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Introduction. – The Quantum Hall current in a 2D electron gas pierced by a magnetic flux is insensitive to weak disorder, because it is carried by chiral edge states that do not have a counter-propagating counterpart [1, 2]. With the proposal of the Quantum Anomalous Hall Effect (QAHE) [3], Haldane showed that the key ingredient to engineer chiral edge states is not a net magnetic field flux, but rather the breaking of the time-reversal symmetry itself. This insight has opened the way to the current focus on topological transport of neutral excitations such as photons [4–6], magnons [7, 8], phonons [9, 10] and cold atoms [11, 12].

In this work, we show how the combination of interactions and symplectic phase-space geometry can produce a conceptually different way to generate robust chiral transport, without requiring a breaking of the time-reversal symmetry. It has been recognized for some time that the symplectic geometry of phase space can be viewed as an effective magnetic field. As a consequence, a single quantum particle acquires a Berry phase [13–16] and its band structure for motion in a periodic phase-space potential supports non-trivial Chern numbers [15, 17, 18]. In contrast, here we introduce an interacting many-particle system, of particles arranged in the attractors of such a potential, forming a so-called phase space crystal [15]. We will show that the collective lattice vibrations resulting from the effective long-range interactions give rise to a topologically non-trivial band structure, resulting in chiral phononic edge channels traveling around a phase space crystal of finite extent.

Similar to Floquet approaches for topology [19, 20], we will be employing time-dependent driving, but in our case the drive itself need not break time-reversal symmetry to generate chiral transport, as a consequence of the nonlocal nature of the time reversal operation in phase space. Our construction produces topological channels in a 2D phase space, starting from 1D real space. This is reminiscent of synthetic dimensions [21–23], but unlike that concept, no extra controlled degree of freedom is needed, we automatically get chiral motion, and besides in synthetic dimensions it is very challenging to create non-trivial lattice structures [24] or local and isotropic interactions [22], in contrast to the present approach.

FIG. 1. (a) Sketch of our model: particles (blue) confined in a static harmonic potential (red) and driven by stroboscopic lattices (green). (b) Experimental implementation of our model with a cloud of cold atoms (blue) confined in quasi-1D tubes by two orthogonally polarized lasers (pink) and subject to a tuneable stroboscopic lattice formed by two lasers (green) intersecting at angle \( \theta \). (c) Density plot of single-particle vibrations resulting from the effective long-range interactions give rise to a topologically non-trivial band structure, resulting in chiral phononic edge channels traveling around a phase space crystal of finite extent.

Model. – Consider cold atoms trapped in a quasi-1D elongated harmonic potential with the axial trapping frequency \( \omega_{ax} \) and transverse trapping frequency \( \omega_{tr} \) \( \gg \)
Here, we have defined the vector $Z = 0$, the minima of $\omega$, cf. Fig. 1(c). The frequency of small vibrations about the trema of $\omega$ of which our model is a generalization. Our model will form a lattice in phase space. This physics is already in the single-particle dynamics is dominated by fast trajectories, the lab-frame contact interaction gives rise to the phase-space Hamiltonian $H = \sum_i H_s(Z_i) + \sum_{i<j} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{|Z_i - Z_j|}$. (2)

To treat coordinate and momentum on equal footing, we introduce the phase space force $F_i = -\nabla_i H$ with $\nabla_i \equiv (\partial/\partial X_i, \partial/\partial P_i)$. By defining a unit direction vector perpendicular to the phase space plane $\hat{n} = \hat{n}_X \times \hat{n}_P$, where $\hat{n}_X$ and $\hat{n}_P$ are the unit vectors in $X$ and $P$ direction respectively, we can rewrite Hamilton’s canonical equations in the following compact form

$$\frac{d}{dt} Z_i = \hat{n} \times F_i.$$ (3)

As a result, the phase space force causes a displacement of the atoms perpendicular to the force direction in phase space, which is similar to the Lorentz force.

In the presence of dissipation, the atoms tend to relax towards the stable points and concentrate around the origin of phase space. By introducing a sufficiently strong repulsive interaction ($\gamma > 0$), the atoms will spread and form a disk-shaped crystal, see Fig. 1(b). We note that the atom-atom interaction tends to distort the honeycomb equilibrium configuration. However, it is possible to strongly reduce this effect by counterbalancing the interaction-induced mean-field potential with a laser-generated potential. In practice, this can be achieved simply by choosing the appropriate detuning $\delta \omega$ instead of resonant driving, cf. Fig. 3(c) and Ref. [25].

**Lattice dynamics.**— Each atom oscillates around its equilibrium position, i.e., $Z_L(t) = Z_L^0 + u_L(t)$ where $u_L = (u^X_L, u^P_L)$ is the displacement on the lattice site $L$. The equilibrium points are determined by the condition $\partial H/\partial u_L^\alpha = 0$ ($\alpha \in \{X, P\}$). By expanding the Hamiltonian Eq. (2) up to second order in the displacements and rewriting the resulting quadratic Hamiltonian in terms of the ladder operators $a_\alpha \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\lambda}} [u^X_L + i u^P_L]$, we find [25]

$$\frac{\hat{H}}{\lambda} = \sum_L \omega_L a_L^\dagger a_L + \frac{1}{2} g_L a_L^\dagger a_L^\dagger a_L^\dagger a_L + \frac{1}{2} g_L a_L^\dagger a_L^\dagger a_L^\dagger a_L + \frac{\gamma}{4} \sum_{L \neq L'} a_L^\dagger a_{L'} + 3 e e^{2 \varphi_{L, L'}} a_L^\dagger a_{L'} + h.c.$$ (4)

Here $\omega_L$ are the onsite quasienergies, $\omega_L - \omega_0 \sim O(\gamma)$, and $\varphi_{L, L'}$ is the angular coordinate of $Z_L^0 - Z_{L'}^0$, cf. Fig. 1(d). The Hamiltonian Eq. (4) describes phonons propagating in our honeycomb phase-space crystal. It is reminiscent of the tight-binding model for electrons in graphene, but with two qualitatively new features: (i) Our phase-space phonons can hop between any two arbitrarily distant sites reflecting the long-range nature of the atom-atom interaction, cf. Fig. 1(d); (ii) The excitation-number is not conserved, because a phonon pair can be created or annihilated on any pair of sites.
Time-reversal and chirality.—The time-reversal transformation changes the chirality of 2D real-space trajectories, e.g., from clockwise to anti-clockwise. For this reason, robust chiral edge states — without a time-reversed partner with opposite chirality — can be implemented in 2D real space only after breaking the time-reversal symmetry. However, this constraint does not apply to phase-space crystals, because the chirality of motion in phase space remains unchanged under a time-reversal transformation. Indeed, because of the complex phases $\varphi_{LL'}$, Hamiltonian (4) does not support any local anti-unitary symmetry. This is, in fact, the standard formal precondition for non-trivial Chern numbers and chiral edge states [37, 38]. For phase-space crystals, it can be fulfilled even though the time-reversal remains a symmetry, because this symmetry rearranges the phase-space crystal in a non-local fashion (changing the sign of $P_L$).

The appearance of the complex phases $\varphi_{LL'}$ is a manifestation of the intrinsic chirality of phase space. They are fundamentally different from the non-reciprocal phases of tight-binding Hamiltonians on a 2D real-space lattice in that, being the angular coordinates of the vectors connecting any two lattice sites, they are set by the symplectic geometry of phase space and the phase space interaction. This remains true for any arbitrary phase-space Hamiltonian $H_L(Z)$ and atom-atom interaction $U(Z_{X} − Z_{X'})$ [25].

Connection to the QAHE.—In the regime where the pairing interaction is off-resonant, for $|\gamma| \ll |\omega_0|$, its main effect is to induce effective hopping transitions with non-reciprocal phases [39], cf. Fig. 1(e). This allows to derive an effective excitation-conserving tight-binding model that differs from the well-known Haldane model for the QAHE [3] only in that it includes long-range hopping transitions [25]. As for the Haldane model, the non-reciprocal hopping phases can be viewed as being induced by an effective staggered magnetic field, cf. Fig.1(e), and open a small topological gap of width $\sim \gamma^2/\omega_0$ and with band-gap Chern number $C = −1$, cf. Fig. 2(a).

Strong interaction regime.—In the strong interaction regime, $|\gamma| \gg |\omega_0|$, the phonon number is not even approximately conserved and it is, thus, not possible to employ a single-particle description. The physics is also different compared to superconducting systems [40] (with fermionic pairing-interactions): for bosons, there is no limit to the occupation number of single-particle states, which can lead to the amplification of fluctuations and even to instabilities. A similar scenario has been investigated previously for transport in real space [8, 39, 41] and goes beyond the standard topological settings of insulators and superconductors.

In the stable regime, the Hamiltonian Eq. (4) can be diagonalized via a Bogoliubov transformation [25]. In this settings, the coefficients of the Bogoliubov transformation play a similar role as the single-particle wavefunction and can be used to define a band gap Chern number $[8, 25]$ fulfilling the bulk-boundary correspondence [42].

