BOUNDARY LIPSCHITZ REGULARITY OF SOLUTIONS FOR SEMILINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS IN DIVERGENCE FORM

JINGQI LIANG SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY SHANGHAI, CHINA

LIHE WANG

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, IOWA CITY, IA, USA; SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY SHANGHAI, CHINA

CHUNQIN ZHOU SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY SHANGHAI, CHINA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the pointwise boundary Lipschitz regularity of solutions for the semilinear elliptic equations in divergence form mainly under some weaker assumptions on nonhomogeneous term and the boundary. If the domain satisfies $C^{1,\text{Dini}}$ condition at a boundary point, and the nonhomogeneous term satisfies Dini continuous condition and Lipschitz Newtonian potential condition, then the solution is Lipschitz continuous at this point. Furthermore, we generalize this result to Reifenberg $C^{1,\text{Dini}}$ domains.

Keywords: Boundary Lipschitz regularity, Semilinear elliptic equation, Dini condition, Reifenberg domain.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we will investigate the boundary Lipschitz regularity of solutions for the following semilinear elliptic equation in divergence form:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u &= \operatorname{div} \overline{\mathbf{F}}(x, u) & \operatorname{in} \Omega, \\ u &= g & \operatorname{on} \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n .

There is a complete regularity theory for the classical Poisson equation

$$\Delta u = f. \tag{2}$$

For the interior regularity of solutions, as we all know, u is $C^{2,\alpha}$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$ when f is C^{α} , and u is C^2 when f is Dini continuous, see [6,8]. Moreover, if $f \in L^{\infty}$, then any weak solution u satisfies $u \in W^{2,p}_{loc}$ for any $1 \leq p < +\infty$, and consequently $u \in C^{1,\alpha}$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$ but not for $\alpha = 1$. So it is clear that $f \in L^{\infty}$ or even f continuous is not strong enough to assure the $C^{1,1}$ -regularity. Recently research activity has thus focused on identifying conditions on f which ensure $W^{2,\infty}_{loc}$ or $C^{1,1}_{loc}$ regularity of u. In [11], Andersson, Lindgren and Shahgholian showed that the sharp condition to get the $C^{1,1}$ regularity of u is that f * N is $C^{1,1}$ which is slightly weaker than the Dini condition, where N is the Newtonian potential and * denotes the convolution.

For the $C^{1,1}$ regularity of solutions for the semilinear Poisson equation

$$\Delta u = f(x, u) \quad \text{in } B_1,$$

^{*}The third author was supported partially by NSFC of China (No. 11771285)

which are derived from the obstacle problem. Shahgholian in [7] proved u is $C^{1,1}$ if f(x, u) is Lipschitz continuous in x, uniformly in u, and $\partial_u f \ge -C$. Recently Indrei, Minne and Nurbekyan in [1] obtained the same result under weaker assumptions that f(x, u) is Dini continuous in u, uniformly in x, and it has a $C^{1,1}$ Newtonian potential in x, uniformly in u.

With respect to the boundary regularity of solutions, there has been extensive study in the past two decades which is closely related to the regularity of the boundary, such as sphere condition and $C^{1,\text{Dini}}$ condition. It is well-known that the solution of (2) is C^{α} up to the boundary when $\partial\Omega$ is Lipschitz. Trudinger in [13, 14] proved the boundary Lipschitz regularity when the boundary satisfies uniform exterior sphere condition. Li and Wang in [15, 16] studied the following Dirichlet problem,

$$\begin{cases} -a_{ij}(x)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} &= f(x) & \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u &= g & \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$
(3)

They proved that the solution is differentiable at any point on the boundary if the domain is convex. Li and Zhang in [17] got the boundary differentiablity of (3) when g = 0 under the γ -convexity domain condition which is strictly weaker than the convexity condition. On the other hand, the Dini continuity was a current topic for the regularity theory. In 2011, Ma and Wang [3] considered the following equation,

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2u(x), x) = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$
(4)

They showed the pointwise C^1 estimates up to the boundary under the Dini conditions including that $\partial\Omega$ is $C^{1,\text{Dini}}$ (see Definition 1.1) and the boundary value g is $C^{1,\text{Dini}}$. In [4] Huang, Li and Wang proved that the solution of (3) is Lipschitz if the boundary satisfies exterior $C^{1,\text{Dini}}$ condition and the solution is differentiable if the boundary is exterior C^1 Dini and punctually C^1 . Furthermore, in [5] they extended their results to Reifenberg $C^{1,\text{Dini}}$ domain which is more general than the classical $C^{1,\text{Dini}}$ domain.

However, there are few results on the boundary behavior of solutions for semilinear elliptic equations in the divergence form. In this paper, we study the pointwise boundary Lipschitz regularity of solutions for the semilinear elliptic equation in divergence form under some weaker assumptions on $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x, u)$ and the boundary. For convenience we give some notations and definitions of Dini condition.

Notations:

$$|x| := \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2}: \text{ the Euclidean norm of } x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

$$|x'| := \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i^2}: \text{ the Euclidean norm of } x' = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}.$$

$$B_r(x_0) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| < r\}.$$

$$B_r := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < r\}.$$

$$\Omega_r := B_r \cap \Omega.$$

$$T_r := B_r \cap \{x_n = 0\} = \left\{ (x', 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x'| < r \right\}.$$

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}: \text{ the standard inner product of } \vec{a}, \vec{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

$$\{\vec{e}_i\}_{i=1}^n: \text{ the standard basis of } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Definition 1.1. Let $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$. We say that $\partial \Omega$ is $C^{1,\text{Dini}}$ at x_0 , if there exists a unit vector \vec{n} and a positive constant r_0 , a Dini modulus of continuity $\omega(r)$ satisfying $\int_0^{r_0} \frac{\omega(r)}{r} dr < \infty$ such that for any $0 < r \le r_0$,

$$B_r(x_0) \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (x - x_0) \cdot \vec{n} > r\omega(r)\} \subset B_r(x_0) \cap \Omega \subset B_r(x_0) \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (x - x_0) \cdot \vec{n} > -r\omega(r)\}.$$

Remark 1.2. Any modulus of continuity $\omega(t)$ is non-decreasing, subadditive, continuous and satisfies $\omega(0) = 0$ (see [2]). Hence any modulus of continuity $\omega(t)$ satisfies

$$\frac{\omega(r)}{r} \le 2\frac{\omega(h)}{h}, \quad 0 < h < r.$$
(5)

Definition 1.3. (Reifenberg $C^{1,\text{Dini}}$ condition). Let $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$. We say that Ω satisfies the (r_0, ω) -Reifenberg $C^{1,\text{Dini}}$ condition at x_0 if there exists a positive constant r_0 and a Dini modulus of continuity $\omega(r)$ satisfying $\int_0^{r_0} \frac{\omega(r)}{r} dr < \infty$ such that for any $0 < r \leq r_0$, there exists a unit vector $\vec{n}_r \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$B_r(x_0) \cap \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (x - x_0) \cdot \vec{n}_r > r\omega(r) \right\} \subset B_r(x_0) \cap \Omega \subset B_r(x_0) \cap \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (x - x_0) \cdot \vec{n}_r > -r\omega(r) \right\}.$$

The following lemma can be found in [5].

