High repetition rate and coherent Free-Electron Laser in the tender X-rays based on the Echo-Enabled Harmonic Generation of an Ultra-Violet Oscillator pulse
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Fine time-resolved analysis of matter - i.e. spectroscopy and photon scattering - in the linear response regime requires a fs-scale pulsed, high repetition rate, fully coherent X-ray source. A seeded Free-Electron Laser (FEL) driven by a Super-Conducting Linac, generating $10^7$-$10^{10}$ coherent photons at 2-5 keV with about 0.5 MHz of repetition rate, can address this need. The seeding scheme proposed is the Echo-Enabled Harmonic Generation, alimented by a FEL Oscillator working at 13.6 nm with a cavity based on Mo-Si mirrors. The whole chain of the X-ray generation is here described by means of start-to-end simulations. Comparisons with the Self Amplified Spontaneous Emission and a fresh-bunch harmonic cascade performed with similar electron beams show the validity of this scheme.

I. INTRODUCTION

Fine time-resolved analysis of matter is currently performed with synchrotron radiation (SR) sources or with X-ray Free Electron Lasers (FELs), whose extremely brilliant pulses are able to detect matter in highly excited states dominated by non-linear response. Spectroscopic studies and photoemission experiments require probes states dominated by non-linear response. Spectroscopic liant pulses are able to detect matter in highly excited X-ray Free Electron Lasers (FELs), whose extremely bril- lliant at FERMI@Elettra [3] and SXFEL [4], should be in X-ray spectroscopy and full seeding, routinely imple-
ted as partial coherence. High Gain Harmonic Generation (HGHG) multistage cascades [8], seeded by the harmonics of an IR laser generated in crystals [9] or in gases [10-13], have been demonstrated and applied up to few nm wavelengths [9]. However, their implementation in the tender/hard X-ray range is highly demanding, while the extension to higher repetition rates, obtained by using oscillators [14] or lasers in cavity [15], has been studied only theoretically. As demonstrated at SXFEL [4], a step towards high repetition rate seeded FELs is also foreseen by using an optical klystron-like configuration, allowing to reduce the requirement for the peak power of the seed laser. FEL oscillators [16-21] or regenerative amplifiers [22-24] could directly produce coherent X-rays [14, 27], but the operational scenario proposed so far, with electrons at several GeVs, impedes their realization in small/medium size research laboratories. UV/soft X-ray coherent radiation has been generated with the Echo-Enabled Harmonic Generation (EEHG) [28, 32], a technique which requires two coherent radiation pulses, usually delivered by optical lasers, seeding the electron beam in two sequen
tial modulators interspersed by a strong dispersive section. A second dispersive section and the radiator are placed downstream. As explained in Ref.s [28, 29], the combination of energy modulation and dispersion, replicated twice, warps the electron longitudinal phase space, producing a significant bunching on very high harmonics which drives the emission of a short wavelength coherent pulse in the radiator. A combined EEHG-oscillator scheme with two oscillators as modulators has also been proposed [33].

In this paper, we show the operation of a FEL in the tender X-ray range, based on EEHG. We propose a FEL Oscillator in the far Ultra-Violet frequency range as seeding source. The advantage of such a scheme is twofold: the oscillator operation, combined with an electron beam
accelerated in a Super-‐Conducting Linac, increases the device repetition rate by many orders of magnitude (4-6). Moreover, since the oscillator frequency and peak power are much higher than the ones of a conventional laser, the soft-‐hard X-‐ray range can be more easily reached by a lower harmonic number.

