

Global asymptotic stability for Gurtin-MacCamy's population dynamics model

ZHAOHAI MA^(a) AND PIERRE MAGAL^{(b)*}

^(a)*School of Science, China University of Geosciences,
Beijing 100083, People's Republic of China*

^(b)*Univ. Bordeaux, IMB, UMR 5251, F-33400 Talence, France.
CNRS, IMB, UMR 5251, F-33400 Talence, France.*

November 16, 2021

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the global asymptotic stability of an age-structured population dynamics model with a Ricker's type of birth function. This model is a hyperbolic partial differential equation with a nonlinear and nonlocal boundary condition. We prove a uniform persistence result for the semi-flow generated by this model. We obtain the existence of global attractors and we prove the global asymptotic stability of the positive equilibrium by using a suitable Lyapunov functional. Furthermore, we prove that our global asymptotic stability result is sharp, in the sense that Hopf bifurcation may occur as close as we want from the region global stability in the space of parameter.

1 Introduction

The age-structured population dynamics models have a long history starting from the articles of Lotka [5] in (1907) and the article of Sharpe and Lotka [12] (1911). The first nonlinear age-structured model was introduced by Gurtin and MacCamy [2] in the 70th. They proved a result of the existence and uniqueness of the solutions and a local stability result for an equilibrium. More recently, age-structured models received a lot of interest in the literature and we refer to the books [3, 9, 14, 15] for more results about this topic.

The article is devoted to the global asymptotic stability of the following Gurtin-MacCamy's age-structured model,

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + \partial_a)u(t, a) = -\mu u(t, a), & t > 0, a > 0, \\ u(t, 0) = \alpha f\left(\int_0^\infty \beta(a)u(t, a)da\right), & t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

*Corresponding author. e-mail: pierre.magal@u-bordeaux.fr

with the initial distribution $u(0, a) = u_0(a) \in L^1_+((0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$. Here the parameters $\alpha > 0, \mu > 0$ and β and f satisfy the following Assumption 1.1.

Assumption 1.1 *We assume that $f(u) = ue^{-u}, u \geq 0$ and $\beta \in L^\infty_+(0, +\infty)$ is normalized by*

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) e^{-\mu a} da = 1.$$

To explain our result, we first need to recall that the solution integrated along the characteristic of the system (1.1) is

$$u(t, a) = \begin{cases} e^{-\mu t} u_0(a - t), & \text{if } a \geq t, \\ e^{-\mu a} b(t - a), & \text{if } a \leq t, \end{cases}$$

where $t \rightarrow b(t)$ is the unique continuous solution of the Volterra's integral equation

$$b(t) = \alpha f \left(\int_t^\infty \beta(a) e^{-\mu t} u_0(a - t) da + \int_0^t \beta(a) e^{-\mu a} b(t - a) da \right), \forall t \geq 0. \quad (1.2)$$

For each $\alpha > 1$, the system (1.1) has a unique positive equilibrium

$$\bar{u}(a) = \bar{u}(0) e^{-\mu a}, \quad (1.3)$$

and $\bar{u}(0) = \ln \alpha > 0$ is the unique strictly positive solution of the scalar equation

$$\bar{u}(0) = \alpha f(\bar{u}(0)).$$

If we assume that $\beta(\cdot) e^{-\mu \cdot} \xrightarrow{*} \delta_\tau(a)$ for the weak star topology (in the dual of the space of some suitable exponential bounded continuous functions) and where $\delta_\tau(a)$ is the Dirac mass at $\tau > 0$. This implies in particular

$$\int_0^\infty \beta(a) e^{-\mu a} \varphi(a) da \rightarrow \varphi(\tau), \forall \varphi \in C_c(0, +\infty),$$

where $C_c(0, +\infty)$ is the space of continuous functions with compact support on $(0, +\infty)$.

Then, by replacing $\beta(a) e^{-\mu a}$ by $\delta_\tau(a)$ in equation (1.2), we obtain a continuous-time difference equation of the form

$$b(t) = \alpha f(b(t - \tau)), \forall t \geq \tau. \quad (1.4)$$

In Theorem 6.5 we will see that the positive equilibrium \bar{u} is global stable for the system (1.1) whenever

$$1 < \alpha \leq e^2. \quad (1.5)$$

As far as we know, global asymptotic stability and Lyapunov functional for the age-structured model was first considered by Magal, McCluskey, and Webb [7]. We also refer to Smith and Thieme [13] for another approach for the same infection age-structured model. Since then, the Lyapunov method of [7] has applied to many examples of age-structured models in the literature. In the present article, we apply the recent results

of Magal, Seydi, and Wang [10], and we reconsider the decomposition of L_+^1 into two invariant subregions which are used later on in the uniform persistence section. The invariance of subregions is easy to explain. Indeed, our global stability result should reflect the fact that if we start only with old individuals, who can no longer reproduce, the population goes extinct. Therefore the state-space decomposition of L_+^1 should reflect this simple fact (see Section 3 for precisions). This idea was neglected in many articles and we hope that this new method will help to understand this part of the problem.

One may also observe that condition (1.5) corresponds to the global asymptotic stability of the positive equilibrium $\bar{b} = \ln(\alpha)$ for the difference equation (1.4). Moreover, $\alpha = e^2$ corresponds to a Hopf's bifurcation point for the difference equation (1.4) (when it is regarded as a discrete-time problem). Indeed,

$$\alpha f'(\bar{b}) = \alpha[1 - \ln(\alpha)]e^{-\ln(\alpha)} = -1.$$

Hopf's bifurcation for the model (1.1) was first considered by Magal and Ruan [8, 9] and Liu, Magal and Ruan [4]. In Section 7, we prove that for each $\alpha^* > e^2$ (as close as we want from e^2) we can find a function $\beta(a)$ such that Hopf bifurcation occurs at $\alpha = \alpha^* \in (e^2, \alpha^*)$ for the system (1.1). In this sense, our global stability result is sharp with respect to the parameter α .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state a dissipativity result. The invariant subregion under the semiflow generated by (1.1) is studied in Section 3. In Section 4, we consider the asymptotic smoothness of the semiflow generated by (1.1). In Section 5, we prove that the semiflow generated by (1.1) is uniformly persistent in the invariant subregion \widehat{M}_0 and obtain the existence of the global attractor. In section 6, we prove that the positive equilibrium is global asymptotically stable by using the Lyapunov functional. Section 7 is devoted to the existence of Hopf's bifurcation whenever $\alpha > e^2$.