Lemma 1.4. If Ω satisfies (r_0, ω) -Reifenberg $C^{1,Dini}$ condition, then there exists a bounded nonnegative function $S(\theta) \geq 1$ such that $|\vec{n}_r - \vec{n}_{\theta r}| \leq S(\theta)\omega(r)$ for each $0 < \theta < 1$ and $0 < r \leq r_0$. Furthermore, for a fixed positive constant $0 < \lambda < 1$, $\{\vec{n}_{\lambda^i r_0}\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence. We can set $\lim_{i \to \infty} \vec{n}_{\lambda^i r_0} = \vec{n}_*$.

Definition 1.5. Let $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$. The boundary value g is said to be $C^{1,\text{Dini}}$ at x_0 with respect to a function $v_{x_0}(x)$, if there exists a constant vector \vec{a} , a positive constant r_0 and a Dini modulus of continuity $\sigma(r)$ satisfying $\int_0^{r_0} \frac{\sigma(r)}{r} dr < \infty$ such that for any $0 < r \le r_0$ and $x \in \partial \Omega \cap B_r(x_0)$,

$$|g(x) - v_{x_0}(x) - g(x_0) - v_{x_0}(x_0) - \vec{a} \cdot (x - x_0)| \le r\sigma(r).$$

Next we propose the following assumptions on $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x, u)$.

Assumption 1. $\vec{\mathbf{F}}(x,t) \in L^{\infty}(B_d \times \mathbb{R})$ where *d* is large enough. Moreover $\vec{\mathbf{F}}(x,t)$ is Dini continuous in *t* with continuity modulus $\omega_1(r)$, uniformly in *x*, i.e.

$$\left|\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x,t_2) - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x,t_1)\right| \leq \omega_1(|t_2 - t_1|),$$

and $\int_0^{t_0} \frac{\omega_1(t)}{t} dt < \infty$, for some $t_0 > 0$.

Assumption 2. For every boundary point x_0 and each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a function $v_{x_0}(\cdot, t)$ in $B_1(x_0)$ satisfying

$$\Delta v_{x_0}(\cdot, t) = \operatorname{div} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(\cdot, t) \quad \text{in } B_1(x_0)$$

Furthermore, $v_{x_0}(\cdot, t)$ is a Lipschitz function which is uniform in x_0 and t with Lipschitz constant T.

Remark 1.6. (1) We can always assume that $\Omega \subset B_{\frac{d}{2}}$. (2) In the sequel, for $u(x) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, for every boundary point x_0 , we let $v_{x_0}(x)$ solve

$$\Delta v_{x_0}(x) = \operatorname{div} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x, u(x_0)) \quad \text{in } B_1(x_0).$$

Our main results are the following two theorems.

Theorem 1.7. Let $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$. $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x,t)$ satisfies Assumption 1 and 2. If $\partial \Omega$ is $C^{1,Dini}$ at x_0 and g is $C^{1,Dini}$ at x_0 with respect to $v_{x_0}(x)$, then the solution of (1) is Lipschitz continuous at x_0 , i.e.

$$|u(x) - u(x_0)| \le C|x - x_0|, \quad \forall \ x \in B_1 \cap \Omega.$$

where $C = C(n, \Omega, T, \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, \|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)}).$

Theorem 1.8. Let $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$. $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x,t)$ satisfies Assumption 1 and 2. If $\partial \Omega$ satisfies Reifenberg $C^{1,Dini}$ condition at x_0 and g is $C^{1,Dini}$ at x_0 with respect to $v_{x_0}(x)$, then the solution of (1) is Lipschitz continuous at x_0 .

To prove these two theorems, the main method is iterative scheme. In fact we can approximate u by v defined by Assumption 2 and to show this approximation can be improved from B_1 to B_{λ} . The key point of our proof is that the main part of u is a Lipschitz function v and a linear function. We organize the paper as follows. In section 2 we will give some necessary lemmas. Next we prove the Lipschitz regularity under $C^{1,\text{Dini}}$ condition in Section 3. Finally, we extend $C^{1,\text{Dini}}$ condition to Reifenberg $C^{1,\text{Dini}}$ condition and give a proof of Theorem 1.8 in Section 4.

2. Preliminary tools

In this section we will give a general approximation lemma of the following divergence form elliptic equation:

$$\Delta u = \operatorname{div} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{6}$$

_

where Ω is a bounded domain. After proving the approximation lemma, we will give a key lemma which will be used repeatedly in Section 3 and 4. We mainly assume that the boundary lies between two parallel hyperplanes with a very small distance.

Lemma 2.1. $0 \in \partial\Omega$, $B_1 \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > \varepsilon\} \subset B_1 \cap \Omega \subset B_1 \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > -\varepsilon\}$ for some $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}$. For any $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x) \in L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)$, $g \in L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \partial\Omega)$, if u is a weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = div \vec{\mathbf{F}} & in B_1 \cap \Omega, \\ u = g & on B_1 \cap \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(7)

then there exists a universal constant C_0 and a harmonic function h defined in $B_{\frac{1}{4}}$ which is odd with respect to x_n satisfying

$$\|h\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\frac{1}{4}})} \le (1 + 2C_0\varepsilon)\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)}$$

such that

$$\|u - h\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\frac{1}{4}} \cap \Omega)} \le \|g\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1} \cap \partial \Omega)} + 5C_{0}\varepsilon\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1} \cap \Omega)} + C\|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1} \cap \Omega)},$$

where C is a constant depending only on n and Ω .

In order to prove this lemma, we need the following estimate which can be found in many basic books of elliptic partial differential equations, such as Chapter 8 in [6].

Theorem 2.2. Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n . We suppose that $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}} \in L^q(\Omega)$, for some q > n. Then if u is a $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ solution of (6), then we have

$$|u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le ||u||_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)} + C ||\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}||_{L^{q}(\Omega)},$$

where $C = C(n, q, |\Omega|)$.

