

# Color-kinematics duality from the Bern-Kosower formalism

Naser Ahmadinia<sup>1,\*</sup> Filippo Maria Balli<sup>2,†</sup> Cristhiam Lopez-Arcos<sup>3,‡</sup>  
Alexander Quintero Vélez<sup>3,§</sup> and Christian Schubert<sup>4,¶</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Bautzner Landstraße 400, 01328 Dresden, Germany*

<sup>2</sup>*Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Informatiche e Matematiche,  
Università degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Via Campi 213/A, I-41125 Modena, Italy*  
*and INFN, Sezione di Bologna, Via Irnerio 46, I-40126 Bologna, Italy*

<sup>3</sup>*Escuela de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional de Colombia Sede Medellín, Carrera 65 # 59A-110, Medellín, Colombia*

<sup>4</sup>*Instituto de Física y Matemáticas Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo Edificio C-3,  
Apto. Postal 2-82 C.P. 58040, Morelia, Michoacán, México*

Berends-Giele currents are fundamental building blocks for on-shell amplitudes in non-abelian gauge theory. We present a novel procedure to construct them using the Bern-Kosower formalism for one-loop gluon amplitudes. Applying the pinch procedure of that formalism to a suitable special case the currents are naturally obtained in terms of multi-particle fields and obeying colour-kinematics duality. As a feedback to the Bern-Kosower formalism we outline how the multi-particle polarisations and field-strength tensors can be used to significantly streamline the pinch procedure.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In non-abelian gauge theory, the nonlinearity of the Yang-Mills gauge transformations tends to make it difficult to write  $n$ -gluon amplitudes in a way that would make the gauge Ward identities transparent. In the off-shell case, these identities are inhomogeneous, relating the  $n$ -gluon amplitudes to the  $(n-1)$ -gluon ones (see [1] and refs. therein). On-shell they imply transversality of the corresponding  $n$ -gluon matrix elements, but in the standard Feynman diagram approach this involves intricate cancellations between one-particle irreducible diagrams and reducible diagrams that have trees sewn onto loops. Such trees, with any number of gluons on-shell and one off-shell (sewn onto the loop) are called Berends-Giele currents. Berends and Giele already introduced the idea of interpreting them in terms of multi-gluon states [2]. More recently, it was found that these currents can be written in a certain gauge, the so-called BCJ gauge [3], motivated by a result of Bern, Carrasco and Johansson that allows one to write the numerators for the color ordered amplitudes in such a way that these obey the same relations as their color factors [4]. This has come to be known as color-kinematics duality. At the level of the Berends-Giele currents, the duality is made explicit by means of some generalized Jacobi identities (GJI), as has been shown by Mafra and Schlotterer [5]. They arrived at these identities by carefully looking at the structure of multi-particle vertex operators for ten dimensional Super Yang-Mills (SYM), making use of the technology of pure spinor BRST cohomology.

The study of the Berends-Giele currents has recently received a good deal of attention [3, 6–12], since writing amplitudes in this BCJ form is the key to exhibiting colour-kinematics as well as double-copy duality [13, 14]. However, their construction quickly becomes tedious in practice as the number of legs grows, and sophisticated methods have been proposed to deal with this problem (see, e.g., [3, 11] for an approach involving highly non-linear gauge transformations resembling pure spinor BRST transformations).

In the present work, we will use the Bern-Kosower formalism to develop a simple and direct method to construct the currents in the BCJ gauge. This formalism was originally derived using the field-theory limit of string amplitudes [15–17], and led to a set of rules that allows one to directly write down Feynman-Schwinger type parameter integrals for the one-loop on-shell  $n$ -gluon matrix elements. For our present purposes, the most relevant aspect of these Bern-Kosower rules is that they allow one to reconstruct the integrands of the reducible contributions to the matrix elements from the one of the irreducible one by a pinching procedure. The application of the Bern-Kosower pinch rules requires one to first perform certain partial integrations to the integrand that effectively remove quartic vertices. The general structure of the resulting integrands was studied by Strassler [18, 19] in the framework of the worldline formalism, an alternative approach to perturbation theory that to some extent mimics string perturbation theory (for reviews see [20, 21]). He found that the partial integration procedure naturally leads to the appearance of “Lorentz cycles”  $Z_k(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$ , defined as traces of products of gluon field strength tensors  $f_i^{\mu\nu} \equiv k_i^\mu \varepsilon_i^\nu - \varepsilon_i^\mu k_i^\nu$ . This fact has already turned out to be extremely useful for the derivation of form-factor decompositions of the three- and four-gluon vertex functions [22, 23], and even in the abelian case leads to more compact integral representations for photonic processes than had been previously

\* [n.ahmadinia@hzdr.de](mailto:n.ahmadinia@hzdr.de)

† [filippo.balli@unimore.it](mailto:filippo.balli@unimore.it)

‡ Corresponding author: [cmlopeza@unal.edu.co](mailto:cmlopeza@unal.edu.co)

§ [aquinte2@unal.edu.co](mailto:aquinte2@unal.edu.co)

¶ [schubert@ifm.umich.mx](mailto:schubert@ifm.umich.mx)

known [24, 25].

Clearly, the pinching procedure must hold the full information on the Berends-Giele currents attached to the loop. Our present work is motivated by the observation that it leads to the appearance of generalized structures involving now also multi-particle fields. We observe that the pinching procedure naturally generates these multi-particle fields in the BCJ gauge. We explicitly calculate the currents up to the five-point case, finding them to obey the required GJI.