The band structure for a comparatively strong interaction is shown in Fig. 2(b). In this case, the Chern number $C = −1$ remains the same as in the weak-interaction limit. We note that close to the $K$ and $K'$-points (as labelled in the figure) the lowest band approaches zero quasienergy. In the presence of bosonic pairing interactions, the quasienergy can be viewed as the energy cost of producing a pair of Bogoliubov excitations. When this hits zero for a critical threshold $\gamma_c^+ \approx 115\omega_0$ or $\gamma_c^- \approx −20\omega_0$, the phase-space crystal becomes unstable, leading to a disordered gas phase.

Topological phase diagram.—We have systematically investigated our phase-space crystal by varying the interaction strength but also allowing for different onsite quasienergies, $\omega_L \equiv \omega_0 \pm 2\Delta$, for the two honeycomb sub-lattices. The onsite detuning $\Delta$ (engineered using additional stroboscopic lasers [25]) breaks the inversion symmetry, allowing a trivial band gap [3]. The ensuing topological phase diagram is shown in Fig. 2(c). For $\Delta = 0$, the phase-space crystal has Chern number $C = −1$ for a broad range of attractive and repulsive interactions, but can also switch to $C = 2$ for negative interactions, before becoming unstable. The $C = 2$ topological phase is not present in the Haldane model [3]. In the region of weak interactions, the band edge is cone-shaped and the band gap scales as $\gamma^2/\omega_0$ for $\Delta = 0$. In this regime, the gap determines how far the topological region extends into the $\Delta \neq 0$ region.

Topological transport.—Before investigating a realistic
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**Figure 3. Topological edge states.** (a) Strip-shaped phase-space crystal with two topological edge states propagating on the boundaries. Inset shows atoms’ orbits (circles) and vibration energy (colour). (b) Band structure of the strip with colour indicating the average $P$ of each eigenstate. (c) Disk-shaped phase-space crystal with random defects on the edge. We show one edge channel wave packet at different temporal instants. (d) Energy spectrum with the blue arrow indicating the energy level centre for constructing the wave packet in (c) by the Gaussian superposition of eigenstates. (e) Spacetime plot of wave packet, projected on the spatial X dimension. (f) Vibration $|\psi_L(t)|^2$ of the atom marked by red circle in (c). (g) Finite-time-window power spectrum $S(\omega, t)$ of a collective signal from all the atoms. [Parameters: interaction $\gamma = 25\omega_0$ for all figures; disk radius $R = 8\pi$ and $\delta \omega = 1.35\pi R^{-1}$ for (c)-(g); time window $\Delta t = 10 \times 2\pi\omega_0^{-1}$ for (g)]

disk-shaped phase-space crystal, we consider a conceptually simpler strip geometry, cf Fig. 3(a). For simplicity we neglect the interaction-induced (slight) deformation of the equilibrium configuration. We plot the resulting strip band structure in Fig. 3(b), for parameters in the topological phase $C = -1$. As usual for Chern insulators, the edge states on opposite physical boundaries have opposite propagation direction and, thus, the same chirality, Fig. 3(a-b). However, in contrast to a standard 2D Chern insulator, here, they are mapped onto each other via the time-reversal transformation (which is a non-local symmetry in phase space). We emphasize that the chirality of the collective topological excitations is not a trivial consequence of the (clockwise) chirality of the single-atom motion about the attractors: The collective motion is only chiral within the topological band gap and can even have anti-clockwise chirality (for the $C = 2$ phase, see [25]).

We now turn to a realistic disk-shaped crystal with a randomly-shaped boundary [Fig. 3(c)]. Here, we fully take into account the atom-atom interaction, including the deformation of the equilibrium configuration. As discussed above, one can reduce the deformation using a finite laser detuning $\delta \omega$, cf. Eq. (1) and Ref. [25]. We illustrate transport by tracking the time evolution of a (Gaussian) wave packet with average quasienergy $\omega_c$ in the middle of the band gap, cf. Fig. 3(d). It can be readily observed that the transport, even for this small disk-shaped crystal, is robust against the boundary defects [43]. A more systematic view is obtained projecting the evolution of the wave packet onto the coordinate $X$ in the rotating frame, cf. Fig. 3(e). The time evolution of a single (selected) atom’s vibrational energy likewise reveals the periodicity of the packet traversing the disk’s circumference, cf. Fig. 3(f). However, in any real experiment, it might be easier to obtain a collective signal from all the atoms, for example by light scattering: $I(t) = \sum_j \cos[k_s X_j(t)]$. This signal can be most easily interpreted by using a stroboscopic optical lattice for detection, i.e., choosing times $t$ in sync with the rotating frame at $\omega_0$. One can then extract the finite-time-window power spectrum $S(\omega, t) \sim \left| \left\langle (I(t) - \langle I \rangle)e^{i\omega t} \right\rangle \right|^2_\Delta t$, where $\left\langle \cdots \right\rangle_\Delta t$ represents the time average over a finite time window. In Fig. 3(g), we plot the power spectrum $S(\omega, t)$ at $k_s = 8.55$ as a function of time. The periodic temporal peaks of $S$ nicely indicate the chiral motion of the wave packet.

**Experimental parameters**– The parameters used for Figs. 3(c)-(g) could be obtained in an experiment with ultracold $^{87}$Rb atoms. An appropriate experimental setup involves 8 lasers (4 for the static trapping, 2 for the stroboscopic trapping and 2 for the optomechanical detection), see sketch in Fig. 1(a) and Ref. [25] for more details. Assuming a realistic longitudinal trapping frequency $\omega_{ax} = 2\pi \times 50$ Hz and $\omega_0 = 0.1$ (to guarantee slow vibrations in the rotating frame), the wavepacket’s time of flight during a round-trip along the disk edge would be approximately $2\pi \times 50/(\omega_{ax}\omega_0) \approx 10$ s, shorter than the typical lifetime of the atom cloud [44–46]. With $k^* = 45$ µm, one works in the semi-classical regime ($\lambda = 0.04$). In the experiment, the transverse trapping frequency can reach up to $\omega_{tr} \approx 2\pi \times 1.0$ MHz [32]. To avoid exciting the transverse mode during collisions, there is a restriction for the radius of the phase space crystal, $R < k^* \sqrt{\hbar \omega_{ax}}/m \omega_0^2 \approx 9.2\pi$ [25]. Taking into account the 3D scattering length $a = 5.3$ nm for $^{87}$Rb atoms, the 1D dimensionless interaction strength is $\gamma = 2\hbar \omega_{tr}ak^3/(m \omega_0^2) \approx 1.25$, which can be further tuned by Feshbach resonance or adjusting $k$ [25].

**Realization in real space**– The phase-space dynamics investigated here could also be realized in 2D real space using an ensemble of ions. In an out-of-plane magnetic field $B = B_n$, the trajectories of ions can be decomposed into the cyclotron motion and the drift of cyclotron centre (magnetron motion). In the infinite charge-to-mass ratio limit $q/m \to \infty$, the magnetron dynamics is governed by $\ddot{r}_i = (qB)^{-1}F_i \times \hat{n}$, which has the same form as the phase-space dynamics Eq. (3). Considering that the phase-space interaction Eq. (2) has the Coulomb form,
our model is implemented in the 2D external electrostatic potential \( \phi_s(Z) \propto H_s(Z) \) with \( Z = (X, Y) \), cf. Eq. (1).

**Outlook**—Robust topology produced by the combination of symplectic phase-space geometry and interactions represents a versatile concept that can be implemented in many physical platforms. For atoms with spin, one would obtain nonlocal spin-dependent interactions in phase space \([15, 47]\), coupling spin waves to topological phase-space phonons. Long-range real-space interactions permit the exploration of higher-dimensional generalizations of the physics discussed here in 1D. More complex driving can be used to synthesize arbitrary phase-space potentials \([48]\), and single-shot measurements of multia tom configurations will allow the observation of additional effects like nonlinear evolution.
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We discuss how to synthesize arbitrary lattice structures in phase space via multiple stroboscopic lattices. We start from the following generalised model of kicked harmonic oscillator

\[ H_s = \frac{1}{2} (x^2 + p^2) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{q} K_q \cos(k_q x - \phi_q) \delta \left( \frac{t}{\tau} - \theta_q - n \right). \]  
\[ (5) \]

Here \( q \) represents the kicking sequence of stroboscopic lattices whose intensity \( K_q \), wave vector \( k_q \), and phase \( \phi_q \) can be tuned at different time instances \( t = \tau(n + \theta_q) \) with \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). To simplify the discussion, we first consider a single kick,

\[ H_s = \frac{1}{2} (x^2 + p^2) + K_q \cos(k_q x - \phi_q) \delta \left( \frac{t}{\tau} - \theta_q - n \right). \]  
\[ (6) \]  

**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL FOR PHASE-SPACE CRYSTAL VIBRATIONS:**

**CHIRAL EDGE STATES WITH PRESERVED TIME-REVERSAL SYMMETRY**

I. Phase-space lattice Hamiltonian

**General form**

We discuss how to synthesize arbitrary lattice structures in phase space via multiple stroboscopic lattices. We start from the following generalised model of kicked harmonic oscillator

\[ H_s = \frac{1}{2} (x^2 + p^2) + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{q} K_q \cos(k_q x - \phi_q) \delta \left( \frac{t}{\tau} - \theta_q - n \right). \]  
\[ (5) \]

