Benchmarking variational quantum simulation against an exact solution

Andrey Kardashin,1 Anastasiia Pervishko,1 Jacob Biamonte,1 and Dmitry Yudin1

1Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology, Moscow 121205, Russia

Implementing variational quantum algorithms with noisy intermediate-scale quantum machines of up to a hundred of qubits is nowadays considered as one of the most promising routes towards achieving quantum practical advantage. In multiqubit circuits, running advanced quantum algorithms is hampered by the noise inherent to quantum gates which distances us from the idea of universal quantum computing. Basing on a one-dimensional quantum spin chain with competing symmetric and asymmetric pairwise exchange interactions herein, we discuss capabilities of quantum algorithms with special attention paid to hardware-efficient variational eigensolver. A delicate interplay between magnetic interactions allows one to stabilize a chiral state that destroys homogeneity of magnetic ordering, making thus this solution to be highly entangled. Quantifying entanglement in terms of quantum concurrence, we argue that, while being capable of correctly reproducing a uniform magnetic configuration, variational ansatz is not able to provide a detailed description to a chiral magnetic background. The latter naturally limits application range of variational quantum computing to solve quantum simulation tasks.

Introduction.— Practical implementations of a universal quantum computer is hardly to be successful in the short run, but combining different aspects of algorithm development with quantum engineering is regarded as a feasible tool to accelerate computations \( \boxed{1[57]} \). Entanglement, a microscopic phenomenon that endows a quantum computer with the advantage to execute multiple computation tasks in parallel, is also used as a measure to quantify quantum correlation present in a system. In this respect, studying entanglement in quantum spin chains provides us with a unique tool to test contemporary quantum algorithms in terms of the relationship between families of variational quantum circuit ansätze and the families of objective functions these circuits can minimize. Two most studied quantum spin models are the transverse field Ising model \([38–42]\) and anisotropic Heisenberg chain \([43]\). In the meantime, recently it was demonstrated that account of Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction (DMI) drastically modifies the behavior of entanglement in a one-dimensional quantum spin chain \([44–48]\). Indeed, DMI, derived first by Dzyaloshinskii on purely phenomenological grounds \([49]\), serves as a source of magnetic frustration resulting in neighboring magnetic moments being arranged in a spiral, making thus the ground state more entangled as opposed to collinear ordering. In the following, it was pointed out by Moriya that DMI might be derived in a perturbative manner from the Anderson’s superexchange theory provided spin-orbit coupling is included \([50]\).

One of the most promising class of algorithms for noisy intermediate-scale quantum (NISQ) devices of up to a hundred of qubits is the hybrid quantum-classical algorithms \([51–53]\) that enjoy a classical outer loop optimiser, where a measured objective function is minimized iteratively, in terms of structure and depth of the ansatz state as well as penalty function. This approach is based on distributing the computational routines between a classical and a quantum computer, taking into account that some of these routines can be executed on one kind of device more efficiently than on the other. A typical example is the hardware-efficient variational quantum eigensolver (VQE) \([51]\). Given an \( n \)-qubit Hamiltonian \( H \), this algorithm allows to find its lowest-lying eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenvector. In VQE, one uses a quantum computer for preparing a probe state \( \ket{\psi(\theta)} \), which is parametrized by a set of \( p \) angles \( \theta \in [0,2\pi)^p \), and measure the expectation value of the given Hamiltonian in this state, \( \langle \psi(\theta) | H | \psi(\theta) \rangle \). A classical computer, in its turn, is used to update the parameters \( \theta \) by means of some optimization method in order to minimize the expectation value. The variational state is usually prepared by acting with a parametrized unitary operator \( U(\theta) \) on the initial state \( \ket{0}^\otimes n \) or any other easy-to-prepare state, so that \( \ket{\psi(\theta)} = U(\theta) \ket{0}^\otimes n \). The unitary \( U(\theta) \) is essentially the quantum circuit specified by a chosen ansatz; in practice, unitary coupled cluster \([53,55]\), tensor networks state \([16,56]\), and hardware-efficient ansatz \([57]\) are among the most popular option.