*Notation:* For a word  $I = i_1 i_2 \dots i_p$  we set  $|I| = p$ . We also have multiparticle momentum  $k_I^\mu = k_{i_1}^\mu + k_{i_2}^\mu +$

$\dots + k_{i_p}^\mu$  and Mandelstam variables  $s_I = k_I^2$ . We write Lorentz cycles involving multi-particle fields numerators as

$$Z_k(I_1, \dots, I_k) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\delta_{k2}} \text{tr} \left( \prod_{i=1}^k f_{I_i} \right). \quad (1)$$

## II. SYMMETRIC PARTIAL INTEGRATION AND CYCLE DECOMPOSITION

Central to the Bern-Kosower formalism is the following master formula for the color-ordered one-loop  $n$ -gluon correlator with a scalar loop,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(k_1, \varepsilon_1; \dots; k_n, \varepsilon_n) &= (-ig)^n \text{tr}(T^{a_1} \dots T^{a_n}) \int_0^\infty dT (4\pi T)^{-\frac{D}{2}} e^{-m^2 T} \int_0^T d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \dots \int_0^{\tau_{n-2}} d\tau_{n-1} \\ &\times \exp \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{2} G_{ij} k_i \cdot k_j - i \dot{G}_{ij} \varepsilon_i \cdot k_j + \frac{1}{2} \ddot{G}_{ij} \varepsilon_i \cdot \varepsilon_j \right) \right\} \Big|_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_n} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here  $G_{ij} \equiv G(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  are the worldline Green's function  $G(\tau, \tau') = |\tau - \tau'| - \frac{(\tau - \tau')^2}{T}$ , with an antisymmetric derivative ( $\dot{G}_{ij} = -\dot{G}_{ji}$ ). For a given  $n$ , we expand the exponential keeping only the terms linear in each of the polarization vectors  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ . The resulting integrand is of the form

$$\exp \left\{ \cdot \right\} \Big|_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n} \equiv (-i)^n P_n(\dot{G}_{ij}, \ddot{G}_{ij}) e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n G_{ij} k_i \cdot k_j} \quad (3)$$

with polynomials  $P_n$ .

As it stands, (2) represents the off-shell irreducible vertex function induced by a scalar loop. To obtain the corresponding on-shell matrix elements, one normally would have to perform a Legendre transformation, which diagrammatically means attaching trees to the loop in all possible ways. Alternatively, in the Bern-Kosower formalism these one-particle reducible contributions can be included by the following pinching procedure: (i) Remove all second derivatives  $\ddot{G}_{ij}$  contained in  $P_n$  through integration by parts. (ii) Draw all possible  $\phi^3$  1-loop diagrams  $D_i$  with  $n$  legs, labelled  $1, \dots, n$  (following the ordering of the color trace). (iii) A diagram will contribute iff each vertex except the ones attached to the loop corresponds to a possible pinch. A vertex with labels  $i < j$  can be pinched iff  $P_n$  is linear in  $\dot{G}_{ij}$ . The pinching replaces this  $\dot{G}_{ij}$  by a factor of  $1/s_{ij}$ , removes the vertex and transfers the label  $i$  to the ingoing leg (see Fig. 1).

The  $\tau_j$ -integration is omitted and the index  $j$  replaced by  $i$  in all  $G_{kl}, \dot{G}_{kl}$ . The trees are to be pruned recur-




FIG. 1. Pinching of a vertex according to the Bern-Kosower rules.

sively starting with the outermost vertices. For details and examples see [17, 20].

The result of the integration-by-parts procedure is ambiguous starting from the four-gluon case [19]. For our present purposes, it is imperative to fix this ambiguity in a way that preserves the full permutation symmetry of the master formula (2). A suitable “symmetric partial integration” algorithm was proposed in [26]. It transforms the polynomial  $P_n(\dot{G}_{ij}, \ddot{G}_{ij})$  into a polynomial  $Q_n(\dot{G}_{ij})$  that permits a cycle decomposition into terms with a definite cycle content, each cycle corresponding to a product

$$\dot{G}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) = \dot{G}_{i_1 i_2} \dot{G}_{i_2 i_3} \dots \dot{G}_{i_k i_1} Z_k(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) \quad (4)$$

with  $Z_k(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$  like in (1). These cycles are invariant under the operations of cyclic permutations and inversion. Apart from cycle factors a term may have a left-over, called *tail* and denoted by  $T(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$  where  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$  are the indices not yet bound up in any cycle. Although the tails are not manifestly transversal, they turn into total derivatives whenever any of the  $\varepsilon_{i_m}$  contained in them is replaced by  $k_{i_m}$ . For example, the