2 Dissipativity

Set $\Lambda = \frac{\alpha}{\mu} \sup_{x \geq 0} f(x)$. Consider the total number of individuals at time t

$$U(t) = \int_0^\infty u(t, a) da, \forall t \geq 0 \text{ and } U_0 = \int_0^\infty u_0(a) da.$$

Then we have the following result about the total number of individuals $U(t)$.

Lemma 2.1 *We have*

$$U(t) \leq e^{-\mu t} U_0 + (1 - e^{-\mu t}) \Lambda, \forall t \geq 0.$$

3 Invariant subregion

In this section, we use the recent approach presented in Magal, Seydi and Wang [10]. The following comparison result can be obtained by comparison of the Volterra integral equations.

Theorem 3.1 Assume that $u_{\pm}(t, a)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_{\pm}(t, a) + \partial_a u_{\pm}(t, a) = -\mu u_{\pm}(t, a), \text{ for } a \geq 0, t \geq 0, \\ u_{\pm}(t, 0) = \alpha \delta_{\pm} \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) u_{\pm}(t, a) da, \\ u_{\pm}(0, \cdot) = u_0 \in L_+^1((0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}). \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Then

$$u_-(t, a) \leq u(t, a) \leq u_+(t, a), \text{ for } t \geq 0, \text{ for a. e. } a \geq 0,$$

where $\delta_- = e^{-\|\beta\|_{L^\infty} \max(U_0, \Lambda)} < 1 = \delta_+$.

Proof. We just need to observe that

$$b(t) = \alpha \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) u(t, a) da \exp \left(- \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) u(t, a) da \right).$$

Therefore by using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) u(t, a) da e^{-\|\beta\|_{L^\infty} \max(U_0, \Lambda)} \leq b(t) \leq \alpha \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) u(t, a) da.$$

Then the result follows by using the comparison result in [10]. ■

Let

$$\Gamma^\pm(a) = \alpha \delta_\pm \int_a^\infty e^{-\int_a^\theta [\mu + \lambda^\pm] d\sigma} \beta(\theta) d\theta,$$

where λ^\pm is chosen to satisfy that $\Gamma^\pm(0) = 1$. That is to say that $\lambda^\pm \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$\alpha \delta_\pm \int_0^\infty e^{-\int_0^\theta [\mu + \lambda^\pm] d\sigma} \beta(\theta) d\theta = 1.$$

Remark 3.2 $\lambda^\pm \in \mathbb{R}$ is the dominant eigenvalue of the linear operator associated to the system (3.1). The above integral equation corresponds to the characteristic equation of this linear operator.

The following lemma is showing that $\Gamma^\pm(a)$ is an adjoint eigenfunction associated the eigenvalues λ^\pm .

Lemma 3.3 For each $t \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty \Gamma^\pm(a) u_\pm(t, a) da = e^{\lambda^\pm t} \int_0^\infty \Gamma^\pm(a) u_0(a) da.$$

Proof. The function $a \rightarrow \Gamma^\pm(a)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} (\Gamma^\pm)'(a) = [\mu + \lambda^\pm] \Gamma^\pm(a) - \alpha \delta_\pm \beta(a), \text{ for a. e. } a \geq 0, \\ \Gamma^\pm(0) = 1. \end{cases}$$

By using classical solutions of (3.1), we deduce that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty \Gamma^\pm(a) u_\pm(t, a) da = \int_0^\infty \Gamma^\pm(a) [-\partial_a u_\pm(t, a) - \mu u_\pm(t, a)] da.$$

Using integration by parts, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty \Gamma^\pm(a) u_\pm(t, a) da &= \Gamma^\pm(0) u_\pm(t, 0) + \int_0^\infty (\Gamma^\pm)'(a) u_\pm(t, a) da \\ &\quad - \int_0^\infty \Gamma^\pm(a) \mu u_\pm(t, a) da. \end{aligned}$$

Using the facts $\Gamma^\pm(0) = 1$ and $u_\pm(t, 0) = \alpha \delta_\pm \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) u_\pm(t, a) da$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty \Gamma^\pm(a) u_\pm(t, a) da = \lambda^\pm \int_0^\infty \Gamma^\pm(a) u_\pm(t, a) da,$$

and the result follows from the fact that the set of initial values giving a classical solution is dense in L^1 . ■

By Assumption 1.1 we have $\beta \neq 0$. Therefore we can define

$$a^* := \sup \left\{ a > 0 : \int_a^\infty \beta(\sigma) e^{-\mu\sigma} d\sigma > 0 \right\} \in (0, \infty].$$

Remark 3.4 *In practice, the number a^* corresponds to the maximal value at which individuals can reproduce.*

Assume for simplicity that $a \rightarrow \beta(a)$ is a continuous function. Then, $a^ = \infty$ if and only if for each $a \geq 0$, there exists $\hat{a} > a$ such that $\beta(\hat{a}) > 0$. If $a^* < \infty$, then*

$$\beta(a) = 0, \forall a \geq a^*.$$

Define the interior sub-domain

$$\widehat{M}_0 = \left\{ u \in L_+^1(0, +\infty) : \int_0^{a^*} u(a) da > 0 \right\},$$

and the boundary sub-domain

$$\partial \widehat{M}_0 = \left\{ u \in L_+^1(0, +\infty) : \int_0^{a^*} u(a) da = 0 \right\}.$$

Actually, the boundary domain $\partial \widehat{M}_0$ corresponds to a case where the distribution u contains only individuals that will not reproduce. However, some individuals in the interior region will produce newborn individuals. Due to the irreducible structured of the semiflow generated by (1.1), we can obtain the invariance of $\partial \widehat{M}_0$ and \widehat{M}_0 .