Here \( q \) represents the kicking sequence of stroboscopic lattices whose intensity \( K_q \), wave vector \( k_q \), and phase \( \phi_q \) can be tuned at different time instances \( t = \tau(n + \theta_q) \) with \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). To simplify the discussion, we first consider a single kick,

\[ H_s = \frac{1}{2} (x^2 + p^2) + K_q \cos(k_q x - \phi_q) \delta \left( \frac{t}{\tau} - \theta_q - n \right). \]  
\[ (6) \]
We transform the above Hamiltonian into rotating frame with kicking frequency $2\pi/\tau$ using the generating function of the second kind

$$G_2(x, P, t) = \frac{xP}{\cos(2\pi t/\tau)} - \frac{1}{2}x^2 \tan \left(\frac{2\pi t}{\tau}\right) - \frac{1}{2}P^2 \tan \left(\frac{2\pi t}{\tau}\right). \quad (7)$$

The corresponding canonical transformation is given by

$$p = \frac{\partial G_2}{\partial x}, \quad X = \frac{\partial G_2}{\partial P}, \quad H_s(X, P, t) = H_s(x, p, t) + \frac{\partial G_2}{\partial t},$$

which results in the transformation of phase-space coordinates

$$x = P \sin \left(\frac{2\pi t}{\tau}\right) + X \cos \left(\frac{2\pi t}{\tau}\right), \quad p = P \cos \left(\frac{2\pi t}{\tau}\right) - X \sin \left(\frac{2\pi t}{\tau}\right) \quad (8)$$

and the transformed Hamiltonian

$$H_s(X, P, t) = \frac{1}{2} \delta \omega (X^2 + P^2) + K_q \cos \left[k_q \left(P \sin \left(\frac{2\pi t}{\tau}\right) + X \cos \left(\frac{2\pi t}{\tau}\right)\right) - \phi_q\right] \delta \left(\frac{t}{\tau} - \theta_q - n\right). \quad (9)$$

Here, we have defined the global detuning parameter $\delta \omega \equiv 1 - 2\pi/\tau$ between kicking and harmonic oscillation. For weak resonant driving ($|K_q| \ll 1, \delta \omega = 0$), the single-particle dynamics can be separated by the fast harmonic oscillation and the low motion of its quadratures $(X, P)$. The effective slow dynamics of quadratures $(X, P)$ is given by the lowest-order Magnus expansion, i.e., the time average of $H_s(X, P, t)$ in one kicking time period,

$$H_s(X, P, t) = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau H_s(X, P, t) dt = K_q \cos \left[k_q \left(P \sin 2\pi \theta_q + X \cos 2\pi \theta_q\right) - \phi_q\right]. \quad (10)$$

The above derivation is for classical dynamics. For quantum dynamics, the result has the same form with replacing $X$ and $P$ by their operators [15, 49]. Extending the result for single kick to all kicks in Eq. (5), we obtain the general form of phase-space lattice Hamiltonian

$$H_s(X, P) = \sum_q K_q \cos \left[k_q \left(P \sin 2\pi \theta_q + X \cos 2\pi \theta_q\right) - \phi_q\right]. \quad (11)$$

In principle, any arbitrary lattice Hamiltonian in phase space can be synthesized by multiple stroboscopic lattices.

**Honeycomb phase-space lattice**

For the honeycomb lattice considered in our work, we can get the desired driving parameters by decomposing the honeycomb lattice into a series of cosine functions, i.e.,

$$H_s(X, P) = 16\Lambda \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}X + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}P\right) \sin^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2}X + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}P\right) \sin^2 \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}P\right)$$

$$= \Lambda \left(\frac{3}{2} + \cos[2(X \cos 0 + P \sin 0)]
+ \cos[2(X \cos \frac{\pi}{3} + P \sin \frac{\pi}{3})] + \cos[2(X \cos \frac{2\pi}{3} + P \sin \frac{2\pi}{3})]
+ \frac{1}{2} \cos\left[\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}(X \cos \frac{\pi}{6} + P \sin \frac{\pi}{6}) - \pi\right] + \frac{1}{2} \cos\left[\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}(X \cos \frac{5\pi}{6} + P \sin \frac{5\pi}{6}) - \pi\right]
+ \frac{1}{2} \cos\left[\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}(X \cos \frac{3\pi}{2} + P \sin \frac{3\pi}{2}) - \pi\right]
+ \cos\left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(X \cos \frac{\pi}{2} + P \sin \frac{\pi}{2}) - \pi\right] + \cos\left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(X \cos \frac{7\pi}{6} + P \sin \frac{7\pi}{6}) - \pi\right]
+ \cos\left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(X \cos \frac{11\pi}{6} + P \sin \frac{11\pi}{6}) - \pi\right]\right). \quad (12)$$

Comparing the above expansion to Eq. (11), we can generate the honeycomb phase space Hamiltonian (up to a constant $3\Lambda/2$) by choosing the kicking parameters and kicking sequence given in Fig. 4. The extrema of $H_s(X, P)$
Here we have also included the global detuning given by Eq. (9).

The two sublattices of the phase-space honeycomb lattice Hamiltonian (13) have the same vibration frequency at \( \tau/12 \). Adding a driving field into a static Hamiltonian does not necessarily breaks time-reversal symmetry. If the time-dependent Hamiltonian is an even function of time (with respect to a proper reference time point) \( H(t) = H(-t) \), the system still preserves time-reversal symmetry. More strictly speaking, if \( Z(t) \equiv (x(t), p(t)) \) satisfies the following Hamiltonian equation of motion

\[
\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = \frac{\partial H(x(t), p(t), t)}{\partial p(t)}, \quad \frac{dp(t)}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H(x(t), p(t), t)}{\partial x(t)},
\]

the time-reversal partner \( Z'(t) \equiv (x(-t), -p(-t)) \) is also the solution of the same Hamiltonian equation given that \( H(x, p, t) = H(x, -p, -t) \). In Fig. 4, we show the kicking sequence below the parameter table to generate a honeycomb sequence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \theta_q )</th>
<th>( K_q )</th>
<th>( k_q )</th>
<th>( \phi_q )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0, 2/12, 4/12</td>
<td>( \Lambda/2 )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/12, 8/12, 10/12</td>
<td>( \Lambda/2 )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/12, 5/12, 9/12</td>
<td>( \Lambda/2 )</td>
<td>4( \sqrt{3} )</td>
<td>( \pi )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/12, 7/12, 11/12</td>
<td>( \Lambda )</td>
<td>2( \sqrt{3} )</td>
<td>( \pi )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/12, 5/12, 9/12</td>
<td>2( \Delta/\sqrt{3} )</td>
<td>2( \sqrt{3} )</td>
<td>3( \pi/2 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 4. Kicking parameters for generating honeycomb phase space lattice Hamiltonian. Below the parameter table, we show the corresponding kicking sequence, which preserves a time-reversal symmetry if the reference time point is shifted by \( \tau/12 \).
lattice Hamiltonian. It is clear that the kicking sequence preserves time-reversal symmetry if the reference point of time is shifted by $\tau/12$. Therefore, our model indeed preserves time-reversal symmetry.

From the canonical transformation (8), the phase space Hamiltonian $H_s(x, p)$ describes the stroboscopic dynamics of $H_s(x, p)$ in the lab frame with stroboscopic time period $\Delta t = \tau$. Shifting a time reference point by $\tau/12$ in the kicking sequence merely means the stroboscopic time steps are also shifted by $\tau/12$ accordingly, i.e., $t = \tau/12 + n\tau$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$). Under this choice, the time-reversal transformation in the lab frame $(x(t), p(t)) \rightarrow (x(-t), -p(-t))$ has the same form in the stroboscopic dynamics $(X(t), P(t)) \rightarrow (X(-t), -P(-t))$, which is a nonlocal transformation in the $(X, P)$ phase space since it includes a mirror operation with respect to $X = 0$. This is the choice we adopt throughout our paper. If other reference time point is chosen for stroboscopic dynamics, the time-reversal transformation of $(x, p)$ corresponds to a more complex transformation of quadratures $(X, P)$, in which the axial of mirror operation in $(X, P)$ phase space is rotated by an angle.