cycle decompositions of  $Q_3$  and  $Q_4$  read

$$\begin{aligned} Q_3 &= Q_3^3 + Q_3^2 \\ Q_3^3 &= \dot{G}(1, 2, 3) \\ Q_3^2 &= \dot{G}(1, 2)T(3) + \dot{G}(2, 3)T(1) + \dot{G}(3, 1)T(2) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_4 &= Q_4^4 + Q_4^3 + Q_4^2 + Q_4^{22} \\ Q_4^4 &= \dot{G}(1, 2, 3, 4) + \dot{G}(1, 2, 4, 3) + \dot{G}(1, 3, 2, 4) \\ Q_4^3 &= \dot{G}(1, 2, 3)T(4) + \dot{G}(2, 3, 4)T(1) + \dot{G}(3, 4, 1)T(2) \\ &\quad + \dot{G}(4, 1, 2)T(3) \\ Q_4^2 &= \dot{G}(1, 2)T(3, 4) + \dot{G}(1, 3)T(2, 4) + \dot{G}(1, 4)T(2, 3) \\ &\quad + \dot{G}(2, 3)T(1, 4) + \dot{G}(2, 4)T(1, 3) + \dot{G}(3, 4)T(1, 2) \\ Q_4^{22} &= \dot{G}(1, 2)\dot{G}(3, 4) + \dot{G}(1, 3)\dot{G}(2, 4) + \dot{G}(1, 4)\dot{G}(2, 3) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the cycle content of a term is indicated by the superscript. At this level, only the one- and two tails appear,

$$\begin{aligned} T(a) &\equiv \sum_r \dot{G}_{ar} \varepsilon_a \cdot k_r \\ T(a, b) &\equiv \sum_{\substack{r, s \\ (r, s) \neq (b, a)}} \dot{G}_{ar} \varepsilon_a \cdot k_r \dot{G}_{bs} \varepsilon_b \cdot k_s \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \dot{G}_{ab} \varepsilon_a \cdot \varepsilon_b \left[ \sum_{r \neq b} \dot{G}_{ar} k_a \cdot k_r - \sum_{s \neq a} \dot{G}_{bs} k_b \cdot k_s \right] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In the two-tail, note the exclusion of terms from the sums that would correspond to the appearance of a new cycle  $\dot{G}(a, b)$  in the tail, and thus to an overcounting. Note also that when advancing from the  $n$ -gluon amplitude to the  $n + 1$ -gluon one the only new ingredient to be calculated is the  $n - 1$  tail, a relatively easy task. The integrands  $Q_5$  and  $Q_6$  are explicitly shown in Appendix C of [20].

### III. THE STRUCTURE OF WORLDLINE INTEGRANDS AND PINCH OPERATORS

The main ingredients for our approach are the Bern-Kosower rules [15–17] and the symmetric partial integration [26]. From these we identify two essential objects. First, we have the permutation invariant integrand  $Q_n$ . Second, for two adjacent legs  $i$  and  $j$  with  $i < j$ , an antisymmetric *pinch operator* acting on  $Q_n$  as

$$\mathcal{D}_{ij} Q_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{G}_{ij}} Q_n \Big|_{\substack{\dot{G}_{ij}=0 \\ \dot{G}_{jk} \rightarrow \dot{G}_{ik}}} \quad (8)$$

Diagrammatically, this corresponds to pinching the two adjacent legs  $i$  and  $j$ , see Fig. 1.

In order to understand the basic link between the former and the GJI, let us first define what they are. Consider the free Lie algebra  $\text{Lie}[1, \dots, n]$  generated by all

words in the letters in  $1, \dots, n$  (see, e.g., [27, 28]). Moreover, let  $\ell$  be the left-to-right bracketing on  $\text{Lie}[1, \dots, n]$ , which is defined recursively by

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(i_1 i_2 \dots i_k) &= \ell(i_1 i_2 \dots i_{k-1}) i_k - i_k \ell(i_1 i_2 \dots i_{k-1}), \\ \ell(i) &= i, \\ \ell(\emptyset) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then the GJI of order  $k$  can be characterized as the set of identities in  $\text{Lie}[1, \dots, n]$  of the form

$$I\ell(J) + J\ell(I) = 0, \quad (9)$$

for every pair of non-empty words  $I$  and  $J$  such that  $|I| + |J| = k$ .

Now that we have the GJI, we can explore the connection between these and the pinch operators in more detail. For this, we need to understand a little better the structure of  $Q_n$ . Given a bijection or two-to-one map  $\alpha: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ , consider the following polynomial of degree  $n$  on the  $\dot{G}_{ij}$ 's

$$Q_n^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{\text{perm.}} c_{12\dots n} \dot{G}_{1\alpha(1)} \dot{G}_{2\alpha(2)} \dots \dot{G}_{n\alpha(n)}, \quad (10)$$

where the coefficients depend on the polarizations and momenta. Then,  $Q_n$  can be written as a sum of polynomials of this form. Therefore, to understand how the pinch operators act on  $Q_n$ , it will be enough to consider their action on such polynomials. For this, it will be convenient first to examine a specific example. Take  $n = 4$  and  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha(1) = 1$ ,  $\alpha(2) = 1$ ,  $\alpha(3) = 2$  and  $\alpha(4) = 3$ . By a straightforward calculation one finds that the action of the pinch operator  $\mathcal{D}_{12}$  on the resulting polynomial  $Q_4^{(\alpha)}$  yields the polynomial

$$(c_{3142} - c_{3241}) \dot{G}_{13}^2 \dot{G}_{14} + (c_{4312} - c_{4321}) \dot{G}_{13} \dot{G}_{34}^2 + (3 \leftrightarrow 4). \quad (11)$$

We can check directly that each of the coefficients is antisymmetric in 1 and 2, i.e., they satisfy the GJI of order 1. Let us next apply the pinch operator  $\mathcal{D}_{13}$  to (11). The result is

$$(c_{4132} - c_{4231} + c_{4312} - c_{4321}) \dot{G}_{14}^2. \quad (12)$$

Now the coefficient satisfies the Jacobi identity in 1, 2 and 3, i.e., the GJI of order 2.