Theorem 3.5 *Let Assumption 1.1 be satisfied. The domains $[0, \infty) \times \widehat{M}_0$ and $[0, \infty) \times \partial \widehat{M}_0$ are positively invariant by the semiflow generated by (1.1). That is to say that*

$$\int_0^{a^*} u_0(a) da > 0 \Rightarrow \int_0^{a^*} u(t, a) da > 0, \forall t \geq 0,$$

and

$$\int_0^{a^*} u_0(a) da = 0 \Rightarrow \int_0^{a^*} u(t, a) da = 0, \forall t \geq 0.$$

Moreover if $\int_0^{a^*} u_0(a) da = 0$, then

$$\int_0^\infty \beta(a) u(t, a) da = 0, \forall t \geq 0,$$

and the solution is explicitly given by

$$u(t, a) = \begin{cases} e^{-\mu t} u_0(a - t), & \text{if } a - t \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } t - a \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} = 0$, and the convergence is exponential.

Proof. Assume first $u_0 \in \widehat{\partial M_0}$. Then, $\int_0^\infty \Gamma^+(a) u_0(a) da = 0$. Moreover,

$$\int_0^\infty \Gamma^+(a) u(t, a) da \leq \int_0^\infty \Gamma^+(a) u_+(t, a) da = e^{\lambda^+ t} \int_0^\infty \Gamma^+(a) u_0(a) da = 0.$$

Therefore, $\int_0^\infty \Gamma^+(a) u(t, a) da = 0, \forall t \geq 0$. Hence,

$$u(t, \cdot) \in \widehat{\partial M_0}, \forall t \geq 0.$$

Assume next that $u_0 \in \widehat{M_0}$. Then it follows that $\int_0^\infty \Gamma^-(a) u_0(a) da > 0$, and

$$\int_0^\infty \Gamma^-(a) u(t, a) da \geq \int_0^\infty \Gamma^-(a) u_-(t, a) da = e^{\lambda^- t} \int_0^\infty \Gamma^-(a) u_0(a) da > 0.$$

Thus,

$$u(t, \cdot) \in \widehat{M_0}, \forall t \geq 0.$$

■

Let $u_0 \in \widehat{M_0}$. Since the function $a \rightarrow \beta(a)$ is not assumed to be strictly positive on the interval $[0, a^*)$, the previous theorem does not imply that

$$b(t) = \int_0^\infty \beta(a) u(t, a) da > 0, \forall t \geq 0.$$

By using the previous assumption, we should consider two different cases: 1) $a^* = \infty$, then

$$\int_a^\infty \beta(a) e^{-\mu a} da > 0, \forall a > 0;$$

and 2) $a^* < \infty$, then

$$\int_a^{a^*} \beta(a) e^{-\mu a} da > 0, \forall a \in (0, a^*).$$

Proposition 3.6 *Let Assumption 1.1 be satisfied. For each $u_0 \in \widehat{M_0}$, there exists $t_0 = t_0(u_0) > 0$ such that $b(t) > 0, \forall t \geq t_0$.*

Proof. Due to the fact that $u(t, \cdot) \geq u_-(t, \cdot), \forall t \geq 0$, it is sufficient to consider that $t \rightarrow B_-(t) := \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a)u_-(t, a)da$ is the unique solution of the Volterra integral equation

$$B_-(t) = F_-(t) + \int_0^t \gamma(a)B_-(t-a)da,$$

where $\gamma(a) := \beta(a)e^{-\mu a}$ and

$$F_-(t) = e^{-\mu t} \int_t^\infty \beta(a)u_0(a-t)da = e^{-\mu t} \int_0^\infty \beta(\sigma+t)u_0(\sigma)d\sigma.$$

By using the continuity of the shift operator in L^1 , we deduce that the map

$$t \rightarrow \int_t^\infty \beta(a)u_0(a-t)da$$

is continuous. Therefore, the map $t \rightarrow F_-(t)$ is continuous.

First part of the proof: Let $\Delta t > 0$. By using Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\int_t^{t+\Delta t} \int_0^\infty \beta(\sigma+\theta)u_0(\sigma)d\sigma d\theta = \int_0^\infty \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \beta(\sigma+\theta)d\theta u_0(\sigma)d\sigma.$$

Then, by using the definition of a^* , we deduce that there exists $t^* \in (0, a^*)$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty \beta(\sigma+t^*)u_0(\sigma)d\sigma > 0 \Leftrightarrow F_-(t^*) > 0.$$

Second part of the proof: In order to prove this theorem, we observe that for all $t \geq 0$

$$\int_t^{t+\Delta t} B_-(\theta)d\theta = \int_t^{t+\Delta t} F_-(\theta)d\theta + \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \int_0^t \gamma(\theta-a)B_-(a)da d\theta.$$

Then, by using Fubini's theorem, we obtain for all $t \geq 0$

$$\int_t^{t+\Delta t} B_-(l)dl = \int_t^{t+\Delta t} F_-(l)dl + \int_0^t \int_{t-a}^{t-a+\Delta t} \gamma(\theta)d\theta B_-(a)da.$$

Now, you can work like if $\gamma(q)$ were a continuous function, and we deduce that the length of the support $t \rightarrow B_-(t)$ increases from $(0, a^*)$ to $(a^*, 2a^*)$. By induction, we deduce that there exists an integer $m \geq 1$ such that $t \rightarrow B_-(t)$ is strictly positive on $(ma^*, (m+1)a^*)$. The result follows. ■

4 Asymptotic smoothness of the semiflow

In this section, we prove that the semiflow generated by (1.1) is asymptotically smooth.