With a special attention paid to a spiral spin arrangement, here we employ the hardware-efficient VQE to analyze ground state properties of the ferromagnetic XXZ Heisenberg model with DMI in a transverse uniform magnetic field. Practically, we demonstrate that VQE underperforms when approximating a noncollinear ground state magnetic configuration. We analyze this phenomenon in terms of entanglement of a VQE solution. Entanglement properties of the VQE solution are addressed via calculating coherence estimator in the form of quantum concurrence, that is purely determined by the two-qubit reduced density matrix \([58,60]\). The last but not least, using the VQE solution we show how spin configuration evolves with increasing the number of layers in the ansatz state. Interesting observation, a one-layer VQE solution reproduces the spin configuration that agrees well with an exact analytical solution as obtained in continuum limit.
The Hamiltonian of a one-dimensional chain of \( N \) interacting quantum spins \( \hat{S}_j \) labeled by their position \( j \) along the \( z \) axis,

\[
\hat{H} = -J \sum_{(i,j)} \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j - \sum_{(i,j)} D_{ij} \cdot (\hat{S}_i \times \hat{S}_j) + \sum_{j=1}^N B \cdot \hat{S}_j, \tag{1}
\]

where the first term describes Heisenberg-type exchange interaction which for \( J > 0 \) favors ferromagnetic ordering. Present in magnetic structures with the lack of inversion symmetry DMI, specified by the second term, is known to destroy homogeneity of collinear magnetic ordering by promoting spin canting between neighboring sites. Dzyaloshinskii vector \( \hat{D}_{ij} \) determines the strength of DMI. The competition between Heisenberg exchange and DMI results in a non-collinear ground state configuration being stabilized in the presence of an external uniform magnetic field \( \hat{B} \), the last contribution to (1) \[61–63\]. Note that summation over nearest neighbors \((i,j)\) is implied and \( \hat{B} \) is expressed in energy units. The Hamiltonian as given by \[64\] provides a reliable model description to a wide class of chiral magnets, and \( \text{Cr}_1/3\text{NbS}_2 \) is a practical example \[64–66\]. Hexagonal structure of this compound is composed from \( \text{NbS}_2 \) layers intercalated by \( \text{Cr} \) ions, thus that exchange interaction and DMI emerge between \( \text{Cr} \) ions, belonging to two intercalating layers and separated by \( \text{NbS}_2 \).

For spin one-half particles, \( \hat{S} = \hat{\sigma}/2 \) with \( \hat{\sigma} = (\hat{\sigma}_x, \hat{\sigma}_y, \hat{\sigma}_z) \) specifying the Pauli vector. A two-component spinor \( |S\rangle = (e^{-i\varphi'/2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2}, e^{i\varphi'/2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2})^T \), parametrized by polar \( \theta \) and azimuthal angle \( \varphi \), represents a quantum spin state for \( \text{SU}(2) \), so that \( \langle S|S\rangle = n_i/2 \), where a unit vector \( n_i = (\cos \varphi \sin \theta_i, \sin \varphi \sin \theta_i, \cos \theta_i) \). In the following, we set Dzyaloshinskii vector \( \hat{D}_{ij} = D \hat{e}_z \) to be aligned along \( z \) axis with the parameter \( D \) determining the strength of DMI, while the magnetic field \( \hat{B} = B \hat{e}_z \). Thus, in the basis \( |S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N\rangle \) the classical Hamiltonian \[61\] can be mapped to a classical Heisenberg-type model of interacting spins,

\[
H = -\frac{J}{4} \sum_{(i,j)} n_i \cdot n_j - \frac{D}{4} \sum_{(i,j)} (n_i \times n_j)_z - \frac{B}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N n_j^z, \tag{2}
\]

We further proceed with the continuous description of the model \[2\] in terms of magnetization specified by a unit vector field \( \mathbf{n}(z) = (\cos \varphi(z) \sin \theta(z), \sin \varphi(z) \sin \theta(z), \cos \theta(z)) \). Note that the distance between a pair of neighboring spins \( a \) determines the smallest length scale in the system, validating thus \( \mathbf{n}(z + a) \approx \mathbf{n}(z) + a \mathbf{n}'(z) + a^2 \mathbf{n}''(z)/2 \). Replacing in (2) summation by integration \( \sum_j \rightarrow \frac{1}{a} \int_0^L \, dz \) with \( L \) standing for the length of a spin chain, we derive in the lowest order in \( a \):

\[
H = \frac{aJ}{8} \int_0^L \, dz [\varphi'^2 + \varphi'^2 \sin^2 \theta - k_0 \varphi' \sin^2 \theta + 2m^2 \cos \varphi \sin \theta], \tag{3}
\]

where \( k_0 = D/(aJ) \) is the pitch vector and \( m^2 = 2B/(a^2 J) \). The lowest-energy state of the Hamiltonian \[3\] corresponds thus to \( \varphi = \pi/2 \) on condition that \( \varphi \) obeys the static sine-Gordon equation \[61–63\],