### II. LAY-OUT OF THE COHERENT SOURCE AND SIMULATIONS

The electron beam is supposed to be generated by an accelerator similar to the project MariX’s (Multi-‐disciplinary Advanced Research Infrastructure for the generation and application of X-‐rays) [34 35], whose compact footprint with a total length of about 500 m and contained costs should permit its construction also in medium-‐size research infrastructures or within university campuses. MariX is based on the innovative design of a two-‐pass two-‐way Super-‐Conducting linear electron accelerator [35], equipped with an arc compressor [36 37] to be operated in CW mode at 1 MHz. The characteristics of the electron beam are listed in Table I. As studied and demonstrated in Ref. [38], the superconducting technology allows to achieve low jitters and fluctuations of the electron beam. These increased stability conditions, together with the seeded mode FEL operation, give the possibility to produce a fully coherent, high repetition rate and highly stable X-‐ray source.

Table I: Electron beam for MariX FEL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>electron beam energy</th>
<th>GeV</th>
<th>2-3.8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charge</td>
<td>pC</td>
<td>30-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>kA</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rms normalized emittance</td>
<td>mm mrad</td>
<td>0.3-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rms relative energy spread</td>
<td>10^-4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>electron beam duration</td>
<td>fs</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slice energy spread</td>
<td>10^-4</td>
<td>4-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slice normalized emittance</td>
<td>mm mrad</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 presents the intracavity pulse temporal and spectral densities of the seed at saturation, whose Table II summarizes the characteristics of the intracavity seed pulse at saturation. After an optical transport line that splits it in two pulses, the seed is synchronized to the electron bunches at the beginning of both modulators. Energy losses along the transport line have been taken into account. The oscillator seed pointing stability and transverse overlap with the electron bunches after the transport line will be checked and adjusted with multipurpose stations and beam stabilization systems as the ones described in [40 41].

To obtain radiation in the range $\lambda =5-2 \, \text{Å}$, namely 2-5 keV, with the MariX’s moderate energy electron bunch (2.5-3.8 GeV), a short period radiator must be considered. From the resonance relation $\lambda = \lambda_0 \left(1 + \sigma_n^2\right) \left(\frac{aw}{\lambda_0}\right)$ (where $\lambda_0$ is the undulator parameter and $\gamma$ the electron Lorentz factor), taking a maximum magnetic field B=1T corresponding to $\lambda_0=0.98$, we can deduce that an undulator period of $\lambda_w=1.5 \, \text{cm}$ is suitable. Besides, starting from a seed at $\lambda_0 = 13.6 \, \text{nm}$, a significant electron bunching on harmonics’ order $n$ in the range from 25 to 70 is required. By following the uni-‐dimensional model based on plane waves exposed in Refs [28 29], the electron bunching is expressed in terms of four free parameters, namely the dispersion strengths of the two chicanes $R_{56,1}$ and $R_{56,2}$ and the normalized electric fields of the seeds:

$$A_{1,2} = \frac{eaw\lambda_0}{mc^2\pi \sigma_{1,2}} \sqrt{\frac{P_{1,2}}{\varepsilon_0 c^2 \pi}},$$

$P_{1,2}$ and $\sigma_{1,2}$ being the peak power and the rms transverse dimension of the seeds, $e$ electron charge, $m$ electron mass, $c$ speed of light and $\varepsilon_0$ the vacuum dielectric constant. Assuming $P_{1,2} = 100,200 \, \text{MW}$ respectively (0.86 and 1.72 $\mu J$ of total energy), and keeping the longitudinal lengths of the two chicanes constant, $R_{56,1}$ and $R_{56,2}$ have been optimized to maximize the electron bunching on the desired harmonics of the seed. Using an electron
energy of 2.66 GeV and a slice relative energy spread of $\Delta E/E = 3 \times 10^{-4}$, proper bunching at the second chicane end is obtained for $n=25$, corresponding to $\lambda = 5.44 \text{ Å}$ with $R_{56,1}=132 \text{ µm}$ and $R_{56,2}=4.72 \text{ µm}$. The power growth in this case is shown in Fig. [3] its central windows showing the electron phase spaces after the first modulator (a), after the second chicane (b), after the second modulator (c) and at the radiator entrance (d). The initial bunching on $\lambda =5.44 \text{ Å}$ (in violet) reaches a peak of 4.5% on the bunch, while the rms energy spread increases from 0.03% to 0.045% in the modulators and in the chicanes. These parameters are sufficient to trigger a consistent FEL emission in the radiator, and the radiation is extracted at the minimum bandwidth position, occurring after 12 m of radiator (about 16 m of the total device). The neat single spike structures in power and spectral amplitude at the end of the undulator, are shown in the inner windows in blue and red respectively, compared with the corresponding SASE profiles extracted after 40 m of undulator. Source coherence and stability are evaluated through the modulus of the complex coherence degree:

$$\Gamma_{ij}(\tau) = \left| \frac{\int dt E_i(t)E_j(t-\tau)}{\sqrt{\int dt |E_i|^2} \sqrt{\int dt |E_j|^2}} \right|,$$

between two different generic pulses i and j generated by different electron beams ($\Gamma_{ij}$) or for one single pulse ($\Gamma = \Gamma_{i,i}$), where $E_i$ and $E_j$ are the electric fields of the pulses as function of time. These two quantities are shown vs $\zeta = c\tau$ for $n=25$ in the right windows of Fig. [3], together with those of the SASE case at saturation. The FWHM coherence length of the EEHG pulse is $\Sigma_c = 0.67 \text{ µm}$, about 4 times the corresponding SASE’s. The equal time coherence between different pulses $\Gamma_{ij}(0)$ is close to 1, differently from the SASE’s of $10^{-1}$. The FWHM mutual coherence length, quantifying the shot-to-shot stability, is 0.1 $\mu$m, compared to 0.015 $\mu$m of the SASE one. The EEHG coherent source provides an ultrashort pulse with $5 \mu J$ of energy per shot at $\lambda = 5.44 \text{ Å}$, corresponding to $1.4 \times 10^{10}$ photons/shot at 0.5 MHz or $0.7 \times 10^{16}$ photons/s, while the SASE source reaches $14.7 \times 10^{16}$ photons/s at 1 MHz. Even if characterized by a smaller number of photons, bandwidth, coherence and collimation of the EEHG give an average brilliance of $1.7 \times 10^{24}$ photons/s/mm$^2$/mrad$^2$/bw($\%$), being only a factor 5 smaller than the corresponding SASE’s. Working points covering the range between 5.44 and 2.7 Å, namely $n=25, 35, 45$ and 50, are presented in Table [III] and compared to similar SASE cases after 40 m of undulator. Electron beams with progressively larger energies allow to reach shorter wavelengths. Fig. [4] presents powers and spectral amplitudes for both SASE (black) and EEHG (red) cases for $n=35, 45, 50$. The case with $n=35$ is performed with an electron beam of about 3 GeV and delivers $1.7 \times 10^9$ photons/shot at 3.88 Å, extracted after 16 m of radiator with an average brilliance of $3.4 \times 10^{21}$ photons/s/mm$^2$/mrad$^2$/bw($\%$). With an energy of 3.6 GeV, $1.36 \times 10^9$ photons/shot at 3.02 Å ($n=45$) can be generated with an average brilliance of $5.7 \times 10^{23}$ photons/s/mm$^2$/mrad$^2$/bw($\%$). Pushing the electron energy to the maximum value foreseen for MariX, 3.8 GeV, the system produces $2.4 \times 10^9$ photons/shot at 2.7 Å ($n=50$) with a brilliance of $5.7 \times 10^{23}$ photons/s/mm$^2$/mrad$^2$/bw($\%$). The coherence length
Figure 3: Radiation energy $E(J)$ vs $z(m)$. In blue, radiation at $\lambda_0 = 13.6$ nm in the modulators. In violet, radiation at $\lambda = 13.6/25$ nm = 5.44 Å in the radiator. Point (a) is the 1$^{st}$ modulator end, (b) 1$^{st}$ chicane end, (c) 2$^{nd}$ modulator end and (d) 2$^{nd}$ chicane end. Inner figures: (i) bunching on the 25$^{th}$ harmonics at the end of the 2$^{nd}$ chicane, (ii) power density, (iii) spectral amplitude (SASE at 40 m and EEHG at the radiator exit). Windows (a), (b), (c), (d): electron phase space, electron Lorentz factor $\gamma$ vs seed phase $\phi$, in the corresponding points. (e) and (f): SASE (red) and EEHG (blue) coherence degree for one pulse $\Gamma$ and for different pulses $\Gamma_{ij}$ vs $\varsigma=\tau$. Dotted segments: FWHM coherence lengths.