Define

$$u_1(t, a) = \begin{cases} e^{-\mu t}u_0(a-t), & \text{if } a \geq t, \\ e^{-\mu a}b_1(t-a), & \text{if } a \leq t, \end{cases}$$

and

$$u_2(t, a) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } a \geq t, \\ e^{-\mu a} b_2(t - a), & \text{if } a \leq t, \end{cases}$$

where

$$b_1(t) = \alpha [f(B(t)) - f(B_2(t))], \quad b_2(t) = \alpha f(B_2(t)),$$

and

$$B(t) = \int_0^\infty u(t, a) da = \underbrace{e^{-\mu t} \int_t^\infty \beta(a) u_0(a - t) da}_{B_1(t)} + \underbrace{\int_0^t \beta(a) e^{-\mu a} b(t - a) da}_{B_2(t)}.$$

Theorem 4.1 *The semiflow generated by (1.1) is asymptotically smooth. Moreover, precisely the semiflow $U(t)$ generated by (1.1) on L_+^1 can be decomposed into*

$$U(t)u_0 = C(t)u_0 + V(t)u_0, \forall t \geq 0, \forall u_0 \in L_+^1,$$

where $C(t) : L_+^1 \rightarrow L^1$ and $V(t) : L_+^1 \rightarrow L^1$ are defined by

$$C(t)u_0 = u_2(t, \cdot), \text{ and } V(t)u_0 = u_1(t, \cdot), \forall t \geq 0, \forall u_0 \in L_+^1.$$

Then we have the following properties

- (i) *The nonlinear operator $C(t) : L_+^1 \rightarrow L^1$ is completely continuous for each $t \geq 0$;*
- (ii) *There exists a constant $\chi > 0$ such that $\|V(t)u_0\| \leq \chi e^{-\mu t}, \forall t \geq 0$.*

Proof. *Proof of (i):* It is sufficient to observe that $t \rightarrow B_2(t)$ is uniformly continuous on $[0, \tau]$ uniformly with respect to $b(t)$. Indeed, we have $\forall t, s \in [0, \tau]$ (with $t \geq s$)

$$|B_2(t) - B_2(s)| \leq \sup_{\sigma \in [0, \tau]} |b(\sigma)| \left[\int_0^{t-s} g(l) dl + \int_0^s |g(t-s+l) - g(l)| dl \right],$$

where $g(a) = \beta(a)e^{-\mu a}$. By applying Arzela-Ascoli's theorem, it follows that $C(t)$ maps the bounded sets into relatively compact sets.

Proof of (ii): Moreover, since $f(u)$ is Lipschitz continuous on $[0, \infty)$, we deduce that

$$\|u_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq e^{-\mu t} \|u_0\|_{L^1} + \|e^{-\mu \cdot}\|_{L^1} \alpha \|f'\|_{[0, \infty)} \|B_1(t)\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Thus, there exists a constant $\chi = 1 + \|e^{-\mu \cdot}\|_{L^1} \alpha \|f'\|_{[0, \infty)} \|B_1(t)\|_{L^\infty}$ such that

$$\|u_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \chi e^{-\mu t} \|u_0\|_{L^1}, \forall t \geq 0.$$

The proof is completed. ■

Theorem 4.2 *The semiflow generated by (1.1) is asymptotically smooth. That is to say that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \kappa(U(t)B) = 0,$$

where κ is the Kuratovsky's measure of non-compactness defined by

$$\kappa(B) = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : B \text{ can be covered by a finite number of balls of radius } \leq \varepsilon \},$$

for any bounded set B of $L_+^1(0, \infty)$.

Proof. For each bounded set $B \subset L_+^1(0, \infty)$, we have the Kuratovsky's measure of non-compactness satisfies

$$\kappa(U(t)B) \leq \kappa(V(t)B) + \kappa(C(t)B) = \kappa(V(t)B) \leq \chi e^{-\mu t} \sup_{u \in B} \|u\|_{L^1}.$$

The proof is completed. ■

5 Uniform persistence and global attractor

In this section, motivated by the Magal [6], Magal and Zhao [11], Zhao [16], we obtain the existence of the global attractor.

Assumption 5.1 *We assume that $\alpha > 1$.*

Theorem 5.2 *Let Assumptions 1.1 and 5.1 be satisfied. Then the semiflow $U(t)$ is uniformly persistent in \widehat{M}_0 . That is, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $u_0 \in \widehat{M}_0$,*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \geq \varepsilon.$$

Furthermore, the semiflow U has a compact global attractor \mathcal{A}_0 in \widehat{M}_0 .

Proof.

Assume that the semiflow $U(t)$ is not uniformly persistent in \widehat{M}_0 . Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $u_0 \in \widehat{M}_0$ such that

$$\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \leq \varepsilon, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Thus, we have

$$\exp\left(-\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a)u(t, a)da\right) \geq \exp(-\|\beta\|_{L^\infty}\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1}) \geq \delta_*,$$

where $\delta_* = e^{-\varepsilon\|\beta\|_{L^\infty}}$. Thanks to the comparison principle of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$u(t, a) \geq u_*(t, a), \quad t \geq 0, \text{ for a. e. } a \geq 0,$$

where $u_*(t, a)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_*(t, a) + \partial_a u_*(t, a) = -\mu u_*(t, a), & \text{for } a \geq 0, t \geq 0, \\ u_*(t, 0) = \alpha \delta_* \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a)u_*(t, a)da, \\ u_*(0, \cdot) = u_0 \in \widehat{M}_0. \end{cases}$$

For this $u_0 \in \widehat{M}_0$, we have $\int_0^\infty \Gamma^-(a)u_0(a)da > 0$ and

$$\int_0^\infty \Gamma^-(a)u(t, a)da \geq \int_0^\infty \Gamma^-(a)u_*(t, a)da = e^{\lambda_* t} \int_0^\infty \Gamma^-(a)u_0(a)da > 0,$$

where $\lambda_* \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$\alpha \delta_* \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) e^{-(\mu + \lambda_*)a} da = 1.$$

By Assumption 5.1, we can choose $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough to ensure that $\alpha \delta_* > 1$. Therefore, it follows from the definition of the λ_* and Assumption 1.1 that $\lambda_* > 0$. Thus,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^1} \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \Gamma^-(a) u(t, a) da = +\infty,$$

which contradicts to (5.1).

