\alpha|^2 + C \int |m_\alpha|^4 \mathbf{1}_{\{t \in [0, T]\}} + \int |w_\alpha|^2 + C \int |D_v(m_\alpha^2)|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{t \in [0, T]\}} + \frac{1}{4} \int |D_v w_\alpha|^2 + C \int |m_\alpha|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{t \in [0, T]\}} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int |w_\alpha|^2 + C \int |D_v m_\alpha|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{t \in [0, T]\}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the term  $\mathbf{1}_{\{t \in [0, T]\}}$  is due to  $b$ . Therefore, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |w_\alpha|^2 + \int |D_v w_\alpha|^2 \leq C \left( \int |m_\alpha|^2 + \int |m_\alpha|^4 + \int |D_v m_\alpha|^2 + \int |D_v(m_\alpha^2)|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{t \in [0, T]\}} \right)$$

and so by Grönwall it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T+2]} \|w_\alpha(t)\|_2^2 + \|D_v w_\alpha\|_{L^2([0, T+2] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \\ & \leq C (\|m_\alpha\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \|m_\alpha^2\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \|D_v m_\alpha\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 + \|D_v(m_\alpha^2)\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

For the estimates on  $m_\alpha^2$  we test (15) against  $m_\alpha^2$  and integrate in space to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int |m_\alpha|^2 + \int |D_v m_\alpha^2|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \int |D_v m_\alpha^2|^2 + C \int |m_\alpha|^4 + 2 \int D_v(m_\alpha^2) m_\alpha^2 b + \int \alpha D_v(m_\alpha^3) b \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \int |D_v m_\alpha^2|^2 + C \int |m_\alpha|^4 + \frac{1}{4} \int |D_v m_\alpha^2|^2 + C \int |m_\alpha|^4 + \frac{1}{4} \int |D_v m_\alpha^2|^2 + C \int |m_\alpha|^2 + C \int |D_v m_\alpha|^2, \end{aligned}$$

therefore, Grönwall yields

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int |m_\alpha(t)|^4 + \int_0^T \int |D_v m_\alpha^2|^2 \leq C \left( \int_0^T \int |m_\alpha|^2 + \int_0^T \int |D_v m_\alpha|^2 \right). \quad (21)$$

We need an estimate for  $\int_0^T \int |D_v m_\alpha|^2$ , so we test against  $m_\alpha$  in (14) and integrate in space to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |m_\alpha|^2 + \int |D_v m_\alpha|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \int |D_v m_\alpha|^2 + C \int |m_\alpha|^2 - (1 + \alpha) \int D_v(m_\alpha \mathbf{1}_{\{m_\alpha > 0\}}) \cdot b$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \int |D_v m_\alpha|^2 + C \int |m_\alpha|^2 + C \|b\|_\infty^2 |\{m_\alpha > 0\}|,$$

where in the last equality we used the fact that

$$D_v(m_\alpha \mathbf{1}_{\{m_\alpha > 0\}}) = D_v(m_\alpha) \mathbf{1}_{\{m_\alpha > 0\}}.$$

Thus, by Grönwall the following holds

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|m_\alpha(t)\|_2^2 + \int_0^T \int |D_v m_\alpha|^2 \leq C \int_0^T |\{m_\alpha(t) > 0\}|. \quad (22)$$

Using estimates (22),(21) on (20) yields

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|w_\alpha(t)\|_2^2 + \int_0^{T+2} \int |D_v w_\alpha|^2 \leq C \int_0^T |\{m_\alpha(t) > 0\}| dt. \quad (23)$$

From the above and Theorem 4.9, we obtain

$$\|D^s w_\alpha\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq C \int_0^T |\{m_\alpha(t) > 0\}| dt. \quad (24)$$

From (24) and Corollary 2.5.1, we obtain

$$\|w_\alpha\|_{L^p([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|D^s w_\alpha\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^\theta \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|w_\alpha(t)\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^{1-\theta} \leq C \|D^s w_\alpha\|_2^\theta \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|w_\alpha(t)\|_2^{1-\theta}$$

from (23) and (24) we have

$$\|w_\alpha\|_{L^p([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \int_0^T |\{m_\alpha(t) > 0\}|. \quad (25)$$

We may now setup the De-Giorgi iteration. For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\alpha_k = (2 + \frac{1}{2^{k-1}})$  and  $m_k := m_{\alpha_k}$ . Since

$$|\{m_k(t) > 0\}| = |\{m_{k-1}(t) > \frac{1}{2^k}\}| \leq 16^k \int |m_{k-1}(t)|^4, \quad (26)$$

if we define  $U_k := \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |m_k|^4 dx dv dt$ , and use estimate (26) in (25), we obtain

$$\|w_k\|_{L^p([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C 16^k U_{k-1}. \quad (27)$$

Recall that  $m_\alpha^2 \leq w_\alpha$ , thus from (27) we have

$$\|m_\alpha^2\|_p \leq \|w_\alpha\|_p \leq C 16^k U_{k-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$U_k = \int_0^T \int |m_k|^4 dx dv dt = \|m_k^2\|_2^2 \leq C \|w_k\|_p^2 |\{m_k > 0\}|^\epsilon \leq C 16^k U_{k-1}^{1+\epsilon},$$

for some  $\epsilon = \epsilon(p) > 0$  and the result follows. For general  $m_0, b$  let  $m_{0,n}, b_n$  be smooth functions such that  $m_{0,n} \rightarrow m_0, b_n \rightarrow b$  in  $L^2$  and  $\|m_{0,n}\|_\infty \leq \|m_0\|_\infty, \|b_n\|_\infty \leq \|b\|_\infty$ . Then, the corresponding solutions  $m_n$  converge to  $m$  in  $L^2$  and up to subsequences almost everywhere, so the result follows.  $\square$

### 2.2.5 Sign and Integral of the solution

The fact that  $m \geq 0$ , if  $m_0 \geq 0$ , follows immediately if we test against  $m_-$ . To see that  $m$  is a probability measure needs a bit more work.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $m_0$  satisfy assumption 1.4 and  $b \in L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, if  $m \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ ,  $D_v, \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  is the distributional solution of

$$\partial_t m - \Delta_v m - v \cdot D_x m - \operatorname{div}_v(mb) = 0, m(0) = m_0$$

it follows that  $m(t)$  is a probability density for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and thus in particular  $m \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* To see that  $m \geq 0$ , we simply test against  $m^-$  in the equation and apply Grönwall. Formally, by testing against  $\phi \equiv 1$ , we obtain that  $m(t)$  is a probability density. However, this needs to be justified. We omit the proof, as similar computations are carried in detail in Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

### 2.3 Setting up the fixed point argument

In this section we show the main theorem.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $G, H, F$  and  $m_0$  satisfy assumptions (1.3), (1.1), (1.2) and (1.4). Then, there exists a unique solution to system (1), according to definition (1.1).

As mentioned in the introduction, we apply Schauder in the following setting. Consider the closed convex subset

$$X := C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)) \cap \{m : \|m\|_\infty \leq L\}$$

of  $C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)_+)$ , where  $L \geq 0$ , such that  $\frac{1}{C_0} \max\{\|m_0\|_\infty, \|m_0\|_2\} \leq L$ . For  $\mu \in X$ , let  $u_\mu$  be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t u_\mu - \Delta_v u_\mu - v \cdot D_x u_\mu + H(D_v u_\mu) = F(t, x, v, \mu(t, x, v)), \\ u_\mu(T, x, v) = G(\mu(T, x, v)), \end{cases}$$

provided by Theorem 2.2. For this  $u_\mu$ , we then solve

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t m - \Delta_v m - v \cdot D_x m - \operatorname{div}_v(mH_p(D_v u)) = 0, \\ m(0) = m_0. \end{cases}$$

We set  $\Phi(\mu) = m$  and notice that  $m \in X$ , due to the choice of  $L$  and the bounds on  $m$ . It remains to show that the map is continuous and compact in order to apply Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem.

#### 2.3.1 Continuity of the map

In this subsection we establish continuity of the map  $\Phi$ , introduced above. Let  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$ ,  $\mu \in X$ , such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mu_n(t) - \mu(t)\|_2 = 0,$$

and  $u_n, u$  the corresponding solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation. Let  $w_n = u_n - u$ , then,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w_n - \Delta_v w_n + v \cdot D_x w_n + [H(D_v u_n) - H(D_v u)] = F(t, x, v, \mu_n) - F(t, x, v, \mu), \\ w_n(T, x, v) = G(\mu_n(T, x, v)) - G(\mu(T, x, v)). \end{cases}$$

We test against  $w_n$  and obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |w_n|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v w_n|^2 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} w_n [H(D_v u_n) - H(D_v u)] dx dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} w_n [F(t, x, v, \mu_n) - F(t, x, v, \mu)] dx dv$$

$$\leq \frac{\text{Lip}_H^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |w_n|^2 dx dv + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v w_n|^2 dx dv + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |w_n|^2 dx dv + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |F(t, x, v, \mu_n) - F(t, x, v, \mu)|^2 dx dv,$$

thus, by Grönwall

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|w_n(t)\|_2^2 + \|D_v w_n\|_2^2 \leq C(\|G(\mu_n(T)) - G(\mu(T))\|_2^2 + \|F(t, x, v, \mu_n) - F(t, x, v, \mu)\|_2^2) \leq C \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mu_n(t) - \mu(t)\|_2^2,$$

(where  $C = C(\text{Lip}_H, \text{Lip}_G)$ ) and so

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_n(t) - u(t)\|_2 &\rightarrow 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|D_v u_n - D_v u\|_2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $b_n := D_p H(D_v u_n)$ . For  $\lambda_n = m_n - m = \Phi(\mu_n) - \Phi(\mu)$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \lambda_n - \Delta_v \lambda_n - v \cdot D_x \lambda_n - \text{div}_v(\lambda_n b_n) + \text{div}_v(m(b_n - b)) = 0 \\ \lambda_n = 0, \end{cases}$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\lambda_n|^2 dx dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v \lambda_n|^2 dx dv &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v \lambda_n b_n \lambda_n - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \lambda_n m(b_n - b) dx dv \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v \lambda_n|^2 dx dv + \|b\|_\infty^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\lambda_n|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\lambda_n|^2 + \|m\|_\infty^2 \|b_n - b\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|b_n - b\|_2^2 \leq \|w_n\|_2^2$ , the result follows.

### 2.3.2 Compactness

The proof of the compactness is carried out in two steps. First, we will show that the set  $\{\Phi(\mu), \mu \in X\}$  satisfies

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\mu \in X} \|\Phi(\mu) \mathbf{1}_{B_v(0, N)^c}\|_2 = 0, \quad (28)$$

where  $B_v(0, N) := \{(t, x, v) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d : |v| \leq N\}$ . Then, we show that for  $M > 0$  the map  $\Phi_M = \Phi|_{\mathbb{R}^d \times B(0, M)}$  is compact, which allows us to conclude due to the following Proposition.

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $z_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , such that  $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is precompact in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times B(0, R))$ , for all  $R > 0$ . If in addition  $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|z_n \mathbf{1}_{B(0, R)^c}\|_2 = 0,$$

it follows that  $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is precompact in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

*Proof.* Choose by a diagonalization argument a subsequence, (still denoted by  $u_n$ ) such that it converges in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times B(0, m))$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  (at different rates). Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose a radius  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times B(0, m)^c} |u_n|^2 dx dv \leq \frac{\epsilon^2}{4} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |u_n - u_k|^2 dx dv = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times B(0, m)} |u_n - u_k|^2 dx dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times B(0, m)^c} |u_n - u_k|^2 dx dv \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times B(0, m)} |u_n - u_k|^2 dx dv + 2 \frac{\epsilon^2}{4},$$

but now for this  $m$  we choose  $N_0$  large enough so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times B(0, m)} |u_n - u_k|^2 dx dv \leq \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \text{ for all } n, k \geq N_0,$$

which proves the result.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.9.1.** The set  $\{\Phi(\mu), \mu \in X\}$  satisfies condition (28) of Lemma 2.9.

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , such that

$$\phi(v) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |v| \leq 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } |v| > 2 \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

and for  $R > 0$ , we define  $\phi_R(v) := \phi(\frac{v}{R})$ . Test the equation for  $m$  with  $\phi_R(v)^2 m(t, x, v)$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |m|^2 \phi_R^2(v) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v m|^2 \phi_R^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m \phi_R D_v \phi_R D_v m \\ & = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v m m \phi_R^2 b - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi_R D_v \phi_R |m|^2 b. \end{aligned}$$

Using that  $|D_v \phi_R| \leq \frac{C}{R}$  in the above yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |m|^2 \phi_R + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v m|^2 \phi_R \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v m|^2 \phi_R^2 + \frac{C}{R} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |m|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v m|^2 \phi_R^2 + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |m|^2 \phi_R^2 + \frac{C}{R} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |m|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|m(t)\|_2^2 \leq C \|m_0\|_2^2$ , we get

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\phi_R m(t)\|_2^2 + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v m|^2 \phi_R^2 dx dv \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |m_0|^2 \phi_R^2 dx dv + \frac{1}{R} \|m_0\|_2^2 \right)$$

and the result follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.10.** The map  $\Phi$  defined above is compact in  $X$ .

*Proof.* We need to show that  $\Phi$  maps bounded sets of  $X$  to relatively compact sets of  $C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ . From Simon [2], we have a complete characterization of these compact sets, namely  $F \subset C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$  is compact if and only if the following hold

1. For all  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$  the set

$$\left\{ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(s) ds : f \in F \right\} \text{ lies in a compact subset of } L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

2.  $\sup_{t \in [0, T-h]} \|f(t+h) - f(t)\|_2 \leq O(h)$  uniformly in  $f \in F$ .

First we address (1). From Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\|m\|_2 + \|D_{t,x,v}^s m\|_2 \leq C \|m_0\|_2 \text{ for some } s > 0.$$

For  $R > 0$ , the set  $\mathbb{R}^d \times B(0, R)$  is an extension domain for  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Since  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d \times B(0, R))$  embeds compactly in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , the result follows from Proposition 2.4.1 and Lemma 2.9. Now, we notice that part (2) follows immediately since we have bounds on  $\|D_t^s m\|_2$  from Theorem 4.8, in the appendix.  $\square$



### 2.3.3 Uniqueness and Lasry-Lions estimate.

To establish uniqueness, we follow the by-now classical Lasry-Lions monotonicity argument. First a Lemma.

