

Universal Mass Scale for Bosonic Fields in Multi-Brane Worlds

R.I. de Oliveira Junior,^a G. Alencar,^a R.R. Landim^a and R.N. Costa Filho^a

^a*Universidade Federal do Ceará-UFC,*

Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Ceará, 60451-970, Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil

E-mail: ivan@fisica.ufc.br, geova@fisica.ufc.br, renan@fisica.ufc.br,
rai@fisica.ufc.br

ABSTRACT: In this paper we find an universal mass scale for all p -forms in multi-brane worlds model. It is a known fact the this model provides an ultralight mode for the fields. However, to get this, the Lagrangians considered in the literature are not covariant. In order to solve this, we propose a covariant version to multi-localize q -form fields. As a consequence of the covariance, we show that all the q -form fields have an ultralight mode with the same mass that the gravitational one. That way we show that there is an universal mass scale for the ultralight modes of the bosonic fields. This suggests that a new physics must emerge, for all theses fields, at the same scale. After that, we revisit the results that consider a crystal manifold background in the Randall-Sundrum scenary (RS), and add the discussion related to geometrical couplings in such a configuration. The wave functions of fields trapped in the crystal are Bloch-like waves, and their behavior is very similar to electrons inside a lattice, just like in the Kronig-Penney model (KP). We compute the mass dispersion relations for those fields with and without a dilaton coupling. It leads to new results for the band gap structure of these fields. In the case of the Kalb-Ramond field, and with the correct dispersion relation, there is no gap between the mass bands. Also, always that the field is coupled with the dilaton, its first mass mode decreases. When the generalization to the q -form is done, we show that it is not possible to suppress or generate mass for the fields by controlling the dilaton coupling, differently of what was argued previously.

KEYWORDS: High Dimensional Physics, Multi-Brane-world, Multi-Localization, Dispersion Relation, Mass Band Gap

¹ivan@fisica.ufc.br.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Review of the $(+ - +)$ Model	4
2.1	Gravitational Field	4
2.2	The Scalar Field	7
2.3	The Gauge Field	8
3	Covariant Multi-Localization of Bosonic Fields in the $(+ - +)$ Model	10
3.1	Multi-Localization With Geometric Quantities	10
3.1.1	The Scalar Field	10
3.1.2	The Gauge Field	11
3.1.3	The Kalb-Ramond Field	14
3.1.4	q -forms	16
3.2	Multi-Localization With the Dilaton	18
3.2.1	The Scalar Field	19
3.2.2	The Gauge Field	19
3.2.3	The Kalb Ramond Field	20
3.2.4	The q -form	20
4	Revisiting the Bosonic Fields in the Crystal Manifold	21
4.1	The Free Gravitational Field	21
4.2	The Gravitational Field with Dilaton	25
4.3	The Scalar, Gauge, Kalb-Ramond and q -form.	26
4.3.1	The Scalar Field	27
4.3.2	The Gauge Field	28
4.3.3	The Kalb Ramond Field	30
4.4	The q -Form Field	31
5	Bosonic Fields in the Crystal Manifold (With Non-minimal Coupling)	33
5.1	The Gauge Field	33
5.2	The Kalb-Ramond Field	34
6	Conclusion	35
A	Mass Spectrum in the $(+ - +)$ Model	37

1 Introduction

When electrons move inside solids, they experiment a potential due to the ions in the lattice. Therefore, the electrons are subject to a periodic potential inside the lattice. The simplest way to describe this system is the Kronig-Penney model [1], which is based on Bloch's idea [2]: the interaction of the electron with the particles in an one dimensional crystal can be approximated by a periodic potential. By solving the Schrödinger equation with that potential, one can find the allowed energy of the system and analytic expressions for the dispersion relation. With this at hand, it is possible to find that some values of the energy are not allowed, called the band gap. This kind of behavior has important consequences in condensed matter, in the computation of the conductivity, for example. The use of condensed matter tools to study physics of membranes is not new. Some time ago, Randall-Sundrum (RS) presented two models in which gravity is trapped (or localized) to the membrane[3, 4]. However unlike gravity and the scalar field, the vector field is not trapped on the brane, what became a drawback to the RS model [5–14]. Despite of this, the massive modes can have peaks of probability over the brane and show up as resonances, which tell us about unstable modes that in principle could be measured at the brane [13–22]. With the condensed matter analogy in mind, some of the present authors applied the transfer matrix method to compute resonances[23–25]. This was possible since particles moving through the extra dimensions resembles the wave packets of electrons hitting the potential barriers inside semiconductors.

In order to circumvent the problem of localization, some authors introduced a Dilaton coupling[5]. Most of these models introduce other fields or nonlinearities to the gauge field[6]. Some years ago Ghoroku et al proposed a mechanism that do not includes new degrees of freedom and trap the gauge field to the membrane[9]. This is based on the addition of two mass terms, one in the bulk and another on the brane $(M^2 + c\delta(z))A_M A^M$. Despite of working, the mechanism is not covariant under a general transformation of coordinates. Beyond this, it has the undesirable feature of possessing two free parameters. In order to solve the above issues, some of the present authors found that the above term can be obtained from a bulk action $\lambda_1 R(x)A_M A^M$, where R is the Ricci scalar[26, 27]. Beyond solving the problem of covariance, it also eliminated from the beginning one of the free parameters. The last one is fixed by the boundary conditions, leaving no free parameters in the model. The mechanism also keep the advantage of do not adding any new degrees of freedom. Soon latter many developments of the idea was put forward [28–32]. However the coupling can be generalized to [33]

$$\lambda_1 R(x)A_M A^M + \lambda_2 R(x)_{MN}A^M A^N,$$

and we gain a new undetermined parameter. However, recently, Freitas *et al* showed that the new parameter can be fixed by demanding consistency with Einstein Equation [34]. In fact, the last authors found that all the parameters must be fixed for any p -form with the above coupling.

Another interesting construction are the models with multi-gravity [35–37]. In [35], the authors extend the RS-I model by adding a third brane with positive tension; the $(+ - +)$

model. The addition of this brane solves issues related to the cosmological constant, and also gives rise to a new phenomenology. Due to the presence of this third brane, the gravitational spectrum changes drastically. While in the RS-I the only mode that contributes to gravity is the zero one, in this model the weak gravity is a combination of the zero and first mode. In [36], it is discussed how the gravity can be changed for different limits; having a $5 - D$ behavior for large scales and $4 - D$ for even large ones. They also discuss other models with multi-gravity: the $(+ - - +)$ model, and shows that the $(+ - +)$ model is a particular case of the previous one. In these models the gravitational field is multi-localized. This induces the idea of multi-localization of other fields, and this is done in the work [37]. In this last paper the authors show the localization of free fields of spin $1/2, 1$ and $3/2$ is not possible. As cited above, the same problem happens in the RS-I models. The way that they localize these fields is by the introduction of mass terms like $m^2 = \alpha\sigma'(y)^2 + \beta\sigma''(y)$, where $\sigma(y)$ is the warp factor and α, β free parameters. This is very similar to the Ghoroku solution and shares the same problems of non-covariance and free parameters. In order to localize the zero modes of fields, the authors propose a relation between the free parameters. After this, they calculate the massive spectrum, and show that the first mode behaves like the first mode of the gravitational field as described above.

Beyond semiconductors and the models with multi-gravity, the next analogy is that of a periodic crystal. This construction, in the extra dimensions scenario with many branes, was presented in Ref. [38] and was called crystal manifold universe. The author found brane-world solutions regarding intersecting families of parallel $(n + 2)$ -branes in a $(4 + n)$ -dimensional AdS space. Soon latter other generalizations were considered [39–44]. In these models, there are branes in any direction of the bulk, and they can have intersections. These works gave rise to discussions related to, for example, cosmological braneworld models [45, 46] and dark matter[47, 48]. Yet in Ref. [38], the authors applied his general construction for the gravitational field in such a background. The main difference between this model and RS-II is that now the massive modes can appear as stable ones over the brane. To be more precise, as in the Kronig-Penney model, they found a dispersion relation that gave the allowed values of mass, generating a band gap structure. With this, it was proposed to compute the correction to the Newton’s law. With this in mind the authors in Ref. [44] used the dispersion relation of Ref. [38] to extended the above results. They considered other fields in the crystal manifold, namely the scalar, gauge, Kalb-Ramond and q -form fields, with and without the dilaton coupling. They found numerically the structure of the mass bands for all these fields. Finally, the authors in [36] corrected mistakes in the dispersion relation of Ref. [38] and with this computed the correct band gap for the gravitational field.

In the case of a D -dimensional universe, there is the possibility of the existence of many antisymmetric fields [49]. These fields appear naturally in string theory and have a relation with the ADS/CFT conjecture [50–52]. Localization of the zero mode of q -forms in RS-II model was first studied in Ref.[53] where it was claimed that, in D spacetime dimensions, only forms with $q < (D - 3)/2$ have a zero mode localized. However, it is well known that in the absence of a topological obstruction, the field strength of a q -form is Hodge dual to the $(D - q - 2)$ -form[54]. Using this property it was shown that in fact only

for the 0–form and its dual, the $(D - 2)$ –form, the fields are localized[55, 56]. Recently the authors of Refs[57, 58] showed that this is also related to the gauge fixing of the form fields. This make the problem of localization worse, since the vector field is not localized for any spacetime dimension. In order to solve this, the geometrical coupling mechanism was used in Refs. [28, 59].

In this work we perform a complete study of bosonic fields in multi-brane worlds. First, we consider the scalar and gauge fields for the $(+ - +)$ model. We solve the covariance problem of Ref. [37] by considering the geometrical coupling. With this we also obtain that, in order to localize the fields, no free parameter is left in the model. Yet for the $(+ - +)$ model, we generalize the same mechanism to obtain multi-localization of q –forms. We also propose the localization by using the dilaton field. In both methods we calculate the mass spectrum for the q –form and compare our results, for the scalar and gauge fields, with the ones found in [37]. Next we consider the crystal manifold. For the gravitational field, we complete the one point analytical calculations for the gap, done in [36], and find numerically the entire band structure. Yet, since the same dispersion relation found in [38] was used for other fields in Ref. [44], we also revisit the mass band for free q –form fields and find the correct expressions. Beyond this, we also study the influence of the geometrical coupling and of the dilaton in the mass bands of these fields.

The organization of this work is as follows. In section two we review the $(+ - +)$ model. Our method to multi-localize the fields in the $(+ - +)$ model is done in section three. In section four, we revisit the bosonic fields in the crystal manifold. The study of the q –form with geometrical coupling, in the crystal, is done in the fifth section. Finally, we present our conclusions and perspectives of future works.

2 Review of the $(+ - +)$ Model

In this section we make a brief review of the $(+ - +)$ model presented in the work [35]. We start discussing the original model that was developed for the gravity, then we present the extension for the scalar and gauge fields that was constructed in the work [37]. In reviewing the last work we realize one problem in the mass term used to localize the gauge field; the potential used by them is not covariant. In this section we comment this issue, and in the next one we show a solution for this problem.

2.1 Gravitational Field

The $(+ - +)$ model is a generalization of the (RS-I) model where an extra brane with positive tension is added. The configuration of the model is given by

Model with three branes




Figure 1. (Adapted of [35]Orbifold with three branes)

Where x is the distance between the positive and negative tension branes. When x goes to zero, the model tends to the (RS-I) model. Here we will consider the symmetric case where the negative tension brane is placed exactly in the middle of the two positive tension branes. The positive branes are placed in the fixed points of the orbifold at $L_0 = 0$ and L_2 .

The action that describes this model as well as the equation of motion are similar to the model with just two branes, the difference is that there is another brane. The metric has the usual form

$$ds^2 = e^{-2\sigma(y)}\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + dy^2. \quad (2.1)$$

Where the solution for the metric is

$$\sigma(y) = k(l - ||y| - l|). \quad (2.2)$$

To determine the Kaluza-Klein (KK) spectrum, they use fluctuations of the metric in the form

$$ds^2 = [e^{-2\sigma(y)}\eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}(x, y)]dx^\mu dx^\nu + dy^2. \quad (2.3)$$

Where these fluctuations are given by

$$h_{\mu\nu}(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{\mu\nu}^n(x)\psi^n(y). \quad (2.4)$$

The function $\psi^n(y)$ obeys the following differential equation

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_y^2 + 2k^2 - 2k[\delta(y) + \delta(y - 2l) - \delta(y - l) - \delta(y + l)] \right) \psi^n(y) = \frac{m_n^2}{2} e^{2\sigma(y)} \psi^n(y). \quad (2.5)$$

After a change of variables, the above equation is turned into a Schrodinger like equation

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_z^2 + V(z) \right) \hat{\psi}^n(z) = \frac{m_n^2}{2} e^{2\sigma(y)} \hat{\psi}^n(z). \quad (2.6)$$

With $V(z)$ being

$$V(z) = \frac{15k^2}{8[g(z)]^2} - \frac{3k}{2g(z)}[\delta(z) + \delta(z - 2z_l) - \delta(z - z_l) - \delta(z + z_l)]. \quad (2.7)$$

Where $g(z) = k(z_l - ||z| - z_l|) + 1$. That way, we have a quantum mechanical problem with delta function.