II. Many-body Hamiltonian

We consider many interacting particles trapped in the same 1D harmonic potential. The interaction $V(x_i - x_j)$ induces an effective interaction of two particles on their quadratures [15, 33–35]. The general method to extract this effective interaction in phase space has been developed in Refs. [15, 35]. The interaction of cold atoms due to the $s$-wave scattering is a point-like contact interaction $V(x_i - x_j) = \gamma \delta(x_i - x_j)$. The effective phase-space interaction potential is a Coulomb-like interaction $U(R_{ij}) = \gamma r^{-1} R_{ij}^{-1}$, where

$$R_{ij} \equiv \sqrt{(X_i - X_j)^2 + (P_i - P_j)^2} = |Z_i - Z_j|$$

is the phase-space distance between two atoms $i$ and $j$. The effective phase space interaction is valid for well-separated atoms which is the case of phase space crystals considered in this work [15, 35]. Finally, we have the many-body Hamiltonian for this work

$$H = \sum_L H_s(X_L, P_L) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{L \neq L'} U(R_{LL'}) \equiv T + \Phi. \quad (16)$$

Here, we have relabelled the atoms by the subscript $L = (l, s)$ representing the $l$-th atom in the $s \in \{A, B\}$ sublattice. $T \equiv \sum_L H_s(X_L, P_L)$ is the total single-particle contribution and $\Phi \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{L \neq L'} U(R_{LL'})$ the total interaction part.

Equilibrium configuration

In the presence of the lattice potential and their effective interaction, the atoms have equilibrium configuration in phase space. The equilibrium points of atoms $Z^0_L = (X^0_L, P^0_L)$ are determined by the condition

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial X_L} \bigg|_{(X^0_L, P^0_L)} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial P_L} \bigg|_{(X^0_L, P^0_L)} = 0, \quad (17)$$

where $H$ is the many-body Hamiltonian (16). For the periodic boundaries in both $X$ and $P$ directions, the interactions from symmetric directions cancel each other and the equilibrium points are given by the honeycomb lattice sites. The periodic boundary is helpful for theoretical study. In the real experimental setup, however, the equilibrium positions of atoms will deviate from the lattice sites due to the open boundary of phase space crystal. In fact, the atoms tend to relax towards the stable points and concentrate about the origin of the phase space due to the presence of dissipation. By introducing a relative strong repulsive interaction ($\gamma > 0$), the atoms will spread over the phase space and form a disk-shaped crystal state as shown by Fig. 1(c) in the main text. Note that the interaction of the atoms on the disk will tend to distort the honeycomb equilibrium configuration. There is a mean-field potential induced by the interaction. The effective potential generated by the disk crystal in phase space can be approximated by the Coulomb potential on a uniformly charged disk plane (up to a constant due to the discretisation)

$$U(Z_i) = 2\pi \sigma R \left[ 1 - \sum_{l=0}^{2l+1} \left( \frac{2l+1}{(2l+2)!} \right)^2 \left( \frac{|Z_i|}{R} \right)^{2l+2} \right], \quad (18)$$

where $R$ is the radius of disk, $|Z_i| < R$ is the phase space distance to the original point of an atom on the disk, and $\sigma = \gamma/\sqrt{3}\pi^3$ is the effective charge density. The leading term ($l = 0$) in Eq. (18) produces a harmonic potential
\[ \mathcal{U}(|Z_i|) \sim -\sigma \pi R^{-1} |Z_i|^2 / 2. \]

Considering contribution from high-order \( l \)-th terms in Eq. (18), we find a better fitting with parabolic function gives
\[ \mathcal{U}(|Z_i|) \sim -1.35 \sigma \pi R^{-1} |Z_i|^2 / 2. \]

Therefore, we can introduce an additional global detuning term \( 1.35 \sigma \pi R^{-1} |Z|^2 / 2 \) in the single-particle Hamiltonian (15), to strongly counterbalance the interaction-induced mean-field potential.

**Hamiltonian of phase-space lattice waves**

We expand the total many-body Hamiltonian (16) around the equilibrium positions of atoms, i.e., \( \mathbf{Z}_L(t) = \mathbf{Z}_L^0 + \mathbf{u}_L(t) \) where \( \mathbf{u}_L = (u_L^X, u_L^Y) \) is the displacement on the lattice site \( L \). To the second order, the many-body Hamiltonian is given by (up to a constant)
\[ H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \sum_{L,L'} \eta_{\alpha \beta}^{LL'} u_L^\alpha u_{L'}^\beta, \]
with the matrix \( \eta_{\alpha \beta}^{LL'} \) given by
\[ \eta_{\alpha \beta}^{LL'} = \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial u_L^\alpha \partial u_{L'}^\beta} \bigg|_0 = T_{\alpha \beta}^{LL'} + \Phi_{\alpha \beta}^{LL'}. \]

We call \( \eta_{\alpha \beta}^{LL'} \) the phase space force matrix, which means the resultant force along \( \alpha \) direction exerted on the \( L \)-th atom due to the unit displacement along \( \beta \) direction of the \( L' \)-th atom. The contribution to \( \eta_{\alpha \beta}^{LL'} \) from the single-particle Hamiltonian (15) is
\[ T_{\alpha \beta}^{LL'} = \frac{\partial^2 H_{\alpha}}{\partial u_L^\alpha \partial u_{L'}^\beta} \bigg|_0 = \frac{\partial^2 H_{\alpha}}{\partial u_L^\alpha \partial u_{L'}^\beta} \bigg|_0 \delta_{LL'} = T_{\alpha \beta}^{LL} \delta_{LL'} = (\omega_0 \pm 2 \Delta) \delta_{\alpha \beta} \delta_{LL'}. \]

The contribution from interaction part can be obtained by calculating the derivative of interaction potential
\[ \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Z_L^\alpha} = \sum_{L' \neq L} \frac{U'(R_{LL'})}{R_{LL'}} \left[ (X_L - X_{L'}) \delta_{X \alpha} + (P_L - P_{L'}) \delta_{P \alpha} \right]. \]

For two different atoms at different lattice sites \( (L \neq L') \), we have the second derivative
\[ \Phi_{\alpha \beta}^{LL'} = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial Z_L^\alpha \partial Z_{L'}^\beta} = \frac{U'(R_{LL'})}{R_{LL'}} \left[ (X_L - X_{L'}) \delta_{X \alpha} + (P_L - P_{L'}) \delta_{P \alpha} \right] \left[ (X_L - X_{L'}) \delta_{X \beta} + (P_L - P_{L'}) \delta_{P \beta} \right] \left[ (X_L - X_{L'}) \delta_{X \alpha} + (P_L - P_{L'}) \delta_{P \alpha} \right] \]
\[ = \sum_{L' \neq L} \left( -1 \right) \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial Z_L^\beta \partial Z_L^\alpha}. \]
We introduce the complex field at each lattice site \( a_L(t) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} [u_L^X(t) + ia_L^Y(t)] \), where \( \lambda = \hbar k^2/m\omega_x \) is the dimensionless Planck constant, and obtain the Hamiltonian from Eq. (20)

\[
\mathcal{H}/\lambda = \sum_{L,L'} h_{LL'} a_L^\dagger a_{L'} + \frac{1}{2} g_{LL'} a_L^\dagger a_{L'} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{L \neq L'} g_{LL'} a_L a_{L'} \tag{26}
\]

Here, \( h_{LL} \) represents the onsite energy of one excitation on \( L \)-th lattice site, \( h_{LL'}(L \neq L') \) represents the hopping coefficient from \( L' \)-th lattice site to \( L \)-th lattice site, and \( g_{LL'} \) represents pairing coefficient of creating or annihilating two phase-space phonons on the \( L \)-th and \( L' \)-th lattice sites. These coefficients are given by the phase space force

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 h_{LL'} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \eta_{XLL'}^{LL'} + \eta_{YLL'}^{LL'} \right) + i \frac{1}{2} \left( \eta_{XLL'}^{LL'} - \eta_{YLL'}^{LL'} \right) \\
 g_{LL'} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \eta_{XLL'}^{LL'} - \eta_{YLL'}^{LL'} \right) + i \frac{1}{2} \left( \eta_{XLL'}^{LL'} + \eta_{YLL'}^{LL'} \right).
\end{array} \right.
\tag{27}
\]

From the property \( \eta_{a\beta}^{LL'} = \eta_{\beta a}^{L'L} \), we have \( h_{LL'} = h_{L'L}^* \) and \( g_{LL'} = g_{L'L}^* \).