Returning to the general case, we may infer that the iterated action of the pinch operators  $\mathcal{D}_{12}, \mathcal{D}_{13}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{1(n-1)}$  on a polynomial of the form (10) will produce a monomial. Explicitly,

$$\mathcal{D}_{1(n-1)} \dots \mathcal{D}_{13} \mathcal{D}_{12} Q_n^{(\alpha)} = \tilde{c}_{12\dots n} \dot{G}_{1n}^2, \quad (13)$$

where the coefficient  $\tilde{c}_{12\dots n}$  satisfies the GJI of order  $n - 1$  in  $1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ . We have checked that this is the case up to degree  $n = 8$ .

We make a final remark on how the above can be represented diagrammatically. To this end, one just have to remark that a left-to-right bracketing in  $\text{Lie}[1, \dots, n]$  can be interpreted as a planar binary tree and vice versa. For example,

$$\ell(12) = \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 2 \\ \diagdown \quad / \\ \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \end{array}, \quad \ell(123) = \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \\ \diagdown \quad / \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \end{array}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Using this notation, we find, for instance, that the iterated action of  $\mathcal{D}_{1,2}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{1,3}$  on  $Q_n$  can be graphically represented as

$$\mathcal{D}_{13}\mathcal{D}_{12} \left( \begin{array}{c} 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \\ \diagdown \quad / \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{c} 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \\ \diagdown \quad / \quad \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \end{array}. \quad (14)$$

#### IV. MULTI-PARTICLE FIELDS FROM PINCHING

The properties of the Bern-Kosower integrands discussed in the previous section allow us to build the Berends-Giele currents for YM directly in the BCJ gauge. The tree propagators could be obtained by the conventional Bern-Kosower pinch rules, but we prefer to exploit the symmetries in the numerators that allow one to obtain all the numerators of the Berends-Giele currents from a single calculation, by relabeling the legs and taking linear combinations where necessary. For the propagators we can make use of the inverse of the KLT matrix [13, 29, 30], which was given a Berends-Giele description in [31, 32] (see also [33]).

The appropriate polynomial for finding the field strength numerator is just the sum of the terms in  $Q_n$  with a single one-cycle component  $\tilde{Q}_n = Q_n^2 + Q_n^3 + \dots + Q_n^n$ . Using (13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{1(n-1)} \cdots \mathcal{D}_{13}\mathcal{D}_{12}\tilde{Q}_n &= Z_2(12 \dots n-1, n)\dot{G}_{1n}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}f_{12 \dots (n-1)}^{\mu\nu}f_{n\nu\mu}\dot{G}_{1n}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where  $f_{12 \dots (n-1)}^{\mu\nu}$  satisfy the GJI.

From the field-strength tensors one can also extract the multi-particle polarizations, but it turns out that those can alternatively be obtained applying pinch operators just to the tails

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{1(n-2)} \cdots \mathcal{D}_{13}\mathcal{D}_{12}T(1, 2, \dots, n-2) &= \\ \varepsilon_{12 \dots (n-2)} \cdot k_{n-1}\dot{G}_{1(n-1)} + \varepsilon_{12 \dots (n-2)} \cdot k_n\dot{G}_{1n}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The multi-particle polarizations obtained in either way will satisfy the corresponding GJI. Note, however, that the second *tail-pinch* method requires one to know the tails to one order higher than is necessary for the first *cycle-pinch* approach.

## V. EXAMPLES

We will now work out the currents up to  $n = 5$  (the five-point case is in the Appendix). We start with  $Q_2$  which has no trees and therefore no derivatives, but leads to the first solution

$$Z_2(1, 2)\dot{G}_{12}^2. \quad (17)$$

Comparing (17) with (1) one arrives at

$$Z_2(1, 2) = \frac{1}{2}f_1^{\mu\nu}f_{2\nu\mu}, \quad (18)$$

which gives us just the usual abelian one-particle field strength tensor  $f_i^{\mu\nu} = k_i^\mu \varepsilon_i^\nu - k_i^\nu \varepsilon_i^\mu$  that was already introduced above. This is also the Berends-Giele current for this case.

### V.1. Two-particle case

This numerator is extracted from the  $Q_3$  integrand (5). To obtain the external-leg bubble integrand numerator we only have to pinch two legs

$$Q_3^{(12)} = \mathcal{D}_{12}Q_3. \quad (19)$$

The explicit expression for the Lorentz two-cycle that goes with  $\dot{G}_{13}^2$  in (15) for this case is

$$Z_2(12, 3) = \varepsilon_2 \cdot k_1 Z_2(1, 3) - \frac{1}{2}Z_3(1, 2, 3) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2). \quad (20)$$

We can immediately see that  $f_3^{\nu\mu}$  can be factorized out to give the two-current field strength numerator

$$f_{12}^{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon_2 \cdot k_1 f_1^{\mu\nu} - (f_1 f_2)^{\mu\nu} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2). \quad (21)$$

From (7) and (16) we extract the two-particle polarization

$$\varepsilon_{12}^\mu = \frac{1}{2}[\varepsilon_2 \cdot k_1 \varepsilon_1^\mu - \varepsilon_{1\rho} f_2^{\rho\mu} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2)]. \quad (22)$$

Evidently  $f_{12}^{\mu\nu}$  and  $\varepsilon_{12}^\mu$  are antisymmetric in 1 and 2. The Berends-Giele current here is

$$\mathcal{F}_{12}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{f_{12}^{\mu\nu}}{s_{12}}, \quad (23)$$

which can also be obtained directly from the classical action via perturbative methods [31, 34, 35]. Such methods will not work at higher points if we look for BCJ gauge.