**Lemma 2.11.** Let  $(u, m)$  be a weak solution according to Definition 1.1. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} u(T)m(T)dx dv + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m[H_p(D_v u)D_v u - H(D_v u)]dx dv dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} F(t, x, v, m)mdx dv dt \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m_0 u(0)dx dv. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Formally, the statement is nothing more than testing against  $u$  in the Fokker-Planck equation. To justify this, we use the fact that

$$u, m \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$$

and for all  $t \in [0, T)$  we have

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t u - \Delta_v u + v \cdot D_x u + H(D_v u) = F(t, x, v, m), \\ u(T, x, v) = G(m(T, x, v)). \end{cases}$$

Thus, for almost all  $t \in (0, T)$  we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} u(t)m(t)dx dv + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m[(-\partial_t u + v \cdot D_x u) - \Delta_v u]dx dv dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} u(0)m_0 dx dv,$$

hence, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} u(t)m(t)dx dv + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m(F(t, x, v, m(s)) - H(D_v u(s)))dx dv ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} u(0)m_0 dx dv$$

and we take  $t \uparrow T$ . □

**Theorem 2.12.** Let  $F, G, H, m_0$  satisfy assumptions (1.2), (1.3), (1.1) and (1.4), respectively. Then, there exists a unique weak solution  $(u, m)$  according to Definition 1.1.

*Proof.* Let  $(u, m), (u', m')$  be two solutions of the MFG system for  $m_0$ . Let  $w = u - u'$  and  $\lambda = m - m'$ , then

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t w - \Delta_v w + v \cdot D_x w + [H(D_v u) - H(D_v u')] = F(t, x, v, m) - F(t, x, v, m'), \\ w(T) = G(m(T)) - G(m'(T)), \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \lambda - \Delta_v \lambda - v \cdot D_x \lambda - \operatorname{div}_v(m H_p(D_v u) - m' H_p(D_v u')) = 0, \\ \lambda(0) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

Testing against  $w$  in equation (31) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} w(T)\lambda(T)dx dv + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \lambda(F(t, x, v, m) - F(t, x, v, m'))dx dv dt \\ + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v w(m H_p(D_v u) - m' H_p(D_v u')) + \lambda(H(D_v u') - H(D_v u))dx dv dt \\ = I + II + III = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By monotonicity of  $F, G$ , we have  $I, II \geq 0$ . We rewrite the third term as follows

$$III = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m(H(D_v u') - H(D_v u) - D_v(u' - u)H_p(D_v u))dx dv dt$$

$$+ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m'(H(D_v u) - H(D_v u')) - D_v(u - u') H_p(D_v u') dx dv dt,$$

which shows that  $III \geq 0$ , by convexity of  $H$ . Thus, all terms must be zero. From  $I = 0$ ,  $II = 0$ , and the strict monotonicity of  $G, F$ , we obtain

$$m(T) = m'(T) \text{ almost everywhere on } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$$

and

$$m = m' \text{ almost everywhere on } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The above combined with the HJB equation yield

$$u = u' \text{ almost everywhere in } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

□

## 2.4 Further Regularity of Solutions to the Mean Field Games System.

In this section we study the gain of regularity for solutions to the MFG system (1). In particular, we try to derive appropriate energy estimates by taking advantage of the coupling. To motivate some of the computations we start with a few formal observations. Let  $(u, m)$  be a smooth solutions to system (1), that is

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t u - \Delta_v u + v \cdot D_x u + H(D_v u) = F(t, x, v, m), \\ u(T, x, v) = G(m(T, x, v)) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t m - \Delta_v m - v \cdot D_x m - \operatorname{div}_v(m D_p H(D_v u)) = 0, \\ m(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We would like to obtain bounds for  $D_x m, D_x u$ , so we differentiate both equations with respect to  $x_i$ , which yields

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t u_{x_i} - \Delta_v u_{x_i} + v \cdot D_x u_{x_i} + H_p(D_v u) D_v u_{x_i} = F_{x_i}(t, x, v, m(t, x, v)) + F_m(t, x, v, m(t, x, v)) m_{x_i}(t, x, v), \\ u_{x_i}(T, x, v) = G_{x_i}(x, v, m(T, x, v)) + G_m(m(T, x, v)) m_{x_i}(T, x, v) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t m_{x_i} - \Delta_v m_{x_i} - v \cdot D_x m_{x_i} - \operatorname{div}_v(m_{x_i} H_p(D_v u) + m D_{pp} H(D_v u) D_v u_{x_i}) = 0, \\ m_{x_i}(0) = m_{0, x_i}. \end{cases}$$

We see that simple energy estimates on each equation don't quite work and the main issue comes from the lack of control of  $\|m_{x_i}(T)\|_2$ . So we test against the FP equation with  $u_{x_i}$ , which yields (in what follows we drop the spatial variables for notational convenience)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (m_{x_i}(T))^2 G_m(m(T)) dx dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} G_{x_i} m_{x_i}(T) + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m_{x_i} (-\partial_t u_{x_i} - \Delta_v u_{x_i} + v \cdot D_x u_{x_i} + D_v u_{x_i} D_p H(D_v u)) dx dv dt \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m D_v u_{x_i} H_{pp}(D_v u) D_v u_{x_i} dx dv dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m_{0, x_i} u_{x_i}(0) dx dv, \end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} G_m(m(T)) |m_{x_i}(T)|^2 + G_{x_i} m_{x_i}(T) dx dv + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} F_m(t, x, v, m) |m_{x_i}|^2 + F_{x_i} m_{x_i} dx dv dt \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m D_v u_{x_i} H_{pp}(D_v u) D_v u_{x_i} dx dv dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m_{0, x_i} u_{x_i}(0) dx dv. \end{aligned}$$

We have assumed that  $G, F$  are increasing in  $m$ , thus  $F_m, G_m \geq 0$ . It makes sense from the above to assume that  $G_m, F_m \geq c_0 > 0$ , at least on  $[0, \|m\|_\infty]$ . Using this last condition and the convexity of  $H$  we obtain

$$\|m_{x_i}(T)\|_2^2 + \|m_{x_i}\|_2^2 \leq C(\|m_{0,x_i}\|_2 \|u_{x_i}(0)\|_2 + \|D_{(x,v)}G\|_2^2 + \|D_{(x,v)}F\|_2^2),$$

where  $C = C(c_0)$ . Testing against  $u_{x_i}$  in the HJB equation we obtain

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_{x_i}(t)\|_2^2 + \|D_v u_{x_i}\|_2^2 \leq C(\|m_{x_i}(T)\|_2^2 + \|m_{x_i}\|_2^2 + \|D_{(x,v)}G\|_2^2 + \|D_{(x,v)}F\|_2^2),$$

which together with the previous one provides the estimate

$$\|m_{x_i}(T)\|_2 + \|m_{x_i}\|_2 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u_{x_i}(t)\|_2 + \|D_v u_{x_i}\|_2 \leq C.$$

Finally, testing against  $m_{x_i}$  in the FP equation, we get

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|m_{x_i}(t)\|_2 + \|D_v m_{x_i}\|_2 \leq C,$$

where  $C = C(c_0, \text{Lip}_H, T, \|m_0\|_2, \|m_0\|_\infty, \|D_x m_0\|_2, \|D_{(x,v)}G\|_2, \|D_{(x,v)}F\|_2)$ . In the following proposition we justify the above computations.

**Theorem 2.13.** Let  $F, G$  satisfy assumptions (1.7),(1.8) with constant  $c_0$ ,  $H, m_0$  satisfy assumptions (1.1),(1.4) and  $(u, m)$  be a weak solution to system (1.1), according to Definition (1.1). Then, there exists a constant  $C = C(c_0, \sup_{(t,x,v)} F(t, x, v, \|m\|_\infty), \sup_{(t,x,v)} G(x, v, \|m\|_\infty), T, \text{Lip}_H, \|\partial_m F\|_\infty, \|\partial_m D_{(x,v)}F\|_\infty, \|\partial_m G\|_\infty, \|\partial_m D_{(x,v)}G\|_\infty, \|Dm_0\|_2) > 0$ , such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|m(t)\|_2 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|Dm(t)\|_2 + \|D_{v,v}^2 m\|_2 + \|D_v D_x m\|_2 \leq C$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|_2 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|Du(t)\|_2 + \|D_{v,v}^2 u\|_2 + \|D_v D_x u\|_2 \leq C.$$

*Proof.* For  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  and  $h \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ , we denote

$$\delta^h(u)(t, x, v) := \frac{u(t, x + he_i, v) - u(t, x, v)}{h}, \quad \delta^h(m)(t, x, v) := \frac{m(t, x + he_i, v) - m(t, x, v)}{h}$$

$$m^h := m(t, x + he_i, v), \quad m^0 := m(t, x + he_i, v), \quad D_v u^h := D_v u(t, x + he_i, v), \quad D_v u^0 := D_v u(t, x, v)$$

$$H^h := H(D_v u(t, x + he_i, v)), \quad H^0 := H(D_v u(t, x, v)), \quad F^h := F(t, x, v, m(t, x + he_i, v)), \quad F^0 := F(t, x, v, m(t, x, v)),$$

$$\delta_{x,h} F := \frac{F(t, x + he_i, v, m(t, x + he_i, v)) - F(t, x, v, m(t, x + he_i, v))}{h},$$

$$\delta_{x,h} G := \frac{G(x + he_i, v, m(T, x + he_i, v)) - G(x, v, m(T, x + he_i, v))}{h}.$$

The equations for  $\delta^h u, \delta^h m$  read as follows,

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t \delta^h u - \Delta_v \delta^h u + v \cdot D_x \delta^h u + \frac{H^h - H^0}{h} = \frac{F^h - F^0}{h} + \delta_{x,h} F, \\ \delta^h u(T) = \frac{G^h - G^0}{h} + \delta_{x,h} G. \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \delta^h m - \Delta_v \delta^h m - v \cdot D_x \delta^h m - \text{div}_v \left( \frac{m^h H_p^h - m^0 H_p^0}{h} \right) = 0, \\ \delta^h m(0) = \delta^h m_0 \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

Testing against  $\delta^h u$  in (33), yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{G^h - G^0}{h} \delta^h m(T) dx dv \right]_1 + \left[ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \delta^h m \left[ \frac{F^h - F^0}{h} \right] dx dv dt \right]_2 \\
& + \left[ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} -\delta^h m \frac{H^h - H^0}{h} dx dv dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v \delta^h u \frac{m^h H_p^h - m^0 H_p^0}{h} dx dv dt \right]_3 \\
& = - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \delta_{x,h} F \delta^h m dx dv dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \delta^h m_0 \delta^h u(0) dx dv - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \delta_{x,h} G \delta m^h(T) dx dv
\end{aligned}$$

In the following, we refer to the terms based on the enumeration of the boxes. For the first boxed term we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{G^h - G^0}{h} \delta^h m(T) dx dv = \\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 G'(m^0(T) + s(m^h - m^0)(T)) ds |\delta^h m|^2(T) dx dv \geq c_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\delta^h m|^2(T) dx dv,
\end{aligned}$$

while for the second term

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \delta^h m \frac{F^h - F^0}{h} dx dv dt = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\delta^h m|^2(t) \int_0^1 F'(m^0(t) + s(m^h - m^0)(t)) ds dx dv dt \\
& \geq c_0 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\delta^h m|^2(t) dx dv dt.
\end{aligned}$$

We may rewrite the third term as in the proof of uniqueness to see that it is non-negative by the convexity of  $H$ , indeed it can be written as

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{m^h}{h^2} \left[ H(D_v u) - H(D_v u^h) - H_p(D_v u^h) D_v(u - u^h) \right] + \frac{m}{h^2} \left[ H(D_v u^h) - H(D_v u) - H_p(D_v u) D_v(u^h - u) \right] dx dv dt \geq 0.$$

Finally, for the right hand side we estimate as follows

$$\delta_{x,h} F = \int_0^1 \partial_{x_i} F(t, x + s h e_i, v, m(t, x + h e_i, v)) ds,$$

hence,

$$\|\delta_{x,h} F\|_2 \leq C \|m\|_2,$$

and similarly for  $\delta_{x,h} G$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \delta_{x,h} F \delta^h m dx dv dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \delta^h m_0 \delta^h u(0) dx dv - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \delta_{x,h} G \delta m^h(T) dx dv \\
& \leq \frac{c_0}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\delta m^h|^2 dx dv dt + \frac{c_0}{2} \|\delta m^h(T)\|_2 + C \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|m(t)\|_2^2 + \|\delta^h m_0\|_2 \|\delta^h u(0)\|_2
\end{aligned}$$

Gathering everything together we obtain

$$\|\delta^h m(T)\|_2^2 + \|\delta^h m\|_2^2 \leq C \|\delta^h m_0\|_2 \|\delta^h u(0)\|_2. \tag{34}$$

We now turn to (32). Test, against  $\delta^h u$  to obtain

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\delta^h u(t)\|_2 + \|D_v \delta^h u\|_2 \leq C (\|\delta^h m(T)\|_2 + \|\delta^h m\|_2)$$

and using this estimate in (34) provides

$$\|\delta^h m(T)\|_2 + \|\delta^h m\|_2 \leq C = C(\inf F', \inf G', T, \text{Lip}_H, \text{Lip}_F, \text{Lip}_G, \|D_x m_0\|_2).$$

Testing against  $\delta^h m$  in (33) yields

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\delta^h m(t)\|_2 + \|D_v \delta^h m\|_2 \leq C(\|\delta^h m_0\|_2 + \|D_v \delta^h u\|_2) \leq C.$$

Since the bounds are independent of  $h$ , we have shown that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|D_x m(t)\|_2 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|D_x u(t)\|_2 + \|D_v D_x u\|_2 + \|D_v D_x m\|_2 \leq C.$$

Now, using these bounds, we repeat the process for the derivatives with respect to  $v$ . We use completely symmetric notation as in the above case, for example  $\delta_v^h u := \frac{u(t, x, v + h e_i) - u(t, x, v)}{h}$ . The equations satisfied by  $\delta_v^h u, \delta_v^h m$  are similar with the exception of the  $v \cdot D_x$  term. They read

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t \delta_v^h u - \Delta_v \delta_v^h u + e_{v,i} D_x u^h + v \cdot D_x \delta_v^h u + \frac{H^h - H^0}{h} = \frac{F^h - F^0}{h} + \delta_{v,h} F, \\ u^h(T) = \frac{G^h - G^0}{h} + \delta_{v,h} G \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t \delta_v^h m - \Delta_v \delta_v^h m - e_{v,i} D_x m^h - v \cdot D_x \delta_v^h m - \text{div}_v (m^h \frac{H_p^h - H_p^0}{h} + \delta^h m H_p^0) = 0, \\ \delta_v^h m^0 = \delta_v^h m_0. \end{cases}$$

The argument is completely symmetrical with the only difference being the presence of  $D_x u^h, D_x m^h$ . However, these terms are bounded from the previous case. We thus obtain bounds of the form

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|D_v m(t)\|_2 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|D_v u(t)\|_2 + \|D_{v,v}^2 u\|_2 + \|D_{v,v}^2 m\|_2 \leq C.$$

□

### 3 Quadratic Hamiltonian

In this section we will show existence and uniqueness for renormalized solutions to the MFG system. All the ideas and proofs in this section are entirely motivated or even parallel to the original work of Porretta in [16].