Since the semiflow \widehat{U} is asymptotically smooth, point dissipative and positive orbits of compact subsets of \widehat{M}_0 are bounded, we deduce that U has a compact global attractor \mathcal{A}_0 in \widehat{M}_0 . ■

5.1 Complete orbits

Proposition 5.3 *Let Assumption 1.1 be satisfied. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) u_0(a) da \geq \delta, \quad \forall u_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0.$$

Proof. Let $u(t, \cdot)$ be the solution to (1.1) with the initial condition $u_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$. By Proposition 3.6, there exists $t_0 = t_0(u_0) > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) u(t, a) da > 0, \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

Recall that the mapping $(t, u_0) \rightarrow U(t)u_0$ is continuous. Also, the mapping $u_0 \rightarrow \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) u_0(a) da$ is continuous. Thus, there exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(u_0) > 0$ such that if $\tilde{u}_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ with $\|\tilde{u}_0 - u_0\| \leq \varepsilon$, and the solution to (1.1) with the initial condition \tilde{u}_0 is denoted by $\tilde{u}(t, \cdot)$, then

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) \tilde{u}(t, a) da > 0, \quad \forall t \in [t_0, t_0 + a_0],$$

for some $a_0 > 0$. Thus, it follows from the proof of the Proposition 3.6 that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) \tilde{u}(t, a) da > 0, \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

Therefore, by using the compactness of \mathcal{A}_0 , we deduce that there exists $\hat{t} > 0$ (independent of \mathcal{A}_0) such that for each $u_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) u(t, a) da > 0, \quad \forall t \geq \hat{t}.$$

By using the fact that \mathcal{A}_0 is invariant under U , it follows that for each $u_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) u_0(a) da > 0.$$

Now, by using the continuity of $u_0 \rightarrow \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) u_0(a) da$ again and the compactness of \mathcal{A}_0 , we obtain the results and complete the proof. ■

Theorem 5.4 Assume that $t \rightarrow u(t, a)$ is a complete orbit of the system (1.1). Then

$$u(t, a) = e^{-\mu a} b(t - a), \text{ for almost every } a \geq 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5.2)$$

and the map $t \rightarrow b(t)$ satisfies the renewal equation

$$b(t) = \alpha f \left(\int_0^\infty \beta(a) e^{-\mu a} b(t - a) da \right), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (5.3)$$

Moreover, if we assume in addition that

$$\gamma_- \leq \int_0^{a_*} u(t, a) da \leq \gamma_+, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5.4)$$

for some $\gamma_+ > \gamma_- > 0$, then

$$\delta^- \leq \int_0^\infty \beta(a) u(t, a) da \leq \delta^+, \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

for some $\delta^+ \geq \delta^- > 0$.

Proof. Let $t_0 \leq 0$ and $t \geq t_0$. Due to $t \rightarrow u(t, a)$ is the complete orbit of the system (1.1), the solution integrated along the characteristic of (1.1) with the initial value $u(t_0, a)$ is

$$u(l, a) = \begin{cases} e^{-\mu(l-t_0)} u(t_0, a - (l - t_0)), & \text{if } a \geq l - t_0, \\ e^{-\mu a} b(l - t_0 - a), & \text{if } a \leq l - t_0, \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

where $l \rightarrow b(l)$ is the unique continuous solution of the Volterra's integral equation

$$b(l - t_0) = \alpha f \left(\int_{l-t_0}^\infty \beta(a) e^{-\mu(l-t_0)} u(t_0, a - (l - t_0)) da + \int_0^{l-t_0} \beta(a) e^{-\mu a} b(l - t_0 - a) da \right). \quad (5.6)$$

Noticing (5.5), (5.6) and (5.3) and setting $t = l - t_0$, the result (5.2) follows.

It follows from (5.2) that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) u(t, a) da = \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) e^{-\mu a} b(t - a) da \leq \delta^+,$$

where $\delta^+ = \alpha \max_{u \geq 0} f(u)$.

Since \mathcal{A}_0 is the global attractor, which implies that $U(t)\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}_0$ for all $t \geq 0$, we can find a complete orbit $u(t, \cdot)$ through $u(0, \cdot)$. Due to (5.4), it follows that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a $\hat{t} \leq t$ such that $\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) u(\hat{t}, a) da > 0$. Then, by the method of Proposition 3.6, we have

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) u(t, a) da > 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since \mathcal{A}_0 is compact, it follows that there exists some $\delta^- > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) u(t, a) da \geq \delta^-,$$

which completes the proof. ■

6 Global stability result

In this section, we use a Lyapunov functional to show that all solutions of (1.1) for which the population is initially present tend to the positive equilibrium under the Assumption 6.2.

Define

$$V(u(t, \cdot)) = \int_0^{+\infty} \gamma(a) g\left(\frac{u(t, a)}{\bar{u}(a)}\right) da, \quad (6.1)$$

where

$$\gamma(a) = \int_a^{+\infty} \beta(\sigma) e^{-\mu\sigma} d\sigma, \quad g(u) = (u - 1)^2.$$

Remark 6.1 We observe that V is well-defined on the attractor \mathcal{A}_0 for every $t \geq 0$, while V is not well-defined on $L^1(0, +\infty)$ because of the function g under the integral.

Assumption 6.2 We assume that $1 < \alpha \leq e^2$.

The following Lemma is due to Fisher and Goh [1].