Below, we calculate the explicit form of \( h_{LL'} \) and \( g_{LL'} \) for the case of Coulomb-type interaction \( U(R_{LL'}) = \gamma \pi^{-1} R_{LL'}^{-1} \). For the on-site \( (L \neq L') \) coefficients, we have the hopping coefficients

\[
\begin{align*}
 h_{LL'} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{U'(R_{LL'}) + U'(R_{LL'})}{R_{LL'}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{R_{LL'}} \left[ U''(R_{LL'}) R_{LL'} - U'(R_{LL'}) \right] \\
 &= -\frac{\gamma}{2\pi R_{LL'}^2} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
\]

and the pairing coefficients

\[
\begin{align*}
 g_{LL'} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{U''(R_{LL'}) R_{LL'} - U'(R_{LL'})}{R_{LL'}} \left[ (X_L - X_{L'})^2 - (P_L - P_{L'})^2 + i2(X_L - X_{L'})(P_L - P_{L'}) \right] \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{U''(R_{LL'}) R_{LL'} - U'(R_{LL'})}{R_{LL'}} e^{i2\varphi_{LL'}} \\
 &= -\frac{3\gamma}{2\pi R_{LL'}^2} e^{i2\varphi_{LL'}} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
\]

where the phase parameter \( \varphi_{LL'} \) is defined via

\[
X_L - X_{L'} = R_{LL'} \cos \varphi_{LL'}, \quad P_L - P_{L'} = R_{LL'} \sin \varphi_{LL'}. \tag{30}
\]

For the on-site \( (L = L') \) coefficients, we have the on-site energy

\[
\omega_L \equiv h_{LL} = \frac{1}{2} (T_{XX}^{LL} + T_{PP}^{LL}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{L' \neq L} (\Phi_{XLL'}^{LL'} + \Phi_{YLL'}^{LL'}) \\
= \frac{1}{2} (T_{XX}^{LL} + T_{PP}^{LL}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{L' \neq L} \frac{U''(R_{LL'}) R_{LL'} + U'(R_{LL'})}{R_{LL'}} \\
= \frac{1}{2} (T_{XX}^{LL} + T_{PP}^{LL}) + \sum_{L' \neq L} \frac{\gamma}{2\pi R_{LL'}^2} \tag{31}
\]

and the squeezing rates

\[
g_L \equiv g_{LL} = \frac{1}{2} (T_{XX}^{LL} - T_{PP}^{LL}) + i T_{FX}^{LL} + \sum_{L' \neq L} \frac{3\gamma}{2\pi R_{LL'}^2} e^{i2\varphi_{LL'}}. \tag{32}
\]

The above expressions are valid for any boundary condition and arbitrary single-particle Hamiltonian. Finally, we obtain the Hamiltonian of phase-space lattice waves

\[
\mathcal{H}/\lambda = \sum_L \omega_L a_L^\dagger a_L + \frac{1}{2} g_L a_L^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_L^* a_L^2 - \frac{\gamma}{4\pi} \left( \sum_{L \neq L'} a_L^\dagger a_{L'}^\dagger + 3e^{i2\varphi_{LL'}} a_L^\dagger a_L^\dagger + h.c. \right). \tag{33}
\]
For the honeycomb single-particle Hamiltonian (12) and periodic boundary conditions, we have the simplified results of on-site coefficients
\[
\omega = \omega_0 \pm 2\Delta + \sum_{L' \neq L} \frac{\gamma}{2\pi b_L L'}, \quad g_L = 0,
\] (34)
where the summation in Eq. (32) disappears due to the honeycomb lattice symmetry. The Hamiltonian Eq. (33) describes phonons propagating in our honeycomb phase-space crystal. It is reminiscent of the tight-binding model for electrons in graphene but our phase-space phonons can hop between any two arbitrarily distant sites reflecting the long-range nature of the atom-atom interaction.

**Pairing-induced staggered magnetic field**

In order to better distinguish the effects of the (long-range) hopping and the pairing interaction, it is instructive to first consider a regime where the latter is suppressed, i.e., the creation of phonon pairs is far off-resonant \(|\gamma| \ll |\omega_0|\). In this case, we can cancel the pairing-interaction terms in the Hamiltonian (26) using the following unitary transformation
\[
U = \exp \left( \sum_{L, L'} \xi_{LL'} a_L^\dagger a_{L'}^\dagger - \xi_{LL'}^* a_L a_{L'} \right) \equiv e^Y.
\] (35)
It can be checked that \(U^\dagger = e^{-Y}\) and thus \(U^\dagger U = 1\). Using the following identities
\[
\begin{align*}
[a_L a_{L'}^\dagger, a_{L''} a_{L'''}^\dagger] &= a_{L'} a_{L''}^\dagger \delta_{L L''} a_{L'''} + a_{L''} a_{L'''}^\dagger \delta_{L L'''} a_{L'}, \\
[a_L a_{L'}^\dagger, a_{L''} a_{L'''}^\dagger] &= -a_{L'} a_{L''}^\dagger \delta_{L L''} + a_{L''} a_{L'''}^\dagger \delta_{L L'''} a_{L'}, \\
[a_{L'} a_{L''}^\dagger, a_{L'''} a_{L'''}^\dagger] &= -a_{L'} a_{L''}^\dagger \delta_{L L''} - a_{L''} a_{L'''}^\dagger \delta_{L L'''} - a_{L''} a_{L'''}^\dagger \delta_{L L''} - a_{L'''} a_{L}^\dagger \delta_{L L''}, \\
[a_L a_{L'}, a_{L''} a_{L'''}^\dagger] &= a_{L'} a_{L''}^\dagger \delta_{L L''} + a_{L''} a_{L'''}^\dagger \delta_{L L'''} + a_{L'} a_{L''}^\dagger \delta_{L L''} + a_{L''} a_{L'''}^\dagger \delta_{L L''},
\end{align*}
\] (36)
we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
[a_{L'} a_{L''}^\dagger, Y] &= \sum_L \tilde{\xi}_{LL'} a_L^\dagger a_L^\dagger + \tilde{\xi}_{LL'} a_L a_L^\dagger, \\
[a_{L'} a_{L''}^\dagger, Y] &= \sum_L \tilde{\xi}_{LL'} a_L^\dagger a_L^\dagger + \tilde{\xi}_{LL'} a_L a_L^\dagger, \\
[a_L a_{L'}, Y] &= \sum_L \tilde{\xi}_{LL'} a_L^\dagger a_L^\dagger + \tilde{\xi}_{LL'} a_L a_L^\dagger,
\end{align*}
\] (37)
where we have defined \(\tilde{\xi}_{LL'} \equiv \xi_{LL'} + \xi_{L'L}^*\) with \(\tilde{\xi}_{LL'} = \tilde{\xi}_{L'L}\). The Hamiltonian transformed by \(U\) to the leading order
\[
U^\dagger \mathcal{H} U / \lambda = \mathcal{H} / \lambda + [\mathcal{H} / \lambda, Y] + \cdots
\] (38)
where we have used the Hausdorff expansion
\[
e^{-Y} \mathcal{H} e^Y = \mathcal{H} + [\mathcal{H}, Y] + \frac{1}{2!}[[\mathcal{H}, Y], Y] + \cdots.
\]
The leading-order correction is
\[
[\mathcal{H} / \lambda, Y] = \sum_{L, L'} h_{L L'} \tilde{\xi}_{LL'} a_L^\dagger a_{L'}^\dagger + h_{L L'} \tilde{\xi}_{LL'} a_L a_{L'}^\dagger + \frac{1}{2} g_{L' L} \tilde{\xi}_{LL'} a_{L'} a_{L'}^\dagger + \frac{1}{2} g_{L' L} \tilde{\xi}_{LL'} a_{L'} a_{L'}^\dagger + \frac{1}{2} g_{L' L} \tilde{\xi}_{LL'} a_{L'} a_{L'}^\dagger
\]
\[
= \sum_{L, L'} \tilde{h}_{L L'} a_L^\dagger a_{L'}^\dagger + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}_{L L'} a_L a_{L'}^\dagger + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}_{L L'} a_L a_{L'}^\dagger.
\] (39)
Neglecting constants from \(a_L a_{L'}^\dagger = a_{L'} a_L + 1\) and using the symmetry property \(g_{LL'} = g_{L'L}\), we have the coefficients
\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{h}_{LL'} &= \sum_L g_{LL'} \tilde{\xi}_{LL} + g_{LL'} \tilde{\xi}_{LL'}, \\
\tilde{g}_{LL'} &= \sum_L h_{LL} \tilde{\xi}_{LL'}, \\
\tilde{g}_{L L'} &= \sum_L h_{LL} \tilde{\xi}_{LL'}.
\end{align*}
\] (40)
To cancel the pairing terms in the Hamiltonian (26), it needs \( g_{LL'} + \tilde{g}_{LL'} = 0 \), i.e.,

\[
g_{LL'} = -\sum_L h_{LL'}\xi_{LL'}. \tag{41}\]

We make the assumption that the on-site energy is much larger than the off-site hopping terms, i.e., \( \omega_L \gg h_{LL} \) with \( L \neq \bar{L} \), which results in

\[
\tilde{\xi}_{LL'} \approx -\frac{g_{LL'}}{\omega_L} \implies \xi_{LL'} = \frac{g_{LL'}}{2\omega_L}. \]

Finally, we have the pairing-induced hopping rate

\[
\tilde{h}_{LL'} \approx -\sum_L \frac{2}{\omega_L} h_{LL}g_{LL'}^{*}. \tag{42}\]

Note that the pairing-induced hopping is proportional to the square of interaction strength \( |\tilde{h}_{LL}| \propto \gamma^2/\omega_0 \). Considering the case beyond NN interaction, there is a direct real-valued hopping rate between lattice sites \( L \) and \( \bar{L} \), which is proportional to the interaction strength \( h_{LL} \propto \gamma \). As a result, the pairing-induced non-reciprocal hopping pathway \( \tilde{h}_{LL} \) interferes with a direct hopping pathway \( h_{LL} \) leading to a weak staggered magnetic flux of the order \( \Phi \sim \gamma/\omega_0 \). This is the essential ingredients of Haldane model [3], which introduces a staggered magnetic field breaking the time-reversal symmetry inside each unit cell but leaving the total net flux through the unit cell zero.