### V.2. Three-particle case

Here  $\mathcal{D}_{13}\mathcal{D}_{12}\tilde{Q}_4$  will immediately drop the numerator for the field strength three-current

$$\begin{aligned} f_{123}^{\mu\nu} &= k_{123}^\mu \varepsilon_{123}^\nu - k_{12} \cdot k_3 \varepsilon_{12}^\mu \varepsilon_3^\nu \\ &\quad - k_1 \cdot k_2 (\varepsilon_1^\mu \varepsilon_{23}^\nu + \varepsilon_{13}^\mu \varepsilon_2^\nu) - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

For the polarization we use the expression for  $T(1, 2, 3)$  that can be found in the Appendix C of [20] and by (16)

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{123}^\mu &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ (k_3 \cdot \varepsilon_{12}) \varepsilon_3^\mu - (k_{12} \cdot \varepsilon_3) \varepsilon_{12}^\mu + \varepsilon_{12\nu} f_3^{\nu\mu} - \varepsilon_{3\nu} f_{12}^{\nu\mu} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_1 \cdot \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 \cdot (k_1 - k_2) k_{123}^\mu \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The term in the second line of (25) to be called  $h_{123}$

$$h_{123} = \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_1 \cdot \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 \cdot (k_2 - k_1), \quad (26)$$

is directly related to the transformation in [5] that takes the numerators from the Lorenz gauge to the BCJ gauge, which appears naturally in our method. Both (24) and (25) satisfy the following GJI

$$\varepsilon_{123}^\mu + \varepsilon_{213}^\mu = 0 \quad , \quad \varepsilon_{123}^\mu + \varepsilon_{312}^\mu + \varepsilon_{231}^\mu = 0. \quad (27)$$

Now the Berends-Giele current for the polarization looks like

$$\mathcal{A}_{123}^\mu = \frac{\varepsilon_{123}^\mu}{s_{12}s_{123}} + \frac{\varepsilon_{321}^\mu}{s_{23}s_{123}}. \quad (28)$$

### V.3. Four-particle case

Following the same procedure we arrive at the field strength numerator in the four-particle case

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1234}^{\mu\nu} &= k_{1234}^\mu \varepsilon_{1234}^\nu + k_{123} \cdot k_4 \varepsilon_{123}^\nu \varepsilon_4^\mu + k_{12} \cdot k_3 [\varepsilon_{12}^\nu \varepsilon_3^\mu \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_{124}^\nu \varepsilon_3^\mu] + k_1 \cdot k_2 [\varepsilon_1^\nu \varepsilon_{234}^\mu + \varepsilon_{134}^\nu \varepsilon_2^\mu + \varepsilon_{13}^\nu \varepsilon_{24}^\mu \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_{14}^\nu \varepsilon_{23}^\mu] - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

We also find the numerator of the four-particle polarization

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{1234}^\mu &= \frac{1}{2} [\varepsilon_4^\mu (\varepsilon_{123} \cdot k_4) - \varepsilon_{123}^\mu (\varepsilon_4 \cdot k_{123}) + \varepsilon_{123\nu} f_4^{\nu\mu} \\ &\quad - \varepsilon_{4\nu} f_{123}^{\nu\mu}] - \varepsilon_3^\mu (k_{12} \cdot k_3) h_{124} - k_1 \cdot k_2 (\varepsilon_2^\mu h_{134} \\ &\quad - \varepsilon_1^\mu h_{234}) - k_{1234}^\mu h_{1234}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1234} &= \frac{1}{4} [\varepsilon_1 \cdot \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 \cdot k_2 \varepsilon_4 \cdot (k_1 - k_{23}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_1 \cdot \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 \cdot \varepsilon_4 k_2 \cdot k_3) - (123 \rightarrow 312)] - (1 \leftrightarrow 2). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

A numerator with the BCJ property was also obtained in [5] with a two-step procedure involving a BRST-inspired transformation. The GJI satisfied by these numerators are

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1234}^{\mu\nu} + f_{2134}^{\mu\nu} &= 0, \quad f_{1234}^{\mu\nu} + f_{3124}^{\mu\nu} + f_{2314}^{\mu\nu} = 0, \\ f_{1234}^{\mu\nu} - f_{1243}^{\mu\nu} + f_{3412}^{\mu\nu} - f_{3421}^{\mu\nu} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

The corresponding current is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{1234}^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{s_{1234}} \left( \frac{f_{1234}^{\mu\nu}}{s_{12}s_{123}} + \frac{f_{3214}^{\mu\nu}}{s_{23}s_{123}} + \frac{f_{1234}^{\mu\nu} - f_{1243}^{\mu\nu}}{s_{12}s_{34}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{f_{4321}^{\mu\nu}}{s_{34}s_{234}} - \frac{f_{2341}^{\mu\nu}}{s_{23}s_{234}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

## VI. BUILDING AMPLITUDES WITH MULTI-PARTICLE CYCLES AND TAILS

The trees that we have found can be attached back to the propagator to compute tree-level amplitudes with color-kinematics duality, or we can just use the current numerators to build local BCJ numerators for the color-ordered amplitudes (for this type of procedures in tree-level amplitudes and examples see e.g. [7, 36]).