Now we give the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Proof. Let v solve the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v = 0 & \text{in } B_1 \cap \Omega, \\ v = u & \text{on } \partial B_1 \cap \Omega, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } B_1 \cap \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(8)

Then by the maximum principle, we have

$$|v| \le ||u||_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)} \quad \text{in } B_1 \cap \Omega.$$

Next we denote $||u||_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)}$ by μ . Combining with (7) and (8) we have

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(u-v) = \operatorname{div} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}} & \text{in } B_1 \cap \Omega, \\ u-v = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_1 \cap \Omega, \\ u-v = g & \text{on } B_1 \cap \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

By the previous lemma we obtain

$$\|u - v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\frac{1}{2}}\cap\Omega)} \le \|g\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1}\cap\partial\Omega)} + C\|\overline{\mathbf{F}}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1}\cap\Omega)}.$$
(9)

Let Γ be defined for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ by

$$\Gamma(x) = \Gamma(|x|) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln |x|, & n = 2, \\ \frac{1}{(n-2)\omega_n} |x|^{2-n}, & n \ge 3, \end{cases}$$

where ω_n is the surface area of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n . This function Γ is usually called the fundamental solution of the Laplace operator. By a simple calculation, we have $\Delta\Gamma = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Then for any $y = (y', 0) \in T_{\frac{1}{4}}$, we consider a function

$$l(x) = \frac{\Gamma\left(x - \left(y', -\frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon\right)\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}\mu.$$

Clearly l(x) is harmonic between $B_{\frac{1}{4}}(y', -\frac{1}{4}-\varepsilon)$ and $B_{\frac{3}{4}}(y', -\frac{1}{4}-\varepsilon)$ and

$$\begin{cases} l(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{1}{4}}(y', -\frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon), \\ 0 < l(x) < \mu & \text{between } B_{\frac{1}{4}}(y', -\frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon) \text{ and } B_{\frac{3}{4}}(y', -\frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon), \\ l(x) = \mu & \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{3}{4}}(y', -\frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon). \end{cases}$$
(10)

From (8) and (10) we get v - l satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(v-l) = 0 & \text{in } B_{\frac{3}{4}}(y', -\frac{1}{4}-\varepsilon) \cap \Omega, \\ v-l \leq 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{3}{4}}(y', -\frac{1}{4}-\varepsilon) \cap \Omega, \\ v-l \leq 0 & \text{on } B_{\frac{3}{4}}(y', -\frac{1}{4}-\varepsilon) \cap \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Applying the maximum principle again, it yields that

$$v \le l$$
 in $B_{\frac{3}{4}}(y', -\frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon) \cap \Omega$,

similarly, repeat the above process for v + l, it's easy to see that $v \ge -l$ in $B_{\frac{3}{4}}(y', -\frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon) \cap \Omega$, then

$$|v| \le l$$
 in $B_{\frac{3}{4}}(y', -\frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon) \cap \Omega$.

Furthermore for arbitrary $x_1 \in \partial B_{\frac{1}{4}}(y', -\frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon)$, in the radial direction, we have

$$\frac{l(x) - l(x_1)}{|x - x_1|} \le C_0 \mu \quad \text{between } B_{\frac{1}{4}}(y', -\frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon) \text{ and } B_{\frac{3}{4}}(y', -\frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon),$$

then

$$l(x) \le C_0 \mu \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial B_{\frac{1}{4}}(y', -\frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon)).$$

In particular along the x_n -direction, we get $l(x) \leq C_0 \mu(x_n + \varepsilon)$. Since y can be chosen in $T_{\frac{1}{4}}$ arbitrarily, then

$$|v(x)| \le l(x) \le C_0 \mu(x_n + \varepsilon) \quad \text{in } \overline{B_{\frac{1}{4}}} \cap \Omega.$$
(11)

Then (8) and (11) imply that v satisfies the following conditions,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v = 0 & \text{in } B_{\frac{1}{4}} \cap \Omega, \\ |v(x)| \leq C_0 \mu(x_n + \varepsilon) & \text{in } \overline{B_{\frac{1}{4}}} \cap \Omega, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } B_{\frac{1}{4}} \cap \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Now it's time to find the harmonic function. We take h be a harmonic function defined in $B_{\frac{1}{4}}$ which is odd with respect to x_n and satisfies the following conditions,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta h = 0 & \text{in } B_{\frac{1}{4}}^+, \\ h = 0 & \text{on } T_{\frac{1}{4}}^+, \\ h = v & \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{1}{4}}^+ \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n \ge \varepsilon\}, \\ h = 2C_0 \mu \varepsilon & \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{1}{4}}^+ \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 < x_n < \varepsilon\}. \end{cases}$$

Applying the maximum principle to h, we get

$$|h(x)| \le C_0 \mu(x_n + \varepsilon) + 2C_0 \mu \varepsilon \quad \text{in } B_{\frac{1}{4}}^+, \tag{12}$$
$$\|h\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\frac{1}{4}}^+)} \le (1 + 2C_0 \varepsilon) \mu.$$

Next we consider v - h in $B_{\frac{1}{4}}^+ \cap \Omega$ to obtain

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(v-h) = 0 & \text{in } B_{\frac{1}{4}}^{+} \cap \Omega, \\ v-h = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{1}{4}}^{+} \cap \{x_n \ge \varepsilon\}, \\ -4C_0\mu\varepsilon \le v-h \le 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{\frac{1}{4}}^{+} \cap \{0 < x_n < \varepsilon\}, \\ -4C_0\mu\varepsilon \le v-h \le 4C_0\mu\varepsilon & \text{on } B_{\frac{1}{4}}^{+} \cap \partial\Omega, \\ -C_0\mu\varepsilon \le v-h \le C_0\mu\varepsilon & \text{on } T_{\frac{1}{4}}^{+} \cap \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Using the maximum principle again we obtain

$$|v-h| \le 4C_0 \mu \varepsilon$$
 in $B_{\frac{1}{4}}^+ \cap \Omega$.

Since h is odd respect to x_n and $B_1 \cap \Omega \subset B_1 \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > -\varepsilon\}$ for some $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}$, it's easy to get $|h| \leq 4C_0\mu\varepsilon$ in $B_{\frac{1}{2}}^- \cap \Omega$. Combining with (11) we get

$$|v-h| \le 5C_0\mu\varepsilon$$
 in $B_{\underline{1}}^- \cap \Omega$.

From above two inequalities we get

$$\|v-h\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\frac{1}{2}}\cap\Omega)} \le 5C_{0}\mu\varepsilon.$$
⁽¹³⁾

Then from (9) and (13), we can get the following desired result by the triangle inequality,

$$\|u-h\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\frac{1}{4}}\cap\Omega)} \leq 5C_{0}\mu\varepsilon + \|g\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1}\cap\partial\Omega)} + C\|\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1}\cap\Omega)}.$$

Remark 2.3. x_n can be regarded as $x \cdot \vec{e_n}$. Therefore in the above lemma, x_n can be replaced by $x \cdot \vec{n}$ for arbitrary unit vector \vec{n} .