Our model recovers the anomalous quantum Hall effect similar to the Haldane model only in the weak off-resonant interaction regime. Our effective particle-conserving description (which is derived from a perturbation theory in \( \gamma/\omega_0 \)) differs from the Haldane model in that it includes long-range hopping transitions. For sufficiently strong interaction (non-perturbative regime), our model has some new features which do not appear in Haldane model, e.g., new topological phase regime and the lost of stability.

III. Topological band structures

Periodic boundary condition

For periodic boundaries both in \( X \) and \( P \) directions, we Fourier transform the linearised Hamiltonian (26) using

\[
a_{l,s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_k a_{k,s} \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{l,s}^0); \quad a_{l,s}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_k a_{k,s}^\dagger \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{l,s}^0),\tag{43}\]

where \( \mathbf{k} = (k_X, k_P) \), \( \mathbf{Z}_{l,s}^0 \) is the equilibrium position of atom \( L = (l, s) \) and \( N \) is the total number of unite cells. The operators from inverse Fourier transformation

\[
a_{k,s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_l a_{l,s} \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{l,s}^0); \quad a_{k,s}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_l a_{l,s}^\dagger \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{l,s}^0), \tag{44}\]

satisfy the commutation of Bosons, i.e.,

\[
[a_{k,s}, a_{k',s'}^\dagger] = \delta_{kk'}\delta_{ss'}; \quad [a_{k,s}, a_{k',s'}] = 0. \tag{45}\]

The hopping terms in the Hamiltonian (26) become

\[
\sum_{l,l'} h_{(l,s),(l',s')} a_{l,s}^\dagger a_{l',s'} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k,k'} a_{k,s}^\dagger a_{k',s'} \sum_{l,l'} h_{(l,s),(l',s')} \exp[-i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{Z}_{l,s}^0 - \mathbf{Z}_{l',s'}^0) - i(k-k') \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{l,s}' - i(k-k') \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{l',s'}']
\]

\[
= \sum_k h_{s,s'}(k) a_{k,s}^\dagger a_{k,s'}, \tag{46}\]

with the definition of hopping coefficients in reciprocal \( k = (k_X, k_P) \) space

\[
h_{s,s'}(k) \equiv \sum_l h_{(l,s),(l',s')} \exp[-i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{Z}_{l,s}^0 - \mathbf{Z}_{l',s'}^0)]. \tag{47}\]
We find the property $h_{s',s}(k) = h_{s',s}(k)$ using the identity $h_{(l,s),(l',s')} = h_{(l',s'),(l,s)}$ and the discrete translational lattice symmetry. Similarly, the pairing terms in the Hamiltonian (26) become

$$\sum_{l,l'} g_{(l,s),(l',s')} a^\dagger_{l,s} a^\dagger_{l',s'} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k,k'} a^\dagger_{k,s} a^\dagger_{k',s'} \sum_{l,l'} g_{(l,s),(l',s')} e^{-ik \cdot (Z_{l,s}^0 - Z_{l',s'}^0) - i(k+k') \cdot Z_{l',s'}}$$

$$= \sum_k g_{s,s'}(k) a_{k,s} a^\dagger_{k,s'}$$

(48)

with the definition of pairing coefficient in reciprocal $\boldsymbol{k} = (k_X, k_Y)$ space

$$g_{s,s'}(k) = \sum_l g_{(l,s),(l',s')} \exp[-i \boldsymbol{k} \cdot (Z_{l,s}^0 - Z_{l',s'}^0)].$$

(49)

We find the property $g_{s,s'}(-\boldsymbol{k}) = g_{s',s}(\boldsymbol{k})$ using the identity $g_{(l,s),(l',s')} = g_{(l',s'),(l,s)}$ and the discrete lattice translational symmetry. As a result, the Hamiltonian in the reciprocal space is given by

$$\mathcal{H}/\lambda = \sum_{k,s,s'} h_{s,s'}(k) a^\dagger_{k,s} a_{k,s'} + \frac{1}{2} g_{s,s'}(k) a^\dagger_{k,s} a^\dagger_{-k,s'} + \frac{1}{2} g_{s,s'}(k) a_{-k,s'} a_{k,s}$$

$$= \sum_k A^T_k \mathcal{H} k A_k,$$

(50)

where we have defined the vector $A_k \equiv (a_{k,A}, a_{k,B}, a^\dagger_{-k,A}, a^\dagger_{-k,B})^T$ and the Bogoliubov-de-Gennes (BdG) Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_k = \begin{pmatrix}
h_{A,A}(k) & h_{A,B}(k) & \frac{1}{2} g_{A,A}(k) & \frac{1}{2} g_{A,B}(k) \\
\frac{1}{2} g_{A,A}(k) & h_{B,B}(k) & \frac{1}{2} g_{B,B}(k) & \frac{1}{2} g_{B,A}(k) \\
\frac{1}{2} g_{B,A}(k) & \frac{1}{2} g_{B,B}(k) & h_{A,A}(-k) & h_{A,B}(-k) \\
\frac{1}{2} g_{B,A}(k) & \frac{1}{2} g_{B,B}(k) & h_{A,B}(-k) & h_{B,B}(-k)
\end{pmatrix}.$$

(51)

From the Heisenberg equation

$$-\frac{i}{\lambda} \frac{d}{dt} a_{k,s} = \frac{1}{\lambda} [\mathcal{H}, a_{k,s}] = \sum_{s'} -h_{s,s'}(k) a_{k,s'} - \frac{1}{2} g_{s,s'}(k) a^\dagger_{-k,s'} - \frac{1}{2} g_{s,s'}(-k) a^\dagger_{-k,s'},$$

we have the EOM for the ladder operator $A_k$ as following

$$i \frac{d}{dt} A_k = D(k) A_k.$$

(52)

Here $D(k)$ is the dynamical matrix defined by

$$D(k) = \begin{pmatrix}
h_{A,A}(k) & h_{A,B}(k) & \bar{g}_{A,A}(k) & \bar{g}_{A,B}(k) \\
\bar{g}_{A,A}(k) & h_{B,B}(k) & \bar{g}_{B,A}(k) & \bar{g}_{B,B}(k) \\
-\bar{g}_{A,B}(k) & -\bar{g}_{B,A}(k) & h_{A,A}(-k) & h_{A,B}(-k) \\
-\bar{g}_{A,B}(k) & -\bar{g}_{B,A}(k) & h_{A,B}(-k) & h_{B,B}(-k)
\end{pmatrix}$$

(53)

wit the symmetric pairing coefficients defined by

$$\bar{g}_{s,s'}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ g_{s,s'}(k) + g_{s',s}(-k) \right].$$

The eigen solutions of EOM (52) can be obtained by diagonalising the dynamical matrix $D(k)$. We label the frequency spectrum by $\omega_{k,n}$, where $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$ is the index of four bands. For each given $k$ and band index $n$, the eigenstate is a four-component vector $|k,n\rangle = (u_{n,A}, u_{n,B}, v_{n,A}, v_{n,B})$. Then, the Hamiltonian can be cast into a diagonal form of

$$\mathcal{H}/\lambda = \sum_{k,n} \omega_{k,n} b^\dagger_{k,n} b_{k,n},$$

(54)

where the normal modes are given by a Bogoliubov transformation in the form of

$$b^\dagger_{k,n} = \sum_{s=A,B} u_{n,s}(k) a_{k,s}^\dagger + \sum_{s=A,B} v_{n,s}(k) a_{-k,s}.$$
From the property \( \tilde{g}_{s,s'}(k) = \tilde{g}_{s,s'}(-k) \), the dynamical matrix has particle-hole symmetry expressed by
\[
\Xi D(k)\Xi^{-1} = -D(-k).
\]
The particle-hole operator is defined via \( \Xi = \tau_x K \) satisfies \( \Xi^2 = +1 \), where
\[
\tau_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]
and \( K \) is the complex conjugation. It follows that each eigenmode at frequency \( \omega(k) \) has a partner eigenmode at \( -\omega(-k) \), namely, creating a quasiparticle in the state \( \omega(k) \) has the same effect as removing one (creating a hole) from the state \( -\omega(-k) \). Therefore, we label the two upper bands \( (n = 1, 2) \) as particle bands and two lower bands \( (n = 3, 4) \) as the hole bands. From the bosonic commutation relationship \([b_{k',n'}, b_{k,n}^\dagger]\) = \( \delta_{n,n'}\delta_{k,k'} \), the eigenmodes have to follow the ortho-normal condition
\[
\langle k, n | \Sigma_z | k, n \rangle \equiv \sum_s u_{n,s}^* u_{n,s} - v_{n,s}^* v_{n,s} = \pm \delta_{n,n'},
\]
where \( \Sigma_z \equiv \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1) \) is a 4 × 4 diagonal matrix and the positive (negative) sign corresponds to particle (hole) bands.