But let us now return to the one-loop gluon amplitudes. Our calculations above have taught us that the pinching of the ordinary Lorentz cycles produces smaller cycles with insertions of multi-particle field-strength tensors, and the pinching of tails leads to lower-point tails involving multi-particle polarizations. Moreover, for the cycle part such insertions have already shown to be useful and natural for various amplitudes in SYM theory: generalized two-cycles already appeared in six-dimensional SYM in [8, 9], there called ‘‘scalar fundamentals’’, and a multi-particle version of the  $t_8$  tensor, which in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM absorbs the tensor structure of the four-gluon amplitude, was introduced in [12].

This leads us to conjecture that the complete effect of the pinching procedure in the Bern-Kosower formalism may, in the  $Q_n$  integrand, be taken into account simply by adding to the un-pinched integrand all combinations of generalized cycles and tails that are possible for the given number of gluons, and compatible with the fixed color ordering. Thus we now define a new generalized Lorentz cycle

$$\mathcal{Z}_k(I_1, \dots, I_k) \equiv \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\delta_{k2}} \text{tr} \left( \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{F}_{I_i} \right), \quad (34)$$

which, contrary to (1), now uses the full Berends-Giele currents  $\mathcal{F}_{I_i}$ , and the generalized tail

$$\mathcal{J}_k(I_1, \dots, I_k) \equiv T(k_{I_1}, \mathcal{A}_{I_1}; \dots; k_{I_k}, \mathcal{A}_{I_k}) \quad (35)$$

For example, the three-gluon amplitude, whose un-pinched integrand is (5), should have the pinch contribution

$$\dot{G}(1, 23) + \dot{G}(2, 31) + \dot{G}(3, 12) \quad (36)$$

where, e.g.,

$$\dot{G}(1, 23) = \dot{G}_{12} \dot{G}_{21} \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (f_1 \mathcal{F}_{23}) \quad (37)$$

and this is indeed what the Bern-Kosower pinch rules produce. Similarly, at the four-point level the prediction for the single-pinch terms would be

$$\dot{G}(1, 2, 34) + \dot{G}(1, 23)T(4) + \dot{G}(1, 2)\mathcal{J}(34) + \text{perm.} \quad (38)$$

and for the double pinches,

$$\dot{G}(1, 234) + \dot{G}(12, 34) + \text{perm.} \quad (39)$$

which we have again found to be in agreement with the application of the pinch rules.

This result for the double pinches can be straightforwardly generalized to the maximal, i.e.  $(n-2)$ -fold, pinch contribution to the  $n$ -gluon amplitude. This is because the result of such a pinch can only be a bubble diagram, and the bubbles can always be represented as a Lorentz two-cycle times a  $\dot{G}_{ij}^2$ , as we saw in (15) and have used above. For the bubble integrands we then have the compact expression for a given ordering  $I$ , namely

$$B_n^I = \sum_{\substack{I=JK \\ |I|, |J| \geq 2}} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{cyc}(I)} \mathcal{L}_2(\sigma_{j_1} \cdots \sigma_{j_{n-l}}, \sigma_{k_{n-l+1}} \cdots \sigma_{k_n}) \times \dot{G}_{\sigma_{j_1} \sigma_{k_{n-l+1}}}^2, \quad (40)$$

where the first sum accounts for the deconcatenation of the word  $I$  into words  $J$  and  $K$  of length bigger than one.

## VII. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

We have presented a novel method of constructing Berends-Giele currents using the Bern-Kosower formalism and a specific pinch contribution to the  $n$ -gluon amplitudes. Explicit calculation up to the five-point case has shown that the multi-particle fields numerators of these currents obey the GJI required by BCJ gauge, indicative of color-kinematics duality. We are currently working on a formal proof for the all- $n$  case, based on our understanding of the general structure of the polynomials presented here.

A connection between the Bern-Kosower formalism and color-kinematics duality was previously hinted at in [37] where it was shown that all the Bern-Kosower numerators satisfy BCJ identities through a detailed analysis of the field-theory limit of the monodromy relations of string theory at one loop; it would be interesting to compare their results with ours.

We have further shown that using these Berends-Giele currents as words in generalized Lorentz cycles, and the associated multi-particle polarization vectors in generalized tails, provides an extremely attractive approach towards absorbing the effect of the Bern-Kosower pinching procedure into multi-particle tensor structures. We hope to obtain along these lines a representation of the one-loop  $n$ -gluon amplitudes that would be ultracompact as well as exhibit manifest color-kinematics duality.