Then we give a more general form of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.4. $0 \in \partial\Omega$, $B_1 \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot \vec{n} > \varepsilon\} \subset B_1 \cap \Omega \subset B_1 \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot \vec{n} > -\varepsilon\}$ for some $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}$. For any $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x) \in L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)$, $g \in L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \partial\Omega)$, if u is a weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u &= \operatorname{div} \vec{\mathbf{F}} & \operatorname{in} B_1 \cap \Omega, \\ u &= g & \operatorname{on} B_1 \cap \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

then there exists a universal constant C_0 and a harmonic function h defined in $B_{\frac{1}{4}}$ which is odd with respect to $x \cdot \vec{n}$ satisfying

$$\|h\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\frac{1}{4}})} \le (1 + 2C_0\varepsilon)\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)}$$

such that

$$\|u-h\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\frac{1}{4}}\cap\Omega)} \leq \|g\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1}\cap\partial\Omega)} + 5C_{0}\varepsilon\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1}\cap\Omega)} + C\|\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1}\cap\Omega)},$$

where C is a constant depending only on n and Ω .

Lemma 2.5 (Key lemma). Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n . Assume that $0 \in \partial\Omega$ and $B_1 \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > \varepsilon\} \subset B_1 \cap \Omega \subset B_1 \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > -\varepsilon\}$ for some $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}$. Then there exists $\lambda > 0$ and universal constants $\widetilde{C}, C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for any functions $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x, u) \in L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega), g \in L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \partial\Omega)$, the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = div \vec{\mathbf{F}}(x, u) & \text{in } B_1 \cap \Omega, \\ u = g & \text{on } B_1 \cap \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

and solution of

$$\Delta v = div \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x,0) \quad in \ B_1,$$

there exists a constant K such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - v - Kx_n\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\lambda} \cap \Omega)} &\leq \|g - v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \partial \Omega)} + C_1(\lambda^2 + \varepsilon)\|u - v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)} \\ &+ C_2 \|\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x, u) - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x, 0)\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$0 < K \le C \|u - v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)}.$$

Proof. By the definition of u and v we get

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(u-v) = \operatorname{div}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x,u) - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x,0)) & \operatorname{in} B_1 \cap \Omega, \\ u-v = g-v & \operatorname{on} B_1 \cap \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

Then by Lemma 2.1, there exists a universal constant C_0 and a harmonic function h defined in $B_{\frac{1}{4}}$ which is odd with respect to x_n satisfying

$$\|h\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\frac{1}{4}})} \le (1 + 2C_0\varepsilon)\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)}$$

such that

$$\|u - v - h\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\frac{1}{4}} \cap \Omega)} \le \|g - v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1} \cap \partial \Omega)} + 5C_{0}\varepsilon\|u - v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1} \cap \Omega)} + C\|\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x, u) - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x, 0)\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1} \cap \Omega)}.$$
 (14)

Take L be the first order Taylor polynomial of h at 0, i.e. $L(x) = Dh(0) \cdot x + h(0)$. Since h = 0 on $B_{\frac{1}{4}} \cap \{x_n = 0\}$, then $L(x) = |Dh(0)|x_n$. Note that h is a harmonic function which is odd with respect to x_n in $B_{\frac{1}{4}}$, according to the property of harmonic function, when $|x| \leq \frac{1}{8}$,

$$|D^{2}h(x)| + |Dh(x)| \le A ||h||_{L^{\infty}(B_{\frac{1}{4}})} \le A(1 + 2C_{0}\varepsilon)||u - v||_{L^{\infty}(B_{1} \cap \Omega)},$$

where A is a constant depending only on n. Then there exists $\xi \in B_{\frac{1}{8}}$ such that for $|x| \leq \frac{1}{8}$,

$$|h(x) - L(x)| \le \frac{1}{2} |D^2 h(\xi)| |x|^2.$$
(15)

Finally, combining with (14) and (15), if we set K = |Dh(0)| and take $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{8}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - v - Kx_n\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\lambda}\cap\Omega)} &\leq \|u - v - h\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\lambda}\cap\Omega)} + \|h - L\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\lambda}\cap\Omega)} \\ &\leq \|g - v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1}\cap\partial\Omega)} + 5C_0\varepsilon\|u - v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1}\cap\Omega)} + C\|\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x,u) - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x,0)\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1}\cap\Omega)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 A(1 + 2C_0\varepsilon)\|u - v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1}\cap\Omega)} \\ &\leq \|g - v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1}\cap\partial\Omega)} + C_1(\lambda^2 + \varepsilon)\|u - v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1}\cap\Omega)} \\ &\quad + C_2\|\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x,u) - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x,0)\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{1}\cap\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$
where $C_1 = \max\{5C_0, \frac{1}{2}A(1 + 2C_0)\}, C_2 = C.$

where $C_1 = \max\{5C_0, \frac{1}{2}A(1+2C_0)\}, C_2 = C.$

Remark 2.6. Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n . Assume that $0 \in \partial \Omega$ and $B_1 \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > \varepsilon\} \subset$ $B_1 \cap \Omega \subset B_1 \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n > -\varepsilon\}$ for some $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}$. Then there exists $\lambda > 0$ and universal constants $\widetilde{C}, C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for any functions $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x) \in L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega), g \in L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \partial\Omega)$, the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = div \vec{\mathbf{F}}(x) & \text{in } B_1 \cap \Omega, \\ u = g & \text{on } B_1 \cap \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

there exists a constant K such that

$$\|u - Kx_n\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\lambda} \cap \Omega)} \leq \|g\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \partial \Omega)} + C_1(\lambda^2 + \varepsilon)\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)}$$
$$+ C_2\|\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x)\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)}$$

and

$$0 < K \le \widetilde{C} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)}.$$

Remark 2.7. Similar to Remark 2.3, x_n can also be regarded as $x \cdot \vec{e}_n$ and can be substituted by $x \cdot \vec{n}$ for arbitrary unit vector \vec{n} in Lemma 2.5 and Remark 2.6.

3. Boundary Lipschitz regularity under $C^{1,\text{Dini}}$ condition

In this section we will give a proof of Theorem 1.7. The following four lemmas lead to the desired result. The first step has been finished in Section 2, i.e. we got the key lemma. Next we aim to iterate step by step and get the approximations in different scales. Finally we will prove that the sum of errors from different scales is convergent. In addition, Dini conditions play a great role to obtain the convergence. For convenience, we can choose an appropriate coordinate system such that \vec{n} in Definition 1.1 is along the positive x_n -axis in the proof. So by definition, if $\partial\Omega$ is $C^{1,\text{Dini}}$ at x_0 , then for any $0 < r \leq r_0$, $B_r(x_0) \cap \partial\Omega \subset B_r(x_0) \cap \{|x_n - x_{0,n}| \leq r\omega(r)\}$. Without loss of generality, we can take $x_0 = 0$, denote v_0 by v and assume that

$$u(0) = g(0) = 0, \quad v(0) = 0, \quad r_0 = 1,$$

$$\omega(1) \le \lambda, \quad \int_0^1 \frac{\omega(r)}{r} dr \le 1, \quad \int_0^1 \frac{\sigma(r)}{r} dr \le 1, \quad \int_0^1 \frac{\omega_1(r)}{r} dr \le 1,$$

where λ will be determined in Lemma 2.5 and 3.2. Besides, We can also assume $\vec{a} = 0$ in definition 1.5, if not, we can consider $\hat{u} := u - g(0) - \vec{a} \cdot x$, which satisfies the same equation.