The solution for the zero mode of (2.6) is found by making $m_n = 0$. For the rest of the modes the solution is given by Bessel functions. Considering the region between $[0, 2l]$, the solution is

$$\hat{\psi}^n(z) \begin{Bmatrix} A \\ B \end{Bmatrix} = \sqrt{\frac{g(z)}{k}} \left[\begin{Bmatrix} A_1 \\ B_1 \end{Bmatrix} J_2\left(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)\right) + \begin{Bmatrix} A_2 \\ B_2 \end{Bmatrix} Y_2\left(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)\right) \right] \quad (2.8)$$

. Where $A = [0, l]$ and $B = [l, 2l]$. The boundary conditions for the wave function in (z_1) and for the first derivative in $(0, z_1, z_2)$ gives

$$\begin{vmatrix} J_1\left(\frac{m_n}{k}\right) & Y_1\left(\frac{m_n}{k}\right) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & J_1\left(\frac{m_n}{k}\right) & Y_1\left(\frac{m_n}{k}\right) \\ J_1\left(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)\right) & Y_1\left(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)\right) & J_1\left(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)\right) & Y_1\left(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)\right) \\ J_2\left(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)\right) & Y_2\left(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)\right) & -J_2\left(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)\right) & -Y_2\left(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)\right) \end{vmatrix} = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

From this determinant the spectrum of mass is calculated. The main characteristic of it is that the first mode has an anomalous behavior when compared with the rest of the modes. The first mode is given by

$$m_1 = 2\sqrt{2}ke^{-2kl}. \quad (2.10)$$

The way to obtain this first mode is given in Appendix (A). The spectrum of mass for the rest of the modes is

$$m_{n+1} = \xi_n ke^{-kl}. \quad n = 1, 2, 3... \quad (2.11)$$

Where ξ_n are the zeros of the function $J_2\left(\frac{m_n}{k}e^{kl}\right)$. The separation between two consecutive modes is

$$\Delta m = m_{n+1} - m_n = (\xi_{n+1} - \xi_n)ke^{-kl} = \frac{\pi}{2}ke^{-kl}. \quad (2.12)$$

Due to the exponential factor, we see that the mass for the first mode is much smaller than the mass of the rest of the modes.

We can understand the atypical behavior of the first mode due to the presence of the second positive brane[37]. Because of it the first mode will have a wave function that assembles the wave function of the zero mode. That way its mass is very close to zero. The first mode, like the zero one, has wave function peaked in the positive tension branes, while the other modes are spread in the extra dimension. Let us see the comparison for the wave function and for the massive spectrum between the RS-I model and the $(+ - +)$ model. The wave function for the zero mode is the linear combination of the two zero modes (one for each positive brane) $\psi_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(f_0^1 + f_0^2)$ (symmetric with relation the $(-)$ brane). While the wave function for the first mode is $\psi_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(f_0^1 - f_0^2)$ (anti-symmetric with relation the $(-)$ brane).




Figure 2. Adapted from [37]. Comparison between the gravitational spectrum

As the first mode has a very small mass, it can contribute for the gravity. It configures the bi-gravity models, where the gravity would be a combination of the zero and the first mass modes. The behavior for other fields assembles gravity. We will now review the scalar and the gauge fields as done in [37].

2.2 The Scalar Field

In this subsection we review the spectrum of the scalar field in the $(+ - +)$ model. We follow the process done in [37]. The action for a real massive scalar field in five dimensions is given by

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \int dy \sqrt{G} (G^{AB} \partial_A \Phi \partial_B \Phi + m_\Phi^2 \Phi^2). \quad (2.13)$$

Under Z_2 symmetry the mass is even, and the authors choose a mass term of the form

$$m_\Phi^2 = \alpha(\sigma'(y)^2) + \beta\sigma''(y), \quad (2.14)$$

where α, β are free parameters. As we pointed before, this way of choosing the mass term is not covariant. Next, by using the standard (KK) decomposition

$$\Phi(x, y) = \sum_n \phi_n(x) f_n(y), \quad (2.15)$$

they get the wave function obeyed by the (KK) modes

$$-\frac{d}{dy} \left(e^{-4\sigma(y)} \frac{d}{dy} f_n(y) \right) + m_\Phi^2 e^{-4\sigma(y)} f_n(y) = m_n^2 e^{-2\sigma(y)} f_n(y). \quad (2.16)$$

Using the usual changes of variables from the y coordinate to the z coordinate they put the above equation in the form of a Schrodinger like equation

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\partial_z^2 + V(z)\right] \hat{f}_n(z) = \frac{m_n^2}{2} \hat{f}_n(z). \quad (2.17)$$

With potential given by

$$V(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{15}{4} + \alpha\right) (\sigma'(y))^2}{2[g(z)^2]} - \frac{\left(\frac{3}{2} - \beta\right) \sigma''(y)}{2[g(z)^2]}. \quad (2.18)$$

The change of variables used by the authors is $\frac{dz}{dy} = e^{\sigma(y)} = g(z)$. With such change the above potential can be written as

$$V(z) = \left(\frac{9}{4} + \alpha + \beta\right) (A'(z))^2 + \left(\frac{3}{2} - \beta\right) A''(z), \quad (2.19)$$

where we have made the identification $(\sigma'(z))^2 \rightarrow A'(z)^2$ and $\sigma''(z) \rightarrow -A''(z)$, this will be useful for future comparison. It is important to mention that we have take in consideration a factor of two in this potential. This will facilitate the comparisons that will be done in the future. In order to have a zero mode localized they found the relation

$$\alpha = \beta^2 - 4\beta. \quad (2.20)$$

For $\beta > 1$ the field is localized in the positive tension brane and $\beta < 1$ in the negative one.

Solving equation (2.17) for the massive modes they found for the first mode

$$m_1 \approx \sqrt{4\nu^2 - 1} k e^{-(\nu+1/2)kl}, \quad (2.21)$$

and for the rest of the modes

$$m_{n+1} \approx \xi_n k e^{-kl} \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (2.22)$$

where ξ_n are the roots of $J_{\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(x)$, and $\nu = \frac{3}{2} - \beta$. We should point that the light mode does not exists when

$$-\frac{1}{2} \leq \nu \leq \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow 1 \leq \beta \leq 2, \quad (2.23)$$

since the mass would be complex or null. We have also calculated general expressions for the mass modes. These expressions are similar to (2.21) and (2.22), but in our calculations we use c_1 instead of ν .

2.3 The Gauge Field

Let us now review the gauge field. This is a little bit more complicated because, in fact, the localization of it is a problem. In order to achieve a localized field in the $(+ - +)$ scenary, the authors in [37] use the following action

$$S = - \int d^4x \int dy \left[\frac{1}{4} F_{MN} F^{MN} + \frac{1}{2} M A_\mu A^\mu \right]. \quad (2.24)$$

Explicitly we find that the mass term is given by

$$M = (\beta\sigma''(y) + \alpha(\sigma'(y))^2). \quad (2.25)$$

However, this action is not invariant under a general transformation of coordinates. In fact, it is very similar to the one proposed by Ghoruku and Nakamura in the work [9], in the context of just one brane. This problem was solved in [26], where the authors proposed a coupling with the Ricci scalar. Here we will use a similar strategy for the case of multi-localization. But before, let us see the results found using the above action. Once again, with the KK decomposition $A^\mu(x, y) = A^\mu(x)f_n(y)$ they obtain the differential equation for the KK modes

$$-\frac{d}{dy} \left(e^{-2\sigma(y)} \frac{d}{dy} f_n(y) \right) + m_{\mathbb{F}}^2 e^{-2\sigma(y)} f_n(y) = m_n^2 f_n(y). \quad (2.26)$$

The last equation is transformed into a Schrodinger like equation with potential given by

$$V(z) = \frac{(\frac{3}{4} + \alpha) (\sigma'(y))^2}{2[g(z)^2]} - \frac{(\frac{1}{2} - \beta) \sigma''(y)}{2[g(z)^2]}. \quad (2.27)$$

Once again this potential can be written, explicitly, with a z dependence

$$V(z) = \left(\frac{1}{4} + \alpha + \beta \right) (A'(z))^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta \right) A(z)'''. \quad (2.28)$$

To obtain a localized zero mode the parameter β obeys the relation

$$\alpha = \beta^2 - 2\beta. \quad (2.29)$$

For $\beta > 0$ the field is localized in the positive tension brane and $\beta < 0$ in the negative one. The expression for the first KK mode and for the rest of the tower is identical to the expression (2.21) and (2.22), but now with

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2} - \beta. \quad (2.30)$$

Therefore, just as in the scalar field, we get the forbidden range for β

$$0 \leq \beta \leq 1. \quad (2.31)$$

This will be important latter. As can be seen above; for the gravity, scalar and gauge fields, we can obtain the ultra-light mode just by changing ν in expression (2.21). Therefore, in the appendix (A), we consider a general potential and find, in Eq. (A.7), a general expression for the first mode. This expression is valid for both multi-localization process that will be considered by us in the next section.

3 Covariant Multi-Localization of Bosonic Fields in the (+ - +) Model

In this section we present two alternatives for the multi-localization of the q -form field: a) the geometrical coupling and b) the coupling to the dilaton field. For both methods we compute the mass spectrum of the fields. First, we present the geometrical coupling, and its consequence. After that, we develop the localization using the dilaton field (scalar field introduced in [5] in order to localize the gauge field in the thick brane scenario). The localization with dilaton has no problem related to breaking the gauge symmetry or the covariance of the system, once that the coupling is done in the kinetic term of the action through an exponential. In both methods, we will use a metric of the form $ds^2 = e^{2A(z)}g_{MN}dx^M dx^N$.

3.1 Multi-Localization With Geometric Quantities

The method of localization with geometric quantities was firstly shown in [26, 27] in the context of the RS-II. But we will use a more general procedure, once that we construct the action with the scalar and the Ricci tensor. As shown below, in this method the mass terms introduced are covariant, differently of [37]. It is important to mention that in all our calculations we use c_1 , while [37] uses ν .

3.1.1 The Scalar Field

Now we discuss the particular case of the scalar field. The only covariant possible action is

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \int dy \sqrt{G} (G^{AB} \partial_A \Phi \partial_B \Phi + \lambda R(x) \Phi^2), \quad (3.1)$$

where λ is the only free parameter and R is the Ricci scalar. With this we see that we need to reduce the number of free parameters in order to keep general covariance. From equation (3.1) we get the following equation of motion

$$\partial_M [\sqrt{-g} g^{MN} \partial_N \Phi] - \lambda R \sqrt{-g} \Phi = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Opening the sum in the indices, and considering that the metric is diagonal, we get

$$e^{-3A} \partial_5 [e^{3A} \partial_5 \Phi] + \square \Phi - \lambda R e^{2A} \Phi = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

By choosing a (KK) decomposition in the form $\Phi(x, z) = e^{-\frac{3}{2}A} \phi(x) \psi(z)$, and placing the Ricci scalar in the z coordinate: $R = -e^{-2A} (8A'' + 12(A')^2)$, we finally arrive in the Schrodinger equation

$$-\psi'' + [c_1 A'' + c_2 A'^2] \psi = m^2 \psi, \quad (3.4)$$

with

$$c_1 = \left(\frac{3}{2} - 8\lambda \right), c_2 = \left(\frac{9}{4} - 12\lambda \right). \quad (3.5)$$

For the massless case it is easy to see that the solution must be given by $e^{c_1 A}$, with $c_1^2 = c_2$. With this we find two solutions

$$\lambda = \frac{3}{16}, \lambda = 0.$$

Lets us compare this with the solution found in Ref [37]. Comparing the mass term in (3.4) with (2.19), we get

$$c_1 = \frac{3}{2} - \beta \quad c_2 = \frac{9}{4} + \alpha + \beta \quad (3.6)$$

or

$$\alpha = -20\lambda, \beta = 8\lambda.$$

If $\lambda = \frac{3}{16}$, we have $\beta = \frac{3}{2}$. If $\lambda = 0$, we have $\beta = 0$. As we will see bellow, the only acceptable choice for λ is $\lambda = 0$, this leads to an effective action of the zero mode

$$S_{effe} = -\frac{1}{2} \int e^{2c_1 A} dz \int d^4 x \partial_\mu \phi(x) \partial^\mu \phi(x). \quad (3.7)$$

In order to have the field localized in the positive branes, we need $2c_1 > 1$. As c_1 is not a free parameter, it is $c_1 = \frac{3}{2}$. The zero mode is localized only in such branes. We will see later that this pattern will be shared for all q -forms.

Considering the above results, with $\lambda = \frac{3}{16}$, we reobtain the relation (2.20), used by the authors of Ref. [37] to ensure localization. Also, In Ref. [37] the authors find that for $\beta < 1$ the scalar field is localized in the positive tension brane and for $\beta > 1$ in the negative one¹. However now β is not a free parameter and the only allowed value is $\beta = 3/2$. Therefore, imposing general convariance will provides a radically different behavior for the model. First of all, the scalar field must be localized only in the negative tension brane. Second, and more important, $\beta = 3/2$ implies that it is in the forbidden range Eq. (2.23). Therefore the ultralight mode does not exist and this will gives a very different phenomenological consequences to the model. If the ultralight mode does not exist, we can not ensure the localization of the zero mode. This fact forces us to choose the value $\lambda = 0$. This choice leads to $\beta = 0$, and now there is an ultralight mode and also the zero mode is localized in the positive tension branes, not in the negative ones as would be if we chose $\lambda = \frac{3}{16}$. Then, we see that the scalar field does not needs a mass term in order to be localized. The mass (2.25) is completely unnecessary. Also, the ultralight mode has a mass identical to the gravitational field. And we will see that all the q -form shares this behavior, independently of the type of coupling. In the next section we analyze this issues for the Gauge field. The rest of the spectrum is given in (2.22), with $\nu = \frac{3}{2}$.