### Appendix A: Five-Particle field strength current

In this section we use the procedure to compute the multi-particle field strength tensor to provide the full expression of the five-particle current numerator which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{12345}^{\mu\nu} = & -\varepsilon_5 \cdot k_{1234} f_{1234}^{\mu\nu} - \varepsilon_4 \cdot k_{123} f_{1235}^{\mu\nu} - \varepsilon_3 \cdot k_{12} f_{1245}^{\mu\nu} - \varepsilon_5 \cdot k_{123\varepsilon_4} \cdot k_{123} f_{123}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_4 \cdot \varepsilon_5 \varepsilon_4 \cdot k_{123} f_{123}^{\mu\nu} \\
& + [\varepsilon_1 \cdot k_2 f_{2345}^{\mu\nu} + \varepsilon_2 \cdot k_1 \varepsilon_3 \cdot k_1 f_{145}^{\mu\nu} + \varepsilon_2 \cdot k_1 \varepsilon_3 \cdot k_1 \varepsilon_4 \cdot k_1 \varepsilon_5 \cdot k_1 f_1^{\mu\nu} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2)] \\
& + \left[ \left\{ \varepsilon_3 \cdot k_2 \varepsilon_5 \cdot k_{124} f_{214}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_3 \cdot \varepsilon_5 k_2 \cdot k_3 f_{124}^{\mu\nu} - \varepsilon_3 \cdot k_2 \varepsilon_4 \cdot k_{12} f_{125}^{\mu\nu} - \varepsilon_3 \cdot k_2 \varepsilon_4 \cdot k_1 \varepsilon_5 \cdot k_1 f_{12}^{\mu\nu} \right. \right. \\
& - \varepsilon_3 \cdot k_2 \varepsilon_4 \cdot k_1 \varepsilon_5 \cdot k_2 f_{12}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_3 \cdot \varepsilon_5 \varepsilon_4 \cdot k_1 k_2 \cdot k_3 f_{12}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_4 \cdot \varepsilon_5 \varepsilon_3 \cdot k_2 k_1 \cdot k_4 f_{12}^{\mu\nu} \\
& \left. \left. - (123 \rightarrow 312) \right\} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] + \left[ \left\{ \varepsilon_3 \cdot k_2 \varepsilon_4 \cdot k_2 \varepsilon_5 \cdot k_1 f_{21}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_1 \cdot \varepsilon_5 \varepsilon_3 \cdot k_2 \varepsilon_4 \cdot k_2 k_1 \cdot k_2 f_2^{\mu\nu} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - (123 \rightarrow 312) - (1234 \rightarrow 4123) \right\} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] + \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \varepsilon_3 \cdot \varepsilon_4 k_2 \cdot k_3 f_{215}^{\mu\nu} - \varepsilon_3 \cdot \varepsilon_4 \varepsilon_5 \cdot k_1 k_2 \cdot k_3 f_{12}^{\mu\nu} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \varepsilon_3 \cdot \varepsilon_4 \varepsilon_1 \cdot k_2 \varepsilon_5 \cdot k_2 k_2 \cdot k_3 f_2^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_1 \cdot \varepsilon_5 \varepsilon_3 \cdot \varepsilon_4 k_1 \cdot k_2 k_2 \cdot k_3 f_2^{\mu\nu} - (123 \rightarrow 312) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - (1234 \rightarrow 4123) + (1234 \rightarrow 4312) \right\} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] + \left[ \left\{ \varepsilon_3 \cdot k_2 \varepsilon_4 \cdot k_2 \varepsilon_5 \cdot k_2 f_{21}^{\mu\nu} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_3 \cdot \varepsilon_5 \varepsilon_4 \cdot k_2 k_2 \cdot k_3 f_{12}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_4 \cdot \varepsilon_5 \varepsilon_3 \cdot k_2 k_2 \cdot k_4 f_{12}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{8} \varepsilon_2 \cdot \varepsilon_4 \varepsilon_3 \cdot \varepsilon_5 k_1 \cdot k_2 k_2 \cdot k_3 f_1^{\mu\nu} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \frac{1}{8} \varepsilon_2 \cdot \varepsilon_3 \varepsilon_4 \cdot \varepsilon_5 k_1 \cdot k_2 k_2 \cdot k_4 f_1^{\mu\nu} - (123 \rightarrow 312) - (1234 \rightarrow 4123) - (12345 \rightarrow 51234) \right\} \right. \\
& \left. - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] + \left[ \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_3 \cdot \varepsilon_4 \varepsilon_5 \cdot k_2 k_2 \cdot k_3 f_{21}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{8} \varepsilon_2 \cdot \varepsilon_5 \varepsilon_3 \cdot \varepsilon_4 k_1 \cdot k_2 k_2 \cdot k_3 f_1^{\mu\nu} - (123 \rightarrow 312) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - (1234 \rightarrow 4123) + (1234 \rightarrow 4312) - (12345 \rightarrow 51234) + (12345 \rightarrow 53124) \right\} \right. \\
& \left. - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] + \left[ \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_4 \cdot \varepsilon_5 \varepsilon_3 \cdot k_4 k_2 \cdot k_3 f_{21}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_4 \cdot \varepsilon_5 \varepsilon_2 \cdot k_3 \varepsilon_3 \cdot k_4 k_1 \cdot k_2 f_1^{\mu\nu} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_4 \cdot \varepsilon_5 \varepsilon_1 \cdot k_2 \varepsilon_3 \cdot k_4 k_2 \cdot k_3 f_2^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{8} \varepsilon_2 \cdot \varepsilon_3 \varepsilon_4 \cdot \varepsilon_5 k_1 \cdot k_2 k_3 \cdot k_4 f_1^{\mu\nu} - (f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4 f_5)^{\mu\nu} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - (123 \rightarrow 312) - (1234 \rightarrow 4123) + (1234 \rightarrow 4312) - (12345 \rightarrow 51234) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + (12345 \rightarrow 54123) - (12345 \rightarrow 54312) + (12345 \rightarrow 53124) \right\} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

It can be checked that the five-particle case also satisfies the GJI, i. e.