To motivate some of the technical steps we outline the strategy. The plan is to approximate a given Hamiltonian  $H$  with quadratic growth by a sequence of Lipschitz Hamiltonians  $H^\epsilon$  (see below for definition), for which we have shown the existence of solutions  $(u^\epsilon, m^\epsilon)$  in the previous section and show that these solutions converge to a renormalized solution. A crucial structural estimate, as pointed out by Porretta in [16], is that  $\sup_{\epsilon} \|m^\epsilon |H_p^\epsilon(D_v u^\epsilon)|^2\|_1 < \infty$ ,

which is shown in Proposition 3.8.1. This estimate, along with  $L^2$ -bounds on  $D_v u^\epsilon$ , allows us to conclude the convergence (up to a subsequence) to a renormalized solution of  $\{m^\epsilon\}_\epsilon$ . The bounds for the HJ equation are straightforward and mostly follow the classical techniques of the non-degenerate case, with the exception of the  $L^1$ -compactness for the  $u^\epsilon$  which is due to Theorem 3.5 by DiPerna and Lions in [14].

In the rest of the paper we consider a fixed Hamiltonian  $H$  that satisfies assumption (1.6). Furthermore, following Porretta [16], we consider the following Lipschitz approximations

$$H^\epsilon := \frac{H}{1 + \epsilon H^{\frac{1}{2}}} \text{ for } \epsilon > 0. \quad (35)$$

**Proposition 3.0.1.** The functions  $H^\epsilon$  are Lipschitz in  $p$  and satisfy

$$H_p^\epsilon \cdot p - H(p) \geq c H(p)$$

and

$$|H_p^\epsilon|^2 \leq C H^\epsilon,$$

for some constants  $c > 0, C > 0$  independent of  $\epsilon$ .

*Proof.*

$$H_p^\epsilon = \frac{H_p(1 + \epsilon H^{1/2}) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} H \frac{H_p}{H^{1/2}}}{(1 + \epsilon H^{1/2})^2} = H_p \frac{1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} H}{(1 + \epsilon H^{1/2})^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$|H_p^\epsilon| \leq C|p| \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon|p|} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon},$$

where  $C > 0$  is the constant in assumption (4). Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} H_p^\epsilon \cdot p - H^\epsilon(p) &= H_p \cdot p \frac{1 + \epsilon/2H}{(1 + \epsilon H^{1/2})^2} - \frac{H}{1 + \epsilon H^{1/2}} \geq 2H \frac{1 + \epsilon/2H}{(1 + \epsilon H^{1/2})^2} - \frac{H}{1 + \epsilon H^{1/2}} \\ &\geq \frac{2H}{(1 + \epsilon H^{\frac{1}{2}})} - \frac{H}{(1 + \epsilon H^{\frac{1}{2}})} = H^\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$|H_p^\epsilon|^2 \leq C|p|^2 \frac{(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}H)^2}{(1 + \epsilon H^{1/2})^4} \leq cC \frac{H}{(1 + \epsilon H^{1/2})} = cCH^\epsilon,$$

where  $c, C$  are the constants from the assumptions on  $H$ . □

### 3.1 Analysis of Degenerate Fokker-Planck equation

In this subsection, we study the following Fokker-Planck equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t m - \Delta_v m - v \cdot D_x m - \operatorname{div}_v(mb) = 0, \\ m(0) = m_0, \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

Our approach is a parallel of the techniques from [15] in the Hypoelliptic case.

**Definition 3.1.** We say that  $(m, b, m_0)$  is a weak solution of (36), if  $m \in L^1 \cap L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , with  $D_v m \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $m_0$  satisfies assumption (1.4),  $m|b|^2 \in L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and (36) is satisfied in the distributional sense.

**Proposition 3.0.2.** Let  $(m, b, m_0)$  be a weak solution of (36), according to definition 3.1. Then, there exists a dimensional constant  $C > 0$  and a constant  $C_0 = C_0(m_0) > 0$ , such that

$$\|m|b|^2\|_{\frac{N+4}{N+3}} + \|m\|_{\frac{N+4}{N+2}} \leq C\|m|b|^2\|_1 + C_0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma$  denote the fundamental solution of the operator  $\partial_t - \Delta_v - v \cdot D_x$ . From the equation satisfied by  $m$  we obtain

$$m(x, v, t) = - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v \Gamma(t-s, x, v, y, w) mb(s, w, y) dy dw ds + C(m_0)(t, x, v)$$

where

$$C(m_0)(t, x, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Gamma(t, x, v, y, w) m_0(y, w) dy dw.$$

From the properties of the above fundamental solution we have that

$$\|m\|_p \leq C\|mH_p\|_q$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{Q+2},$$

and  $Q = d + 2$ , see for example Theorem 5.14, in Folland [19]. Moreover, by Hölder

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |m|^q |H_p|^q dx dv dt \leq \left( \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |m|^{\frac{q}{2-q}} dx dv dt \right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}} \left( \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m |H_p|^2 dx dv \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} = C \|m\|_{\frac{q}{2-q}}^q.$$

Hence, we can have a gain of integrability if we require that

$$p = \frac{q}{2-q} \iff \frac{2-q}{q} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{Q+2} \iff \frac{1}{q} - 1 = -\frac{1}{Q+2} \iff \frac{1}{q} = \frac{Q+1}{Q+2},$$

therefore

$$q = \frac{Q+2}{Q+1}$$

and

$$p = \frac{Q+2}{2Q+2-Q-2} = \frac{Q+2}{Q}.$$

□

**Proposition 3.0.3.** Let  $(m, b, m_0)$  be a weak solution of (36) according to Definition 3.1, with  $b \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C = C(\|m_0 \log(m_0)\|_1, \|m|b|^2\|_1) > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|m(t) \log(m(t))\|_1 + \|D_v(\sqrt{m})\|_2 \leq C.$$

*Proof.* For  $\delta > 0$ , define  $w(x) = \log(x + \delta)$  and  $W(x) = (x + \delta) \log(x + \delta) - \delta \log(\delta)$ . Test against  $w(m)$  in (36) (recall that  $m \in L^\infty \cap L^1$  and so  $w(m) \in L^\infty$ ,  $W(m) \in L^1$ ) to obtain that for each  $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} W(m(t)) dx dv + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|D_v m|^2}{(m + \delta)} dx dv ds &= - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{m}{m + \delta} D_v m \cdot b dx dv dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} W(m_0) dx dv \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|D_v m|^2}{(m + \delta)} dx dv dt + \frac{1}{2} \|m|b|^2\|_1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} W(m_0) dx dv. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  yields

$$\int m(t) \log(m(t)) dx dv + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|D_v m|^2}{m} dx dv ds \leq C(\|m|b|^2\|_1 + \|m_0 \log(m_0)\|_1)$$

where  $C > 0$  is a universal constant. It remains to show that  $m(t) \log(m(t)) \in L^1$ . This is shown for example in [14], under the conditions

1.  $\|m(t)(1 + |x|^2 + |v|^2)\|_1 < \infty$
2.  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m(t) \log(m(t)) < \infty$ .

Condition 1 follows from Lemma 3.2, while condition 2 is shown above. □

We now proceed with gradient estimates for the measure.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(m, b, m_0)$  be a weak solution of (36) according to Definition 3.1. Then, there exist  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,  $q \in (1, \infty)$  and  $\beta \in (1, \infty)$  depending on  $d, s, q$ , such that

$$\|D^s m\|_q \leq C,$$

where  $C$  depends only on  $m_0, d, T, \|m|b|^2\|_1$  and in particular not on  $\|D_v m\|_2$ .

*Proof.* The constant  $C > 0$  that appears in this proof is subject to change from line to line and depends only on  $m_0, d, T$ . The technique that follows is the same as in [15]. In the original equation (36) we test against  $\phi(m)$  for  $\phi(s) = s$  for  $s \in [0, 1]$  and  $\phi(s) = 1, s \geq 1, \phi(s) = 0, s \leq 0$ . This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(m(t)) dx dv + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi'(m) |D_v m|^2 &= - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi'(m) D_v m H_p m dx dv dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(m_0) dx dv \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi'(m) |D_v m|^2 dx dv + \int_{|m| \leq 1} |m|^2 |H_p|^2 dx dv + C(m_0). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|m|^2 \leq |m|$  on  $|m| \leq 1$ , we obtain

$$\int_{\{|m| \leq 1\}} |D_v m|^2 dx dv \leq C.$$

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we define  $\phi_k$  by

$$\phi_k(s) := \begin{cases} 0, & s \leq k-1, \\ s - (k-1), & s \leq k, \\ 1, & s \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

and  $\Phi_k(t) := \int_0^T \phi_k(s) ds$ . Testing against  $\phi_k(m)$  in the equation yields

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_k(m(T)) + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi'_k(m) |D_v m|^2 dx dv dt = - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi'_k D_v m H_p m dx dv dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_k(m_0) dx dv. \quad (38)$$

Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_k(m_0) dx dv \leq \|m_0\|_2 + \|m_0\|_1 \leq C$$

and

$$0 \leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_k(m(T)).$$

For  $A_k := \{k-1 \leq |m| \leq k\}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , equation (38) yields

$$\int_{A_k} |D_v m|^2 dx dv dt \leq \frac{1}{2k} \int_{A_k} m |D_v m|^2 dx dv + Ck \int_{A_k} m |H_p|^2 dx dv + C, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover,

$$\int_{A_k} m |D_v m|^2 dx dv dt \leq k \int_{A_k} |D_v m|^2 dx dv dt$$

hence, by summing for  $k = 2, \dots$ , we obtain

$$\int_{|m| \geq 1} \frac{|D_v m|^2}{(1+m)^\lambda} dx dv dt \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{(1+k)^\lambda} \int_{A_k} m |H_p|^2 dx dv dt + \frac{C}{k^\lambda} < \infty$$

for every  $\lambda > 1$ .

$$\int_{m>1} |D_v m|^q dx dv \leq \left[ \int_{m>1} \frac{|D_v m|^2}{(1+m)^\lambda} \right]^{q/2} \left[ \int_{m>1} (1+m)^{\frac{\lambda q}{2-q}} dx dv \right]^{\frac{2-q}{2}}.$$

Next, using that

$$(a+b)^\lambda \leq 2^\lambda \max\{a^\lambda, b^\lambda\} \leq C(a^\lambda + b^\lambda)$$

and

$$|\{|m| > 1\}| \leq \|m\|_1 = 1,$$



we obtain

$$\int_{|m|>1} (1+m)^{\frac{\lambda q}{2-q}} dx dv \leq C(|\{m>1\}|^{\frac{\lambda q}{2-q}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |m|^{\frac{\lambda q}{2-q}} dx dv) \leq C(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |m|^{\frac{\lambda q}{2-q}} dx dv).$$

Hence,

$$\int_{m>1} |D_v m|^q dx dv \leq \left[ \int_{m>1} \frac{|D_v m|^2}{(1+m)^\lambda} \right]^{q/2} \left(1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |m|^{\frac{\lambda q}{2-q}} dx dv\right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}}. \quad (39)$$

Integrate in time inequality (39), and apply Hölders inequality for  $\frac{2}{q}, \frac{2}{2-q}$ , to obtain for some  $C = C(T, \lambda, q, \|\frac{D_v m}{(1+m)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}}\mathbf{1}_{m \leq 1}\|_2) > 0$

$$\int_{m>1} \|D_v m(t)\|_q^q dx dv dt \leq \left( \int_{m>1} \frac{|D_v m|^2}{(1+m)^\lambda} dx dv dt \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \left(1 + \int_0^T \|m(t)\|_{\frac{\lambda q}{2-q}}^{\frac{\lambda q}{2-q}} dt\right)^{\frac{2-q}{q}} \leq C(1 + \left( \int_0^T \|m(t)\|_{\frac{\lambda q}{2-q}}^{\frac{\lambda q}{2-q}} dt \right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}})$$

The Fractional Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality gives us

$$\|m(t)\|_\sigma \leq C \|D^s m\|_q^\theta \|m(t)\|_\rho^{1-\theta},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} = \theta \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{s}{n} \right) + \frac{1-\theta}{\rho}, \quad (40)$$

and  $C = C(s, q, n, \theta, \rho) > 0$ . We can easily obtain the following time dependent version,

$$\int_0^T \|m(t)\|_\sigma^\sigma dt \leq C \sup_t \|m(t)\|_1^{\sigma(1-\theta)} \int_0^T \|D^s m\|_q^{\theta\sigma} dt \leq C \int_0^T \|D^s m\|_q^{\theta\sigma} dt.$$

Set

$$\theta = \frac{q}{\sigma}, \rho = 1, \sigma = \frac{\lambda q}{2-q},$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{\sigma} = \frac{q}{\sigma} \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{s}{n} \right) + 1 - \frac{q}{\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{qs}{\sigma n} + 1 - \frac{q}{\sigma}$$

thus,

$$\frac{qs}{\sigma n} = 1 - \frac{q}{\sigma} \implies \sigma = \frac{qs}{n} + q \implies \sigma = q \left( \frac{s}{n} + 1 \right)$$

and so

$$q \left( \frac{s}{n} + 1 \right) = \frac{\lambda q}{2-q} \implies \lambda = (2-q) \left( 1 + \frac{s}{n} \right)$$

which is a valid choice as long as

$$(2-q) \left( 1 + \frac{s}{n} \right) > 1 \implies q < 2 - \frac{n}{n+s}$$

thus our restrictions on  $q$  is that

$$1 < q < 2 - \frac{n}{n+s}.$$

Continuing with the above analysis for the above choices of parameters we obtain

$$\int_{m>1} \|D_v m(t)\|_q^q dx dv dt \leq C(1 + \left( \int_0^T \|m(t)\|_\sigma^\sigma dt \right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}}) \leq C(1 + \int_0^T \|D^s m\|_q^q dt)^{\frac{2-q}{2}}.$$

Therefore for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_v m\|_q &\leq C(\|D_v m \mathbf{1}_{m \leq 1}\|_q + \|D_v m \mathbf{1}_{m > 1}\|_q) \\ &\leq C(1 + \|D_v m\|_1^\alpha \|D_v m \mathbf{1}_{m \leq 1}\|_2^{1-\alpha} + \|D^s m\|_q^{\frac{2-q}{2}}), \end{aligned}$$

and by using the estimate from Proposition 3.0.3, we obtain

$$\|D_v m\|_1 = \|\sqrt{m} D_v \sqrt{m}\|_1 \leq \|D_v \sqrt{m}\|_2,$$

therefore

$$\|D_v m\|_q \leq C(1 + \|D^s m\|_q^{\frac{2-q}{2}}).$$

By Theorem 4.9 in Boichout [1], we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_x^s m\|_q &\leq C(1 + \|D_v m\|_q + \|m|b|^2\|_q + \|m\|_q) \\ &\leq C(1 + \|D^s m\|_q^{\frac{2-q}{2}}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus by choosing  $q$  so that  $\|m|b|^2\|_q + \|m\|_q \leq C$  from Proposition 3.0.2, the result follows.  $\square$

In order to pass to the limit in the HJB equation, we need to establish a limit for the terminal data which in turn means that we need to show convergence for  $m^\epsilon(T)$ . For the latter, we need to show that if  $m$  is the limit of  $m^\epsilon$  in  $L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $m(t)$  is well defined for each  $t \in [0, T]$ . The idea for this is to establish in addition that  $m^\epsilon$  converges in  $C([0, T]; X)$  for some space  $X$  so that we can give a meaning to  $m(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Finally, we show that  $\{m^\epsilon(t)\}_{\epsilon>0}$  are equi-integrable, therefore  $m^\epsilon(T)$  are weak-star compact in  $L^1$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $(m, b, m_0)$  be a weak solution of (36) according to definition 3.1. Then, there exists a constant  $C = C(d, T, \|m|b|^2\|_1, \|(1 + |x|^2 + |v|^2)m_0\|_1)$ , such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{B(0, R)^c} m(t) dx dv \leq \frac{C}{R^2}, \text{ for all } R > 0,$$

where  $B(0, R) := \{(x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d : |(x, v)| \leq R\}$ .