3.1.2 The Gauge Field

We now focus in the gauge field. The action proposed by [37], equation (2.24) is not invariant under a general transformation of coordinates. In order to correct such a problem we propose a path similar to the one of the scalar field. The action is given by

$$S = - \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{4} F_{MN} F^{MN} + \frac{\lambda}{2} R A_M A^M \right], \quad (3.8)$$

where $F_{MN} = \partial_M A_N - \partial_N A_M$. This action has been considered in Ref. [26] in the context of RS-II model. For completeness we will give here some details of the path to the Schroedinger equation. The equation of motion that is obtained from (3.8) is

$$\partial_N [\sqrt{-g} F^{MN}] + \sqrt{-g} \lambda R A^M = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

¹In fact the authors committed a small mistake and inverted the range.

It can be divided in two equations; one scalar and other for a vector. The last one is

$$\partial_5[e^A F^{\nu 5}] + \partial_\mu[e^A F^{\nu\mu}] + \lambda\sqrt{-g}R e^{-2A} A^\nu = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Where we divide the vector as $A^\mu = A_T^\mu + A_L^\mu$, in order to decouple the longitudinal and transverse parts of the field. Also we use the gauge $\partial_\mu A_T^\mu = 0$. By using this division and the following identities found in [26]

$$\partial_\mu F^{\nu\mu} = -\square A_T^\nu \quad (3.11)$$

$$F^{5\mu} \equiv \partial^5 A_T^\mu + F_L^{5\mu} \quad (3.12)$$

$$F_L^{\mu 5} = \frac{\partial^\mu}{\square} \partial_\nu F^{\nu 5}, \quad (3.13)$$

we can write (3.10) as

$$-e^{-A} \partial_5[e^A \partial^5 A_T^\nu] - e^{-A} \partial_5[e^A F_L^{5\nu}] - \square A_T^\nu + \lambda e^{2A} R A_T^\nu + \lambda e^{2A} R A_L^\nu = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Also with the help of the identities, we can show that $-e^{-A} \partial_5[e^A F_L^{5\nu}] = -\lambda e^{2A} R A_L^\nu$. Then the second term cancels out the fifth one in (3.14), and we end up with

$$\square A_T^\nu + e^{-A} \partial_5[e^A \partial^5 A_T^\nu] - \lambda e^{2A} R A_T^\nu = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

Now, in order to get a Schrodinger like equation we propose this ansatz for the solution: $A^\nu(x, z) = e^{-\frac{A}{2}} A^\nu(x) \psi(z)$, and together with the Ricci scalar in the z coordinate

$$R = -e^{-2A} (8A'' + 12A'^2), \quad (3.16)$$

we finally get the Schrodinger equation identical to Eq. (3.4) but with

$$c_1 = \left(\frac{1}{2} - 8\lambda\right), c_2 = \left(\frac{1}{4} - 12\lambda\right). \quad (3.17)$$

The solution to the massless case is therefore again $\psi = \alpha e^{c_1 A}$, with $c_1^2 = c_2$. With this we get

$$\lambda = -\frac{1}{16}; c_1 = 1 \quad (3.18)$$

By replacing this solution in the effective action for the zero mode we get

$$S_{eff} = - \int e^{2A(z)} dz \left[\int d^4x \frac{1}{4} \tilde{F}_T^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^T \right], \quad (3.19)$$

and the field is localized in the positive tension brane. We now compare our potential with (2.28), by doing it we find

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \frac{1}{2} - 8\lambda = \frac{1}{2} - \beta \rightarrow \beta = 8\lambda \rightarrow \beta = -\frac{1}{2} \\ c_2 &= \frac{1}{4} + \alpha + \beta = \frac{1}{4} - 12\lambda \rightarrow \alpha = -20\lambda \rightarrow \alpha = \frac{5}{4}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Let us now compare this with the results of Ref.[37]. With the above expressions and our localization condition (3.18) we see that (2.29) is automatically satisfied. This condition

was used by the authors of Ref. [37] to ensure localization. Also, In Ref. [37] the authors find that the Gauge field is localized in the positive tension brane for $\beta < 0$ and in the negative one for $\beta > 0$ ². However now β is not a free parameter and the only allowed value is $\beta = -1/2$. Therefore, imposing general covariance will provides a specific behavior for the model. First of all, the Gauge field must be localized only in the positive tension branes. Second, and more important, $\beta = -1/2$ is out of the forbidden range (2.31). Therefore the ultralight mode exist and is fixed. The rest of the mass, again, will be given by (2.22) with $\nu = 1$.

Now we can generalize the above model by considering a more general coupling given by

$$S = - \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{4} F_{MN} F^{MN} + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} R A_M A^M + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} R_{MN} A^M A^N \right]. \quad (3.21)$$

This action was used in the context of RS-II in Ref. [33]. Now we also need the Ricci tensor, given by

$$R_{\mu\nu}(z) = -\eta_{\mu\nu} (A'' + 3A'^2). \quad (3.22)$$

The process to obtain the Schrodinger equation is similar to the one for just the Ricci scalar, and again it is given by (3.4), but with

$$c_1 = \left(\frac{1}{2} - 8\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \right), c_2 = \left(\frac{1}{4} - 12\lambda_1 - 3\lambda_2 \right). \quad (3.23)$$

The solution to the zero mode is obtained with $c_1^2 = c_2$, and now we get

$$\lambda_2^\pm = -(1 + 8\lambda_1) \pm \sqrt{12\lambda_1 + 1}; c_1^\pm = \frac{3}{2} \mp \sqrt{12\lambda_1 + 1} \quad (3.24)$$

Of course, we must have $\lambda_1 \geq -1/12$ in order to have a real root. This leads to an effective action given by

$$S_{eff} = - \int e^{2c_1 A(z)} dz \left[\int d^4x \frac{1}{4} \tilde{F}_T^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}^T \right]. \quad (3.25)$$

The field is localized in the positive tension brane if $2c_1 > 1$ and in the negative one if $2c_1 < 0$. With this we get that the range of allowed values is given by

$$-\frac{1}{12} \leq \lambda_1 < 0, -2 < \lambda_2 \leq -\frac{1}{3}. \quad (3.26)$$

Once more we compare our potential with (2.28). The comparison leads to

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \frac{1}{2} - 8\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} - \beta \rightarrow \beta = (8\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) \\ c_2 &= \frac{1}{4} - 12\lambda_1 - 3\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{4} + \alpha + \beta \rightarrow \alpha = -(20\lambda_1 + 4\lambda_2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

As expected, the above expressions imply that the relation (2.29) is automatically satisfied. We also obtain, from Eq. (3.26), the range of values

$$-\frac{8}{3} \leq \beta < 0. \quad (3.28)$$

²Again we have corrected the range.

The above values are out of the forbidden range (2.31) and the ultralight mode exists.

We should point the interesting particular case $\lambda_1 = 0$. With this we get a coupling just with the Ricci tensor. By using (3.27) we get $\beta = \lambda_2$, and $\alpha = -4\lambda_2$. With the condition $c_1^2 = c_2$, we get $\lambda_2 = -2$, then $\beta = -2$ and $\alpha = 8$. Therefore we get a fixed ultralight mode. However, for the general case, we can not fix completely the parameters λ_1 and λ_2 . It is necessary something else. As said in the introduction, we can impose the further condition that the localization of the field is consistent with EE in the limit of large radius. Using this, Freitas *et al* found that we must fix [34]

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{12}, \lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{3}. \quad (3.29)$$

With these values we have $\beta = -1$ and we get a fixed ultralight mode, that is identical to the gravitational field. The rest of the (KK) modes is calculated with (2.22), with $\nu = \frac{3}{2}$. Therefore, for a fully covariant and consistent model, we must fix completely the parameters. As a consequence we obtain a fixed ultra-light mode. In the next section, we apply the above procedure to the Kalb-Ramond field.

3.1.3 The Kalb-Ramond Field

Here we analyze the multi-localization of the Kalb-Ramond Field. Here, from the beginning we use both couplings. The cases with just the Ricci tensor or just the Ricci scalar, in the context of just one brane, are discussed, respectively in [59] and [28]. The action is given by

$$S = - \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{12} F_{M_1 M_2 M_3} F^{M_1 M_2 M_3} + \frac{\lambda_1}{4} R A^{M_2 N_2} A_{M_2 N_2} + \frac{\lambda_2}{4} g^{N_1 N_2} R^{M_1 M_2} A_{M_1 N_1} A_{M_2 N_2} \right]. \quad (3.30)$$

Where $F_{M_1 M_2 M_3} = 3\partial_{[M_1} A_{M_2 M_3]}$. This action leads to the following equation of motion

$$\partial_{M_1} [\sqrt{-g} F^{M_1 M_2 M_3}] - \lambda_1 \sqrt{-g} R A^{M_2 M_3} - \lambda_2 \sqrt{-g} g^{N_1 [M_3} R^{M_2] M_1} A_{M_1 N_1} = 0. \quad (3.31)$$

The last term appears anti-symmetrized due to $A_{M_1 M_2}$ be antisymmetric. We can split the equation (3.31) in two parts, one tensorial and other vectorial. By fixing $M_2 = \nu$ and $M_3 = 5$, we get the vector part as

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu 5} - \lambda_1 e^{2A} R A^{\nu 5} - \frac{\lambda_2}{2} R_5^5 A^{\nu 5} - \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \frac{R_\beta^\beta}{4} A^{\nu 5} = 0. \quad (3.32)$$

When we fix $M_2 = \mu$ and $M_3 = \nu$ in (3.31), we have the tensor part given by

$$\partial_\rho F^{\rho\mu\nu} + e^A \partial_5 [e^{-A} F^{5\mu\nu}] - \lambda_1 e^{2A} R A^{\mu\nu} - \lambda_2 \frac{R_\beta^\beta}{4} A^{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (3.33)$$

Due to the anti-symmetry of the field strength, we get from (3.31) ;

$$\partial_\mu (\lambda_1 e^A R A^{\mu 5}) + (\lambda_2 e^{-A} \frac{R_\beta^\beta}{8} + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} e^{-A} R_5^5) \partial_\mu A^{\mu 5} = 0. \quad (3.34)$$

and

$$\partial_5 \left[\lambda_1 R e^A + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} e^{-A} \left(R_5^5 + \frac{R_\beta^\beta}{4} \right) \right] A^{5\nu} + \partial_\mu \left[\lambda_1 e^A R + \lambda_2 e^{-A} \frac{R_\beta^\beta}{4} \right] A^{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (3.35)$$

From (3.34), we see that the vector field $A^{5\nu}$, once that R , R_β^β and R_5^5 depends only of $A(z)$ and its derivative, obeys the transverse condition $\partial_\mu A^{5\nu} = 0$. However, from (3.35), we see that the Kalb-Ramond field does not have null divergence. Because of that we split it like done in [28]

$$A_T^{\mu\nu} = A^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\square} \partial^{[\mu} \partial_\rho A^{\nu]\rho} \quad ; \quad A_L^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{\square} \partial^{[\mu} \partial_\rho A^{\nu]\rho}. \quad (3.36)$$

We have to show that the longitudinal and transverse part of the field can be decoupled. For this we use the identities

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu\rho} = \square A_T^{\nu\rho} \quad ; \quad F_L^{5\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{\square} \partial^{[\mu} \partial_\rho F^{\nu]\rho 5} \quad ; \quad F^{\mu\nu 5} = \partial^5 A_T^{\mu\nu} + F_L^{\mu\nu 5}. \quad (3.37)$$

We now use (3.37) in (3.33), the result is

$$\begin{aligned} & \square A_T^{\mu\nu} + e^A \partial_5 [e^{-A} \partial^5 A_T^{\mu\nu}] + e^A \partial_5 [e^{-A} F_L^{5\mu\nu}] \\ & - \lambda_1 e^{2A} R A_T^{\mu\nu} - \lambda_1 e^{2A} R A_L^{\mu\nu} - \lambda_2 \frac{R_\beta^\beta}{4} A_T^{\mu\nu} - \lambda_2 \frac{R_\beta^\beta}{4} A_L^{\mu\nu} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

With the help of the second identity in (3.37), and with the equation (3.32) and (3.35), we show that

$$e^A \partial_5 [e^{-A} F_L^{5\mu\nu}] = \left(\lambda_1 e^{2A} R + \lambda_2 \frac{R_\beta^\beta}{4} \right) A_L^{\mu\nu}. \quad (3.39)$$