Table III: SASE (extracted at saturation), EEHG (extracted at minimum bandwidth) and fresh-bunch HGHG cascaded FEL characteristics, $\$ = Photons/s/mm$^2$/mrad$^2$/bw($\%$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>SASE</th>
<th>EEHG</th>
<th>HGHG</th>
<th>SASE</th>
<th>EEHG</th>
<th>HGHG</th>
<th>SASE</th>
<th>EEHG</th>
<th>SASE</th>
<th>EEHG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harmonic number</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5×5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7×5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>Å</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>5216</td>
<td>5216</td>
<td>6151</td>
<td>6151</td>
<td>6991</td>
<td>7352</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undulator length</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>$\mu$J</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photon/shot</td>
<td>$10^9$</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>fs</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divergence</td>
<td>$\mu$rad</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse size</td>
<td>$\mu$m</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photon/s</td>
<td>$10^{15}$</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average brilliance</td>
<td>$10^{23}$ $$</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coherence length</td>
<td>$\mu$m</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

is from 5 (n=35) to 3 (n=50) times the corresponding SASE’s, while the stability is larger by a factor from 10 (n=35) to 20 (n=50). Since these estimations widely exceed the target values set by the scientific case, the EEHG source will be capable to satisfy the conditions requested by the envisaged experiments, considering a safety margin compensating all the degradations due to errors, mis-alignment, jitters and the losses dealing with the transport of the photon beams to the experimental hutch. The comparison between the results of the EEHG technique and those of a fresh-bunch HGHG cascade, performed with a similar electron beam and with the same oscillator as seeding source [14], shows a substantial equivalence in terms of number of emitted photons for n=5×5 and
a better performance of the HGHG cascade for n=7×5, when the induced energy spread limits the EEHG radiation. However, the HGHG efficiency decreases very rapidly at higher harmonics (n>35) and radiation levels comparable with the EEHG's cannot be achieved. A total of four different electron bunches per shot are needed for the fresh-bunch technique, while for the EEHG only one bunch for the oscillator and one for the FEL are required, doubling, in proportion, the radiation repetition rate. Regarding coherence, a better performance of the HGHG cascade is observed, due to the more direct transfer of the coherence properties from the seed to the radiation. The advantage of the EEHG is a larger tunability and versatility of the source, that permits to generate intense (10^{10}−10^{8} photons/shot), ultra-short (down to 1 fs) pulses at all the harmonics n of the seed up to n=50 and not only at those corresponding to the product of two odd integer numbers (n=5×5, 3×9, 7×5...).

III. CONCLUSIONS

A new generation accelerator complex is at the core of this coherent and compact facility dedicated and optimized to ultra-fast coherent X-ray spectroscopy and inelastic photon scattering, and to highly penetrating X-ray imaging of mesoscopic and macroscopic samples. The X-ray generation scheme here studied relies on a conventional EEHG device seeded by a far-UV FEL Oscillator and reaching high harmonic orders up to n=50. Its comparison with a SASE FEL performed with a similar electron beam proves the much higher stability and coherence of the produced pulses. The major advantage with respect to a fresh-bunch three-stage FEL cascade seeded by the same oscillator is given by the tunability and simpler set-up of the EEHG scheme. Such facility will be intrinsically multi-user and multidisciplinary as of the research performed and science output.