*Proof.* Formally the result follows immediately by testing against  $(|x|^2 + |v|^2)$  and applying standard methods. However, this needs to be justified given that  $(|x|^2 + |v|^2)$  is unbounded. This requires some technical steps which we present in detail, hence the lengthy computations. First assume that  $b, m_0$  are smooth and compactly supported. For  $R > 0$  consider a bump function  $\psi_R : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , such that  $\psi_R|_{B(0, R)} \equiv 1$  and  $\text{spt}(\psi_R) \subset B(0, R + 1)$ . Fix a  $t_0 \in [0, T]$  and let  $\phi : [0, t_0] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the smooth solution of the adjoint equation

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t \phi - \Delta_v \phi + v \cdot D_x \phi + b \cdot D_v \phi = 0 \text{ on } [0, t_0] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \phi(t_0, x, v) = (|x|^2 + |v|^2)\psi_R(x, v) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

Note that  $\phi$  is bounded by a constant depending only on  $R, b, T$ . We claim that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $R > 0$ , such that

$$\phi(t, x, v) \leq C(1 + |x|^2 + |v|^2) \text{ for all } (t, x, v) \in [0, t_0] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Indeed, for  $A, B > 0$  large enough to be determined later, let  $w(t, x, v) = Ce^{-At}(1 + |x|^2 + |v|^2) - B(t - t_0)$  and note that

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_t w - \Delta_v w + v \cdot D_x w + b \cdot D_v w &= Ce^{-At}(A(|x|^2 + |v|^2) - 2d + 2v \cdot x + b \cdot v) + B \\ &\geq (B - 2dCe^{-At} - \|b\|_\infty^2) + Ce^{-At}(A - \frac{3}{2})(|x|^2 + |v|^2) \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

if  $A, B > 0$  are large enough. In particular let  $A = 2$  and for any choice of  $C > 0$  we set  $B = 1 + 2dCe^{-2t} - \|b\|_\infty^2$ , so that the above inequality is satisfied. Furthermore, at  $t = t_0$  we have that

$$w(t_0, x, v) = Ce^{-2t_0}(|x|^2 + |v|^2) \geq (|x|^2 + |v|^2)\psi_R(x, v) = \phi(t_0, x, v) \text{ for all } (x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$

if say  $C > e^{2t_0}$ , in particular however  $C$  can be chosen independent of  $R > 0$ . Finally, for each  $R > 0$  fixed, the function

$$E(t, x, v) = w - \phi_R$$

is coercive in  $(x, v)$ , that is for each fixed  $t \in [0, t_0]$ ,

$$\lim_{|(x,v)| \rightarrow \infty} E(t, x, v) = \infty.$$

Thus by classical arguments we find that the minimum of  $E$  is achieved at  $t = t_0$ , which shows the claim. To conclude the proof of the Lemma, we test against  $\phi_R$  in equation (36), which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m(t_0)(|x|^2 + |v|^2) \psi_R(x, v) dx dv &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi_R(0, x, v) m_0(x, v) dx dv \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m_0(|x|^2 + |v|^2 + 1) dx dv \\ &= C \|m_0(1 + |x|^2 + |v|^2)\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

The general case follows by approximation and Fatous Lemma.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\{(m^n, b^n, m_0)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of weak solutions to (36) according to definition 3.1, such that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\|m^n |b^n|^2\|_1 + \|b^n\|_2) < \infty.$$

Then, the set  $\{m^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is compact in  $L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

*Proof.* From Proposition 3.1, we have that

$$\|m^n\|_r + \|D^s m^n\|_q \leq C \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and some } r > 1, s \in (0, 1).$$

The result about the compactness in  $L^1([0, T] \times L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$  now follows by the results in [2], with a slight modification due to the unbounded domain. We sketch the argument. For  $R > 0$ , let  $\phi_R(x, v) := \psi_R(x) \psi_R(v)$ , where  $\psi_R$  are standard non-negative cutoff functions with support in  $B(0, R) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . The equation satisfied by  $m^R := m \phi_R$ , reads

$$\partial_t m^R - \Delta_v m^R - v \cdot D_x m^R - \operatorname{div}_v(m^R b) = D_v \phi^R m b - m \Delta_v(\phi^R) - 2D_v \phi^R D_v m - m v \cdot D_x \phi^R.$$

Next for  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , we set  $X := W^{s,q}(B_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d}(0, R))$ ,  $B := L^q(B_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d}(0, R))$  and  $Y := W^{-1,p}(B_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d}(0, R))$ . Note that  $X$  embeds compactly in  $B$  and  $B$  embeds continuously in  $Y$ . Since  $m_n^R$  are bounded in  $L^q(0, T, X)$  and  $\partial_t m_n^R$  is bounded in  $L^q(0, T, Y) \subset L^1((0, T), Y)$ . Therefore from Corollary 4 in [2], for each fixed  $R > 0$  the sequence  $m_n^R$  is compact in  $L^q(0, T, B) = L^q(0, T, B_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d}(0, R)) \subset L^1(0, T, B_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d}(0, R))$ . Combining the above with the estimate

$$\sup_{\epsilon} \int_{B(0,R)^c} m^\epsilon(t) dx dv \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty,$$

from Lemma 3.2, yields the strong convergence in  $L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.1.** Let  $\{(m^n, b^n, m_0)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of weak solutions to (36) according to definition 3.1, such that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\|m^n |b^n|^2\|_1 + \|b^n\|_2) < \infty$$

and

$$b^n \rightarrow b \text{ almost everywhere, for some } b \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then, there exists a  $m \in L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , such that up a subsequence  $m^n \rightarrow m$ ,  $m^n b^n \rightarrow m b$  in  $L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Furthermore, the set  $\{m^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is compact in  $C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ . Finally,  $m$  is a distributional solution of (36).

*Proof.* From Theorem 3.3, there exists an  $m \in L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and a subsequence (still denoted by  $\{m_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ) such that  $\|m_n - m\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ . Furthermore, from Lemma 3.2 we have that

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^T \int_{B_R^c} |m^n| |b^n| dx dv \leq \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \int_0^T \int_{B_R^c} |m^n| dx dv dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^T \int |m^n| |b^n|^2 dx dv dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.$$

The above combined with Proposition 3.0.2 yields that the sequence  $\{m^n b^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly integrable, which together with the almost everywhere convergence gives us that the limit  $m$  is in fact a distributional solution of (36).

Next, we show the claim about the compactness in  $C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ . Note that for each  $t \in [0, T]$  from Lemma 3.2 the set  $\{m^n(t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is compact in  $\mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . The result about compactness in  $C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ , will follow once we show Hölder time continuity. Fix a  $b \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and consider the solution  $\{(X_t, V_t)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ , of the SDE's

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= V_t dt \\ dV_t &= b(t, X_t, V_t) dt + \sqrt{2} dB_t \\ (X_0, V_0) &\sim m_0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B_t$  is a standard Brownian motion. If  $w(t)$  is the distribution of  $(X_t, V_t)$ , it solves

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w_t - \Delta_v w - v \cdot D_x w - \operatorname{div}_v(wb) &= 0 \\ w(0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $d_1$  the Wasserstein distance and note that

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(w(t), w(s)) &\leq \mathbb{E}[|X_t - X_s|] + \mathbb{E}[|V_t - V_s|]. \\ \mathbb{E}[|V_t - V_s|] &\leq \int_s^t \mathbb{E}[|b(s, X_s, V_s)|] + C|t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \int_s^t |b| w dx dv d\theta + C|t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \int_s^t \left( \int |w|(\theta) |b|^2(\theta) dx dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int |w|(\theta) dx dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\theta + C|t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|w|b|^2\|_1^{\frac{1}{2}} |t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}} + C|t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

From the last estimate, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[|X_t - X_s|] \leq \int_s^t \mathbb{E}[|V_\theta|] d\theta$$

and using again the same arguments we obtain that

$$d(w(t), w(s)) \leq C|t - s|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where  $C = C(\|w|b|^2\|_1, T)$ . The result in our case follows by approximation of  $H_p^\epsilon$  by smooth  $b$ 's.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\{(m^n, b^n, m_0)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of weak solutions to (36) according to definition 3.1. Assume furthermore that

$$\sup_n \|b^n\|_2 < \infty,$$

and that the assumptions of Proposition 3.3.1 are satisfied. Then, the limit  $m$  provided by Proposition 3.3.1 is a renormalized solution according to Definition 1.2.

*Proof.* Let  $S : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$S \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and that } S' \text{ has compact support.}$$

Then, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\partial_t S(m^n) - \Delta_v S(m^n) - v \cdot D_x S(m^n) - \operatorname{div}_v(S'(m^n)m^n b^n) + S''(m^n)D_v m^n m^n b^n + S''(m^n)|D_v m^n|^2 = 0. \quad (42)$$

Since  $\{m^n |b^n|^2\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , we obtain that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n} \int_{k < m^n < 2k} |D_v m^n|^2 dx dv ds = 0,$$

just as in Theorem 6.1 of Porretta in [16]. It remains to show that for a fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have the following convergence

$$D_v(m^n \wedge k) \rightarrow D_v(m \wedge k) \text{ strongly in } L^2.$$

To show the strong convergence of the truncations above, it is enough to show that

$$\|D_v \log(1 + m_n) - D_v \log(1 + m)\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Indeed once the above has been shown, for a fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|D_v(m_n \wedge k)|^2 \leq (k+1)^2 \left| \frac{D_v m_n}{(1+m_n)} \right|^2 = (k+1)^2 |D_v \log(1 + m_n)|^2$$

and the truncations will converge by Dominated Convergence. The argument that follows is entirely due to DiPerna-Lions in [14]. We only present some of the main estimates since we have a slightly different setup. We look at  $g^n = \log(1 + m_n)$  and the corresponding equation they satisfy. From Proposition 3.0.3 we have that  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|D_v g^n\|_2 < \infty$  and so WLOG we may assume that  $D_v g^n$  converges weakly in  $L^2$  to  $D_v g$ , where  $g = \log(1 + m)$ .

Therefore, there exists a non-negative bounded measure  $\mu$  (in the sense that  $\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} d\mu < \infty$ ) on  $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$|D_v g^n|^2 \rightarrow |D_v g|^2 + \mu$$

in the distributional sense. It remains to show that  $\mu$  is identically zero. First, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we let

$$\beta = \log(1 + t)$$

and

$$g^n = \beta(m^n).$$

The equation satisfied by  $g^n$  reads

$$\partial_t g^n - \Delta_v g^n - v \cdot D_x g^n - \operatorname{div}_v\left(\frac{m^n}{1+m^n} b^n\right) = |D_v g^n|^2 + \frac{m^n}{1+m^n} b^n D_v g^n$$

$$g^n(0) = \log(1 + m_0).$$

Again, just as in DiPerna Lions [14], we set

$$\Phi_{s,R}^n(t) = \exp(st \wedge R)$$

and

$$\Psi_{s,R}^n(t) := \int_0^T \Phi_{s,R}^n(\theta) d\theta,$$

for some  $0 < s < 1$ . Test the equation against  $\Phi_{s,R}^n(g^n)\phi$ , where  $\phi \in C_c((0, T))$ , which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Psi_{s,R}^n(g^n)\phi'(t) dx dv dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} s\phi |D_v g^n|^2 \mathbf{1}_{g^n \leq R} \Phi_{s,R}^n(g^n) + s\Phi_{s,R}^n(g^n) \mathbf{1}_{g^n \leq R} D_v g^n \frac{m^n}{1+m^n} b^n dx dv dt \\ & = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_{s,R}^n(g^n)\phi |D_v g^n|^2 + \phi \Phi_{s,R}^n(g^n) \frac{m^n}{1+m^n} b^n D_v g^n, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Psi_{s,R}^n(g^n)\phi'(t) dx dv dt & = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi \Phi_{s,R}^n(g^n) \left[ (|D_v g^n|^2 - s|D_v g^n|^2 \mathbf{1}_{g^n \leq R}) + \frac{m^n \cdot b^n}{1+m^n} (D_v g^n - sD_v g^n \mathbf{1}_{g^n \leq R}) \right] dx dv dt \\ & = (I) + (II), \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where to clarify the notation we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} (I) & = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi \Phi_{s,R}^n(g^n) \left[ (|D_v g^n|^2 - s|D_v g^n|^2 \mathbf{1}_{g^n \leq R}) \right] dx dv dt, \\ (II) & = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_{s,R}^n(g^n) \left[ \frac{m^n \cdot b^n}{1+m^n} (D_v g^n - sD_v g^n \mathbf{1}_{g^n \leq R}) \right] dx dv dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now we bound each term,

$$|(I)| \leq \|\phi\|_\infty \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (1-s) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v g^n|^2 \Phi_{s,R}^n(g^n) dx dv dt + \exp(sR) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_{s,R}^n(g^n) |D_v g^n|^2 \mathbf{1}_{g^n > R}.$$

Using the fact that

$$|\Phi_{s,R}^n(g^n)| \leq (1+m_n)^s,$$

we obtain

$$|D_v g^n|^2 \Phi_{s,R}^n(g^n) \leq \frac{|D_v m^n|^2}{(1+m^n)^{2-s}} \leq \frac{|D_v m^n|^2}{m^n}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\Phi_{s,R}^n(g^n) |D_v g^n|^2 \mathbf{1}_{g^n > R} \leq \exp(sR) \exp(-R) \frac{|D_v m^n|^2}{m^n},$$

where in the last inequality we used that

$$\Phi_{s,R}(t) = \exp(sR) \text{ for } t > R$$

and that

$$\frac{1}{1+m^n} \mathbf{1}_{g^n > R} \leq \exp(-R).$$

Thus, from Proposition 3.0.3, for some  $C = C(\|m_0\|_1, \|m_0 \log(m_0)\|_1, \|\log(1+m_0)\|_1, \sup_n (\|b^n\|_2 + \|m^n |b^n|^2\|_1))$  we have the bound

$$|(I)| \leq ((1-s)\|\phi\|_\infty + \exp(-(1-s)R)) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|D_v m^n|^2}{m^n} dx dv dt \leq C((1-s)\|\phi\|_\infty + \exp(-(1-s)R)),$$

where in the last inequality is due to Proposition 3.0.3. For the second term we work as follows

$$|(II)| \leq (1-s) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_{s,R}^n(g^n) \frac{|m^n| |b^n|}{(1+m^n)} |D_v g^n| dx dv dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_{s,R}^n(g^n) \frac{|m^n| |b^n|}{(1+m^n)} |D_v g^n| \mathbf{1}_{m^n > R} dx dv dt.$$