Placing (3.39) in (3.38) we arrive at

$$\square A_T^{\mu\nu} + e^A \partial_5 (e^{-A} \partial^5 A_T^{\mu\nu}) - \lambda_1 e^{2A} R A_T^{\mu\nu} - \lambda_2 \frac{R_\beta^\beta}{4} A_T^{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (3.40)$$

The standard solution that is proposed to solve the above equation is

$$A_T^{\mu\nu} = e^{\frac{A}{2}} \tilde{A}_T^{\mu\nu}(x) \psi(z). \quad (3.41)$$

By plugging this solution in (3.40), we obtain an equation identical to (3.4), but with c_1 and c_2 given by

$$c_1 = -\frac{1}{2} - 8\lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \quad c_2 = \frac{1}{4} - 12\lambda_1 - 3\lambda_2. \quad (3.42)$$

Again, the solution to the zero mode is obtained if $c_1^2 = c_2$ and we find

$$\lambda_2^\pm = -(8\lambda_1 + 2) \pm \sqrt{(12\lambda_1 + 4)}. \quad (3.43)$$

In order to have real coupling we must have $\lambda_1 \geq -1/3$. The effective action is similar to the case of the gauge field

$$S_{eff} = - \int e^{2c_1 A(z)} dz \left[\int d^4 x \frac{1}{4} \tilde{F}_T^{\mu\nu\rho} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu\rho}^T \right]. \quad (3.44)$$

Again, we have a localized zero mode in the positive tension brane for $2c_1 > 1$ and for $2c_1 < 0$ in the negative one. Therefore by using $2c_1 > 1, \lambda_1 \geq -1/3$ and Eq. (3.44) we arrive at

$$-\frac{1}{3} \leq \lambda_1 < -\frac{1}{4}, -1 < \lambda_2 \leq \frac{2}{3}. \quad (3.45)$$

For the light mode we use Eq. (A.7) of the appendix to arrive at

$$m_1 = \sqrt{4c_1^2 - 1} k e^{-(c_1+1/2)x}. \quad (3.46)$$

Therefore, we see that the condition for localization is exactly the one to get a ultra-light mode. Again, we do not know the exact value of the parameters. However, we can use the consistency condition developed in [34]. For the KR field the values of the parameters are fixed to

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{3}, \lambda_2 = \frac{2}{3}.$$

With this value we get a localized zero mode and a ultra light mode given by $c_1 = 3/2$. Again we get that the only consistent ultralight mode has the same mass as in the gravity case.

3.1.4 q -forms

The action for the q -form coupled with the geometric quantities (Ricci scalar and Ricci tensor) is

$$S = - \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2(q+1)!} F_{M_1 M_2 \dots M_{q+1}} F^{M_1 M_2 \dots M_{q+1}} + \frac{\lambda_1}{2q!} R A_{M_2 \dots M_{q+1}} A^{M_2 \dots M_{q+1}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\lambda_2}{2q!} g_{M_1 N_1} R^{M_1 M_2} g^{N_1 N_2} g^{M_3 N_3} \dots g^{M_{q+1} N_{q+1}} A_{M_2 M_3 \dots M_{q+1}} A_{N_2 N_3 \dots N_{q+1}} \right]. \quad (3.47)$$

We will see if it is possible to localize it, and also analyze the value of c_1 . The field strength is given by $F_{M_1 M_2 \dots M_{q+1}} = (q+1) \partial_{[M_1} A_{M_2 M_3 \dots M_{q+1}]}$. The equation of motion that we obtain from (3.47) is

$$\partial_{M_1} [\sqrt{-g} F^{M_1 M_2 \dots M_{q+1}}] - \lambda_1 R \sqrt{-g} A^{M_2 M_3 \dots M_{q+1}} \\ - \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \sqrt{-g} g_{M_1 N_1} R^{M_1 [M_2} A^{N_1 M_3] \dots M_{q+1}}. \quad (3.48)$$

We have to decompose the q -form in D -dimensions in a q -form and a $(q-1)$ -form in $(D-1)$ -dimensions. In order to do that we have to expand the equation (3.48). With this expansion, we will get two different equations, one with one of the free indices equal 5 ($q-1$ -form) and other which none of the free indices equal to 5 (q -form). In this separation, we use the following identities

$$F^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_q 5} = F_L^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_q 5} + \partial^5 A_T^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_q} \quad (3.49) \\ F_L^{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_q 5} = \frac{(-1)^{q-1}}{\square} \partial^{[\mu_1} \partial_{\nu} F^{\mu_2 \dots \mu_q] \nu 5} \\ \partial_{\nu} F^{\nu \mu_1 \dots \mu_q} = \square A_T^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_q}.$$

As well as the separation for the field

$$\begin{aligned}
A_T^{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_q} &= A^{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_q} + \frac{(-1)^q}{\square} \partial^{[\mu_1} \partial_{\nu_1} A^{\mu_2\dots\mu_q]\nu_1} \\
A_L^{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_q} &= \frac{(-1)^{q-1}}{\square} \partial^{[\mu_1} \partial_{\nu_1} A^{\mu_2\dots\mu_q]\nu_1} \\
A^{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_q} &= A_T^{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_q} + A_L^{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_q}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

By using the last two set of equations, we get the equation for the q -form

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{\alpha_q A} \square A_T^{\mu_1\dots\mu_q} + \partial_5 [e^{\alpha_q A} (\partial^5 A_T^{\mu_1\dots\mu_q})] \\
-e^{\beta_q A} \lambda_1 R A_T^{\mu_1\dots\mu_q} - \lambda_2 e^{\alpha_q A} \frac{R_\beta^\beta}{4} A_T^{\mu_1\dots\mu_q} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

Where $\alpha_q = [D - 2(q + 1)]$ and $\beta_q = (D - 2q)$.

As a solution for the above equation we propose

$$A_T^{\mu_1\dots\mu_q} = \hat{A}_T^{\mu_1\dots\mu_q}(x) e^{-\frac{\alpha_q A}{2}} \psi(z). \tag{3.52}$$

With this solution in (3.51) we separate the variables obtaining one equation that depends on the extra dimension and other that has a x^μ dependence. The equation that depends on the extra dimension is again (3.4), and this time the coefficients c_1 and c_2 are

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1 &= \frac{\alpha_q}{2} - 2\lambda_1(D - 1) - \lambda_2 \\
c_2 &= \frac{\alpha_q^2}{4} - \lambda_1(D - 1)(D - 2) - \lambda_2(D - 2)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

where we have used the Ricci scalar and Ricci tensor in D - dimensions computed in [33, 59]. The solution for the zero mode is $\psi = e^{c_1 A}$. With that we get $c_1^2 = c_2$, and replacing the values of c_1 and c_2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_2^\pm &= -\frac{[-\alpha_q + 4\lambda_1(D - 1) + (D - 2)]}{2} \\
&\pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\alpha_q^2 + 4\lambda_1(D - 1)(D - 2) - 2\alpha_q(D - 2) + (D - 2)^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

Considering the measure, our solution (3.52) and the $(q + 1)$ factors of metric, we obtain the effective action for the q - form

$$S_{eff} = -\frac{1}{2(q + 1)!} \int e^{2c_1 A} dz \int d^4 x \hat{F}_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{q+1}}^T \hat{F}_T^{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{q+1}}. \tag{3.55}$$

To have a q -form localized in the positive branes we need $2c_1 > 1$, and for the negative one $2c_1 < 0$. With this we find the range of values for λ_1 and λ_2

$$\frac{2\alpha_q(D - 2) - (D - 2)^2 - \alpha_q^2}{4(D - 1)(D - 2)} \leq \lambda_1 < \frac{(D - 3)^2 + 2\alpha_q(D - 2) - (D - 2)^2 - \alpha_q^2}{4(D - 1)(D - 2)}. \tag{3.56}$$

and

$$-\frac{[\alpha_q(D - 2) - \alpha_q^2 + (D - 3)^2]}{2(D - 2)} - \frac{1}{2}(D - 3) < \lambda_2 \leq -\frac{[\alpha_q(D - 2) - \alpha_q^2]}{2(D - 2)}. \tag{3.57}$$

Again realize that we have a range of values for λ_1 and λ_2 . To fix it we need to use the consistency conditions found in [34]. After applying these consistency we found

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{2\alpha_q(D-2) - (D-2)^2 - \alpha_q^2}{4(D-1)(D-2)} \quad \lambda_2 = -\frac{[\alpha_q(D-2) - \alpha_q^2]}{2(D-2)}. \quad (3.58)$$

With these values for λ_1 and λ_2 we get $c_1 = \frac{(D-2)}{2}$ and $c_2 = \frac{(D-2)^2}{4}$. This leads to the solution $\psi = e^{\frac{(D-2)A}{2}}$. And the effective action for the zero mode of the q -form, after imposing consistency condition, is

$$S_{eff} = -\frac{1}{2(q+1)!} \int e^{(D-2)A} dz \int d^4x \hat{F}_{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{q+1}}^T \hat{F}_T^{\mu_1\mu_2\dots\mu_{q+1}}. \quad (3.59)$$

One interesting fact that deserves to be mentioned is the analogy between the q -form as described here, and the gravitational field in D -dimensions. To show it we need to remember that the gravitational field is very similar to the free scalar field, in other words, to the $q = 0$ form. With that in mind we have $\alpha_q = D - 2$. Placing it in the value of c_1 found in (3.53), and making $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$, we have $c_1 = \frac{D-2}{2}$. As the solution is of the form $\psi = e^{2c_1A}$, we get $\psi = e^{(D-2)A}$, and this leads to an effective action identical to (3.59). This is a very important result because it tells us that the ultralight mode of all the q -form fields are identical to the gravitational one, with mass given by (3.46). If we consider $D = 5$, we always will get $c_1 = \frac{3}{2}$ for bosonic fields. Substituting this value for c_1 in (3.46), we get the mass of the ultralight mode for the gravitational field (2.10). In the next section we discuss the multi-localization with the dilaton field, and we will show that we get the same results found here.

3.2 Multi-Localization With the Dilaton

Now we present other form to multi-localize the fields in this scenario; the coupling with dilaton. This way is similar to the one showed in [37], in the sense that it leaves a free parameter in the model. However, if we consider the consistency conditions showed in [34], the parameter can be fixed. Even though now the model is very different, we do some comparison in the potentials found here with the ones calculated in [37]. The metric in this configuration takes the form

$$ds^2 = e^{2A(z)} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + e^{2B(z)} dy^2, \quad (3.60)$$

or

$$ds^2 = e^{2A(z)} (\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + dz^2). \quad (3.61)$$

Where we have used the transformation $dy = e^{A-B} dz$, with

$$B(z) = \frac{A(z)}{4}, \quad \pi = -\sqrt{3M^3} A(z). \quad (3.62)$$

Let us start discussing the scalar field.

3.2.1 The Scalar Field

The action for the scalar field with dilaton is given by

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} e^{-\lambda\pi} g^{MN} \partial_M \Phi \partial_N \Phi. \quad (3.63)$$

The process until the Schrodinger like equation is very similar to the one done in the previous subsection. Such process here is even easier, once that we do not have mass terms. What is different here is the presence of the factor $\lambda\pi$ due to the dilaton. Once more we have an equation like (3.4), but this time the potential is given by

$$U(z) = \frac{(3 + \lambda\sqrt{3M^3})}{2} A''(z) + \frac{(3 + \lambda\sqrt{3M^3})^2}{4} (A'(z))^2, \quad (3.64)$$

this means that $c_1 = \frac{3+\lambda\sqrt{3M^3}}{2}$ and $c_2 = \frac{(3+\lambda\sqrt{3M^3})^2}{4}$. Where we have used the following ansatz for the solution $\Phi(x, z) = e^{-\frac{\rho A}{2}} \phi(x) \psi(z)$, with $\rho = (3 + \lambda\sqrt{3M^3})$. The effective action that we obtain is

$$S_{eff} = - \int e^{2c_1 A} dz \int d^4x \partial_\mu \phi(x) \partial^\mu \phi(x). \quad (3.65)$$

From this action we again obtain the same multi-localization conditions: $2c_1 > 1$ for positive branes, and $2c_1 < 0$ for the negative one. Or in terms of λ ; $\lambda > -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3M^3}}$, and $\lambda < -\frac{3}{\sqrt{3M^3}}$.

In order to compare this model with [37], we need to compare the potential (3.64) with (2.19). By doing it, we get

$$\beta = -\frac{\lambda\sqrt{3M^3}}{2} \quad \alpha = \frac{8\lambda\sqrt{3M^3} + \lambda^2(3M^3)}{4}. \quad (3.66)$$

As we comment at the begging, if we do not consider the consistency conditions, λ is a free parameter. After using it, we fix $\lambda = 0$. Then, $\alpha = \beta = 0$, and $c_1 = \frac{3}{2}$. And once more we will have an ultralight mode with mass given by (2.10).

