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Betweenness centrality (BC) was proposed as an indicator of the extent of an individual’s influence in a social network. It is measured by counting how many times a vertex (i.e., an individual) appears on all the shortest paths between pairs of vertices. A question naturally arises as to how the influence of a team or group in a social network can be measured. Here, we propose a method of measuring this influence on a bipartite graph comprising vertices (individuals) and hyperedges (teams). When the hyperedge size varies, the number of shortest paths between two vertices in a hypergraph can be larger than that in a binary graph. Thus, the power-law behavior of the team BC distribution breaks down in scale-free hypergraphs. However, when the weight of each hyperedge, for example, the performance per team member, is counted, the team BC distribution is found to exhibit power-law behavior. We find that a team with a widely connected member is highly influential.

A graph consisting of pairwise interactions was useful for understanding emerging phenomena such as the formation of a giant community, and quantifying an individual’s influence by betweenness centrality (BC). However, the BC is not useful to measure the influence of a team that is composed of more than two people. Here, we extend measurement method of the BC in a graph to a hypergraph with higher-order interactions, which is not straightforward. We find that the team BC distribution in a scale-free binary hypergraph does not exhibit power-law behavior because the number of the shortest paths between every pair of nodes increases significantly. We find that a weight of hyperedge, the performance per team member is appropriate to obtain the power-law behavior of the team BC distribution. Interestingly, it reveals that the team with high performance per team member does not have large team BC value, but the team with a leader with large degree has large team BC. Thus, our result supports the statement that connectivity is more crucial than performance for success.

Complex systems comprising many elements and their interactions can be represented by graphs for simplicity. A graph is composed of vertices and edges, which represent elements and pairwise interactions among the elements, respectively. A hypergraph is a generalization of a graph in which interacting elements are not limited to pairs of vertices; that is, more than two elements can interact, for instance, coauthors of a paper, players on a sports team, or proteins in a protein complex in biological networks. These interacting teams are represented by hyperedges in hypergraph representation.

Betweenness centrality (BC) was proposed as a measure of the influence of a vertex (individual) in a social network. This quantity is measured by counting the number of times a vertex appears on all the shortest paths between pairs of vertices in a graph. The vertex BC (v-BC) of vertex \( v_i \) is obtained as \( B(v_i) = \sum_{s \neq t, s \neq t} \sigma_{st}(v_i) / \sigma_{st} \), where \( \sigma_{st} \) is the number of shortest paths between vertices \( s \) and \( t \), and \( \sigma_{st}(v_i) \) represents the number of shortest paths passing through vertex \( v_i \) among the shortest paths passing through edge \( e_t \) among all the shortest paths between pairs of vertices.

Here, we are interested in the BC of a group or team composed of more than two people, for instance, the influence of a research group comprising coauthors of a paper in a coauthorship network or the importance of the role a protein complex plays in signal transduction in a protein interaction network. However, it is not obvious whether v-BC or e-BC is useful for this purpose. For instance, when three researchers form a team, a 3-clique represents the linkage of the group; however, this clique may be interpreted as the representation of three groups composed of pairs of vertices. Thus, it is challenging to introduce an appropriate quantity that measures the influence of a higher-order interacting object such as a group or team. Here, we propose the use of the hyperedge BC (h-BC) in hypergraphs for this purpose.

To obtain the h-BC in a hypergraph, we use a bipartite graph. For instance, in Fig. 1 two adjacent vertices are connected by one or more hyperedges with higher-order interactions. Then, the path between any two vertices on the hypergraph is defined as an alternating sequence of vertices and adjacent hyperedges between the two vertices. The paths with the smallest hopping steps between vertex and hyperedge sets are the shortest. The h-BC is defined similarly to v-BC along the shortest paths in a graph.

We performed the computation using the algorithm introduced by Brandes. Briefly, a shortest-path tree is constructed by choosing a vertex and then stacking the BC values while returning. Repeating this process for all the starting points yields the entire BC. Fig. 1 illustrates the entire process of measuring the v-BCs in the bipartite graph. Note that hyperedges are regarded as vertices in the bipartite representation.