**Symplectic Chern number**

Different from the particle-conserving case, the ground state of the BdG Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H}_k \) is a multi-mode squeezed state with non-zero phonon/photon number. By regarding the \( k = (k_x, k_p) \) as an external adiabatic parameter, we calculate the Berry phase accumulated by a single Bogoliubov quasi-particle in a specific \( n \)-th band along a closed loop covering the whole Brillouin zone (BZ), i.e.,
\[
\Phi_n = i \oint_{BZ} \langle S_k | b_{k,n} \nabla_k b_{k,n}^\dagger | S_k \rangle \cdot dk
= i \oint_{BZ} \langle S_k | [b_{k,n} \nabla_k b_{k,n}^\dagger] | S_k \rangle \cdot dk + i \oint_{BZ} \langle S_k | \nabla_k | S_k \rangle \cdot dk
= i \oint_{BZ} \left[ \sum_s u_{n,s}^*(k) \nabla_k u_{n,s}(k) - v_{n,s}^*(k) \nabla_k v_{n,s}(k) \right] \cdot k + i \oint_{BZ} \langle S_k | \nabla_k | S_k \rangle \cdot dk
\equiv \oint_{BZ} A_n(k) \cdot k + \phi_n.
\]
Here, \( |S_k\rangle \) is the ground state (Bogoliubov vacuum state) of \( \mathcal{H}_k \) and, in the second line, we have used \( b_{k,n} |S_k\rangle = 0 \) by the definition of vacuum state. Because of the unusual ortho-normalization (57), we have updated the definition of the Berry connection by [8, 39]
\[
A_n(k) = i \langle k, n | \Sigma_z \nabla_k | k, n \rangle.
\]
Note that the Berry connection defined here for the Bosonic many-body second-quantized Hamiltonian is different from the Berry connection for the single-particle Hamiltonian. The Bogoliubov vacuum \( |S_k\rangle \) depends on \( k \) and could possibly accumulate a Berry phase, i.e., \( \phi_n \equiv i \oint |S_k| \nabla_k |S_k\rangle \cdot dk \neq 0 \). However, the Berry phase of our interest is the additional Berry phase accumulated by the quasi-particle, i.e., the difference of Bogoliubov vacuum Berry phase and that associated with a single quasiparticle excitation. We thus update the definition of Chern number by [8, 39]
\[
C_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_{BZ} \langle \nabla_k \times A_n(k) \rangle \cdot \hat{n} \in \mathbb{Z},
\]
where \( \hat{n} \) is the unit vector normal to the \( k \)-plane. Due to the additional element \( \Sigma_z \) in the Berry connection (59), the quantity given by Eq. (60) is also called symplectic Chern number. Since the quantum states come back to itself along a closed loop, the quantities \( \Phi_n \) and \( \phi_n \) are integers multiple of \( 2\pi \), and thus the symplectic Chern number is also an integer.

As there is no net geometric phase (total flux of synthetic magnetic field) in our model, the sum of the Chern numbers over the particle bands must be zero. The Chern number of individual band may change after a phase transition where two or more bands touch each other, but their sum does not change. For this reason, we define the Chern number of the lowest band as the Chern number of our system \( C = C_1 \).
Strip boundary condition

For the periodic boundary in \( X \) direction but open boundary in \( P \) direction (strip boundary condition), we can only perform Fourier transformation in \( X \) direction

\[
a_{l,s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_X}} \sum_{k_X} a_{k_X,(l,P,s)} \exp(i k_X X^0_{l,s}); \quad a^\dagger_{l,s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_X}} \sum_{k_X} a^\dagger_{k_X,(l,P,s)} \exp(-i k_X X^0_{l,s}),
\]

(61)

where \( N_X \) is the number of unit cells in \( X \) direction and \((l_P, s)\) labels the position of atoms in \( P \) direction. Then, the hopping terms in the Hamiltonian (26) become

\[
\sum_{l,l'} h_{(l,s),(l',s')} a^\dagger_{l,s} a_{l',s'} = \frac{1}{N_X} \sum_{l,l',k_X,k'_X} a^\dagger_{k_X,(l,P,s)} a_{k'_X,(l',P,s')} h_{(l,s),(l',s')} e^{-i k_X (X^0_{l,s} - X^0_{l',s'}) - i(k_X - k'_X) X^0_{l',s'}}
\]

\[
= \sum_{l_P,l'_P,k_X} h_{(l_P,s),(l'_P,s')} (k_X) a^\dagger_{k_X,(l_P,s)} a_{k_X,(l'_P,s')}
\]

(62)

with the Fourier transformation of hopping coefficients

\[
h_{(l_P,s),(l'_P,s')} (k_X) = \sum_{l_X} h_{(l,s),(l',s')} (k_X) \exp[-i k_X \cdot (X^0_{l,s} - X^0_{l',s'})],
\]

(63)

which has the property \( h^*_{(l'_P,s'),(l_P,s)} (k_X) = h_{(l,s),(l',s')} (k_X) \) using the identity \( h_{(l,s),(l',s')} = h^*_{(l',s'),(l,s)} \) and discrete translational symmetry in \( X \)-direction. Similarly, the pairing terms in the Hamiltonian (26) become

\[
\sum_{l,l'} g_{s,s'} (l-l') a^\dagger_{l,s} a_{l',s'} = \frac{1}{N_X} \sum_{l,l',k_X,k'_X} a^\dagger_{k_X,(l,P,s)} a^\dagger_{k'_X,(l',P,s')} g_{(l,s),(l',s')} e^{-i k_X (X^0_{l,s} - X^0_{l',s'}) - i(k_X + k'_X) X^0_{l',s'}}
\]

\[
= \sum_{l_P,l'_P,k_X} g_{(l_P,s),(l'_P,s')} (k_X) a^\dagger_{k_X,(l_P,s)} a^\dagger_{-k_X,(l'_P,s')}
\]

(64)

with the Fourier transformation of pairing coefficients

\[
g_{(l_P,s),(l'_P,s')} (k_X) = \sum_{l_X} g_{(l,s),(l',s')} (l-l') \exp[-i k_X \cdot (X^0_{l,s} - X^0_{l',s'})],
\]

(65)

which has the property of \( g_{(l'_P,s'),(l_P,s)} (-k_X) = g_{(l',s'),(l,P,s)} (k_X) \) using the identity \( g_{(l,s),(l',s')} = g_{(l',s'),(l,s)} \) and discrete translational symmetry in \( X \)-direction. Therefore, the Hamiltonian in momentum space is

\[
\mathcal{H}/\lambda = \sum_{l_P,l'_P,k_X} h_{(l_P,s),(l'_P,s')} (k_X) a^\dagger_{k_X,(l_P,s)} a_{k_X,(l'_P,s')} + \frac{1}{2} g_{(l_P,s),(l'_P,s')} (k_X) a^\dagger_{k_X,(l_P,s)} a^\dagger_{-k_X,(l'_P,s')} + \frac{1}{2} g^*_{(l'_P,s'),(l_P,s)} (k_X) a_{-k_X,(l_P,s)} a_{-k_X,(l'_P,s')}
\]

From the Heisenberg equation

\[
-i \frac{d}{dt} a_{k_X,(l_P,s)} = \sum_{l'_P,s'} h_{(l_P,s),(l'_P,s')} (k_X) a_{k_X,(l'_P,s')} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ g_{(l_P,s),(l'_P,s')} (k_X) + g_{(l'_P,s'),(l_P,s)} (-k_X) \right] a^\dagger_{-k_X,(l'_P,s')},
\]

(66)

we have EOM for the operator \( A_{l_P} (k_X) \equiv (a_{k_X,(l_P,A)}, a^\dagger_{k_X,(l_P,B)}, a^\dagger_{-k_X,(l_P,A)}, a_{-k_X,(l_P,B)})^T \)

\[
i \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_{l_P} \\ \vdots \\ A_{N_P} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{(l_P=1,l'_P=1)} & \cdots & D_{(l_P=1,l'_P=1)} & \cdots & D_{(l_P=1,l'_P=N_P)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D_{(l_P=1,l'_P=1)} & \cdots & D_{(l_P=1,l'_P=1)} & \cdots & D_{(l_P=1,l'_P=N_P)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D_{(l_P=N_P,l'_P=1)} & \cdots & D_{(l_P=N_P,l'_P=1)} & \cdots & D_{(l_P=N_P,l'_P=N_P)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_{l_P} \\ \vdots \\ A_{N_P} \end{pmatrix},
\]
FIG. 5. Band structure of strip phase-space crystals in different topological phases. The three upper plots (from left to right) represent three typical band structures for zero onsite detuning $\Delta = 0$ and three different interaction strengths $\gamma/\omega_0 = -18.5$ ($C = 2$), $\gamma/\omega_0 = -1$ ($C = -1$) and $\gamma/\omega_0 = 75$ ($C = -1$) respectively, where the insets are the zoomed-in band structures around the energy gap. The color on the bands represents the averaged momentum $\langle k \rangle$ of each eigenstate, cf. Fig. 3 of main text. The dashed lines in the band structures are the cutting energies in the gap, whose crossing points with the topological bands indicate the transport channels for a fixed energy. Note that the topological edge states on each single boundary can change their chirality by the interaction, thus transport along the opposite direction.