3.2.2 The Gauge Field

Let us now study the gauge field coupled to dilaton. The dilaton coupling was first proposed in [5], where they propose an action of the form

$$S = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} e^{-\lambda\pi} F_{MN} F^{MN}. \quad (3.67)$$

Using the gauge $\partial_\mu A^\mu = A^5 = 0$, and the well known process we arrive in the potential

$$U(z) = \frac{(1 + \lambda\sqrt{3M^3})}{2} A''(z) + \frac{(1 + \lambda\sqrt{3M^3})^2}{4} (A'(z))^2, \quad (3.68)$$

with $c_1 = \frac{(1+\lambda\sqrt{3M^3})}{2}$ and $c_2 = \frac{(1+\lambda\sqrt{3M^3})^2}{4}$. Here we have used $A^\nu(x, z) = e^{-\frac{\rho A}{2}} \tilde{A}(x) \psi(z)$ as our solution, with $\rho = (1 + \lambda\sqrt{3M^3})$. With this we get the effective action

$$S_{eff} = -\frac{1}{4} \int e^{2c_1 A} dz \int d^4x \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}. \quad (3.69)$$

Again to localize the field in the positive branes we need $2c_1 > 1$, or $\lambda > 0$. For the negative one; $2c_1 < 0$, or $\lambda < -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3M^3}}$.

As usual we compare our potential (3.68) with (2.28), obtaining

$$\beta = -\frac{\lambda\sqrt{3M^3}}{2} \quad \alpha = \lambda\sqrt{3M^3} + \frac{\lambda^2(3M^3)}{4}. \quad (3.70)$$

As expected, λ is a free parameter. Only after the use of the EE is that the value of it is fixed in $\lambda = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3M^3}}$. With λ fixed we have $\beta = -1$ and $\alpha = 3$. This obeys the condition $\alpha = \beta^2 - 2\beta$ found in [37]. Also, with $\lambda = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3M^3}}$ we get $c_1 = \frac{3}{2}$, and one more time the ultralight mode of this field is equal to the ultralight mode of the gravity. With mass given by (2.10).

3.2.3 The Kalb Ramond Field

Now we describe the Kalb Ramond (KB) field. The action of this field with the dilaton is

$$S = -\frac{1}{12} \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} e^{-\lambda\pi} F_{MNR} F^{MNR}. \quad (3.71)$$

To arrive in the desired potential we used $\partial_\mu A^{\mu\nu} = A^{\nu 5} = 0$. The potential is

$$U(z) = \frac{(-1 + \lambda\sqrt{3M^3})}{2} A''(z) + \frac{(-1 + \lambda\sqrt{3M^3})^2}{4} (A'(z))^2. \quad (3.72)$$

This time we have $c_1 = \frac{(-1 + \lambda\sqrt{3M^3})}{2}$ and $c_2 = \frac{(-1 + \lambda\sqrt{3M^3})^2}{4}$. The solution for the field is $A^{\mu\nu}(x, z) = e^{-\frac{\rho A}{2}} \tilde{A}^{\mu\nu}(x) \psi(z)$, with $\rho = (-1 + \lambda\sqrt{3M^3})$. The effective action is

$$S_{eff} = -\frac{1}{12} \int dz e^{2c_1 A} \int d^4x \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu\rho} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu\rho}. \quad (3.73)$$

Like always, the localization in the positive branes happens for $2c_1 > 1$, leading to $\lambda > \frac{2}{\sqrt{3M^3}}$. For the negative one; $2c_1 < 0$ which gives $\lambda < \frac{1}{\sqrt{3M^3}}$. Now there is no comparison to be made; the work [37] does not discuss the Kalb Ramond field. However, here we also can apply consistency condition in order to fix our parameter λ . The exact value found for such parameter is $\lambda = \frac{4}{\sqrt{3M^3}}$. This value leads to $c_1 = \frac{3}{2}$, and the mass for the ultralight mode of the Kalb Ramond field is also given by (2.10).

3.2.4 The q -form

The action for the q -form in a p -brane in D -dimensions is

$$S = -\frac{1}{2(q+1)!} \int d^Dx \sqrt{-g} e^{-\lambda\pi} F_{M_1 \dots M_{q+1}} F^{M_1 \dots M_{q+1}}. \quad (3.74)$$

Where the general field strength associated to the q -form is $F_{M_1 \dots M_{q+1}} = (q+1) \partial_{[M_1} A_{M_2 \dots M_q]}$. We also use the gauge $A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{q-1} 5} = \partial^{\mu_1} A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_q} = 0$.

The general potential obtained is

$$U(z) = \frac{\rho_q}{2} A''(z) + \frac{\rho_q^2}{4} (A'(z))^2. \quad (3.75)$$

Where $c_1 = \frac{\rho_q}{2}$ and $c_2 = \frac{\rho_q^2}{4}$, with $\rho_q = D - 2(q + 1) + \lambda\sqrt{3M^3}$. The general solution that we used is $A^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_q}(x, z) = e^{-\frac{\rho_q A}{2}} A^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_q}(x) \psi(z)$. From it we get the effective action

$$S_{eff} = -\frac{1}{12} \int dz e^{2c_1 A} \int d^4 x \tilde{F}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{q+1}} \tilde{F}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{q+1}}. \quad (3.76)$$

By a simple inspection in this action, we see that the condition to be a q -form localized in the positive branes is $2c_1 > 1$, and in the negative brane $2c_1 < 0$. This leads, respectively, to $\lambda > \frac{2q+3-D}{\sqrt{3M^3}}$ and $\lambda < \frac{2(q+1)-D}{\sqrt{3M^3}}$. As we already know, the way to fix the free parameter is through EE. After using it we have $\lambda = \frac{2q}{\sqrt{3M^3}}$.

Once more we can compare the q -form with the free gravitational field in D -dimensions. After fixing $\lambda = \frac{2q}{\sqrt{3M^3}}$, we have $\rho_q = (D - 2)$, then $2c_1 = (D - 2)$. So, our effective action (3.76) becomes identical to (3.59). This means that the mass of the ultralight mode of the q -form coupled to dilaton, also is given by (3.46).

4 Revisiting the Bosonic Fields in the Crystal Manyfold

Here we will revisit the bosonic fields in the crystal manyfold. First, we will study the gravitational field in such background. After that, we deal with the scalar, gauge, Kalb Ramond and q -form fields. Once that the comments about localization were done in the previous section, we will focus in the band gap structure. The crystal is a generalization of the $(+ - +)$ brane model, where the set $(+ - +)$ is interpreted as one cell of the crystal. The original construction of it was proposed in [38]. However, it was found by [36] that the dispersion relation calculated in [38] was not correct. Here we will review the analytical calculation done in [36], and in addition show numerical interpretations as well as the plot of the band gap structure with and without the dilaton. The study of the scalar, gauge, Kalb-Ramond and q -form was done in [44]. Once that they used the same dispersion relation that [38], their results are also incorrect. We calculate the correct dispersion relation and compare our results with the ones found by them.

4.1 The Free Gravitational Field

The crystal manyfold is described by an array of $2+n$ -branes in a $4+n$ -dimensional AdS space, equally distant and with alternate brane tension. The action describing such a configuration is

$$S = \int_M d^{4+n} x \sqrt{g_{4+n}} \left(\frac{R}{2\kappa_{4+n}^2} + \Lambda \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j_k} \int_{j_k l_k} d^{3+n} x \sqrt{g_{3+n}} \sigma_k. \quad (4.1)$$

Where $\kappa_{4+n}^2 = \frac{8\pi}{M_*^{n+2}}$, and M_* is the fundamental scale of the theory. The equation of motion from (4.1) is

$$G_N^M = \kappa_{4+n}^2 \Lambda \delta_N^M - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\sqrt{g_{3+n}}}{\sqrt{g_{4+n}}} |_{k} \kappa_{4+n}^2 \sigma_k \sum_j (-1)^j \delta(z^k - j l_k) \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, \dots, 0_k, \dots, 1). \quad (4.2)$$

Where z^k parameterize the extra dimensions.

The general solution that is valid in the whole manifold (bulk and branes) is written in the form

$$ds_{n+4}^2 = e^{2bA}(\eta_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + \sum_{k=1}^n (dz^k)^2), \quad (4.3)$$

where n is the number of spatial extra dimensions. The parameter b is the one that controls the presence or not of the dilaton. For $b = 1$ it does not exist, and for $b = \frac{3}{4}$ it does. The solution for the conformal factor is

$$e^{-bA} = K \sum_{k=1}^n \mathcal{S}(z^k) + 1, \quad (4.4)$$

with $K = (\sqrt{n}L)^{-1}$ and the functions \mathcal{S} satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \mathcal{S}(z^k)}{d(z^k)^2} &= 2 \sum_j (-1)^j \delta(z^k - jl_k), \\ \left| \frac{d\mathcal{S}(z^k)}{dz^k} \right| &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Here L is the *AdS* radius, and l_k the separation of the branes. The function \mathcal{S} which solves (4.5) is the sawtooth function, that can be written as follow

$$\mathcal{S}(z^k) = \begin{cases} \dots \\ 2pl_k - z^k, & \text{for } (2p-1)l_k < z^k < 2pl_k; \\ z^k - 2pl_k, & \text{for } 2pl_k < z^k < (2p+1)l_k; \\ \dots \end{cases}. \quad (4.6)$$

The Schrodinger equation that has to be solved in this case is very like the one that appears in the Kroning Penney model [1]

$$\Psi'' + \left(m^2 - \frac{15}{4(\mathcal{S}(z) + L)^2} \right) \Psi + \frac{3}{\mathcal{S}(z) + L} \sum_j (-1)^j \delta(z - jl) \Psi = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

The detailed process of how to solve this equation and find the dispersion relation can be found in [36]. In the work [38] the author finds a wrong dispersion relation

$$\begin{aligned} \cos(lq) &= \frac{(j_2 n_1 + j_1 n_2)(\hat{j}_2 \hat{n}_1 + \hat{j}_1 \hat{n}_2) - \hat{j}_1 \hat{j}_2 (j_1 j_2 + 3n_1 n_2)}{2(j_2 n_1 - j_1 n_2)(\hat{j}_2 \hat{n}_1 - \hat{j}_1 \hat{n}_2)} \\ &\quad - \frac{\hat{n}_1 \hat{n}_2 (3j_1 j_2 + n_1 n_2)}{2(j_2 n_1 - j_1 n_2)(\hat{j}_2 \hat{n}_1 - \hat{j}_1 \hat{n}_2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

This equation provides a constraint in m . Solving it gives us the lowest value of mass, and consequently the magnitude of the gap. The authors in [38], does not solve this equation explicit. What they do is just analyze the arguments of the Bessel functions that are mL and $m(l + L)$. Then, they argue that the only two candidates for the mass gap (m_{gap}) are

L^{-1} and $(l + L)^{-1}$. The first option is excluded, because that in the limit of $l \rightarrow \infty$ the single brane case [4] must be satisfied. Then the mass gap must be

$$m_{gap} = \frac{\mathcal{O}(1)}{l + L}. \quad (4.9)$$

The correct dispersion relation was calculated in [36], and we agree with it. The dispersion found is

$$\cos(ql) = \sqrt{\frac{-\hat{j}_1 \hat{j}_2 n_1 n_2 - \hat{n}_1 \hat{n}_2 j_1 j_2 + \hat{j}_2 \hat{n}_1 j_2 n_1 + \hat{j}_1 \hat{n}_2 j_1 n_2}{(\hat{j}_2 \hat{n}_1 - \hat{j}_1 \hat{n}_2)(j_2 n_1 - j_1 n_2)}}. \quad (4.10)$$

The authors in [36] calculated analytically the width of the first band of mass, as well as the distance between the zero mode and the first band. The way to find these results is identical to the process described in the appendix A, where we show how to find the structure of the spectrum for the bosonic fields. The width of the first band is given by

$$\Gamma_0 = 2\sqrt{2}ke^{-2x}, \quad (4.11)$$

where $x = kl$. And the distance from the zero mode until the first band is

$$\Delta\Gamma_1 = \xi_1 ke^{-x}. \quad (4.12)$$

with ξ_1 being the first root of $J_1(x)$.

The rest of the band structure has the following form. The width of the rest of the bands are

$$\Gamma_i \approx \xi_i e^{-3x}. \quad (4.13)$$

While the distance between then is

$$\Delta\gamma_i \approx (\xi_i - \xi_{i-1})ke^{-x}. \quad (4.14)$$

Where ξ_i are the roots of the Bessel function $J_2(x)$. In the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ the space disappear and there is no forbidden zones. This description is valid for just one point ($q = 0$). To find the complete form of the bands we need to do numerical calculations, and this is done by us in what follows.

In order to do the numerical calculations, we need to give the magnitude of some parameters. If we want to have gravity in the observational bound, the relation between l and L is $\frac{l^3}{L^2} \leq 1mm$ [38], and $M_{PL}^2 \approx M_*^3 LN$. N is the number of branes in the crystal. The fundamental scale satisfy $M_* \approx \frac{1}{L} * TeV$ and, if we take $l \approx eV^{-1} \ll 1mm$, we find $N \approx 10^{16}$, $M_* \approx 100TeV$ ($\frac{1}{L} \approx 100$). In (4.10), we have also used as the argument of the Bessel functions

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{n}_2 &= N_2(mL) \\ \hat{n}_1 &= N_1(mL) \\ n_2 &= N_2(m(l + L)) \\ n_1 &= N_1(m(l + L)) \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{j}_2 &= J_2(mL) \\
\hat{j}_1 &= J_1(mL) \\
j_2 &= J_2(m(l+L)) \\
j_1 &= J_1(m(l+L)).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.16}$$

With l being the distance between the branes, and L the AdS radius.