For the first term we use

$$\Phi_{s,R}(g^n) \frac{|m^n||b^n|}{(1+m^n)} |D_v g^n| \leq \frac{|m^n||b^n|}{(1+m^n)^{2-s}} |D_v m^n| \leq m_n |b^n|^2 + \frac{|D_v m^n|^2}{m^n},$$

while for the second integral

$$\Phi_{s,R}(g^n) \frac{|m^n||b^n|}{(1+m^n)} |D_v g^n| \mathbf{1}_{g^n > R} \leq \exp(-(1-s)R) \left( m^n |b^n|^2 + \frac{|D_v m^n|^2}{m^n} \right),$$

hence

$$|(II)| \leq C \left( (1-s) + \exp(-(1-s)R) \right).$$

Thus passing to the limit in (43), we obtain

$$\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi'(t) \Psi_{s,R}(g) dx dv dt \right| \leq C \sup \|\phi\|_\infty \left( (\delta - \theta) + e^{(\theta - \delta)R} \right). \quad (44)$$

Now that we have obtained these bounds we obtain the result just as in DiPerna, Lions [14], section III. The only difference in the proof is the divergence term, which however causes no technical difficulties we provide the details next. For  $\epsilon > 0$  let  $\rho_\epsilon$  be a standatd sequence of mollifiers. The functions  $g^\epsilon := \rho_\epsilon \star g$  satisfy

$$\partial_t g^\epsilon - \Delta_v g^\epsilon - v \cdot D_x g^\epsilon - \operatorname{div}_v (\rho_\epsilon \star (\frac{m}{1+m} b)) = \rho_\epsilon \star |D_v g|^2 + \rho_\epsilon \star (\frac{m}{1+m} b D_v g) + \rho_\epsilon \star \mu + r_\epsilon \quad (45)$$

Testing against  $\phi \Phi_{s,R}(g^\epsilon)$  in (45) yields,

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi'(t) \Psi_{s,R}(g^\epsilon) dx dv dt \\ \geq & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(t) \left[ -|D_v g^\epsilon|^2 \Phi'_{s,R}(g^\epsilon) + \Phi'_{s,R}(g^\epsilon) D_v g^\epsilon \rho_\epsilon \star (\frac{m}{1+m} b) + \rho_\epsilon \star |D_v g|^2 \Phi_{s,R}(g^\epsilon) + \rho_\epsilon \star (\frac{m}{1+m} b D_v g) \Phi_{s,R}(g^\epsilon) \right] dx dv dt \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(t) \Phi_{s,R}(g^\epsilon) \rho_\epsilon \star \mu - \|r_\epsilon\|_1 \|\phi\|_\infty \|\Phi_{s,R}(g^\epsilon)\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

We let  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and using that  $\Phi_{s,R} \geq 1$  obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi'(t) \Psi_{s,R}(g) dx dv dt \\ \geq & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(t) \left[ |D_v g|^2 \Phi_{s,R}(g) - |D_v g|^2 \Phi'_{s,R}(g) \right] + \phi(t) \left[ \frac{m}{1+m} b D_v g \Phi_{s,R}(g) - \Phi'_{s,R}(g) D_v g \frac{m}{m+1} b \right] dx dv \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(t) d\mu \\ \geq & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (1-s) \phi(t) |D_v g|^2(g) \mathbf{1}_{g \leq R} + \phi(t) |D_v g|^2 \mathbf{1}_{g > R} \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (1-s) \phi(t) \frac{m}{m+1} b D_v g \Phi_{s,R}(g) \mathbf{1}_{g \leq R} + \phi(t) \frac{m}{m+1} b D_v g \Phi_{s,R}(g) \mathbf{1}_{g > R}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used that  $\Phi_{s,R} \geq 1$ . Next we bound the terms in the RHS

$$\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (1-s) \phi(t) |D_v g|^2(g) \mathbf{1}_{g \leq R} + \phi(t) |D_v g|^2 \mathbf{1}_{g > R} \right| \leq (1-s) C \|\phi\|_\infty \|D_v \sqrt{m}\|_2 + \|\phi\|_\infty e^{-R} \|D_v \sqrt{m}\|_2,$$

while for the rest of the terms

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (1-s)\phi(t) \frac{m}{m+1} b D_v g \Phi_{s,R}(g) \mathbf{1}_{g \leq R} + \phi(t) \frac{m}{m+1} b D_v g \Phi_{s,R}(g) \mathbf{1}_{g > R} \right| \\ & \leq (1-s) \|\phi\|_\infty (\|m|b|^2\|_1 + \|D_v \sqrt{m}\|_2) + \|\phi\|_\infty e^{-R(1-s)} (\|m|b|^2\|_1 + \|D_v \sqrt{m}\|_2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence combining the estimates above with estimate (44), we obtain

$$\int \phi d\mu \leq C((1-s) + e^{-R(1-s)})$$

letting  $R \rightarrow \infty$  and then  $s \uparrow 1$  yields

$$\int \phi d\mu \leq 0$$

for all  $\phi \geq 0$  and since  $\mu \geq 0$  it follows that  $\mu \equiv 0$ . Finally, we show that  $m \in C([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ . Let  $\rho_n$  be a standard sequence of mollifiers (see section 1 for definition) and  $m_n := \rho_n \star m$ . The functions  $m_n$  satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t m_n - \Delta_v m_n - v \cdot D_x m_n - \operatorname{div}_v(\rho_n \star (mb)) = r_n \\ m_n(0) = \rho_n \star m_0, \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

where  $r_n = K_n \star m$  and  $K_n$  is given by

$$K_n := n^{2d} \frac{v}{n} D_x \rho\left(\frac{x}{n}, \frac{v}{n}\right),$$

and so  $r_n \rightarrow 0$  strongly in  $L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . From Lemma A.1 in [17], we have that  $m_n \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ . Choose any  $S \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and note that  $S(m_n)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t S(m_n) - \Delta_v S(m_n) - v \cdot D_x S(m_n) - \operatorname{div}_v(S'(m_n) \rho_n \star (mb)) = -S''(m_n) |D_v m_n|^2 - S''(m_n) D_v m_n \rho_n \star (mb) + S'(m_n) r_n, \\ S(m_n)(0) = S(\rho_n \star m_0). \end{cases}$$

For  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we test against  $S(m_n) - S(m_k)$  in the equation satisfied by their difference which yields for all  $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |S(m_n) - S(m_k)|^2(t) dx dv + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v(S(m_n) - S(m_k))|^2 dx dv dt \\ & = - \boxed{\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v(S(m_n) - S(m_k)) (S'(m_n) \rho_n \star (mb) - S'(m_k) \rho_k \star (mb)) dx dv dt} \quad 1 \\ & \quad - \boxed{\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (S(m_n) - S(m_k)) (S''(m_n) |D_v m_n|^2 - S''(m_k) |D_v m_k|^2) dx dv dt} \quad 2 \\ & \quad - \boxed{\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (S(m_n) - S(m_k)) (S''(m_n) D_v m_n \rho_n \star (mb) + S'(m_n) r_n - S''(m_k) D_v m_k \rho_k \star (mb) + S'(m_k) r_k) dx dv dt} \quad 3 \\ & \quad + \boxed{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |S(m_n) - S(m_k)|^2(0) dx dv} \quad 4. \end{aligned}$$

As noted by Porretta in [16] (Remark 3.9) we have that

$$|\rho_n \star (mb)|^2 \leq [\rho_n \star (m|b|^2)] m_n. \quad (47)$$

For the first boxed term note that

$$D_v(S(m_n)) \rightarrow D_v S(m) \text{ strongly in } L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$



while from (47), we obtain

$$|S'(m_n)\rho_n \star (mb)|^2 \leq (S'(m_n))^2 m_n [\rho_n \star (m|b|^2)] \leq C_S [\rho_n \star (m|b|^2)],$$

where  $C_S := \|(S'(x))^2 x\|_\infty$ . Since  $[\rho_n \star (m|b|^2)] \rightarrow m|b|^2$  strongly in  $L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  by Dominated Convergence Theorem we obtain

$$S'(m_n)\rho_n \star (mb) \rightarrow S'(m)mb \text{ strongly in } L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d),$$

therefore the first term can be bounded by a function  $\omega(n, k)$  such that  $\lim_{n,k} \omega(n, k) = 0$  independently of  $t$ . For the second term we note that

$$S''(m_n)|D_v m_n|^2 \rightarrow S''(m)|D_v m|^2 \text{ strongly in } L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d),$$

while  $S(m_n) \rightarrow S(m)$  strongly in  $L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\sup_n \|S(m_n)\|_\infty < \infty$  therefore it can also be bounded like the first term. For the third term note that from (47)

$$|S''(m_n)D_v m_n \rho_n \star (mb)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |S''(m_n)| |D_v m_n|^2 + |S''(m_n) m_n| [\rho_n \star (m|b|^2)]$$

and since the right hand side of the above inequality converges strongly in  $L^1$  by Dominated Convergence we obtain that

$$S''(m_n)D_v m_n \rho_n \star (mb) \rightarrow S''(m)D_v m \cdot mb \text{ strongly in } L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d),$$

while  $S'(m_n)r_n$  converges strongly to 0 in  $L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  just as in step 3, section III of Di-Perna, Lions [14]. Finally the fourth term clearly vanishes as  $n, k \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus taking the sup over  $t$  we obtain

$$\lim_{n,k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |S(m_n) - S(m_k)|^2(t) dx dv = 0.$$

The above show that  $S(m) \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$  for all  $S \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . The above clearly imply that  $T_k(m) \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $T_k$  is the truncation at  $k$ . To conclude note that for all  $R > 0$

$$\|m(t) - m(s)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|m(t) - m(s)\|_{L^1(B_R)} + \|m(t) - m(s)\|_{L^1(B_R^c)}$$

and due to the bounds of Lemma 3.2, we obtain that for some  $C = C(R) > 0$  and  $C_1 = C_1(m_0, b) > 0$

$$\|m(t) - m(s)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C(R) \|T_k(m(t)) - T_k(m(s))\|_2 + 2 \sup_{\theta \in [0, T]} \|m(\theta) - T_k(m(\theta))\|_1 + \frac{C_1}{R^2}.$$

Furthermore by Proposition 3.0.3,

$$\|m(\theta) - T_k(m(\theta))\|_1 = \int_{m(\theta) > k} |m(\theta)| dx dv \leq \frac{A(\|m_0 \log(m_0)\|_1)}{\log(k)},$$

where  $A > 0$  is the constant provided by Proposition 3.0.3. Putting everything together we obtain

$$\|m(t) - m(s)\|_1 \leq C_R \|T_k(m(t)) - T_k(m(s))\|_2 + \frac{A}{\log(k)} \|m_0 \log(m_0)\|_1 + \frac{C_1}{R^2},$$

thus given an  $\epsilon > 0$ , first we fix an  $R > 0$  such that

$$\frac{C_1}{R^2} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

and a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\frac{A}{\log(k)} \|m_0 \log(m_0)\|_1 < \frac{\epsilon}{3},$$

then we find a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|t - s| < \delta \implies C_R \|T_k(m(t)) - T_k(m(s))\|_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

and so  $m \in C([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ . □

### 3.2 Analysis of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation

In this section we will study the bounds for the HJB equation

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t u - \Delta_v u + v \cdot D_x u + H(D_v u) = f(t, x, v), \\ u(T, x, v) = g(x, v). \end{cases} \quad (48)$$

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $H : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex Lipschitz function such that  $H \geq 0$ ,  $f \in L^1 \cap L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $f \geq 0$ ,  $(|x|^2 + |v|^2)f \in L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $g \in L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $g \geq 0$ ,  $(|x|^2 + |v|^2)g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $D_v u \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $u \geq 0$ . We say that  $(u, H, f, g)$  is a weak solution of (48), if the equation is satisfied in the distributional sense.

Our starting point is the following compactness theorem found in the Appendix of DiPerna, Lions [14].

**Theorem 3.5.** Assume that  $u^n, f^n \in L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $g^n \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfy in the distributional sense

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_n - \Delta_v u_n + v \cdot D_x u_n = f_n, \\ u_n(0) = g^n. \end{cases}$$

If  $g^n, f_n$  are uniformly bounded in  $L^1$  with

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^T \int_{|(x,v)| \geq R} |f^n| dx dv dt = 0 \quad (49)$$

and

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{|(x,v)| \geq R} |g_0^n| dx dv = 0, \quad (50)$$

then the sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is compact in  $L^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $f^n \in L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $g^n \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  be non-negative, uniformly integrable sequences and  $H^n : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  Lipschitz convex Hamiltonians. Assume that  $\{(u^n, H^n, f^n, g^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are weak solution to (48) according to definition 3.2. Then, the sequence  $\{u^n\}$  is compact in  $L^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u^n(t)\|_1 + \|H^n(D_v u^n)\|_1 \right) < \infty,$$

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n \left( \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{B(0, R)^c} |u^n|(t) dx dv + \int_{B(0, R)^c} H^n(D_v u^n) dx dv dt \right) = 0.$$

*Proof.* By the same arguments as in Lemma 3.2, we can justify testing against 1 in the HJB equation to obtain the uniform  $L^1$  estimates on  $u^n, H^n(D_v u^n)$ . To show compactness in  $L^1$  we work as follows. Let  $L := -\partial_t - \Delta_v + v \cdot D_x$  and note that since  $H^n \geq 0, f^n \geq 0, g^n \geq 0$  the functions  $u^n$  are non-negative and satisfy

$$\begin{cases} Lu^n \leq f^n, \\ u^n(T) = g^n. \end{cases}$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $w^n$  be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} Lw^n = f^n, \\ w^n(T) = g^n. \end{cases}$$

Since  $L(w^n - u^n) \geq 0$  and  $w^n(T) = u^n(T)$  we have that

$$0 \leq u^n \leq w^n. \quad (51)$$

Since  $f^n, g^n$  are uniformly integrable, by Theorem 3.5 the set  $\{w^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is compact in  $L^1$  and so in particular uniformly integrable and from (51) we see that  $\{u^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are also uniformly integrable. For  $R > 0$ , let  $\phi_R : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be cutoff functions defined just as in Lemma 3.2. Testing against  $\phi_R$  in

$$\begin{aligned} Lu^n + H(D_v u^n) &= f^n \\ u^n(T) &= g^n \end{aligned}$$

yields for some dimensional constant  $C > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} u^n(t) \phi_R dx dv + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} H^n(D_v u^n) \phi_R dx dv dt \leq \\ &\frac{C}{R} \|u^n\|_1 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f^n \phi_R dx dv dt + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} g^n \phi_R + C \int_{R < |(x,v)| < 2R} u^n dx dv \end{aligned}$$

and since the sequence  $\{u^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly integrable we see that the terms on the right vanish uniformly in  $n$  as  $R \uparrow \infty$ . Finally with the estimate

$$\limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{R < |(x,v)|} H^n(D_v u^n) dx dv dt = 0$$

the compactness for  $u^n$  in  $L^1$  follows immediately by Theorem 3.5 with  $\tilde{f}^n = f^n - H^n(D_v u^n)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $(u, H, f, g)$  be a weak solution of (48), according to Definition 3.2. Then, there exists a constant  $C = C(d, T) > 0$ , such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|_2 + \|uH(D_v u)\|_1 + \|D_v u\|_2 \leq C(\|f\|_\infty \|f\|_1 + \|g\|_1 \|g\|_\infty). \quad (52)$$

*Proof.* Test against  $u$  in (48), which yields

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |u(t)|^2 dx dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v u|^2 dx dv dt \leq \int f u dx dv,$$

where we used that  $H \geq 0$ . Note that  $f \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$ , same for  $g$ , thus  $f, g \in L^2$  with uniform bounds, and the result follows by Grönwall.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7.1.** Let  $\{(u^n, H^n, f^n, g^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , be weak solutions of (48), according to Definition 3.2, such that

$$\|f^n\|_1 + \|g^n\|_1 \leq C \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$u^n \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then, the limit  $u$  belongs to  $L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d; H^1(\mathbb{R}_v^d))$  and

$$D_v u^n \rightarrow D_v u \text{ in } L_{loc}^q([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d),$$

for all  $q < 2$ , up to a subsequence almost everywhere.