Based on Lemma 2.5 and Remark 2.6, the following lemma is an iteration result.

Lemma 3.1. There exist nonnegative sequences $\{M_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$, and $\{N_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$, with $N_0 = 0$, $M_0 = ||u - v||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_1)}$, and for i = 0, 1, 2, ...,

$$M_{i+1} = \|g - v - N_i x_n\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\lambda^i} \cap \partial\Omega)} + C_1(\lambda^2 + \omega(\lambda^i))\|_{U^{-1}} + v - N_i x_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} + C_2 \lambda^i \|\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x, u) - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x, 0)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})},$$
$$|N_{i+1} - N_i| \leq \frac{\widetilde{C}}{\lambda^i} \|u - v - N_i x_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})},$$

such that

$$\|u - v - N_i x_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} \le M_i.$$

$$\tag{16}$$

Proof. We prove this lemma inductively by using Remark 2.6 repeatedly.

When i = 0, since $N_0 = 0$ and $M_0 = ||u - v||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_1)}$, it's easy to see

$$|u - v - N_0 x_n||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_1)} = M_0.$$

When i = 1, by Definition 1.1, we have $B_1 \cap \partial \Omega \subset \{|x_n| \leq \omega(1)\}$. Therefore by lemma 2.5, there exists $0 < N_1 \leq \widetilde{C} ||u - v||_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - v - N_1 x_n\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\lambda} \cap \Omega)} &\leq \|g - v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \partial \Omega)} + C_1(\lambda^2 + \omega(1))\|u - v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)} \\ &+ C_2 \|\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x, u) - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x, 0)\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)} \triangleq M_1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|N_1 - N_0| \le \widetilde{C} ||u - v||_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)}.$$

Next we assume that the conclusion is true for i. We consider the equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(u-v-N_ix_n) &= \operatorname{div}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x,u)-\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x,0)) & \text{in } \Omega_{\lambda^i}, \\ u-v-N_ix_n &= g-v-N_ix_n & \text{on } B_{\lambda^i} \cap \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

For $z = (z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we set

$$\widetilde{u}(z) = \frac{u(\lambda^i z) - v(\lambda^i z) - N_i \lambda^i z_n}{\lambda^i}$$

$$\widetilde{g}(z) = \frac{g(\lambda^{i}z) - v(\lambda^{i}z) - N_{i}\lambda^{i}z_{n}}{\lambda^{i}},$$

$$\widetilde{f}(z) = \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(\lambda^{i}z, u(\lambda^{i}z)) - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(\lambda^{i}z, 0).$$

Then $\widetilde{u}(z)$ is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \widetilde{u}(z) &= \operatorname{div} \widetilde{f}(z) & \text{in } B_1 \cap \widetilde{\Omega}, \\ \widetilde{u}(z) &= \widetilde{g}(z) & \text{on } B_1 \cap \partial \widetilde{\Omega} \end{cases}$$

where $\widetilde{\Omega} = \{z : \lambda^i z \in \Omega\}$. Therefore $B_1 \cap \partial \widetilde{\Omega} \subset B_1 \cap \{|z_n| \leq \omega(\lambda^i)\}$. Then by Remark 2.6, there exists a constant K such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widetilde{u} - Kz_n\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\lambda} \cap \widetilde{\Omega})} &\leq \|\widetilde{g}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \partial \widetilde{\Omega})} + C_1(\lambda^2 + \omega(\lambda^i)) \|\widetilde{u}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \widetilde{\Omega})} \\ &+ C_2 \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \widetilde{\Omega})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $K \leq \widetilde{C} \|\widetilde{u}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \widetilde{\Omega})} = \frac{\widetilde{C}}{\lambda^i} \|u - v - N_i x_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})}$. Let $N_{i+1} = N_i + K$, scaling back, then we get $\|u - v - N_{i+1} x_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^{i+1}})} \leq \|g - v - N_i x_n\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\lambda^i} \cap \partial \Omega)}$

$$\|u^{-i} - V^{-i+1} x_{n}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^{i+1}})} \leq \|g^{-i} - V^{-i} x_{n}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^{i}})} + C_{1}(\lambda^{2} + \omega(\lambda^{i}))\|u^{-i} - V^{-i} x_{n}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^{i}})} + C_{2}\lambda^{i}\|\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x,u) - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x,0)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^{i}})} \triangleq M_{i+1},$$

and

$$N_{i+1} - N_i| = K \le \frac{\widetilde{C}}{\lambda^i} \|u - v - N_i x_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

The following three lemmas are similar to [4] and [5].

Lemma 3.2. $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_i}{\lambda^i} < \infty$ and $\lim_{i \to \infty} N_i$ exists. We set $\lim_{i \to \infty} N_i = \tau.$

Proof. We assume T is the Lipschitz constant respect to v, then

$$\|v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\lambda^{i}}\cap\partial\Omega)} = \|v-v(0)\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\lambda^{i}}\cap\partial\Omega)} \le T\lambda^{i}.$$

For $k \ge 0$, we suppose $P_k = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{M_i}{\lambda^i}$. By Lemma 3.1, noting that $N_0 = 0$, $M_0 = ||u - v||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_1)}$, then for any $k \ge 0$, we have

$$N_{k+1} \le N_k + \widetilde{C} \frac{M_k}{\lambda^k} \le \widetilde{C} P_k, \tag{17}$$

$$M_{k+1} \leq \lambda^k \sigma(\lambda^k) + N_k \lambda^k \omega(\lambda^k) + C_1(\lambda^2 + \omega(\lambda^k))M_k + C_2 \lambda^k \omega_1(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^k})}),$$

where Definition 1.1, 1.5 and Assumption 1 are used. Then

$$\frac{M_{k+1}}{\lambda^{k+1}} \le \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\sigma(\lambda^k) + N_k \omega(\lambda^k) \right) + \frac{C_1(\lambda^2 + \omega(\lambda^k))}{\lambda} \left(\frac{M_k}{\lambda^k} \right) + \frac{C_2}{\lambda} \omega_1(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^k})}).$$
(18)