When we calculate the limit of (4.10) with $m \rightarrow 0$, we find that the dispersion relation tends smoothly to one. This means that the first band of mass starts at zero in ($ql \approx 0$) and goes until a maximum value in $ql = 0.5\pi$, as can be seen in Figure 4. To find this maximum we plot (4.10) for $ql = 0.5\pi$. Here, contrarily of [38], we find the exact value of this first mode. In [38], the author just gave an heuristic estimation of this mass, suggested to be given by $m_{gap} = \mathcal{O}(1)/(l+L)$. By an explicit calculation we find that this numerator, using their wrong expression, is in fact 4. Let us see the plot for the first mode for both dispersion relation.





Figure 3. The lowest mass modes for the gravitational field with both dispersion relation.

To plot the graphs above we used the definitions (4.15) and (4.16). We also made $x = m(l+L)$, and with the relation $l/L = 100$ we obtain $\frac{x}{101} = mL$. We then call $f(x) = (4.10)^2$, and plotted for $ql = 0.5\pi$ between the upper and lower limit of the cosine. The point where the graph intercepts the x axis for the first time, represents the value of the first mass mode. According to Figure 3a), the first mode is at $x = 0.028$ i.e $m = 0.028/(l+L)$, while Figure 3b) shows that the first mass mode for (4.8) is $x = 4$, or $m = 4/(l+L)$. That way our first mode is two order of magnitude smaller than the first mode found in [38]. This leads to a bigger correction in the Newton's law as you can see in [37]. The comparative between the two dispersion relations, for the first mass modes, is showed in the figure bellow. In this figure, as well as in all the other like this, the y axis represents the mass in units of inverse of length, precisely; $m = x/(l+L)$ or $m = x.(10^{10}mm^{-1})$ once that $l = 10^{-4}mm$ and $L = 10^{-6}mm$.



(a) The lowest mass modes for the gravitational field.

Figure 4. The comparative of the mass modes for the two dispersion relation. The solid curve is our relation, while the dashed curved is the dispersion calculated by [38].

As one can see in Figure 4, the old dispersion relation (dashed curve) says that the lowest mass mode has a maximum in $q \approx 0$. However, within our results, the lowest mode has a minimum in $q \approx 0$. It is also clear the big difference in the values of the first modes. In addition, with the old relation, there is no mass around $q = 0$ for m bigger than $m \approx 9.5$. That is not true according to our relation. Once that we have found the value for the first mass mode, it is possible to estimate the correction in Newton's law due to Bulk gravitons [36]. Let us now see a new result for the gravitational field; its coupling with the dilaton.

4.2 The Gravitational Field with Dilaton

Now we present a new discussion for the gravitational field; we analyze its behavior in the presence of the dilaton in the background of the crystal. The couple of the gravitational field with dilaton is also discussed in [5, 23]. The multi-localization follow the same pattern that the one presented in [5], the difference is just the warp factor. Again we consider the Einstein's equations in the axial gauge, and the equation that depends on the extra dimension is

$$\left[-e^{2(A-B)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + e^{2(A-B)} B' \frac{d}{dy} + 2e^{2(A-B)} (A'' - A'B' + 2(A')^2) - \partial^2 \right] h_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (4.17)$$

with $\partial^2 \equiv \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu$. After the separation of variables $h_{\mu\nu} = \bar{h}_{\mu\nu} \psi(y)$ we have the following potential

$$\bar{U} = \frac{3}{2} \bar{A}'' + \frac{9}{4} \bar{A}'^2. \quad (4.18)$$

The results for the gravitational field coupled with the dilaton are shown in the figure bellow. Here we have considered the dilaton coupling as $\lambda = 1/\sqrt{(3M^3)}$. We can see that there is a shift in the values of mass, when compared with the results found with the dispersion relation found in [38]. Also, due to the presence of the dilaton, the first mass mode decreases from $m = 0.028/(l+L)$ to $m = 0.004/(l+L)$, in our case. While for the


other dispersion, the first mass mode increases from $m_{gap} = 4/(l+L)$ to $m_{gap} = 4.4/(l+L)$. As the first mode is smaller than in the free case, the correction in the Newton's potential will be bigger

$$V \approx -G \frac{m_1 m_2}{r} + \left(\int_0^{\frac{0.004}{(l+L)}} \frac{dm}{K} \frac{G m_1 m_2}{r} \frac{m}{K} e^{-mr} \right). \quad (4.19)$$


After integration we get

$$V \approx -G \frac{m_1 m_2}{r} \left(1 + \frac{0.004 L^2}{(l+L) r^2} e^{\frac{-0.004 r}{(l+L)}} \right). \quad (4.20)$$


This correction is seven times bigger than in the free case, where the above exponential is $e^{\frac{-0.028 r}{(l+L)}}$.



(a) The lowest mass modes for the gravitational field with the dilaton.



(b) The first mass mode using (4.10).



(c) The first mass mode using (4.8).

Figure 5. The lowest mass modes for the gravitational field with the dilaton are represented in (a). The dashed curve is the plot with the wrong dispersion relation, and the solid curve the plot with the correct one. In (b), we have the value for the first mass mode using our relation. In (c), we show the first mass mode for the dispersion relation (4.8).

4.3 The Scalar, Gauge, Kalb-Ramond and q -form.

In the previous subsection we developed the specific case of the gravitational field. Now we show the general Schrödinger equation and the dispersion relation that is valid for the q -form. That way we correct the wrong results showed in [44]. In section three, we saw the exact value for the first mass mode in the case of the (+ - +) model. It was possible due to the fixing of the parameters using consistency conditions. Here we will show the band structure in a general way, without fixing the parameters.

The general Schrödinger equation valid for the q -form is

$$\Psi'' + \left[m^2 - (c_1 + c_1^2) \frac{1}{(S(z) + L)^2} + c_1 \frac{\sum_j (-1)^j \delta(z - jl)}{(S(z) + L)} \right] \Psi = 0. \quad (4.21)$$


Realize that this equation is very similar to (4.7), the difference is just some parameters. As these parameters are constants, the procedure to solve this equation for two adjacent cells is identical to the one described in [36] for the gravitational field. Following exactly the same steps, we arrive at the general dispersion relation

$$\cos(ql) = \sqrt{\frac{-\hat{n}_\nu \hat{n}_{\nu-1} j_\nu j_{\nu-1} - \hat{j}_\nu \hat{j}_{\nu-1} n_\nu n_{\nu-1} + \hat{n}_\nu \hat{j}_{\nu-1} n_\nu j_{\nu-1} + \hat{j}_\nu \hat{n}_{\nu-1} j_\nu n_{\nu-1}}{(\hat{n}_\nu \hat{j}_{\nu-1} - \hat{j}_\nu \hat{n}_{\nu-1})(n_\nu j_{\nu-1} - j_\nu n_{\nu-1})}}. \quad (4.22)$$

Where $\nu = (\frac{1}{2} + c_1)$. In order to avoid confusion, it is important to mention that ν is the order of the Bessel function, and c_1 the coefficient that appears next to A'' in the potential. In the work [37], the authors used ν for the term that appears next to A'' . Then, for each field, with or without the dilaton, we need to find c_1 .


4.3.1 The Scalar Field

We now study the scalar field in the background of the crystal. The action, with the dilaton, is identical to the one used in section three i.e, equation (3.63) as well as the parameters. The multi- localization is achieved with the same value for the parameter λ as we saw in the last section. Again, the dilaton field decreases the mass for the first mass mode, now the first mass mode is $m = 0.00025/(l + L)$ as can be seen in Figure 6(b). Let us see the band gap structure in this case. Once more the comparison between the two dispersion relation can be seen in Figure 6(a). In Figure 6(b), we have the first mass mode for (4.10). In Figure 6(c), the first mass mode for (4.8).



(a) The lowest mass modes for the scalar field with the dilaton.

(b) The first mass mode for our relation.



(c) The first mass mode for (4.8) .

Figure 6. The lowest mass modes for the scalar field with the dilaton are represented in (a). The dashed curve is the plot with the wrong dispersion relation, and the solid curve the plot with the correct one. In (b), we have the first mode for our relation. In c), the first mode for the old relation.

4.3.2 The Gauge Field

Let us now see how is the behavior of the gauge field inside the crystal. We will initially consider the free case and, after this, the case with the dilaton coupling. The potential in the free case is

$$U(z) = \left[\frac{1}{4} A'(z)^2 + \frac{A''(z)}{2} \right]. \quad (4.23)$$

Then we see that in this case $c_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\nu = 1$. Plugging this value in the dispersion relation we get the results showed in the figure bellow. As one can see, differently of what happens in [44], there is mass around $q = 0$. In [44], the mass modes were restricted to $q \approx 0.5\pi/l$, and this is not true. Also from Figure7(b), we see that the first mass mode is $m = 0.7/(l + L)$. In Figure7(c), we plot the first mode for the old result, which the first mode is $m = 2.7/(l + L)$. We can see that our first mode, again, is smaller, leading to a bigger correction in the Coulomb's law.




Figure 7. The lowest mass modes for the free gauge field are represented in (a). The dashed curve is the plot with the wrong dispersion relation, and the solid curve the plot with the correct one. b) shows the first mass mode with the correct dispersion. c) The first mode for the wrong dispersion.

When we add the dilaton coupling the action is like (3.67), and the parameters are the same found there. These are the same conditions obtained in the (+ - +) model. Using the same value for the coupling as before, we get $\nu = 1.84$. The band gap structure is showed in the figure below. It is obvious the difference among the solid and dashed curves. For instance, the dashed one tells us that the lowest mode has a maximum in $q = 0$, while the solid says that the lowest mode has a minimum in this value of q . By one hand, for the dashed curve, there is no mass mode allowed around $q = 0$ for $M > 6$. On the other hand, for the correct dispersion relation, there is mass modes around $q = 0$ for $M > 6$. Figure 8(b), tells the value of the first mode: $m = 0.05/(l + L)$. In c), we have the value for the first mode with the wrong dispersion $m = 3.8/(l + L)$.




Figure 8. The lowest mass modes for the gauge field with the dilaton are represented in (a). The dashed curve is the plot with the wrong dispersion relation, and the solid curve the plot with the correct one. In (b), we have our first mode. In c) the mode found with dispersion (4.8).

4.3.3 The Kalb Ramond Field

In this sub subsection we will verify the characteristics of the Kalb-Ramond field in the crystal manifold. This is a rank two anti-symmetric field that appear as a super gravity massless mode in string theory. The massive modes, for the free case, are studied from the potential

$$U = \left(\frac{A'^2}{4} - \frac{A''}{2} \right). \quad (4.24)$$

From this we see that $c_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$, and consequently $\nu = 0$. As $\nu = 0$, and remembering the properties of the Bessel functions $j_{-1} = -j_1$ and $n_{-1} = -n_1$, the dispersion relation will be the same that for the case of the free gauge field. These results are showed in Figure 7.

If we consider the coupling with the dilaton, the action will be given by (3.71). This case shows an interesting result as we can see in Figure 9(a). According to the new dispersion relation, the dispersion for this field (with the dilaton coupling) is linear, at the least for the values of q showed in Figure 9(a), i.e., there is no gap between the mass bands. This characteristic does not appear with the wrong dispersion relation used in the work [44]. In b), we see that the value for the first mode in our case is $m = 1.4/(l + L)$. In c), we have the first mode for the wrong dispersion; $m = 2.4/(l + L)$.





Figure 9. The lowest mass modes for the Kalb-Ramond field with the dilaton are represented in (a). The dashed curve is the plot with the wrong dispersion relation, and the solid curve the plot with the correct one. In (b), the first mode in our case. In c), the first mode for the dispersion found in [38].

4.4 The q -Form Field

We are now ready to generalize the cases discussed before, by studying the q -form field in a p -brane in a D -dimensional space, with $p = D - 2$. We start with the free q -form. The potential in this case is

$$U(z) = \left[A'^2 \left(\frac{p}{2} - q \right)^2 + A'' \left(\frac{p}{2} - q \right) \right]. \quad (4.25)$$

Then, we can easily see that $c_1 = \frac{p}{2} - q$, and $\nu = \left(\frac{p+1}{2} - q \right)$. The study of the q -form with dilaton is identical to the one presented in subsection (3.2), the difference here is the warp factor. Actually, the whole results of this section have this characteristic. Here the big change is that we calculate band gap structure, instead of just the spectrum for three branes.

We can also discuss some other results for the q -form field. In Figure10(a), according to the dashed curve there are two different regimens, one for $\nu > 1$, and other for $\nu < 1$. For $\nu > 1$ the mass values increases, while for $\nu < 1$ the mass show a parabolic behavior. This is almost what happens with the solid curve, except for the first two values of mass. Part 2) of Figure10(a) shows that the second mass mode tends to zero. Things are completely

different in Figure 10(b). According to the dashed curve, there is no mass below $\nu \approx 1.6$. And as we can see, it does not happen for the solid curve. In this way, the analyses done in [44] for the q -form field can not be performed. In that work, they say that by controlling the dilaton coupling it is possible to generate or suppress mass modes, and that is not true, once there is mass for all the values of ν .




Figure 10. The mass dispersion against the order of the Bessel (Be aware that q in this figure represents the wave vector momentum.)