\[
\varphi'' + m^2 \sin \varphi = 0, \tag{4}
\]

which admits a solution in the form of a chiral soliton lattice for certain values of \( D \) and \( B \). From the physics point of view, the uniform magnetic field has the tendency to untwist helical alignment of magnetic moments, that stems from a delicate interplay between exchange interaction and DMI, towards a uniform ferromagnetic ordering via the formation of the chiral soliton lattice. Direct integration of \[4\] leads to

\[
\varphi = 2am(mz/k, \kappa), \tag{5}
\]

where \( \kappa \) is the elliptic modulus and \( \text{am}(u, \kappa) \) is the Jacobi amplitude that is determined by \( snu = \sin \text{am}(u, \kappa) \) with...
In our numerical simulations, we address the expressive power of the solution as obtained with VQE depending on the number of layers in the hardware-efficient ansatz. As explained earlier, we study the Hamiltonian (1) for \( N = 10 \) qubits; this number was shown to capture one period of a chiral soliton lattice as long as \( D/J = 0.63, B/J = 3.36 \times 10^{-3} \). The numerical results are shown in Fig. 2. Quantum circuits simulation was performed with the Qiskit package [67], while energy minimization within the VQE loop was implemented based on BFGS algorithm [68]. Note that for each optimization cycle the maximum number of function evaluations was restricted to 50,000. To quantify the precision of the VQE solution we adopt a simple criterion discussed in [69, 70]. Assume \( E_0 \) and \( E_1 \) are the ground state and the first excited energies as obtained, e.g., by exact diagonalization, whereas \( E^{\text{VQE}} \) is that evaluated in VQE. For the VQE solution to be accepted one has to meet

\[ \frac{E^{\text{VQE}} - E_0}{E_1 - E_0} < 1. \]

In our simulations, \( \delta \approx 0.6841 \) which signals a rather tolerable accuracy.
FIG. 3. Concurrence between the $i$th and $j$th qubit $C_{ij}^{\text{VQE}}$ estimated based on VQE solution (left panel). In the right panel shown is the VQE concurrence relative to the exact one. The exact concurrence $C_{ij}^{\text{exact}}$ is evaluated basing on the lowest-lying eigenstate of the Hamiltonian (1) as obtained by exact diagonalization. Clearly, this ratio significantly varies among different regions. The VQE solution is capable of correctly keeping track of entanglement between nearest and next to nearest neighboring sites, whereas the accuracy of VQE modelling dramatically decreases for the sites beyond next-nearest neighbors.

FIG. 4. A magnetic texture of $N = 10$ spin one-half particles that represents one period of a chiral soliton lattice depending on the number of layers in the hardware-efficient ansatz. Here each arrow corresponds to the magnetic moment localized at a given site. Note that $z$ components of magnetization are negligible which is in agreement with analytical findings, and the spins rotate in $xy$ plane from site to site. Interestingly, the analytical solution as given by Eq. (5) that minimizes the Hamiltonian (1) in continuum limit and is marked $\text{analytic}$, reproduces quite well one-layer VQE solution.
VQE is designed to minimize. To justify the statement in a more rigorous way we evaluate entanglement as given by quantum concurrence and shown in Fig. [3]. Clearly, the VQE solution is capable of correctly reproducing the degree of entanglement between the nearest neighboring sites, meantime it does not hold for spatially separated states beyond nearest and next to nearest neighbors. In contrast, a soliton solution we worked out in this paper is highly entangled and cannot be captured within VQE approach. For illustration, we show how a magnetic texture evolves depending on the number of layers in VQE. A qubit number along the $x$ axis in Fig. [4] selects the corresponding lattice site, so that each arrow represents a localized magnetic moment for a given site. Note that in full agreement with analytical results the magnetic moments are positioned in $xy$ plane with the $z$ components being negligible. In Fig. [4] are shown spin configurations for up to six layers in the hardware-efficient ansatz, while the result which respects the analytical solution in continuum limit [5] is marked analytic. Interestingly, the analytical solution $\theta = \pi/2$ and $\varphi = 2am(z/\kappa, \kappa)$ fits well a one-layer VQE solution. Increasing the number of layers in VQE should in principle lead to the exact solution, which is however cannot be achieved with shallow quantum circuits. This naturally limits the application range of VQE to short-range spin configurations.
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