Recalling the property of the modulus of continuity (see (5)) we have

$$\omega_1(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^k})}) \leq \omega_1\left(\|u-v-N_k x_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^k})} + \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^k})} + \|N_k x_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^k})}\right) \\ \leq \omega_1(M_k + T\lambda^k + N_k\lambda^k) \\ \leq 2\left(\frac{M_k}{\lambda^k} + T + N_k\right)\omega_1(\lambda^k).$$

By substituting the above inequality and (17) into (18), we obtain

$$\frac{M_{k+1}}{\lambda^{k+1}} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\sigma(\lambda^k) + \frac{\widetilde{C}}{\lambda}\omega(\lambda^k)P_{k-1} + \frac{C_1(\lambda^2 + \omega(\lambda^k))}{\lambda}\left(\frac{M_k}{\lambda^k}\right) + \frac{2C_2}{\lambda}(N_k + \frac{M_k}{\lambda^k} + T)\omega_1(\lambda^k) \\
\leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\sigma(\lambda^k) + \frac{\widetilde{C} + C_1}{\lambda}\omega(\lambda^k)P_k + C_1\lambda\left(\frac{M_k}{\lambda^k}\right) + \frac{2C_2\widetilde{C}}{\lambda}\omega_1(\lambda^k)(P_k + T).$$

We take λ small enough firstly to make $C_1 \lambda \leq \frac{1}{4}$, then we take k_0 large enough (then fixed) such that

$$\sum_{i=k_0}^{\infty} \frac{\widetilde{C} + C_1}{\lambda} \omega(\lambda^i) \le \frac{\widetilde{C} + C_1}{\lambda \ln \frac{1}{\lambda}} \int_0^{\lambda^{k_0 - 1}} \frac{\omega(r)}{r} dr \le \frac{1}{4},$$
$$\sum_{i=k_0}^{\infty} \frac{2C_2\widetilde{C}}{\lambda} \omega_1(\lambda^i) \le \frac{2C_2\widetilde{C}}{\lambda \ln \frac{1}{\lambda}} \int_0^{\lambda^{k_0 - 1}} \frac{\omega_1(r)}{r} dr \le \frac{1}{4}.$$

For such $k_0 (\geq 1)$, we have

$$\sum_{i=k_0}^{\infty} \sigma(\lambda^i) \le \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{\lambda}} \int_0^1 \frac{\sigma(r)}{r} dr \le \frac{1}{\ln \frac{1}{\lambda}}.$$

Therefore for each $k \geq k_0$, we have

$$P_{k+1} - P_{k_0} = \sum_{i=k_0}^k \frac{M_{i+1}}{\lambda^{i+1}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=k_0}^k \frac{1}{\lambda} \sigma(\lambda^i) + \sum_{i=k_0}^k \frac{\widetilde{C} + C_1}{\lambda} \omega(\lambda^i) P_i + \sum_{i=k_0}^k C_1 \lambda \left(\frac{M_i}{\lambda^i}\right) + \sum_{i=k_0}^k \frac{2C_2 \widetilde{C}}{\lambda} \omega_1(\lambda^i) (P_i + T)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda \ln \frac{1}{\lambda}} + P_{k+1} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \sum_{i=k_0}^k \frac{\widetilde{C} + C_1}{\lambda} \omega(\lambda^i)\right) + (P_{k+1} + T) \sum_{i=k_0}^k \frac{2C_2 \widetilde{C}}{\lambda} \omega_1(\lambda^i)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda \ln \frac{1}{\lambda}} + \frac{3}{4} P_{k+1} + \frac{1}{4} T.$$

Then for all $k \geq k_0$,

$$P_{k+1} \le \frac{4}{\lambda \ln \frac{1}{\lambda}} + T + 4P_{k_0}.$$

Therefore $\{P_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded. We already proved $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_i}{\lambda^i}$ is convergent and $\{N_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded. Furthermore, by (17) and the definition of P_i it's easy to see

$$N_{i+1} - N_i \le \widetilde{C} \frac{M_i}{\lambda^i} = \widetilde{C} P_i - \widetilde{C} P_{i-1}, \quad \text{for } i \ge 1,$$

and

$$N_{i+1} - \widetilde{C}P_i \le N_i - \widetilde{C}P_{i-1}, \quad \text{for } i \ge 1.$$

So $\left\{N_i - \widetilde{C}P_{i-1}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded and non-increasing sequence and $\lim_{i \to +\infty} (N_i - \widetilde{C}P_{i-1})$ exists. In conclusion $\lim_{i \to +\infty} N_i$ exists and we set $\tau := \lim_{i \to +\infty} N_i$. The proof is finished.

Lemma 3.3. $\lim_{i \to +\infty} \frac{M_i}{\lambda^i} = 0.$

Proof. The proof is straightforward from Lemma 3.2 since $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_i}{\lambda^i}$ is convergent. **Lemma 3.4.** For each i = 0, 1, 2, ..., there exists B_i such that $\lim_{i \to \infty} B_i = 0$ and that

$$\|u - v - \tau x_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} \le B_i \lambda^i.$$

Proof. For any $i \ge 0$ we have

$$\|u - v - \tau x_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} \le \|u - v - N_i x_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} + \|N_i x_n - \tau x_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})}$$

Using (16) we get

$$\|u - v - \tau x_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} \le M_i + \lambda^i |N_i - \tau|.$$

We set $B_i = \frac{M_i}{\lambda^i} + |N_i - \tau|$, then

 $\|u - v - \tau x_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} \le B_i \lambda^i.$

At the same time, by Lemma 3.2 snd 3.3 we have

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} B_i = 0$$

The proof is completed.

Proof of Theorem 1.7 From above four lemmas we already show that u - v is differentiable at 0. Since v is a Lipschitz function, it's clear that u is Lipschitz at 0.

4. Boundary Lipschitz regularity under Reifenberg $C^{1,\text{Dini}}$ condition

In this section, we will generalize the results in Section 3 to Reifenberg $C^{1,\text{Dini}}$ domain. The main difficulty is the unit normal vectors are changing in different scales. But by Lemma 1.4, we notice that the difference of the unit vectors are controlled by Dini modulus of continuity and the unit vectors are convergent. The proof of Theorem 1.8 is similar to Theorem 1.7. We also use the following four lemmas to prove Theorem 1.8. For convenience, we only prove the boundary Lipschitz regularity at 0. Without loss of generality, we can take $x_0 = 0$, denote v_0 by v and assume that

$$u(0) = g(0) = 0, \quad v(0) = 0, \quad \vec{a} = 0, \quad r_0 = 1,$$

$$\omega(1) \le \lambda, \quad \int_0^1 \frac{\omega(r)}{r} dr \le 1, \quad \int_0^1 \frac{\sigma(r)}{r} dr \le 1, \quad \int_0^1 \frac{\omega_1(r)}{r} dr \le 1.$$

where λ will be determined in Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 4.2. In the following, we denote $\vec{n}_{\lambda i}$ by \vec{n}_i .