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{12345}^{\mu\nu} + f_{21345}^{\mu\nu} &= 0, & f_{12345}^{\mu\nu} + f_{31245}^{\mu\nu} + f_{23145}^{\mu\nu} &= 0, \\
f_{12345}^{\mu\nu} - f_{12435}^{\mu\nu} + f_{34125}^{\mu\nu} - f_{34215}^{\mu\nu} &= 0, \\
f_{12345}^{\mu\nu} - f_{12354}^{\mu\nu} + f_{45123}^{\mu\nu} - f_{45213}^{\mu\nu} - f_{45312}^{\mu\nu} + f_{45321}^{\mu\nu} &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

- 
- [1] N. Ahmadinia and C. Schubert, *EPL* **130**, 41001 (2020), arXiv:2001.00885 [hep-th].  
[2] F. A. Berends and W. T. Giele, *Nucl. Phys.* **B306**, 759 (1988).  
[3] S. Lee, C. R. Mafra, and O. Schlotterer, *JHEP* **03**, 090 (2016), arXiv:1510.08843 [hep-th].  
[4] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, and H. Johansson, *Phys. Rev.* **D78**, 085011 (2008), arXiv:0805.3993 [hep-ph].  
[5] C. R. Mafra and O. Schlotterer, *JHEP* **07**, 153 (2014), arXiv:1404.4986 [hep-th].  
[6] C. R. Mafra and O. Schlotterer, *Phys. Rev.* **D92**, 066001 (2015), arXiv:1501.05562 [hep-th].

- [7] C. R. Mafra and O. Schlotterer, *JHEP* **03**, 097 (2016), arXiv:1510.08846 [hep-th].
- [8] M. Berg, I. Buchberger, and O. Schlotterer, *JHEP* **04**, 163 (2017), arXiv:1603.05262 [hep-th].
- [9] M. Berg, I. Buchberger, and O. Schlotterer, *JHEP* **07**, 138 (2017), arXiv:1611.03459 [hep-th].
- [10] L. M. Garozzo, L. Queimada, and O. Schlotterer, *JHEP* **02**, 078 (2019), arXiv:1809.08103 [hep-th].
- [11] E. Bridges and C. R. Mafra, *JHEP* **10**, 022 (2019), arXiv:1906.12252 [hep-th].
- [12] A. Edison, S. He, O. Schlotterer, and F. Teng, *JHEP* **09**, 079 (2020), arXiv:2005.03639 [hep-th].
- [13] H. Kawai, D. C. Lewellen, and S. H. H. Tye, *Nucl. Phys.* **B269**, 1 (1986).
- [14] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, and H. Johansson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 061602 (2010), arXiv:1004.0476 [hep-th].
- [15] Z. Bern and D. A. Kosower, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**, 1669 (1991).
- [16] Z. Bern and D. A. Kosower, *Nuclear Physics B* **362**, 389 (1991).
- [17] Z. Bern and D. A. Kosower, *Nuclear Physics B* **379**, 451 (1992).
- [18] M. J. Strassler, *Nucl. Phys. B* **385**, 145 (1992), arXiv:hep-ph/9205205.
- [19] M. J. Strassler, Unpublished. (1992).
- [20] C. Schubert, *Physics Reports* **355**, 73 (2001), arXiv:hep-th/0101036.
- [21] J. P. Edwards and C. Schubert (2019) arXiv:1912.10004 [hep-th].
- [22] N. Ahmadiniazi and C. Schubert, *Nucl. Phys. B* **869**, 417 (2013), arXiv:1210.2331 [hep-ph].
- [23] N. Ahmadiniazi and C. Schubert, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **25**, 1642004 (2016), arXiv:1811.10780 [hep-th].
- [24] M. G. Schmidt and C. Schubert, *Phys. Rev.* **D53**, 2150 (1996), arXiv:hep-th/9410100 [hep-th].
- [25] N. Ahmadiniazi, C. Lopez-Arcos, M. A. Lopez-Lopez, and C. Schubert, (2020), arXiv:2012.11791 [hep-th].
- [26] C. Schubert, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **5**, 693 (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9710067.
- [27] A. M. Garsia, in *Analysis, et Cetera*, edited by P. H. Rabinowitz and E. Zehnder (Academic Press, 1990) pp. 309–382.
- [28] C. Reutenauer and P. de Mathematiques-Informatique Christophe Reutenauer, *Free Lie Algebras*, LMS monographs (Clarendon Press, 1993).
- [29] Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, M. Perelstein, and J. S. Rozowsky, *Nucl. Phys. B* **546**, 423 (1999), arXiv:hep-th/9811140.
- [30] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, T. Sondergaard, and P. Vanhove, *JHEP* **01**, 001 (2011), arXiv:1010.3933 [hep-th].
- [31] C. R. Mafra, *JHEP* **07**, 080 (2016), arXiv:1603.09731 [hep-th].
- [32] C. R. Mafra (2020) arXiv:2011.14413 [math.CO].
- [33] H. Frost, C. R. Mafra, and L. Mason, (2020), arXiv:2012.00519 [hep-th].
- [34] S. Mizera and B. Skrzypek, *JHEP* **10**, 018 (2018), arXiv:1809.02096 [hep-th].
- [35] C. Lopez-Arcos and A. Quintero Vélez, *JHEP* **11**, 010 (2019), arXiv:1907.12154 [hep-th].
- [36] H. Gomez, R. L. Jusinkas, C. Lopez-Arcos, and A. Quintero Vélez, *JHEP* **02**, 093 (2021), arXiv:2011.09528 [hep-th].
- [37] E. Casali, S. Mizera, and P. Tourkine, *JHEP* **03**, 048 (2021), arXiv:2005.05329 [hep-th].