Lemma 6.3 Let Assumption 6.2 be satisfied. Then for each $u \geq 0$,

$$|\alpha f(u) - \bar{u}(0)| = |\alpha f(u) - \alpha f(\bar{u}(0))| \leq |u - \bar{u}(0)|. \quad (6.2)$$

Moreover the above inequality is strict whenever $u \neq 0$ and $u \neq \bar{u}(0)$.

Proposition 6.4 (Lyapunov function) Let Assumptions 1.1 and 6.2 be satisfied. Assume that $t \rightarrow u(t, a)$ is a complete orbit of the system (1.1) satisfying

$$\gamma_- \leq \int_0^{a_*} u(t, a) da \leq \gamma_+, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

for some $\gamma_+ > \gamma_- > 0$. Then the function $t \rightarrow V(u(t, \cdot))$ is decreasing. Moreover, $t \rightarrow V(u(t, \cdot))$ is constant if $u(t, a) = \bar{u}(a)$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dV(u(t, \cdot))}{dt} &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^{+\infty} \gamma(a) g\left(\frac{u(t, a)}{\bar{u}(a)}\right) da = \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^{+\infty} \gamma(a) g\left(\frac{b(t-a)}{\bar{u}(0)}\right) da \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^t \gamma(t-s) g\left(\frac{b(s)}{\bar{u}(0)}\right) ds \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^t \gamma'(t-s) g\left(\frac{b(s)}{\bar{u}(0)}\right) ds + \gamma(0) g\left(\frac{b(t)}{\bar{u}(0)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the definition of $\gamma(a)$ that $\gamma'(a) = -\beta(a)e^{-\mu a}$ and $\gamma(0) = 1$. Then,

$$\frac{dV(u(t, \cdot))}{dt} = g\left(\frac{b(t)}{\bar{u}(0)}\right) - \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) e^{-\mu a} g\left(\frac{b(t-a)}{\bar{u}(0)}\right) da. \quad (6.3)$$

By using Lemma 6.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\frac{b(t)}{\bar{u}(0)}\right) &= \frac{1}{\bar{u}^2(0)} \left[\alpha f\left(\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) e^{-\mu a} b(t-a) da\right) - \bar{u}(0) \right]^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\bar{u}^2(0)} \left[\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) e^{-\mu a} b(t-a) da - \bar{u}(0) \right]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Thus,

$$g\left(\frac{b(t)}{\bar{u}(0)}\right) \leq g\left(\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) e^{-\mu a} \frac{b(t-a)}{\bar{u}(0)} da\right). \quad (6.5)$$

Substituting the inequality (6.5) to (6.3), we obtain

$$\frac{dV(u(t, \cdot))}{dt} \leq g\left(\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) e^{-\mu a} \frac{b(t-a)}{\bar{u}(0)} da\right) - \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) e^{-\mu a} g\left(\frac{b(t-a)}{\bar{u}(0)}\right) da,$$

and we deduce that $\frac{dV(u(t, \cdot))}{dt} \leq 0$ by using Jensen's inequality and the fact that g is a convex function.

To prove the last part of the proposition, it is sufficient to observe that by Lemma 6.3, the inequality (6.4) is strict whenever $\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) e^{-\mu a} b(t-a) da \neq \bar{u}(0)$. Therefore, the function $t \rightarrow V(u(t, \cdot))$ is constant if and only if

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a) e^{-\mu a} b(t-a) da = \bar{u}(0), \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and the result follows by using (5.2) and (5.3). ■

Theorem 6.5 *Let Assumptions 1.1 and 6.2 be satisfied. Then, the positive equilibrium $\bar{u}(a)$ of the system (1.1) is global asymptotically stable.*

Proof. Let $u(t, \cdot)$ be a complete solution to (1.1) that lies in the attractor $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \widehat{M}_0$. It follows from Proposition 5.3 that there exist $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$\delta_1 \leq \frac{u(t, a)}{\bar{u}(a)} \leq \delta_2, \quad \forall a \geq 0, t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (6.6)$$

By the definition of the function g in (6.1), we observe that $g : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is decreasing for $u \in (0, 1)$ and is increasing for $u > 1$ and has global minimum $g(1) = 0$. It follows from (6.6) that $g\left(\frac{u(t, a)}{\bar{u}(a)}\right)$ is bounded. Thus, the Lyapunov functional $V(t)$ in (6.1) is bounded for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, by Proposition 6.4, the function $t \rightarrow V(u(t, \cdot))$ is decreasing and is constant if $u(t, a) = \bar{u}(a)$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, we have now shown that the arbitrary complete solution $u(t, \cdot)$ in the attractor \mathcal{A}_0 must be the positive equilibrium $\bar{u}(a)$, namely $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{\bar{u}(a)\}$. ■

7 Hopf's bifurcation

This section is based on Magal and Ruan [8]. In order to study Hopf bifurcation, we should consider the parameter α in the region $\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R} : \alpha > e^2\}$. The linearized system (1.1) around $\bar{u}(a)$ is

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + \partial_a)u(t, a) = -\mu u(t, a), & t > 0, a > 0, \\ u(t, 0) = (1 - \ln \alpha) \int_0^\infty \beta(a)u(t, a)da, & t > 0. \end{cases} \quad (7.1)$$

Then, the characteristic equation of the linear system (7.1) can be expressed by

$$\Delta(\lambda, \alpha) = 1 - (1 - \ln \alpha) \int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a)e^{-(\lambda+\mu)a}da = 0. \quad (7.2)$$

Assumption 7.1 *Assume the birth function*

$$\beta(a) = C_0(a - \tau)^n e^{-\kappa(a-\tau)} 1_{[\tau, +\infty)}(a),$$

with $\tau > 0, \kappa > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ or $\tau > 0, \kappa = 0, n = 0$, where the constant C_0 is dependent on the parameters τ, κ and n to ensure that $\int_0^{+\infty} \beta(a)e^{-\mu a}da = 1$.