Lemma 4.1. There exist nonnegative sequences $\{M_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$, and $\{N_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$, with $N_0 = 0$, $M_0 = ||u - v||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_1)}$, and for i = 0, 1, 2, ...,

$$M_{i+1} = \|g - v - N_i x \cdot \vec{n}_i\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\lambda^i} \cap \partial \Omega)} + \|N_{i+1} x \cdot (\vec{n}_{i+1} - \vec{n}_i)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} + C_1(\lambda^2 + \omega(\lambda^i))\|u - v - N_i x \cdot \vec{n}_i\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} + C_2 \lambda^i \|\vec{\mathbf{F}}(x, u) - \vec{\mathbf{F}}(x, 0)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})}, |N_{i+1} - N_i| \leq \frac{\widetilde{C}}{\lambda^i} \|u - v - N_i x \cdot \vec{n}_i\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})},$$

such that

$$\|u - v - N_i x \cdot \vec{n}_i\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} \le M_i.$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

Proof. We prove this lemma inductively by using Lemma 2.5, Remark 2.6 and 2.7 repeatedly.

When i = 0, since $N_0 = 0$ and $M_0 = ||u - v||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_1)}$, it's easy to see

$$\|u - v - N_0 x \cdot \vec{n}_0\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_1)} = M_0.$$

When i = 1, by Definition 1.3, we have $B_1 \cap \partial \Omega \subset \{ |x \cdot \vec{n}_0| \leq \omega(1) \}$. Therefore by lemma 2.5, there exists $0 < N_1 < \widetilde{C} ||u - v||_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u-v-N_1x\cdot \vec{n}_0\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\lambda}\cap\Omega)} &\leq & \|g-v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1\cap\partial\Omega)} + C_1(\lambda^2+\omega(1))\|u-v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1\cap\Omega)} \\ &+ C_2\|\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x,u)-\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x,0)\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1\cap\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - v - N_1 x \cdot \vec{n}_1\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\lambda} \cap \Omega)} &\leq & \|g - v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \partial \Omega)} + \|N_1 x \cdot (\vec{n}_1 - \vec{n}_0)\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)} \\ &+ C_1 (\lambda^2 + \omega(1)) \|u - v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)} + C_2 \|\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x, u) - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x, 0)\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)} \triangleq M_1. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|N_1 - N_0| < \widetilde{C} ||u - v||_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \Omega)}.$$

Next we assume that the conclusion is true for i. We consider the equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(u-v-N_ix\cdot\vec{n}_i) &= \operatorname{div}(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x,u)-\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x,0)) & \text{in } \Omega_{\lambda^i}, \\ u-v-N_ix\cdot\vec{n}_i &= g-v-N_ix\cdot\vec{n}_i & \text{on } B_{\lambda^i}\cap\partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

For $z = (z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we set

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{u}(z) &= \frac{u(\lambda^{i}z) - v(\lambda^{i}z) - N_{i}\lambda^{i}z \cdot \vec{n}_{i}}{\lambda^{i}}, \\ \widetilde{g}(z) &= \frac{g(\lambda^{i}z) - v(\lambda^{i}z) - N_{i}\lambda^{i}z \cdot \vec{n}_{i}}{\lambda^{i}}, \\ \widetilde{f}(z) &= \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(\lambda^{i}z, u(\lambda^{i}z)) - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(\lambda^{i}z, 0). \end{split}$$

Then $\widetilde{u}(z)$ is a solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \widetilde{u}(z) &= \operatorname{div} \widetilde{f}(z) & \text{ in } B_1 \cap \widetilde{\Omega}, \\ \widetilde{u}(z) &= \widetilde{g}(z) & \text{ on } B_1 \cap \partial \widetilde{\Omega}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\widetilde{\Omega} = \{z : \lambda^i z \in \Omega\}$. Therefore $B_1 \cap \partial \widetilde{\Omega} \subset B_1 \cap \{|z \cdot \vec{n}_i| \leq \omega(\lambda^i)\}$. Then by Remark 2.6, there exists a constant K such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widetilde{u} - Kz \cdot \vec{n}_i\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\lambda} \cap \widetilde{\Omega})} &\leq & \|\widetilde{g}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \partial \widetilde{\Omega})} + C_1(\lambda^2 + \omega(\lambda^i)) \|\widetilde{u}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \widetilde{\Omega})} \\ &+ C_2 \|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \widetilde{\Omega})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $K \leq \widetilde{C} \|\widetilde{u}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1 \cap \widetilde{\Omega})} = \frac{\widetilde{C}}{\lambda^i} \|u - v - N_i x \cdot \vec{n}_i\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})}$. Let $N_{i+1} = N_i + K$, scaling back, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - v - N_{i+1}x \cdot \vec{n}_{i+1}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^{i+1}})} &\leq & \|g - v - N_ix \cdot \vec{n}_i\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\lambda^i} \cap \partial\Omega)} \\ &+ \|N_{i+1}x \cdot (\vec{n}_{i+1} - \vec{n}_i)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} \\ &+ C_1(\lambda^2 + \omega(\lambda^i))\|u - v - N_ix \cdot \vec{n}_i\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} \\ &+ C_2\lambda^i \|\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x, u) - \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}}(x, 0)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} \triangleq M_{i+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|N_{i+1} - N_i| = K \le \frac{\widetilde{C}}{\lambda^i} ||u - v - N_i x \cdot \vec{n}_i||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.2. $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_i}{\lambda^i} < \infty$ and $\lim_{i \to \infty} N_i$ exists. We set $\lim_{i \to \infty} N_i = \tau$.

$$\infty$$
 12

Proof. We assume T is the Lipschitz constant respect to v, then

$$\|v\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\lambda^{i}}\cap\partial\Omega)} = \|v-v(0)\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\lambda^{i}}\cap\partial\Omega)} \le T\lambda^{i}.$$

For $k \ge 0$, we suppose $P_k = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{M_i}{\lambda^i}$. By Lemma 4.1, noting that $N_0 = 0$ and $M_0 = ||u - v||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_1)}$, then for any $k \ge 0$ we have

$$N_{k+1} \le N_k + \widetilde{C} \frac{M_k}{\lambda^k} \le \widetilde{C} P_k, \tag{20}$$