We first consider one graph and one hypergraph as minimum models and obtain the distributions of v-BC, e-BC and...
**h-BC.** The two minimal model networks are as follows:

(i) The model is the graph composed of vertices and edges \( m \) proposed by Barabási and Albert (the BA model). The BA model evolves as follows. (a) The system initially contains \( m \) isolated vertices. (b) At each time step, a vertex is added to the system and is connected to \( m \) existing vertices selected according to the so-called preferential attachment rule, that is, a vertex \( v_i \) with degree \( k_i \) is selected with probability \( k_i / \sum_j k_j \). This process is repeated \( N - m \) times, and an SF graph with \( N \) vertices is constructed. The degree distribution of an SF graph follows the power law \( P_d(k) \sim k^{-\gamma} \). For the BA graph, the exponent \( \gamma \approx 2 \). When \( m = 1 \), the graph is a tree, and the exponent \( \gamma \) was analytically obtained as \( \gamma = \frac{3}{33} \). However, when \( m \geq 2 \), the graph has loops, and the analytic solution for this case has not been reported.

(ii) BA hypergraph: step (a) is the same as that for the BA model. In step (b), the number of target vertices \( m \) is taken from the Poisson distribution with given mean values \( \langle m \rangle \). A new vertex and the \( m \) selected target vertices are regarded as the elements of a hyperedge. The preferential attachment rule is modified such that each vertex \( v_i \) among the \( m \) vertices is selected with a probability proportional to the hypergraph degree \( f_i / \sum_j f_j \). For this graph and hypergraph, we investigate the \( v-BC \), \( e-BC \), and \( h-BC \) distributions for different \( m \) or \( \langle m \rangle \) values. Fig. 2(a) and 2(b) show the results for the BA graph, and Fig. 2(c) and 2(d) show those for the BA hypergraph. The \( v-BC \) distributions for the graph and the hypergraph are shown in Fig. 2(a) and (c), respectively. The corresponding \( e- \) and \( h-BC \) distributions are shown in Fig. 2(b) and (d), respectively.

We first consider the \( v-BC \) distribution. (i) For the BA graph, it exhibits power-law behavior as \( P(v) \sim B_N^{-\eta} \) with exponents \( \eta \approx 2.01 \) for \( m = 1 \) and \( \eta \approx 2.17 \) for \( m = 2 \) and 3. When \( m = 1 \), the graph is a tree, and the exponent \( \eta \) was analytically obtained as \( \eta = \frac{3}{33} \). However, when \( m \geq 2 \), the graph has loops, and the analytic solution for this case has not been reported.

The BA hypergraph is designed to model a coauthorship hypergraph consisting of authors and papers. An author (paper) is regarded as a vertex (hyperedge). The number of authors of a paper, which corresponds to the size of a hyperedge, follows a Poisson distribution with mean degree \( \langle m \rangle \approx 2.5 \). Here, we control the mean degree \( \langle m \rangle \) of the hypergraph. The \( v-BC \) distributions for different \( \langle m \rangle \) values exhibit power-law behavior with an exponent of \( \eta \approx 2.0 \) for \( \langle m \rangle = 2 \), which gradually decreases with increasing \( \langle m \rangle \) (Fig. 2(c)). Overall, the \( v-BC \) distributions of the graph and hypergraph exhibit power-law behavior.

Next, we consider the distributions of \( e-BC \) and \( h-BC \) in Fig. 2(b) and 2(d), respectively. For the BA tree graph with \( m = 1 \) in Fig. 2(b), the \( e-BC \) distribution is very similar to the \( v-BC \) distribution. For \( m \geq 2 \), the \( e-BC \) distribution exhibits power-law behavior for small \( B_E \); however, it shows exponential de-
Figure 3. (a), Degree distributions of coauthorship graph (□) and hypergraph (○), which exhibit power-law behavior with slopes −2.9 (□) and −2.7 (○). Inset: The distribution of hyperedge sizes \( S_E \) (i.e., the number of coauthors) for the coauthorship hypergraph on a linear scale. The distribution follows a Poisson distribution with mean value 2.5. (b), Plot of \( B_N(f) \) versus hyperedge degree \( f \). It is likely that a vertex with a larger hyperedge has a larger \( B_N \). (c), (d), (e), and (f), Various types of BC distributions \( P(B_H) \), where \( X = N, E, \) or \( H \), which represent nodes, edges, and hyperedges, respectively, for the coauthorship graph and hypergraph. (c) and (d) are those of the graph and hypergraph with binary edges, respectively. (e) and (f) are those of \( u \)-weighted and \( w \)-weighted hypergraphs, respectively. Legend: \( W_H = S_H^{-1} \), \( W_H = u \), \( W_H = v \) represent the type of weight, specifically, the inverse of the number of group members, the number of papers, and the number of papers per group member, respectively. The data are logarithmically binned. Slopes of the BC distributions are measured asymptotically in the tails. (g), Plot of \( B_H \) as a function of weight \( w \). This plot indicates that hyperedges with larger weight \( w \) do not have larger \( B_H \). Inset: The distribution of the weight of the coauthorship hypergraph. (h), Three-dimensional plot of \( h \)-BC (team BC) of each hyperedge as a function of weight \( w \) and the maximum \( f \) value of the hyperedge degree of each element belonging to the given hyperedge. The BC of a large team is more likely to appear on a hyperedge with a large \( f \) value, which represents a team with a super leader.
research group index. We regard this weight as representing the performance of team $i$. The other weight is the number of papers divided by the number of coauthors, which is denoted as $w_i$. This weight is interpreted as the performance per group member.