Under Assumption 7.1, the characteristic equation (7.2) can be rewritten as

$$\Delta(\lambda, \alpha) = 1 - (1 - \ln \alpha)e^{-\lambda\tau} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \kappa}\right)^{-n-1} = 0. \quad (7.3)$$

Now we are position to look for purely imaginary roots $\lambda = \pm i\omega$ with $\omega > 0$ of the characteristic equation (7.3).

Proposition 7.2 *Let Assumption 7.1 be satisfied and the parameters $\mu > 0, \tau > 0, \kappa > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Then the characteristic equation (7.3) has a pair of purely imaginary solution $\pm i\omega$ with $\omega > 0$ if and only if $\omega > 0$ is a solution of*

$$\omega\tau + (n+1) \arctan \frac{\omega}{\mu + \kappa} = \pi + 2k\pi, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (7.4)$$

and

$$\alpha = \exp \left(1 + \left(1 + \frac{\omega^2}{(\mu + \kappa)^2} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \right). \quad (7.5)$$

Furthermore, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists exactly one solution ω_k for equation (7.4), i.e., the characteristic equation (7.3) has exactly one pair of purely imaginary eigenvalues $\pm i\omega_k$ with $\omega_k > 0$ for each

$$\alpha_k = \exp \left(1 + \left(1 + \frac{\omega_k^2}{(\mu + \kappa)^2} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \right). \quad (7.6)$$

Moreover, $\omega_k \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\alpha_k \rightarrow +\infty$, as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. If $\omega_k(n) > 0$ is the solution of equation (7.4) with fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\omega_k(n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \alpha_k(n) \rightarrow e^2, \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (7.7)$$

Proof. Under Assumption 7.1, if the characteristic equation (7.3) admits a pair of purely imaginary solution $\lambda = \pm i\omega$ with $\omega > 0$, then (7.3) can be expressed

$$(1 - \ln \alpha)(r(\omega))^{-n-1} e^{-i[\omega\tau + (n+1)\theta(\omega)]} = 1, \quad (7.8)$$

where

$$r(\omega) = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\omega^2}{(\mu + \kappa)^2}}, \quad \theta(\omega) = \arctan \frac{\omega}{\mu + \kappa}.$$

Therefore, by separating the real and imaginary parts of (7.8), we can obtain that ω satisfies (7.4)–(7.5). Thus, the characteristic equation (7.3) has a pair of purely imaginary solution $\lambda = \pm i\omega$ with $\omega > 0$ if and only if ω is a solution of (7.4) and (7.5).

Let $h(\omega) = \omega\tau + (n+1)\arctan \frac{\omega}{\mu + \kappa}$ for $\omega \geq 0$. Then, $h(\omega)$ is strictly increasing function for $\omega > 0$. Noticing $h(0) = 0$ and $h(\omega) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow +\infty$, it follows from the continuity of $h(\omega)$ that the equation (7.5) has exactly one solution ω_k for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with the parameter α_k satisfying (7.6). Moreover, let $\omega_k > 0 (k \in \mathbb{N})$ satisfy

$$\omega_k\tau + (n+1)\arctan \frac{\omega_k}{\mu + \kappa} = \pi + 2k\pi.$$

It follows from that $\omega_k \rightarrow +\infty$ by letting $k \rightarrow +\infty$ on both sides of the above equality. The result $\alpha_k \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ can be obtained from (7.6) and $\omega_k \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$.

Let $\omega_k(n) > 0$ be the solution of equation (7.4) with fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e.,

$$\omega_k(n)\tau + (n+1)\arctan \frac{\omega_k(n)}{\mu + \kappa} = \pi + 2k\pi. \quad (7.9)$$

Thus, we can obtain from (7.9) that

$$\omega_k(n)\tau + (n+1)\arctan \frac{\omega_k(n)}{\mu + \kappa} = \pi + 2k\pi = \omega_k(n+1)\tau + (n+2)\arctan \frac{\omega_k(n+1)}{\mu + \kappa}. \quad (7.10)$$

It follows from mean value theorem that there exists some ξ between $\frac{\omega_k(n)}{\mu + \kappa}$ and $\frac{\omega_k(n+1)}{\mu + \kappa}$ such that

$$\arctan \frac{\omega_k(n)}{\mu + \kappa} - \arctan \frac{\omega_k(n+1)}{\mu + \kappa} = \frac{1}{1 + \xi^2} \frac{1}{\mu + \kappa} (\omega_k(n) - \omega_k(n+1)). \quad (7.11)$$

Therefore, by replacing (7.11) to (7.10), we can rewrite (7.10) as

$$\left(\tau + \frac{n+1}{(\mu + \kappa)(1 + \xi^2)} \right) (\omega_k(n) - \omega_k(n+1)) = \arctan \frac{\omega_k(n+1)}{\mu + \kappa}. \quad (7.12)$$

Thanks to the positivity of $\omega_k(n)$, we obtain from (7.12) that

$$\omega_k(n) > \omega_k(n+1) > 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

which implies that $\omega_k(n)$ is strictly decreasing for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, the monotone bounded convergence theorem for the sequence $\{\omega_k(n)\}$ implies that the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \omega_k(n)$ exists.

Let $\omega_k^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \omega_k(n)$. Then we have $\omega_k^* \geq 0$ by the positivity of the sequence $\{\omega_k(n)\}$. We claim that $\omega_k^* = 0$. In fact, if $\omega_k^* > 0$, we will obtain a contradiction by letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ on both sides of (7.9). Therefore, $\omega_k^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \omega_k(n) = 0$. By letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ on both sides of equality (7.9), we have

$$\pi + 2k\pi = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\omega_k(n)\tau + (n+1) \arctan \frac{\omega_k(n)}{\mu + \kappa} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (n+1) \arctan \frac{\omega_k(n)}{\mu + \kappa},$$

which implies that

$$\omega_k(n) \sim \frac{(\pi + 2k\pi)(\mu + \kappa)}{n+1} \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (7.13)$$

Therefore, the result $\alpha_k(n) \rightarrow e^2$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in (7.7) can be obtained from (7.5) and (7.13). ■

The following theorem provides the transversality condition for the model (1.1).