What is still valid for both dispersion relations is the plot of the mass against the separation between the branes l , as you can see in Figure 11. There, the plot was done for different values of ν . It shows that the mass decreases as $m(l) \propto \frac{1}{l}$. In the limit of $l \rightarrow \infty$ there will be no gap, and the behavior of the system is like that with just one brane [4].




Figure 11. The lowest mass modes against the distance between the branes.

We can summarize the results of this section in two tables, one for the free case and other for the coupling with the dilaton.

Table 1. Parameters for the cases without dilaton

Field	value of ν	Parameter b	Parameter c
Gravitational	2	1	3/2
scalar	2	1	3/2
Gauge	1	1	1/2
Kalb-Ramond	0	1	-1/2
q-form	$\frac{1+p}{2} - q$	1	$\frac{p}{2} - q$

Table 2. Parameters for the cases with dilaton

Field	value of ν	Parameter b	parameter c
Gravitational	5/2	3/4	3/2
scalar	$\frac{5}{2} + \frac{2\lambda\sqrt{3M^3}}{3}$	3/4	$3/2 + \frac{\lambda\sqrt{3M^3}}{2}$
Gauge	$\frac{7}{6} + \frac{2\lambda\sqrt{3M^3}}{3}$	3/4	$1/2 + \frac{\lambda\sqrt{3M^3}}{2}$
Kalb-Ramond	$-\frac{1}{6} + \frac{2\lambda\sqrt{3M^3}}{3}$	3/4	$-1/2 + \frac{\lambda\sqrt{3M^3}}{2}$
q-form	$\frac{2\alpha}{3}$	3/4	$-\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} + 3/8\right)$

5 Bosonic Fields in the Crystal Manyfold (With Non-minimal Coupling)


In this section we will study the gauge and Kalb-Ramond fields in the background of the crystal manyfold. The results, for localization issues, is similar to the one presented in section 3, then we will not discuss it. However, the spectrum of mass is richer, because now we are dealing with a more general case than the $(+ - +)$ model. The Schrödinger equation will be very like (4.21), but with the difference that $b = 1$, once that now there is no dilaton coupling. As the Schrödinger equation changes just for a constant, the dispersion relation still remains as in (4.22). We start with the study of the gauge field.

5.1 The Gauge Field

We start our discussion with both couplings: the Ricci scalar and the Ricci tensor. The action, in five dimensions, is the same as (3.21). Now we are interested in the value of ν that will be used in the dispersion relation (4.22). The value of ν is $\nu = \left(\frac{1}{2} + c_1\right)$. Placing the value of c_1 we find that $\nu = 2$. We realize that this value is the same of the cases of the free scalar and free gravitational fields. However, we can make some changes. For example, we can make $\lambda_1 = 0$ which means that we take off the Ricci Scalar, then we are in a model like [59]. With that, we get $\nu = 3$. We can also turn off the parameter λ_2 , putting the Ricci tensor out of the game, this model is showed in [26]. By doing that, we arrive at $\nu = \frac{3}{2}$.


The results for the mass modes that we get in this case are showed in Figure 12. The results for $\nu = 2$ were already showed in Figure 3. In Figure 12, when λ_1 is turned off (there is just the Ricci tensor) we have $\nu = 3$. For $\lambda_2 = 0$, we have $\nu = \frac{3}{2}$. We also realize that there is a big gap between the first mass mode and the next ones. This tell us that just the first mass mode has a chance to be found, in the near future. The value for the first mass mode for $\nu = 1.5$ and $\nu = 3$ are, respectively, $m = 0.16/(l + L)$ and $m = 3.4/(l + L)$. For

$\nu = 2$, the first mass mode, as we saw before, is $m = 0.028/(l + L)$. After the conversion we get one mass of order $6.2 \times 10^{-31} \text{ kg}$. This is above the lower bound limit imposed in the tests for a massive photon [61].



(a) Gauge field with both couplings $\nu = 2$, just with the Ricci scalar $\nu = 1.5$ and just with the Ricci tensor $\nu = 3$

(b) The first mass mode for $\nu = 1.5$.




(c) The first mass mode for $\nu = 3$

Figure 12. The allowed mass modes with the geometrical coupling (gauge field), and the first mass modes. In b), the first mass mode for the coupling just with the Ricci scalar. In c), the first mass mode for the coupling just with the Ricci tensor.


5.2 The Kalb-Ramond Field

Let us now turn our attention to the Kalb-Ramond field. While in the localization process of the 1-form field we get a scalar field along the way, in the dimensional reduction of the Kalb-Ramond field we have a 2-form field localized and also a 1-form field. In that way, the localization of the Kalb-Ramond field induces a localization of a 1-form field as discussed in [28]. The action for the Kalb-Ramond field in five dimensions with the two geometric quantities is given by (3.30).

The plots for the Kalb-Ramond field are showed in Figure 13. When considering both couplings, we have $\nu = 2$. Just like in the case of the gauge field. If we take off the Ricci tensor, we have $\nu = 2.5$. By making $\lambda_1 = 0$ (just the Ricci tensor), we get $\nu = 4$. The first mass mode for $\nu = 2.5$ and $\nu = 4$ are, respectively, $m = 0.004/(l + L)$ and $m = 0.000006/(l + L)$. In the figure below, we plot just the first mode for $\nu = 4$, once that for $\nu = 2$ and $\nu = 2.5$ were already plotted in Figures 4 and 5.



(a) Kalb-Ramond field with both couplings $\nu = 2$, just with the Ricci scalar $\nu = 2.5$ and just with the Ricci tensor $\nu = 4$



(b) The first mass mode for $\nu = 4$

Figure 13. The allowed mass modes with the geometrical coupling(Kalb-Ramond field). In b), the first mass when the field is coupled just with the Ricci tensor.

The generalization for these results is given by the q -form field. The action is (3.47), and the whole discussion for the three brane case discussed in section three also applies here, we just have to change the warp factor. To help the reader to follow our paper, we made a table that organize all the values of first mass modes for both dispersion relation.

Table 3. Values of mass

ν	Field	Mass for (4.22) $10^{10}mm^{-1}$	Mass for (4.8) $10^{10}mm^{-1}$
0.5	Kalb Ramond(KB) with dilaton	1.4	2.4
1	Gauge and KB free	0.7	2.7
1.5	Gauge coupled with Ricci scalar	0.16	3.4
1.84	Gauge with dilaton	0.05	3.8
2	Gauge and KB with both couplings, free gravitational and scalar	0.028	4
2.5	Gravitational with dilaton and KB With Ricci scalar	0.004	4.4
3	Gauge coupled with Ricci tensor	0.0005	5.5
3.17	Scalar with dilaton	0.00025	5.75
4	KB coupled with Ricci tensor	0.000006	7

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed two methods to localize q -form fields in the multi-brane worlds: geometrical and dilaton couplings. Both methods obeys the symmetries of the systems, and

if consistency conditions are considered, there is no free parameters. We analyzed the mass spectrum of such fields in the $(+ - +)$ model, where we found an universal mass scale for all the bosonic fields. We also revised the works [38, 44]. These papers treat the mentioned fields in the scenario of the crystal manifold. In [38], the author deals specifically with the gravitational field, and the work [44] with the q -form. What happens is that the dispersion relations found in both works were not correct. The correct dispersion for the gravitational field is calculated in [36]. Here we increment the analytical calculations done in this last work. For the case of the q -form we show how to get the correct dispersion relation and compare our results with the previous ones. The study is made for the scalar, gravitational, gauge, Kalb-Ramond and q -form fields, with and without the dilaton coupling. As new cases, we also introduced in this same background the geometrical coupling, and studied the gauge, Kalb-Ramond and q -form fields.

Firstly, we used our localization methods to study the q -form fields in the $(+ - +)$ background. We show that beyond obeying the symmetries of the systems, it has no free parameters. For the gauge field, we find $\lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{12}$ and $\lambda_2 = -\frac{1}{3}$, as the localization parameters. That way, we also find the values of the parameters of the work [37]. We do such thing for all the fields. We also calculated the spectrum of mass for all the bosonic fields. By doing it we showed that the ultralight mode of all q -form fields is identical to the gravitational one. In this way we established a universal mass scale for the bosonic fields. We have the Physics due to the zero mode, and then the next scale of energy is valid for all the first modes of all the bosonic fields.

Secondly, we revisited the crystal manifold case. The expression for the mass gap was first found in Ref. [38]. By using an heuristic reasoning they argue that the first allowed mass should be given by $m_{gap} = \mathcal{O}(1)/(l + L)$. However, it was found in [36] that this expression is not correct. There they give an idea of how to get the form of the band gap structure, by analyzing analytically the point $q = 0$. We go beyond, and numerically show the complete form of such structure. Using our numerical calculation with the original expression of Ref. [38], Eq. (4.8), we show in Fig. 3 that this numerator is (≈ 4). This value is about 150 times bigger than the first mass mode found using the correct dispersion relation (4.22), that is $m = 0.028/(l + L)$. As our first mass mode is much smaller compared with the first one found using (4.8), the correction in the Newton's law, in our case, is bigger than the previous one. Once that we consider a Yukawa like potential, the correction depends on an exponential. In our case, this exponential is $e^{-2.8 \times 10^8 r}$, and the previous result leads to $e^{-4 \times 10^{10} r}$. In addition, the correction in our case is due just to the first mass mode, while the result found in [38], is calculated considering all the mass modes in the Bulk. They perform the integral since the m_{gap} until infinity, this is not correct because the mass modes are not continuous, there is a gap between each allowed mass mode as we can see in Fig 4.

Next we revisit the q -form fields in crystal manifold, first studied in Ref. [44]. The authors based their results in the wrong expression found previously by Kaloper et al [38]. Here we find the correct expression and compute the new mass bands for all the cases considered in Ref. [44]. The scalar and gravitational fields have the same dispersion relation due to the fact that both have $\nu = 2$ and therefore the conclusions are the same. However, for the free gauge field we find a completely different mass band. The comparison is shown

in Fig. 7. The wrong dispersion relation leads to a numerical result that the first mass mode is $m = 2.7/(l + L)$, while our dispersion leads to $m = 0.7/(l + L)$ i.e about four times smaller. Another important aspect is that the previous mass band was very uncommon and any analogy or comparison with condensed matter was impossible.

The correct result is in complete agreement and very similar to the results found by the Kronig-Penney model. This similarity allow us to look for other similar effects that can be found in the crystal manifold. The Kalb-Ramond and gauge fields have the same mass dispersion due to the property of the Bessel functions. Next we revisit the q -forms coupled with the dilaton. With the corrected dispersion relation at hand, we show that it is different for all the fields. This implies a different band gap structure. The analyses for the q -form field also changes. In the work [44], they said that it is possible to generate or suppress mass modes by controlling the dilaton coupling. According to our results it is not true. For all the cases studied one is very interesting and deserves special attention: the band gap structure of the Kalb-Ramond field coupled with the dilaton. It is the only one that is linear for the values of q considered. In that way, it is the unique that does not show a gap between the mass band. Another interesting fact is that, using the correct dispersion relation, the mass of the first mode decreases due to the presence of the dilaton, while that for the old dispersion, the masses increases as can be seen in table (3).

For the case of the geometrical coupling we see that when both of parameters are different of zero, we get the same results that for the free scalar and gravitational fields. When one of the parameters are turned off we get different results. For the couple of the KB and gauge field with the Ricci scalar the parameter ν is respectively 2.5 and 1.5. For the couple of this two fields with the Ricci tensor the values of ν are $\nu = 3$ for the gauge, and $\nu = 4$ for the KB. All the values of mass in this configuration can also be found in table(3). An interesting result is that we found a massive photon with mass given by $6.2 \times 10^{-31} kg$ this is above the lower bound limit imposed experimentally [61]. When we generalize to the q -form case, we see that the parameter ν is $\nu^2 = (\frac{D-1}{2})^2$. Then, if $D = 5$ the result will always be $\nu = 2$. This is why we got $\nu = 2$ for the scalar, gauge and Kalb-Ramond fields. As future work we intent to study fermions in this background.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thanks Alexandra Elbakyan and sci-hub, for removing all barriers in the way of science, and to Makarius Tahim for useful conversations. We acknowledge the financial support provided by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and Fundação Cearense de Apoio ao Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (FUNCAP) through PRONEM PNE0112- 00085.01.00/16

A Mass Spectrum in the (+ - +) Model

In this appendix we show how to get the spectrum for the fields in the (+ - +) coupled with the dilaton or with the geometrical quantities. All the fields follow a Schrodinger like

equation with general form

$$\psi'' - [c_1 A'' + c_1^2 A'^2] \psi = -m^2 \psi. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

By using A explicitly we get

$$-\psi''(z) + \left[\frac{(c_1 + c_1^2)k^2}{g(z)^2} - \frac{2c_1 k(\delta(z) + \delta(z-2l) - \delta(z-l))}{g(z)} \right] \psi(z) = m_n^2 \psi(z). \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The solution for the massive modes is of the form

$$\psi^n(z) \begin{Bmatrix} A \\ B \end{Bmatrix} = \sqrt{\frac{g(z)}{k}} \left[\begin{Bmatrix} A_1 \\ B_1 \end{Bmatrix} J_{c_1+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)\right) + \begin{Bmatrix} A_2 \\ B_2 \end{Bmatrix} Y_{c_1+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)\right) \right]. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Using the boundary conditions for the wave function and its first derivative in the position of the branes $(0, z_1, z_2)$, which are