 $M_{k+1} \leq \lambda^k \sigma(\lambda^k) + N_k \lambda^k \omega(\lambda^k) + N_{k+1} \lambda^k S(\lambda) \omega(\lambda^k) + C_1(\lambda^2 + \omega(\lambda^k)) M_k + C_2 \lambda^k \omega_1(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^k})}),$ where Definition 1.3, 1.5, Lemma 1.4 and Assumption 1 are used. Then

$$\frac{M_{k+1}}{\lambda^{k+1}} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\sigma(\lambda^k) + N_k \omega(\lambda^k) + N_{k+1} S(\lambda) \omega(\lambda^k) \right) + \frac{C_1(\lambda^2 + \omega(\lambda^k))}{\lambda} \left(\frac{M_k}{\lambda^k} \right) + \frac{C_2}{\lambda} \omega_1(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^k})}).$$
(21)

Recalling the property of the modulus of continuity (see (5)) we have

$$\begin{split} \omega_1(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^k})}) &\leq \omega_1\left(\|u-v-N_kx\cdot\vec{n}_k\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^k})} + \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^k})} + \|N_kx\cdot\vec{n}_k\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^k})}\right) \\ &\leq \omega_1(M_k+T\lambda^k+N_k\lambda^k) \\ &\leq 2(\frac{M_k}{\lambda^k}+T+N_k)\omega_1(\lambda^k). \end{split}$$

By substituting the above inequality and (20) into (21), we obtain

$$\frac{M_{k+1}}{\lambda^{k+1}} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\sigma(\lambda^k) + \frac{\widetilde{C}}{\lambda}\omega(\lambda^k)(P_{k-1} + S(\lambda)P_k) + \frac{C_1(\lambda^2 + \omega(\lambda^k))}{\lambda}\left(\frac{M_k}{\lambda^k}\right) + \frac{2C_2}{\lambda}(N_k + \frac{M_k}{\lambda^k} + T)\omega_1(\lambda^k) \\
\leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\sigma(\lambda^k) + \frac{\widetilde{C} + C_1 + \widetilde{C}S(\lambda)}{\lambda}\omega(\lambda^k)P_k + C_1\lambda\left(\frac{M_k}{\lambda^k}\right) + \frac{2C_2\widetilde{C}}{\lambda}\omega_1(\lambda^k)(P_k + T).$$

The remaining proof is the same as Lemma 3.2, then we get $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_i}{\lambda^i} < \infty$ and $\lim_{i \to \infty} N_i$ exists.

Lemma 4.3. $\lim_{i \to +\infty} \frac{M_i}{\lambda^i} = 0.$

Lemma 4.4. For each $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, there exists B_i such that $\lim_{i \to \infty} B_i = 0$ and that

$$\|u - v - \tau x \cdot \vec{n}_*\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} \le B_i \lambda^i,$$

where \vec{n}_* is the limit of $\{\vec{n}_{\lambda^i}\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ in Lemma 1.4.

Proof. For any $i \ge 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - v - \tau x \cdot \vec{n}_*\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} &\leq \|u - v - N_i x \cdot \vec{n}_i\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} + \|N_i x \cdot \vec{n}_i - N_i x \cdot \vec{n}_*\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} \\ &+ \|N_i x \cdot \vec{n}_* - \tau x \cdot \vec{n}_*\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} \end{aligned}$$

Using (19) we get

$$\|u - v - \tau x \cdot \vec{n}_*\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} \le M_i + N_i \lambda^i |\vec{n}_i - \vec{n}_*| + \lambda^i |N_i - \tau|.$$

We set $B_i = \frac{M_i}{\lambda^i} + N_i |\vec{n}_i - \vec{n}_*| + |N_i - \tau|$, then

$$\|u - v - \tau x \cdot \vec{n}_*\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{\lambda^i})} \le B_i \lambda^i.$$

At the same time, by Lemma 4.2 snd 4.3 and Lemma 1.4 we have

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} B_i = 0.$$

The proof is completed.

Proof of Theorem 1.8 From above four lemmas we already show that u - v is differentiable at 0. Since v is a Lipschitz function, it's clear that u is Lipschitz at 0.

References

- E. Indrei, A. Minne, L. Nurbekyan, Regularity of solutions in semilinear elliptic theory, Bull. Math. Sci. 7(1)(2017), 177-200.
- [2] X. Zou, Y. Z. Chen, Fully nonlinear parabolic equations and the Dini condition, Acta Math. Sin. 18(3)(2002), 473-480.
- F. Y. Ma, L. H. Wang, Boundary first order derivative estimates for fully nonlinear elliptic equations, J. Differential Equations. 252(2)(2012), 988-1002.
- [4] Y. P. Huang, D. S. Li, L. H. Wang, Boundary behavior of solutions of elliptic equations in nondivergence form, Manuscripta Math. 143(3-4)(2014), 525-541.
- [5] Y. P. Huang, D. S. Li, L. H. Wang, A note on boundary differentiability of solutions of elliptic equations in nondivergence form, Manuscripta Math. 162(3-4)(2020), 305-313.
- [6] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order. 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [7] H. Shahgholian, C^{1,1} regularity in semilinear elliptic problems, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 56(2)(2003), 278-281.
- [8] J. Kovats, Dini-Campanato spaces and applications to nonlinear elliptic equations, Electron. J. Differential Equations. 37(1999), 1-20.
- N. V. Krylov, Boundedly inhomogeneous elliptic and parabolic equations in a domain, (Russian)Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat. 47(1)(1983), 75-108.
- [10] N. V. Krylov, Estimates for the derivatives of solutions of nonlinear parabolic equations, (Russian)Dokl. Akad. Nauk, SSSR 274(1)(1984), 23-26.
- [11] J. Andersson, E. Lindgren, and H. Shahgholian, Optimal Regularity for the No-Sign Obstacle Problem, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 66 (2013), no. 2, 245ÿ262.
- [12] A. Petrosyan, H. Shahgholian, N. Uraltseva, Regularity of free boundaries in obstacle-type problems, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 136. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [13] N. S. Trudinger, Lipschitz continuous solutions of elliptic equations of the form $\mathcal{A}(Du)D^2u = 0$, Math. Z. 109(1969), 211-216.
- [14] N. S. Trudinger, On the Dirichlet problem for quasilinear uniformly elliptic equations in n variables, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 2(1967), 108-119.
- [15] D. S. Li, L. H. Wang, Boundary differentiablity of solutions of elliptic equations on convex domains, Manuscripta Math. 121(2)(2006), 137-156.
- [16] D. S. Li, L. H. Wang, Elliptic equations on convex domains with nonhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions, J. Differential Equations. 246(5)(2009), 1723-1743.
- [17] D. S. Li, K. Zhang, An optimal geometric condition on domains for boundary differentiability of solutions of elliptic equations, J. Differential Equations. 254(9)(2013), 3765-3793.
- [18] F. Y. Ma, D. Moreira, L. H. Wang, Differentiability at lateral boundary for fully nonlinear parabolic equations, J. Differential Equations. 263(5)(2017), 2672-2686.