where the block matrix element is given by

$$D_{(l_p,l'_p)}(k_X) =
\begin{pmatrix}
h_{l_p,A}^\dagger(l'_p,A)(k_X) & h_{l_p,A}^\dagger(l'_p,B)(k_X) & \tilde{g}_{l_p,A}^\dagger(l'_p,A)(k_X) & \tilde{g}_{l_p,A}^\dagger(l'_p,B)(k_X) \\
h_{l_p,B}^\dagger(l'_p,A)(k_X) & h_{l_p,B}^\dagger(l'_p,B)(k_X) & \tilde{g}_{l_p,B}^\dagger(l'_p,A)(k_X) & \tilde{g}_{l_p,B}^\dagger(l'_p,B)(k_X) \\
-\tilde{g}_{l_p,A}^\dagger(l'_p,A)(-k_X) & -\tilde{g}_{l_p,A}^\dagger(l'_p,B)(-k_X) & -h_{l_p,A}^\dagger(l'_p,A)(-k_X) & -h_{l_p,A}^\dagger(l'_p,B)(-k_X) \\
-\tilde{g}_{l_p,B}^\dagger(l'_p,A)(-k_X) & -\tilde{g}_{l_p,B}^\dagger(l'_p,B)(-k_X) & -h_{l_p,B}^\dagger(l'_p,A)(-k_X) & -h_{l_p,B}^\dagger(l'_p,B)(-k_X)
\end{pmatrix}$$

with the modified pairing coefficient

$$\tilde{g}_{(l_p,s),(l'_p,s')}(k_X) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left[ g_{(l_p,s),(l'_p,s')}(k_X) + g_{(l'_p,s),(l_p,s)}(-k_X) \right]. \quad (67)$$

By extending the definition of operator $\tau_x$ in Eq. (56) to all the matrix elements of $D(k_X)$ labelled by $(l_p,l'_p)$ and using the property $\tilde{g}_{(l_p,s),(l'_p,s')}(k_X) = \tilde{g}_{(l_p,s),(l'_p,s')}(k_X)$, the dynamical matrix $D_{(l_p,l'_p)}(k_X)$ has particle-hole symmetry expressed by

$$\Xi D_{(l_p,l'_p)}(k_X) \Xi^{-1} = -D_{(l_p,l'_p)}(-k_X), \quad (68)$$

where the particle-hole operator is defined via $\Xi = \tau_x K$ satisfies $\Xi^2 = +1$. Again, we should diagonalise the dynamical matrix $D(k_X)$, instead of the Hamiltonian, to solve EOM and obtain the eigenmodes.

Chirality of topological edge states

In Fig. 5, we show the band structures of strip phase-space crystal in different parameter regimes of corresponding bulk topological phase diagram. The three upper plots (from left to right) represent three typical band structures for zero onsite detuning $\Delta = 0$ and different interaction strengths. For $\gamma/\omega_0 = 75$, there is only one chiral topological transport channel (for a fixed energy indicated by the dashed lines in the gap) on the single boundary of strip phase-space crystal, i.e., there is only single crossing point between the dashed line and one topological band (e.g., the blue one). For $\gamma/\omega_0 = -8$, there are three topological transport channels (three different values of $k_X$) for a fixed energy...
IV. Experimental parameters

**Quasi-1D trapping potential**

In order to create a quasi-1D harmonic potential for the cold atoms, one can start from a small Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) in a magnetic trap [50]. Then, the BEC is loaded into a 2D optical potential, along y and z directions as shown by Fig. 1(b) in the main text, by superimposing two orthogonal standing waves on top of the BEC. Each standing wave is formed by two counter-propagating Gaussian laser beams. Supposing the laser light has wavelength (wave vector) \( \lambda_L = 2\pi/\lambda_L \), the lattice potential has the form of \( V(x,y,z) = V_0(\sin^2 ky + \sin^2 kz) \) with the potential depth \( V_0 \) laser intensity. As a result, an array of 1D quantum gases confined to narrow potential tubes is created. For a sufficiently strong potential depth (laser intensity), the tunnel coupling and particle exchange between different tubes are exponentially suppressed [32]. The Gaussian profile of the laser beams also leads to axial confinement of the quasi-1D gases. The resulting transverse (in the y-z plane) trapping frequency \( \omega_{tr} \) and axial trapping frequency \( \omega_{ax} \) are given by [51]

\[
\omega_{tr} = \frac{2E_r}{\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{V_0}{E_r}}, \quad \omega_{ax} = \frac{\lambda_L}{\pi w_0} \omega_{tr},
\]

where \( E_r = \hbar^2 k_L^2 / 2m \) is the recoil energy of an atom with mass \( m \), and \( w_0 \) is the Gaussian beam waist which sets the length of 1D harmonic trap.

**Stroboscopic lattice potential**

In our stroboscopic driving scheme, we need to control the stroboscopic lattice constant, which is usually much longer than the wavelength of laser lights. For this purpose, one can superimpose two equally polarized laser beams of wavelength \( \lambda_D \) intersecting at an angle \( \theta \) as shown by Fig. 1(b) in the main text. The result is a standing wave optical dipole potential with a spatial period of [52]

\[
d = \frac{\lambda_D}{2 \sin(\theta/2)}. \tag{70}
\]

As discussed in Eq. (12), we need three stroboscopic lattices with the ratio of lattice constants

\[
d_1 : d_2 : d_3 = \frac{1}{2} : \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} : \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}
\]

to create the honeycomb lattice. This can be achieved by either adjusting the angle \( \theta \) of the same laser light or choosing three laser lights with different wavelengths \( \lambda_D \).

**Interaction**

At the low temperature in ultracold atom experiments, the collision of cold atoms is dominated by s-wave scattering process. The two-body interactions of ultracold gases in 3D can be described by a pseudopotential in the form of
FIG. 6. (a) Density plot of phase-space honeycomb lattice Hamiltonian with orange line indicating the dominant tunnelling path. (b) 3D plot of phase-space honeycomb lattice Hamiltonian showing a double-well structure along the line \( P = 0 \). (c) The zero momentum cut of Hamiltonian \( H(X,0) \) (orange) and the inverse of effective mass \( m(X) \) (blue).

contact function [32]

\[
V_{3D}(r) = \frac{4\pi\hbar^2 a}{2m} \delta(r),
\]

where \( a \) is the \( s \)-wave scattering length. The scattering length \( a \) can be further tuned by the Feshbach resonance with a magnetic field \( B \), i.e.,

\[
a(B) = a_{bg} \left[ 1 - \frac{\Delta B}{B - B_0} \right].
\]

Here, \( a_{bg} \) is the off-resonant background scattering length, while \( \Delta B \) and \( B_0 \) describe the width and position of the resonance.

In the quasi-1D trap, the strength of contact interaction can be modified by the transverse mode. The effective pesodupotential is described by an interaction of the form [32]

\[
V_{1D}(x) = \frac{2\hbar\omega_{tr} a}{1 - Aa/l_{tr}} \delta(x) \approx 2\hbar\omega_{tr} a \delta(x),
\]

where the constant \( A = 1.036 \) and \( l_{tr} = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega_{tr}} \) is the characteristic length of transverse motion. The approximation comes from the fact that the scattering length \( a \) is usually much shorter than the trapping length \( l_{tr} \).

System size

During the collision, the kinetic energy of two atoms should not excite the transverse mode. This sets a restriction for the size (radius \( R \)) of phase space crystal, i.e.,

\[
2 \times \frac{1}{2} \frac{m}{\omega_{ax}^2} \left( \frac{d}{2\pi} R \right)^2 < \hbar\omega_{tr} \quad \Rightarrow \quad R < \frac{2\pi}{d} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega_{tr}}{m\omega_{ax}^2}} = \frac{2\pi}{d} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar\pi w_0}{m\lambda L\omega_{ax}}}. \tag{74}
\]

Quantum fluctuations

In the quantum regime, the atom localised in one lattice site may tunnel to another lattice site by quantum fluctuations due to \([X,P] = i\lambda\). We estimate the tunnelling rate between nearest-neighbor sites, which is the dominate tunnelling path as shown in Fig. 6(a). We expand the Hamiltonian along the dominant tunnelling path by

\[
H \approx \frac{p^2}{2m(X)} + V(X), \tag{75}
\]
where \( V(X) = H_s(X,0) \) has the form of double-well potential, and

\[
m(X) = \left[ \frac{\partial^2 H(X, P)}{\partial P^2} \right]^{-1}
\]

(76)

plays the role of effective mass. For a given energy level \( E \), the tunnelling rate can be calculated from the WKB approximation [13]

\[
J = \frac{\lambda \omega_0}{2\pi} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{-X_a}^{X_a} \sqrt{2m(X)[E - V(X)]} \, dX \right),
\]

(77)

where \( \pm X_a \) is the turning point given by \( V(X_a) = E \). In our case, the integral of action is divergent resulting a zero tunnelling rate. In fact, since the 2nd derivative \( \partial^2 H(X, P)/\partial P^2 \) vanishes at this point at the saddle point of \( V(X) \) Fig. 6(b), the effective mass is divergent at saddle point. In Fig. 6(c), we plot \( V(X) \) and the inverse of mass \( 1/m(X) \) along the dominant tunnelling path.