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'_n(0^+) &= -\frac{k}{g(0)} c_1 \psi_n(0) \\ \psi'_n(z_1^+) - \psi'_n(z_1^-) &= \frac{2kc_1}{g(z_1)} \psi_n(z_1) \\ \psi'_n(z_2^-) &= \frac{k}{g(z_2)} c_1 \psi_n(z_2) \\ \psi_n(z_1^+) &= \psi_n(z_1^-) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

we get

$$\begin{vmatrix} J_{c_1-1/2}(\frac{m_n}{k}) & Y_{c_1-1/2}(\frac{m_n}{k}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & J_{c_1-1/2}(\frac{m_n}{k}) & Y_{c_1-1/2}(\frac{m_n}{k}) \\ J_{c_1-1/2}(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)) & Y_{c_1-1/2}(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)) & J_{c_1-1/2}(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)) & Y_{c_1-1/2}(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)) \\ J_{c_1+1/2}(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)) & Y_{c_1+1/2}(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)) & -J_{c_1+1/2}(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)) & -Y_{c_1+1/2}(\frac{m_n}{k}g(z)) \end{vmatrix} = 0. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

This determinant gives

$$\begin{aligned} & [J_{c_1-1/2}(a)Y_{c_1-1/2}(a*x) - Y_{c_1-1/2}(a)J_{c_1-1/2}(a*x)] * \\ & [Y_{c_1+1/2}(x*a)J_{c_1-1/2}(a) - J_{c_1+1/2}(a*x)Y_{c_1-1/2}(a)] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Where $x = g(z)$ and $a = \frac{m_n}{k}$. We then use the first part of this equation to find the mass of the first mode. We expand this expression for small mass on Mathematica, to find

$$m_1 = \sqrt{4c_1^2 - 1} k e^{-(c_1+1/2)x}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

With the second expression, and considering that $J(x)$ is very small compared with $Y(x)$ for small arguments, we have

$$J_{c_1+1/2}(a*x)Y_{c_1-1/2}(a) = 0 \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Then the rest of mass are

$$m_n = \xi k e^{-kl}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

Where ξ are the roots of the Bessel functions $J_{c_1+1/2}(a*x)$.

References

- [1] R. de L. Kronig and W.G.Penney "Quantum Mechanics of Electrons in Crystal Lattices" Royal Society. 130,814 pp.499-513,(1931)<https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/abs/10.1098/rspa.1931.0019>
- [2] Bloch Z.Physik,vol.52,p.555(1928)
<http://www.pwein.at/physics/Lectures/Famous-Papers/Z-Physik-52-555-1928.pdf>
- [3] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 (1999)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.3370
[hep-ph/9905221].<https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9905221>
- [4] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4690 (1999)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.83.4690
[hep-th/9906064].<https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9906064>
- [5] A. Kehagias and K. Tamvakis, Phys. Lett. B **504**, 38 (2001)
doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00274-X
[hep-th/0010112].<https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0010112>
- [6] A. E. R. Chumbes, J. M. Hoff da Silva and M. B. Hott, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 085003 (2012)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.85.085003 [arXiv:1108.3821
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1108.3821>
- [7] Z. H. Zhao, Y. X. Liu and Y. Zhong, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 4, 045031 (2014)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.045031 [arXiv:1402.6480
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1402.6480>
- [8] B. Bajc and G. Gabadadze, Phys. Lett. B **474**, 282 (2000)
doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00055-1
[hep-th/9912232].<https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9912232>
- [9] K. Ghoroku and A. Nakamura, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 084017 (2002)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.65.084017
[hep-th/0106145].<https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0106145>
- [10] I. Oda, Phys. Lett. B **508**, 96 (2001) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00376-8
[hep-th/0012013].<https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0012013>
- [11] R. I. De Oliveira Junior, M. O. Tahim, G. Alencar and R. R. Landim, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **35**, no. 08, 2050047 (2019) doi:10.1142/S0217732320500479 [arXiv:1902.10669
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.10669>
- [12] W. M. Mendes, G. Alencar and R. R. Landim, JHEP **1802**, 018 (2018)
doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2018)018 [arXiv:1712.02590
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.02590>
- [13] D. Bazeia, A. R. Gomes and L. Losano, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **24**, 1135 (2009)
doi:10.1142/S0217751X09043067 [arXiv:0708.3530
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/0708.3530>
- [14] R. C. Fonseca, F. A. Brito and L. Losano, Phys. Lett. B **728**, 443 (2014)
doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.12.020 [arXiv:1211.0531
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1211.0531>

- [15] M. S. Cunha and H. R. Christiansen, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 085002 (2011)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.84.085002 [arXiv:1109.3486
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1109.3486>
- [16] C. A. S. Almeida, M. M. Ferreira, Jr., A. R. Gomes and R. Casana, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 125022 (2009) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.79.125022 [arXiv:0901.3543
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/0901.3543>
- [17] Y. X. Liu, J. Yang, Z. H. Zhao, C. E. Fu and Y. S. Duan, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 065019 (2009) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.80.065019 [arXiv:0904.1785
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/0904.1785>
- [18] Y. X. Liu, H. T. Li, Z. H. Zhao, J. X. Li and J. R. Ren, JHEP **0910**, 091 (2009) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/10/091 [arXiv:0909.2312
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/0909.2312>
- [19] W. T. Cruz, M. O. Tahim and C. A. S. Almeida, EPL **88**, no. 4, 41001 (2009) doi:10.1209/0295-5075/88/41001 [arXiv:0912.1029
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/0912.1029>
- [20] Z. H. Zhao, Y. X. Liu, H. T. Li and Y. Q. Wang, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 084030 (2010) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.82.084030 [arXiv:1004.2181
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1004.2181>
- [21] H. T. Li, Y. X. Liu, Z. H. Zhao and H. Guo, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 045006 (2011) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.045006 [arXiv:1006.4240
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1006.4240>
- [22] W. T. Cruz, A. R. Gomes and C. A. S. Almeida, EPL **96**, no. 3, 31001 (2011) doi:10.1209/0295-5075/96/31001 [arXiv:1110.3104
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1110.3104>
- [23] R. Landim, G. Alencar, M. Tahim and R. Costa Filho, JHEP **08**, 071 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2011)071 [arXiv:1105.5573
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.3054>
- [24] R. R. Landim, G. Alencar, M. O. Tahim and R. N. Costa Filho, JHEP **1202**, 073 (2012) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2012)073 [arXiv:1110.5855
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1110.5855>
- [25] G. Alencar, R. R. Landim, M. O. Tahim and R. N. C. Filho, JHEP **1301**, 050 (2013) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2013)050 [arXiv:1207.3054
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.3054>
- [26] G. Alencar, R. R. Landim, M. O. Tahim and R. N. Costa Filho, Phys. Lett. B **739**, 125 (2014) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2014.10.040 [arXiv:1409.4396
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.4396>
- [27] Z. H. Zhao, Q. Y. Xie and Y. Zhong, Class. Quant. Grav. **32**, no. 3, 035020 (2015) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/32/3/035020 [arXiv:1406.3098
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.3098>
- [28] G. Alencar, R. Landim, M. Tahim and R. Costa Filho, Phys. Lett. B **742**, 256-260 (2015) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2015.01.041 [arXiv:1409.5042
[hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.5042>

- [29] I. C. Jardim, G. Alencar, R. R. Landim and R. N. Costa Filho, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 4, 048501 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.048501 [arXiv:1411.5980 [hep-th]].
- [30] I. C. Jardim, G. Alencar, R. R. Landim and R. N. Costa Filho, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 8, 085008 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.085008 [arXiv:1411.6962 [hep-th]].
- [31] G. Alencar, R. R. Landim, C. R. Muniz and R. N. Costa Filho, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 6, 066006 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.066006 [arXiv:1502.02998 [hep-th]].
- [32] G. Alencar, C. R. Muniz, R. R. Landim, I. C. Jardim and R. N. Costa Filho, Phys. Lett. B **759**, 138 (2016) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2016.05.062 [arXiv:1511.03608 [hep-th]].
- [33] L. F. Freitas, G. Alencar and R. R. Landim, JHEP **1902**, 035 (2019) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2019)035 [arXiv:1809.07197 [hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.07197>
- [34] L. F. F. Freitas, G. Alencar and R. R. Landim, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, no.5, 432 (2020) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7975-x [arXiv:2001.01267 [hep-th]].
- [35] I. I. Kogan, S. Mouslopoulos, A. Papazoglou, G. G. Ross and J. Santiago, Nucl. Phys. B **584**, 313-328 (2000) doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00241-8 [arXiv:hep-ph/9912552 [hep-ph]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9912552>
- [36] I. I. Kogan, S. Mouslopoulos, A. Papazoglou and G. G. Ross, Nucl. Phys. B **595**, 225-249 (2001) doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00664-7 [arXiv:hep-th/0006030 [hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0006030>
- [37] I. I. Kogan, S. Mouslopoulos, A. Papazoglou and G. G. Ross, Nucl. Phys. B **615**, 191-218 (2001) doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00424-2 [arXiv:hep-ph/0107307 [hep-ph]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0107307>
- [38] N. Kaloper, Phys. Lett. B **474**, 269 (2000) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00028-9 [hep-th/9912125].<https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9912125>
- [39] N. Kaloper, JHEP **0405**, 061 (2004) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2004/05/061 [hep-th/0403208].<https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0403208>
- [40] I. Oda, Phys. Lett. B **480**, 305 (2000) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00392-0 [hep-th/9908104].<https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9908104>
- [41] S. Nam, JHEP **0003**, 005 (2000) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2000/03/005 [hep-th/9911104].<https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9911104>
- [42] S. Nam, JHEP **0004**, 002 (2000) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2000/04/002 [hep-th/9911237].<https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9911237>
- [43] A. Papazoglou, hep-ph/0112159.<https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0112159>
- [44] G. Alencar, R. R. Landim, M. O. Tahim and R. N. Costa Filho, Phys. Lett. B **726**, 809 (2013) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.09.006 [arXiv:1301.2562 [hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.2562>
- [45] J. Khoury, B. A. Ovrut, P. J. Steinhardt and N. Turok, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 123522 (2001) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.64.123522 [hep-th/0103239].<https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0103239>
- [46] M. Majumdar and A. Christine-Davis, JHEP **0203**, 056 (2002) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/03/056 [hep-th/0202148].<https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0202148>

- [47] R. Foot, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **29**, 1430013 (2014) doi:10.1142/S0217751X14300130 [arXiv:1401.3965 [astro-ph.CO]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1401.3965>
- [48] L. Bergström, Rept. Prog. Phys. **63**, 793 (2000) doi:10.1088/0034-4885/63/5/2r3 [hep-ph/0002126].<https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0002126>
- [49] G. Alencar, R. R. Landim, M. O. Tahim, K. C. Mendes and R. N. Costa Filho, EPL **93**, no. 1, 10003 (2011) doi:10.1209/0295-5075/93/10003 [arXiv:1009.1183 [hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1009.1183>
- [50] J. Polchinski, "String theory. Vol.1: An introduction to the bosonic string". SPIRES entry Cambridge ,UK: Univ.Pr.(1998) 402 p.
- [51] J. Polchinski, "String theory. Vol.2: An introduction to the bosonic string". SPIRES entry Cambridge ,UK: Univ.Pr.(1998) 531 p.
- [52] M. Kulaxizi and R. Rahman, JHEP **1410**, 193 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2014)193 [arXiv:1409.1942 [hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1942>
- [53] N. Kaloper, E. Silverstein and L. Susskind, JHEP **0105**, 031 (2001) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2001/05/031 [hep-th/0006192].
- [54] M. J. Duff and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Lett. B **94**, 179 (1980). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(80)90852-7
- [55] M. J. Duff and J. T. Liu, Phys. Lett. B **508**, 381 (2001) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00520-2 [hep-th/0010171].
- [56] S. O. Hahn, Y. Kiem, Y. Kim and P. Oh, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 047502 (2001) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.64.047502 [hep-th/0103264].
- [57] C. E. Fu, Y. X. Liu, H. Guo and S. L. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 6, 064007 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.064007 [arXiv:1502.05456 [hep-th]].
- [58] C. E. Fu, Y. Zhong, Q. Y. Xie and Y. X. Liu, Phys. Lett. B **757**, 180 (2016) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2016.03.069 [arXiv:1601.07118 [hep-th]].
- [59] G. Alencar, I. Jardim and R. Landim, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, no.5, 367 (2018) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5829-6 [arXiv:1801.06098 [hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.06098>
- [60] G. Alencar, I. Jardim, R. Landim, C. Muniz and R. N. Costa Filho, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no.12, 124064 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.124064 [arXiv:1506.00622 [hep-th]].<https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.00622>
- [61] C. Patrignani *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Chin. Phys. C **40**, no.10, 100001 (2016) doi:10.1088/1674-1137/40/10/100001<https://inspirehep.net/files/a46bc344915850ae75930afef3e5b526>