*Proof.* The equation for the difference of  $u^n$  reads

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_t(u^n - u^m) - \Delta_v(u^n - u^m) + v \cdot D_x(u^n - u^m) &= f^n - f^m, \\ (u^n - u^m)(T) &= g^n - g^m. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\epsilon > 0$ , we define

$$\phi(s) := \begin{cases} s, & \text{for } s \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon], \\ -\epsilon, & \text{for } s \leq -\epsilon, \\ \epsilon, & \text{for } s \geq \epsilon, \end{cases}$$

and  $\Phi(t) := \int_0^t \phi(s) ds \geq 0$ . We test against  $\phi(u^n - u^m)$  in the equation for the differences, which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(u^n - u^m)(t) dx dv + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi'(u^n - u^m) |D_v(u^n - u^m)|^2 dx dv \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(u^n - u^m)(T) dx dv + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi(u^n - u^m) (f^n - f^m) dx dv dt \\ & \leq C \epsilon \|g^n - g^m\|_1 + \epsilon \|f^n - f^m\|_1 \leq C \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{|u^n - u^m| \leq \epsilon} |D_v(u^n - u^m)|^2 dx dv dt \leq C \epsilon.$$

Thus, fixing a radius  $R > 0$  and a  $q < 2$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,R)} |D_v(u^n - u^m)|^q dx dv & \leq \int_{B(0,R) \cap \{|u^n - u^m| \leq \epsilon\}} |D_v(u^n - u^m)|^q dx dv dt + \int_{B(0,R) \cap \{|u^n - u^m| > \epsilon\}} |D_v(u^n - u^m)|^q dx dv dt \\ & \leq CR^d \epsilon + CR^d |\{|u^n - u^m| > \epsilon\}|^\theta \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\theta = \theta(q) \in (0, 1)$ . Since  $u^n$  converges in  $L^1$ , we have that

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} |\{|u^n - u^m| > \epsilon\}| = 0$$

and so we have

$$D_v u^n \rightarrow D_v u \text{ in } L^q([0, T] \times B(0, R)) \text{ for all } R > 0.$$

□

**Proposition 3.7.2.** Assume that  $\{(u^n, H^n, f^n, g^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are weak solutions to (48) according to Definition 3.2, such that  $\{g^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  is uniformly integrable,  $\{f^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a bounded subset of  $L^\infty$ , and for some  $u, f, u^n \rightarrow u, f^n \rightarrow f, g^n \rightarrow g$ , in  $L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and almost everywhere. Then, up to a subsequence, for each  $\tau \in [0, T]$ , we have that

$$H^n(D_v u^n) \rightarrow H(D_v u) \text{ in } L^1([0, \tau] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$$

and for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$D_v(u^n \wedge k) \rightarrow D_v(u \wedge k) \text{ in } L^2([0, \tau] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

*Proof.* From Proposition 3.7.1 by choosing a subsequence if necessary we can assume that  $H^n(D_v u^n) \rightarrow H(D_v u)$ , furthermore since  $\sup \|f^n\|_\infty + \|g^n\|_\infty < \infty$ , for some  $C > 0$  we have that  $\|u^n\|_\infty \leq C$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Denote by  $L := -\partial_t - \Delta_v + v \cdot \overset{n}{D}_x$  and in the equation

$$L(u^n - u^k) + [H^n(D_v u^n) - H^k(D_v u^k)] = f^n - f^k.$$

Testing against  $(T - t)e^{\lambda(u^n - u^k)}$ , which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} T \frac{1}{\lambda} (e^{\lambda(u^n - u^k)} - 1)(0) dx dv - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\lambda} (e^{\lambda(u^n - u^k)} - 1)(s) dx dv ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (T - s) e^{\lambda(u^n - u^k)} |D_v(u^n - u^k)|^2 dx dv ds \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (T - s) e^{\lambda(u^n - u^k)} (H^n(D_v u^n) - H^k(D_v u^k)) dx dv ds = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} e^{\lambda(u^n - u^k)} (f^n - f^k) dx dv ds. \end{aligned}$$

Next using the strong convergence of  $u^n, f^n$  and that  $u^n$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^\infty$ , we obtain that for some function  $\omega(n, k)$  such that  $\lim_{n, k \rightarrow \infty} \omega(n, k) = 0$

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (T-s) \lambda e^{\lambda(u^n - u^k)} |D_v(u^n - u^k)|^2 dx dv ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (T-s) e^{\lambda(u^n - u^k)} (H^n(D_v u^n) - H^k(D_v u^k)) dx dv ds \leq \omega(n, k)$$

Next let  $n > k$  and note that for  $n > k \implies H^k \leq H^n$ , hence by the convexity of  $H$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (T-s) \lambda e^{\lambda(u^n - u^k)} |D_v(u^n - u^k)|^2 dx dv ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (T-s) e^{\lambda(u^n - u^k)} (H^n(D_v u^n) - H^n(D_v u^k)) dx dv ds \leq \omega(n, k) \\ \implies & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (T-s) \lambda e^{\lambda(u^n - u^k)} |D_v(u^n - u^k)|^2 dx dv ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (T-s) e^{\lambda(u^n - u^k)} H_p^n(D_v u^k) D_v(u^n - u^k) dx dv ds \leq \omega(n, k). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using that  $D_v u^n \rightarrow D_v u$  almost everywhere and weakly in  $L^2$ , while  $u^n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $L^1$  with  $\|u^n\|_\infty \leq C$  and  $|H_p^n(D_v u^k)| \leq |H_p|(D_v u^k)$  thus  $H_p^n(D_v u^k) \rightarrow H_p(D_v u^k)$  strongly in  $L^2$ , yields

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (T-s) \lambda e^{\lambda(u - u^k)} |D_v(u - u^k)|^2 dx dv ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (T-s) e^{\lambda(u - u^k)} H_p(D_v u^k) D_v(u - u^k) dx dv ds \leq \omega(k).$$

From the assumptions on  $H$  (1.6), there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} H_p(D_v u^k) D_v(u - u^k) &= -(H_p(D_v u) - H_p(D_v u^k)) \cdot D_v(u - u^k) + H_p(D_v u) D_v(u - u^k) \\ &\geq -C |D_v(u - u^k)|^2 + H_p(D_v u) D_v(u - u^k), \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (T-s) e^{\lambda(u - u^k)} (\lambda - C) |D_v(u - u^k)|^2 dx dv ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (T-s) e^{\lambda(u - u^k)} H_p(D_v u) D_v(u - u^k) dx dv ds \leq \omega(k)$$

and again by the weak convergence of  $D_v(u - u^k)$  in  $L^2$  and the strong convergence of  $u^k$  to  $u$  in  $L^1$  with uniform bounds we obtain

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (T-s) e^{\lambda(u - u^k)} (\lambda - C) |D_v(u - u^k)|^2 dx dv ds \leq \omega(k).$$

Finally choosing  $\lambda > C$  and since  $\|u - u^k\|_\infty \leq C$  we obtain that for some constant  $c_0 > 0$  depending only on  $H$

$$c_0 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (T-s) |D_v(u - u^k)|^2 dx dv ds \leq \omega(k),$$

and the result follows  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** Assume that  $\{(u^n, H^n, f^n, g^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are weak solutions to (48) according to Definition 3.2, such that  $f^n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1$ ,  $g^n \rightarrow g$ , weakly in  $L^1$ ,  $u^n \rightarrow u$  in  $L^1$  and  $D_v u^n \rightarrow D_v u$  almost everywhere and  $H^n(D_v u^n) \rightarrow H(D_v u)$  in  $L^1_{loc}((0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ , where  $H \in L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, we have that  $u \in C((0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ .

*Proof.* The result follows by the fact that  $Lu \in L^1$ , where  $L := -\partial_t - \Delta_v + v \cdot D_x$ , see for example DiPerna, Lions [14].  $\square$

### 3.3 Existence and Uniqueness for the Quadratic Case

In this subsection, we will establish the existence and uniqueness of renormalized solutions for the MFG system. First some crucial estimates.

**Proposition 3.8.1.** Assume that  $H : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $m_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $G : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy assumptions (1.1),(1.2),(1.4) and (1.3). Let  $(u, m)$  be the weak solution of the MFG system provided by Theorem 1.1. Then, there exists a constant  $C = C(\|m_0\|_1, \|m_0\|_\infty, T)$ , such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} G(x, v, m(T)) dx dv + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} F(x, v, t, m) m dx dv ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m [H_p(D_v u) \cdot D_v u - H(D_v u)] dx dv \leq C. \quad (53)$$

Furthermore, we have the following  $L^1$  estimates

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|_1 + \|F(\cdot, m)\|_1 + \|F(\cdot, m)m\|_1 + \|G(\cdot, m(T))\|_1 + \|G(\cdot, m(T))m(T)\|_1 + \|H(D_v u)\|_1 + \|m|H_p(D_v u)|^2\|_1 \leq C.$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $u, m$  are in  $L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Integrating the HJB equation, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m(t) dx dv = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m_0 dx dv = 1$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} u(t) dx dv + \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} H(D_v u) dx dv dt = \int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} F(t, x, v, m(t)) dx dv dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} G(m(T)) dx dv,$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Since  $u \geq 0, H \geq 0$ , we obtain

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|_1 + \|H(D_v u)\|_1 \leq \|F(t, x, v, m)\|_1 + \|G(x, v, m(T))\|_1. \quad (54)$$

Testing against  $u$  in the FP equation yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} G(x, v, m(T)) m(T) dx dv + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} F(t, x, v, m) m dx dv ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m [H_p(D_v u) \cdot D_v u - H(D_v u)] dx dv \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m_0 u(0) dx dv \leq \|m_0\|_\infty \|u(0)\|_1 \leq \|m_0\|_\infty \left( \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} F(t, x, v, m) dx dv dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} G(x, v, m(T)) dx dv \right), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used (54). Using assumptions (7),(8) for some  $L > 2\|m_0\|_\infty$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} G(x, v, m(T)) dx dv + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} F(x, v, t, m) m^\epsilon dx dv ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m [H_p(D_v u) \cdot D_v u - H(D_v u)] dx dv \\ & \leq C(L) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} F(t, x, v, m) m dx dv dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} G(x, v, m(T)) m(T) dx dv, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} G(x, v, m(T)) m(T) dx dv + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} F(x, v, t, m) m dx dv ds + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} m [H_p(D_v u) \cdot D_v u - H(D_v u)] dx dv \leq C,$$

where  $C = C(\|m_0\|_\infty)$ . The rest of the bounds follow easily.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** Assume that  $H : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $m_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $G : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy assumptions (1.6),(1.7),(1.4) and (1.8). Then, there exists a unique renormalized solution  $(m, u)$  of system (1), according to Definition 1.4.

*Proof.* The proof is divided in two steps. First we show the result for  $F, G$  bounded in their respective  $L^\infty$ -spaces and let the Hamiltonians  $H^\epsilon$  vary. While in the second case we show the result for a fixed quadratic Hamiltonian  $H$  while letting  $F^n, G^n$  vary. The reason for this approach is so that we can always have bounds on  $D_v u^n$  in  $L^2$ . Indeed in the first case the bounds follow by Theorem 3.7 and are due to the  $\Delta_v$  term while in the second case the bounds are a result of Theorem 3.6 and are due to  $\|H(D_v u^n)\|_1 \leq C$ .

**First Case:** For  $H^\epsilon$ , as defined in (35), we consider the solutions  $(m^\epsilon, u^\epsilon, m_0)$  provided by Theorem 1.1. From Proposition 3.8.1 above, we have that for some  $C > 0$  independent of  $\epsilon$

$$\|m^\epsilon |H_p^\epsilon(D_v u^\epsilon)|^2\|_1 \leq C, \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0, \quad (55)$$

furthermore, by Theorem 3.7 and our assumptions on  $H^\epsilon$  we have that

$$\|H_p^\epsilon(D_v u^\epsilon)\|_2 \leq C, \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

Therefore:

- From Theorem 3.3, we may extract a subsequence  $m^n$ , which is convergent in  $L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and almost everywhere to some  $m$ .
- From Remark 4, we have that the sequence  $\{F(t, x, v, m^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is uniformly integrable, indeed in the case  $f_L := \sup_{m \in [0, L]} F(t, x, v, m) \in L^1$  the claim holds just as in Porretta [16], while in the case  $f_L := \sup_{m \in [0, L]} F(t, x, v, m)/m \in L^\infty$  since

$$0 \leq F(t, x, v, m^n) \leq f_L(t, x, v) m^n + \frac{m^n}{L} F(t, x, v, m^n)$$

the result follows due to uniform bound on  $\|F(t, x, v, m^n) m^n\|_1$  from Proposition 3.8.1 and the convergence of  $m^n$  in  $L^1$ . Since  $m^n \rightarrow m$  almost everywhere, we obtain

$$F(\cdot, m^n(\cdot)) \rightarrow F(\cdot, m(\cdot)) \text{ strongly in } L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

- By choosing a further subsequence if necessary, Theorem 3.6, Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.7.1, yield a  $u \in C([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d; H^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ , such that

$$u^n \rightarrow u \text{ almost everywhere and strongly in } L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$$

and

$$D_v u^n \rightarrow D_v u \text{ almost everywhere and in } L^1_{loc}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Furthermore, again by taking subsequences if needed, by Proposition 3.7.2 we have that for each  $\tau \in [0, T]$ ,

$$H^{\epsilon_n}(D_v u^n) \rightarrow H(D_v u) \text{ in } L^1([0, \tau] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$$

and for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$D_v(u^n \wedge k) \rightarrow D_v(u \wedge k) \text{ in } L^2([0, \tau] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

- By inequality (55) and the fact that  $H_p^{\epsilon_n}(D_v u^n) \rightarrow H_p^{\epsilon_n}(D_v u)$  almost everywhere, Proposition 3.3.1 implies that

$$m^n \rightarrow m \text{ in } C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)),$$

and by Theorem 3.4,  $m$  is a renormalized solution of

$$\partial_t m - \Delta_v m - v \cdot D_x m - \operatorname{div}_v(m H_p(D_v u)) = 0 \text{ in } (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, m(0) = m_0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (56)$$

It remains to show the convergence of the terminal data in the HJB equation. This follows exactly as in Porretta [16]. Thus, we have that

$$m^n(T) \rightarrow m(T) \text{ in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$$

which from Remark 4 implies that

$$G(\cdot, m^n(T, \cdot)) \rightarrow G(\cdot, m(T, \cdot)) \text{ in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Thus, the limit  $u$  is also a renormalized solution.