Theorem 7.3 *Let Assumption 7.1 be satisfied and the parameters $\mu > 0, \tau > 0, \kappa > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. For each $k \geq 0$, if $\pm i\omega_k$ with $\omega_k > 0$ is the purely imaginary root of the characteristic equation associated to α_k defined in Proposition 7.2, then there exists $\rho_k > 0$ and a C^1 -map $\hat{\lambda}_k : (\alpha_k - \rho_k, \alpha_k + \rho_k) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$\hat{\lambda}_k(\alpha_k) = i\omega_k, \quad \Delta(\hat{\lambda}_k(\alpha), \alpha) = 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in (\alpha_k - \rho_k, \alpha_k + \rho_k)$$

satisfying the transversality condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{d\hat{\lambda}_k(\alpha_k)}{d\alpha} > 0. \quad (7.14)$$

Proof. By differentiating the characteristic function $\Delta(\lambda, \alpha)$ with λ and α and noticing (7.3), we have

$$\frac{\partial \Delta(\lambda, \alpha)}{\partial \lambda} = \tau + \frac{n+1}{\lambda + \mu + \kappa}, \quad \frac{\partial \Delta(\lambda, \alpha)}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha(1 - \ln \alpha)}.$$

Therefore, by setting $(\lambda, \alpha) = (i\omega_k, \alpha_k)$ in above equations, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial \Delta(i\omega_k, \alpha_k)}{\partial \lambda} = \tau + \frac{(n+1)(\mu + \kappa)}{(\mu + \kappa)^2 + \omega_k^2} > 0, \quad \frac{\partial \Delta(i\omega_k, \alpha_k)}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{1}{\alpha_k(1 - \ln \alpha_k)} < 0. \quad (7.15)$$

It follows from the implicit function theorem around each $(i\omega_k, \alpha_k)$ that for each $k \geq 0$, there exists $\rho_k > 0$ and a C^1 -map $\hat{\lambda}_k : (\alpha_k - \rho_k, \alpha_k + \rho_k) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\hat{\lambda}_k(\alpha_k) = i\omega_k, \quad \Delta(\hat{\lambda}_k(\alpha), \alpha) = 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in (\alpha_k - \rho_k, \alpha_k + \rho_k).$$

Furthermore, by differentiating $\Delta(\hat{\lambda}(\alpha), \alpha) = 0$ with α , we can obtain

$$\frac{\partial \Delta(\hat{\lambda}_k(\alpha), \alpha)}{\partial \alpha} + \frac{\partial \Delta(\hat{\lambda}_k(\alpha), \alpha)}{\partial \lambda} \frac{d\hat{\lambda}_k(\alpha)}{d\alpha} = 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in (\alpha_k - \rho_k, \alpha_k + \rho_k). \quad (7.16)$$

The result (7.14) can be obtained by substituting (7.15) into (7.16) with $\alpha = \alpha_k$. ■

By Proposition 7.2 and Theorem 7.3 and using the Hopf bifurcation theorem, we can immediately obtain the following Hopf bifurcation result.

Theorem 7.4 *Let Assumption 7.1 be satisfied. Then, for each $k \geq 0$, the number α_k defined in Proposition 7.2 is a Hopf bifurcation point for the system (1.1) parameterized by α around the positive equilibrium \bar{u} .*

References

- [1] M. E. Fisher and B. S. Goh, Stability results for delayed-recruitment models in population dynamics, *J. Math. Biol.*, **19**(1) (1984), 147-156.
- [2] M. E. Gurtin and R. C. MacCamy, Non-linear age-dependent population dynamics, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **54** (1974), 281-300.
- [3] M. Iannelli, *Mathematical Theory of Age-Structured Population Dynamics*, Appl. Math. Monogr. C.N.R., **7**, Giadini Editori E Stampatori, Pisa, 1994.
- [4] Z. Liu, P. Magal, and S. Ruan, Hopf Bifurcation for non-densely defined Cauchy problems, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **62** (2011), 191-222.
- [5] A. J. Lotka, Relation between birth rates and death rates, *Science*, **26** (1907), 21-22.
- [6] P. Magal, Perturbation of a globally stable steady state and uniform persistence, *J. Dynam. Differential Equations*, **21** (2009), 1-20.
- [7] P. Magal, C. C. McCluskey and G. F. Webb, Lyapunov functional and global asymptotic stability for an infection-age model, *Applicable Analysis*, **89** (2010), 1109-1140.
- [8] P. Magal and S. Ruan, *Center manifolds for semilinear equations with non-dense domain and applications on Hopf bifurcation in age structured models*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **202** (951) (2009).
- [9] P. Magal and S. Ruan, *Theory and Applications of Abstract Semilinear Cauchy Problems*, Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [10] P. Magal, O. Seydi and F-B. Wang, Monotone abstract non-densely defined Cauchy problems applied to age structured population dynamic models, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **479**(1) (2019), 450-481.
- [11] P. Magal and X.-Q. Zhao, Global attractors and steady states for uniformly persistent dynamical systems, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **37** (2005), 251-275.
- [12] F. R. Sharpe and A. J. Lotka, A problem in age-distribution. *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science*, **21**(124)(1911), 435-438.
- [13] H. L. Smith and H. R. Thieme, *Dynamical Systems and Population Persistence*, American Mathematical Soc, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [14] H.R. Thieme, *Mathematics in Population Biology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2003.

- [15] G. F. Webb, *Theory of Nonlinear Age-Dependent Population Dynamics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1985.
- [16] X.-Q. Zhao, *Dynamical Systems in Population Biology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.