We first consider how to identify the shortest paths between a pair of vertices and then how to measure the BC of each vertex and hyperedge. Let us consider the weight $w_i$. We introduce the cost of each hyperedge $i$ as $c_i \equiv 1/w_i$ and then find the shortest path(s) between two vertices $s$ and $t$ along which the total cost $\sum_{i \in \text{path}} c_i$ is minimum, where the index $i$ runs through all hyperedges along a shortest path $\ell$ between nodes $s$ and $t$. If there is one shortest path, the BC of each hyperedge $i \in \ell$ along the shortest path $\ell$ is set to 1 for the pair of vertices $(s, t)$. However, if the number of shortest paths is larger than one, say $n$, a BC of $1/n$ is assigned to each hyperedge on the shortest paths $\{\ell_j\}$, where $j = 1 \cdots n$. This process is repeated for every pair of vertices $(s, t)$ in the coauthorship hypergraph. The BC values of each vertex and hyperedge are then the sum of all those BCs; the $v$-BC and $h$-BC distributions are indicated in Fig. 4(b) and 4(d) by inverted triangles ($\gamma$). We also obtain the $v$-BC and $h$-BC for the weight $\{w_i\}$ in a similar way. The data ($\gamma$) in Fig. 4(c) and 4(f) show the $v$-BC and $h$-BC distributions, respectively. Both of these BC distributions exhibit power-law behavior with exponent $\eta \approx 2.0$. The exponent value of $\approx 2.0$ may imply (but not guarantee) that there is usually one shortest path between two vertices. The weight $\{w_i\}$ enables us to select the most significant shortest path from among the multiple shortest paths generated in the hyperedge representation. We remark that although the $h$-BC distribution of the coauthorship network in binary hypergraph representation in Fig. 4(d) does not exhibit power-law behavior, the distribution in the weighted hypergraph representation, particularly that with weight $\{w_i\}$, does clearly show power-law behavior (Fig. 4(f)). The greatest heterogeneity among the four paths is achieved by the $w$-weighted hypergraph.

We check whether the tail of the $h$-BC distribution based on the $w$-weight measure is contributed by the hyperedges with large $w_i$ values and find that their $w_i$ values are not very large, as shown in Fig. 4(g). Counterintuitively, the tail of the $h$-BC distribution is contributed by the hyperedges containing the nodes with large hypergraph degree $f_i$ (i.e., large $v$-BC values) in the bipartite representation, as shown in Fig. 4(h). These nodes may represent the team leaders of each hyperedge.

In summary, we investigated the BC distributions of SF hypergraphs in various forms. Unlike the $e$-BCs in an SF graph, the $h$-BCs are meaningful because they represent the degrees of influence of teams or groups in social networks. By mapping a hypergraph to a bipartite network, we measured the BC distributions of vertices and hyperedges simultaneously. Counterintuitively, the $h$-BC distribution in an SF hypergraph does not exhibit power-law behavior. The reason is that the number of shortest paths between a given pair of vertices in a hypergraph becomes more than that in the graph, as shown in Fig. 4(a) and 4(b), which reduces the contribution of each hyperedge to communication between a given pair of vertices. Therefore, it was challenging to design a BC measure that generates a heterogeneous $h$-BC distribution. To overcome this difficulty, we proposed a weighted hypergraph in which each hyperedge (team) is assigned a weight that is proportional to the activity per group member. Assuming that information spreads between two vertices along the paths that minimize the total cost, which is given as the sum of the inverse of the $w$-weights of each hyperedge along the path, we found that the number of shortest paths decreases to one, as shown in Fig. 4(c). Thus, the $h$-BC distribution exhibits power-law decay with exponent $\eta = 2.0$. This BC measure is useful for quantifying team influence in a coauthorship hypergraph. As Barabási stated in his book, “Performance drives success, but when performance cannot be measured, networks drive success.” Our results support the importance of networking in the transfer of information even for high-performing teams. We expect that the methodology developed using the coauthorship hypergraph will be useful in other hypergraphs.
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