**Second Case:** Next, given  $F, G$  that satisfy assumptions (1.7) and (1.8) respectively, consider  $F^n := F \wedge n, G^n := G \wedge n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The functions  $F^n, G^n$  clearly also satisfy conditions (1.7) and (1.8) respectively. Let  $(u^n, m^n)$  be the solutions provided for the data  $(H, F^n, G^n)$  by the first case. The rest of the proof follows exactly the first case only now we use Theorem 4.2 to obtain the convergence of  $D_v T_k(u^n)$ . The proof about uniqueness follows the same exact arguments as in Porretta [16]  $\square$

## 4 Appendix

### 4.1 Technical Results

In this sub-section we show some important properties about the convergence of  $u^n$  where  $u^n$  solves

$$\begin{cases} Lu^n + H(D_v u^n) = f^n \text{ in } (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u^n(0) = g^n \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases} \quad (57)$$

for  $L := \partial_t - \Delta_v + v \cdot D_x$  and  $f^n, g^n$  strongly convergent sequences in their respective  $L^1$ -spaces. We show an analogue of the convergence results of Porretta in [21] from which all our techniques are motivated and parallel to. In particular we show that if  $u^n$  solves (57) and are strongly convergent in  $L^1$  to some function  $u$ , then  $D_v T_k(u^n) \rightarrow D_v T_k(u)$  strongly in  $L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  ( $T_k$  is the truncation at  $k$ ). To motivate the technical results used in this paper, we outline in a less general scenario, the method used for the non-degenerate case in Porretta [21]. Let  $u^n$  be a sequence that solves the equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u^n - \Delta u^n + H(D_v u^n) = f^n \text{ in } (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u^n(0) = g^n \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases}$$

Their method requires the introduction of auxiliary functions  $(u)_\nu$  for  $\nu > 0$ , where  $(u)_\nu$  solved

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (u)_\nu &= \nu(T_k(u) - (u)_\nu), \\ (u)_\nu(0) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for some fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This transformation has the property that  $\|(u)_\nu\|_\infty \leq k$ ,  $(u)_\nu \rightarrow T_k(u)$  strongly in  $L^2((0, T); H^1)$  and allowed the authors to treat the degenerate  $\partial_t$  operator. In our setup the above transformation does not seem to work due to the extra degenerate operator  $v \cdot D_x$ . In order to deal with this, we consider a slightly different transformation. Fix  $\alpha > 0$  and consider the solution of

$$L\Phi_\alpha = \alpha(T_k(u) - \Phi_\alpha).$$

We will show that under the condition  $u \in L^1$  the transformation  $\Phi_\alpha$  converges to  $T_k(u)$  in  $L^1$ , however, we cannot show in general, even if  $D_v u \in L^2$ , that  $D_v \Phi_\alpha \rightarrow D_v T_k(u)$  strongly in  $L^2$ , with no assumptions on  $D_x u$ . However the fact that  $Lu^n + H(D_v u^n) = f^n$  and  $u^n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $L^1$ , is enough to show the strong convergence of  $D_v \Phi_\alpha$ . With this, we can follow the rest of the argument of Porretta [21].

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $u \in L^1 \cap L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \cap C([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . Then, there exists a unique function  $\Phi_\alpha \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $D_v \Phi_\alpha \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  which solves

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \Phi_\alpha - \Delta_v \Phi_\alpha + v \cdot D_x \Phi_\alpha = \alpha(u - \Phi_\alpha) \text{ in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ \Phi_\alpha(0, x, v) = u(0, x, v) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \quad (58)$$

Furthermore, the functions  $\Phi_\alpha$  have the following properties

1.  $u \geq 0 \implies \Phi_\alpha \geq 0$  almost everywhere,



$$2. \|\Phi_\alpha\|_\infty \leq \|u\|_\infty,$$

$$3. \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \|\Phi_\alpha - u\|_2 = 0$$

$$4. \|\Phi_\alpha\|_1 \leq \|u\|_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\|u_0\|_1$$

*Proof.* First we assume that  $u \in C^\infty([0, T]; C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d))$ . Let  $\Gamma$  denote the fundamental solution of  $L = \partial_t - \Delta_v + v \cdot D_x$ . Then, it is easy to check that the solution of equation (58) is given by

$$\Phi_\alpha(t, x, v) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \alpha e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \Gamma(t-s, x, v, y, w) u(s, y, w) dy dw ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \alpha e^{-\alpha t} \Gamma(t, x, v, y, w) u(0, y, w) dy dw,$$

see for example [20]. Furthermore, the solution  $\Phi_\alpha$  is also  $C^\infty$  since  $L$  is hypoelliptic. Let  $f := L(u)$  and notice that  $f \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . In the equation

$$L(u - \Phi_\alpha) = -\alpha(u - \Phi_\alpha) + f,$$

$$(u - \Phi_\alpha)(0) = 0,$$

we test against  $(u - \Phi_\alpha)$ , which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |u - \Phi_\alpha|^2 dx dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v(u - \Phi_\alpha)|^2 dx dv &= -\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |u - \Phi_\alpha|^2 dx dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(u - \Phi_\alpha) dx dv \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |f|^2 dx dv. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t) - \Phi_\alpha(t)\|_2 + \|D_v(u - \Phi_\alpha)\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{\alpha}$$

where  $C = C(T) > 0$ . Furthermore, by testing against  $p|u - \Phi_\alpha|^{p-2}(u - \Phi_\alpha)$  for  $p > 1$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int |u - \Phi_\alpha|^p dx dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v(u - \Phi_\alpha)^2 p(p-1) dx dv &\leq -\alpha p \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |u - \Phi_\alpha|^p + p \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |f| |u - \Phi_\alpha|^{p-1} dx dv \\ &\leq \frac{p}{4\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |f|^p dx dv, \end{aligned}$$

where  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Letting  $p \rightarrow 1$  yields

$$\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \|u - \Phi_\alpha\|_1 \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \|f\|_1,$$

where  $C = C(T > 0)$ . The first two claims now follow easily by the Maximum Principle. For the general case we notice that the map  $(u, u_0) \rightarrow \Phi_\alpha$  is linear. Furthermore, by testing against  $p|\Phi_\alpha|^{p-2}\Phi_\alpha$  in (58) for  $p > 1$  and letting  $p \rightarrow 1$  just as above we obtain

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |u_0| dx dv \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |u| dx dv dt - \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\Phi_\alpha| dx dv dt.$$

Hence,

$$\|\Phi_\alpha\|_1 \leq \|u\|_1 + \frac{2}{\alpha}\|u_0\|_1,$$

and so by linearity and the fact that  $|u| \leq k \implies |\Phi_\alpha| \leq k$  the result holds in the general case.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $H : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Hamiltonian satisfying assumption (1.6). Assume that  $\{f^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^1 \cap L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\{g^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^1 \cap L^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $f^n \rightarrow f$  and  $g^n \rightarrow g$  strongly in the respective  $L^1$  spaces (the limits need not be in  $L^\infty$ ). Let  $u^n \in L^1 \cap L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $D_v u^n \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  solve

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u^n - \Delta_v u^n + v \cdot D_x u^n + H(D_v u^n) = f^n, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u^n(0, x, v) = g^n(x, v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d. \end{cases} \quad (59)$$

Finally, assume that  $u^n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $L^1$  and that  $D_v u^n \rightarrow D_v u$  almost everywhere. Then, the limit  $u$  is a renormalized solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta_v u + v \cdot D_x u + H(D_v u) = f(t, x, v) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0, x, v) = g(x, v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases}$$

according to Definition 1.3.

*Proof.* Following Porretta [21], we see that the result will hold once we show that for some increasing sequence  $0 \leq m_k \in \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m_k \uparrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $D_v(T_{m_k}(u^n)) \rightarrow D_v(T_{m_k}(u))$  strongly in  $L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , where

$$T_k(s) := \begin{cases} s, & \text{if } |s| \leq k, \\ k, & \text{if } s > k, \\ -k, & \text{if } s < -k. \end{cases} \quad (60)$$

In order to keep the notation lighter we will assume WLOG that  $|\{u = k\}| = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and thus choose the sequence  $m_k = k$ . The reason for this choice is that we need later in the proof to claim that  $\chi_{u^n > m_k} \rightarrow \chi_{u > k}$  almost everywhere, which holds only under the assumption  $|\{u = k\}| = 0$ . This is WLOG since for almost all  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  we have that  $|\{u = \beta\}| = 0$ . In the rest of the proof we will use the notation  $\omega(n)$  and  $\omega(n, \alpha)$ , for quantities that satisfy  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(n) = 0$  and  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(n, \alpha) = 0$  respectively, furthermore these quantities are subject to change from line to line. Just as in Porretta [21] and the references therein, for  $\lambda > 0$  we define  $\phi_\lambda(s) := s \exp(\lambda s^2)$ . For  $\alpha > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the solution  $\Phi_{\alpha, k}$  of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \Phi_{\alpha, k} - \Delta_v \Phi_{\alpha, k} + v \cdot D_x \Phi_{\alpha, k} = \alpha(T_k(u) - \Phi_{\alpha, k}), \\ \Phi_{\alpha, k}(0) = T_k(g). \end{cases} \quad (61)$$

Denote by  $L := \partial_t - \Delta_v + v \cdot D_x$  and test equation (59) against  $\phi_\lambda(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha, k})^-$  which yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \boxed{\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \langle L(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha, k}), \phi_\lambda(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha, k})^- \rangle dx dv dt} \quad 1 + \boxed{\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \langle L\Phi_{\alpha, k}, \phi_\lambda(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha, k})^- \rangle dx dv dt} \quad 2 \\ & + \boxed{\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} H(D_v u^n) \phi_\lambda(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha, k})^- dx dv dt} \quad 3 = \boxed{\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f^n \phi_\lambda(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha, k})^- dx dv dt} \quad 4. \end{aligned}$$

The first term gives us

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_\lambda(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha, k})(T) dx dv - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \Phi_\lambda(g^n - T_k(g)) dx dv - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi'_\lambda(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha, k})^- |D_v(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha, k})| dx dv dt \\ & \leq \omega(n) - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \phi'_\lambda(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha, k})^- |D_v(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha, k})| dx dv dt, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used that  $\Phi_\lambda(s) := \int_0^s \phi_\lambda(u) du \leq 0$  and that  $g^n \rightarrow g$  strongly in  $L^1$ . For the second term we obtain

$$\alpha \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (T_k(u) - \Phi_{\alpha, k}) \phi_\lambda(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha, k})^- dx dv dt \leq \alpha \omega(n),$$

since  $u^n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $L^1$ ,  $\phi_\lambda(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^- = \phi_\lambda(T_k(u^n) - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^-$  and  $s\phi_\lambda(s)^- \leq 0$ . For the third term we have that for some constant  $C > 0$ , depending only on  $H$

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} H(D_v u^n) \phi_\lambda(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^- dx dv dt \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v(u^n)|^2 \phi_\lambda(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^- dx dv dt,$$

and using that  $|p|^2 \leq 2|p - q|^2 + 2|q|^2$  the third term is bounded by

$$2C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})|^2 \phi_\lambda(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^- dx dv + 2C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v(\Phi_{\alpha,k})|^2 \phi_\lambda(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^- dx dv dt.$$

Finally, the last term vanishes as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and then  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  due to Lemma 4.1. Putting everything together we obtain

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} [\phi'_\lambda(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^- - \phi_\lambda(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^-] |D_v(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})| dx dv dt \leq \omega(n, \alpha) + 2C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v(\Phi_{\alpha,k})|^2 \phi_\lambda(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^- dx dv dt.$$

By choosing  $\lambda$  large enough dependent only on  $\|H_{pp}\|_\infty$ , we have that  $\phi'_\lambda(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^- - \phi_\lambda(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^- \geq 0$  thus by Fatous Lemma on the LHS and using the strong convergence of  $u^n \rightarrow u$  in  $L^1$  yields as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} [\phi'_\lambda(u - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^- - 2C\phi_\lambda(u - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^-] |D_v(u - \Phi_{\alpha,k})| dx dv dt \leq \omega(\alpha) + 2C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v(\Phi_{\alpha,k})|^2 \phi_\lambda(u - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^- dx dv dt.$$

Next note that

$$\begin{aligned} 2C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v(\Phi_{\alpha,k})|^2 \phi_\lambda(u - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^- dx dv dt &\leq 4C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v(\Phi_{\alpha,k} - u)|^2 \phi_\lambda(u - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^- dx dv dt \\ &+ 4C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v u|^2 \phi_\lambda(u - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^- dx dv dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} [\phi'_\lambda(u - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^- - 6C\phi_\lambda(u - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^-] |D_v(u - \Phi_{\alpha,k})| dx dv dt \leq \omega(\alpha) + 4C \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v u|^2 \phi_\lambda(u - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^- dx dv dt,$$

now we may fix  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\phi'_\lambda(s)^- - 6C\phi_\lambda(s)^- \geq \frac{1}{2}$  and so letting  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  yields

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \|D_v(T_k(u) - \Phi_{\alpha,k})^-\|_2 = 0.$$

We now show the convergence on the set  $T_k(u) \geq \Phi_{\alpha,k}$ . Since  $H \geq 0$  the functions  $u^n$  are subsolutions of

$$\begin{cases} Lu^n \leq f^n, \\ u^n(0, x, v) = g^n(x, v). \end{cases} \quad (62)$$

Define  $w^n = (T_k(u^n) - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+$  and note that

$$w_n = (u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+ - (u^n - T_k(u^n)).$$

Indeed if  $u^n \leq k$  then

$$(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+ - (u^n - T_k(u^n)) = (u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+ = (T_k(u^n) - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+,$$

while if  $u^n > k$  since  $0 \leq \Phi_{\alpha,k} \leq k$

$$(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+ - (u^n - T_k(u^n)) = u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k} - u^n + k = k - \Phi_{\alpha,k} = T_k(u^n) - \Phi_{\alpha,k} = (T_k(u^n) - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+.$$

Thus testing against  $w_n$  in equation (62) yields

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \langle L(u^n), w_n \rangle dx dv dt \leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f^n w_n dx dv dt \implies$$

$$\boxed{\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \langle L(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k}), (T_k(u^n) - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+ \rangle dx dv dt} + \boxed{\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \langle L(\Phi_{\alpha,k}), (T_k(u^n) - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+ \rangle dx dv dt}$$

$$\boxed{- \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \langle L(u^n), u^n - T_k(u^n) \rangle dx dv dt} \leq \boxed{\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f^n w_n dx dv dt}.$$

The first term equals

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \langle L(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k}), (u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+ \rangle dx dv dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+^2 / 2(T) - ((g^n - T_k(g))_+)^2 / 2 dx dv$$

$$+ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k}) D_v(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+ dx dv dt,$$

where note that since  $g^n \in L^1 \cap L^\infty$  the quantities that appear make sense. The second term is bounded by

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \langle L(\Phi_{\alpha,k}), (u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+ \rangle dx dv dt = \alpha \int_0^T (T_k(u) - \Phi_{\alpha,k})(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k}) \geq \omega(n).$$

The third term on the equals

$$- \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \langle L(u^n), u^n - T_k(u^n) \rangle dx dv dt$$

$$= - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (u^n(T) - T_k(u^n)(T))^2 / 2 - (g^n - T_k(g^n))^2 / 2 dx dv - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v u^n D_v(u^n - T_k(u^n)) dx dv dt.$$

Putting everything together

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \langle L(u^n), w_n \rangle dx dv dt \geq \omega(n)$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+^2 / 2(T) - ((g^n - T_k(g))_+)^2 / 2 dx dv - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (u^n(T) - T_k(u^n)(T))^2 / 2 - (g^n - T_k(g^n))^2 / 2 dx dv$$

$$+ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k}) D_v(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+ dx dv dt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v u^n D_v(u^n - T_k(u^n)) dx dv dt.$$

The first line equals

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+^2 / 2(T) - ((g^n - T_k(g))_+)^2 / 2 dx dv - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (u^n(T) - T_k(u^n)(T))^2 / 2 - (g^n - T_k(g^n))^2 / 2 dx dv$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} ((u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+(T) - (u^n(T) - T_k(u^n)(T)))(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+ + (u^n - T_k(u^n))(T) dx dv$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} ((g^n - T_k(g))_+ - (g^n - T_k(g^n)))(g^n - T_k(g))_+ + (g^n - T_k(g^n)) dx dv$$

$$\geq -2 \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (T_k(g^n) - T_k(g))_+ (g^n - T_k(g))_+ dx dv = \omega(n).$$

For the last line

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k}) D_v(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+ dx dv dt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v u^n D_v(u^n - T_k(u^n)) dx dv dt =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k}) D_v((u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+ - (u^n - T_k(u^n))) dx dv dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k}) D_v(u^n - T_k(u^n))_+ dx dv dt \\
& \quad - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v u^n D_v(u^n - T_k(u^n)) dx dv dt \\
& = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k}) D_v(T_k(u^n) - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+ dx dv dt - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v \Phi_{\alpha,k} D_v(u^n - T_k(u^n)) dx dv dt \\
& = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v(u^n - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+|^2 dx dv dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} D_v(u^n - T_k(u^n)) D_v((T_k(u^n) - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+ - \Phi_{\alpha,k}) dx dv dt \\
& = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v(T_k(u^n) - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+|^2 dx dv dt - 2 \int_0^T \int_{u^n > k} D_v(u^n) D_v(\Phi_{\alpha,k}) dx dv dt,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used that  $D_v(u^n - T_k(u^n)) = D_v u^n \chi_{u^n > k}$  and  $0 \leq \Phi_{\alpha,k} \leq k$ . Finally, we clearly have that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f^n w_n dx dv dt \leq \omega(n, \alpha).$$

Hence, putting everything together

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v(T_k(u^n) - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+|^2 dx dv dt \leq 2 \int_0^T \int_{u^n > k} D_v(u^n) D_v(\Phi_{\alpha,k}) dx dv dt + \omega(n, \alpha).$$

Next, we notice that since  $D_v u^n \rightharpoonup D_v u$  weakly in  $L^2$  while  $\chi_{u^n > k} \Phi_{\alpha,k} \rightarrow \chi_{u > k} \Phi_{\alpha,k}$  strongly in  $L^2$  (here is where the discussion in the beginning of the proof is relevant) we may use Fatous Lemma which yields

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v(T_k(u) - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+|^2 dx dv dt \leq 2 \int_0^T \int_{u > k} D_v(u) D_v(\Phi_{\alpha,k}) dx dv dt + \omega(\alpha).$$

Note that

$$\|D_v \Phi_{\alpha,k}\|_2 \leq \|D_v(T_k(u) - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+\|_2 + \|D_v(T_k(u) - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_-\|_2 + \|D_v T_k(u)\|_2 \leq C,$$

for some  $C > 0$  independent of  $\alpha$  (due to  $\omega(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ ). Therefore, we may assume WLOG that  $D_v \Phi_{\alpha,k} \rightarrow D_v T_k(u)$  weakly in  $L^2$ . Thus, taking the limit as  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$  we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v(T_k(u) - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+|^2 dx dv dt & \leq \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \left( 2 \int_0^T \int_{u > k} D_v(u) D_v(\Phi_{\alpha,k}) dx dv dt + \omega(\alpha) \right) \\
& = 2 \int_0^T \int_{u > k} D_v(u) D_v(D_v T_k(u)) dx dv dt = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now that we have  $D_v \Phi_{\alpha,k} \rightarrow D_v T_k(u)$  strongly in  $L^2$  we can go back to show that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |D_v(T_k(u) - \Phi_{\alpha,k})_+|^2 dx dv dt \leq \omega(n, \alpha),$$

and the result follows. □

Next we recall the following lemma, whose proof can be found for example in DiPerna, Lions [14]

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $u, f \in L^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . If

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta_v u + v \cdot D_x u = f \text{ in } (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ u(0, x, v) = g(x, v) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases}$$

then  $u \in C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ .

## 4.2 Prerequisites

We rely on the following three results from Bouchut [1].

**Theorem 4.4.** (Theorem 1.5,[1]) Let  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $D_v f \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , such that

$$\partial_t f - v \cdot D_x f - \Delta_v f = g, \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then, there exists a dimensional constant  $C > 0$ , such that

$$\|\partial_t f - v \cdot D_x f\|_2 + \|\Delta_v f\|_2 \leq C\|g\|_2.$$

**Theorem 4.5.** (Theorem 1.3, [1]) Assume that  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , where  $D_v f \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , such that

$$\partial_t f - v \cdot D_x f = \operatorname{div}_v(g) + g_0,$$

where  $(1 + |v|^2)^{1/2}g \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $(1 + |v|)g_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , such that

$$\|D_x^{1/3} f\|_2 + \|D_t^{1/3} f\|_2 \leq C(\|f\|_2 + \|D_v f\|_2 + \|(1 + |v|^2)^{1/2}g\|_2 + \|(1 + |v|)g_0\|_2).$$

**Theorem 4.6.** (Theorem 2.1, [1]) Assume that  $f, g, g_0, \in L^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $D_v f \in L^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , for some  $p \in (1, \infty)$  such that

$$\partial_t f - v \cdot D_x f = \operatorname{div}_v(g) + g_0.$$

Then, there exists a  $C > 0$ , such that

$$\|D_x^{1/3} f\|_p \leq C_p(\|f\|_p + \|D_v f\|_p^\alpha \|g\|_p^{1-\alpha} + \|D_v f\|_p^{\alpha'} \|g_0\|_p^{1-\alpha'}),$$

where  $\alpha, \alpha' \in (0, 1)$  and depend only on the dimension  $d$ .

**Remark 6.** We should make a comment on the use of the Theorems to clarify some technical points. The requirements we have on the solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation are relatively minimal, thus we will only be using Theorem 4.6. In the study of the Fokker-Planck equation we will use Theorem 4.5, since we need to establish time continuity of the  $L^2$ -norm. In the proof of the upper bounds we need only gradient estimates in the space variables, thus we use Theorem 4.6.

## 4.3 Finite time interval versions.

In this subsection we simply give the arguments necessary to establish that the Theorems in the previous subsection hold in the domain  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Theorem 4.7.** (Theorem 1.5,[1]) Let  $f, g \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $D_v f \in L^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $f_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , such that

$$\partial_t f - v \cdot D_x f - \Delta_v f = g \text{ in } [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$

$$f(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then, there exists a dimensional constant  $C > 0$ , such that

$$\|\partial_t f - v \cdot D_x f\|_2 + \|\Delta_v f\|_2 \leq \frac{C}{t}(\|g\|_2 + \|f_0\|_2).$$

In a similar manner we show the following two Theorems.

**Theorem 4.8.** (Theorem 1.3, [1]) Assume that  $f, g, g_0 \in L^p([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , with  $D_v f \in L^p([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $(1 + |v|^2)^{1/2} g \in L^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $(1 + |v|)g_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $f_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  for some  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , such that they solve

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f - v \cdot D_x f = \operatorname{div}_v(g) + g_0 \text{ in } (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ f(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases}$$

in the distributional sense. Then, there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , such that

$$\|D_x^{1/3} f\|_p + \|D_t^{1/3} f\|_p \leq C(\|f\|_p + \|D_v f\|_p + \|(1 + |v|^2)^{1/2} g\|_p + \|(1 + |v|)g_0\|_p + \|f_0\|_p).$$

*Proof.* First, we extend  $g$  by zero in  $(T, T + 2]$  (still denoted by  $g$ ), and consider  $\tilde{f} \in L^2([0, T + 2] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , which is the solution of

$$\partial_t \tilde{f} - v \cdot D_x \tilde{f} - \Delta_v \tilde{f} = g \text{ in } [0, T + 2] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$

$$\tilde{f}(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , such that

$$\phi(t) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t \in [0, T], \\ 0 & \text{for } t \in [T + 1, \infty). \end{cases} \quad (63)$$

Then the function  $w(t, x, v) := \phi(t)\tilde{f}(t, x, v)$  solves

$$\partial_t w - \Delta_v w - v \cdot D_x w = g + \phi'(t)w \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, w(0) = m_0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

It is easy to see that  $w \equiv m$  in  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ . Taking the Fourier transform in  $t$  on  $[0, \infty)$  (denote the Fourier variable by  $\omega$ ) and in  $x$  (denote the Fourier variable by  $k$ ), we obtain

$$\hat{w}(i\omega + iv \cdot k) - \Delta_v \hat{w} = \hat{g} + w\phi'(t) + \hat{m}_0.$$

We notice that by a simple Gronwall we have that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T+2]} \|w(t)\|_2 \leq C(\|g\|_2 + \|m_0\|_2)$$

where  $C = C(T) > 0$ . The rest of the proof goes just as in Bouchut [1] to give us the result for  $w$ . Since  $w \equiv m$  on  $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  the claim follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.9.** (Theorem 2.1, [1]) Assume that  $f, g, g_0 \in L^p([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , with  $D_v f \in L^p([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ , and  $f_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  for some  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , such that they solve

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f - v \cdot D_x f = \operatorname{div}_v(g) + g_0 \text{ in } (0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \\ f(0, x, v) = f_0(x, v) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \end{cases}$$

in the distributional sense. Then, there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , such that

$$\|D_x^{1/3} f\|_p \leq C(\|f\|_p + \|D_v f\|_p + \|f_0\|_p),$$

where  $\alpha, \alpha' \in (0, 1)$  and depend only on the dimension  $d$ .

## References

- [1] *F Bouchut, Hypocoelliptic regularity in kinetic equations, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, Volume 81, Issue 11, 2002, Pages 1135-1159, ISSN 0021-7824.*
- [2] *Simon, J. Compact sets in the space  $L^p(O, T; B)$ . Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata 146, 65–96 (1986).*
- [3] *J.-M. Lasry, P.-L. Lions, Jeux à champ moyen. I – Le cas stationnaire, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 343 (2006).*
- [4] *J.-M. Lasry, P.-L. Lions, Jeux à champ moyen. II – Horizon fini et contrôle optimal, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 343 (2006).*
- [5] *Lasry, JM., Lions, PL. Mean field games. Jpn. J. Math. 2, 229–260 (2007).*
- [6] *Dragoni, F., Feleqi, E. Ergodic mean field games with Hörmander diffusions. Calc. Var. 57, 116 (2018).*
- [7] *E. Feleqi, D. Gomes, T. Tada, Hypocoelliptic Mean Field Games - a case study, preprint*
- [8] *Cardaliaguet, P., Graber, P.J., Porretta, A. et al. Second order mean field games with degenerate diffusion and local coupling. Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. 22, 1287–1317 (2015).*
- [9] *C. Mouhot, De Giorgi-Nash-Moser and Hörmander theories: new interplay, arXiv: Analysis of PDEs, 2018*
- [10] *Rene Carmona, Francois Delarue, Probabilistic Theory of Mean Field Games with Applications I, Springer International Publishing, 2018*
- [11] *Huang, Minyi; Malhamé, Roland P.; Caines, Peter E. Large population stochastic dynamic games: closed-loop McKean-Vlasov systems and the Nash certainty equivalence principle. Commun. Inf. Syst. 6 (2006), no. 3, 221–252.*
- [12] *Martin Burger, Alexander Lorz, Marie-Therese Wolfram. Balanced growth path solutions of a Boltzmann mean field game model for knowledge growth. Kinetic & Related Models, 2017, 10 (1) : 117-140.*
- [13] *Fabio Camilli, A quadratic Mean Field Games model for the Langevin equation, arXiv:2007.10620*
- [14] *On the Fokker-Planck-Boltzmann Equation, P.L. Lions-Di Perna, Comm. Math. Phys. Volume 120, Number 1 (1988), 1-23.*
- [15] *Lucio Boccardo, Andrea Dall’Aglio, Thierry Gallouët, Luigi Orsina, Nonlinear Parabolic Equations with Measure Data, Journal of Functional Analysis, Volume 147, Issue 1, 1997, Pages 237-258, ISSN 0022-1236.*
- [16] *Porretta, A. Weak Solutions to Fokker–Planck Equations and Mean Field Games. Arch Rational Mech Anal 216, 1–62 (2015).*
- [17] *Pierre Degond, Global existence of smooth solutions for the Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation in 1 and 2 space dimensions, Annales scientifiques de l’É.N.S. 4e série, tome 19, no4 (1986), p. 519-542*
- [18] *F Golse, Cyril Imbert, Clément Mouhot, A Vasseur. Harnack inequality for kinetic Fokker-Planck equations with rough coefficients and application to the Landau equation. Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze, Scuola Normale Superiore 2019, XIX (issue 1), pp. 253-295*
- [19] *Folland, G. B. Subelliptic estimates and function spaces on nilpotent Lie groups. Ark. Mat. 13 (1975), no. 1-2, 161–207.*
- [20] *Lunardi, Alessandra. Schauder estimates for a class of degenerate elliptic and parabolic operators with unbounded coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Classe di Scienze, Série 4, Tome 24 (1997) no. 1, pp. 133-164.*



- [21] Porretta, A. *Existence results for nonlinear parabolic equations via strong convergence of truncations. Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata* 177, 143–172 (1999).